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SUMMARY

Emerging evidence demonstrates that the DNA repair kinase DNA-PKcs exerts divergent roles in transcriptional regulation of unsolved consequence. Here, in vitro and in vivo interrogation demonstrate that DNA-PKcs functions as a selective modulator of transcriptional networks that induce cell migration, invasion,

Significance

Mechanisms underlying metastatic development remain incompletely defined, and few therapeutic regimens effectively target the metastatic process. Studies here identify DNA-PKcs as a master driver of pro-metastatic signaling and tumor metastasis through transcriptional regulation, thus shifting paradigms with regard to DNA-PKcs activity and illuminating critical functions in human malignancy. Preclinical findings are strongly supported by clinical observations that demonstrate that DNA-PKcs is significantly upregulated in advanced disease and predicts for tumor metastases, recurrence, and poor survival. Moreover, DNA-PKcs was shown to be highly activated in metastatic tumors, independent of DNA damage indicators. These collective findings transform understanding of DNA-PKcs function, establish clinical relevance, and nominate DNA-PKcs as a therapeutic target to suppress metastases.
and metastasis. Accordingly, suppression of DNA-PKcs inhibits tumor metastases. Clinical assessment revealed that DNA-PKcs is significantly elevated in advanced disease and independently predicts for metastases, recurrence, and reduced overall survival. Further investigation demonstrated that DNA-PKcs in advanced tumors is highly activated, independent of DNA damage indicators. Combined, these findings reveal unexpected DNA-PKcs functions, identify DNA-PKcs as a potent driver of tumor progression and metastases, and nominate DNA-PKcs as a therapeutic target for advanced malignancies.

**INTRODUCTION**

The DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK) is a serine/threonine protein kinase complex composed of a Ku heterodimer (Ku70/Ku80) and a catalytic subunit (DNA-PKcs) that plays an important role in the DNA damage response (DDR) and maintenance of genomic stability. In this context, DNA-PK primarily mediates ligation of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) through nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ), wherein the Ku heterodimer recognizes and binds broken DNA ends, facilitating recruitment and activation of DNA-PKcs (Yoo and Dynan, 1999). Activated DNA-PKcs phosphorylates and alters the function of factors that mediate NHEJ, including DNA-PKcs itself and histone H2AX (γH2AX) (An et al., 2010; Chan et al., 2002). While mechanisms governing DNA-PKcs activity are incompletely defined, it is clear that DNA-PKcs activation is critical for DNA DSB repair (Kurimasa et al., 1999; Zhao et al., 2006).

DNA-PKcs expression has been shown to correlate with decreased therapeutic response to DNA-damaging agents in multiple cancers, implicating DNA-PKcs-mediated DNA repair as a mechanism for tumor cell survival (Beskow et al., 2009; Bouchaert et al., 2012). However, DNA-PKcs has also been linked to poor prognosis in the absence of DNA-damaging therapies (Evert et al., 2013; Willmore et al., 2008), suggesting a DDR-independent role for DNA-PKcs in human malignancies. Studies further identified DNA-PKcs as a modulator of cancer-associated pathways distinct from DNA repair, including hypoxia, metabolism, inflammatory response, and transcriptional regulation (Goodwin and Knudsen, 2014). Notably, DNA-PKcs was originally discovered and characterized as part of SP1 transcriptional complexes (Jackson et al., 1990) and as a regulatory component of transcriptionally poised RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) (Oviri et al., 1992); accordingly, recent studies revealed that DNA-PKcs is recruited to active sites of transcription (Ju et al., 2006). DNA-PKcs can interact with the basal transcriptional machinery (Maldonado et al., 1996) and both binds and modulates the function of multiple sequence-specific transcription factors (e.g., AIRE, p53, and ERG) as well as select nuclear receptors (including the glucocorticoid, progesterone, estrogen [ER], and androgen receptors [AR]) (Goodwin and Knudsen, 2014). Recently, a critical link was identified between AR signaling and DNA-PKcs that underlies the capacity of this steroid hormone receptor to promote DSB repair (Goodwin et al., 2013; Polkinghorne et al., 2013). Briefly, it was shown that AR binds to the regulatory locus of PRKDC (the gene encoding DNA-PKcs) in response to androgen stimulation and DNA damage, thereby inducing PRKDC expression and subsequent DNA-PKcs activity. This induction proved essential for AR-mediated DSB repair and cell survival in the presence of genomic insult, and elevated levels of DNA-PKcs were shown to create a positive feedback loop by virtue of the established ability of DNA-PKcs to serve as an AR comodulator. These findings provided the mechanistic basis for clinical observations demonstrating that suppression of AR activity enhances the response to radiotherapy (Al-Ubaidi et al., 2013; Warde et al., 2011), concordant with reports showing that AR suppression dampens expression of repair factors in prostatic adenocarcinoma (PCa) (Al-Ubaidi et al., 2013; Warde et al., 2011), and illustrated the significance of AR-DNA-PKcs interplay in PCa. Given the potential implications of DNA-PKcs-mediated transcriptional activity in human malignancies, it was imperative to discern the molecular basis of DNA-PKcs function and the contribution of DNA-PKcs-mediated transcriptional regulation on tumor phenotypes.

