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MÖSSBAUER RESONANCE STUDIES OF GOLD COMPOUNDS

Marjorie O. Faltens

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

January 1969

ABSTRACT

Mössbauer experiments were performed at liquid helium temperature on linear gold(I) compounds and square-planar gold(III) compounds. A linear relationship was established between the isomer shift and quadrupole splitting values for both the aurous and auric compounds. These results are explained in terms of the comparable electric field gradient values of the 5d and 6p electrons of gold and the differences in the ionic character of the compounds. The nuclear factor $\delta R/R$ for Au-197 was determined to be $3.1 \times 10^{-4}$. A scale was established to indicate the number of 6s electrons on gold which corresponds to a given isomer shift and the number of 6p electrons and 5d electron holes which are responsible for the observed quadrupole splittings.
I. INTRODUCTION

The Mössbauer effect has proven to be a valuable tool in the fields of experimental chemistry and physics. In particular, the Mössbauer effect provides a sensitive method of investigating the changes in the electronic environment of the Mössbauer nucleus as a function of the atoms to which it is bonded. Information about the electronic structure of an atom is accessible by several nuclear resonance techniques (e.g., NQR, NMR) but the results often involve ambiguities concerning the importance of ionic character and bond hybridization. The Mössbauer effect is unique in that it observes both the effects of the s electrons (isomer shift) and the unbalance of p and d electrons (quadrupole splitting); thus facilitating the interpretation of the results.

Interest in the present studies was initiated when it was found by D. A. Shirley et al. that the quadrupole splittings of the square-planar gold(III) halides were much less than those of the corresponding linear gold(I) halides. Townes and Dailey had proposed—with supporting evidence from lighter atoms—that the electric field gradients and thus the quadrupole splittings are mainly due to valence p electrons. Since gold(III) has two 6p valence electrons in the molecular plane and gold(I) has one 6p electron along the symmetry axis, it was expected that both oxidation states of gold would have similar values of quadrupole splittings. The large differences in the quadrupole splittings of the two oxidation states are explained by taking the 5d electrons into consideration.
II. MOSSBAUER EFFECT

A. General Background

Several comprehensive reviews on the theory and application of the Mössbauer effect are available in the literature.\textsuperscript{1,7,8,9} We will therefore limit this section to the discussion of the Mössbauer effect as related to the present investigation. The Mössbauer γ-ray resonance is a consequence of the recoil-free fraction of γ rays emitted and absorbed by the Mössbauer nucleus.

When a free atom at rest emits a γ ray between isomeric states whose energies differ by energy $E_t$, the atom recoils with an energy of $E_R$ which is given by

$$E_R = \frac{E_t^2}{2mc^2}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $m$ is the mass of the atom and $c$ is the speed of light. The resultant energy of the γ ray, $E_γ$, is then

$$E_γ = E_t - E_R. \quad (2)$$

Similarly, the γ ray energy, $E_γ'$, which is required to excite a nucleus of mass $m$ to an isomeric state that is $E_t$ higher is

$$E_γ' = E_t + E_R. \quad (3)$$

This results in a $2E_R$ energy discrepancy from exact resonance conditions. $E_R$ for Au 197 is about $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ eV. Although the
energy lost in the recoil is small, this energy loss is significant when compared to the inherently narrow natural linewidth, \( \Gamma_n \), of the \( \gamma \) ray. \( \Gamma_n \) can be determined from the uncertainty principle if the average lifetime, \( \tau \), of the isomeric state is known:

\[
\Gamma_n \tau = \hbar
\]  

\( \hbar \) is Planck's constant divided by \( 2\pi \). The natural linewidth of Au 197, which has a half life of \( 1.82 \pm 0.10 \times 10^{-9} \) seconds,\(^{10}\) is \( 0.0972 \pm 0.054 \) cm/sec. This is equivalent to \( 2.51 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{-7} \) eV. \( 2\Gamma_n \) is the minimum observable linewidth in the limit of zero absorber thickness. The experimental linewidths are generally wider. The ideal observable linewidth for Au 197 is \( 0.194 \pm 0.10 \) cm/sec. Shirley, \( \text{et al.}^{11} \) discuss absorbers of finite thickness.

