# **UC Office of the President**

# **UC Publications in Linguistics**

### **Title**

A Reference Grammar of Wappo

### **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0dv86220

# **Authors**

Thompson, Sandra A. Park, Joseph Sung-Yul Li, Charles N.

# **Publication Date**

2014-06-13

Peer reviewed

LINGUISTICS
VOLUME 138

# A Reference Grammar of Wappo

Sandra A. Thompson Joseph Sung-Yul Park Charles N. Li

Available online at http://repositories.cdlib.org/ucpress/

# A Reference Grammar of Wappo

Sandra A. Thompson Joseph Sung-Yul Park Charles N. Li

# A Reference Grammar of Wappo

Sandra A. Thompson Joseph Sung-Yul Park Charles N. Li

University of California Press Berkeley • Los Angeles • London University of California Press, one of the most distinguished university presses in the United States, enriches lives around the world by advancing scholarship in the humanities, social sciences, and natural sciences. Its activities are supported by the UC Press Foundation and by philanthropic contributions from individuals and institutions. For more information, visit www.ucpress.edu.

University of California Publications in Linguistics, Volume 138 Editorial Board: Leanne Hinton, Larry Hyman, Marianne Mithun, Pamela Munro, Maria Polinsky

University of California Press Berkeley and Los Angeles, California

University of California Press, Ltd. London, England

© 2006 by The Regents of the University of California Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Thompson, Sandra A.

A reference grammar of Wappo / Sandra A. Thompson, Joseph Sung-Yul Park, Charles N. Li. p. cm. -- (University of California publications in linguistics; v. 138)

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN-13: 978-0-520-09854-1 (pbk. : alk. paper)

 ${\tt ISBN-10:0-520-09854-4\ (pbk.:alk.\ paper)}$ 

1. Wappo dialect--Grammar. I. Park, Joseph Sung-Yul. II. Li, Charles N., 1940- III. Title. IV. Series. PM2547.T46 2006

497'.5--dc22

2006009145

The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of ANSI/NISO z39.48-1992 (R 1997) (Permanence of Paper). $\{\infty\}$ 

# **Contents**

List of Tables	X
Preface	xi
Acknowledgements	xvi
Abbreviations	xvii
1. PHONEMIC INVENTORY AND TRANSCRIPTION	1
2. WORD ORDER	4
3. THE NOUN PHRASE 3.1 Order of Elements 3.2 Associative Phrases 3.3 Case 3.3.1 Nominative: -i	8 8 9 10
3.3.2 Accusative: -ø	11
3.3.3 Dative: - <u>thu</u>	12
3.3.4 Benefactive: -ma	12
3.3.5 Instrumental: -thi?	13
3.3.6 Comitative: - <b><u>k'a</u></b>	13
3.3.7 Genitive: -me?	14
3.3.8 Locative 3.3.9 Case in noun phrases 3.4 Number 3.5 Demonstratives	16 18 19 22
3.6 Conjoined NPs	22

vi Contents

3.7 Quantifiers	23				
3.8 Non-referential Noun Phrases					
3.9 Pronouns					
3.9.1 Personal pronouns	25				
3.9.2 Reflexive and reciprocal pronouns	25				
3.9.3 The third-person co-referential pronoun	26				
A. Non-body-part genitives	26				
B. Complex sentences with dependent clauses	27				
4. THE VERB PHRASE	32				
4.1 Tense and Aspect	32				
4.1.1 Habitual/progressive [= DUR]	32				
A. Habitual use	32				
B. Progressive use	33				
4.1.2 Stative [= STAT; - <b>khi?</b> ]	33				
A. Simple states	34				
B. Resultant states	34				
4.1.3 Past for actions [ = PST; - <u>ta?</u> ]	40				
A. Transitive verbs	40				
B. Intransitive verbs with no resulting state	41				
4.1.4 Inchoative [ = INCH; - <b>iš</b> / - <b>eš</b> ]	43				
4.1.5 Future [ = FUT; - <u>ya:mi?</u> and - <u>si?</u> ]	45				
Aya:mi?, more certain	46				
B <b>si?</b> , less certain	47				
4.2 Paradigms	48				
4.3 Verb Classes	53				
4.3.1 DUR classes	53				
4.3.2 IMP classes	55				
4.3.3 INF classes	56				
4.3.4 Pattern of epenthesis in verb paradigms	56				
A. The A form and B form	57				
B. Further stem changes	58				
B.1 DUR forms	58				
B.2 PST forms B.3 STAT forms	59				
B.4 FUT forms	59				
B.5 IMP forms	60				
B.6 NEG forms	60 61				
B.7 NEG:FUT and NEG:IMP forms	62				
B.8 INF forms	63				
B.9 CAUS forms	64				
B.10 PASS forms	64				

Contents	vii

B.11 PURP forms	65
B.12 -mime? 'go out and X' and -miti? 'go do X' forms	65
C. Derivation of paradigms	66
4.4 Directional Prefixes	69
4.4.1 Speaker-oriented directional prefixes	69
A. <b>ma</b> - and <b>te</b> -	69
A.1 <u>ma</u> - 'away from speaker'	69
A.2 <u>te</u> - 'toward speaker'	70
B. <u>mu</u> - and <u>tu</u> - (used with a restricted set of verbs)	70
B.1 <u>mu</u> - 'away from speaker (far)'	70
B.2 <u>tu</u> - 'toward the speaker (from far away)'	71
C. mo?o- 'away from speaker' and to?o- 'towards speaker'	71
D. mo- 'away from speaker' and to- 'towards speaker'	72
E. meh- 'away from speaker' and teh- 'towards speaker'	72
4.4.2 Non-speaker-oriented directional prefixes	72
A. <u>ho</u> - 'around'	72
B. <u>meh</u> - 'up'	73
C. <u><b>č'a</b></u> - 'off, away'	73
D. <u><b>č'ah</b></u> - 'out'	73
E. <b>pah</b> - 'put together'	74
F. <b>pi-</b> 'accidentally'	74
4.5 Mood	74
4.5.1 <b><u>k'ah</u></b> 'desiderative'	75
4.5.2 <b>keye</b> 'optative'	76
4.5.3 <b>ne?-khi?</b> 'deontic'	77
4.6 Imperative	78
4.7 Negation	78
4.8 Passive	79
4.9 Adverbs	79
4.10 Classificatory Verbs: Semantically Specific Verbs of Position and Motion	81
4.11 Evidentiality	83
4.12 The Reflexive and Reciprocal	86
4.12.1 The reflexive	86
4.12.2 The reciprocal	89

viii Contents

5. SIMPLE CLAUSE TYPES	90
5.1 Declarative Clauses	90
5.2 Questions	90
5.2.1 Yes-no questions	90
5.2.2 Question-word questions	92
A. The position and use of question words	92
B. The "indefinite" prefix <u>i</u> -	95
C. Question words as indefinite pronouns	96
5.3 Comparatives	97
5.4 Predicate Nominal Clauses	100
5.5 Existential and Possession Clauses	103
5.5.1 Existential clauses	103
5.5.2 Possession clauses	105
6. COMPLEX SENTENCES	109
6.1 Conjunctions	109
6.1.1 <b>wey</b> 'and'	110
<del></del>	
6.1.2 <b><u>k'ota</u></b> 'but'	111
6.1.3 <b>cel'</b> 'then'	113
6.1.4 <b>thu?</b> 'so, that's why'	114
6.2 Relativization	114
6.2.1 "Internal Head" constructions	115
6.2.2 The "postposing" strategy	119
6.2.3 "Free" relatives	122
6.3 Causative	123
6.3.1 The suffixal causative	123
A. The form of suffixal causative verbs	123
B. The form and function of suffixal causative clauses	128
6.3.2 The periphrastic causative	130
6.3.3 The choice between the suffixal and the periphrastic causative	131
6.3.4 The prefixal causative	136
6.4 Sentential Complements	140
6.4.1 Infinitive complements	140
A. Subject infinitives	140
B. Non-subject infinitives	142
6.4.2 Non-infinitive complements	143
6.4.3 Indirect questions	147
A. Indirect question-word questions	148
B. Indirect yes-no questions	149
6.5 Adverbial Clauses	151
6.5.1 Purpose clauses	151

Contents	1Y
Contents	1/1

6.5.2 Temporal clauses	153
A. wen 'when'	154
B. <b><u>šu?u</u></b> 'after'	156
C. <b>yela</b> 'before'	157
6.5.3 Conditionals	158
A. <u>cel'</u> 'if'	158
B. <b>kha</b> COND:NEG	162
Appendix: Additional Verb Paradigms Bibliography	164 170
<b>Δ</b> ιυτίο <b>ξ</b> ταρτίγ	170

# **Tables**

Table 1-1.	Sawyer's Phonemic Inventory	3
Table 3-1.	Case Forms for Personal Pronouns	25
Table 4-1.	Future Suffixes Compared	46
Table 4-2.	Paradigm for olol- 'dance'	50
Table 4-3.	Paradigm for hic- 'pound to make flour'	51
Table 4-4.	Paradigm for hinto- 'sleep'	52
Table 4-5.	DUR Classes	54
Table 4-6.	IMP and DUR Classes Correlated	57
Table 4-7.	Verb Paradigm Construction	67
Table 6-1.	Causative Affirmative and Negative Paradigm Templates	124
Table 6-2.	Causative Paradigm for olol- 'dance'	125
Table 6-3.	Causative Paradigm for hic- 'pound to make flour'	126
Table 6-4.	Causative Paradigm for <b>hinto-</b> 'sleep'	127

# **Preface**

#### Genetic and Areal Affiliations

Wappo is an indigenous language which was once spoken in the Russian River Valley, near Geyserville, just north of San Francisco, California, whose last speaker, Laura Fish Somersal, died in 1990. According to Laura, the name 'Wappo' came from the Spanish *guapo*, 'brave, handsome'.

Genetically, Wappo's affiliations are in dispute. Powers (1877) set up a Yukian family, hypothesizing a genetic connection between two small speech communities in north central California, Yuki to the north and Wappo to the south. The Yukian relationship is asserted in later works (Powell (1891), Kroeber (1911, 1925), and Radin (1929)), though Elmendorf (1968) points out that while the three Yukian 'dialects' are quite similar to each other, the relation of these to Wappo is a good deal more remote. Elmendorf (1981, 1997) maintains confidence in a genetic, in addition to a contact, relationship. Mithun (1999:310) concludes that 'the nature of the relationship remains an open question'.

Powers' 'common origin' hypothesis was questioned by Sawyer (1980), who concludes that Wappo and Yuki were at one time in territorial and social contact, and that the resemblances noted by Powers and others are results of this contact and not evidence of a genetic relationship.

The Yukian family itself has not turned out to be clearly relatable to any other linguistic group, though suggestions have been made by Kroeber (1959), Elmendorf (1963, 1964), and Gursky (1965). As Elmendorf (1968) points out, however,

It is a striking fact that these suggestions point in quite different directions, and if all of them could be accepted as reflecting at least part of the true relationships, they would show Yukian to be a connecting link of some sort between several other large and apparently unconnected linguistic assemblages. (p.3)

Munro (1994) considers the evidence in favor of Greenberg's (1987) proposal of a relationship between Yukian languages and Gulf languages, concluding that there is sufficient lexical evidence to warrant continued exploration of this possibility.

Thus, genetic relationships between Wappo and any other language have never been clearly established. Grammatical descriptions of various California Indian xii Preface

languages at this juncture, particularly apparent isolates such as Wappo, may become the crucial evidence for establishing genetic links among these languages in the future

Areally, as noted by Mithun (1999:317), a linguistic area can be identified including Wappo, Utian (Miwok-Costanoan), Wintun, and Pomoan. Spanish has had some influence in phonology and lexicon (Sawyer 1964, 1965). For further discussion of the genetic and areal situation of Wappo, see Sawyer (1991:15-22).

# Previous Work on Wappo

The first published work on Wappo grammar was a grammar and texts by Paul Radin (1924, 1929) from stories collected from two speakers in 1918, which remain valuable sources in spite of serious deficiencies: first, Radin's transcription is problematic; in particular, he does not seem to have recognized the distinction between glottalized and non-glottalized consonants, between aspirated and unaspirated stops, or between syllable-final /h/ and /?/; second, Radin's analysis suffers from methodological inadequacies, failing to distinguish synchronic morphological phenomena from suspected diachronic relics in morphology; and third, it was produced in a context of a much more shallow understanding of grammatical typology and universals than is available today (see also Sawyer 1991).

Hoping to relate Radin's materials to our own findings, at the beginning of our fieldwork we often asked Laura for her reactions to our best rendition of both isolated and textual examples from Radin, but this did not prove to be a fruitful procedure. Most often, Laura did not understand the example, either because we hadn't accurately rendered it from Radin's transcriptions or because Laura's Wappo had changed, or both. Sometimes when we gave her the English for the Radin example, she would offer her own version, typically with different consonants (/č/where Radin had /š/, for example) or different verb morphology. As just one example, for 'don't do that', following Sawyer (1965) (see below), we transcribed Laura as saying:

camih - lahkhi? do - NEG:IMP 'don't do that' (67)

where Radin (1924:151) had:

#### camilak'i

Driver (1936) provides an ethnography of Wappo.

There was no further published work on Wappo grammar until Sawyer's (1965) lexicon, with data elicited from Laura. In addition to providing an English-to-Wappo word and morpheme list, Sawyer provided a phonemic analysis of Laura's

*Preface* xiii

speech. However, from the fifties, Sawyer had been collecting grammatical notes on Wappo, and much of that material appeared in 1991 as 'Wappo notes' with editor's notes by Alice Shepherd (Sawyer 1991) and annotations by William E. Elmendorf. We have made much use of this work, and refer the reader to it at appropriate places throughout our grammar.

#### Circumstances of Data Collection

We first began our study of Wappo in 1975; we worked exclusively with Laura, who was at that time in her late eighties and, as far as we can ascertain, the last fluent speaker. We visited her several times a year during most of the fifteen years before her death in 1990. We heard of one other person who used to speak Wappo, but he proved not to be able to speak or comprehend Wappo or remember more than a few words at the time we visited him in the late 1980s. Though this grammar is thus not a grammar of a speech community, we offer it as a tribute to Laura Fish Somersal and as a record of the only Wappo there was as of about 1975.

A word is in order about the degree of Laura's fluency. Born before 1890, Laura was bilingual as a child in Southern Pomo (her father's language) and Wappo (her mother's language). She did not interact much with her father's side of the family, but Wappo was the family language, which she spoke with her mother and siblings. Because her mother was blind, Laura was excused from attending the school run by the BIA in order to look after her mother. This had the fortunate consequence of allowing Laura to maintain her Wappo into adulthood. She was thus able to speak Wappo up to a much later age than most of her contemporaries were able to maintain their native languages. We do not know how much Pomo Laura used as an adult, but she was bilingual throughout her adult life in English and Wappo. Her English was close to that of a native speaker; Sawyer (1991) notes that occasional discrepancies often seemed to be direct translations from Wappo. She never learned to read or write, except to sign her name.

Laura spoke Wappo regularly with her sister until her sister's death in the early 1970's. The language which we recorded starting in 1975 was thus the language of a fluent user, though her speech community had been limited to the two of them for some time. Before she started working with us, she had spent more than fifteen years working with Sawyer on Wappo.

From the beginning of our work with Laura, we elicited sentences in context; that is, we would describe a situation and ask her what she would say in such a situation. Throughout our fieldwork, she was always able to confidently produce long and complex sentences with ease. She never exhibited any difficulty remembering lexical items or appropriate grammatical constructions. As far as we can tell, there was very little influence of English on her Wappo. We also collected four short narrative texts, in addition to those found in Sawyer and Somersal (1977). Unfortunately, working on texts was tedious and distasteful to Laura, partly because she didn't like to hear her own voice on the tape recorder, partly because she felt she was not qualified to "tell stories", and partly because she disliked going over the text bit by bit. We therefore reluctantly decided not to press her to do this kind of work,

xiv Preface

and, while we would have preferred to work with more texts, we have contented ourselves with a database consisting largely of sentences elicited by suggesting pragmatic contexts. We have been gratified to find that the texts and the sentences do not reveal glaring discrepancies, and, whenever possible, we have used examples from texts in our description. We do not include the texts we collected here; for the reasons just given, we are not confident enough of the transcriptions to put them in print.

#### Laura Fish Somersal

During the fifteen years that we worked with Laura, we not only learned about Wappo, but we also came to know a person of great intelligence, skill, dignity, and humor. Her knowledge of culture, history, and biology was prodigious, and she loved sharing it. We often met other students of hers when we went to visit her, including people interested in institutions, oral history, ethnobotany, and basket making. Laura was one of the great basket makers and teachers, and especially enjoyed sharing her expertise in the art of basket making, from locating the marshes where the reeds grow, to soaking and stripping the reeds, to the aesthetics and skills of the weaving itself.

For Laura, the pleasure in life was to give. She gave everything she had to the children she brought up. She gave steady leadership to her community until she reached her 80's. She gave her time and expertise to anthropologists and linguists who sought her out for her knowledge of Wappo language and culture. She shared her basket-weaving skills in demonstrations at numerous museums, including the Smithsonian, which collected her baskets.<sup>1</sup>

Laura always projected optimism and kindness. Never harboring hatred for anyone, not even those who had wronged her egregiously, she typically dismissed evil and prejudice with a chuckle. But at times when she told hair-raising stories of her past, she spoke in a somber voice. That voice almost broke when she told us how a shaman blinded her mother with rattlesnake poison. Yet, just as sadness seemed to overwhelm her, she said with a chuckle, "Well, that made me grow up fast, because I had to take care of my mother before I was ten!" Indeed, for many years she served as her mother's eyes and consequently learned much about the Wappo way of life: gathering acorns, making acorn mush, cooking with heated pebbles as a source of heat and water-proof baskets as containers, roasting venison, collecting material for weaving baskets, and making temporary shelter from branches. During the years we worked with her, Laura was single-handedly raising four adopted children. It was often challenging, but she handled the task with grace and composure. She had an inner strength that revealed itself as she talked about her views of life and her reactions to the people and events of her world.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> There is a superb recent film by David Ludwig, entitled "Pomo Basketmakers: A Tribute to Three Elders", featuring Laura, Elsie Allen and Mabel McKay.

<sup>2.</sup> Sawyer (1991) provides a warm personal memoir of working with Laura.

*Preface* xv

For us, Laura was a patient and magnificent teacher and friend during the years we worked with her. Her insight into the Wappo language was a source of education and inspiration for us, and made the task of unraveling its structure a most pleasurable one. We hope this grammar reflects some of our joy in working with her.

The fifteen years of field work was jointly conducted by the two of us. The analyses and the writing of the grammar are primarily the work of Sandy. Joseph Park joined our team in 2000, to contribute toward the morphological analysis and composition of the chapters on verb forms and verb paradigms. We could not have finished writing this grammar without his insightful analysis. He has also played a major role in the preparation of the manuscript for publication.

Sandra A. Thompson and Charles N. Li Santa Barbara June, 2005

# Acknowledgements

We are indebted to several funding sources for support for our study of Wappo: the Survey of California and other American Indian Languages at UC Berkeley (especially originally Mary Haas, more recently Leanne Hinton, and Teresa MacFarland), the American Philosophical Society, the Wenner-Gren Foundation, the UCLA American Indian Studies Center, the UC Santa Barbara Academic Senate Committee on Research, and the Netherlands Institute for Advanced Study. We wish to thank Kumiko Ichihashi-Nakayama, Toshihide Nakayama, Tsuyoshi Ono, and Mara Henderson for their invaluable help in preparing various parts of this grammar. We are grateful to Jesse Sawyer for introducing us to Laura Somersal.

# **Abbreviations**

1 first person 2 second person 3 third person

3CO third person co-referential

BENEF benefactive case CAUS causative suffix

CLAR **lakhu**, morpheme for clarification after a misunderstanding

COM comitative case

COMP comparative morpheme

COND conditional

CONTR contrast marker **yoh** 

COP copula
DAT dative case
DEM demonstrative

DEP suffix for verbs in dependent clauses

DES desiderative morpheme **k'ah** 

DIR directional prefix

DUR durative for habitual/progressive action EP epenthetic inter-morphemic segment EPIST epistemic marker **!a?** 'I don't know'

EVID evidential morpheme

FUT future tense GEN genitive case

HYP hypothetical verb form

IMP imperative INCH inchoative

INDEF **i-**, indefinite prefix with certain roots

INF infinitive

INST instrumental case

ITER iterative

# xviii Abbreviations

LOC locative

NEG negative morpheme NOM nominative case

OPT optative morpheme **keye** 

PASS passive
PL plural
PST past tense
PURP purpose suffix

Q yes/no question morpheme

RECIP reciprocal

REFL reflexive morpheme

SG singular

SUP **humisme?**, the superlative morpheme

STAT stative aspect

UOP unspecified object prefix

# 1. PHONEMIC INVENTORY AND TRANSCRIPTION

Here we reproduce Sawyer's (1965:vii) sounds of Wappo. Since Sawyer's phonemic analysis was based on Laura's speech, we have taken it as the basis for the transcription we will use in this book. Further discussion of the phonetics and phonology of Wappo can be found in Sawyer (1981, 1991). We do not attempt to improve on his analysis here; from the beginning of our fieldwork, we concentrated on morpho-syntactic analysis, both because Sawyer had dealt almost not at all with morphological and syntactic patterns, and because both we and Laura felt more competent in that area.

There are several points to keep in mind regarding Sawyer's transcription.

- Sawyer's lexical entries are marked for stress, which we do not mark. Word stress is essentially predictable, falling on the first 'core' syllable, that is, the first syllable which is not synchronically (or transparently diachronically) a prefix.
- Sawyer transcribes a glottal stop at the beginning of words whose initial phoneme is a vowel. We omit this glottal stop, as it appears to us to be predictable.
- Sawyer uses / t / for a dental stop and / t / for an alveolar stop. Although Pullum and Ladusaw advise against the underdot notation (1996:246), we are keeping the distinction Sawyer makes so as to make our transcription more comparable to his. Otherwise unmarked / t / would be dental for Sawyer but alveolar for us, which we feel would be an unnecessary complication in view of the small amount of Wappo scholarship there is or is likely to be. For further discussion of this as an areal feature, see Mithun (1999:15).
- Sawyer's lexicon contains a number of words with long vowels. We were not able to hear this distinction, and Laura could not confirm that it existed. We have kept the length marking when citing examples from Sawyer (1965).

• Sawyer postulates two series of stops, plain and glottalized (another areal feature (Mithun 1999:19), but does not allow for aspirated stops. We would analyze what he transcribes as /ph/, /th/, and /kh/ as aspirated stops, and consider Wappo to have three series of stops, plain, aspirated, and glottalized.

Table 1-1. Sawyer's Phonemic Inventory

р	t	ţ	С	č	k	7	i	i:			u	u:
p'	ť'	ţ'	c'	č'	k'		е	e:			0	o:
m	n	I	W	у					а	a:		
m'	n'	<b>'</b>	w'	y'								
			S	Š		h						

# 2. WORD ORDER

Without extensive text-based analysis, it is difficult to draw definitive conclusions about word order in Wappo; nevertheless, based on a large amount of situation-oriented elicitation and a small number of texts, it is possible to give the broad outlines of Wappo word order.

Wappo is strongly, though not rigidly, a predicate-final language. Both in elicited and text data, verb-final clauses predominate, as is illustrated by the following example and throughout this grammar.<sup>1</sup>

(1) cephi ono?ši? okel haṭel - khi?

3SG:NOM Indian language learn - STAT

's/he's learning Indian language' (206)

Patient-initial sentences were often accepted, though seldom volunteered. Here are two such examples:

- (2) <u>ce ew</u> ce k'ew i t'um ta?

  DEM fish DEM man NOM buy PST

  'that fish, the man bought (it)' (44)
- (3) <u>ce hol</u> ah te k'eč' is ta lahkhi?

  DEM wood 1SG:NOM 3SG chop CAUS PST NEG

  'the wood, I didn't make him chop it' (110)

4

<sup>1.</sup> Our glossing conventions can be found on pp. xviii-xix. For further discussion of word order in Wappo, see Li et al. (1977). Throughout the grammar, numbers in the translation line refer to page numbers in our original field notes, and 'o' indicates an example constructed by us which was accepted by Laura. A number preceded by 'lts' indicates an example from Li et al. (1977), while a number preceded by 'j' indicates an example from Sawyer (1965).

5

Patient-initial order was also occasionally offered with yes-no questions, as in:

- (4) also illustrates a tendency for topical subjects to appear in clause-final position. Here are further examples of this:
  - (5) 7i?i, olol mi?, <u>ce k'ew i</u>

    yes dance DUR DEM man NOM

    'yes, (he) dances, that man' (128)
  - (6) cel' oni o pa? mi?, mul' i then everybody UOP - eat - DUR all - NOM

'then everybody eats, all our relatives eat' (text F, 305)

With complement clauses, particularly with first person subjects, the word order becomes considerably freer:

- (7) a. ah ce k'ew ew t'oh ta? hatis khi?

  1SG:NOM DEM man fish catch PST know STAT
  - b. ah haţis khi? ce k'ew ew ţ'oh ta?1SG:NOM know STAT DEM man fish catch PST
  - c. ce k'ew ew t'oh ta? ah hatis khi?

    DEM man fish catch PST 1SG:NOM know STAT

'I know that the man caught a fish' (lts86)

The verb-medial order seen in (7)b. was never offered or accepted in simple clauses, as illustrated by:

6 Word Order

(8) \* ah haṭis - khi? ce k'ew

1SG:NOM know - STAT DEM man

('I know the man') (lts86)

Question words were always placed in clause-initial position in volunteered utterances:

- (9) <u>ita</u> mi me? makina? i where 2SG - GEN car - NOM 'where is your car?' (160)
- (10) thal mi? pa? ukh hak' še? what 2SG:NOM eat INF want DUR 'what do you want to eat?' (lts87)

Wappo being a predicate-final language, modifiers within noun phrases would be expected to precede the head noun. While this is true for demonstratives and genitives, adjectives and numerals are most commonly placed after the noun (see also section 3.1):

- (11) <u>he</u> tonči

  DEM cat

  'this cat' (o)
- (12) <u>te me?</u> č'ešma 3SG - GEN bed 'his/her bed' (o)
- (13) cephi i thu hol čay'is te hes ta?

  3SG:NOM 1SG DAT stick bent DIR give PST

  's/he gave me a bent stick' (106)
- (14) <u>hinta hopoka</u> ah k'ešu mehlahi khi? day three 1SG:NOM deer hunt - STAT 'for three days, I was hunting' (389)

Within the verb phrase adverbs and oblique arguments, as expected, tend to precede the verb:

- (15) cephi <u>mena</u> k'u:wi: ya?