**RESULTS**

DNA-PKcs Interacts with AR and Is Recruited to Sites of AR Action

Because DNA-PKcs is induced by AR activity and functions as an AR coactivator in advanced PCa that can bypass anti-androgen therapy (castration-resistant PCa, CRPC), CRPC models were selected to interrogate DNA-PKcs-mediated transcriptional regulation. PCa depends on AR activity for growth and progression, and therapies that suppress AR activity through ligand deprivation are the first line of intervention for metastatic disease. Although effective, tumors ultimately recur, almost inevitably through restoration of AR activity (Knudsen and Scher, 2009). Thus, discerning the impact of DNA-PKcs on AR function in CRPC is of translational relevance. Consistent with identification of PRKDC and XRCC6 as androgen-regulated genes in CRPC (Al-Ubaidi et al., 2013; Goodwin et al., 2013), hormone deprivation decreased DNA-PKcs S2056 phosphorylation (indicative of decreased activity; Chen et al., 2005) along with total DNA-PKcs and Ku70 levels (Figure 1A). As such, studies assessing the function of DNA-PKcs as a transcriptional regulator were performed in hormone-proficient conditions. Loci explored initially focused on gene regulatory elements governed by AR and ERG in PCa cells, as DNA-PKcs was implicated as a modulator of both factors. As predicted, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis revealed AR occupancy at two well-characterized loci (KLK3/PSA and TMPRSS2 enhancers), but not at the promoter of the ERG-regulated gene PLA1A (Figure 1B, left). DNA-PKcs was detected at all three regions (Figure 1B, right), but not in the control region, showing specificity of DNA-PKcs binding (Brenner et al., 2011). In response to DHT, AR was recruited to each AR regulatory site within 30 min, with maximum occupancy at 16 hr post-treatment (Figure 1C, top left; Figure S1A). In contrast, DNA-PKcs recruitment was delayed (6 hr post-treatment) at AR regulatory regions with maximum occupancy at 16 hr (Figure 1C, top right; Figure S1A), and unchanged at PLA1A, demonstrating specificity of the DNA-PKcs response to hormone stimulation (Figure 1C, top). The AR
coregulator p300 was enriched 30 min post-DHT, followed by RNAPII binding (0–6 hr) at the AR regulatory loci, while neither was enriched at the PLAT1A promoter in response to DHT (Figure 1C, bottom; Figure S1A), suggesting that DNA-PKcs binding facilitates coactivator function and potentiates transcriptional activation. DNA-PKcs levels were not significantly enriched after DHT treatment at these early time points (Figure S1B). Notably, DNA-PKcs detection was abrogated at all three loci by siRNA-mediated depletion (Figure S1C), but was specifically undetected at AR-regulated loci after treatment with the AR antagonist MDV3100 (Figure S1D). Combined, these findings suggest that DNA-PKcs is recruited to sites of AR function in response to AR and initiating p300 occupancy, facilitating active transcription. The impact of DNA-PKcs recruitment was determined in parallel. PLAT1A was not induced in response to DHT, and while significant induction of both KLK3/PSA and TMPRSS2 was observed 3 hr post-DHT (Figure 1D), maximum induction was not observed until after peak recruitment of AR and DNA-PKcs. Further analyses revealed that AR and DNA-PKcs are found in complex, and that the interaction is not further enriched by exogenous DHT (Figure 1E). The AR-DNA-PKcs interaction is not dependent on DNA binding, because pre-addition of ethidium bromide did not disrupt the complex (Figure 1F), but did result in dismissal of Ku70, as expected (Brenner et al., 2007). siRNA-mediated depletion (Figures 3C and 3D, left). DNA-PKcs co-occupied these sites (Figure 3D, right), suggesting that negative regulation by DNA-PKcs is direct. DNA-PKcs depletion resulted in increased UGT2B15 and 2B17 expression, underscoring the impact of DNA-PKcs on this pathway (Figure 3E). Previous studies showed that DNA-PKcs negative transcriptional regulation can be mediated through NCoR and SMRT (Jeyakumar et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2006), and both were both enriched at the UGT2B15 and 2B17 promoters. Corepressor binding was significantly reduced by DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure S2A), but not after kinase inhibition (Figure S2B), suggesting that DNA-PKcs occupancy (but not activity) is needed for NCoR and SMRT residence. As expected, DHT stimulation decreased UGT2B15 and 2B17, which was partially reversed by DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure 2A), consistent with a role for DNA-PKcs in negative regulation. Because UGT2B15 and 2B17 protein accumulation was also enhanced after DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure 3F), the impact of DNA-PKcs depletion on DHT metabolites was quantified by high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Figure S2D). Cells depleted of DNA-PKcs trended toward decreased overall levels of free DHT, but did not reach statistical significance (Figure 3G, left) and there was no impact on G-DHT (Figure 3G, right) or G-AST (Figure S2E), suggesting that elevated UGT2B15 and 2B17 is not sufficient to independently alter hormone metabolism. Similar regulation of other UGT enzymes after DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure 3B) argues against functional redundancy affecting DHT levels. The overall findings are of translational significance, because UGT2B15 and 2B17 are being developed as prognostic markers and therapeutic targets in PCa (Grosse et al., 2013), and the mechanisms of regulation are not well understood. To assess clinical