The lines are sufficiently narrow that the \( \gamma \) ray emission and absorption lines do not overlap and a resonance is not observed. When the nucleus is embedded in a solid or crystallite, the recoil energy may be greatly diminished because the crystal may recoil as a whole. \( M \) is the mass of the crystallite, which can approach \( 10^{18} \) atomic masses or more. As \( E_R \) gets vanishingly small, there is, quantum mechanically, a finite probability that no phonons will be excited in the crystal lattice and that therefore the \( \gamma \) ray will carry away the entire transition energy, \( E_t \). The
fraction of these recoil-free events, \( f \), is approximated by

\[
f = \exp \left[ -\frac{6E_R}{k\Theta_D} \left( \frac{1}{4} + \left( \frac{T}{\Theta_D} \right)^2 \int_0^{\Theta_D/T} \frac{xdx}{e^x-1} \right) \right]
\]  

(6)

where \( T \) is the ambient temperature and \( k \) is the Boltzmann's constant. \( \Theta_D \), the Debye temperature, is a characteristic of the crystal. For metals such as gold, possessing low Debye temperatures (\( \Theta_D = 165^\circ\text{K} \)), low ambient temperatures are required to obtain an acceptable recoil-free fraction of \( \gamma \) ray transitions. All of our experiments were performed at 4.2\(^\circ\text{K}\). At this temperature, the recoil-free fraction of the 77 keV \( \gamma \) ray for gold in platinum metal (our source) is \( 0.27 \pm 0.03 \).\(^{12}\)

The shape of the absorption line is given by a Lorentz curve:

\[
\sigma(E) = \sigma_{m'} \frac{(\Gamma/2)}{(E-E_0)^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2}
\]

(7)

where \( \Gamma \) is the total linewidth of the absorption line. \( \sigma_{m'} \) is the effective cross section for absorption and is defined as\(^{11}\)

\[
\sigma_{m'} = \frac{\lambda^2 (2I^*_I+1)}{2\pi(2I+1)} \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)}
\]

(8)

\( \lambda \) is the wave length of the radiation, \( I^*_I \) and \( I \) are the spins of the excited and ground states respectively. \( \alpha \) is the internal conversion coefficient for the competing mode of decay. \( \alpha \) is equal to \( 3.94 \pm 0.14 \) for Au 197.\(^{11}\)
B. Hyperfine Interactions

Due to the narrow linewidth of the resonance γ ray, the hyperfine structure of the nuclear transitions can be analyzed by the Mössbauer effect. The nuclear energy levels are perturbed by the surrounding electrons in the solid giving rise to three possible hyperfine interactions: the electric monopole, $\mathcal{H}_{IS}$; the magnetic dipole, $\mathcal{H}_M$; and the electric quadrupole interaction, $\mathcal{H}_Q$. The Hamiltonian for the interactions is

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{IS} + \mathcal{H}_M + \mathcal{H}_Q$$

(9)

We shall discuss the three effects in turn.

The perturbation of the nuclear energy levels by the above interactions destroys the resonance conditions, but resonance can be restored by imparting a small Doppler velocity, $v$, to the γ ray of energy $E$. The change in the energy, $\Delta E$, of the modulated γ ray is given by:

$$\Delta E = E \frac{v}{c}$$

(10)

where $c$ is the speed of light. The velocity is taken as positive when the source approaches the absorber.

1. Isomer Shift

The nuclear energy levels are perturbed by the coulombic interaction between the nuclear and electronic charges in the nucleus. The isomer shift, IS, is the difference between the energy changes in the absorber, $\delta E_{abs}$, and the source, $\delta E_s$. The IS, given in Eq. (11) is a function of the mean nuclear charge radii, $R_{ex}$ and $R_g$, of the
excited and ground states respectively and is also a function of the 
electron densities in the nuclei, $\sum_{\text{abs}} \psi(0)^2$ and $\sum_s \psi(0)^2$, of the 
absorber and source respectively.

$$\text{IS} = \delta E_{\text{abs}} - \delta E_s = \frac{4\pi Z e^2 R^2 c}{5E_\gamma} S'(Z) \left[ \frac{R_{\text{ex}} - R_g}{R} \left[ \sum_{\text{abs}} \psi(0)^2 - \sum_s \psi(0)^2 \right] \right]$$

(11)

The constants have their usual significance. The quantity in the 
first square brackets is the nuclear factor which is the same for any 
absorber-source pair of a given isotope. The quantity in the second 
square brackets is an atomic factor which reflects the electronic 
configuration about the nuclei of the source and absorber. $R_{\text{ex}}$ for 
the 77 keV isomeric state of Au 197 is larger than $R_g$, so the nuclear 
factor is positive for our studies. The electron density in the 
nucleus is chiefly governed by the number of $s$ electrons since only 
they have non-zero probability density at the nucleus. The inner $d$ 
electrons can affect the charge density at the nucleus by screening 
the $s$ electrons. In our experiments, the source was always Au 197 
in platinum metal, thus $\sum_s \psi(0)^2$ was held constant and only $\sum_{\text{abs}} \psi(0)^2$

