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1. INTRODUCTION

We discuss general properties of monochromators and give some useful formulas for optical design. We do not have space to discuss particular instruments but we give fairly comprehensive references. An excellent comprehensive review is given by Johnson.24

2. DIFFRACTION GRATINGS

We consider only the most useful type of grating which is a Rowland reflection grating. The groove pattern consists of the intersection of the substrate surface with a set of parallel equispaced planes. The notation for dealing with it is shown in fig 1. The basic relationship between the angles of incidence (α) and diffraction (β) is:

\[ m\lambda = d(\sin \alpha + \sin \beta), \]  

where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength. \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) have opposite signs if they are on opposite sides of the normal. Apparently there are an infinite number of \( \alpha, \beta \) pairs corresponding to any given \( \lambda \). Therefore, we are free to impose a relationship between \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). The following relationships are used:

2.1 The on-blaze condition

For a saw tooth grating with angle \( \Theta_B \) we can require that the diffracted ray be formed by a specular reflection off the blaze facet. In this case

\[ \alpha + \beta = 2\Theta_B \]  

Eliminating \( \alpha \) between (1) and (2) gives

\[ m\lambda = 2d\sin\Theta_B \cos(\beta+\Theta_B) \]  

Notice that (3) is the Bragg condition for the blaze facets as Bragg
Fig. 1 Notation for diffraction by a grating

Fig. 2 Notation for analysis of diffraction by a toroidal grating
planes with spacing \(dsin\theta\)

2.2 Fixed in and out directions

This means

\[\alpha - \beta = 2\theta = \text{constant}\]  

(4)

where \(\theta\) is the included angle between the in and out directions. \(\theta\) is always positive. Eliminating \(\alpha\) between (1) and (4) gives

\[m\lambda = 2d \cos\theta \sin(\theta + \beta)\]  

(5)

\((\theta + \beta)\) is the angle between the diffracted ray and zero order. Thus (5) allows the possibility of a linear wavelength drive if the grating is rotated by a sine bar mechanism whose zero position (line of drive perpendicular to the sine bar) corresponds to the grating being at zero order. The wavelength scan ends at \(\alpha = 90^\circ\) (positive order) or \(\beta = 90^\circ\) (negative order). This happens at the so-called horizon wavelength \(\lambda_H\) where

\[\lambda_H = 2d \cos^2\theta\]  

(m=\pm 1)  

(6)

2.3 Constant incidence angle

With \(\alpha\) constant (1) gives \(\beta\) directly

2.4 Constant focal distance (of a plane grating)

We show later that this requires

\[\frac{\cos^2\alpha}{\cos^2\beta} = K = \frac{r}{r'}\]  

(7)

Again eliminating \(\alpha\) between (1) and (7) leads to

\[\left[\frac{m\lambda}{d} - \sin\beta\right]^2 = 1 - K(1 - \sin^2\beta)\]  

(8)

2.5 Applications

Equations (3), (5), and (8) can readily be inverted to give \(\beta\) (and thence \(\alpha\)) for any \(\lambda\). The following monochromator systems used for synchrotron radiation applications are based on the above concepts

2.1 Hunter et al\(^1\) double plane grating monochromator (PGM)

Kunz\(^2\) et al PGM

2.2 Mijake et al\(^3\) PGM, West et al\(^4\) PGM, Howells et al (PGM)\(^5\), Eberhardt et al\(^6\) (PGM), all grazing incidence toroidal grating monochromators (TGM's)\(^7\), Seya-Namioka\(^8,9\), most aberration reduced holographic spherical grating devices.

2.3 Essentially all spectrographs, Grasshopper monochromator\(^10\)

2.4 Petersen\(^11\): SX700 PGM, Brown et al\(^12\) UMO: PGM
3. FOCUSSING PROPERTIES OF A TOROIDAL GRATING

Consider a toroidal grating with radii R(major) and ρ(minor) illuminated by a point source (A) in its symmetry plane. Assume ρ is chosen to correct astigmatism at some wavelength in the working range. The arrangement and notation are shown in fig 2. The Gaussian (paraxial) image point is B₀. The ray via the point P(x,w,l) on the grating surface arrives in the Gaussian image plane at B. When P is at or near the grating pole (O) the paraxial approximation is good and the rays unite at B₀. As P gets further away from O, the paraxial approximation begins to fail and aberrations become significant in the point B moving away from B₀ in a way which depends on the co-ordinates w,l of P. We characterise this situation by calculating the optical path function (F) in the form of a power series in w,l as follows:

$$F = F₀₀ + wF₀₁ + 1/2w²F₂₀ + 1/2l²F₀₂ + 1/2w³F₃₀ + 1/2wl²F₁₂ + 1/8w⁴F₄₀ + ...$$

(9)

where

$$F₀₀ = r + r'$$

(9a)

$$F₀₁ = \frac{mλ}{d} - \sinα - \sinβ$$

Grating equation (9b)

$$F₂₀ = Σ T$$

Defocus (9c)

$$F₀₂ = Σ S$$

Astigmatism (9d)

$$F₃₀ = Σ \frac{\sinα}{r} T$$

Coma (9e)

$$F₁₂ = Σ \frac{\sinα}{r} S$$

Astigmatic Coma (9f)

$$F₄₀ = Σ \frac{4\sin²α}{r²} T - \frac{T²}{r} + \frac{S}{R²}$$

Spherical aberration (9g)

and

$$T = \frac{\cos²α}{r} - \frac{\cosα}{R}, S = \frac{1}{R} - \frac{\cosα}{ρ}$$

The Σ implies that a second expression must be added that is identical to the first except for the replacements r+r' and α+β. The condition for a focus in the plane of dispersion is from equation (9c)

$$\frac{\cos²α}{r} - \frac{\cosα}{R} + \frac{\cos²β}{r'} - \frac{\cosβ}{R} = 0$$

(10)

This equation can be satisfied in various ways which we discuss below.

3.1 The Rowland Circle

Suppose we put r = Rcosα, r' = Rcosβ. This sets the two brackets
in (10) separately equal to zero and implies that A and B₀ lie on a circle of diameter R : the Rowland Circle. From (9e) coma also vanishes in this case. For an astigmatism corrected toroid satisfying the Rowland condition, the remaining aberrations and hence the resolution become dominated by astigmatic coma according to (9f). If astigmatism is not corrected as for example in the spherical grating, then the expansion (8) is no longer adequate. This is because the assumption of a point source and approximately corrected astigmatism justified the omission of all terms depending on the field variables at both source and image. Without the corrected astigmatism assumption we must include terms depending on Az in fig 2. The result of doing this is a more complicated wt² term in (9) which turns out to give much smaller contributions to the resolution which are negligible compared to the spherical aberration. The latter therefore becomes the dominant aberration of the spherical grating. Rowland mount. From this argument it is clear that spherical gratings have better resolution than toroidal ones in all cases.

3.2 The Wadsworth mounting

(10) is satisfied if \( r = \infty \) and

\[
\frac{r'}{r} = \frac{R \cos^2 \beta}{\cos \alpha + \cos \beta}
\]

(11)

For a given \( \alpha \) (11) defines a focal curve which is rather flat in the region of \( \beta = 0 \) allowing a useful working region for normal incidence applications.

3.3 The plane grating

If \( R = \infty \) in (10) one has

\[
\frac{r'}{r} = - \frac{\cos^2 \beta}{\cos^2 \alpha}
\]

(12)

Showing that there is a virtual image distant \( r' \) behind the grating. To have a fixed image at the exit slit one can design optics to keep \( r' \) fixed by choosing \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) values that satisfy equation (7). This is the basis of the SX700 monochromator.

3.4 Approximate solutions

It has been found convenient for ultra high vacuum engineering of monochromators to have a simple rotation about a fixed axis as the only motion for scanning wavelength. In its simplest form, this
arrangement would have \( r \) and \( r' \) fixed. The configuration would be designed by choosing two wavelengths \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) for which an exact focus is desired. \( \theta \) is then chosen on reflectance arguments, \( d \) on horizon arguments (equation (6)) and then the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) values corresponding to \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) would be found from (5) and (4). (10) then gives two linear equations to be solved for \( r \) and \( r' \). The optimum way to choose \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) is discussed in reference 21. Refinements to this procedure are to have exit and entrance slits that move to maintain focus over a finite range and to choose a spherical grating\(^{22}\) instead of a toroidal one to exploit the superior resolution of the former.

### 3.5 Focussing in the plane perpendicular to the dispersion plane

Failure to focus perfectly in the \( z \) direction in figure 2 indicates some cylindricity in the nominally spherical wavefront and is known as astigmatism. In the grazing incidence toroidal grating systems of interest to us astigmatism can be corrected at one wavelength by proper choice of \( \rho \), i.e. \( \rho \) is chosen so that \( F_{02} = 0 \) which means

\[
\rho = \frac{\cos \alpha + \cos \beta}{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'}}
\]

(13)

In fact, when \(|\alpha| + |\beta| = \text{const}\), \((\cos \alpha + \cos \beta)\) is a slowly varying function\(^7\) so that, in practice, a \( \rho \) value chosen according to (13) will give sufficiently good astigmatism correction over the whole range. It is this capability that has caused toroidal gratings to be preferred over spherical ones for many synchrotron radiation applications in the past few years.