**DNA-PKcs and AR Cooperate to Suppress UGT Enzyme Expression in CRPC**

Numerous metabolic and hormone pathways of potential clinical impact in PCa were upregulated by DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure 3A), including steroid hormone biosynthesis, which exhibited upregulation of UGT glycosyltransferases (Figure 3B). UGT enzymes catalyze transfer of glucuronic acid to small molecules (including androgens), facilitating metabolism and excretion (Rowland et al., 2013). In the prostate, local androgen inactivation occurs when DHT is directly modified by glucuronidation or is metabolized to 5α-androstane-3α,17β-diol (3α-diol) and androstenedione (AST), which are then glucuronidated by UGT2B15 and UGT2B17. Consistent with previous reports suggesting that these genes are also AR regulated (Bao et al., 2008), AR occupied the proximal promoters of both UGT2B15 and 2B17, with a modest but significant increase observed upon DNA-PKcs depletion (Figures 3C and 3D, left). DNA-PKcs co-occupied these sites (Figure 3D, right), suggesting that negative regulation by DNA-PKcs is direct. DNA-PKcs depletion resulted in increased UGT2B15 and 2B17 expression, underscoring the impact of DNA-PKcs on this pathway (Figure 3E). Previous studies showed that DNA-PKcs negative transcriptional regulation can be mediated through NCoR and SMRT (Jeyakumar et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2006), and both were both enriched at the UGT2B15 and 2B17 promoters. Corepressor binding was significantly reduced by DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure S2A), but not after kinase inhibition (Figure S2B), suggesting that DNA-PKcs occupancy (but not activity) is needed for NCoR and SMRT residence. As expected, DHT stimulation decreased UGT2B15 and 2B17, which was partially reversed by DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure S2C), consistent with a role for DNA-PKcs in negative regulation. Because UGT2B15 and 2B17 protein accumulation was also enhanced after DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure 3F), the impact of DNA-PKcs depletion on DHT metabolites was quantified by high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Figure S2D). Cells depleted of DNA-PKcs trended toward decreased overall levels of free DHT, but did not reach statistical significance (Figure 3G, left) and there was no impact on G-DHT (Figure 3G, right) or G-AST (Figure S2E), suggesting that elevated UGT2B15 and 2B17 is not sufficient to independently alter hormone metabolism. Similar regulation of other UGT enzymes after DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure 3B) argues against functional redundancy affecting DHT levels. The overall findings are of translational significance, because UGT2B15 and 2B17 are being developed as prognostic markers and therapeutic targets in PCa (Grosse et al., 2013), and the mechanisms of regulation are not well understood. To assess clinical

**DNA-PKcs Is a Selective Effector of Transcriptional Networks**

Given the impact of DNA-PKcs on AR, subsequent studies were directed at identifying the totality of DNA-PKcs mediated transcriptional networks. Initial gene expression analyses were performed in CRPC cells either depleted of DNA-PKcs or treated with NU7441 (Figure 2A, left); as shown, the siPRKD pool suppressed DNA-PKcs expression, whereas NU7441 had no effect on DNA-PKcs levels, and neither affected Ku70 expression (Figure 2A, right). Genes up- or downregulated by >1.5-fold were selected for further analysis (Figure 2B). For both manipulations, the number of genes downregulated far exceeded those that were upregulated, suggesting that DNA-PKcs primarily positively regulates transcriptional events. Comparison between groups demonstrated that DNA-PKcs depletion results in overlapping but distinct effects as compared to enzymatic inhibition. To minimize potential off-target effects of NU7441, subsequent analyses primarily focused on transcriptional alterations induced by DNA-PKcs knockdown. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) and associated motif analysis revealed significant enrichment of genes regulated by MAZ, MYC, and the known DNA-PKcs-interacting partner SP1, validating the concept that DNA-PKcs modulates a select subset of transcriptional networks (Figure 2C). Gene ontology (GO) analysis demonstrated that genes sensitive to DNA-PKcs associate with distinct biological processes, including transcription and regulation of gene expression, further supporting a role for DNA-PKcs in gene regulation (Figure 2D). Combined, these findings begin to define the cellular consequence of DNA-PKcs-mediated transcriptional regulation and demonstrate that DNA-PKcs selectively governs transcriptional networks.
Figure 1. DNA-PKcs Binds AR and Is Recruited to Sites of AR Action

(A) C4-2 cells were treated with ADT (CSS) for 24 hr and immunoblot analysis for phospho-S2056 DNA-PKcs, total DNA-PKcs, and Ku70 was performed.

(B and C) C4-2 cells in hormone-proficient media were (B) harvested for ChIP-qPCR analysis and percent (input) occupancy of AR (left) or DNA-PKcs (right) reported or (C) treated with 10 nM DHT and harvested for ChIP-qPCR analysis with percent (input) occupancy of AR, DNA-PKcs, p300, or RNPII set relative to control at each time point.

(legend continued on next page)
relevance, a cohort of 232 patients with high-risk localized PCa was examined, wherein it was observed that both UGT2B15 (correlation coefficient $r = 0.28$, $p < 0.0001$) and UGT2B17 (correlation coefficient $r = 0.38$, $p < 0.0001$) expression strongly negatively correlated with DNA-PKcs (Figure 3H), supporting the concept that DNA-PKcs suppresses expression of UGT enzymes in human tumors. Further analysis in response to NU7441 confirmed the function of DNA-PKcs as a selective negative regulator of transcription (Figure S2F). On balance, these findings identify gene networks that are negatively regulated by DNA-PKcs and identify DNA-PKcs as a key modulator of the UGT enzyme cancer-associated pathway.

**DNA-PKcs Promotes Pro-metastatic Signaling**

Whereas DNA-PKcs negatively regulates steroid regulated pathways, the majority of DNA-PKcs-mediated transcriptional

---

(D) C4-2 cells were treated with 10 nM DHT and relative transcript expression analyzed as normalized to GAPDH mRNA at each time point.
(E and F) C4-2 cells were treated with 10 nM DHT for 6 hr and co-immunoprecipitation performed in the absence (E) or presence (F) of 50 µg/ml ethidium bromide.