* Relativistic $p_{1/2}$ electrons also have a finite density in the nucleus. 
In Section V-C, the results show that the charge density of the $p_{1/2}$ 
electrons in the nucleus of gold is about 4% of that of the $s$ electrons.
was varied. Therefore, a positive IS (where the absorption maximum occurs when the source is moving toward the absorber) indicates that

\[ \sum_{\text{abs}} \psi(0)^2 \text{ is greater than } \sum_{\text{s}} \psi(0)^2. \]

For Au 197, Eq. (11) simplifies to

\[ \text{IS(cm/sec)} = 5.39 \times 10^{-24} \ \delta R/R \Delta \psi(0)^2. \]  

(12)

where

\[ \Delta \psi(0)^2 \equiv \sum_{\text{abs}} \psi(0)^2 - \sum_{\text{s}} \psi(0)^2 \]  

(13)

and \( \delta R \) is the difference between \( R_{\text{ex}} \) and \( R_{\text{g}} \).

2. **Nuclear Zeeman Splitting**

The interaction of the nuclear magnetic moment, \( \mu \), and the effective magnetic field, \( H_{\text{eff}} \), is given by:

\[ H_M = -\frac{\mu}{I} H_{\text{eff}}. \]  

(14)

This lifts the degeneracy of the spin \( I \) state into \( 2I + 1 \) levels.

The magnetic dipole transitions (M1) are governed by the following selection rule, \( \Delta m = 0, \pm 1 \). \( m \) is the projection of \( I \) on the symmetry axis. Since our compounds are all diamagnetic, we shall not elaborate on this hyperfine interaction.

3. **Quadrupole Splitting**

The quadrupole splitting arises from the interaction between the nuclear quadrupole moment, \( Q \), and the electric field gradient,
\( q(= \frac{a^2V}{z^2}) \). The Hamiltonian for this interaction is given by Eq. (15): \(^{14}\)

\[
H_Q = \frac{\text{eqQ}}{4I(2I-1)} \left[ 3I_z^2 - I(I+1) + \eta(I_x^2 - I_y^2) \right]. \tag{15}
\]

Here \( e \) is the electron charge, \( I \) the nuclear spin and \( I_z \) the projection of \( I \) on the \( z \)-axis. \( \eta \), the asymmetry parameter, is given by:

\[
\eta = \left( \frac{\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y^2}}{\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2}} \right) \tag{16}
\]

The convention is to select the \( x, y \) and \( z \) axes so that

\[
\left| \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2} \right| > \left| \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right| > \left| \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y^2} \right| \tag{17}
\]

then \( \eta \) will take on values between zero and unity. If the crystal is axially symmetric, \( \eta = 0 \) and \( m = I_z \) and the states of \( \pm m \) are degenerate. Then \( \Delta E \) is given by Eq. (18) and is non-zero for spin \( I \geq 1 \). For Au-197, only the ground state with spin \( I = \frac{3}{2} \) is perturbed by the quadrupole interaction:

\[
\Delta E = \frac{\text{eqQ}}{4I(2I-1)} \left( 3I_z^2 - I(I+1) \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\eta^2}{3} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{18}
\]

Figure II-1 depicts the lifting of the energy degeneracy of the \( I = +3/2 \) state. The quadrupole splitting, \( QS \), which is the difference between the energy changes of the ground and excited states is equal to \( \text{eqQ}/2 \) for Au-197 axially symmetric compounds.
Fig. II-1. Splitting of nuclear energy level of Au 197 due to non-zero electric field gradient.
The electric quadrupole coupling interaction, like the isomer shift, is a function of both a nuclear and an atomic factor: the quadrupole moment which is a measure of the deviation of the nuclear charge distribution from spherical symmetry and the electric field gradient, \(q\), which is a function of the electronic distribution on the vicinity of the nucleus. For a given isotope, \(Q\) is a constant \((Q = +0.594 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2)\) for the 77 keV isomeric state of Au \(^{197}\); \(^{15}\) therefore, we can deduce information about the interatomic bonds from the quadrupole splittings for compounds of the same isotope.

Several of our gold compounds have asymmetric QS doublets.* One conceivable source of the unequal line intensities is the existence of more than one chemical species of gold. Since gold generally forms fairly unstable compounds, this is always a possibility. We made special precautions against decomposition of our absorbers. An anisotropic absorber (or source) could also cause unequal line intensities. To help guard against this possibility, we carefully ground our samples. If the asymmetry parameter is non-zero, the transition components are no longer pure \(\sigma\) and \(\pi\). For the single crystal, the intensities of the absorption lines are no longer equal. For the powder sample, however, the intensities are averaged over-all angles and the observed intensities are equal.