  3SG:NOM fast run DUR

  's/he runs fast' (50)
- (16) mi me? taka? i <u>ceta</u> koy'i khi? 2SG - GEN basket - NOM there sit - STAT 'your basket is over there' (337)
- (17) cephi <u>lewa</u> ma čo: khi? 3SG:NOM outside DIR - go - STAT 's/he went outside' (64)
- (18) ah kaphe <u>kawaču k'a</u> hak' še?

  1SG:NOM coffee sugar COM want DUR

  'I want coffee with sugar in it' (336)

# 3. THE NOUN PHRASE

### 3.1 Order of Elements

The noun phrase in Wappo consists minimally of a noun or pronoun and optional modifiers, as suggested by the following formula:

(1) (Dem) (Gen) 
$$\begin{cases} N \\ Pron \end{cases}$$
 (Numeral/Quantifier) (Adjective)

As suggested by (1), while numerals or quantifiers precede adjectives, any of these may precede or follow the noun.

Here are some examples illustrating the various combinatory possibilities:

- (2) ce k'ew

  DEM man

  'that man'
- (3) kahon pasakis

  box two

  'two boxes' (j3)
- (4) met'e le?a
  woman many
  'many women' (50)

- (5) chica hopok'a koto:mela bear three big:PL 'three big bears' (10)
- i hu1SG face'my face'
- (7) me me? chipe tu:niku?

  2SG GEN red dress

  'your red dress'

# 3.2 Associative Phrases

An associative phrase is formed by juxtaposing two nouns; the second noun is the head. A variety of semantic relationships are expressed this way, including inalienable possession (see section 3.3.7).

- (8) ah <u>ono?ši? okel</u> hak' še? 1SG:NOM Indian lang. like - DUR 'I like the Indian language' (32)
- (9) he?e? <u>somo? taka?</u>

  COP fruit basket

  'this is a fruit basket' (60)
- (10) oye? šukolo? i pico:we khi?

  pot bottom NOM dirty STAT

  'the bottom of the pot is dirty' (69)
- (11) ceko:t i <u>hol lamesa</u> ne? khi?

  3PL NOM wood table have STAT

  'they have a wooden table' (72)

Wappo is a rich case language. The morphologically unmarked case is the accusative; the other cases are marked by suffixes.

# 3.3.1 Nominative: -i<sup>2</sup>

The nominative is used for agents, initators, and experiencers of transitive verbs, and for the single argument of an intransitive verb, including an existential verb. We will henceforth refer to this set of NP roles as the "subject". There is some variation in the morphotactic changes resulting from the suffixation of the nominative marker.

Typically, if the noun stem ends in a consonant, suffixation of the  $-\underline{\mathbf{i}}$  involves no change of the stem:

pol'e? : pol'e?i <sup>3</sup> boy boys

However, if the noun stem ends in a vowel, this vowel is dropped or modified when the **-i** is added; from the data we have this appears to be lexically determined:

k'ešu : k'eši deer (sg.) deer (pl.)

<sup>1.</sup> For further discussion of case in Wappo, see Li and Thompson (1976).

<sup>2.</sup> In a few examples in our data, the nominative appears as -ti.

<sup>3.</sup> Our field notes recurrently record both **pole?i** and **pola?i** for 'boy-NOM'; as far as we can tell, they were interchangeable for Laura.

*3.3 Case* 11

met'e : met'a:yi woman women

- (13) ce <u>k'ew i</u> ce holo:wik'a t'a ta?

  DEM man NOM DEM snake kill PST

  'the man killed the snake' (11)
- (14) ce <u>pol'e? i</u> i hațis khi?

  DEM boy NOM 1SG know STAT

  'that boy knows me' (o)
- (15) <u>chic i</u> olah t'a? neh khi? bear - NOM four leg have - STAT '{ bears have } four legs' (45) the bear has}
- (16) mi me? tuni:ku? i eni:ya mey khi?

  2SG GEN dress NOM very water STAT

  'your dress is all wet' (51)
- (17) mey i tekiw' khi?

  water NOM flow STAT

  'the water is flowing' (160)
- (18) heta <u>hut' i</u> lakhi?

  here coyote NOM missing

  'there aren't any coyotes around here' (86)

# 3.3.2 Accusative: -Ø

The accusative, the unmarked case, is used for patients and other patient-like arguments of transitive verbs, for the single argument in an equational sentence, and for all subjects when they occur in dependent clauses (see chapter 6).

- (19) ah mult'a <u>šawo</u> pa? mi? 1SG:NOM all:the:time bread eat - DUR 'I eat bread all the time' (2)
- (20) ah ce <u>omehwiliš</u> natuy' si?

  1SG:NOM DEM story believe DUR

  'I believe the story' (27)
- (21) ce <u>k'ew</u> ce?e? i ek'a

  DEM man COP 1SG son

  'that man is my son' (8)
- (22) ah haṭis khi? ce pol'e? k'ena kh lah

  1SG:NOM know STAT DEM boy tall STAT NEG:DEP

  'I know that the boy isn't tall' (113)

### 3.3.3 Dative: **-thu**

The dative case suffix is used for recipients, and to indicate direction.

- (23) ce k'ew i <u>chica thu</u> ew ma hes ta?

  DEM man NOM bear DAT fish DIR give PST

  'the man gave the fish to the bear' (54)
- (24) ah i ek'a <u>i thu</u> okal'i h hinčoh ta?

  1SG:NOM 1SG son 1SG DAT talk DEP dream PST

  'I dreamed that my son was talking to me' (230)
- (25) chic i <u>i thu</u> te laha khi? bear - NOM 1SG - DAT DIR - come - STAT 'the bear is coming toward me' (75)

# 3.3.4 Benefactive: -ma

The benefactive case is used for the benefactee of an action, including the hearer with verbs of speaking:

*3.3 Case* 13

- (26) may ma mi? ce taka? mes ta?

  who BENEF 2SG:NOM DEM basket make PST

  'who did you make that basket for?' (341)
- (27) cephi <u>isa ma</u> o mehwil ta?

  3SG:NOM 1PL BENEF UOP tell PST

  's/he told us the story' (32)

The use of **-ma** in the following elicited example may be due to the influence of English.

(28) <u>kaphe - ma</u> ah mey k'o - ta?

coffee - BENEF 1SG:NOM water boil - PST

'I boiled water for coffee' (701)

## 3.3.5 Instrumental: -thi?

The instrumental is used with instruments:

- (29) cephi <u>kuči:ya thi?</u> chica thica thica thica sill PST she killed the bear with a knife of (17)
- (30) i ma <u>taka thi?</u> mel te phita te? 1SG - BENEF basket - INST acorn DIR - bring - IMP 'bring me a basket of acorns' (24)

and with intensive reflexives:

(31) ah <u>may' - thi?</u> kah - ši? 1SG:NOM REFL - INST hear - DUR 'I hear it myself' (57)

# 3.3.6 Comitative: -k'a

The comitative is the case of accompaniment:

- (32) ah <u>mi k'a</u> čo: si?

  1SG:NOM 2SG COM go FUT

  1'll go with you' (5)
- (33) ah <u>k'ešu k'a</u> chica mewi? ta?

  1SG:NOM deer COM bear catch PST

  'I caught a deer and a bear' (65)
- (34) ah keye? otay' ti? <u>ce k'a</u> olol ti?

  1SG:NOM can sing DUR DEM COM dance IMP

  'I can sing and dance' (199)

# 3.3.7 Genitive: **-me?**

The genitive suffix, as in many languages, is used only with expressions of alienable possession.

- (35) mi me? hel khutem i ma?a ha? hel ne? khi?

  2SG GEN fire oven NOM still Q fire have STAT 'does your fireplace still have a fire in it?' (73)
- (36) ah ce met'e ce <u>k'ew me?</u> k'ešu 1SG:NOM DEM woman DEM man GEN meat

'I made the woman eat the man's meat' (54)

(37) <u>i - me?</u> luč - i lakhi? 1SG - GEN tobacco - NOM missing 'I don't have any cigarettes' (62)

The suffixed form can be used as an NP, as expected:

*3.3 Case* 15

Inalienable possession is indicated by simple juxtaposition, which means that an inalienable possession phrase is indistinguishable from an associative phrase (see section 3.2 above). The inalienable possession construction is found with body parts, kin terms (except for **ek'a** 'son', and **ok'o:to** 'children' which inexplicably occur with either the suffixed or unsuffixed form), words for 'friend', and some (apparently important) material possessions, such as **čhuya** 'house':

- (39) <u>c'ic'a khap i</u> ke?te khi? bird wing - NOM broken - STAT 'the bird's wing is broken' (65)
- (40) <u>te phe? i</u> tuč'a khi? 3SG foot - NOM big - STAT 'his/her foot is big' (j41)
- (41) <u>i yawe</u> ah huhkal ta?

  1SG name 1SG:NOM remember PST

  'I remembered my name' (74)
- (42) cephi <u>me ew</u> č'a kek'i ya:mi?

  3SG:NOM 3SG husband DIR leave FUT

  'she's going to leave her husband' (705)

BUT:

Finally, here is a minimal pair illustrating the difference in interpretation between an alienably and an inalienably possessed noun:

(44) a. ah i - me? t'ol oh - co: - ta?   
 1SG:NOM 1SG - GEN hair CAUS - black - PST 
$$'I\ dyed\ my\ wig\ black'\ (27)$$

# 3.3.8 Locative

There are a large number of locative suffixes with specific locational senses. Here is a relatively exhaustive list of the locative suffixes we have found:

(45)	-cawoh	'on top of' (e.g., house)
	-čuthiwe:la	'downstream from / below'
	-hanwe:la	'behind'
	-helawe:la	'in front of'
	-hinawe:la	'across'
	-hupwe:la	'upstream from / above'
	-hušik'a	'beside'
	-nawe:la	'beside'
	-newe:la	'inside' (e.g., boat, basket)
	-ompi	'under'
	-pi	'away from'
	-piyah	'near'
	-temu	'on top of' (e.g., stove)
	-u	'on, in, by' (e.g., tree, table, ground, river)
	-uhpi	'off'
	-upi	'out of'

The following examples illustrate some of them:

*3.3 Case* 17

- (46) thal i <u>čhuya cawoh</u> te cewte khi? what - NOM house - on:top DIR - fall - STAT 'what fell on the roof?' (17)
- (47) is i kheye newe:la

  1PL NOM boat inside

  'we are in the boat' (39)
- (48) is i <u>čhuya helawe:la</u> te naw ta?

  1PL NOM house in:front 3SG see PST

  'we saw him in front of the house' (70)
- (49) cephi <u>mot'a pi</u> tule?a khi?

  3SG:NOM mountain from come STAT

  's/he came from the mountain' (97)
- (50) ce taka? i <u>hol ompi</u> yo? khi?

  DEM basket NOM tree under sit STAT

  'the basket is under the tree' (75)
- (51) mansa:na? i <u>lamesa uhpi</u> č'a polah khi?

  apple NOM table off DIR roll STAT

  'the apple rolled off the table' (195)
- (52) <u>puenta hupwela</u> mey i ela khi? bridge - upstream water - NOM deep - STAT 'upstream from the bridge the water is deep' (163)

The next example shows that a noun with a locative suffix can be used as a subject argument in a clause, taking its own nominative case suffix:

(53) <u>čhuya - helawe:l - i</u> pico:we - khi? house - front:of - NOM dirty - STAT 'the front of the house is dirty' (77)

# 3.3.9 Case in noun phrases

Unlike some case languages, in Wappo case suffixes only appear on the last word of a complex noun phrase.

- (54) a. <u>eču mey i</u> ela khi? river water - NOM deep - STAT
  - b. \*eč i mey ela khi? river - NOM water deep - STAT

'the river water is deep' (41)

(55) <u>ce k'ew hučew'iš - i</u> ew t'oh - ta?

DEM man happy - NOM fish catch - PST

'that happy guy caught the fish' (105)

If, however, that last word is one of the quantifiers <u>le?a</u> 'many' or <u>pina</u> 'few', then the case suffix must appear on the noun; these quantifiers do not take case:

- (56) <u>c'ic i le?a</u> ho: mi se? bird - NOM many around - fly - DUR 'many birds are flying around' (2)
- (57) mansa:na? i pina č'a?elš khi? taka? newe:la apple NOM few sit STAT basket inside 'there are a few apples in the basket' (j38)

In contrast, the quantifier **mul'** 'all' may take a case suffix:

*3.4 Number* 19

'all the boys are hungry' (52)

In the next example, either of the nominative case suffixes may appear, but not both, in accordance with the general rule specifying a single case marker per noun phrase given just above:

The reason for this difference between <u>le?a</u> 'many' and <u>pina</u> 'few', on the one hand, and <u>mul'</u> 'all', on the other, seems to be this: <u>le?a</u> 'many' and <u>pina</u> 'few' do not take case because they are actually adverbs, as illustrated for <u>le?a</u> in the following example:

<u>mul'</u> 'all', on the other hand, is a "true" quantifier with only an attributive role to play; the adverbial form of **mul'** is **mul'ta** 'all the time':

# 3.4 Number

Both nouns and adjectives show number; the singular is unmarked, and the plural morpheme is generally the suffix **-te**, though some adjectives have idiosyncratic

plural forms, such as <u>tuč'a</u> 'big', whose plural form is <u>koto:mela</u> (see also Sawyer 1991).

Both human and non-human nouns may be inflected for number, though non-human nouns often do not show plural marking even when the sense is plural. In the following examples, the human nouns in (62) and (63) are marked as plural, as is the non-human subject of (64), but (65) shows that a non-human subject need not be so marked:

- (62) <u>ce pol'e? te</u> ce?e? i ek'a ok'o:to

  DEM boy PL COP 1SG son children

  'these boys are my children' (214)
- (63) ce?e? ono?ši? te

  COP Indian PL

  'they are Indians' (73)
- (64) hol pel t i hotoka:la?

  tree leaf PL NOM wash:down

  'the leaves are washing down' (j117)
- (65) <u>luč i</u> taka? newe:la yo? khi? tobacco - NOM basket in exist - STAT 'the cigarettes are in the basket' (47)

Here are some other examples of non-human nouns with a plural sense and no plural marking:

- (66) mansa:na? i pina
  apple NOM few
  'a few apples' (j38)
- (67) cephi hol (-te) č'eph mi?

  3SG:NOM stick (-PL) bend DUR

  's/he is bending sticks' (208')

3.4 Number 21

The following minimal pair illustrates the difference between human and non-human nouns; plural marking is obligatory with the human noun and optional with the non-human one:

(69) ah 
$$\begin{cases} \text{met'e } *(-\text{te}) \\ \text{chica } (-\text{te}) \end{cases} \text{le?a naw - ta?}$$

$$1SG:NOM \begin{cases} \text{woman } *(-\text{PL}) \\ \text{bear } (-\text{PL}) \end{cases} \text{many see - PST}$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{T saw many} \begin{cases} \text{women } \\ \text{bears} \end{cases}$$

However, if there is an attributive adjective in the phrase, that adjective agrees in number with the head noun only if that noun is human. The following example shows that when the head noun is human, both the noun and the adjective are marked for plurality:

- b. \*met'e k'ena te woman tall PL
- c. \*met'e te k'ena woman - PL tall

The following examples show that when the head noun is not human, only the adjective takes the plural suffix:

Example (5) also illustrates this point; **chica** 'bear' appears in its singular form, but **koṭo:mela** 'big' is given in its plural form.

#### 3.5 Demonstratives

Wappo has only two demonstratives, **he** for proximate and **ce** for distal.

As in many other languages, the distal demonstrative can be used for definiteness, where neither distance nor pointing is indicated, as in:

# 3.6 Conjoined NPs

The comitative morpheme -**<u>k'a</u>** 'and' can (but need not) be used to conjoin NPs, as in (33) and:

(75) he k'a ce this and that 'this and that' (JS3)

Sawyer (1965:106) suggests that the suffix -k'a means 'together' and can be found in **hopak'a** 'both' (from **hopa** 'two', 'each other').

The morpheme **he** 'or' signals phrasal disjunction.

- (76) čhuya nan <u>he</u> wentana, thal i la? ke?te khi? house - mouth or window what - NOM EPIST break - STAT 'either the door or the window got broken, I don't know which' (33)
- (77) ah <u>he</u> Charlene i mi me? kaphe? 1SG:NOM or Charlene - NOM 2SG - GEN coffee

(78) ah winu uk' - iš - lahkhi? <u>he</u> meycoc 1SG:NOM wine drink - DUR - NEG or foam:water

'I don't drink wine or soda' (703)

#### 3.7 Quantifiers

Quantifiers generally follow the head noun, as suggested in the order chart shown in (1) at the beginning of chapter 3, but they may also precede:

(79) a. kašic' - t - i <u>mul' - i</u> ohak' - še? boy - PL - NOM all - NOM hungry - DUR 'all the boys are hungry' (52)

- b. mul' i kašic' t i ohak' še?

  all NOM boy PL NOM hungry DUR

  'all the boys are hungry' (52)
- (80) met'e t i <u>le?a</u> i thu nat'o?ah khi? woman - PL - NOM many 1SG - DAT came - STAT 'many women came to my house' [lit., 'to me'] (50)
- (81) mansa:na? i peras i mul' i pot'i khi?

  apple NOM pear NOM all NOM ripe STAT

  'the apple and the pear are both ripe' (58)
- (82) mansa:na? i <u>pina</u> č'a?elš khi? taka? newe:la apple NOM few sit STAT basket in 'There are a few apples in the basket' (j38)
- (83) ah <u>le?a</u> le:če uk' ši?

  1SG:NOM much milk drink DUR

  'I drink lots of milk' (73)

The quantifier with an object may float to the beginning of the sentence; our only examples of this are with a first person singular pronoun:

- (84) pina ah k'ew ta koṭo:mela haṭis khi?

  few 1SG:NOM man PL big:PL know STAT

  'I know a few big guys' (205)
- (85) <u>le?a</u> ah uh pesu masometis ta? much 1SG:NOM already money spend - PST 'I've spent too much money already' (206)

#### 3.8 Non-referential Noun Phrases

Non-referential noun phrases may not occur with demonstratives, as expected, but they do take case suffixes. Also as expected, since a distinction between singular and plural is relevant only for referential nouns, non-referential nouns never take number marking:

*3.9 Pronouns* 25

- (86) he oyi? ce?e? k'ešu k'o? e:ma

  DEM pot COP meat cook PURP

  'this pot is for cooking meat' (60)
- (87) mi? <u>ew</u> hak' še?, ah ona?

  2SG:NOM fish like DUR 1SG:NOM also
  'you like fish and so do I' (33)
- (88) i me? tuni:ku? i <u>alina</u> hucah khi? 1SG - GEN dress - NOM flower full:of - STAT 'my dress has flowers all over it' (45)
- (89) <u>ec' i</u> hophihan t'a? ne? khi? spider - NOM eight leg have - STAT 'spiders have eight legs' (43)

#### 3.9 Pronouns

# 3.9.1 Personal pronouns

Pronouns show the case forms given in Table 3-1. The other cases are formed by adding the appropriate suffix to the unmarked (accusative) root (further discussion can be found in Sawyer 1991).

Table 3-1. Case Forms for Personal Pronouns

	1st		2nd		3rd	
	sg	pl	sg	pl	sg	pl
Nominative	ah	isi	mi?	misi	(distal) <b>cephi</b>	(distal) <b>ceko:ti</b>
					(proximal) hephi	(proximal) heko:ti
Unmarked (Accusative)	i	isa	mi	misa	te	(distal) ceko:to (proximal) heko:to

Examples of these forms can be found throughout this grammar.

# 3.9.2 Reflexive and reciprocal pronouns

The Wappo reflexive pronoun is **may'**, and the reciprocal pronoun is **hopha**. For examples and discussion, see section 4.12.

# 3.9.3 The third-person co-referential pronoun

In addition to third-person pronouns and reflexive and reciprocal morphemes, Wappo has a third-person co-referential pronoun (3CO), **me** (plural **mesa**).<sup>4</sup> It is used to show that two third-person referents are the same when the first of them is the subject. It can be found in simplex sentences with non-body-part genitives as well as in complex sentences with dependent, but not coordinate, clauses.

#### A. Non-body-part genitives

As an example of 3CO in simplex sentences with non-body-part genitives, consider (90):

Example (90) contrasts with (91), where the referents are co-referential, but are first person instead of third person, and the second occurrence of the co-referential pronoun is the reflexive:

Example (90) also contrasts with (92), where the third-person referents are not coreferential; here the appropriate personal pronoun is used instead of 3CO:

Finally, note that example (90) also contrasts with (93), where there are two third-person referents, but the non-initial one is not a possessor.

<sup>4.</sup> For further discussion of 3CO, including cross-linguistic comparisons, see Li and Thompson (1993).

*3.9 Pronouns* 27

(93) cephi <u>may'</u> - piya? holowik'a naw - ta?

3SG:NOM REFL - near snake see - PST

's/he saw a snake near him/herself' (53)

Here are further examples of 3CO with non-body-part genitives:

- (94) nom khi? khon' pola? i me me? on k'a live STAT EVID boy NOM 3CO GEN people COM 'there lived, they say, a boy; with his; people' (Text E, 295)
- (95) ce k'ew i me me? kapote č'a welis ta?

  DEM man NOM 3CO GEN coat DIR take:off PST

  'the man; took off his; coat' (78)
- (96) cel' nete? met'a i <u>me</u> ek'a:pi thu then mole - woman - NOM 3CO daughter - DAT

cew - is - ta? ... ask - CAUS - PST

'then the mole-woman asked her daughter ...' (Bear Woman, 14)

# B. Complex sentences with dependent clauses

3CO is also used in complex sentences with dependent clauses. Although in some examples, it appears that 3CO might be marking 'switch-reference', several pieces of evidence work against this analysis. First, as seen in (90) - (96), it is used in non-complex sentences. Second, as seen in several examples below, it is used with embedded clauses, where a 'switch-reference' analysis is less well-justified, as well as with adverbial clauses. Third, as illustrated below, it is not used when the two clauses are in a conjoined or non-dependent relation with each other.

We thus conclude that, although switch-reference systems have been argued for in numerous native North American languages, including those in close areal proximity with Wappo (Mithun 1999:4.7.2), our data suggest that that is not the primary function of 3CO.

In the following examples, square brackets indicate the boundaries of the dependent clause:

- (97) cephi [ me k'ešu mewi?i wis ] natuy' si?

  3SG:NOM 3CO deer catch DEP:FUT believe DUR

  's/he; believes that s/he; will catch the deer' (27)
- (98) thal yoh cephi [ me hak' še ] hah ši? what CONTR 3SG:NOM 3CO want DUR say DUR 'what does s/he; say s/he; wants?' (18)
- (99) cephi [ me okal' ih mahwewela? ] haṭis 3SG:NOM 3CO speak DUR:DEP COMP know

choy' - mi? write - DUR

's/he<sub>i</sub> can write better than s/he<sub>i</sub> can speak' (28)

(100) cephi [ me k'ešu pa? - e cel' ] uwa 3SG:NOM 3CO meat eat - HYP COND bad

pihkahlik - si?

feel - FUT

'if s/he<sub>i</sub> eats that meat, s/he<sub>i</sub>'ll feel bad' (35)

(101) ceko:t - i [ mesa o - pa? - ta šu?u]

3PL - NOM 3CO UOP - eat - PST after

hinwey?a - khi?

sleep - STAT

'when they<sub>i</sub> had finished eating, they<sub>i</sub> slept' (47)

*3.9 Pronouns* 29

(102) kota ma?a ce šu?u čho?el - khi? [ me ce hopilaka but just DEM after die - STAT 3CO DEM quarter

masomi - tis - ta ] wen spend - CAUS - PST because

'but after that  $he_i$  just died because  $he_i$  had spent that quarter' (Text A, 185)

(103) cephi i peh - še - lahkhi? [ i <u>me</u> - thu 3SG:NOM 1SG look:at - DUR - NEG 1SG 3CO - DAT

okal'te cel' ] talk COND

's/he<sub>i</sub> doesn't look at me when I talk to him/her<sub>i</sub>' (286)

(104) cephi [ me šawo mes - tah ] pa? - ta?

3SG:NOM 3CO bread make - PST:DUR eat - PST

's/he, ate the bread s/he, made' (52)

The preceding examples all illustrate 3CO following its co-referent nominal, but the following examples show that 3CO can precede its co-referent nominal in complex sentences:

- (105) [ me k'ešu pa? e cel' ] cephi uwa pihkah se?

  3CO meat eat HYP COND 3SG:NOM bad feel DUR

  'whenever s/he; eats meat s/he; feels bad' (35)
- (106) [chica me mewi? i cel'] kota ma?a cephi bear 3CO catch HYP COND but still 3SG:NOM

ce pahčhoţik - lahkhi?
DEM fear:HYP - NEG

'even if a bear caught him/her<sub>i</sub>, s/he<sub>i</sub> still wouldn't be afraid' (36)

Examples (107) and (108) show that 3CO is not used in a complex sentence when the two clauses are in a coordinate or non-dependent relation with one another:

(107) cephi i peh - khi? wey 
$$\left\{\frac{\text{(cephi)}}{\text{(*me)}}\right\}$$
 kat'a - khi?

3SG:NOM 1SG look - STAT and 
$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 3\text{SG:NOM} \\ 3\text{CO} \end{array}\right\}$$
 laugh - STAT

's/he<sub>i</sub> looked at me and s/he<sub>i</sub> laughed' (51)

(108) wey uči ola šu?u meh - yok'el - khi?, cel' (\*me) cew and night four after up - sit - STAT then 3CO there

'and after four nights he got up, and then went swimming in the river there' (Text A:182)

Finally, 3CO appears to be possible only if the main clause referent is the subject of the (main) clause:

(109) ah [ 
$$\left\{\frac{\underline{te}}{(*\underline{me})}\right\}$$
 uwa pihkah - se ]  $\left\{\frac{\underline{te}}{(*\underline{me})}\right\}$  - thu 1SG:NOM  $\left\{3SG\atop3CO\right\}$  bad feel - DUR:DEP  $\left\{3SG\atop3CO\right\}$  - DAT cews - ta?

'I asked him/her; if s/he; was feeling bad' (19)

In (109), since the initial third-person referent is not the subject of its clause, the second mention of this third person cannot be done with 3CO. Similarly, in (110), since the initial third-person referent is a genitive modifier of the subject, but not the subject, this third person cannot be referred to by 3CO.

(110) 
$$\underline{\text{te}}$$
 - me? na? - i eniya  $\left\{ \underline{\text{te}} \right\}$  huk'aš - e?  $\left\{ (*\underline{\text{me}}) \right\}$ 

3SG - GEN mother - NOM very 
$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} 3SG \\ 3CO \end{array}\right\}$$
 adore - DUR

'his/her $_i$  mother really adores him/her $_i$ ' (71)

# 4. THE VERB PHRASE

Wappo is relatively rich in inflectional and derivational verbal morphology (see Sawyer 1991 for some discussion of Wappo verb morphology). While the forms of many of the inflectional categories differ according the class of verb, the categories themselves are relatively clear. In the following sections, we will discuss the functions and uses of the various parts of the verb phrase without regard to their form, while in section 4.3, we will present the verb classes and the effect they have on the forms of the inflectional morphemes.