Data are reported as mean ± SD. *$p < 0.05$ **$p < 0.01$. See also Figure S1.
Figure 3. DNA-PKcs and AR Cooperate to Suppress UGT Enzyme Expression in CRPC

(A) GSEA KEGG pathway analysis of genes upregulated by ≥1.5-fold compared to control after DNA-PKcs knockdown.

(B) Heatmap of transcript change of UGT enzymes in the DNA-PKcs knockdown groups.

(C and D) C4-2 cells depleted of DNA-PKcs were harvested for ChIP-qPCR analysis and percent (input) occupancy of AR (D, left) or DNA-PKcs (D, right) at indicated loci reported. TSS, transcriptional start site.

(E and F) CRPC cells depleted of DNA-PKcs were subject to either qPCR (E, C4-2 left, 22Rv1 right) or immunoblot (F, C4-2) analysis.

(G) Free (left) and G-DHT (right) levels in C4-2 cells depleted of DNA-PKcs were determined by HPLC.

(H) Tumor samples were profiled for mRNA expression of DNA-PKcs, UGT2B15, and UGT2B17 and correlation coefficients determined. Data are reported as mean ± SD. *p < 0.05 **p < 0.01. See also Figure S2.
effects support coactivator functions. KLK3/PSA, TMPRSS2, and other well-characterized PCA-relevant AR-regulated genes (Goodwin et al., 2013; Mayeur et al., 2005) were generally reduced after DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure S3A), as expected. Analysis of genes downregulated after DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure 4A) or NU7441 (Figure S3B) revealed enrichment in pathways associated with cancer progression (Figure 4B; Figure S3C), prominently associated with cell migration and invasion. The focal adhesion gene signature was markedly suppressed by DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure 4C) or NU7441 (Figure S3D). Factors in the focal adhesion signature have previously been implicated in PCa progression and metastasis, including PREX1 (GEF for Rac1) (Qin et al., 2009), ROCK2 (effector of Rho signaling) (Kroiss et al., 2014), Integrin β4 (ITGB4, which regulates matrix organization through the Rac1 pathway) (Yoshioika et al., 2013), and VAV3 (GEF for Rho and Rac1) (Lyons and Bumstein, 2006). Expression of each was significantly reduced in hormone-therapy (HT)-sensitive cells (LNCaP), CRPC cells with limited metastatic potential (C4-2), and AR-negative CRPC cells with high metastatic potential (PC3-ML) after DNA-PKcs depletion (Figure 4D) or 24 hr inhibitor treatment (Figure S3E), with the exception of ITGB4 in PC3-ML cells, which was not significantly altered, suggesting a possible role for AR in regulation. As expected, ATM (ataxia telangiectasia mutated) levels were diminished after DNA-PKcs depletion but not after DNA-PKcs inhibition (Goodwin et al., 2013; Peng et al., 2009) (Figure 4E). ATM depletion did not significantly alter expression of the identified genes (Figure 4F), suggesting that these transcriptional events are not ATM mediated. Observations were confirmed using alternative strategies to deplete DNA-PKcs or a second highly selective DNA-PKcs inhibitor NU7026 (the lead compound in generation of NU7441) (Vleuger et al., 2003) (Figure S3F). Kinetic analysis revealed a time-dependent decrease in target gene expression 6 hr after treatment (Figure S3G), suggesting direct impact of DNA-PKcs on transcriptional regulation. Consistently, DNA-PKcs binds to the proximal promoter regions containing motifs of known DNA-PKcs-associated transcription factors for PREX1 (Wong et al., 2011), ROCK2, and ITGB4 (Drake et al., 2010) (Figure 4G). Treatment with MDV3100 modestly decreased expression of ROCK2 and ITGB4 (Figure S3H), suggesting that AR is not universally required for DNA-PKcs-mediated regulation of genes in this pathway. DNA-PKcs occupancy was further examined at the proximal promoter regions of PREX1, ROCK2, and ITGB4 after MDV3100 treatment or depletion of SP1 or MAZ, the top motifs identified. MDV3100 decreased DNA-PKcs occupancy at the ROCK2 and ITGB4 promoters but not at the PREX1 promoter, consistent with the transcript data (Figure S3I, left). SP1 depletion resulted in remarkable reduction in DNA-PKcs occupancy at the PREX1 promoter (consistent with PREX1 being regulated by SP1; Wong et al., 2011) and modest but significant reduction in occupancy at both the ROCK2 and ITGB4 promoters (Figure S3I, middle), whereas MAZ depletion produced a significant reduction in DNA-PKcs occupancy at the ITGB4 promoter, modest reduction in occupancy at the PREX1 promoter (not statistically significant), and no change at the ROCK2 promoter (Figure S3I, right). These studies reveal that DNA-PKcs-mediated expression is differentially regulated by transcription factors whose activities are modulated by DNA-PKcs. Decreased transcript expression resulted in reduced protein levels for the factors analyzed (Figure 4H), identifying DNA-PKcs as a positive regulator of metastatic signaling. PREX1, ROCK2, ITGB4, and VAV3 all interact with Rho GTPases that influence cell motility and invasion (Cook et al., 2014). DNA-PKcs depletion or inhibition decreased Rho and Rac1 activity (Figure 4I; Figure S3J). Depletion of VAV3 strongly reduced activated Rho and moderately suppressed Rac1 activity, whereas depletion of PREX1 diminished activated Rac1 with minimal effects on Rho (Figure S3K), demonstrating importance in DNA-PKcs mediated regulation of Rac/Rho signaling pathways, although other GEFs may be involved. Combined, these findings identify DNA-PKcs as a direct and positive regulator of Rac/Rho function and pro-metastatic pathways.