The third and less trivial possibility is the Gol'danskii effect. This effect has been treated in the literature* \(^{16,17,18,19}\) obviating an extensive development here.

* The three gold sulfides and \(\text{AuS}_4\text{C}_4(\text{CN})_4\) show the greatest asymmetry.
It has been shown that the ratio of the $\pi$- to the $\sigma$-transition intensities for an isotropic polycrystalline sample is:

$$\frac{i\pi(\text{total})}{i\sigma(\text{total})} = \frac{\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} i\pi(\theta)d\cos\theta}{\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} i\sigma(\theta)d\cos\theta} = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

If the recoil-free fraction of the $\gamma$-transitions have angular dependence, we have

$$\frac{i\pi(\text{total})}{i\sigma(\text{total})} = \frac{\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} i\pi(\theta)f\cos\theta(d\cos\theta)}{\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} i\sigma(\theta)f\cos\theta(d\cos\theta)} \neq 1$$

resulting in unequal line intensities in the $QS$ doublet. Gol'danskii, et al.\textsuperscript{17,19} have experimentally tested and confirmed such an effect.
III. COORDINATION CHEMISTRY OF GOLD

A. Chemical Characteristics of Gold

The chief chemical characteristic of gold is its extreme inactivity. Neither oxygen nor strong acids attack gold, and the halogens at ordinary temperatures react with it very slowly, if at all. All gold compounds are easily decomposed. Due to its chemical inactivity, gold occurs naturally in only two forms: as native or metallic gold and as a component of the telluride minerals. Gold can be dissolved in a very dilute aqueous solution of potassium cyanide to form the stable dicyanoaurate(I) complex ion, [Au(CN)_2]^- . However, it does so only to the extent of ~2 g of gold per liter. Aside from aqueous potassium cyanide, gold will only dissolve in the presence of the strongest oxidizing agent, especially those which contain chloride (as in aqua regia) and so form the stable chloride complex anion, [AuCl_4]^- .

Although the existence of divalent and tetravalent gold compounds has been reported, the principle valencies of gold are only +1 and +3. The much rarer +2 and +4 states are discussed briefly at the end of this section.

The wide occurrence of the +1 and +3 oxidation states complicates the chemistry of gold. With two possible valencies, there is the possibility of the atom being oxidized or reduced from one state to the other by its surroundings and the further problem of the aurous (+1) state disproportionating into the auric (+3) state and the free metal:
3 \text{Au}^{(+1)} \rightarrow \text{Au}^{(+3)} + 2 \text{Au}^{(\text{metal})} . \quad (1)

This poses a special problem because the exceptional stability and insolubility of the metal promotes its separation.

Gold manifests some unusual chemical behavior. The order of stability of most metal halide complexes decreases in the following order:

\[ F^- > Cl^- > Br^- > I^- . \quad (2) \]

Gold, however, is a member of a small group of metals which have a reversed order of complex stability. This makes it apparent that the stabilities of the gold complexes are directly related to their covalent character. Indeed, aurous fluoride \text{AuF}, (which should be highly ionic) does not exist due to thermo-instabilities. \text{AuF}_3 and \text{[AuF}_4^\text{-}] are extremely unstable. In general, a given gold(III) compound is more stable than the corresponding gold(I) compound. One exception is the instability of \text{AuI}_3 as compared to the relative stability of \text{AuI}.

The instability of \text{AuI}_3 may be partly attributed to steric crowding. From simple geometric considerations, the square-planar configuration of gold(III) compounds would require the radii ratio of the gold ion to the ligand ion, \( r^+/r^- \), to be equal to or greater than 0.414. As seen in Table I, this requirement is met by all the ligands except the iodide ion (\( r^+/r^- = 0.394 \)) and the carbon atom in the cyanide ion (\( r^+/r^- = 0.328 \)). The cyanide complexes are stabilized by multiple
Table I. Ionic charge and ionic radii information for the ligands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Bonding Atom</th>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Ionic Radius</th>
<th>$r(Au^+3)$*</th>
<th>$r(ligand)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F^-$</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Cl^-$</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Br^-$</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I^-$</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O^{2-}$</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{2}O_{3}^{2-}$</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CN^{-1}$</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Au^{+1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Au^{+3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>0.85^23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* It should be noted that none of the gold compounds are purely ionic; a fact which would increase the value of these ratios.
bonding. The iodide complex has no such stabilizing possibility. The possible existence of a low energy charge transfer band in AuI$_3$, which is similar to [AuI$_4$]$^-$, may also account for this instability. There is evidence from charge transfer spectra studies of [AuCl$_4$]$^-$ and [AuBr$_4$]$^-$, that [AuI$_4$]$^-$ would have a charge transfer band in the infrared region, causing it to decompose spontaneously at room temperature. 21

Gold forms covalent bonds in great preference to ionic bonds. Not only are all gold compounds largely covalent, but apparently they all form complexes to increase their coordination number from one to two for the aurous state and from three to four in the auric state. Therefore, most gold compounds can accurately be considered coordination compounds in which the number of bonds (the coordination number) formed by one of the atoms is greater than that expected from the valency considerations. The atoms or groups of atoms attached to the central (gold) atom are referred to as ligands and are said to be coordinated or complexed to the central (metal) atom in the first coordination sphere.