# 4.1 Tense and Aspect

Wappo has five tense/aspect categories:

- DUR (habitual/progressive)
- STAT (stative)
- PST (past for actions)
- INCH (inchoative)
- FUT (future)

#### 4.1.1 Habitual/progressive [= DUR]

What we are glossing DUR (for 'durative') in this grammar is the inflectional category expressing habitual or progressive actions. There are 13 different forms in which the durative suffix may occur; it is the form of this inflectional category that primarily determines which verb class a root belongs to, as we will show later in this chapter (section 4.3.1). Because both DUR and STAT can express what Comrie (1976) identifies as present, we do not use this label. Here are examples illustrating the use of the DUR suffix:

#### A. Habitual use

(1) ah mul'ta šawo <u>pa? - mi?</u>

1SG:NOM all:the:time bread eat - DUR

'I eat bread all the time' (2)

- (2) ?i?i, cephi luče <u>po? mi?</u>
  yes 3SG:NOM cig. smoke DUR
  'yes, s/he smokes' (3)
- (3) ah yekhe <u>k'el i?</u>

  1SG:NOM acorn:mush lick DUR

  'I eat acorn mush' (17)
- (4) cel' ah šawo tac' mi? then 1SG:NOM bread flat - DUR 'then I flatten the bread' (116)

# B. Progressive use

- (5) le?a mi? <u>okal' i?</u>
  much 2SG:NOM talk DUR
  'you are talking too much' (j67)
- (6) he? ah <u>otay' mi?</u>
  now 1SG:NOM sing DUR
  'now I'm singing' (77)
- (7) hel i <u>šuţi: ši?</u>
  fire NOM go:out DUR
  'the fire is going out' (82)
- (8) cephi i <u>hukal še?</u>

  3SG:NOM 1SG think DUR

  's/he's thinking of me' (117)

# 4.1.2 Stative [= STAT; **-khi?**]

The form of the stative category is invariant: it is -khi? everywhere. Essentially (with some exceptions to be noted below), it is found with intransitive main clause predicates and indicates the existence of a state, either a simple state (as with property predicates) or states having been arrived at (i.e., resultant states):

# A. Simple states

- (9) i me? i <u>husoha khi?</u>

  1SG hand NOM tired STAT

  'my hand is tired' (12)
- (10) mey i <u>šoy'i:ya: khi?</u>

  water NOM hot STAT

  'the water is hot' (23)
- (11) še? ti eniya <u>c'iti khali khi</u>? he hinta wind NOM very bone like STAT DEM day 'the wind is strong today' (27)
- (12) lel i ceta wil khi?

  rock NOM there sit STAT

  'the rock is over there' (337)
- (13) c'ic' i č'ep'iš <u>nahwelis khi</u>?

  bird NOM worm hold:in:mouth STAT

  'the bird is holding the worm in its mouth' (203)

#### B. Resultant states

- (14) i me? hel i <u>šuţi: khi</u>?

  1SG GEN fire NOM go:out STAT

  'my fire has gone out' (277)
- (15) ah <u>yomto? iš khi</u>?

  1SG:NOM doctor INCH STAT *Tve become a doctor' (71)*
- (16) <u>lo? eš khi?</u> damp - INCH - STAT '(it) got damp' (374)

- (17) ah te čhuya h uh <u>čo: khi</u>?

  1SG:NOM 3SG house LOC already go STAT

  'I've already been to his/her house' (502)
- (18) cephi monah khi?

  3SG:NOM hide STAT

  's/he's hiding [i.e., has hidden]' (371)
- (19) i me? c'ic' i <u>čho?el khi?</u>

  1SG GEN bird NOM die STAT

  'my bird has died' (88)

A subcategory of "resultant state" usage is that in which -khi? expresses having arrived at a certain position or location:

- (20) ah pawata? <u>te hew'i khi?</u>

  1SG:NOM once DIR jump STAT

  'I jumped down once' (44)
- (21) ah čhuya <u>ma kuyel khi?</u>

  1SG:NOM house DIR go STAT

  'I went into the house'
- (22) ceta kayeta <u>ma t'um'i khi?</u>
  there crackers DIR go:buy STAT
  '(he) went there to buy crackers' (Text B, 185)
- (23) met'e t i me?a i thu <u>nat'o?ah khi?</u>
  woman PL NOM many 1SG DAT come STAT
  'many women came to my house [lit., 'to me']' (50)
- (24) cephi <u>te piyola khi?</u>
  3SG:NOM DIR sneak STAT
  's/he sneaked in' (333)

It is no accident that the verbs **ne?khi?** 'have' and **lahkhi?** 'lack' must occur with the stative suffix:

- (25) cephi cey' nokh le?a <u>ne? khi?</u>
  3SG:NOM long:ago friend many have STAT
  's/he used to have a lot of friends' (506)
- (26) hol pel i <u>lah khi?</u> tree leaf - NOM missing - STAT 'the tree has no leaves' (64)

In fact <u>lahkhi?</u> 'lack' is the negative morpheme itself, found with all negative main clause predicates:

- (27) ah <u>olol o lah khi?</u>

  1SG:NOM dance EP lack STAT

  'I'm not dancing' (89)
- (28) ce k'ew i eniya <u>k'ena kh lah khi?</u>

  DEM man NOM very tall STAT lack STAT 'that man isn't very tall' (110)
- (29) ah te <u>haṭasu kh lah khi?</u>

  1SG:NOM 3SG know STAT lack STAT

  'I don't know him/her' (o)

From here on we will simply gloss the negative morpheme -lahkhi? as NEG. That this negative morpheme is a suffix rather than a main verb of negation is indicated by the fact that many verbs undergo internal changes upon the addition of this negative morpheme. The form haṭasu - kh - lah - khi? 'don't know' in (29) is a case in point: the affirmative form of this verb is haṭis - khi? 'know-STAT', but with the addition of the negative suffix, the second and third stem vowels change, and the -khi? reduces to -kh. This is very common, though the changes differ from one verb class to another (see section 4.3 below; from here on, we will not gloss the epenthetic segments separately as EP). (See section 4.7 for further discussion of negation.)

Similarly, it is not surprising that numeral and quantifier roots, when used as predicates, take the stative **-khi?** suffix, as in:

- (30) ceta lakhu ši?ay i <u>le?a khi?</u>
  there CLAR grass NOM much STAT
  'over there is a lot of grass' [lit., 'over there the grass is much'] (337)
- (31) ec'e t'a? i <u>hophihan khi?</u>
  spider leg NOM eight STAT
  'spiders have eight legs' [lit., 'spiders, legs are eight'] (43)

Minimal and near-minimal contrasts between the durative suffix and the stative suffix help to illustrate the functional differences between them:

- (32) a. kayi:na? i <u>nale? ša?</u>

  chicken NOM angry DUR

  'the chicken is behaving angrily' (8)
  - b. kayi:na? i <u>nale? iš khi?</u>
    chicken NOM angry become STAT
    'the chicken has gotten angry' (8)
- (33) a. hin i <u>ma muyel se?</u>
  sun NOM DIR go:down DUR
  'the sun is setting' (369)
  - b. hin i <u>ma muyel khi?</u>
    sun NOM DIR go:down STAT
    'the sun has set' (369)
- (34) a. cephi <u>k'u:wi: ya?</u>

  3SG:NOM run DUR

  's/he's running' (o)

- b. cephi <u>k'uwey khi?</u>

  3SG:NOM run STAT

  's/he arrived (somewhere) by running' (369)
- (35) a. c'ic'a t i <u>ho yok'a: la?</u>
  bird PL NOM DIR fly DUR
  'the birds are flying around' (369)
  - b. sumi cic'a t i ho yok'el khi?

    yesterday bird PL NOM DIR fly STAT

    'yesterday the birds were flying around [but have now roosted]'

    (13, 369)
- (36) a. cephi ew mehlah ši?

  3SG:NOM fish catch DUR

  's/he's fishing' (370)
  - b. cephi ew mehlahi khi?

    3SG:NOM fish catch STAT

    's/he's gone fishing' (370)
- (37) a. thal i te cew še?

  what NOM DIR fall DUR

  'something is falling (toward me)' (370)
  - b. thal i te cewte khi?

    what NOM DIR fall STAT

    'something fell down (toward me)' (370)
- (38) a. om i <u>chach ša?</u>

  everywhere NOM cold DUR

  'it's getting cold (outside)' (172)
  - b. om i <u>chach khi?</u>

    everywhere NOM cold STAT

    'it's cold (outside)' (172)

- (39) a. ah ek'a <u>pihšay'i ya?</u>

  1SG:NOM baby hold:in:arms DUR

  'I am carrying the baby' (366)
  - b. ah ek'a <u>pihšay'is khi?</u>
     1SG:NOM baby hold:in:arms STAT
     'I am holding the baby' (366)
- (40) a. cephi <u>hincați: se?</u>

  3SG:NOM wake:up DUR

  's/he's waking up' (374)
  - b. cephi <u>hincaţel khi?</u>

    3SG:NOM wake:up STAT

    's/he's awake'

It should be noted that there is a certain amount of idiosyncracy; there are instances in which stative meanings are expressed, not with a stative suffix, as expected, but with a durative suffix:

- (41) he hinta ah uwa pihkah se?

  DEM day 1SG:NOM bad feel DUR

  'today I feel bad' (36)
- (42) i t'a? i <u>kali ša?</u>
  1SG leg NOM hurt DUR
  'my leg hurts' (36)
- (43) ce k'eš i le?a ohca? še?

  DEM deer NOM much weigh DUR

  'this deer weighs a lot' (234)
- (44) ah mi <u>hak' še?</u>
  1SG:NOM 2SG like DUR
  'I like you' (o)

And there are also rare instances of verbs with which a durative meaning occurs with a stative suffix:

(45) ah mansa:na? <u>lu - khi?</u>

1SG:NOM apple pick - STAT

'I am picking apples' (357d)

Finally, there seem to be some non-action verbs which cannot occur with the past suffix, so express past time meanings with the stative suffix, such as **kat'a**- 'laugh':

(46) te i pehuk wen, ah <u>kat'ah - khi?</u>

3SG 1SG look when 1SG:NOM laugh - STAT 'when s/he looked at me, I laughed' (51)

# 4.1.3 Past for actions [ = PST; -ta?]

What we are glossing PST is restricted to transitive actions performed in the past, as well as intransitive actions performed in the past which do not result in identifiable states.

#### A. Transitive verbs

- (47) is i kuči:ya thi? chica <u>t'oh ta?</u>

  1PL NOM knife INST bear kill PST 'we killed the bear with a knife' (6)
- (48) ah omehwiliš mehwil ta?

  1SG:NOM story tell PST

  'I told the story' (44)
- (49) ah hol koṭo:mela <u>te k'eč' ta?</u>

  1SG:NOM tree big:PL DIR chop PST

  'I chopped down the big trees' (49)
- (50) ah te kat'a <u>čuţi: ta?</u>

  1SG:NOM 3SG laugh:INF order PST

  'I told him/her to laugh' (99)

- (51) ah <u>čuteh ta?</u> te thal hak' še?

  1SG:NOM forget PST 3SG what like DUR

  'I forgot what s/he likes' (167)
- (52) may mi? naw ta?
  who 2SG:NOM see PST
  'who did you see?' (23)
- (53) ah le?a mey ocow <u>el ta?</u>

  1SG:NOM many water root dig PST

  'I dug lots of swamp-roots' (191)
- B. Intransitive verbs with no resulting state
  - (54) hay i ho? ta? dog - NOM bark - PST 'the dog barked' (324)
  - (55) cephi pulu:mek' ta?

    3SG:NOM run:away PST

    's/he ran away' (130)
  - yesterday is i olol ta?

    yesterday 1PL NOM dance PST

    'yesterday we danced' (o)

The contrast between past intransitive actions with resultant states, which are marked with the stative suffix -khi?, and past intransitive actions without resultant states, which are marked with the past suffix -ta?, is striking; all of the examples (14) through (24) above were reports of past actions in which the subject had arrived at some identifiable state upon the completion of the action, while examples (54) through (56) reported past actions from which no discernible state for the subject could be said to have resulted. The data make it clear that it is resultant state and

<sup>1.</sup> Interestingly, reports of past actions without a resulting state seem to be cross-linguistically relatively rarely used in ordinary discourse; it seems that people tend to associate pastness with "closure".

not, say, punctuality, that determines whether it will be -khi? or -ta? that will be used to report a past time intransitive event; for example, because the wine in the next example is in the state of being spilled after the event, the stative -khi? is appropriate:

But in (58), there is no identifiable state that results from stopping dancing, so the past suffix -ta? is appropriate:

Again, there are a small number of idiosyncrasies; we have found a few instances of verbs which ought to occur with the past suffix but don't:

And we have a few examples in which the past suffix occurs, but without a clear past meaning:

Compare:

While most of these examples with PST express punctual perfective meanings, there is much evidence in our materials that PST is not perfective. Here are two such examples:

Finally, we note that there is tense variation in our narratives; more data would be needed to generalize.

# 4.1.4 Inchoative [ = INCH; -iš / -eš]

The inchoative suffix is used to indicate coming into a state; for example, compare:

with:

Or compare:

with:

(67) ah <u>yomto? - iš - khi?</u>

1SG:NOM doctor - INCH - STAT

'I've become a doctor' (71)

Here are some further illustrative minimal pairs:

- (68) a. taka? i <u>chipiholey khi?</u>

  basket NOM rusty STAT

  'the pan is rusty' (211)
  - b. taka? i <u>chipihol iš khi?</u>
    basket NOM rusty INCH STAT
    'the pan got rusty' (211)
- (69) a. he pol'e? i <u>k'ena khi?</u>

  DEM boy NOM tall STAT

  'this boy is tall' (211)
  - b. he pol'e? i <u>k'en iš khi?</u>

    DEM boy NOM tall INCH STAT

    'this boy got tall' (211)
- (70) a. om i <u>šoy'i:ya: khi?</u>

  everywhere NOM hot STAT

  'it's hot' (211)
  - b. om i <u>šoy' iš khi?</u>

    everywhere NOM hot INCH STAT

    'it got hot' (211)
- (71) a. cephi <u>lo?e khi?</u>

  3SG:NOM damp STAT

  'it's damp' (212)

However, while -is-before -khi? always signals inchoative meaning, there are rare cases in which it is quite possible to express inchoativeness without this suffix. Here are some examples:

- (72) ce taka? i <u>wiši khi?</u>

  DEM basket NOM dry STAT

  'the basket is/got dry' (211)
- (73) <u>šičhel khi?</u> wet - STAT 'it is/got wet' (212)
- (74) šaw i <u>nasephel khi?</u>
  bread NOM flat STAT
  'the bread got flat' (109)
- (75) ah <u>pahčhoţi khi?</u>

  1SG:NOM scared STAT

  'I got scared' (118)

# 4.1.5 Future [ = FUT; -ya:mi? and -si?]

There are two suffixes expressing future actions and intentions. Since futurity is so closely related to intentionality and desire (Bybee and Pagliuca 1987, Bybee et al. 1994), we will not attempt to determine whether these suffixes are "tense" markers or "mood" markers, and will simply gloss them both as FUT for 'future'. The difference between them is summarized in the following chart:

Table 4-1. Future Suffixes Compared

-<u>ya:mi?</u> -<u>si?</u>

more certain less certain, intentional

predicted event possible event

corresponds to 'going to' corresponds to 'let's'

corresponds to 'might' used in consequent of

conditionals (see section 6.5.3)

Thus, of the two future suffixes, -ya:mi? is the one used in contexts where the speaker is more certain about the future event, while -si? is found in contexts in which the speaker is less certain about the imagined event.

# A. -ya:mi?, more certain

- (76) cephi <u>oci:te ya:mi?</u>

  3SG:NOM give:birth FUT

  'she is going to have a baby' (72)
- (77) ah <u>ot'il'i ya:mi?</u>

  1SG:NOM wrap:around FUT

  'I'm going to wrap it around and around (as in making a basket)' (196)
- (78) ah te <u>ewis ya:mi?</u>

  1SG:NOM 3SG marry FUT

  'I'm going to marry him' (j62)
- (79) ah <u>hintolik ya:mi?</u>

  1SG:NOM go:to:sleep FUT

  'I'm going to go to sleep' (329)
- (80) ah mi <u>o šay'i ya:mi?</u>

  1SG:NOM 2SG UOP pay FUT

  'I'm going to pay you' (205)

# B. **-si?**, less certain

- (81) ma?a mi? thal mes ta? ah <u>pa?e si?</u>

  just 2SG:NOM what make PST 1SG:NOM eat FUT

  'I'll just eat whatever you cooked' (261)
- (82) isa čo: cel' ceko:t i isa <u>kat'ah si?</u>

  1PL leave COND 3PL NOM 1PL laugh FUT

  'when we leave they'll laugh at us' (38)
- (83) hopa k'a isi <u>mesi si?</u>
  two COM 1PL:NOM make FUT
  'let's do it together' (117)
- (84) om i <u>makha? si?</u> huka:hiye everywhere NOM rain FUT maybe 'it might rain' (37)
- (85) mi? may' ohk'eč'e si?

  2PL:NOM REFL cut FUT

  '[be careful -] you'll cut yourself' (362)
- (86) ah mi? <u>t'o si?</u>

  1SG:NOM 2SG spank FUT

  'I'll spank you' (257)
- (87) cephi k'ešu mewi?i si?

  3SG:NOM deer catch FUT

  's/he can catch the deer' (27)
- (88) mi tule?a cel' isi winu uk'i si?

  2SG come COND 1PL:NOM wine drink FUT

  'if you come over we'll drink wine' (357d)

# 4.2 Paradigms

In this section, we give a sample of verb paradigms, to illustrate the range of inflections verbs may take. These paradigms also illustrate nicely the patterns in verb stem changes that characterize Wappo verb inflection, which is discussed in the next section. We omit the causative, whose paradigm is presented in section 6.3.1.

Most of the suffixes are discussed in this grammar. The generalizations we can make about verb paradigms, based on a database of 236 verbs, are these:

- All verb roots seem to be able to appear in at least two forms, an 'unmarked' form, and a semantically motivated 'marked' form. Each of these two forms is used with a variety of suffixes, which are predictable for the most part:
  - Form A, the unmarked form, is the basis for the durative, past, present tense and past tense negatives, infinitive, causative, purposive, and passive forms.
  - Form B, the future form, is the basis for the future, stative, imperative forms. It also occurs in the negative future, the negative imperative, and the dependent future forms.
  - There are several systematic exceptions to this distribution of A and B forms, which will be discussed in section 4.3.
- The exact form of the A and B forms of a particular verb is determined largely by the semantic verb class the verb belongs to. This will be discussed in detail in section 4.3.
- For some verbs, there is a third form, form C, typically occurring with dependent verb forms.
- If both the stem-final segment and suffix-initial segment are vowels, one of them is deleted, typically the second vowel (i.e., the initial vowel of the suffix).

• All non-dependent verb forms end with a glottal stop. If the verb ends with a non-fricative consonant, the consonant is glottalized.

# mešik'el - ø > mešik'el' breathe(B form) - IMP breathe:IMP

Here we show three verb paradigms for illustration. These paradigms also show some of the systematic or idiosyncratic exceptions to the generalizations stated above; we will return to a more detailed discussion of these paradigms in section 4.3. A more extensive set of paradigms can be found in the Appendix.

First is the paradigm for the intransitive action root <u>olol</u>- 'dance'. Note that <u>olol</u>- does not occur with the stative suffix, though many verbs of action do occur both with the durative and the stative suffix with a slight difference in meaning (see section 4.1.2). The root **olol**- has the following forms:

- Form A: the unmarked form <u>olol</u>-, found with the durative, past, past tense negative, affirmative imperative, infinitive, purposive, and the suffixes -<u>mime?</u> and -<u>miti?</u>. There is also a variant <u>ololo-</u>, which occurs in the present tense negative only.
- Form B: the future form **ololih**-, found in the future tenses, the negative future tenses, the dependent future tenses, and the negative imperative.
- Form C: the dependent form <u>ololoh</u>-, found only in the non-future dependent tenses

Next we consider the paradigm for the transitive action verb root <u>hic-</u> 'pound to make flour'. Like <u>olol-</u> above, <u>hic-</u> does not occur with the stative suffix. The forms for hic- are:

- Form A: the unmarked form <u>hic-</u>, found with the infinitive and purposive suffixes. Form A also occurs in a variant form <u>hicu-</u>, found with the durative, present tense negative, passive, and the suffixes <u>-mime?</u> and <u>-miti?</u>, and another variant form <u>hici-</u> (which happens to be identical to form B), found with the past and past tense negative.
- Form B: the future form <u>hici</u>-, found in the future tenses, negative future tenses, imperatives, and the dependent future tenses.
- Form C: **hicih-** and **hicuh-**, found with some dependent tenses.

Table 4-2. Paradigm for **olol-** 'dance'

	olol- 'dance'
DUR	olol - mi?
PAST	olol - ta?
FUT1	ololih - ya:mi?
FUT2	ololih - si?
NEG	ololo - lahkhi?
NEG:PST	olol - ta - lahkhi?
NEG:FUT1	ololih - yawlahki?
NEG:FUT2	ololih - lahkhusi?
IMP	olol - ti?
NEG:IMP	ololih - lahkhi?
INF	olol – ukh
PASS	N/A
PURP	olol - e:ma
-mime? ('go out and X')	olol – mime?
- <u>miti?</u> ('go do X')	olol - miti?
DUR:DEP	ololoh
PST:DEP	ololoh - tah
FUT1:DEP	ololih - yaw
FUT2:DEP	ololih - wis

Table 4-3. Paradigm for **hic-** 'pound to make flour'

	hic- 'pound to make flour'
DUR	hicu - mi?
PAST	hici - ta?
FUT1	hici - ya:mi?
FUT2	hici - si?
NEG	hicu - lahkhi?
NEG:PST	hici - ta - lahkhi?
NEG:FUT1	hici - yawlahkhi?
NEG:FUT2	hici - lahkhusi?
IMP	hici - ti?
NEG:IMP	hici - lahkhi?
INF	hic – ukh
PASS	hicu – khe?
PURP	hic – e:ma
-mime? ('go out and X')	hicu - mime?
- <u>miti?</u> ('go do X')	hicu - miti?
DUR:DEP	hicih
PST:DEP	hicuh - tah
FUT1:DEP	hici - yaw
FUT2:DEP	hici – wis

Table 4-4. Paradigm for hinto- 'sleep'

	<u>hinto</u> - 'sleep'
STAT	hinto - khi?
FUT1	hintolik - ya:mi?
FUT2	hintolik - si?
NEG	hinto - khi - lahkhi?
NEG:PST	hinto - khi - lahkhi?
NEG:FUT1	hintolik - yawlahkhi?
NEG:FUT2	hintolik - lahkhusi?
IMP	hinto - la?
NEG:IMP	hintolik - lahkhi?
INF	hinto – kh
PASS	N/A
PURP	hintolik – ma
-mime? ('go out and X')	hinto – mime?
- <u>miti?</u> ('go do X')	hinto - miti?
DUR:DEP	hinto – khih
PST:DEP	hinto – khih
FUT1:DEP	hinto - yaw
FUT2:DEP	hinto - wis

Finally, on page 52 we have given the paradigm for the state verb <u>hinto-</u> 'sleep', whose profile differs from the action verbs <u>olol-</u> 'dance' and <u>hic-</u> 'pound' (see section 4.1.2 and 4.1.3) for the distinction between state and action verbs). Like other state verbs, <u>hinto-</u> does not distinguish between durative and past, but takes only the stative suffix -<u>khi?</u> in present and past contexts. Here we see the following root forms:

- Form A: the unmarked form <u>hinto</u>-, found with the stative, the present and past tense negatives, the affirmative imperative, the infinitive, the suffix -mime?, and all the dependent tenses.
- Form B: the future form <u>hintolik</u>-, found in the future and negative future tenses, the negative imperative, and with the purposive.
- No form C.

#### 4.3 Verb Classes

As can be seen in the verb paradigms in section 4.2, Wappo verb roots go through a complex pattern of epenthesis or stem change. First, as we suggested in section 4.2, each verb root appears in two different stem forms, A and B (and in some cases, a third form as well) depending on the suffix it combines with. Second, for each suffix, a verb stem goes through some additional 'changes', resulting in its final realization.<sup>2</sup> This section will discuss this pattern. But before doing that, a discussion of the semantically-motivated verb classes is in order, as the pattern of epenthesis and stem change can largely be predicted from the class of the verb. There are three relevant classes: the DUR class, the IMP class, and the INF class.

#### 4.3.1 DUR classes

The DUR class of a verb is determined by which form of the DUR suffix a root may occur with. The durative suffix (see section 4.1.1) occurs in 13 different forms, which are shown in Table 4-5. Since most verb roots occur with only one of them, Wappo verbs can be classified into 13 DUR classes. In addition to this, there are a small number of stative verbs which do not occur in durative form and thus do not have a durative suffix associated with them, forming an additional class, which we term DUR0. Therefore, we have 14 different DUR classes.

Even though the similarity of the forms of some durative suffixes may appear to suggest that there may be fewer DUR classes and that the differences between some durative suffixes may be due to phonologically motivated alternations, this does not seem to be the case. There do not seem to be any clear phonological patterns within

<sup>2.</sup> Here, we are not implying that a verb root actually goes through these two 'steps' to reach a 'surface' realization; rather, we simply find this to be a useful way of demonstrating the general systemacity of epenthesis and stem change that can be observed in the complex paradigm of the Wappo verb.

Table 4-5. DUR Classes

-m'i?	DUR1
-i?	DUR2
-ši?	DUR3
-še?	DUR4
-ša?	DUR5
-se?	DUR6
-i:ya?	DUR7
-ala?	DUR8
-ti?	DUR9
-si?	DUR10
-e?	DUR11
-me?	DUR12
-sa?	DUR13
no DUR suffix	DUR0

each DUR class. Moreover, there are some homonyms that belong to different DUR classes; for example, compare <u>okal-</u> 'speak' (=DUR2) and <u>okal-</u> 'hurt' (=DUR11), <u>yok'-</u> 'win (in gambling)' (=DUR1), <u>yok'-</u> 'stay' (=DUR4), and <u>yok'-</u> 'fly' (=DUR6).

Instead, these classes appear to be semantically motivated. This can be seen from the fact that some verbs may take different durative suffixes depending on their meaning in context. For example, the verb **chach** '(become) cold' occurs with -**še?** when the subject is human, as in example (89), and with -**ša?** when it is used as a 'weather verb', as in example (90).