DNA-PKcs Promotes Metastatic Phenotypes

Given the impact of DNA-PKcs on pro-metastatic signaling, the consequence for metastatic potential was determined. Depletion of DNA-PKcs resulted in decreased migration in all models (Figure 5A, left) and invasion in the CRPC models (Figure 5A, right). Consistently, DNA-PKcs inhibition suppressed migration (Figure 5B, top) and invasion (Figure 5B, bottom) in all models. Both C4-2 and PC3-ML are CRPC lines capable of proliferating in the absence of hormone, and proliferation of LNCaP cells in hormone-deficient media was not significantly altered after DNA-PKcs inhibitor treatment (Figure S4A). The ROCK2 inhibitor reduced migration and invasion similar to that observed with NU7441 (Figure 5B). Combination of the DNA-PKcs and ROCK2 inhibitors resulted in modest but significant decreases in migration in C4-2 and PC3-ML cells and further suppressed invasion in all models compared to either inhibitor alone, suggesting that DNA-PKcs regulates migration and invasion through pathways in addition to Rho signaling. Furthermore, cells depleted of UGT2B15 or 2B17 failed to demonstrate significant changes in migratory or invasive potential (Figure S4B), suggesting that DNA-PKcs effects on metastatic phenotypes are independent from effects on metabolism. In sum, these findings establish DNA-PKcs as a positive regulator of gene expression events that induce migration and invasion.

DNA-PKcs Inhibition Delays Formation of Metastases In Vivo

To determine the impact of DNA-PKcs on metastatic development in vivo, PC3-ML cells expressing luciferase were pretreated for 48 hr with NU7441 or vehicle and injected into the tail veins of severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice. Mice were treated every 24 hr (5 days/week) with 25 mg/kg NU7441 or vehicle, and tumor formation monitored by live imaging (Figure 5A). Parallel studies wherein cells were maintained in culture revealed no significant differences in cell number or viability between the cohorts (Figure 5A). Whereas robust metastases were observed in the control arm, total tumor burden observed in the DNA-PKcs inhibitor-treated cohort was significantly reduced, demonstrating that DNA-PKcs inhibition delays formation of productive metastases in vivo (Figure 6A). These findings provide evidence linking DNA-PKcs enzymatic activity to development of metastases.

To further investigate the impact of DNA-PKcs, crossover studies were performed wherein animals in the control arm with the greatest tumor burden (denoted 1, 2, and 3) were
Figure 4. DNA-PKcs Promotes Pro-metastatic Signaling

(A) GSEA KEGG pathway analysis of genes downregulated by ≥1.5-fold compared to control after DNA-PKcs knockdown.

(B and C) Heatmap of transcript change of pathways in cancer (B) or focal adhesion (C) pathway genes in the DNA-PKcs knockdown groups.

(D) C4-2 and PC3-ML cells in hormone-proficient or LNCaP cells in hormone-deficient media treated with siDNA-PKcs or siControl were subject to qPCR analysis with control dataset to one for each cell line.

(E) Immunoblot analyses of C4-2 cells depleted of DNA-PKcs or treated with 1 μM NU7441.

(F) C4-2 cells depleted of ATM were harvested for qPCR analysis with relative expression of indicated transcripts analyzed and normalized to GAPDH.

(legend continued on next page)
switched to the NU7441 arm; conversely, 3 mice randomly selected from the NU7441 arm (denoted 4, 5, and 6) were removed from treatment. After 2 weeks, animals moved from control to NU7441 failed to show reductions in tumor burden at established sites of metastases, consistent with the concept that DNA-PKcs inhibitors block development of productive metastases rather than suppressing tumor growth (Figure 6B). Conversely, animals released from NU7441 incurred dramatic induction of metastatic burden, with the tumor-doubling time reduced by ~50%–90% (Figure 6C), suggesting that resurgent DNA-PKcs activity drives metastatic development. Mice not selected for crossover were continued on study, and total tumor burden remained suppressed in the NU7441 cohort but not the control arm (Figure S5B). Proliferation rates of the metastatic lesions in the lungs were similar in both crossover cohorts (Figure S5C), again suggesting that tumor changes in animals released from inhibitor illustrate the impact of DNA-PKcs on metastases and not proliferation, although it is possible that NU7441 treatment of large tumors in the crossover may be less effective due to tumor size. Experiments using AR-positive 22Rv1 cells also demonstrated a significant decrease in overall metastatic tumor burden (Figure S5D), although this model is less aggressive in developing metastatic lesions. Combined, these findings clearly reveal that DNA-PKcs induces tumor metastases in vivo, confirming the importance of DNA-PKcs regulated pathways in metastatic development.

To further characterize the impact of DNA-PKcs on metastatic development in AR-positive but aggressive models of spontaneous metastasis, CASP-3-NPK-YFP tumor cells (Aytes et al., 2013) were engrafted into nude mice. Post-engraftment (5 days), mice were randomized for treatment with 25 mg/kg NU7441 or vehicle (5 days/week for 30 days) (Figure S5E). DNA-PKcs suppression decreased overall tumor burden (Figure 6D), although primary tumor weight was not significantly altered between the treatment groups (Figure S5F); in contrast, significant reduction of metastatic lung lesions was observed in the inhibitor treated cohort, with a less pronounced but similar trend in liver metastases (Figure 6E). Finally, analysis of tumors harvested at sacrifice revealed significant decrease in transcript expression of Prex1, Rock2, Itgb4, and Vav3 (Figure 6F), demonstrating that DNA-PKcs modulates expression of these four metastatic genes and promotes development of metastatic lesions in vivo. Thus, DNA-PKcs promotes metastatic signaling and tumor metastases in both AR-positive and AR-negative cancers.