Although +1 and +3 are the only important oxidation states of gold, other oxidation states have been reported. Therefore, for the sake of being complete, we will briefly discuss the +2 and +4 oxidation states.

Divalent gold complexes have been reported 21 but we were not able to prepare it in sufficient quantity to observe a Mössbauer effect. Gold(I) dithiocarbamates, when treated with thiuram disulfide, are reported to yield gold(II) species in solution. It
would have an odd number of unshared electrons and thus would be paramagnetic. If the gold complex is isomorphous to the silver congener (which is drawn below), the gold(II) complex would have linear bonding with a square-planar coordination (Easley).\textsuperscript{25} Divalent silver has a $4d^9$ electronic configuration.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{R} \quad \text{N} \quad \text{C} \quad \text{S} \quad \text{Ag} \quad \text{S} \quad \text{C} \quad \text{N} \\
\text{R} \quad \text{R} \quad \text{R} \quad \text{R}
\end{array}
\]

The only known tetravalent gold compound, $\text{AuF}_4$, was prepared by Rao and associates.\textsuperscript{26} It is a pale yellow substance which is highly paramagnetic with $\mu = 3.9$ B.M. at $293^\circ K$. $\text{AuF}_4$ was found to be amorphous to x-rays and no detailed structural information is available.

B. Chemical Bonding in Gold Compounds

Before discussing the hybridization of gold bonds, it will be instructive at this juncture to present the geometrics of the s, p and d atomic orbitals. The diagrams in Fig. III-1 indicate the surfaces of constant probability density.

The s orbital is spherically symmetric, while the three degenerate p orbitals ($p_x$, $p_y$ and $p_z$) have positive and negative lobes along the respective axes and are mutually perpendicular.

There are three mutually perpendicular d orbitals ($d_{xy}$, $d_{yz}$ and $d_{xz}$), each with four alternating plus and minus lobes in the respective planes. The lobes are at $45^\circ$ angles with the respect to the Cartesian coordinate axes. A fourth d orbital, $d_{x^2-y^2}$, which is
Fig. III-1. Configurations of the s, p and d atomic orbitals.
orthogonal to the first three, lies along the x-y axes with four
lobes alternating in sign. Finally, there is the $d_{z^2}$ orbital which has
positive lobes along the z-axis and a negative collar around the
z-axis in the x-y plane. In the absence of a perturbing ligand field,
the five $d$ orbitals of a given $d$ shell are degenerate.

Pauling has developed much of the current valence-bond treatment
of coordination compounds which successfully predicts the configurations
of gold complexes. According to the valence-bond treatment, the central
gold atom will make available a number of orbitals equal to its
coordination number for the formation of covalent bonds with ligand
orbitals. The strength of the bond is a function of the degree of
overlap of the orbitals. The amount of overlap of the gold bonds with
the ligand bonds is maximized by hybridizing the required number of
gold atomic orbitals to form a new set of equivalent bonding orbitals
possessing definite directional properties.

In valence-bond notation, gold(I) has a filled 5$d$ inner shell,
or core, of ten unshared electrons, and hybridizes the 6$s$ and 6$p_z$
orbitals for bonding. The orbitals are arbitrarily oriented along the
±z directions. Having a filled 5$d^{10}$ core and no unpaired electrons,
the aurous complexes are diamagnetic. The sp-hybrid orbitals are

$$\psi^+Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (6s - 6p_z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\psi^-Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (6s + 6p_z)$$
The sp-hybrid orbitals consist of equal parts of normalized 6s and 6p\textsubscript{z} wavefunctions of the gold atom. The configuration of the sp-hybrid orbitals are shown in Fig. III-2(a).

In bonding, the two bonding molecular orbitals can be approximated by the following expression:

$$\psi_{[AuL_2]}^{-} = \alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (6s \pm 6p_z)_{Au} \pm \beta \psi_{L} \quad (4)$$

The wave function of the ligand is denoted by $\psi_{L}$. The upper signs are for one bond and the lower signs are for the other. If one pair of signs were reversed, the resulting orbitals would be antibonding.