### 4. OTHER ABERRATIONS

We do not give a detailed treatment here. References 14 and 23 give lucid general explanations. Reference 24 gives a full account with synchrotron radiation applications in mind. Fig 3 gives a feeling for the type of image one gets from toroidal grating systems. Four aberrations are visible

(i) The non-zero width in the symmetry (dispersion) plane is due to defocus \( F_{20} \)

(ii) The large curvature to the right is due to astigmatic coma \( F_{12} \)
Fig. 3 Results of a typical ray trace for a toroidal grating. Various aberrations are evident as discussed in the text. The constants $C_{12}$, $C_{20}$ are the same as $F_{12}$, $F_{20}$ etc.
(iii) The weak curvature of the line labelled as due to rays from
the bottom edge of the grating is due to coma \( (F_{30}) \)
(iv) The non-zero height of the image is due to astigmatism.

5. QUANTITATIVE ABERRATION CALCULATIONS

The importance of the optical path function is that it enables the
co-ordinates of \( B, (A_y, A_z) \) in fig 2 to be calculated. Furthermore
it gives a decomposition of the displacements \( (A_y, A_z) \) into
contributions \( A_{yij}, A_{zij} \) from the various aberrations. Thus

\[
A_{yij} = \frac{r'}{\cos \beta \partial w} \frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial w} \tag{14}
\]

\[
A_{zij} = r' \frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial \lambda} \tag{15}
\]

\[
A_y = \sum_{ij} A_{yij} = r' \frac{\partial F}{\cos \beta \partial w} \tag{16}
\]

\[
A_z = \sum_{ij} A_{zij} = r' \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda} \tag{17}
\]

These equations are proved except for the \( \cos \beta \) factor, which is due
to grazing incidence, in references 14 and 23.

Of course for monochromators the most interesting quantity to
estimate is the resolution. This is easily derived from (16) as we
now show.

6. DISPERSION AND RESOLUTION

By differentiating the grating equation with respect to \( \beta \) at constant
\( \alpha \) we find the angular dispersion

\[
\frac{d\lambda}{d\beta}_{\alpha} = \frac{d\cos \beta}{m} \tag{18}
\]

Let us now define a co-ordinate \( q \) in the plane of the exit slit in
direction \( A_y \) (fig 2). Apparently \( dq = r'd\beta \). So using this and
(18) we obtain the reciprocal linear dispersion

\[
\frac{d\lambda}{dq}_{\alpha} = \frac{d\cos \beta}{mr} = 10^{-3} \frac{d(\lambda)\cos \beta}{mr'(m)} \lambda/\text{mm} \tag{19}
\]

For the Rowland case \( \cos \beta/r' = 1/R \) in (19) giving a constant value
for the reciprocal linear dispersion.

If we consider a monochromatic source \( (d\lambda=0) \) then the grating
equation gives us \( \cos \alpha d\alpha = -\cos \beta d\beta \). If the source and image
sizes are \( s \) and \( s' \) respectively then \( da = s/r, \; d\beta = s'/r' \) and we get an expression for the magnification \( M(\lambda) = s/s' \)

\[
M(\lambda) = \frac{\cos \alpha \; r'}{\cos \beta \; r} \tag{20}
\]

We see that \( M(\lambda) = 1 \) for all \( \lambda \) for the Rowland case. Notice that \( M(0) = r'/r \), as expected, and \( M(\lambda) > M(0) \) for negative order and \( M(\lambda) < M(0) \) for positive order.

Suppose we are imaging a monochromatic source with zero width entrance and exit slits. This is possible in the geometrical optics view of imaging. Suppose the source moves a small distance \( s \). Then we have \( da = s/r \). In addition from (1)

\[
\left( \frac{d\lambda}{d\alpha} \right)_\beta = \frac{d\cos \alpha}{m}
\]

So

\[
\Delta \lambda_S = \frac{sd\cos \alpha}{mr} \tag{21}
\]

This is the slit width (or source size) contribution to the resolution. By a similar argument the exit slit contributes an amount

\[
\Delta \lambda_{S'} = \frac{s'd\cos \beta}{mr'} \tag{22}
\]