DNA-PKcs Inhibition Modulates Expression of Pro-metastatic Factors in Primary Human Tumors

Transcriptional regulatory functions of DNA-PKcs on pro-metastatic factors were further assessed using an ex vivo culture system of primary human PCAs, in which tissue obtained immediately upon surgical resection can be subdivided, cultured, and subjected to targeted therapy as previously described (Centenera et al., 2013) (Figure 7A). Explant specimens retain the complex 3D structure and microenvironment of the original tumor and can be used for clinical assessment of targeted agents (Centenera et al., 2013; Schiewer et al., 2012). Although major alterations in histoarchitecture were not observed after exposure to NU7441 (Figure 7B), DNA-PKcs inhibition effectively suppressed expression of PREX1, ROCK2, ITGB4, and VAV3 (Figure 7C). In sum, these findings confirm that DNA-PKcs inhibition regulates expression of pro-metastatic factors in primary human tumors.

DNA-PKcs Expression and Activity Predicts Clinical Disease Recurrence and Metastatic Development

Finally, the prognostic impact of DNA-PKcs in the clinical setting was investigated. A cohort of 232 patients with high-risk localized PCa was examined to assess the relevance of DNA-PKcs expression on outcomes following prostatectomy. As shown, elevated DNA-PKcs conferred reduced freedom from biochemical recurrence (Figure 8A, p = 0.050, hazard ratio [HR] = 1.5), and dramatically worse freedom from metastatic progression (Figure 8B, p = 0.0004, HR = 2.4), PCa-specific survival (Figure 8A, p = 0.001, HR = 2.8), and overall survival (Figure 8C, p < 0.0002, HR = 3.1). These results were comparable to the HRs of high Gleason score for these same outcomes (biochemical recurrence: HR = 1.3, p = 0.1; metastasis: HR = 2.2, p = 0.0007; PCa-specific survival: HR = 4.4, p < 0.0001; overall survival: HR = 2.2, p = 0.003). Because Gleason score is one of the strongest known predictors for aggressive disease (Van der Kwast, 2014), these data illustrate the potent role of DNA-PKcs in promoting lethal PCa. Further, analysis of DNA-PKcs correlated genes showed significant enrichment in the AR pathway (Figure 8D, p < 0.0001, normalized enrichment score [NES] = 2.673), the AR transcription factor pathway (Figure 8B, p < 0.0001, NES = 2.474), MAZ targets (Figure 8E, p < 0.0001, NES = 1.689), SP1 targets (Figure 8F, p < 0.0001, NES = 1.758), and the focal adhesion pathway (Figure 8G, p < 0.0001, NES = 1.635), thus validating the preclinical findings. As expected, multiple pathways associated with DDR were also enriched (Table S1). DNA-PKcs was significantly positively correlated with AR, SP1, and MAZ expression in the clinical samples (Figure S6C, correlation coefficients of 0.68, 0.77, and 0.70, respectively, all p < 0.0001), further supporting the functional connectivity. Finally, elevated UGT2B15, but not 2B17, was associated with decreased freedom from metastases (Figure S6D). These findings, compared with previous reports (Mitsiades et al., 2012; Pâquet et al., 2012), provide the basis for future studies directed at discerning the potentially divergent roles of UGT2B15 and 2B17 in CRPC progression. These observations identify DNA-PKcs as markedly upregulated in advanced disease, confirm the link between DNA-PKcs and metastatic signaling, and strongly support the contention that DNA-PKcs mediated transcriptional regulation is a major effector of lethal tumor phenotypes.

To further interrogate the link between DNA-PKcs and metastasis, an independent cohort was analyzed wherein DNA-PKcs

Data are reported as mean ± SD. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. See also Figure S3.
phosphorylation was quantified by phospho-proteomic analyses of fresh clinical specimens from organ confined, treatment naive PCa versus metastatic CRPC. Multiple DNA-PKcs residues were hyper-phosphorylated in metastatic CRPC, including Thr2609, an autophosphorylation residue also reported to be phosphorylated by ATM (Chen et al., 2007) and indicative of enzymatic ...

Figure 5. DNA-PKcs Induces Metastatic Phenotypes

(A) Cells depleted of DNA-PKcs were seeded into hormone-deficient media and allowed to migrate (left) or invade through matrigel (right) toward hormone-proficient media.

(B) Cells pre-treated with 1 μM NU7441, SLx-2119 or combination of both for 24 hr were seeded into hormone-deficient media and allowed to migrate for 24 hr (top) or invade through Matrigel for 72 hr (bottom) toward hormone-proficient media.

Data are reported as mean ± SD. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 compared to control unless otherwise indicated. See also Figure S4.
activation (Chan et al., 2002) (Figure 8H; Table S2). These findings reveal that DNA-PKcs is not only present, but also highly active in late stage, metastatic CRPC. In contrast, analysis of γH2AX, a marker of DNA DSBs, in metastatic tissues demonstrated no detectable change in phosphorylation levels compared to treatment-naive tissues (Figure 8H), suggesting that the heightened DNA-PKcs activation is not the result (or readout) of elevated DNA damage in metastatic tissues. Combined, these clinical analyses reveal that DNA-PKcs expression predicts for disease recurrence and DNA-PKcs phosphorylation suggests significant activation in metastatic tissues independent of heightened damage response, validating the preclinical evidence that DNA-PKcs is a master regulator of transcriptional events driving disease progression and development of metastatic lesions (Figure 8).