To facilitate the discussion of the bonding electrons, we will take the ligand, L, to be chlorine. We then have

$$\psi_{[AuCl_2]}^{-} = \alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (6s \pm 6p_z)_{Au} \pm \beta (3p_z)_{Cl} \quad (5)$$

Each bond involves two electrons which are spin-paired. Therefore, a total of four electrons participate in the two bonds. For $[AuCl_2]^{-}$ in CsAuCl\textsubscript{2}, for example, the sources of the four electrons are:

- Gold atom: 1 electron
- One each from both Cl atoms: 2 electrons
- Metal cation (Cs\textsuperscript{+}): 1 electron

4 electrons.

This electron count will facilitate the discussion of electric field gradients in Section V-D.
Fig. III-2. Configuration of the (a) sp and (b) dsp$^2$ hybrid orbitals.
For the AuCl polymer, it takes a little more care to account for all four bonding electrons. Each chlorine atom bridges two gold atoms in a zig-zag chain. In the diagram below, the bonding of the polymer is indicated by the electron pairs between the atoms. The gold electron is denoted by an open circle and each chlorine electron is given by an x.

If the bonds are considered to be formed in the x-y plane of chlorine, the two chlorine bonding orbitals would be $3p_x$ and $3p_y$. One orbital will have a single electron and thus form a normal bond with gold.
second, however, will be doubly occupied and will thus contribute both of the required electrons to form a bond with gold. The electron count would then be: one electron from the gold atom, one electron from the first chlorine atom and two electrons from the second chlorine atom to make a total of four electrons. The electrons from gold atoms are denoted by open circles, o, and those from chlorine atoms are denoted by the crosses, x.

Gold(III) has a 5d⁸ core of eight unshared electrons which are all spin-paired, resulting in diamagnetic complexes. For bonding, gold(III) forms four dsp² hybrid orbitals by utilizing its 5d, 6s, 6pₓ, and 6pᵧ atomic orbitals. The orbitals, which have square-planar symmetry, can be taken along the ±x and ±y directions. Their configuration and orientations are indicated in Fig. III-2(b). The compositions of the four dsp² hybrid orbitals are

\[ \psi_{+x} = \frac{1}{2}(6s) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(6pₓ) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(5d_{x^2-y^2}) \]

\[ \psi_{-x} = \frac{1}{2}(6s) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(6pₓ) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(5d_{x^2-y^2}) \]

\[ \psi_{+y} = \frac{1}{2}(6s) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(6pᵧ) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(5d_{x^2-y^2}) \]

\[ \psi_{-y} = \frac{1}{2}(6s) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(6pᵧ) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(5d_{x^2-y^2}) \]

The four molecular orbitals for gold(III) compounds are similar to the [AuCl₄]⁻ molecular orbitals which are given below.
\[
\{1/2(6s) \pm 1/\sqrt{2}(6p_x) - 1/2(5d_{x^2-y^2})\}_\text{Au} \mp (3p_x)_\text{Cl}
\]

\[
\psi[\text{AuCl}_4]^– = \{1/2(6s) \pm 1/\sqrt{2}(6p_y) + 1/2(5d_{x^2-y^2})\}_\text{Au} \mp (3p_y)_\text{Cl}
\]

(7)

Again, as in the case of the gold(I) molecular orbitals, the upper signs represent one molecular orbital and the lower signs represent the other. The eight required electrons for the four bonds are supplied by the following atoms:

- **Gold atom**: 3 electrons
- **Metal cation (Na+)**: 1 electron
- **Four chlorines, one each**: 4 electrons
  - Total: 8 electrons

In the gold(III) dimers such as \((\text{AuCl}_3)_2\), the four terminal halides (Cl) contribute one electron each to the bonds. The two bridging halides (Cl), however, utilize two p orbitals, as in the example of the AuCl polymer. The bonding electron count for \((\text{AuCl}_3)_2\) is:

- **Gold atom**: 3 electrons
- **Two terminal chlorines, one each**: 2 electrons
- **One bridging chlorine, one electron**: 1 electron
- **Other bridging chlorine filled 3p orbital**: 2 electrons
  - Total: 8 electrons
The bonding electrons in $(\text{AuCl}_3)_2$ are depicted in the diagram below:

From the bond-strength criterion of maximum overlap of orbitals, the valence-bond theory correctly predicts that the tervalent gold complexes are in general more stable than the corresponding univalent gold complexes. The relative bond strengths of the sp and dsp$^2$ hybrid orbitals are 1.732 and 2.694 respectively.*

*The bond strengths are taken relative to the s orbital. See Pauling's Nature of the Chemical Bond, Reference 22.
IV. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE AND APPARATUS

Our Mössbauer apparatus basically consists of a source, an absorber and a detector, plus a means of imparting a Doppler velocity to the source (or absorber) to modulate the resonance gamma ray energy. This velocity drive system includes a linear drive amplifier and an instantaneous velocity monitor for the moving source (or absorber). All of our experiments were performed at liquid helium temperature (4.2°K) in a three-wall cryostat. These basic systems are described below. A block schematic diagram of the electronic equipment is given in Fig. IV-1.