- (89) phil' makha cel' ah <u>chach še?</u>
  snow precipitate COND 1SG:NOM cold DUR
  'if it snows, I get cold' (36)
- (90) om i <u>chach ša?</u>

  everywhere NOM cold DUR

  'it's getting cold (outside)' (172)

Thus, some classes have a relatively clear semantic basis. The DUR8 class, for example, consists of verbs with the directional prefix <u>ho-</u> 'around' (though not all verbs with this prefix are of this class; see section 4.4.2), thus having a common meaning. In fact, adding <u>ho-</u> to a verb changes the class of the verb; for example, <u>čoh</u> 'go' (=DUR12) becomes <u>hočoh</u> 'walk around' (=DUR8).

While the semantic motivations for all classes are not as clear as this, there seems to be a noticeable pattern; verbs that take DUR1, DUR2, and DUR11 are mostly transitive verbs, and verbs that take DUR3, DUR 4, and DUR6 are mostly intransitive verbs. This is demonstrated nicely through verb pairs that differ only in transitivity; for example, intransitive **ko?**- 'boil' belongs to DUR3 class, while transitive **k'o**- 'boil' belongs to DUR1 class. The rest of the classes comprise a smaller number of verbs, in some cases only a single root, and their semantic basis is less clear, except for the DUR8 class mentioned above.

## 4.3.2 IMP classes

The IMP class of a verb is determined by which form of the imperative suffix a root may occur with. There are four different IMP classes to which a Wappo verb root may belong; when forming imperatives, each root occurs with only one of the following suffixes:

-ti? IMP1-ø IMP2-la? IMP3-te IMP4

Stems which occur with IMP3 or IMP4 are rare, and most stems take either IMP1 or IMP2. At this point, it is not clear what determines which imperative suffix a stem takes. The distribution of IMP1 or IMP2 suffixes does not show any significant correlation with transitivity. However, a semantic motivation is again suspected; the small number of IMP3 stems in our database are typical state verbs, such as <a href="https://example.com/hinto-riches-riches-number-ri

Also, IMP classes appear to intersect the distribution of the DUR classes in an interesting way; they distinguish between two of the major DUR classes, DUR1 and DUR2, as most DUR1 verbs take IMP1, and most DUR2 verbs take IMP2.

#### 4.3.3 INF classes

The INF class of a verb is determined by which form of the infinitive suffix a root may occur with. There are three different INF classes to which a Wappo verb root may belong; when forming the infinitive, each stem takes only one of the following suffixes:

-ukh INF1-ø INF2-is INF3

Most stems take the INF1 suffix, but there are a small number of verbs which take -<u>\varphi</u>; those stems are mostly state verbs which take the -<u>khi?</u> STAT suffix. The -<u>is</u> suffix is limited to an even smaller number of verbs.

## 4.3.4 Pattern of epenthesis in verb paradigms

In the remaining parts of this section, we will discuss how the verb classes mentioned above figure in predicting the pattern of epenthesis and stem change in the verb paradigms.

## A. The A form and B form

As mentioned above, each verb root appears in two different stem forms, A and B. The A form is the unmarked form, and is identical to the root form of the verb. On the other hand, the B form differs from the root form of the verb, and this form is largely determined by the intersection of the DUR and IMP class of the verb. The following generalizations can be made:

Verbs that belong to both one of the most frequent DUR classes (DUR1, DUR2, DUR4, or DUR6) and one of the most frequent IMP classes (IMP1, IMP2) typically have the segment shown in the following table added to the verb root. For example, the B form for <u>olol</u>- 'dance', which belongs to DUR1 and IMP1 classes, is <u>ololih</u>-, while the B form of <u>sičh</u>- 'get wet', which belongs to DUR6 and IMP2 classes, is <u>sičhel</u>-. Over 70% of the verb roots in our database belong to the DUR1, DUR2, DUR4, or DUR6 class, so this pattern accounts for a large percentage of verbs.

 IMP1
 IMP2

 DUR1
 -i/ih
 -e

 DUR2
 -i/ih
 -e

 DUR4
 -a
 -e/el

 DUR6
 -a
 -e/el

Table 4-6. IMP and DUR Classes Correlated

- Verbs of other classes are less frequently attested in our database, so clear generalizations are difficult to make. But the following are some additional patterns that are observable:
  - Verbs that occur with the IMP3 suffix have -lik- added to the verb root.
     For example, the B form of hinto- 'sleep', which belongs to the IMP3 class, is hintolik-.
  - The segment <u>umekh</u> is often epenthesized for DUR7 class verbs. For example, the B form of <u>man</u>- 'take out', which belongs to the DUR7 class, is **manumekh**-.

## B. Further stem changes

When a verb root combines with a suffix, it occurs in either the A or B form (or one of their variants), depending on the suffix and verb class of the root. We will now describe these patterns.

#### B.1 DUR forms

The form of the verb that occurs with the durative suffix is the A form. For most verbs, this form is 'unmarked'; there is no change in the root.

However, there are a few exceptions to this. For verbs that belong to DUR1 class, when the stem ends with a plain non-continuant consonant (i.e., a non-aspirated/non-glottalized stop or affricate),  $\underline{\mathbf{u}}$  is epenthesized. If the stem vowel is  $\underline{\mathbf{o}}$ , the epenthesized vowel is  $\underline{\mathbf{o}}$ .

For stems that belong to both the DUR2 and IMP2 class, when the stem ends with a plain sonorant, the sonorant is glottalized.

## **B.2 PST forms**

The past suffix generally occurs with the A form of the verb.

However, DUR7 verbs and a few idiosyncratic verbs combine with the past suffix in their B form.

Also, stems with a final plain non-continuant consonant have i epenthesized.

While this often results in a form identical to the B form of the verb, this is not always the case, as we can see for verbs such as <u>pitek-</u> 'knock over by bumping into', whose PST form is <u>pitekita?</u>, while its B form, as attested through its future, imperative, and other forms, is **pitekel-**.

## **B.3 STAT forms**

The stative suffix occurs with the B form of the verb.

However, for DUR0 class verbs, it is the A form that combines with the stative suffix. Thus, the form of <u>hinto-</u> 'sleep', whose B form is <u>hintolik-</u>, when combined with the stative suffix is **hinto-khi?**.

#### B.4 FUT forms

The future suffixes occur with the B form of the verb. The forms that occur with -ya:mi? and -si? are always identical; here we only show examples with -si?.

However, there are some idiosyncratic exceptions to this. For example, some verbs that belong to both the DUR2 and IMP2 classes have  $\underline{\mathbf{i}}$  epenthesized instead of  $\underline{\mathbf{e}}$ , as suggested in 4.3.4.A above. The root  $\underline{\mathbf{pet}}$ - 'remove feathers from bird' is one such verb. Its B form is  $\underline{\mathbf{pete}}$ -, and it occurs when it appears in the imperative form; but when combined with the future suffix -si?, its form is  $\underline{\mathbf{petisi}}$ ?

## B.5 IMP forms

The imperative suffix occurs with the B form of the verb.

(109) t'um - 
$$\emptyset$$
 > t'um $\underline{e}$ ? (DUR2, IMP2)  
buy - IMP2 buy:IMP

There are several exceptions to this. First, roots that are both DUR1, DUR2, or DUR11 and IMP1 class combine with the imperative suffix in their A form. Therefore, the imperative form of <u>olol-</u> 'dance', which belongs to the DUR1 and IMP1 class, is <u>olol-ti?</u>, even though its B form is <u>ololih-</u>. However, roots that end with a plain non-continuant consonant do not follow this pattern; the root <u>hic-</u> 'pound to make flour', even though it belongs to the same verb classes as <u>olol-</u>, has the imperative form **hici-ti?**.

Second, as with the stative suffix, DUR0 verbs have their A form combined with the imperative suffix. Thus, the form of <u>hinto-</u> 'sleep', whose B form is <u>hintolik-</u>, combined with the imperative suffix is **hinto-la?**.

## **B.6 NEG forms**

The negative suffix occurs with the complex of the A form of the verb and its durative suffix or, for DUR0 class verbs, the stative -khi? suffix. Here are some examples:

However, there is one systematic exception to this pattern. For verbs that belong to both the DUR1 or DUR2 class and to the IMP1 class, the negative suffix combines with the A form of the verb only, with  $\underline{\mathbf{u}}$  (or  $\underline{\mathbf{o}}$  if the stem vowel is  $\underline{\mathbf{o}}$ ) epenthesized, as in the next example.

Similarly, past tense negatives are formed by combining the negative suffix with the past form of the verb.

#### B.7 NEG:FUT and NEG:IMP forms

These forms are always identical to the future form; in other words, they are based on the B form, with several exceptions, as outlined above. First, here are some examples of negative future forms.

Next, here are some examples of negative imperative forms. Note that, in this case, there is no separate suffix for negative imperatives. To form negative imperatives, the negative suffix simply combines with the future form of the verb. That the form the negative suffix combines with is not the imperative form can be seen from example (123); the imperative form (or B form) of **pet**- 'remove feathers from bird' is **pete**-, which appears in the imperative form (see also the discussion in B.4 above). However, it is also true that with most other verbs, the future form and the imperative form will be identical (both B forms).

## B.8 INF forms

Most of the verbs in our database are of the INF1 class, and they combine with the infinitive suffix in their A form.

The exceptions to this are the small number of INF2 class verbs; they combine with the infinitive suffix in their B form. The following are some examples.

(126) wiš - 
$$\emptyset$$
 > wiši (DUR1, IMP1, INF2)  
dry - INF2 dry:INF

Determining the infinitive form is the only situation where the INF class of a verb becomes relevant.

### **B.9 CAUS forms**

The causative suffix occurs with the A form, though there are some idiosyncratic exceptions to this. The form of the causative is discussed in more detail in section 6.3.

## B.10 PASS forms

The passive suffix occurs with the A form.

This pattern is quite regular; the only exceptions to this are roots with a final plain non-continuant consonant, which have **u** epenthesized.

#### B.11 PURP forms

The purposive suffix occurs with the A form.

There are a small number of exceptions that are not clearly defined in terms of class; these verbs use the B form, and take the suffix form -ma instead of -e:ma. For example, the B form of hinto- 'sleep' is hintolik-, and its purposive form is hintolik-ma.

## B.12 -mime? 'go out and X' and -miti? 'go do X' forms

These suffixes occur with the A form of the verb; when they combine with IMP2 class roots, the form of the suffix becomes -ime? and -iti?.

In addition, roots that end with a plain non-continuant consonant have  $\underline{\mathbf{u}}$  epenthesized.

# (136) hic - mime? > hicumime? (DUR1, IMP1) pound:to:make:flour - go:out:to:pound:to:make:flour

## C. Derivation of paradigms

In order to summarize these patterns and show how various exceptions figure in the paradigms we showed in section 4.2, here we reproduce those paradigms, this time showing the primary verb form used for each suffix and a description of what exceptions are found. First, the A and B forms of the roots <u>olol</u>- 'dance', <u>hic</u>- 'pound to make flour', and **hinto-** 'sleep' can be determined as follows:

- <u>olol</u>- 'dance' belongs to the DUR1, IMP1, and INF1 class. The A form is the unmarked form, thus <u>olol</u>-. The B form is determined by the fact that verbs that belong to both DUR1 and IMP1 class typically have <u>i</u> or <u>ih</u> added to the root; thus the B form is **ololih**-.
- <u>hic-</u> 'pound to make flour' belongs to the DUR1, IMP1, and INF1 class.

  The A form is the unmarked form, <u>hic-</u>. The B form is determined by the fact that verbs that belong to both DUR1 and IMP1 class typically have <u>i</u> or **ih** added to the root; thus the B form is **hici-**.
- <u>hinto-</u> 'sleep' does not occur with a DUR suffix, and belongs to the IMP3 and INF1 class. The A form is the unmarked form, <u>hinto-</u>. The B form of IMP3 class verbs have -lik- added to the root; thus the B form is hintolik-.

Based on these forms of the verbs, paradigms can be constructed by combining a suffix and a form of the verb that typically occurs with that suffix (which are marked on the sides of the paradigms below). The exceptions, which are marked with numbers in the paradigms, are explained below.

Table 4-7. Verb Paradigm Construction

		olol- 'dance'	hic- 'pound to make flour'	hinto- 'sleep'		
DUR	A	olol - mi?	hicu - mi? (1)	hinto - khi? <sup>(3)</sup>	В	STAT
PAST	A	olol - ta?	hici - ta? <sup>(2)</sup>			
FUT1	В	ololih - ya:mi?	hici - ya:mi?	hintolik - ya:mi?	В	FUT1
FUT2	В	ololih - si?	hici - si?	hintolik - si?	В	FUT2
NEG A		-l-l- l-h-l-:2 (/) h	hicu - lahkhi? (4)	hinto - khi -	A	NEG
	A	ololo - lahkhi? (4)		lahkhi?		
NEG:FUT1 E	D	B ololih - yawlahki?	hici - yawlahkhi?	hintolik -	В	NEG:FUT1
	D			yawlahkhi?		
NEG:FUT2	В	ololih - lahkhusi?	hici - lahkhusi?	hintolik -	В	NEG:FUT2
	D			lahkhusi?		
IMP	В	olol - ti? (5)	hici - ti? <sup>(6)</sup>	hinto - la? <sup>(7)</sup>	В	IMP
NEG:IMP	В	ololih - lahkhi?	hici - lahkhi?	hintolik - lahkhi?	В	NEG:IMP
INF	A	olol - ukh	hic – ukh	hinto – kh	A	INF
PASS	A	N/A	hicu – khe? (8)	N/A	A	PASS
PURP	A	olol - e:ma	hic – e:ma	hintolik - ma (9)	A	PURP
-mime? form	A	olol - mime?	hicu - mime? (10)	hinto - mime?	A	-mime? form
- <u>miti?</u> form	A	olol - miti?	hicu - miti? (10)	N/A	A	- <u>miti?</u> form

- (1) <u>hicu mi?</u>: If a DUR1 class verb stem ends with a plain non-continuant consonant,  $\underline{\mathbf{u}}$  is epenthesized between the A form of the verb and the durative suffix (see B.1 above).
- (2) <u>hici ta?</u>: Roots with a final plain non-continuant consonant have  $\underline{i}$  epenthesized when combining with the past suffix (see B.2 above).
- (3) <u>hinto khi?</u>: While the stative suffix typically occurs with the B form of the verb, for DUR0 class verbs, it is the A form that combines with the stative suffix (see B.3 above).
- (4) <u>ololo lahkhi?</u>, <u>hicu lahkhi?</u>: For roots that belong to both DUR1 or DUR2 and IMP1 class, the negative suffix does not combine with the complex of the A form and durative suffix, but with a variant of the A form, in which <u>u</u> or <u>o</u> is epenthesized depending on the root vowel (see B.6 above).
- (5) <u>olol ti?</u>: While the imperative suffix typically occurs with the B form of the verb, roots that are in both the DUR1, DUR2, or DUR11 and the IMP1 class combine with the imperative suffix in their A form (see B.5 above).
- (6) <u>hici ti?</u>: Roots that end with a plain non-continuant consonant are exceptions to the condition that verbs that are in both the DUR1, DUR2, or DUR11 and the IMP1 class combine with the imperative suffix in their A form; thus <u>hic-</u> combines with the imperative suffix in its B form (see B.5 above).
- (7) <u>hinto la?</u>: DUR0 class verbs have their A form combined with the imperative suffix (see B.5 above).
- (8) <u>hicu khe?</u>: Roots with a final non-continuant consonant have  $\underline{\mathbf{u}}$  epenthesized when combining with the passive suffix (see B.10 above).
- (9) <u>hintolik ma</u>: While the purposive suffix occurs with the A form, in this case, <u>hinto-</u> combines with the purposive suffix in its B form. This is an idiosyncratic exception.
- (10) <u>hicu mime?</u>, <u>hicu miti?</u>: Roots that end with a plain non-continuant consonant have  $\underline{\mathbf{u}}$  added to the stem when combining with -<u>mime?</u> or -<u>miti?</u> (see B.12 above).

#### 4.4 Directional Prefixes

There are two subsets of directional prefixes for Wappo verbs: those which are speaker-oriented and those which are not.

## 4.4.1 Speaker-oriented directional prefixes

The speaker-oriented directional prefixes fall into two classes, those relating to motion towards the speaker and those relating to motion away from the speaker. There are several pairs, their distribution apparently governed lexically:

A. ma- and te-

A.1 ma- 'away from speaker'

- (137) ah taka? te thu <u>ma</u> hes ta?

  1SG:NOM basket 3SG DAT DIR give PST

  'I gave him/her the basket' (76)
- (138) cephi mey uh ma hew'i khi?

  3SG:NOM water in DIR jump STAT

  's/he jumped into the water' (77)
- (139) ah čhuya nan <u>ma</u> lih ta?

  1SG:NOM house mouth DIR push PST

  'I pushed the door open' (116)
- (140) ah mi thu čhuya <u>ma</u> naw' is ta?

  1SG:NOM 2SG DAT house DIR see CAUS PST

  'I showed you the house' (348)

Intriguingly, in a narrative, <u>ma</u>- is used to indicate direction away from the main character rather than away from the speaker; we consider these to be also 'speaker-oriented', on the assumption that the speaker identifies with the main character:

(141) calaha:ya <u>ma</u> - le?u - še? things DIR - go:after - DUR '[he] goes after things' (Text B, 183) A.2 **te**- 'toward speaker'

- (142) cephi <u>te</u> hew'i khi?

  3SG:NOM DIR jump STAT

  's/he jumped [down here]' (76)
- (143) cephi i thu luče <u>te</u> man še?

  3SG:NOM 1SG DAT tobacco DIR carry DUR

  's/he's bringing me my cigarettes' (95)
- (144) pol'a? i mot'a pi <u>te</u> čayha khi?

  boy NOM hill from DIR roll STAT

  'the boy rolled down the hill [toward me]' (195)

Just as with <u>ma</u>-, in a narrative, we find <u>te</u>- used to indicate not direction toward speaker, but direction toward main character, as in:

- (145) ce k'ew i mich nalewa <u>te</u> mak'alah khi?

  DEM man NOM road beside DIR invite STAT

  'that man invited [him] over to the side of the road' (Text B, 179)
- B. mu- and tu- (used with a restricted set of verbs)
- B.1 mu- 'away from speaker (far)'
  - (146) ah te  $\underline{mu}$  lek'i khi? 1SG:NOM 3SG DIR - go:visit - STAT 'I went to see him' (32)
  - (147) ikha? mi? ceta mu le?a khi?

    how 2SG:NOM there DIR arrive STAT

    'how did you get over there?' (116)

(148) mi mi - noma <u>mu</u> - le?a - cel' uči ola mi? 2SG 2SG - home DIR - arrive - when night four 2SG:NOM

> hintolik - si? sleep - FUT

'when you get to your home, you'll sleep for four nights' (Text B, 181)

- B.2 **tu-** 'toward the speaker (from far away)'
  - (149) ah te  $\underline{tu}$  lek'i khi? 1SG:NOM 3SG DIR - go:visit - STAT 'I came to see him' (32)
  - (150) ikha? mi? heta <u>tu</u> le?a khi? how 2SG here DIR - arrive - STAT 'how did you get over here?' (116)
  - (151) ce layh <u>tu</u> le?a cel' okal'te lahkhi?

    DEM white:person DIR arrive when talk:IMP NEG

    'when that white man comes, don't talk' (69)
- C. mo?o- 'away from speaker' and to?o- 'towards speaker'
  - (152) cephi mot'a pi <u>mo?o</u> we se?

    3SG:NOM mountain from DIR travel DUR

    's/he's going down the mountain' (76)
  - (153) cephi mot'a pi to?o we se?

    3SG:NOM mountain from DIR travel DUR

    's/he's coming down the mountain' (76)

D. mo- 'away from speaker' and to- 'towards speaker'

(155) <u>to</u> - čiteľ DIR - turn:IMP 'turn towards me' (104)

E. meh- 'away from speaker' and teh- 'towards speaker'

(157) <u>teh</u> - lihe?

DIR - push:IMP

'push it down here' (505)

#### 4.4.2 Non-speaker-oriented directional prefixes

The following is a nearly exhaustive list of the non-speaker-oriented directional prefixes which we have found; as can be seen, not all of them are strictly "directional". It is not possible to determine just how productive these prefixes are.

A. ho- 'around'

- B. <u>meh</u>- 'up'
  - (160) ah he taka? meh phiţi ya?

    1SG:NOM DEM basket DIR take DUR

    'I'm picking up this basket' (202)
  - (161) ah te k'ešu meh wi? ukh hak' še?

    1SG:NOM 3SG deer DIR hang INF want DUR

    'I want him/her to hang up the deer' (509)
- C. č'a- 'off, away'
  - (162) nat'a? i <u>č'a</u> suphi khi? quilt - NOM DIR - slide - STAT 'the quilt slid off' (196)
  - (163) chic i hol piyah pi <u>č'a</u> wal še?

    bear NOM tree near from DIR go DUR

    'the bear is going away from near the tree' (75)
  - (164) ah winu <u>č'a</u> č'ay' ta?

    1SG:NOM wine DIR pour PST

    'I poured out the wine' (277)
- D. č'ah- 'out'
  - (165) c'ic' i č'ep'iš <u>č'ah</u> k'al ta?

    bird NOM worm DIR pull PST

    'the bird pulled a worm out [of the ground]' (203)
  - (166) mansa:na? <u>č'ah</u> ma numek ta?

    apple DIR DIR pick PST

    '(I) picked an apple out (e.g., of a dish)' (202)

Here is a minimal pair illustrating the difference between <u>**č'a-**</u> 'off, away' and <u>**č'ah-**</u> 'out':

- (167) a. hol <u>č'a</u> k'al ta? tree DIR - pull - PST '(I) hauled a tree away'
  - b. hol <u>č'ah</u> k'al ta?

    tree DIR pull PST

    '(I) pulled a tree out'

E. pah- 'put together'

- (168) cephi <u>pah</u> wičh mi?

  3SG:NOM DIR sweep DUR

  's/he's sweeping it all up' (365)
- (169) cephi pah moț' mi?

  3SG:NOM DIR tie DUR

  's/he is cording up [the wood]' (224)

F. pi- 'accidentally'

- (170) ah i me? <u>pi</u> k'eč' ta?

  1SG:NOM 1SG hand DIR cut PST

  'I accidentally cut my hand' (73)
- (171) winu? i <u>pi</u> č'ayte' khi? wine - NOM DIR - pour - STAT 'the wine accidentally spilled' (359)

### 4.5 Mood

There are two mood particles in Wappo that we have found, **k'ah** 'desiderative' and **keye** 'optative'. In addition, **ne?-khi?** 'have' is used to express deontic modality.

4.5 Mood 75

#### 4.5.1 **k'ah** 'desiderative'

The desideratative morpheme, glossed DES, is **k'ah**; it indicates the speaker's hypothetical wish or hope that the proposition might be true. It occurs after the subject, and takes a special form of the verb, which we are calling the "hypothetical", glossed HYP. Here are some examples:

- (172) om i <u>k'ah</u> makhah lahkhih everywhere - NOM DES rain - NEG:HYP 'I hope it won't rain' (52)
- (173) cephi <u>k'ah</u> ew t'um' eh

  3SG:NOM DES fish buy HYP

  'I wish he would buy fish' (60)
- (174) cephi <u>k'ah</u> o pa? eh

  3SG:NOM DES UOP eat HYP

  'I wish s/he would eat' (377)
- (175) he phil' i <u>k'ah</u> k'opa tih

  DEM snow NOM DES melt HYP

  'I wish the snow would melt' (377)
- (176) he hol pel <u>k'ah</u> še? ti mul' č'a čhuhta sih

  DEM tree leaf DES wind NOM all DIR blow HYP

  'I wish the wind would blow all these leaves away' (103)

As expected, **k'ah** cannot be used to indicate anyone's wish but that of the speaker, as illustrated by the following example, where the periphrastic form with **hak'še?** 'like, want' must be used when it is someone besides the speaker who wishes something:

(177) George - i oma makhah hak' - še - lahkhih George - NOM everywhere rain:DEP like - DUR - NEG:HYP 'George is hoping it won't rain' (52)

## 4.5.2 **keye** 'optative'

**keye**, glossed OPT, is a pre-verbal particle which may be translated as 'can', 'could', or 'should', depending on the context. It seems to be generally used with the hypothetical form of the verb.

Here are some illustrations of its use:

- (178) mi? <u>keye</u> taka? čoč ukh i heltih hi?

  2SG:NOM OPT basket weave INF 1SG help:HYP Q

  'could you help me make baskets?' (53)
- (179) ah <u>keye</u> ma?a he? čo:

  1SG:NOM OPT just now go:HYP

  'I have to go now' (40)
- (180) ah <u>keye</u> k'ešu t'um' i tih

  1SG:NOM OPT deer buy go:do HYP

  'I should go buy meat' (40)
- (181) ah <u>keye</u> otay' tih ce k'a olol tih

  1SG:NOM OPT sing HYP DEM COM dance HYP

  'I can sing and dance' (199)
- (182) ah eniya ohak'še? -- ah <u>keye</u> ma?a chica 1SG:NOM very hungry 1SG:NOM OPT just bear

menac'ey - eh

devour - HYP

'I'm very hungry - I could eat a bear' (121)

**keye** can also be used in the apodosis of conditionals (see section 6.5.3):

4.5 Mood 77

(183) mi te o - me? - is cel' <u>keye</u> 2SG 3SG UOP - feed - CAUS COND OPT

> čho?e - lahkhih die:IMP - NEG:HYP

'if you had fed it, it wouldn't have died' (88)

(184) te ce ew t'ume cel' <u>keye</u> ah ce 3SG DEM fish buy:DEP COND OPT 1SG:NOM DEM

> pa?eh eat:HYP

'if he had bought that fish, I would have eaten it' (60)

## 4.5.3 **ne?-khi?** 'deontic'

In one of the very few constructions which we suspected to be English-influenced, we have found <u>ne?-khi?</u> 'have' being used for 'must, have to'. We may never know whether or not this actually is a bit of English influence, but in spite of extensive efforts, we have not discovered any alternative ways of expressing deontic modality. Here are three examples, the last one of which is from a story and thus not elicited with an English 'have to' construction:

- (185) ah čoh ukh <u>ne? khi?</u> ma?a he? 1SG:NOM go - INF have - STAT just now 'I have to go right now' (48)
- (186) cephi šawo ca pa? ukh <u>ne? khi?</u>

  3SG:NOM bread plain eat INF have STAT

  'he has to eat plain bread' (48)
- (187) mi? i haṭal ukh ne? khi?

  2SG:NOM 1SG recognize INF have STAT

  'you have to recognize me' (Text B, 186)

## 4.6 Imperative

The form of the imperative has been discussed in section 4.3.4 above; here are some examples of its use, first in affirmative clauses, then in negatives:

- (188) <u>č'a manu:ma?</u>

  DIR take:IMP

  'take it off' (j112)
- (189) i thu <u>te hese?</u> mi thal manas ukh

  1SG DAT DIR give:IMP 2SG what have:in:hand DEP

  'give me what you have in your hand' (10)
- (190) mi papa? hel ti?