**DISCUSSION**

Understanding mechanisms contributing to tumor progression and metastatic development is crucial for development of effective therapeutic strategies targeting advanced cancers. This study identifies DNA-PKcs as a key contributor to metastatic progression, mediated through transcriptional regulation. Key findings reveal that (1) DNA-PKcs interacts with AR and is recruited to regulatory loci of AR target genes upon DHT stimulation, facilitating transcriptional activation; (2) DNA-PKcs selectively modifies transcriptional networks associated with tumor progression, and is recruited to loci regulated by DNA-PKcs-associated transcription factors; (3) UGT enzymes are negatively regulated by DNA-PKcs, implicating DNA-PKcs in pathways associated with therapeutic relapse; (4) DNA-PKcs positively regulates a transcriptional network that promotes pro-metastatic signaling, resulting in DNA-PKcs-induced tumor cell migration and invasion; (5) pharmacological DNA-PKcs inhibition prevents formation of metastases in vivo; (6) analyses of clinical specimens reveal that DNA-PKcs is elevated and highly active in advanced disease, distinct from marks of DNA damage; and (7) DNA-PKcs dysregulation is strongly associated with development of distant metastases and reduced survival. In sum, these findings strongly support a model wherein the transcriptional regulatory functions of DNA-PKcs induce a pro-metastatic signaling program that drives tumor metastases and lethal disease. These studies not only define DNA-PKcs as a metastatic driver and a putative biomarker of disease progression, but also nominate DNA-PKcs as a therapeutic target.

Data here are consistent with literature identifying DNA-PKcs as associated with sequence-specific transcription factors. Recent studies identified DNA-PKcs in ER/coregulator complexes (Foulds et al., 2013) and as an AR coactivator (Goodwin et al., 2013; Mayeaur et al., 2005). This study provides direct insight into the mechanism of coordinated transcriptional regulation between AR and DNA-PKcs, wherein DNA-PKcs is recruited with delayed kinetics to sites of AR function, and is required for maximum AR activity. Among the AR target genes sensitive to DNA-PKcs regulation, TMPRSS2 was recently shown to promote metastasis (Lucas et al., 2014), providing another mechanism by which DNA-PKcs may modulate metastatic development. Ongoing investigation is directed at discerning the impact of DNA-PKcs on the chromatin microenvironment surrounding AR and DNA-PKcs binding. The studies herein identify DNA-PKcs as an AR coregulator, supporting a role for DNA-PKcs in cancer-relevant transcriptional events.

Consistent with these findings, emerging evidence links DNA repair factors to transcriptional regulation. Initial studies reported that recruitment of DDR machinery was primarily the result of transient, site-specific DSBs required for transcriptional activation (Ju et al., 2006). Furthermore, the gene rearrangements observed in PCa can result from fusion events in transcriptional hubs bringing together distant chromosomal regions (Tomlins et al., 2005), suggesting that DNA repair capacity is needed at sites of active transcription. However, recent findings suggest that repair factors hold transcriptional regulatory functions independent of damage response, as exemplified by PARP1, a DNA repair factor with roles in transcriptional regulation whose functions can be segregated (Steffen et al., 2014). Whereas the effects of DNA-PKcs on transcriptional activation reported here occurred in the absence of exogenous damage, it is possible that transcription-associated DNA breaks may contribute to observed DNA-PKcs activation. Irrespective of the means of activation, the findings herein demonstrate that DNA-PKcs interacts with known transcriptional modulators, binds to sites of transcriptional activation, and selectively engages a transcriptional network of strong cancer relevance.

The concept that DNA-PKcs suppresses UGT2B15 and 2B17 enzyme expression at least partially through NCoR and SMRT provides insight into how this PCa-relevant pathway is governed (Chouinard et al., 2006). Deregulation of androgen metabolism contributes to PCa progression (Chang et al., 2013) and may contribute to metastatic development (Mitsiades et al., 2012). Gene suppressive roles for DNA-PKcs have previously been reported (Hill et al., 2011; Jeyakumar et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2006), suggesting that DNA-PKcs-mediated transcriptional repression is not unique. Although AR is required for basal expression of both UGT2B15 and 2B17, stimulation with androgen results in gene downregulation (Bao et al., 2008), suggesting that resurgent AR signaling in CRPC may have a role in DNA-PKcs-mediated transcriptional repression of UGT enzyme expression. Factors influencing UGT expression in non-prostatic tissues include NRF and SP1 (Mackenzie et al., 2010), and influence on these factors may contribute to the impact of DNA-PKcs. Because UGT2B15 and 2B17 are being evaluated as pharmacologic targets for PCa management (Grosse et al., 2013), the identified link to DNA-PKcs may prove important in designing therapeutic regimens.