A. Source

Pt 197, which populates the $77.345 \pm 0.004$ keV isomeric state of Au 197, was obtained by thermal neutron bombardment of Pt 196. The latter was purchased as a powder in 65% enrichment from the Oak Ridge Radioisotopes Division. The Pt 196 powder was solidified by heating it in a zinc oxide crucible at 1750°C for ten minutes in a vacuum. (This is a few degrees below its melting point. At the actual melting point of platinum, 1769°C, we found that the metal wets the crucible.) The solid source could then be moved with respect to the absorber with little danger of extraneous movements due to bouncing of unsecured powder particles. The active source was contained in Mylar tape which could subsequently be dissolved with benzene. The spent source could be re-irradiated several times.
Fig. IV-1. Schematic diagram of Mössbauer effect electronic circuitry.
Pieces of Pt 196 weighing about 10 mg each were sent to the General Electric Vallecitos reactor for a 18-hour irradiation in a flux of $2 \times 10^{14}$ neutrons/cm$^2$/sec. When Vallecitos was not available, a 24-36 hour irradiation at a flux of about $2 \times 10^{13}$ neutrons/cm$^2$/sec was obtained at the University of California's reactor in Livermore, California. These sources were used in the form received from the reactors, without further heat treatment or chemical modifications. The absorbers were gold nuclei in various chemical compounds. The decay scheme for Au 197 is given in Fig. IV-2.

**B. Absorber Preparation**

All of the gold compounds, except where indicated, were prepared in this laboratory and the methods of preparation are given below. Generally, the samples were prepared in the wet a few hours before the Mössbauer experiment was performed so as to keep the decomposition to a minimum. The absorbers were stored at dry ice or liquid nitrogen temperatures and when necessary were kept in the dark to avoid either photo- or thermo-decomposition. A half-gram sample of powdered absorber was placed in a lucite or teflon container which pressed the powder into an uniform disc of 2 cm$^2$ area. A photograph of these containers* is

*Lucite absorber holders were used except in the cases of highly reactive AuF$_3$ and [BrF$_2$][AuF$_4$], at which time we used teflon absorber holders. The cylinder, cover and rim-press shown in the 2nd row of Fig. IV-3 was commonly used. The fully assembled absorber holder is the forth item in the 2nd row. The lucite absorber holder in the top row is used when only a small sample is available. The teflon absorber holder is indicated in the photograph.
Fig. IV-2. Decay scheme of Au 197 Mössbauer nucleus
(Lederer, et al. 28).
Fig. IV-3. Photograph of the Lucite and Teflon absorber holders.
given in Fig. IV-3. Once in the cryostat, where conditions of inert atmosphere (He gas) and low temperature (4.2°K) prevailed, decomposition was virtually arrested.

The compounds were characterized by chemical analysis and x-ray diffraction patterns when possible. In the following Sections, the compounds are enumerated along with their chemical characteristics and methods of preparation.

1. Tetrafluorosurate(III), \([\text{AuF}_4]^-\)

Because of the high reactivity and toxicity of \(\text{BrF}_3\) and the ease with which moisture reacts with the gold fluorides, the preparation was done in a Monel reaction vacuum system. One gram of 30-mesh gold powder was placed in a translucent "Kel-F" reaction vessel and dried by evacuating the heated vessel overnight. Ten to fifteen milliliters of \(\text{BrF}_3\) were transferred into the vessel and allowed to react at room temperature. The light yellow \(\text{BrF}_3\) turned dark red to black with bromine as it was liberated in the reaction

\[
\text{Au metal} + 2\text{BrF}_3 \rightarrow \text{AuBrF}_6 + \frac{1}{2} \text{Br}_2
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color</th>
<th>mustard</th>
<th>light</th>
<th>bright</th>
<th>dark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>red</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{(1)}\]

*The details of the preparation has been reported by A. G. Sharp.*

†Kel-F is a non-reactive fluoro-organic substance. The reaction tubes were purchased from Argonne National Laboratories.
The bromine was removed periodically by collecting it into a liquid nitrogen cold finger. The completion of the reaction was marked by the cessation of bromine liberation and subsequent coloration. The bright yellow AuBrF₆ was isolated by heating the reaction vessel to 50°C and removing the excess BrF₃ into a cold finger. This final step took approximately six hours. The sample was prepared as an absorber by putting it into a non-reactive Teflon absorber holder.