  2SG grandma help IMP

  'help your grandma' (53)
- (191) ce k'ew ma <u>mehwile?</u> mi thal naw ta

  DEM man BENEF tell:IMP 2SG what see PST:DEP

  'tell the man what you saw' (170)
- (192) <u>cay'i lahkhi?</u> say:IMP - NEG 'don't say [that]' (123)
- (193) <u>čutehel lahkhi?</u> forget:IMP - NEG 'don't forget' (118)
- (194) šik'aț'is khi? --- <u>t'ume lahkhi?</u>
  green STAT buy:IMP NEG
  '[it's] green --- don't buy it' (206)

## 4.7 Negation

As shown in section 4.2, each tense/aspect/mood form in Wappo has its own negative form. In this section we simply present some examples of independent negative clauses:

*4.9 Adverbs* 79

- (196) ce k'ew i <u>tuč' kh lahkhi?</u>

  DEM man NOM big STAT NEG

  'that man isn't big' (19)
- (197) ah may <u>naw ta lahkhi?</u>

  1SG:NOM who see PST NEG

  'I didn't see anybody' (22)

4.8 Passive

What we are calling "passive" is in Wappo simply an intransitive verb form -khe?, glossed PASS, as shown in section 4.2. It is used for actions affecting a patient, where the patient takes the nominative case, and the agent must be unspecified. Since there can be no agent, it indicates a state resulting from the action.

- (198) cephi <u>ošay' khe?</u>
  3SG:NOM pay PASS
  's/he got paid' (504)
- (199) ši?ay i mot' khe?

  stalk NOM pile:up PASS

  'the stalks have been piled up' (376)
- (200) mayiš i <u>maču? khe?</u>

  corn NOM ash:roast PASS

  'the corn has been ash-roasted' (374)

4.9 Adverbs

Wappo naturally has a number of adverbs; as expected, their position is invariably pre-verbal, though not always directly before the verb. Quite predictably, some, like

<u>uh</u> 'already', <u>cah</u> 'just', and <u>ma?a</u> 'just', are roots apparently used only as adverbs; others, like <u>mena</u> 'fast', are roots which can also take the stative predicator <u>-khi?</u> and be used as predicates. The following is a small set of examples of some Wappo adverbs which occur frequently in our examples:

- (201) he?e? <u>cah</u> i opa?ukh me si? COP just 1SG food make - DUR 'this is the only food I make' (339)
- (202) hol i <u>eniya</u> č'uhe khi? tree - NOM very dewy - STAT 'the tree is very dewy' (37)
- (203) cephi <u>hukahiye?</u> ololih si?

  3SG:NOM maybe dance FUT

  's/he might dance' (40)
- (204) ah <u>ma?a</u> <u>kutiya?</u> o pa? ta?

  1SG:NOM just a:little UOP eat PST

  'I only ate a little bit' (194)
- (205) <u>mat'ita</u> mi? emel khi? long:time 2SG:NOM lost - STAT 'you've been lost a long time' (246)
- (206) cephi mena ku:wi: ya?

  3SG:NOM fast run DUR

  's/he runs fast' (73)
- (207) cephi <u>heta</u> <u>mul'ta</u> tu le se?

  3SG:NOM here all:the:time DIR travel DUR

  's/he comes here all the time' (260)

<sup>3.</sup> We have not been able to discern a clear difference between **<u>cah</u>** 'just' and **<u>ma?a</u>** 'just'.

## (208) ah <u>ona?</u> kaphe? uk'i - ya:mi? 1SG:NOM also coffee drink - FUT

'I'll drink coffee too' [said after interlocutor had said she'd have coffee] (206)

4.10 Classificatory Verbs: Semantically Specific Verbs of Position and Motion

Like many other languages, particularly native American languages (Mithun 1999:3.4.2), Wappo has a number of verb roots, both transitive and intransitive, which are lexically specialized for the shape, size, or other features of their patient or single argument. While there is no grammatical regularity here to comment on, and while we haven't done a thorough semantic analysis of this set of verbs, the phenomenon is worth mentioning and providing some examples of. For example, in the intransitive frame:

we can have the following combinations:

cigarettes, pencils, bananas, sticks: neh - khi? rocks, watermelons, sacks of objects: wil - khi? paper, chairs, people, chunks, animals: yo? - khi? empty containers, beds: khoy' - khi? čoi: - khi? full containers, cars: muku - khi? logs, trees, animals lying down: people, deer, posts standing up: lepu - khi? mot' - khi? hay, acorns, anything piled up person curled up in a fetal position phemoc - khi? c'om' - khi? person squatting down

(210) ceta k'eš - i <u>lepu - khi?</u>
there deer-NOM stand-STAT
'the deer is standing there' (14)

- (211) ši?ay i ceta mot' khi?

  hay NOM there piled:up STAT

  'the hay is piled up there' (336)
- (212) mi me? kaphe? i ceta <u>čoy' khi?</u>

  2SG-GEN coffee NOM there sit STAT

  'your coffee is there' (337)
- (213) ita mi me? makina? i <u>čoy' khi?</u>
  where 2SG-GEN car NOM sit STAT
  'where is your car (sitting)?' (160)

As another example, consider the transitive frame:

in which we can have such combinations as these (in addition to those found in Sawyer (1965:90)):

knocking acorns off a tree	chek' - mi?
shaking apples off a tree	pihil' - se?
shaking a person	mehiw' - se?
shaking a towel out	mehil - se?
knocking things over	piteku - mi?
shaking a tree	pihiw' - se?

As might be imagined, verbs of picking, catching, holding, and carrying are similarly differentiated. In addition to those cited in Sawyer (1965:19), here are the instances we have found:

picking grapes	kola	puṭ' - i?
picking oranges, plucking feathers	naraha?	luh - e?
pulling roots (for basket-making)	cache	k'al' - i?
(a bird) holding a worm in its mouth	č'ep'iš	nahwelis - khi?

(a dog) carrying a bone in its mouth
criti nahwelis - khi?
carrying a baby on one's back
holding food/baby in one's arms
opa?ukh/ek'a pihšay'is - khi?
(I'm) carrying a full basket
taka hophital - a?

## 4.11 Evidentiality

There is one evidential morpheme in Wappo that we have been able to discover: **khon'**, which means roughly 'they say'. Sawyer (1965:87) lists **khon'** as meaning 'heard, reported, rumored'.

We gloss it as EVID. It typically occurs in second position. Here is Sawyer's example:

Under the entry for **khon'**, where (215) occurs, Sawyer (1965:87) says:

Wherever **khon'** occurs, the item it modifies is marked as uncertain. In this case the he/she who is telling the story is marked as being a person of uncertain identification.

In our data, we have found that **khon'** is used somewhat more generally than to mark a particular item as being "of uncertain identification". For instance, the most likely context for the following example would be one in which the uncertainty surrounds the fact of Old Coyote's dancing rather than the identity of Old Coyote himself:

Here are some further elicited examples, all volunteered by Laura:

- (218) šaw i khon' la khi?

  bread NOM EVID missing STAT

  'they say there's no bread' (249)
- (219) i ay i khon' omehwilis mehwil si?

  1SG father NOM EVID story tell DUR

  'they say that my father tells stories' (355)
- (220) athi khon'
  later EVID
  '(they say) it'll be after a while' (j85)
- (221) iha? khon' ceko:ti tu le?a si?

  when EVID they DIR come FUT

  'when have you heard they are coming?' (j119)

While these examples give an idea of how **khon'** is used, a better view of its function can be presented by examining its use in the stories we collected, where it is found rather frequently.

For example, in one story, Laura was recounting a strange experience an uncle of hers had; at one point he invites a number of people, and his female relatives cook for them:

(222) i na? - i khon' opa? - ukh totia me - si?

1SG mother - NOM EVID eat - INF tortilla make - DUR

'(they say) my mother makes (i.e., 'made') food, tortillas' (Text B, 183)

Later in the story, the uncle's daughter wants some crackers, which leads to a major story event:

(223) "ah kayeta hak' - še?", hah - ši? khon' 1SG:NOM cracker want - DUR say - DUR EVID

<sup>&</sup>quot;I want crackers", says the girl (they say) (Text B, 184)

Further in the story:

(224) cel' khon' hinta ola šu?u te - me? ečt' - i then EVID day four after 3SG - GEN br:in:law - NOM

```
te mu - lek'i - khi?
3SG DIR - go - STAT
```

'then (they say) after four days, his brother-in-law went to see him' (Text B, 186)

The story continues with five more occurrences of **cel' khon'** 'then they say ...'.

Interestingly, **khon'** occurs in another narrative which is not something which Laura literally had heard from older members of her group: Text E is her rendition of a story which we had told to her in English about a boy who meets a girl from a different group of people. When the girl slaps him, he concludes that she doesn't like him, without knowing that in her culture, a slap is a friendly gesture. There are two occurrences of **khon'** in this very short story, as if it were part of the "hearsay" that had been passed down to Laura. Text E begins with the following sentence:

(225) nom - khi? khon' pol'a? - i me - me? on - k'a live - STAT EVID boy - NOM 3CO - GEN people - COM 'there lived (they say) a boy with his people' (Text E, 295)

Near the end of the same story, we find this example:

(226) "ce?e? isa taw'", hah - ta? khon' met'e hina? - i

COP 1PL way say - PST EVID woman other - NOM

"that's our custom", said the other woman (so they say)' (Text E, 299)

Note that in (223), (225), and (226), the position of **khon'**, while still second, is not directly after the subject but rather after the verb.

As expected, we do not find **khon'** in the non-narrative texts we collected.

## 4.12 The Reflexive and Reciprocal

## 4.12.1 The reflexive

The reflexive morpheme in Wappo is <u>may'</u>. It functions as a noun, is found in non-subject positions, and can either stand alone or as a possessive modifier of a noun. As a free noun, it can take any of the nominal case suffixes.

Here are some examples of its use as a noun standing alone:

- (227) ce k'ew i <u>may'</u> huk'aš e?

  DEM man NOM REFL adore DUR

  'the man adores himself' (o)
- (228) ah <u>may'</u> huk'aš e?

  1SG:NOM REFL adore DUR

  'I adore myself' (27)
- (229) ah i ek'a <u>may'</u> peh ukh mes ta?

  1SG:NOM 1SG son REFL look INF make PST

  'I made my son look at himself' (31)
- (230) cephi <u>may'</u> piya? holo:wik'a naw ta?

  3SG:NOM REFL near snake see PST

  's/he saw a snake near him/herself' (53)
- (231) Esther i Billy thu <u>may'</u> ca okal ta?

  Esther NOM Billy DAT REFL about talk PST

  'Esther talked to Billy about herself' (53)

(Example (231) cannot mean 'Esther talked to Billy about himself'.)

(232) cephi <u>may'</u> - ma okal' - i?

3SG:NOM REFL - BENEF talk - DUR
's/he speaks for him/herself' (53)

- (233) mi? may' oh kal ta? ha?

  2SG:NOM REFL CAUS hurt PST Q

  'did you hurt yourself?' (57)
- (234) cephi ma?a <u>may'</u> thu okal' i?

  3SG:NOM just REFL DAT talk DUR

  's/he just talks to him/herself' (57)
- (235) calaha:ya huk'ašiya te ce te thu naw asa? cel' things pretty PL DEM 3SG DAT see CAUS then

'the pretty things he would show them to him, and then take them back to himself' (Text B:180)

The next examples illustrate the use of **may'** as a possessive (where it alternates with the ordinary unmarked pronoun):

(236) ah 
$$\left\{\frac{\text{may'}}{\text{i}}\right\}$$
 t'ol oh - co: - ta?

'I dyed my hair black' (26)

(237) ah 
$$\left\{\frac{\text{may'}}{\text{i}}\right\}$$
 me? oh - k'eč' - ta?   
 1SG:NOM  $\left\{\text{REFL}\right\}$  hand CAUS - cut - PST 1SG

'I cut my hand (on purpose)' (12)

(238) cephi 
$$\left\{\frac{\text{may'}}{\text{te}}\right\}$$
 hu? mepi - ta?   
 3SG:NOM  $\left\{\text{REFL}\atop 3\text{SG}\right\}$  face wash - PST

's/he<sub>i</sub> washed his/her<sub>i</sub> face' (360)

The following examples show that <u>may'</u> cannot be used as a possessive in subject position:

(239) 
$$\left\{ \binom{*\underline{may'}}{i} \right\} \text{ hu? - } i \text{ chipe - khi?}$$
 
$$\left\{ \underset{1SG}{\mathsf{REFL}} \right\} \text{ face - NOM red - STAT}$$

'my face was red' (26)

As in English, <u>may'</u> is also used as an intensive reflexive; in this use it occurs with the instrumental suffix (see also section 3.3.5).

Here is a contrast which illustrates the distinction between the reflexive and the intensive reflexive:

# (243) ah <u>may'</u> kah - si? 1SG:NOM REFL hear - DUR 'I hear myself' (57)

# 4.12.2 The reciprocal

The reciprocal morpheme in Wappo is **hopha**. Like the reflexive, it is used in non-subject positions and can occur with case suffixes.

- (244) chica k'a k'eš i <u>hopha</u> naw ta?

  bear COM deer NOM RECIP see PST

  'the deer and the bear saw each other' (15)
- (245) isi <u>hopha</u> hak' še?

  1PL:NOM RECIP like DUR

  'we like each other' (15)
- (246) isi ceko:to <u>hopha</u> thu okal is ta?

  1PL:NOM 3PL RECIP DAT talk CAUS PST

  'we made them talk to each other' (96)
- (247) ceko:ti <u>hopha</u> k'a yo? khi?

  3PL:NOM RECIP COM sit/live STAT

  'they're sitting/living with each other' (105)
- (248) isi <u>hopha</u> thupi ono?ši? okel haṭel khi?

  1PL:NOM RECIP from Indian talk learn STAT
  'we learn Wappo [Indian language] from each other' (206)

# 5. SIMPLE CLAUSE TYPES

#### 5.1 Declarative Clauses

As there are many examples of declarative clause types throughout this grammar, we will not further exemplify them here.

5.2 Questions

# 5.2.1 Yes-no questions

Yes-no questions are signalled in Wappo by a question particle, glossed Q, which typically occurs after the verb, thus typically clause-finally. Its form is <u>hV?</u>, where the vowel harmonizes with the preceding vowel. Because of the vowel harmony, it might seem appropriate to regard the question particle as a verbal suffix, but, as will be seen just below, it can follow words from several different classes, including verbs, nouns, and adverbs, and it harmonizes with each of them. Therefore, we choose to represent it as a separate word. Here is a minimal pair to illustrate the vowel harmony:

Here are some further examples:

- (2) uh mi? c'ey ta? ha?

  already 2SG:NOM finish PST Q

  'have you finished already?' (j3)
- (3) mi? i hak' še? <u>he?</u>

  2SG:NOM 1SG like DUR Q

  'do you like me?' (11)
- (4) may mi? naw ta lahkhi? <u>hi?</u>
  who 2SG see PST NEG Q
  'didn't you see anybody?' (23)
- (5) te ce? mi ek'a <u>ha?</u>

  3SG COP 2SG son Q

  'is he your son?' (26)
- (6) mi? te thu ma hes ukh hak' še? he?

  2SG:NOM 3SG DAT DIR give INF want DUR Q

  'do you want to give it to her?' (204)

There are several conditions under which the question particle does not occur sentence-finally. First, it is possible for a pronominal subject or an adverb to follow the verb; in such cases the question particle is not clause-final:

- (7) luče ne? khi? <u>hi?</u> mi? tobacco have - STAT Q 2SG:NOM 'do you have any cigarettes?' (14)
- (8) k'eš i la khi? <u>hi?</u> heta deer NOM missing STAT Q here 'aren't there any deer here?' (14)

With an adverb as the focussed element, it is also possible for the question particle to occur directly after the adverb:

- (9) cephi athikeywi? <u>hi?</u> tu le?a si?

  3SG:NOM tomorrow Q DIR come FUT

  'is she coming tomorrow?' (73)
- (10) ma?a <u>ha?</u> mi? yo? khi? still Q 2SG:NOM exist - STAT 'are you still here?' (j99)

Finally, in a complement sentence, especially with verbs of knowing and saying, if the questioned predicate is not sentence-final, then neither will the question particle be:

(11) haṭis - khi? hi? mi? i thal i - čal' - iš
know - STAT Q 2SG 1SG what INDEF - say - DUR:DEP
'do you know what I'm saying?' (169)

## 5.2.2 Question-word questions

A. The position and use of question words

For a predicate-final language, Wappo is unusual in having question words typically occurring in clause-initial position, though other positions are possible under pragmatic conditions which we have not uncovered. Thus, along with (12) a., we can also have (12) b. and (12) c.:

- (12) a. <u>iṭa</u> mi? i yok' okh hak' še? where 2SG:NOM 1SG sit - INF want - DUR 'where do you want me to sit?' (lts87)
  - b. mi? <u>ita</u> i yok' okh hak' še?

    2SG:NOM where 1SG sit INF want DUR

    'where do you want me to sit?' (lts87)
  - c. mi? i <u>ita</u> yok' okh hak' še?

    2SG:NOM 1SG where sit INF want DUR

    'where do you want me to sit?' (lts87)

This preference for clause-initial question words could be due to influence from English.

Here are some further examples of question word questions in Wappo:

- (13) may mi? naw ta?

  who 2SG:NOM see PST

  'who did you see?' (23)
- (14) thal mi? mi me? u ne? khi? what 2SG:NOM 2SG hand LOC have STAT 'what do you have in your hand?' (10)
- (15) <u>ita</u> mi me? makina? i čoi: khi? where 2SG - GEN car - NOM exist - STAT 'where is your car (sitting)?' (160)
- (16) <u>iha?</u> mi čo? me? when 2SG:NOM go - DUR 'when are you going?' (500)
- (17) <u>ikha?</u> mi? mes i? how 2SG:NOM make - DUR 'how do you make it?' (204)
- (18) <u>ikha?</u> mena mi? c'es e? how fast 2SG:NOM swim - DUR 'how fast do you swim?' (291)
- (19) thal ma mi? ce ew t'um ta?

  what BENEF 2SG:NOM DEM fish buy PST

  'why (lit., what for) did you buy that fish?' (18)
- (20) <u>ih</u> kayi:na mi? hak' še? which chicken 2SG:NOM want - DUR 'which chicken do you want?' (56)

- (21) <u>ikhali</u> mi? ok'o:to ne? khi? how:many 2SG:NOM child:PL have - STAT 'how many children do you have?' (219)
- (22) <u>ikhali</u> mi? ohca? še? how:much 2SG:NOM weigh - DUR 'how much do you weigh?' (219)

Question words are inflected for case as are other nouns and pronouns:

- (23) <u>may i</u> oyok' e? who - NOM win - DUR 'who's winning?' (70)
- (24) thal i chuya cawo te cewte khi?

  what NOM house on:top DIR fall STAT

  'what fell on the roof?' (17)
- (25) may thu mi? okal'te si?

  who DAT 2SG:NOM talk FUT

  'who are you going to talk to?' (291)

Question words show the same distinction for alienable and inalienable possession as do other nouns and pronouns (see section 3.3.7). Thus, as expected, for inalienable possession, the question word is unsuffixed:

(26) may hu? - i chip - iš - khi? who face - NOM red - INCH - STAT 'whose face got red?' (26)

while for alienable possession the question word takes the genitive suffix:

(27) ce?e? may - me? c'ic'a

COP who - GEN bird

'whose bird is that?' (56)

# B. The "indefinite" prefix i-

There is some evidence that the "indefinite" prefix <u>i</u>- is an old indefinite prefix, now only partially productive. First, note that many of the question words, though no longer morphologically analyzable, begin with <u>i</u>-. Second, <u>ikha?</u> 'how', with an initial <u>i</u>-, contrasts with **ce kha?** 'that way', as seen in:

Third, for at least two verbs, there is a contrast between a form prefixed with <u>i</u>- and one without <u>i</u>-, <u>kham</u>- 'do' and <u>hah</u>- 'say', whose prefixed form is inexplicably <u>ičhah</u>-. Thus compare the prefixed and unprefixed forms in the a. and b. examples below.

- (29) a. thal mi? <u>i kham i?</u>

  what 2SG:NOM INDEF do DUR

  'what are you doing?' (222)
  - b. ah ce <u>kham i?</u>

    1SG:NOM DEM do DUR

    'I'm doing it' (222)
- (30) a. thal mi? <u>i čhah ši?</u>

  what 2SG:NOM INDEF say DUR

  'what are you saying?' (257)
  - b. cephi ce <u>hah ši?</u>

    3SG:NOM DEM say DUR

    's/he's saying that' (257)

Evidence that this is an "indefinite" prefix and not an interrogative prefix comes from the following examples, where the <u>i</u>- appears in an indefinite non-interrogative environment:

Finally, there are two verb forms in <u>i</u>- which appear in questions; though neither directly contrasts with a non-prefixed form, the fact that the root in both instances is **kha**- also suggests an older indefinite prefix. The first of these verb forms is another word for 'why' (cf. **thal-ma** 'why' in (19) and (28)), which has the form of a verb root **ikha**- plus a durative suffix:

The other verb form in <u>i</u>- also seems to be related to <u>ikha?</u> 'how'; it is <u>ikhali</u>- (see (21) and (22) above), which can be inflected and used as a stative predicate:

# C. Question words as indefinite pronouns

As we saw above with (31), and as in many languages, question words in Wappo are also used as indefinite pronouns in non-interrogative contexts. Here are some examples:

- (36) thal i te cew še?

  what NOM DIR fall DUR

  'something is falling' (370)
- (37) ah <u>may</u> Leo thu <u>thal</u> te hesi naw ta?

  1SG:NOM who Leo DAT what DIR give:DEP see PST

  'I saw someone give something to Leo' (348)
- (38) may i i naw ta lahkhi? who - NOM 1SG see - PST - NEG 'nobody saw me' (23)
- (39) thal i i kat'a tis ta lahkhi?

  what NOM 1SG laugh CAUS PST NEG

  'nothing makes me laugh' (23)

# 5.3 Comparatives

The Wappo comparative makes use of the morpheme <u>mahwewela?</u>, which we gloss COMP; this morpheme follows the Standard, so that the comparative clause may be schematized as follows:

(40) a. X Standard mahwewela? Quality

or

b. X Quality Standard mahwewela?

That is, the unit **Standard + COMP** can either precede or follow the predicate expressing the quality being compared. Here is a minimal pair showing the two possible orders:

(41) a. ce k'ew - i <u>ce met'e mahwewela?</u> tuč'a - khi?

DEM man - NOM DEM woman COMP big - STAT

'the man is bigger than the woman' (6)

b. ce k'ew - i tuč'a - khi? <u>ce met'e mahwewela?</u>

DEM man - NOM big - STAT DEM woman COMP

'the man is bigger than the woman' (6)

Here are some further examples:

- (42) ew ah nočay' še? k'ešu mahwewela?

  fish 1SG:NOM enjoy DUR meat COMP

  'I like fish better than meat' (12)
- (43) he k'eš i chica mahwewela? čokali khi?

  DEM deer NOM bear COMP go:fast STAT

  'this deer can run faster than the bear' (12)
- (44) cephi i mahwewela? pesu le?a ne? khi?

  3SG:NOM 1SG COMP money much have STAT

  's/he has more money than I do' (28)
- (45) cephi me okal' i? mahwewela? hatis
  3SG:NOM 3CO speak DUR COMP know:how

coy' - mi? write - DUR

's/he writes better than s/he speaks' (28)

(46) cephi mi mahwewela? mul'ta heta 3SG:NOM 2SG COMP all:the:time here

tu - le - se? DIR - come - DUR

's/he comes here more often than you do' (159)

(47) hehinta ah hu:ši?i:ya pihkah - se? sumi? today 1SG:NOM good feel - DUR yesterday

# mahwewela?

## COMP

'I feel better today than I did yesterday' (159)

- (48) Leo i Karen mahwewela? hukali? otay' mi?

  Leo NOM Karen COMP loud sing DUR

  'Leo can sing louder than Karen can' (282)
- (49) ah George mahwewela? o pa? ta?

  1SG:NOM George COMP UOP eat PST

  'I ate more than George did' (283)

The same ambiguity of grammatical relations as is found in English can also be found in Wappo, as this example illustrates:

(50) ah k'ew haṭis - khi? met'e mahwewela?

1SG:NOM man know - STAT woman COMP

'I know the man better than I do the woman' or 'I know the man better than the woman does' (29)

There is no special morphology for expressing comparisons of equality; here are two examples which express this type of comparison, using the verb **pasakes**- and the comitative case marker on the referent compared:

- (51) ce k'ew k'a ah <u>pasakes khi?</u>

  DEM man COM 1SG:NOM same STAT

  'the man and I are the same (size)' (15)
- (52) cephi i k'a k'ena <u>pasakes khi?</u>

  3SG:NOM 1SG COM tall same STAT

  's/he and I are the same height' (15)

The superlative construction makes use of the morpheme **humisme?**, glossed SUP, as in:

- (53) ah taka? <a href="https://www.humisme?">humisme?</a> tuč'a ne? khi?

  1SG:NOM basket SUP big have STAT

  'I have the biggest basket' (208)
- (54) ce taka? <a href="https://www.number.com/humisme">humisme?</a> tuč' i nuh khe?

  DEM basket SUP big NOM steal PASS

  'the largest basket was stolen' (208)
- (55) i ce?e? <a href="https://humisme?">humisme?</a> k'a načew'is heta

  1SG COP SUP person old here

  'I'm the oldest person here' (209)
- (56) he?e? i me? <a href="humisme?">humisme?</a> ek'a tuč'a COP 1SG GEN SUP son big 'this is my biggest child' (339)
- (57) winu chipe ce?e? <a href="https://humisme?">humisme?</a> hu:ši?i:ya wine red COP SUP good 'red wine is the best' (340)

## 5.4 Predicate Nominal Clauses

Predicate nominal clauses are formed with a special copula morpheme. Its full form is **ce?e?** or **he?e?**, glossed COP, but it is generally shortened to **ce?** or **he?**. There are four pieces of evidence indicating that the copula is not a verb. First, its position is never clause-final, but always before the predicate nominal. Second, it takes no verbal inflections. Third, the subject of the predicate nominal construction never occurs with the nominative case, which is required for any clause with a true predicate. Fourth, in form the copula is related to the demonstrative: the unmarked form, used either with no deictic meaning or with a distal meaning, is **ce?e?**, paralleling the demonstrative **ce** 'that, the', while the marked form, used only with a proximate meaning, is **he?e?**, paralleling the demonstrative **he**, 'this':

- (58) a. <u>ce?e?</u> te čhuya

  COP 3SG house

  'that's his house' (6)
  - b. he?e? te čhuya
    COP 3SG house
    'this is his house' (o)

Here are some further examples:

- (59) ce met'e <u>ce?</u> i ek'a:pi

  DEM woman COP 1SG daughter

  'that woman is my daughter' (8)
- (60) (te) <u>ce?</u> i ek'a 3SG COP 1SG son 'he's my son' (8)
- (61) ce k'ew ce?e? i nokh

  DEM man COP 1SG friend

  'that man is my friend' (8)
- (62) <u>ce?e?</u> k'ešu COP deer 'that's a deer' (8)
- (63) i <u>ce?e?</u> k'ano?ši? 1SG COP Indian 'I am an Indian' (11)
- (64) ce k'ew <u>ce?e?</u> mi ek'a ha?