We see that \( \Delta \lambda_S = sd/mR \) for the Rowland case and similarly for \( \Delta \lambda_{S'} \). The aberrations contribute an amount which for each ray is given by setting \( s' \) in (22) equal to \( \delta y \) so that

\[
\Delta \lambda_A = \frac{A\delta \cos \beta}{mr'} = \frac{d \delta F}{m \delta y} \tag{23}
\]

It is unusual in grazing incidence systems to be close to the diffraction limit. However, with synchrotron sources planned that produce diffraction limited beams well into the VUV plus the increasing use of the partial coherence properties of synchrotron radiation for coherent imaging experiments we must consider it. It is proved, for example, in reference (14) that the diffraction limited resolution \( \Delta \lambda_D \) of a grating with \( N \) grooves is given by

\[
\Delta \lambda_D = \frac{\lambda}{mN} \tag{24}
\]

In the event that the optical system of a monochromator is imperfect this will add a further contribution to the resolution. Suppose the line spread function due to imperfections is of width \( h \) then the resolution contribution will be

\[
\Delta \lambda_{LSF} = \frac{h \delta \cos \beta}{mr'} \tag{25}
\]
Equations (21) - (25) give the five main contributions to the resolution of a monochromator. The actual resolution function is obtained by convolving the five resolution functions together. The width of the overall resolution function is therefore usually estimated by combining the five widths quadratically.

7. PHASE SPACE ACCEPTANCE

Suppose the grating in fig 2 has width $w_0$. The beam of light illuminating the grating has emittance $\varepsilon$ given by

$$\varepsilon = \frac{w_0 \cos \alpha}{\pi} \cdot s$$

Setting $w_0 = N \Delta s$ and expressing this from the viewpoint of the illuminating beam,

$$A(\Delta s) = N \Delta s/4$$

where $A(\Delta s)$ is the phase space acceptance. If $\Delta s$ is in $\AA$, then $A$ is in $\AA$. radians. The $1/4$ comes from our wish to be compatible with accelerator physics and define phase space in terms of half widths and angles.

This is an important equation. It shows firstly that the acceptance varies with the slit-width-limited resolution. It also shows how to compare the phase space of the synchrotron source with the phase space of the monochromator. We know that if the emittance of the photon beam from the source is larger than $A(\Delta s)$ by some factor $F$, then one must sacrifice a factor $F$ in flux to work at that resolution. We show in figure 4 a plot which in this author's opinion is the proper way to show the resolution - flux trade-offs of a particular monochromator working with a particular source and is also the proper way to compare the characteristics of competing monochromator designs.

The monochromator whose data are plotted in fig 4 is under construction at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory. It is a 55 meter, Rowland Circle device using a water cooled spherical grating in a conventional TGM mount. The included angle (2θ) is 174° and the overall length 6 meters.

All the curves refer to the use of full aperture for the 180 mm wide, 1100 l/mm grating. The ultimate resolution displayed is the spherical aberration limit. The actual resolution should equal the spherical aberration limit in the region 15-25Å and be less good
Fig. 4 Comparison of the source emittances and the acceptance of the spherical grating monochromator under different operation modes. The solid curves 1, 2, refer to SPEAR (54 pole wiggler for 3 GeV and 1.3 Tesla at normal operation (1), and with the low emittance upgrade (2), curve 3 refers to an ALS bending magnet. The long-dashed curves show the acceptance when the entrance slit of the monochromator is set for constant energy resolution $\Delta E$. Usually a monochromator is operated with fixed entrance slit width which results in the dash-dotted acceptance curves. Both sets of curves are based on the assumption that the resolution is slit width limited and that the grating is always at full aperture ($18 \text{cm}$ width). The ultimate resolution of the monochromator, which is given mostly by the spherical aberration (short-dashed curve) and partly by the diffraction limit, is indicated by the shaded area. This figure shows which resolution can be achieved and how much of the incident flux is therefore lost.
outside that. The figure tolerance required is about 1μR which should be achieved for a spherical surface, however, achieving an adequate finish may be quite challenging. The most difficult practical problem is mechanical stability over the 6 meter length.

From (26) we see that the resolution-luminosity product (RLP) (or more properly the resolving power-phase space acceptance product) is given by NA/4 in the slit-width-limited regime. The resolution luminosity product is intended to be a figure of merit to compare competing designs so if we always work in the slit-width-limited regime then the design with the largest N wins. A more useful way to interpret the resolution-luminosity product is as the ultimate resolving power (λ/Δλ_u) times A(Δλ_s) so we get

$$\text{RLP} = NA \frac{\Delta\lambda_s}{\Delta\lambda_u}$$

(27)

which is a function of λ and Δλ_s which can be plotted for given instruments for comparison purposes.
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