Identification of DNA-PKcs as a master regulator of pro-metastatic signaling complements previous studies linking the kinase to cancer-associated transcription factors (Brenner et al., 2011). The top scoring pathway for positively regulated DNA-PKcs genes is focal adhesion, hallmarked by factors that contribute to progression of multiple malignancies. Although the mechanisms regulating ITGB4, PREX1, ROCK2, and VAV3 expression are not well defined, previous reports identified binding sites for DNA-PKcs interacting transcription factors within regulatory regions. Moreover, promoter motif analysis of genes sensitive to DNA-PKcs depletion revealed enrichment for binding sites of DNA-PKcs interacting transcription factors (e.g., SP1, LEF1, and MYC). The AR binding sequence was not among the top motifs identified, likely influenced by the fact that androgen
Figure 6. DNA-PKcs Inhibitors Delay Formation of Metastases In Vivo

(A) Mice were injected with luciferin 31 days post-tail vein injection of PC3-ML cells and imaged using the IVIS imaging system with total luciferase signal reported (left) and representative images shown (right). Indicated mice were selected for crossover studies.

(B and C) Mice were injected with luciferin and imaged for 2 weeks after initiation of crossover studies with total luciferase signal reported (left), representative images shown (middle), and average doubling times pre- and post-crossover calculated.

(D) CASP-NPK-YFP tumors were measured twice weekly for 30 days after initiation of treatment (endpoint for survival was the predefined tumor volume of 1.5 cm$^3$) with volumes calculated using the formula volume = (width)$^2$ x length/2.
response elements (AREs) are present at only ~40% of known AR-binding sites, and AR primarily regulates transcription from enhancers (Yu et al., 2010). However, one of the top motifs identified was ELK1, an ETS domain factor required for expression of a major subset of AR target genes (Patki et al., 2013), supporting the finding that DNA-PKcs modulates AR-dependent transcription. Characterization of genome-wide DNA-PKcs occupancy combined with identification of the DNA-PKcs-associated proteome is a focus of current studies and will help to completely define partners of DNA-PKcs used to selectively modulate transcription.

A major consequence of DNA-PKcs-mediated transcriptional regulation is tumor metastasis, and the Rho/Rac pathway was identified as a critical effector of DNA-PKcs activity. Previous studies established a role for Rho/Rac signaling in metastases (Matsuoka and Yashiro, 2014). The finding that ROCK2 and DNA-PKcs inhibitors functioned cooperatively to suppress migration and invasion suggests that pathways in addition to Rho/Rac signaling may contribute to DNA-PKcs-induced metastasis (eg Wnt-β-catenin, TGFβ), and it is intriguing to speculate that DNA-PKcs forms a central signaling point modulating metastatic networks. The importance of DNA-PKcs in metastatic formation was confirmed in multiple in vivo models, as inhibition of DNA-PKcs activity strongly delayed formation of metastases, and crossover studies suggest that DNA-PKcs functions early in establishment of metastatic lesions. Combined, these findings provide comprehensive analysis of cancer-associated factors regulated by DNA-PKcs and identify DNA-PKcs-mediated transcriptional regulation as a driver of metastasis.

Finally, findings herein provide robust clinical evidence of DNA-PKcs as promoting metastasis in human disease and as a candidate biomarker to predict poor outcome. Despite recent advances (Mitsiades et al., 2012), clinical biomarkers predicting progression or therapeutic response in PCa are lacking. Analyses of clinical samples demonstrated that high DNA-PKcs expression strongly correlates with decreased freedom from recurrence, freedom from metastases, and survival, implicating DNA-PKcs as a major driver of lethal cancer development. Strikingly, DNA-PKcs held similar prognostic value to Gleason score, underscoring its importance in disease progression. Additionally, a second independent analysis revealed that DNA-PKcs phosphorylation on residues associated with activation (Thr2609) and chromatin binding (Thr2609, Ser2612) is highly enriched in metastatic versus treatment-naive tissues, indicating that DNA-PKcs is highly active in metastatic PCa, independent of DNA damage markers. Although it was previously thought that DNA-PKcs activation occurs only through Ku-mediated binding to broken DNA, recent studies identified additional

### Figure 7. DNA-PKcs Inhibition Modulates Expression of Pro-metastatic Factors in Primary Human Disease

(A) Schematic of explant assay, adapted from Schiewer et al., 2012.
(B) Representative images of explant tissues treated with control or 1 μM NU7441 and stained with hematoxylin & eosin.
(C) Explant tissues were harvested on day 6 for qPCR analysis with indicated transcripts set relative to GAPDH.

Data are reported as mean ± SD. *p < 0.05.

(E) At time of sacrifice, metastases were documented ex vivo in the lungs and livers by depicting fluorescence with the total number of metastatic nodules for the lungs and livers assessed.

(F) CASP-NPK-YFP tumors were harvested for qPCR analysis with the indicated transcripts set relative to Gapdh mRNA.

Data are reported as mean ± SD. **p < 0.01. See also Figure S5.
Figure 8. DNA-PKcs Is Associated Clinically with Disease Recurrence and Metastases

(A–C) Tumor samples were profiled for DNA-PKcs mRNA, which was split into high versus low by the 80th percentile for Kaplan Meier analysis.

(D–G) GSEA analyses showed enrichment of the AR pathway (D), MAZ (E), and SP1 (F) targets, and the focal adhesion pathway (G) in genes correlated to DNA-PKcs.

(H) DNA-PKcs and histone H2AX phosphorylation were measured by mass spectrometry in organ confined, treatment-naive PCa and metastatic CRPC tissues.

(legend continued on next page)
DNA-PKcs and H2AX phosphorylation were measured in organ-confined, PKcs using Spearman’s correlation. Pre-ranked GSEA analyses were run to calculate significance, two-tailed t tests or Mann-Whitney U tests were used for normally and non-normally distributed phosphopeptide data.

For details on other experiments, please refer to the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.
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