  DEM man COP 2SG son Q

  'is that man your son?' (16)

- (65) he?e? i nokh

  COP 1SG friend

  'this is my friend' (22)
- (66) <u>ce?e?</u> thal

  COP what

  'what's that?' (29)
- (67) ce olol' mek'an i <u>ce?e?</u> k'ew tuč'a

  DEM dance master NOM COP man big

  'that dancing master is a big guy' (40)
- (68) he taka? he?e? mi me?

  DEM basket COP 2SG GEN

  'this basket is yours' (50)
- (69) may <u>ce?</u> mi who COP 2SG 'who are you?' (72)
- (70) <u>he?e?</u> may' hu? hec' e:ma

  COP self face wipe PURP

  'here's a face towel' (81)
- (71) <u>ce?</u> hukahiya? te

  COP maybe 3SG

  'that must be him' (Text B, 189)
- (72) ih pol'e? <u>ce?</u> te k'ena which boy COP 3SG tall 'which boy is the taller one?' (340)

Predicate nominal clauses are negated by the negative morpheme without the -khi? predicating inflection, -lah. This -lah is also found with dependent clauses, which share with predicate nominal clauses the property of having zero-marked subjects. Here are examples of negative predicate nominal clauses:

- (73) ce?e? k'ew tuč'a <u>lah</u>

  COP man big NEG:DEP

  'he's not a big man' (19)
- (74) te ce?e? i ew <u>lah</u>

  3SG COP 1SG husband NEG:DEP

  'he's not my husband' (19)
- (75) i ce?e? k'ew <u>lah</u>

  1SG COP man NEG:DEP

  'I am not a man' (43)

Though we have translated our examples with the English present tense, the Wappo predicate nominal is actually neutral between a present and a past interpretation, depending on context. If specific past time is to be expressed, a time adverb is used:

(76) ce?e? math i nokh

COP long:past 1SG friend

's/he used to be my friend' (704)

A predicate nominal clause in the future has no copula, but is rather a predicated clause, with a future tense suffix (see section 4.1.5) on the predicate nominal and a nominative subject:

- (77) ah <u>ay iš ya:mi?</u>
  1SG:NOM father INCH FUT
  'I am going to become a father' (151)
- (78) ah <u>k'anihtuč'ma si?</u>

  1SG:NOM chief FUT

  'I'm going to be chief' (151)

5.5 Existential and Possession Clauses

## 5.5.1 Existential clauses

There is no existential construction as such in Wappo. Existential messages are expressed by subject-predicate clauses, as in:

- (79) c'ic'a t i hol wil'uh le?a khi?

  bird PL NOM tree on many STAT

  'there are lots of birds on the tree' (lit., 'the birds on the tree are many')

  (2)
- (80) k'eš i hella ho wala: la?

  deer NOM below DIR walk DUR

  'there's a deer walking around below' (j117)
- (81) layh te eniya? le?a khi? cew white PL too many STAT there 'there are too many whites there' (73)

There is, however, a negative existential verb, <u>la-khi?</u> 'missing-STAT', which may be used to deny existence:

- (82) ce k'ew i <u>la khi?</u>

  DEM man NOM missing STAT

  'that man is missing' (43)
- (83) heta hut' i <u>la khi?</u>
  here coyote NOM missing STAT
  'there aren't any coyotes here' (86)
- (84) he čhuy i winu <u>la khi?</u>

  DEM house NOM wine missing STAT

  'there's no wine in the house' (86)

As discussed above in section 4.10, there are many verbs predicating location which are semantically specific for the shape of the item which is in that location; **yo?-khi?** 'exist-STAT' is the most generally applicable of them.

(85) oya - newela? thal - i <u>yo? - khi?</u>

pot - inside what - NOM exist - STAT

'there's something in the pot' (lit., 'something exists in the pot') (14)

(86) ew - i ečum - uh <u>yo? - khi?</u> fish - NOM river - LOC exist - STAT 'there are fish in the river' (113)

čoi:-khi? 'exist (in a full container) - STAT' is another:

(87) pol' - i <u>čoi: - khi?</u> dirt - NOM exist - STAT 'there's a bucket of dirt' (j92)

# 5.5.2 Possession clauses

Wappo has transitive verbs for both 'to have', <u>ne?-khi?</u>, and 'to lack', <u>lah-khi?</u>. Here are some examples of the affirmative <u>ne?-khi?</u>:

- (88) luče <u>ne? khi?</u> hi? mi? tobacco have - STAT Q 2SG:NOM 'do you have any cigarettes?' (14)
- (89) ah winu ne? khi?

  1SG:NOM wine have STAT

  'I have wine' (21)
- (90) cephi mayš milpa? ne? khi?

  3SG:NOM corn field have STAT

  's/he has a corn field' (23)
- (91) ah pat'aw taka? mel <u>ne? khi?</u>

  1SG:NOM half basket acorn have STAT

  'I have half a basket of acorns' (24)
- (92) taka? ah <u>ne? ukh</u> hak' še? basket 1SG:NOM have - INF like - DUR 'I'd like to have some baskets' (123)

When a property of the item possessed is named, however, although a verb of possession is possible, as in (93):

the preferred construction is one in which the possessed item's property is a predicate. (See Munro 1976 for a similar analysis of the Yuman language Mojave.) Compare (94) with (93):

Here is another example of this "property-as-predicate" construction:

As mentioned in section 3.3.9 on case, only one word in the NP typically shows case. Thus in the "possessed-item's-property-as-predicate" construction, we have minimal pairs of the following type:

Here, now, are examples of the negative verb of possession, lah-khi?:

- (98) ah lel <u>lah khi?</u>

  1SG:NOM stone lack STAT

  'I don't have any money' (64)
- (99) cephi t'ol <u>lah khi?</u>

  3SG:NOM hair lack STAT

  's/he has no hair' (85)
- (100) cephi šawo hak' še?, koto ah ce 3SG:NOM bread want - DUR but 1SG:NOM DEM

Note that a distinction is made between <u>la-khi?</u>, as seen above in (82), (83), and (84), which is strictly intransitive, meaning 'is missing', and the nearly homophonous and synonymous <u>lah-khi?</u>, which is transitive and means 'to lack something'. Sometimes the same message can be expressed with either verb:

(102) a. ah luče <u>lah - khi?</u>

1SG:NOM tobacco lack - STAT

'I don't have any cigarettes' (lit., 'I lack cigarettes') (o)

b. i - me? luč - i <u>la - khi?</u>

1SG - GEN tobacco - NOM missing - STAT

'I don't have any cigarettes' (lit., 'my cigarettes are missing') (62)

# 6. COMPLEX SENTENCES

Complex sentences consist of more than one clause, where "clause" can be defined as a predicate and its arguments. There are two types of complex sentences in Wappo: (1) those in which the constituent clauses are <u>conjoined</u>, that is, in which both clauses have the properties of independent clauses; (2) those in which one clause is <u>dependent</u>, that is, has at least one of the following three characteristics:

- (a) Its verb is a non-finite, or dependent (DEP), form. Dependent verb forms typically drop the word-final glottal stop, as can be seen in the verb form <a href="hak'se">hak'se</a> in the example below, whose form in an independent clause would be hak'se?:
- (1) ah [ ce k'ew i hak' še ] haţis khi?

  1SG:NOM DEM man 1SG like DUR:DEP know STAT

  'I know that the man likes me' (r106)
- (b) Its subject is in the zero-marked (i.e., accusative) case.
- (c) If the main clause subject is a third person which is co-referential with an expressed referent in the dependent clause, that dependent clause mention must be the third person co-referential pronoun **me** (see section 3.9.3).

# 6.1 Conjunctions

Conjoining of two clauses in Wappo, as in many other languages, is often accomplished by juxtaposition, as in (2) - (5), for example:

(2) ceta te - thu calaha:ya nahweya? te - naw - is - ta?, there 3SG - DAT things all:kinds DIR - see - CAUS - PST

ce may' - thu mu - wel - asa?

DEM REFL - DAT DIR - go - CAUS:DUR

'there (he) showed him all kinds of things, (and then) took them (lit., 'takes it') back (to himself) again' (Text B, 179)

- (3) mi? uh otay' ta?, he? ah otay' si?

  2SG:NOM already sing PST now 1SG:NOM sing FUT

  'you sang already; now I'm going to sing' (77)
- (4) mi? ew hak' še?, ah ona? (ew hak' še?)

  2SG:NOM fish like DUR 1SG:NOM also fish like DUR

  'you like fish and so do I' (33)
- (5) sumi ah hu:ši?i:ya pihkah se? , hehinta yesterday 1SG:NOM good feel DUR today

ah uwa pihkah - se? 1SG:NOM bad feel - DUR

'yesterday I felt (lit., 'feel') good, (but) today I feel bad' (36)

In addition, however, there are four coordinating conjunctions, as exemplified below:

## 6.1.1 **wey** 'and'

(6) eniya om - i še?i - khi? uči wey much everywhere - NOM windy - STAT last:night and

i - me? wentana ke?te - khi? 1SG - GEN window break - STAT

'it was real windy last night and my window got broken' (16)

- (7) is i winu uk' ta? wey luče po? ta?

  1PL NOM wine drink PST and tobacco smoke PST 'we drank wine and smoked cigarettes' (38)
- (8) cephi i peh khi? wey (cephi) kat'ah khi?

  3SG:NOM 1SG look:at STAT and 3SG:NOM laugh STAT

  's/he looked at me and laughed' (51)
- (9) ah oma čopis čuteh ta? wey ah
  1SG:NOM everywhere warm forget PST and 1SG:NOM

i - me? kapote ohkhuy' - ta?

1SG - GEN coat put:on - PST

'I forgot that it was warm and/so I put my coat on' (173)

(10) ce šu?u uwa pihkahlik - ta? wey ikha? maṭiṭa la?

DEM after bad feel - PST and how long:time EPIST

uwa pihkah - se? bad feel - DUR

'after that, he felt bad, and I don't know how long he felt (lit., 'feels') bad' (Text B, 185)

# 6.1.2 **k'ota** 'but'

(11) is - i mamte - khi? <u>k'ota</u> is - i 1PL - NOM gamble - STAT but 1PL - NOM

owale - khi?

be:empty-handed - STAT

'we gambled but we didn't win anything' (518)

(12) cephi cey' nokh le?a ne? - khi? <u>k'ota</u> 3SG:NOM long:ago friend many have - STAT but

> cephi he? nokh lah - khi? 3SG:NOM now friend lack - STAT

'long ago s/he had lots of friends, but now s/he doesn't have any friends' (506)

Concessive English elicitors were rendered in Wappo by **k'ota** sentences:

(13) om - i makh - iš - lahkhi? <u>k'ota</u> hol - i everywhere - NOM rain - DUR - NEG but tree - NOM

eniya č'uhe - khi? very dewy - STAT

'even though it isn't raining, the tree is damp with dew' (37)

(14) wey ikha? maṭiṭa la? uwa pihkah - se?, k'ota and how long:time EPIST bad feel - DUR but

ma?a ce šu?u čho?el - khi? just DEMPRO after die - STAT

'and I don't know how long he felt (lit., 'feels') sick, but after that he just died' (Text B, 185)

**k'ota** can even appear in concessive dependent clauses with indefinite pronouns:

(15) te thal ikhamu (ce), <u>k'ota</u> ma?a ah te 3SG what do:DUR:DEP DEM but still 1SG:NOM 3SG

hak' - še?

like - DUR

'whatever s/he does, I still like him/her' (281)

# 6.1.3 **cel'** 'then'

In addition to its use in marking conditional clauses (see section 6.5.3), **cel'** can be used to mark the sequencing of one event after another:

(16) ah k'ew šawo pa? - is - ta? , <u>cel'</u> ah

1SG:NOM man bread eat - CAUS - PST then 1SG:NOM

met'e winu uk' - is - ta? woman wine drink - CAUS - PST

'I made the man eat the bread, and then I made the woman drink the wine' (66)

- (17) <u>cel'</u> mi? thal ikham ta? then 2SG:NOM what do - PST 'what did you do then?' (258)
- (18) wey uči ola šu?u meh yok'el khi?, <u>cel'</u> ečumu h and night four after DIR - sit - STAT then river - LOC

c'es - mi - khi? swim - go:to - STAT

'and after four nights, he got up; then he went swimming in the river' (Text B, 182)

(19) cephi ce ošay' - mi? taka? - thi , tupulu - thi , 3SG:NOM DEM pay - DUR basket - INST beads - INST

<u>cel'</u> isa eml - i hol te - he' - se? ... then 1PL father:in:law - NOM wood DIR - carry - DUR

'he pays for them with baskets and beads, and then the father-in-law carries the wood in, ...' (Text F, 303)

# 6.1.4 thu? 'so, that's why'

There is no morphologically marked reason clause in Wappo. English reason clauses were all translated with **thu?**:

- (20) cephi o pa?o lahkhi? thu? č'oley khi?

  3SG:NOM UOP eat NEG so skinny STAT

  's/he's skinny because s/he doesn't eat' (27)
- (21) ah he taka? mes ta? thu? cephi ce
  1SG:NOM DEM basket make PST so 3SG:NOM DEM

hak' - še? like - DUR 'I made this basket so s/he likes it' (65)

(22) cephi ce?e? šik'a mi nokh, thu? cephi mi 3SG:NOM COP close 2SG friend so 3SG:NOM 2SG

oh - tac' - ta? CAUS - slap - PST

'she's your close friend, that's why she slapped you' (Text D, 299)

## 6.2 Relativization<sup>1</sup>

In Wappo, there are two types of constructions by which a referent is identified by means of a clause. In neither of them is there any morphology or syntax identifying a "head noun" in any syntactic sense. Strictly speaking, then, they are not relative clauses, as linguists have used the term for western languages. We will refer to the clause which identifies a referent as the <u>identifying</u> clause and the other clause as the <u>main</u> clause. In what follows, we will have occasion to refer to the noun (phrase) which is interpreted as the head, but which is not the head in any syntactic sense; for convenience, following Kuroda (1976), we will call it the <u>pivotal noun</u> (even if it is a noun phrase).

<sup>1.</sup> This section is a revision of Li and Thompson (1978).

# 6.2.1 "Internal Head" constructions

An "internal head" construction involves a fully specified clause playing the role of a simple noun phrase in an independent clause. We first present an example of such a clause in the position of the subject, the object, the dative, and the predicate nominal, with the identifying clause enclosed in brackets:

As Subject:

As Object:

As Dative:

(25) ah [ ce k'ew ew t'oh - ta ] (ce) - thu
1SG:NOM DEM man fish catch - PST:DEP DEM - DAT

(i) 'I gave the basket to the man who caught the fish' (r107)

As Predicate Nominal:

(26) ce met'e ce?e? [ omehwiliš i mehlah - ta ]

DEM woman COP dancing:doctor 1SG catch - PST:DEP

'that woman is the dancing doctor who treated me' (318)

As indicated, the demonstrative pronouns are optional; we will return to this point. Here are some further examples of the internal head construction:

(27) ah [ ce k'ew luče po?o - lah ] (ce)
1SG:NOM DEM man cigarette smoke - NEG:DEP DEM

hațis - khi? know - STAT

'I know the man who doesn't smoke' (20)

- (28) [ i te ma o mehwil ta ] ce?e? tuy' 1SG 3SG BENEF UOP tell PST:DEP COP truth 'what I told him/her is true' (351)
- (29) ah [ce k'ew ew t'um' i ]
  1SG:NOM DEM man fish buy DUR:DEP

hak' - še - lahkhi? like - DUR - NEG

'I don't like that man who's buying fish' (22)

- (30) cephi [ i k'ešu t'oh ta ] nuh ta?

  3SG:NOM 1SG deer catch PST:DEP steal PST

  's/he stole the deer that I caught' (3)
- (31) [ce k'ew olol] i i peh khi?

  DEM man dance:DEP NOM 1SG look:at STAT

  'the man who's dancing is looking at me' (n)

We also have a number of examples in which the identifying clause occurs sentence-initially. The demonstrative pronoun seems to be required when it is **cephi**, the nominative form, but optional when it is **ce**, the accusative form.

As Subject:

- (32) [ i čhuya t'um ta ] cephi šoy'i khi?

  1SG house buy PST:DEP 3SG:NOM burn STAT

  'I bought a house, that one burned down' (r108) = 'the house I bought burned down'
- (33) [ ce k'ew kat'akh ] cephi k'ešu peh khi?

  DEM man laugh:STAT:DEP 3SG:NOM deer look:at STAT

  'the man laughed, that one is looking at the deer' (227)

  = 'the man who laughed is looking at the deer'
- (34) [ce k'ew ew t'um ta] cephi i naw ta?

  DEM man fish buy PST:DEP 3SG:NOM 1SG see PST

  'the man bought the fish, that one saw me' (272) = 'the man who bought the fish saw me'

As Object:

- (35) [ i k'ew naw ta ] (ce) ah hak' še?

  1SG man see PST:DEP DEM 1SG:NOM like DUR

  'I saw a man, I like that one' (r108) = 'I like the man I saw'
- (36) [ met'e te naw ta ] (ce) ah hak' še?

  woman 3SG see PST:DEP DEM 1SG:NOM like DUR

  'the woman saw him/her, I like that one' (n) = 'I like the woman who
  saw him/her'
- (37) [mi ce k'ew thu taka? ma hes ta ] (ce)
  2SG DEM man DAT basket DIR give PST:DEP DEM

ah naw - ta? 1SG:NOM see - PST

'you gave the man the basket, I saw that one' (316) = 1 saw the man you gave the basket to'

(38) [ on omehwiliš mehwil - iš ] (ce(koto)) ah people story tell - DUR:DEP DEM(PL) 1SG:NOM

hak' - še? like - DUR

'I like people who tell stories' (352)

The following example shows that the demonstrative pronoun is not used when the pivotal noun is not assumed to be shared information:

(39) [k'ew le?a lel ne? - ukh ] ah ew - ukh man much money have - STAT:DEP 1SG:NOM marry - INF

hak' - še? want - DUR

'I want to marry a man with lots of money' (243)

There are several points to notice about this "internal head" strategy.

- As pointed out above, there is a referent for the pivotal noun in each of the two clauses. That is, taking (36) as an example, both <u>met'e</u> 'woman' and ce, the demonstrative pronoun, have the same referent.
- 2. The identifying clause enclosed in brackets may occur in the position in which a simple noun with that function would typically occur, sentence-initial for the subject, pre-verbal for the object, pre-object for the dative, and post-copula for the predicate nominal, or it may occur sentence-initially. When the identifying clause is both sentence-initial and the subject, as in (32), (33), or (34), the demonstrative pronoun becomes obligatory.
- 3. When the identifying clause occupies the normal position for its role, the identifying clause is case-marked appropriately for the role of the pivotal noun, -i for the subject, -g for the object, and -thu for the dative, and these case markers are clearly attached to the entire clause, since they follow the dependent verb. When the identifying clause is preposed, it is not marked for case, but the demonstrative pronoun following it carries the case of the pivotal noun.
- 4. The identifying clause is fully specified; there are no gaps in it.
- 5. As with an "internal head" strategy in any language, there is no head noun; the noun which is interpreted as the head is strictly a matter of inference.

This last property means that such a sentence may be ambiguous in isolation. Thus, to take (25) as an example, since there is no marking to signal which of the nouns in the dependent clause is to be interpreted as the head, there is nothing to prevent interpreting **ew** 'fish' as the head, in which case the sentence would be interpreted:

(25) (ii) 'I gave the basket to the fish that the man caught'

Note that in both interpretations (i) and (ii), whether **k'ew** 'man' or **ew** 'fish' is interpreted as the head noun, the agent-patient relation remains unchanged: it is the man who caught the fish in both cases. While it is true that in this case, the pragmatics of fish catching men render the reverse interpretation unlikely, it is also our observation that an SOV word order, with order signalling grammatical relations, seems to be more rigidly adhered to in dependent clauses than in independent clauses. In any case, the potential ambiguity of this type of sentence would typically not be an interpretative problem in actual discourse.

Still, this internal head strategy for referent identification is relatively non-transparent in the sense that the syntactic structure gives few clues to the semantic structure in which some referent is being identified or characterized by a clause. Perhaps for this reason, languages with "internal head" strategies also tend to display alternative referent-identifying strategies which are more transparent.

## 6.2.2 The "postposing" strategy

In Wappo, there is an alternative strategy which is essentially a discourse strategy, involving simple juxtaposition of two clauses. Here there is still no head noun, but the demonstrative pronouns are used to aid in identifying the noun which is to be interpreted as the head. We will call this the postposing strategy.

With this postposing strategy construction, the information-bearing, or main, clause is presented first, followed by the identifying clause, which is followed by a resumptive demonstrative pronoun:

As Subject:

(40) čhuya - i šoy'i - khi? [ i t'um - ta ] <u>cephi</u>
house - NOM burn - STAT 1SG buy - PST:DEP 3SG:NOM
'the house burned down, I bought (it), that one' (r108) = 'the house I bought burned down'

(41) ce k'ew - i i hak' - še? [ ce ew DEM man - NOM 1SG like - DUR DEM fish

'the man likes me, (he) is buying fish, that one' (n) = 'the man who's buying fish likes me'

As Object:

- (42) ah k'ew hak' še? [ i naw ta ] <u>ce</u>

  1SG:NOM man like DUR 1SG see PST:DEP DEM

  'I like the man, I saw (him), that one' (r109) = 'I like the man I saw'
- (43) ah ce k'ew ta hak' še? [ (ceko:to) met'e 1SG:NOM DEM man PL like DUR 3PL woman

'I like the men, they saw the woman, that one' (315) ='I like the men who saw the woman'

A variation of this strategy allows an optional abstract noun  $\underline{k'a}$  'person' at the beginning of the identifying clause when the pivotal noun is human. Thus a variant of (42) would be:

(44) ah k'ew hak' - še? [ k'a i naw - ta ]

1SG:NOM man like - DUR person 1SG see - PST:DEP

ce

DEM

'I like the man, I saw the person, that one' (r112) = 'I like the man I saw'

There are four interesting properties of the examples exhibiting this postposing strategy.

Each identifying clause, enclosed in brackets in these examples, is simply juxtaposed to the main clause. That is, these identifying clauses are not in any

obvious way syntactically "subordinate" to their main clauses. Yet they are marked as dependent, both by the -<u>a</u> accusative case marking of their subjects and by their dependent verb forms as mentioned above in the beginning of Chapter 6.

The anaphoric demonstrative pronouns, which we have underlined and translated as 'that one', are always found at the end of the identifying clause. Notice that the case of the demonstrative pronoun indicates the role of the pivotal noun in the information-bearing clause. Thus in (40), where the pivotal noun is the subject of the main verb **šoy'ikhi?** 'burned down', we find the nominative form of the pronoun **cephi**; conversely where the pivotal noun is the object of the main verb **hak'še?** 'like' in (42), the pronoun is in the accusative form **ce**.

With this postposing strategy, the identifying clause does have a gap.

These constructions can be thought of as similar to question-answer pairs. That is, to take (42) as an example, the material after the main clause can be thought of as answering the question 'which one?', as in:

B: Which one?

Thus, these types of clauses are structurally parallel to question-answer pairs in which the identifying clause itself is the answer:

We have many examples showing that this is the standard way to answer a 'which' question:

- (47) A: ih šawo mi? hak' še?

  which bread 2SG:NOM want DUR

  'which bread do you want?'
  - B: [Leo mes ta ] ce

    Leo make PST:DEP DEM

    'Leo made (it), that one' (341) = 'the one Leo made'
- (48) A: ih taka? mi? mes ta?

  which basket 2SG:NOM make PST

  'which basket did you make?'
  - B: [ te lamesa uh yo? okh ] ce

    3SG table LOC sit STAT:DEP DEM

    'the one sitting on the table, that one' (341) = 'the one that's sitting on the table'

The postposing strategy, then, can be seen to involve the sentence-final demonstrative pronoun in the same way as the answer to a 'which' question does; it is a grammaticization of a discourse strategy. And because the demonstrative pronouns are case-marked for the role of the pivotal noun in the main clause, they provide an indication of which noun in the main clause is the pivotal noun. Thus, this postposing strategy is more transparent than the internal head strategy discussed earlier.

# 6.2.3 "Free" relatives

Free relatives are formed with a sentence-initial identifying clause which contains an indefinite pronoun (which are the same in form as question words). As expected, the identifying clause has both the properties of dependent clauses mentioned above: a special verb form and lack of nominative case marking for the subject. Here are some examples:

(49) [ma?a mi thal mes - ta ] ah pa?e - si?

just 2SG what make - PST:DEP 1SG:NOM eat - FUT

'I'll eat whatever you made' (261)

(50) [ te ita čo? - me ] cew ah te - k'a 3SG where go - DUR:DEP there 1SG:NOM 3SG - COM

čo: - si?
go - FUT
'I'll go wherever s/he goes' (261)

(51) [may čhuya - nan - uh te - čo? - me ] (ce) te who house - mouth - LOC DIR - go - DUR:DEP DEM 3SG

kuyalis - lahkhi? let:in:IMP - NEG

'whoever comes to the door, don't let them in' (281)

(52) [me thal t'um - ta ] cephi te - man - ta?

3CO what buy - PST:DEP 3SG:NOM DIR - bring - PST 's/he brought in what s/he had bought' (302)

6.3 Causative<sup>2</sup>

Wappo exhibits three types of causatives: suffixal, periphrastic, and prefixal.

# 6.3.1 The suffixal causative

There is a causative suffix in Wappo. Its form varies, as we will show in the causative paradigms below. An epenthetic consonant appears when the root ends with a vowel, and seems to be an optional variant otherwise. The causee is in the unmarked (i.e., accusative) case. First we will discuss the form of the causative verb with the causative suffix, then we will present some examples.

## A. The form of suffixal causative verbs

The causative verb forms are all based on the unmarked form (Form A) of the verb root (see section 4.3 for verb forms). As noted above, an epenthetic consonant appears when the root ends with a vowel, and seems to be an optional variant

See Li and Thompson (1977) for a more typologically oriented discussion of the Wappo causative.

Table 6-1. Causative Affirmative and Negative Paradigm Templates

Form A + (C) + asa?
CAUS:DUR
Form A + (C) + is - ta?
CAUS - PST
Form A + (C) + is - ya:mi? (1)
CAUS - FUT
Form A + (C) + asi?
CAUS:IMP
Form A + (C) + asa - lahkhi?
CAUS - NEG
Form A + (C) + is - ta - lahkhi?
CAUS - PST - NEG
Form A + (C) + is - yawlahkhi? (1)
CAUS - FUT:NEG
Form A + (C) + is - lahkhi?
CAUS:IMP - NEG

<sup>(1)</sup> These are the <u>ya:mi?</u> forms; for many of our verbs we have the <u>si?</u> forms as well, but not for all (see section 4.1.5 on the future tense).

Table 6-2. Causative Paradigm for olol- 'dance'

Causative Durative	-1-12		
Causative Burative	olol - asa?		
	dance - CAUS:DUR		
	'is making X dance'		
Causative Past	olol - is - ta?		
	dance - CAUS - PST		
	'made X dance'		
Causative Future	olol - is -ya:mi?		
	dance - CAUS - FUT1		
	'will make X dance'		
Causative Imperative	olol - asi?		
	dance - CAUS:IMP		
	'make X dance!'		
Negative Causative Durative	olol - asa -lahkhi?		
	dance - CAUS - NEG		
	'isn't making X dance'		
Negative Causative Past	olol - is - ta -lahkhi?		
	dance - CAUS - PST - NEG		
	'wasn't making X dance'		
Negative Causative Future	olol - is - yawlahkhi?		
	dance - CAUS - FUT1:NEG		
	'won't make X dance'		
Negative Causative Imperative	olol - is -lahkhi?		
	dance - CAUS:IMP - NEG		
	'don't make X dance!'		

Table 6-3. Causative Paradigm for  $\underline{\text{hic}}$ - 'pound to make flour'

C ' P '			
Causative Durative	hic - asa?		
	pound - CAUS:DUR		
	'is making X pound Y'		
Causative Past	hic - is - ta?		
	pound - CAUS - PST		
	'made X pound Y'		
Causative Future	hic - is -ya:mi?		
	pound - CAUS - FUT1		
	'will make X pound Y'		
Causative Imperative	hic - asi?		
	pound - CAUS:IMP		
	'make X pound Y!'		
Negative Causative Durative	hic - asa - lahkhi?		
	pound - CAUS - NEG		
	'isn't making X pound Y'		
Negative Causative Past	hic - is - ta - lahkih?		
	pound - CAUS - PST - NEG		
	'wasn't making X pound Y'		
Negative Causative Future	hic - is -yawlahkhi?		
	pound - CAUS - FUT1:NEG		
	·won't make X pound Y'		
Negative Causative Imperative	hic - is -lahkhi?		
	pound - CAUS:IMP - NEG		
	'don't make X pound Y!'		

Table 6-4. Causative Paradigm for **hinto-** 'sleep'

Causative Durative	hinto - ?asa?	
	sleep - CAUS:DUR	
	'is making X sleep'	
Causative Past	hinto - ?is - ta?	
	sleep - CAUS - PST	
	'made X sleep'	
Causative Future	hinto - ?is -ya:mi?	
	sleep - CAUS - FUT1	
	'will make X sleep'	
Causative Imperative	hinto - ?asi?	
	sleep - CAUS:IMP	
	'make X sleep!'	
Negative Causative Durative	hinto - ?asa - lahkhi?	
	sleep - CAUS - NEG	
	'isn't making X sleep'	
Negative Causative Past	hinto - ?is - ta -lahkih?	
	sleep - CAUS - PST - NEG	
	'wasn't making X sleep'	
Negative Causative Future	hinto - ?is -yawlahkhi?	
	sleep - CAUS - FUT1:NEG	
	'won't make X sleep'	
Negative Causative Imperative	hinto - ?is - lahkhi?	
	sleep - CAUS:IMP - NEG	
	'don't make X sleep!'	

otherwise. The causative affirmative and negative paradigm templates are given in Table 6-1.

Next we provide the causative paradigms for each of the three verbs roots whose full paradigms are given in section 4.2. The first two of these do not take an epenthetic consonant, as their roots end in consonants, but the third, **hinto-** 'sleep', does take an epenthetic consonant, here /7/, as its root is vowel-final.

### B. The form and function of suffixal causative clauses

The first example illustrates the past affirmative causative verb form; note the epenthetic in the causative suffix **-tis**:

Compare with:

The causee is always zero-marked (i.e., in the accusative form), even when there is another patient in the clause. In (55) and (56) we see suffixal causatives with two-argument predicates; in (57) we see suffixal causatives with three-argument predicates (the causee is underlined in each case):

Here are further examples:

- (58) i ek' i i <u>kat'a tis ta?</u>

  1SG son NOM 1SG laugh CAUS PST
  'my son made me laugh' (22)
- (59) cephi i <u>kam is ta lahkhi?</u>

  3SG:NOM 1SG cry CAUS PST NEG

  's/he didn't make me cry' (22) 3
- (60) ah te čhuya nan <u>č'a č'el is ta?</u>

  1SG:NOM 3SG house mouth DIR open CAUS PST

  'I made him/her open the door' (54)
- (61) ah te <u>čopal asa?</u>

  1SG:NOM 3SG warm CAUS:DUR

  'I am making him/her warm' (67)
- (62) ah te oya? <u>ke? tis ta lahkhi?</u>

  1SG:NOM 3SG pot break CAUS PST NEG

  'I didn't make him/her break the pot' (81)
- (63) te <u>kat'a tis lahkhi?</u>

  3SG laugh CAUS:IMP NEG

  'don't make him/her laugh' (85)
- (64) ah te <u>o pa? asa lahkhi?</u>

  1SG:NOM 3SG UOP eat CAUS NEG

  'I don't (ever) make him/her eat' (86)

<sup>3.</sup> We have found a certain amount of variation in the causative forms produced during our work with Laura; thus the negative causative of **kama-** 'cry', **kam - is-** in example (59), does not match the causative of **kama-** 'cry', **kam - tis-** in example (75). It is possible that some forms may have lexicalized, and it is also possible that Laura's access to infrequent causative verb forms was not very stable.

- (65) ma?a <u>k'o? tasi?</u>

  just boil CAUS:IMP

  'just let (it) boil' (101)
- (66) ah te <u>k'u:wey is ya:mi?</u>

  1SG:NOM 3SG run CAUS FUT

  'I'm going to make him/her run' (357d)

The causer need not be animate:

(67) še? - ti hol <u>phele?i - tis - ta?</u>
wind - NOM tree fall:over - CAUS - PST
'the wind made the tree fall over' (24)

The causee need not even be mentioned:

(68) ah luče <u>po? - is - ta?</u>

1SG:NOM tobacco smoke - CAUS - PST

'I made somebody/him/her smoke' (54)

### 6.3.2 The periphrastic causative

The periphrastic causative is formed with the verb <u>mes</u>- 'make' in the main clause and an infinitive form in the dependent clause; see section 6.4.1 for a discussion of infinitives. As in that chapter, we enclose the infinitive clause in brackets.

(69) ah [ i ek'a may' peh - ukh ] mes - ta?

1SG:NOM 1SG son REFL look:at - INF make - PST

'I made my son look at himself' (31)

(70) ah [ te [ taka? wiš - ukh ] mes - ukh ]

1SG:NOM 3SG basket dry - INF make - INF

hak' - še? want - DUR

'I want to make him/her dry the basket' (94)

(71) ah [ te mansa:na? khen - ukh ] mes - ta?

1SG:NOM 3SG apple peel - INF make - PST

'I made her peel the apple' (106)

# 6.3.3 The choice between the suffixal and the periphrastic causative

The suffixal and the periphrastic causatives were often both volunteered for a given elicitor sentence, and claimed to be identical in meaning, as, for example, in the following pairs:

- (72) a. še? ti hol phele?i tis ta?

  wind NOM tree fall:down CAUS PST

  'the wind made the tree fall over' (24)
  - b. še? ti [ hol phele?i ] mes ta?

    wind NOM tree fall:down:INF make PST

    'the wind made the tree fall over' (24)
- (73) a. cephi estufa šoy'i? is ta?

  3SG:NOM stove hot CAUS PST

  's/he made the stove hot' (55)
  - b. cephi [estufa šoy'i: ya ] mes ta?

    3SG:NOM stove hot DUR make PST

    's/he made the stove hot' (55)
- (74) a. cephi mul'ta te o pacoy' asa?

  3SG:NOM all:the:time 3SG UOP wash CAUS:DUR

  's/he<sub>i</sub>'s always making him/her<sub>j</sub> wash the clothes' (96)

b. cephi mul'ta [ te o - pacoy' - ukh ] 3SG:NOM all:the:time 3SG UOP - wash - INF

mes - i? make - DUR

's/he<sub>i</sub>'s always making him/her<sub>i</sub> wash the clothes' (96)

However, in many contexts there is a difference between the suffixal and the periphrastic causative. There is ample evidence that the suffixal causative is preferred when the causation typically would not involve force:

- (75) is i te kama tis ta?

  1PL NOM 3SG cry CAUS PST

  'we made/let him/her cry' (97)
- (76) ma?a te kat'a tasi?

  just 3SG laugh CAUS:IMP

  'make/let him/her laugh' (98)
- (77) ah kaphe k'o tis ta?

  1SG:NOM coffee boil CAUS PST

  'I made/let the coffee boil' (128)

Thus, in contexts in which the causation could either involve or not involve force, the periphrastic causative seems to involve more force. Evidence for this claim is of two kinds. First, when asked to compare the two forms, Laura would suggest paraphrases, as in the following examples:

(78) a. ah ce k'ew ew pa? - is - ta?

1SG:NOM DEM man fish eat - CAUS - PST

'I made the man eat the fish' (I gave it to him to eat) (24)

<sup>4.</sup> It is possible that this difference is neutralized with non-animate causers or causees, as in (72) and (73).

- b. ah [ ce k'ew ew pa? ukh ] mes ta?

  1SG:NOM DEM man fish eat INF make PST

  'I made the man eat the fish' (I forced him to eat it) (24)
- (79) a. ah te luče po? is ta?

  1SG:NOM 3SG tobacco smoke CAUS PST

  'I made him/her smoke the cigarette' (I let him/her puff on mine)

  (54)
  - b. ah [ te luče po? ukh ] mes ta?

    1SG:NOM 3SG tobacco smoke INF make PST

    'I had him/her smoke the cigarette' (I made him/her do it) (54)
- (80) a. ah te kom' is ta?

  1SG:NOM 3SG fall CAUS PST

  'I made him/her fall down' (I pulled him/her by the hand, accidentally or not) (63)
  - b. ah [ te kom' okh ] mes ta?

    1SG:NOM 3SG fall INF make PST

    'I made him/her fall down' (I pushed him/her) (63)
- (81) a. cephi met'e oya? ke? tis ta?

  3SG:NOM woman pot break CAUS PST

  's/he made the woman break the pot' (it was accidental) (63, 99)
  - b. cephi [ met'e oya? ke? ukh ] mes ta?

    3SG:NOM woman pot break INF make PST

    's/he made the woman break the pot' (s/he did it on purpose)

    (63, 99)
- (82) a. ah te yekhe k'el is ta?

  1SG:NOM 3SG mush lick CAUS PST

  'I let him/her eat mush' (94)

- b. ah [ te yekhe k'el ukh ] mes ta?

  1SG:NOM 3SG mush lick INF make PST

  'I had him/her eat mush' (94)
- (83) a. ah te otay' is ta?

  1SG:NOM 3SG sing CAUS PST

  'I made him/her sing' (I asked him/her to sing) (95)
  - b. ah [ te otay' ukh ] mes ta?

    1SG:NOM 3SG sing INF make PST

    'I made him/her sing' (I forced him/her to sing) (95)
- (84) a. ah te me? neph is ta?

  1SG:NOM 3SG hand bleed CAUS PST

  'I let his/her finger bleed' (95)
  - b. ah [ te me? neph ukh ] mes ta?

    1SG:NOM 3SG hand bleed INF make PST

    'I made his/her finger bleed' (95)
- (85) a. ah te hol k'eč' asa lahkhi?

  1SG:NOM 3SG wood chop CAUS NEG

  'I don't let him/her chop wood' (96)
  - b. ah [ te hol k'eč' ukh ] mes i lahkhi?

    1SG:NOM 3SG wood chop INF make DUR NEG

    'I don't make him/her chop wood' (96)
- (86) a. ah te hol k'eč' asa?

  1SG:NOM 3SG wood chop CAUS:DUR

  'I'm letting him/her chop the wood' (97)
  - b. ah [ te hol k'eč' ukh ] mes i?

    1SG:NOM 3SG wood chop INF make DUR

    'I'm making him/her chop the wood' (97)

Second, when the eliciting sentence involved a situation in which the causation is most naturally interpreted as accidental, Laura volunteered the suffixal causative, as in (87) and (88):

- (87) ah kaltu šoy' tis ta?

  1SG:NOM stew burn CAUS PST

  'I burned the stew' (121)
- (88) cephi i winu ohč'ay tis ta?

  3SG:NOM 1SG wine spill CAUS PST

  's/he made me spill the wine' (23)

When she was asked about the periphrastic version of (88), she said that it meant 'he made me throw out the wine'; this underscores the semantic contrast:<sup>5</sup>

(89) cephi [ i winu ohč'ay - ukh ] mes - ta?

3SG:NOM 1SG wine spill - INF make - PST

's/he made me throw away the wine' (24)

Here is an especially illustrative minimal triplet, where each version involves, predictably, more force than the preceding one:

- (90) a. ah čhuya nan č'a č'el ta?

  1SG:NOM house mouth DIR open PST

  'I opened the door' (108)
  - b. ah čhuya nan č'a č'el tis ta?

    1SG:NOM house mouth DIR open CAUS PST

    'I opened the door' (108) (as if it had been stuck)
  - c. ah [ čhuya nan ča č'el ukh ] mes ta?

    1SG:NOM house mouth DIR open INF make PST

    'I opened the door' (108) (I had to pry it open)

<sup>5.</sup> It also makes clear the difficulties faced by all field workers in glossing verb meanings.

# 6.3.4 The prefixal causative

The causative prefix <u>oh</u>- is generally used to form causatives of roots designating properties (those which would tend to be categorized as adjectives in English); compare (91) a. and b.:

- (91) a. ce čhuy i tuč'a khi?

  DEM house NOM big STAT

  'that house is big' (o)
  - b. ah ce čhuya oh tuč' ta?
     1SG:NOM DEM house CAUS big PST
     'I made the house bigger' (25)

Here are further examples:

- (92) oh tuč'i lahkhi?

  CAUS big:IMP NEG

  'don't make (it) bigger' (100)
- (93) ah may' hu? oh chipi: ta?

  1SG:NOM REFL face CAUS red PST

  'I painted my face red' (25)
- (94) ah may' huci oh co: ta?

  1SG:NOM REFL eye CAUS black PST

  'I made my eyes black' (25)
- (95) cephi i <u>oh kal e?</u>

  3SG:NOM 1SG CAUS hurt DUR

  's/he's hurting me' (28)
- (96) cephi hol oh č'eph mi?

  3SG:NOM stick CAUS bent DUR

  's/he is bending the stick' (360)

But it is found with non-property roots as well; we have no counterexamples to the hypothesis that it adds the idea of force to an otherwise transitive root. Compare:

Here are some other examples:

In the following example, Laura said that the addition of the **oh**- makes the command sound "cranky":

There are many examples of its use to add force to a periphrastic causative, as in the following examples:

With the **oh**-, the wind "really" bent the trees over.

A speaker would use **oh**- in (105) under the unusual circumstances in which a basket was dried by hard rubbing, rather than left to dry in the air.

With the <u>oh</u>- in (106), the sentence implies that s/he was made to laugh against his/her will.

hak' - še? want - DUR

'I want to make him/her dry the basket' (94)

Similarly, the <u>oh</u>- in (107) sounded to Laura as if the speaker is peeved with the causee, who doesn't want to dry the basket.

As expected, since the suffixal causative implies lack of force and accidental causation, as demonstrated in section 6.3.3 above, it is in most contexts not compatible with the **oh**- causative prefix:

- (108) ah hel (\*oh) šuṭ tis ta?

  1SG:NOM fire CAUS go:out CAUS PST

  'I let the fire go out' (82) (compare (102))
- (109) ah te <u>(\*oh) kat'a tis ta?</u>

  1SG:NOM 3SG CAUS laugh CAUS PST

  'I made her laugh' (99) (compare (106))
- (110) cephi estufa (\*oh) šoy'i? is ta?

  3SG:NOM stove CAUS hot CAUS PST

  's/he heated up the stove' (126)

However, when there is no opposition between a suffixal and a periphrastic causative, as with a verb with an inherently forceful meaning, then **oh**- is permitted:

Though it is difficult to be certain, it appears that the use of  $\underline{oh}$ - is lexicalized in such forms as the following:

### 6.4 Sentential Complements

Sentential complements in Wappo display the predicted characteristics of dependent clauses: (1) they have the dependent verb form and (2) their subjects have no nominative case marking. In the following discussion, the complement clauses will be enclosed in brackets.

There are two complement types, distinguished by their verb morphology. The first we will refer to as the <u>infinitive</u>, the second as the <u>non-infinitive</u>. In neither case is there any morpheme which could be considered as a complementizer.

### 6.4.1 Infinitive complements

Infinitives in Wappo, typically characterized by the verb suffix -<u>ukh</u> or -<u>okh</u>, are found in many of the same contexts as in English, primarily to represent irrealis events. Causatives, which may also involve infinitives, are discussed separately in section 6.3.

### A. Subject infinitives

We have managed to elicit only a few infinitives in subject position. Interestingly, these clauses do not take the nominative case marker (though relative clauses, for example, do):

With the verbs meaning 'easy' and 'difficult', the infinitive does not occupy the subject position; rather, "raising" of the infinitive clause or its subject seems to occur:

- (117) tahwal' lah [ chica t'ol ukh ] <sup>6</sup>

  job NEG:DEP bear catch INF

  'it's easy (lit., 'not a job') to catch a bear' (34)
- (118) [ he taka? i ] eniya c'iti khi? [ čoč ukh ]

  DEM basket NOM very hard STAT weave INF

  'this basket was very difficult to make' (33)
- (119) [ ce owil i ] [ tay' ukh ] tahwal' lahkhi?

  DEM song NOM sing INF job NEG

  'this song is easy to sing' (285)

It is possible that there is English influence in the following example, leading Laura to use the benefactive case marker in an unprecedented way:

'this basket was very hard for me to make' (33)

<sup>6.</sup> We can only assume that the negation form characteristic of copular clauses is being used in (116) and (117) (as compared to, say, (119)) because Laura considered the noun **tahwal'** 'job' to be a predicate nominal, possibly because of the wording of the English eliciting sentence.

# B. Non-subject infinitives

- (121) he k'ew i [ ew mehlah ukh ] hak' še?

  DEM man NOM fish catch INF want DUR

  'this man wants to catch fish' (i)
- (122) ah [he k'ew taka? man ukh] hak' še?

  1SG:NOM DEM man basket carry INF want DUR

  'I want this man to carry a basket' (i)
- (123) ah i ek'a [k'ešu mewi? ukh] čuṭi: ta?

  1SG:NOM 1SG son deer catch INF tell PST

  'I told my son to catch a deer' (4)
- (124) ah [ ce met'e luče po? ukh ]
  1SG:NOM DEM woman tobacco smoke INF

hak' - še - lahkhi? want - DUR - NEG 'I don't want that woman to smoke' (20)

- (125) cephi [k'ešu mewi? ukh] c'ey ta?

  3SG:NOM deer catch INF stop PST

  's/he stopped catching the deer' (28)
- (126) is i [ o pa? ukh ] homokhel khi?

  1PL NOM UOP eat INF continue STAT

  'we kept on eating' (40)
- (127) ah [ čhuya ma kuy ukh ] ena me?

  1SG:NOM house DIR go INF fear DUR

  'I'm afraid to go into the house' (53)

(128) chic - i [ may' t'onuk' mewi? - ukh ] cam' - i?

bear - NOM REFL tail catch - INF try - DUR

'the bear is trying to catch its (own) tail' (57)

When **hatiskhi?** 'know' occurs with an infinitive, it acquires the meaning 'know how to':

(129) cephi [ olol - ukh ] haţis - khi?

3SG:NOM dance - INF know - STAT

's/he knows how to dance' (40)

Before leaving the topic of infinitives, there is one further point worth a brief mention: there is a non-complement use of the infinitive in which the infinitive clause adverbially modifies the main clause in a similar way to the function of an English participal phrase. Here are some examples:

- (130) ah [k'ešu peh ukh] šawo pa? ta?

  1SG:NOM deer look:at INF bread eat PST

  'I ate the bread watching the deer' (68)
- (131) ah [ lep ukh ] hintoše lahkhi?

  1SG:NOM stand INF can:sleep NEG

  'I can't sleep standing up' (310)
- (132) ah [ luče po? ukh ] o pa?o lahkhi?

  1SG:NOM tobacco smoke INF UOP eat NEG

  'I don't eat while smoking' (310)
- (133) [may' mešukal' ukh ] cephi tu le?a khi?

  REFL hurry INF 3SG:NOM DIR come STAT 's/he came here in a hurry' (334)

### 6.4.2 Non-infinitive complements

We were not successful in eliciting any non-infinitive complements; (134) is one attempt. Note that 'good' in the English elicitor can be regarded as the main verb of its sentence. However, **hu:ši?i:aya** must be an adverb; it cannot be the main verb of

the Wappo sentence because <u>ah</u>, the first person singular pronoun, is in the nominative, rather than the accusative which would be necessary if it were the subject of a complement clause, and the only verb marked as finite is <u>ne?khi?</u> 'have':

(134) hu:ši?i:ya ah ok'o:to ne? - khi?

good 1SG:NOM children have - STAT

'it's good that I have children' (43)

All our examples of non-infinitive complements, then, are object complements. The complement typically precedes the main verb, as expected for objects; when the main verb's subject is <u>ah</u>, the first person singular, however, the complement was often volunteered in either final (as in (135)) or initial (as in (136)) position.

- (135) ah haṭis khi? [ te taka? mani ya ]

  1SG:NOM know STAT 3SG basket carry DUR:DEP

  'I know s/he is taking the basket' (5)
- (136) [ i olol o ] ah hinčoh ta?

  1SG dance DUR:DEP 1SG:NOM dream PST

  'I dreamed that I was dancing' (68)
- (137) ah [ ce hin na:čitis ] natuy' si?

  1SG:NOM DEM moon round believe DUR

  'I believe that the moon is round' (27)
- (138) c'ic' i [ may' thi me otay' uh ] bird NOM REFL INST 3CO sing DUR:DEP

kah - ši? hi? hear - DUR Q

'did the bird hear itself singing?' (57)

(139) George - i [ oma makha - wis - lah ]
George - NOM everywhere rain - FUT:DEP - NEG:DEP

hok'om - se?

expect - DUR

'George doesn't think it will rain' (52)

(140) ah ce k'ew - thu hum' - i? [ te hol 1SG:NOM DEM man - DAT blame - DUR 3SG stick

č'awaš - ta ]

break - PST:DEP

'I blame the man for breaking the stick' (238)

(141) [ i awa ce mehwil - ta ] ah

1SG uncle DEM tell - PST:DEP 1SG:NOM

huhkal - še?

remember - DUR

'I remember my uncle telling that (story)' (74)

(142) [ i sumi? mi naw - ta ] ah

1SG yesterday 2SG see - PST:DEP 1SG:NOM

čuteh - ta?

forget - PST

'I forgot that I saw you yesterday' (74)

(143) ah haṭis - khi? [ oma c'um ]

1SG:NOM know - STAT everywhere cloudy:DEP

1 know it's cloudy' (173)

While <u>huyek'še?</u> 'glad' is a complement-taking verb, other expressions evaluating states of affairs may be adverbs in ordinary independent clauses, as with <u>hansoya</u> 'I'm sorry, regretfully':

(145) hansoya ah winu lah - khi?
I'm:sorry 1SG:NOM wine lack - STAT
'I'm sorry I don't have any wine'

Here is a minimal pair contrasting an infinitive and a non-infinitve complement:

'I don't like it that s/he talks so much' (70)

Perception verbs take non-infinitive complements rather than infinitive complements:

The following example illustrates this, though the a. and b. clauses differ in terms of word order as well:

- (148) ah haṭis khi? ce k'ew uk'iš -1SG:NOM know STAT DEM man drink
  'I know that man drinks --'
- (149) a. ah sumi? naw ta? ce k'ew uk'iš

  1SG:NOM yesterday see PST that man drink:DUR:DEP

  'I saw that man drinking yesterday'
  - b. \* ah sumi? ce k'ew uk' uhk naw ta?
    1SG:NOM yesterday DEM man drink INF see PST
    \*'I saw that man to drink yesterday'

There does not appear to be a distinction between the verb forms used in in indirect speech (such as the "sequence of tenses" found in English) and those found in complements in general. Here is an example of indirect speech:

(150) cephi [ me tu - le?a - wis - lah ] 3SG:NOM 3CO DIR - come - FUT:DEP - NEG:DEP

hah - ta? say - PST

's/he<sub>i</sub> said s/he<sub>i</sub> wouldn't be here' (84)

(151) ah lakhu [ ce k'ew nale? - ša ] hah - ta?

1SG:NOM CLAR DEM man angry - DUR:DEP say - PST

'I said that the man was angry' (9)

Direct quotes, as would be expected, do not show special syntax; in particular the subject of a direct quote appears in the nominative case just as it would with any independent clause, rather than in the accusative, as it would if it were a dependent clause.

### 6.4.3 Indirect questions

The structure of indirect questions is entirely predictable: the subject of the indirect question does not appear in the nominative case, and its verb is in the dependent form.

A. Indirect question-word questions

Indirect question-word questions will contain at least one question word.

- (152) [may chica toh ta ] ah haṭasu kh lahkhi?

  who bear kill PST:DEP 1SG:NOM know STAT NEG

  'I don't know who killed the bear' (9)
- (153) [chica may toh ta ] ah bear who kill PST:DEP 1SG:NOM

haṭasu - kh - lahkhi? know - STAT - NEG

'I don't know who the bear killed' (9)

(154) [ ce k'ew thal t'um - ta ] ah i - ma

DEM man what buy - PST:DEP 1SG:NOM 1SG - BENEF

mehwile?

tell:IMP2

'tell me what the man bought' (9)

- (155) [ iha mi te naw ta ] ah čuteh ta?

  when 2SG 3SG see PST:DEP 1SG:NOM forget PST

  'I forgot when you saw him/her' (500)
- (156) haţis khi? ah [ ikha? tehla eču wela ] know STAT 1SG:NOM how far river LOC 1 know how far it is to the river' (219)

Wappo also has an infinitival question-word indirect question:

(157) [ ita mayok' - okh ] i čuţi?

where put - INF 1SG order:IMP

'tell me where to put (it)' (306)

Since question words are also used as indefinite pronouns, these indirect questions, particularly those which do not express doubt, may, in appropriate contexts, also be understood as complements with indefinite prounouns. For example, both (159) and (160) can have the two interpretations indicated:

- (159) ce k'ew ma mehwile? [ mi thal naw ta ]
  DEM man BENEF tell:IMP2 2SG what see PST:DEP
  - (i) 'tell the man what you saw' (170)
  - (ii) 'tell the man that you saw something' (170)
- (160) ah huhkal še? [ te ita čoho kh ]
  1SG:NOM remember DUR 3SG where go STAT:DEP
  - (i) 'I remember where s/he went' (170)
  - (ii) 'I remember that s/he went somewhere' (170)
- B. Indirect yes-no questions

There is no morphology specific to indirect yes-no questions:

Indirect yes-no questions which actually express doubt allow an optional **thal** 'what'.

(162) [ te yekhe hak' - še ] (thal) ah

3SG acorn:mush like - DUR:DEP what 1SG:NOM

čuteh - ta?

forget - PST

'I forgot whether s/he likes acorn mush' (118)

(163) ah haṭasu - kh - lahkhi? [ te čoho - kh ]
1SG:NOM know - STAT - NEG 3SG go - STAT:DEP

(thal)

what

'I don't know whether he went' (168)

(164) [ te c'esih - wis ] (thal) ah te - thu 3SG swim - FUT:DEP what 1SG:NOM 3SG - DAT

cewis - ta?

ask - PST

'I asked her whether she could swim' (71)

Contrast (165) and (166):

(165) ah [ te luče po?o ] (thal)
1SG:NOM 3SG tobacco smoke:DUR:DEP what

hatasu - kh - lahkhi?

know - STAT - NEG

'I don't know whether he smokes' (29)

(166) ah [ te luče po?o - lah ]
1SG:NOM 3SG tobacco smoke:DUR:DEP - NEG:DEP

'I know that he doesn't smoke' (20)

In addition, there is another way to express doubt: the 'I don't know' epistemic marker **!a7**:

- (167) thal i <u>la?</u> ke?te khi? what - NOM EPIST break - STAT 'I don't know what broke' (33)
- (168) ita <u>la?</u> cephi čo: khi? where EPIST 3SG:NOM go - STAT 'I don't know where she went' (168)

#### 6.5 Adverbial Clauses

Adverbial clauses in Wappo also exhibit, as expected, the two characteristics of dependent clauses: (1) the accusative form of the subject, and (2) a special verb form. In this section, we will discuss purpose clauses, temporal clauses, and conditional clauses. In the examples the adverbial clauses are enclosed in brackets.

## 6.5.1 Purpose clauses

Purpose clauses are characterized by a verbal suffix -e:ma, which we gloss as PURP. When the subjects of the two clauses have the same referent, the purpose clause subject is unexpressed.

- (169) ce chic i [ c'ic'a ṭ'ol e:ma ] te hew'i khi?

  DEM bear NOM bird kill PURP DIR jump STAT 'the bear jumped down in order to kill the bird' (vi)
- (170) ah ečumu h čo: khi? [ te i naw e:ma ]

  1SG:NOM river LOC go STAT 3SG 1SG see PURP

  'I went to the river so s/he could see me' (31)

- (171) ah lewa ma kuyel khi? [ k'ešu naw e:ma ]

  1SG:NOM outside DIR walk STAT deer see PURP

  'I went outside to see the deer' (83)
- (172) taka? ah ne? ukh hak' še? [ manaw' e:ma ] basket 1SG:NOM have INF want DUR show PURP 'I'd like to have some baskets to show' (128)
- (173) [ olol e:ma ] on pakan ta?

  dance PURP people invite PST

  '(he) invited people for dancing' (Text B, 183)
- (174) ah may' khuy'e si? [ čoh e:ma ]

  1SG:NOM REFL dress:up FUT go PURP

  'I'm going to dress up to go out' (263)
- (175) A: ikhame:ma mi? ono?ši? okel haṭ ukh why 2SG:NOM Indian language know - INF

hak' - še? want - DUR

'why do you want to learn Indian language?'

In addition to its adverbial usage, a purpose clause can be used as a predicate nominal:

(176) he oye? (ce?(e?)) [ k'ešu k'o? - e:ma ]

DEM pot COP meat boil - PURP

'this pot is for cooking meat' (60)

A purpose clause may also be an adjunct to an indefinite head noun:

A verb form with a purpose suffix may be used as a noun; it is not clear to what extent this is a productive process. We have many examples, of which the following are representative:

wol - 'stir'	wol - e:ma	'paddle, stirrer'
k'o? - 'cook, boil'	k'o? - e:ma	'cooking vessel'
	k'ot - e:ma	
hec' - 'wipe'	hec' - e:ma	'towel'
coy' - 'pound'	coy' - e:ma	'pounding stick'
<b>okal</b> - 'talk'	okal - e:ma	'telephone'
očoč - 'weave'	očoč - e:ma	'weaving hook'
otay' - 'sing'	otay' - e:ma	'music box'
oyeh - 'trap (V)'	o:yeh - e:ma	'trap (N)'
chiw lat' -	chiw lat' - e:ma	'fly swatter'
fly whip		
hel khuţ-	hel khut - e:ma	'stove'
fire build	·	

### 6.5.2 Temporal clauses

There are three temporal clause conjunctions, <u>wen</u> 'when, while', <u>šu?u</u> 'after', and <u>yela</u> 'before'. Each of them occurs at the end of the dependent clause. We

present them as separate words, though we have no strong evidence for analyzing them as either words or suffixes.

A. wen 'when'

The conjunction **wen** is used only in past contexts.

(179) [chica me mewiy' - ta <u>wen</u>] cephi bear 3CO catch - PST:DEP when 3SG:NOM

pahčhoti - khi?

scared - STAT

'when the bear got hold of him/her, he got scared' (36)

(180) [ i olol - o <u>wen</u> ] cephi waraha 1SG dance - DUR:DEP when 3SG:NOM card

nayemi - se?

play - DUR

'while I was dancing, she was playing cards' (39)

(181) [ i očoči - ta - lahukh <u>wen</u> ] cephi 1SG weave - PST:DEP - NEG:DEP when 3SG:NOM

nale? - iš - khi?

angry - INCH - STAT

'when I didn't make( the basket), he got angry' (59)

(182) [ i čhuya ma - kuy - se <u>wen</u> ] ah i ek'a 1SG house DIR - go - DUR:DEP when 1SG:NOM 1SG son

huhkal - ta?

think - PST

'as I was going into the house, I thought of my son' (74)

'I didn't eat fish when I was little' (85)

'and we saw our dog when we came back' (Text C, 233)

There does not appear to be any Wappo conjunction specific for reason clauses; our 'because' clause elicitor sentences were either rendered as conjunctions (see section 6.1.4) or translated with **wen**:

'the chief got angry when/because the deer ran away' (7)

We have one instance of the conditional morpheme <u>cel'</u> (see section 6.5.3 below) being used to mark a past tense temporal clause (cf. (191) below):

(186) [isa čoho - kh <u>cel'</u>] ceko:t - i isa 1PL go - STAT:DEP COND 3PL - NOM 1PL

> kat'ah - khi? laugh - STAT

'when we left, they laughed at us' (38)

- B. **šu?u** 'after'
  - (187) [ yekhe k'el ta <u>šu?u</u> ] ah ečumu h mush lick - PST:DEP after 1SG:NOM river - LOC

čo: - khi?

go - STAT

'after I ate the mush, I went to the river' (34)

(188) ceko:t - i [ mesa o - pa? - ta <u>šu?u</u> ]

3PL - NOM 3CO:PL UOP - eat - PST:DEP after

hinwey?a - khi?

sleep - STAT

'when they had finished eating, they went to sleep' (47)

(189) George - i [ me ečumu - h c'es - ta <u>šu?u</u> ] George - NOM 3CO river - LOC swim - PST:DEP after

ce met'e naw - ta?

**DEM woman see - PST** 

'after George went swimming in the river, he saw the woman' (62)

'after (he) showed (him) everything, (he) gave him a quarter' (Text B, 180)

wen and šu?u can be interchangeable in certain contexts:

(191) [ isa čoho - kh 
$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} wen \\ su?u \end{array}\right\}$$
 ] ceko:t - i isa  $su$ ?u  $\left\{\begin{array}{l} su?u \end{array}\right\}$  1PL go - STAT:DEP  $\left\{\begin{array}{l} su?u \end{array}\right\}$  3PL - NOM 1PL  $\left\{\begin{array}{l} su?u \end{array}\right\}$ 

kat'ah - khi? laugh - STAT

'when we left, they laughed at us' (38)

C. yela 'before'

**yela** can also be used in either past or non-past contexts:

(192) ah šawo pa? - ta? [ ce k'ew 1SG:NOM bread eat - PST DEM man

te - kuyalu - kh <u>yela</u> ]
DIR - enter - STAT:DEP before

'I ate the bread before the man came in' (7)

(193) [ me k'ešu k'eč'e - wis <u>yela</u> ] cephi uh kučiya? 3CO meat cut - FUT:DEP before 3SG:NOM already knife

'before he can cut the meat, he (already) sharpened the knife' (37)

(194) mi - me? kučiya? oh - hey - e? [ mi (keye) k'ešu 2SG - GEN knife CAUS - sharp - IMP 2SG OPT meat

'before you cut the meat, sharpen the knife' (37)

### 6.5.3 Conditionals

The conditional conjunction is **cel'**, which we gloss as COND. Unlike any of the other dependent conjunctions in Wappo, it has a suppletive alternant which appears in negative conditional clauses, **kha**, glossed COND:NEG. We will illustrate each of these separately.

(195) [ i šawo ne? - khe <u>cel'</u> ] keye ah

1SG bread have - STAT:DEP COND OPT 1SG:NOM

'if I had some bread, I'd eat it' (35)

(196) [ me yekhe k'ele <u>cel'</u> ] keye cephi hu:ši?i:ya 3CO mush lick COND OPT 3SG:NOM good

pihkah - lah

feel - HYP

'if she ate some acorn mush, she'd feel better' (36)

(197) [ i uwa pihkahli - kh <u>cel'</u> ] ah winu 1SG bad feel - STAT:DEP COND 1SG:NOM wine

uk' - ši?

drink - FUT

'if I get sick, I'll drink some wine' (37)

- (198) [ mi te naw'i <u>cel'</u> ] mi? te misi si?

  2SG 3SG find COND 2SG:NOM 3SG marry FUT

  'if you find her, you'll marry her' (61)
- (199) [ mi te o me? is <u>cel'</u> ] keye cephi 2SG 3SG UOP - feed - CAUS COND OPT 3SG:NOM

čho?e - lahkhih

die - NEG:HYP

'if you had fed it, it wouldn't have died' (88)

(200) [ te ceta ohc'om'ah <u>cel'</u> ] ah te 3SG there squat COND 1SG:NOM 3SG

oh - wathih - si?

CAUS - hit - FUT

'if he squats there, I'll hit him/her' (609)

The conditional morpheme **cel'** is also used for habitual contexts:

- (201) [ phil' makha <u>cel'</u> ] ah chach še? snow precipitate COND 1SG:NOM cold - DUR 'if it snows, I get cold' (36)
- (202) [ i winu uk'i <u>cel'</u> ] ah uwa pihkah se?

  1SG wine drink COND 1SG:NOM bad feel DUR

  'when I drink wine, I feel bad' (207)
- (203) [ me ololi <u>cel'</u> ] cephi huth ša?

  3CO dance COND 3SG:NOM crazy DUR

  'whenever he dances, he goes crazy' (150)
- (204) [ mi Jenny k'a yok'i <u>cel'</u> ] cephi mi 2SG Jenny - COM live COND 3SG:NOM 2SG

hopeh - se? he? care:for - DUR Q

'when you stay with Jenny, does she take care of you?' (208''')

- (205) [ oma makha <u>cel'</u> ] i phe? i kali ša? everywhere precipitate COND 1SG foot - NOM hurt - DUR 'whenever it rains, my feet hurt' (281)
- (206) cephi i peh ša lahkhi? [ i me thu 3SG:NOM 1SG look:at DUR NEG 1SG 3CO DAT

okal'te <u>cel'</u> ] talk COND

'she doesn't look at me when I talk to her' (286)

As in many languages, there is no morphological distinction between more and less certainty for future conditionals. The following examples of certain future situations are all translated with 'when' or 'while' in English, but treated as a subclass of conditionals in Wappo:

- (207) may' hucati ti? [ mi k'ešu yekha <u>cel'</u> ]

  REFL careful IMP 2SG deer hunt COND

  'be careful when you go hunting' (14)
- (208) [ isa čo: <u>cel'</u> ] ceko:t i isa kat'ah si?

  1PL go COND 3PL NOM 1PL laugh FUT

  'when we leave, they'll laugh at us' (38)
- (209) [ isa he omehwiliš pikali kh <u>cel'</u> ] mi?

  1PL DEM story listen STAT:DEP COND 2SG:NOM

opa?ukh mes - ti?

food make - IMP

'while we listen to the story, you cook dinner' (39)

- (210) [ ce layh tu le?a <u>cel'</u> ] okal'te lahkhi?

  DEM white:person DIR come COND talk:IMP NEG

  'when that white person comes, don't talk' (69)
- (211) ah tuč' iš hak' še? [ i hewa <u>cel'</u> ]

  1SG:NOM big INCH want DUR 1SG grow COND

  'I want to be big when I grow up' (94)

Concessive conditionals do not appear to have special morphology; rather concessive morphemes are found in the main clause (see section 6.1.2):

(212) [ chica me mewiy'i <u>cel'</u> ] kot'a ma?a cephi bear 3CO catch COND but still 3SG:NOM

ce pahčhoţi - kh - lahkhi?

DEM afraid - STAT:DEP - NEG

'even if a bear caught him/her, he wouldn't be afraid' (36)

## B. kha COND:NEG

**kha** is used instead of **cel'** when the conditional clause is negative:

(213) [ i k'ešu t'oh - lah <u>kha</u> ] keye cephi 1SG deer kill - NEG:DEP COND:NEG OPT 3SG:NOM

i - thu nale? - iš - lahkhih

1SG - DAT angry - INCH - NEG:HYP

'if I hadn't killed the deer, he wouldn't have gotten angry' (35)

(214) [ mi o - pa?e - lah <u>kha</u> ] mi? 2SG UOP - eat - NEG:DEP COND:NEG 2SG:NOM

ohak'lek - si?

hungry - FUT

'if you don't eat, you'll be hungry'

(215) [ i te - wele - lah <u>kha</u> ]

1SG DIR - return - NEG:DEP COND:NEG

nale? - iš - lahkhi?

angry:IMP - INCH - NEG

'if I don't come back, don't get mad' (59)

(216) [ i ek'a ew t'ume - lah <u>kha</u> ] ah

1SG son fish buy - NEG:DEP COND:NEG 1SG:NOM

nale? - iš - i?

angry - INCH - DUR

'if my son doesn't buy fish, I'll be angry' (114)

(217) pa?e - lahkhi? [ mi hak'likh - lah <u>kha</u> ] eat:IMP - NEG 2SG like - NEG:DEP COND:NEG 'don't eat it if you don't like it' (204)

## **Appendix: Additional Verb Paradigms**

In order to supplement the verb paradigms presented in section 4.2 and illustrate further the pattern of epenthesis and stem change described in section 4.3, we show additional paradigms for 20 verbs, with representative examples from each major verb class. Some of the blanks in these paradigms are due to the fact that we did not happen to collect those particular forms, but others represent forms that do not occur, as described in chapter 4. For example, the STAT suffix only occurs with stative verbs but not with active, which explains the blanks in the STAT row for DUR1 and DUR2 verbs, which are typically active.

The reader is invited to compare the paradigms here with the discussion in section 4.3 (particularly the summary table at the end of the section) to observe how the Wappo verb paradigm operates. Note, however, as with the verbs discussed in section 4.3, there are a small number of idiosyncratic exceptions in these paradigms as well. While we are unable to account for these exceptions, we believe the paradigms presented here serve as a good overview of the Wappo verb system.

For the FUT forms, we included only the FUT2 form from the two possible forms (see section 4.1.5) because we find they are virtually always used in the same manner

word	as	choy'	pitek	kham
gloss	leech	write	knock over by bumping into	ор
DUR class		_	_	2
IMP class	_	_	2	
INF class	_	_	_	_
DUR	as - mi?	choy' - mi?	piteku - mi?	kham - i?
PST	as - ta?	choy' - ta?	piteki - ta?	kham - ta?
STAT				
FUT	asi - si?	choy'ih - si?	pitekel - si?	khamih - si?
IMP	as - ti?	choy' - ti?	pitekel	kham - ti?
NEG	asu - lahkhi?	choy'o - lahkhi?	pitekise - lahkhi?	khamu - lahkhi?
NEG:FUT	asi - lahkhusi?	choy'ih - lahkhusi?	pitekel - lahkhusi?	khamih - lahkhusi?
NEG:IMP		choy'ih - lahkhi?	pitekel - lahkhi?	khamih - lahkhi?
L L	as - ukh	choy' - ukh	pitek - ukh	kham - ukh
CAUS	as - asa?	choy' - asa?	pitek - asa?	kham - asa?
PURP	as - e:ma	choy' - e:ma	pitekel - ma	
PASS	as - khe?	choy' - khe?	piteku - khe?	
-mime?	as - mime?	choy' - mime?	pitek - ime? / piteku - mime?	
-miti7	as - miti?	choy' - miti7	pitek - iti7	kham - iti?

word	heyh	čoč	wal'	nočay' - še?
gloss	saw wood	weave	call, ask for	enjoy by tasting
DUR class	2	2	3	4
IMP class	2	2	2	_
INF class	_		1	_
DUR	heyh - i?	čoč - i?	wal' - ši?	nočay' - še?
PST	heyh - ta?	čoči - ta?	wal' - ta?	
STAT				nočay'a - khi?
FUT	heyhe - si?	čoče - si?	wal'i - si?	nočay'a - si?
IMP	heyhe?	čoče?	wal'i?	nočay'a - ti?
NEG	heyh - i - lahkhi?	čoč - i - lahkhi?	waľ - iš - lahkhi?	nočay' - še - lahkhi?
NEG:FUT	heyhe - lahkhusi?	čoče - lahkhusi?	wal'i - lahkhusi?	nočay'a - lahkhusi?
NEG:IMP	heyhe - lahkhi?	čoče - lahkhi?		nočay'a - lahkhi?
L L	heyh - ukh	čoč - ukh	wal' - ukh	
CAUS	heyh - asa?	čoč - asa?		nočay' - asa?
PURP	heyh - e:ma	čoč - e:ma		
PASS	heyh - khe?	čoču - khe?		
-mime?	heyh - ime?	čoč - ime?		
-miti?	heyh - iti?	čoč - iti?	wal' - iti?	

gloss breathe  DUR class 4  IMP class 2  INF class 1  DUR mešik' - še?  PST  STAT mešik'el - khi? FUT mešik'el - si?		mad/angry at	glad	forget
lass ass ass				
ass ass		5	9	9
ass		2	<b>-</b>	2
		3	<b>-</b>	_
		nale? - ša?	huyek' - se?	čuteh - se?
				čuteh - ta?
mešik	~		huyek'i - khi?	
		nale?i - ši?	huyek'a - si?	čutehel - si?
		nale?ši?	huyek'a - ti?	čutehel'
NEG mešik' - še - lahkhi?	ahkhi?	nale? - ša - lahkhi?	huyek' - se - lahkhi?	čuteh - se - lahkhi?
NEG:FUT mešik'el - lahkhusi?	khusi?	nale?iš - lahkhusi?	huyek'a - lahkhusi?	čutehel - lahkhusi?
NEG:IMP mešik'el - lahkhi?	khi?	nale?iš - lahkhi?		čutehel - lahkhi?
<u>L</u>		nale? - is		čuteh - ukh
CAUS		nale? - asa?		čutehal - asa?
PURP				
PASS				
-mime?				
-miti?				

CICIN	200	hoňob	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	30,0
WOIG	IIIdii	1100011	DIIII	C 63
ssol6	take out	walk around	shake (tree to get fruit)	swim, bathe
DUR class	2	8	10	11
IMP class	2	2	2	_
INF class	2	_	_	_
DUR	mani - ya?	hočoh - ala?	pihil' - si7	c'es - e?
PST	manumek - ta?		pihil' - ta?	c'es - ta?
STAT				
FUT	manumekh - si?	hočohel - si?	pihil'e: - si7	c'esi - si?
IMP	manuma?	hočohel	pihil'e?	c'es - ti?
NEG	man - iya - lahkhi?	hočoh - ala - lahkhi?	pihil' - is - lahkhi?	c'es - e - lahkhi?
NEG:FUT	manumek - lahkhusi?	hočohel - lahkhusi?		c'esi - lahkhusi?
NEG:IMP	manumekh - lahkhi?	hočohel - lahkhi?	pihil'e: - lahkhi?	c'esi - lahkhi?
L Z	manumekh	hočoh - ukh	pihil'h - ukh	c'es - ukh
CAUS		hočohal - asa?	pihil' - asa?	c'es - asa?
PURP			pihil' - e:ma	c'es - e:ma
PASS			pihil' - khe?	
-mime?			pihil' - mime? / pihil' - ime?	c'es - mime?
-miti?			pihil' - iti?	c'es - miti?

word	mam	čoh	elu?	pika
gloss	gamble	ob	stay with it, keep at it	listen to
DUR class	11	12	13	0
IMP class	2	2	ن	3
INF class	_	_	2	_
DUR	mam - e?	čoh - me?	elu? - sa?	
PST			elu?is - ta?	pika - ta?
STAT	mamte - khi?	čo: - khi?		pika - khi?
FUT	mamte - si?	čo: - si?	elu?i - si?	pikalik - si?
IMP	mamte?	čo?		pika - la?
NEG	mam - e - lahkhi?	čoh - me - lahkhi?	elu? - sa - lahkhi?	pika - khi - lahkhi?
NEG:FUT	mamte - lahkhusi?	čo: - lahkhusi?	elu?is - lahkhusi?	pikalik - lahkhusi?
NEG:IMP		čo: - lahkhi?		pikalik - lahkhi?
INF		čoh - ukh	elu7is	pika - kh
CAUS		čoh - asa?		pikal - asa?
PURP	mam - e:ma	čoh - e:ma		
PASS				
-mime?				
-miti?				

## Bibliography

- Bybee, Joan L. and William Pagliuca. 1987. The evolution of future meaning. In Anna G. Ramat, Onofrio Carruba, and Giuliano Bernini, eds., Papers from the the 7<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Historical Linguistics, 109-122. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Bybee, Joan, William Pagliuca, and Revere Perkins. 1994. The evolution of grammar: tense, aspect and modality in the languages of the world. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Comrie, Bernard. 1976. Tense. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Driver, H. E. 1936. Wappo ethnography. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology 36:179-220.
- Elmendorf, William. 1963. Yukian-Siouan lexical similarities. International Journal of American Linguistics 29:300-309.
- Elmendorf, William. 1964. Item and set comparison in Yuchi, Siouan, and Yukian. International Journal of American Linguistics 30:328-340.
- Elmendorf, William. 1968. Lexical and cultural change in Yukian. Anthropological Linguistics 10.7:1-41.
- Elmendorf, William. 1981. Features of Yukian pronominal structure. Journal of California and Great Basin anthropology papers in linguistics 3:3-16.
- Elmendorf, William. 1997. A preliminary analysis of Yukian root structure. International Journal of American Linguistics 39:74-91.
- Greenberg, Joseph. 1987. Language in the Americas. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

- Gursky, K.-H. 1965. Zur Frage der historischer Stellung der Yuki-Sprachfamilie. Abhandlungen der Völkerkundlichen Arbeitsgemeinschaft 8:1-25.
- Kroeber, Alfred. 1911. The languages of the coast of California north of San Francisco. University of California Publications in American Archeology and Ethnology 9.
- Kroeber, Alfred. 1925. The Yuki, Huchnom and Coast Yuki: The Wappo. Bulletin of the Bureau of American Ethnology 78:159-221.
- Kroeber, Alfred. 1959. Possible Athabascan influences on Yuki. IJAL 25:59.
- Kuroda, S.Y. 1976. Headless relative clauses in modern Japanese and the relevancy condition. Berkeley Linguistics Society 2: 269-279.
- Li, Charles N. and Sandra A. Thompson. 1976. Strategies for signalling grammatical relations in Wappo. Papers from the 12th Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society, 450-457.
- Li, Charles N. and Sandra A. Thompson. 1977. The causative in Wappo: a special case of doubling. Proceedings of the third annual meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society. Berkeley: Berkeley Linguistics Society.
- Li, Charles N. and Sandra A. Thompson. 1978. Relativization strategies in Wappo. Proceedings of the fourth annual meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society: 106-113.
- Li, Charles N. and Sandra A. Thompson. 1993. The Wappo third-person coreferential pronoun. In Anthony Mattina and Timothy Montler, eds., American Indian Linguistics and Ethnography in Honor of Laurence C. Thompson, University of Montana Occasional Papers in Linguistics, No. 10, 477-487.
- Li, Charles N., Sandra A. Thompson, and Jesse O. Sawyer. 1977. Subject and Word Order in Wappo. IJAL 43.2:85-100.
- Mithun, Marianne. 1999. The languages of native North America. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Munro, Pamela. 1976. Mojave syntax. New York: Garland.
- Munro, Pamela. 1994. Gulf and Yuki-Gulf. Anthropological Linguistics 36.2:125-222.
- Powers, Stephen. 1877. Tribes of California. Contributions to North American Ethnology, Vol. 3. Washington.

- Powell, John Wesley. 1891. Indian linguistic families of America north of Mexico. Annual Report of the Bureau of American Ethnology 7:1-142.
- Pullum, Geoffrey K. and William A. Ladusaw. 1996. Phonetic symbol guide, second edition. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Radin, Paul. 1924. Wappo texts: first series. Berkeley: University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. 19, 1-148.
- Radin, Paul. 1929. A grammar of the Wappo language. Berkeley: University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. 27.
- Sawyer, Jesse O. 1964a. Wappo words from Spanish. In Bright, William, Studies in California Linguistics, 163-169. UCPL 34. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Sawyer, Jesse O. 1964b. The implications of Spanish /r/ and /rr/ in Wappo history. Romance Philology 18:165-77.
- Sawyer, Jesse O. 1965. English- Wappo Vocabulary. (Publications in Linguistics 43.) Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press. 1965.
- Sawyer, Jesse O. 1980. The nongenetic relationship of Wappo and Yuki. American Indian and Indo-European studies: papers in honor of Madison S. Beeler. In Kathryn Klar, Margaret Langdon, and Shirley Silver, eds., Trends in Linguistics, Studies and Monographs 16, 209-219. The Hague: Mouton.
- Sawyer, Jesse O. 1981. The Wappo glottal stop. Report 1, Survey of California and other Indian languages. Department of Linguistics, UC Berkeley, 146-153.
- Sawyer, Jesse O. 1991. Wappo studies. Edited by Alice Shepherd. Report 7, Survey of California and other Indian languages. Department of Linguistics, UC Berkeley, 1-121.
- Sawyer, Jesse O. and Laura Fish Somersal. 1977. Bear woman and her children, IJAL Native American Texts Series, Vol. 2.