2. Gold(III) trifluoride, AuF₃

Gold trifluoride was prepared by converting AuBrF₆ in the following reaction,

\[
\text{AuBrF}_6 \xrightarrow{120^°C} \text{AuF}_3 + \text{BrF}_3
\]

by heating the system to 120°C in a vacuum for about an hour. This compound, like AuBrF₆, was transferred into a Teflon absorber holder in a dry-box. The chemical analysis gave a ratio of gold-to-fluoride of 1.0/3.0.

3. Gold(III) trichloride, AuCl₃

The auric chloride was prepared from chlorauric acid, HAuCl₄, by heating it in a tube furnace in an atmosphere of dry chlorine gas. The chlorauric acid, which is commercially available as gold chloride, can be prepared by dissolving gold in aqua regia. The reaction is:

\[
\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{175^°C} \text{AuCl}_3 + \text{HCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

The reaction is:
Chlorine gas was dried by passing it through two sulfuric acid scrubbing towers. The trichloride reacts readily with moisture in the air. A typical analysis gave a chlorine-to-gold molar ratio of 2.46/1.00. This ratio was low in Cl due to loss of Cl₂ during analysis.

4. Gold(I) chloride, AuCl

By heating auric chloride to 235°C in an atmosphere of dry hydrogen chloride gas, one can decompose it into aurous chloride

\[ \text{AuCl}_3 \xrightarrow{235^\circ C} \text{AuCl} + \text{Cl}_2 \uparrow \]

brownish red light yellow

Aurous chloride reacts readily with atmospheric moisture to form auric chloride. At elevated temperatures, AuCl will disproportionate into AuCl₃ and gold metal. The presence of AuCl₃ can be detected by its intense brownish-red color. Gold metal can be recognized by its darker mustard-yellow color. It has been suggested that aurous chloride can be purified of auric chloride by rinsing it with anhydrous ether. This procedure imparts a pale but distinct green tinge to the compounds: aurous chloride turns to this color upon standing for a few days in a desiccator. We used the compound as it came from the tube furnace. A typical analysis gave a chlorine-to-gold molar ratio of 1.05/1.00.

5. Cesium aurous auric chloride, Cs₂Au(I)Au(III)Cl₆

The preparation of black Cs₂Au(I)Au(III)Cl₆ requires a large excess of CsCl and a dilute solution of gold complex to avoid the deposition of the sparingly soluble yellow CsAuCl₄. In the preparation,
we mixed 2 grams of AuCl with 12 grams of CsCl in a beaker containing 300 cc 6N HCl. The mixture was boiled, cooled, filtered, and allowed to crystallize. The details of the preparation is given by Wells.  

6. Sodium and potassium tetrachloraurate(III), NaAuCl₄ and KAuCl₄

These two salts were purchased from Alfa Inorganics, Inc. NaAuCl₄ is light bright-yellow and KAuCl₄ is a deep golden-yellow color.

7. Gold(III) tribromide, AuBr₃

By combining and mechanically stirring aqueous liquid bromine and 30-mesh gold powder, one obtains AuBr₃ by the following reaction

\[ \text{Au} + \frac{3}{2} \text{Br}_2 \rightarrow \text{AuBr}_3 \quad . \]

Chemical analysis yields an average bromine-to-gold molar ratio of 2.96/1.00.

In an attempt to prepare bromauric acid, HAuBr₄·xH₂O, we reacted gold powder with an acid mixture of three-parts HBr and one-part nitric acid. After the brown nitrous oxide, NO₂, stopped evolving, the dark reddish-brown solution was decanted to check for unreacted gold powder. The solution was then evaporated to dryness in air. However, chemical analysis gave a ratio of bromine-to-gold of 3.04-to-1.00 indicating that AuBr₃ was probably formed. The physical appearance was that of AuBr₃, black.
8. Gold(I) bromide, AuBr

Aurous bromide was prepared by heating auric bromide at 100°C in an atmosphere of dry argon gas:

\[
\text{AuBr}_3 \xrightarrow{100^\circ \text{C}} \text{AuBr} \cdot \text{Br}_2
\]

black \hspace{1cm} gray-yellow

Above 115°C, AuBr decomposes into its elements. This reaction, which takes approximately a half-day, was carried out in a tube furnace. AuBr is soluble in water in which it disproportionates into \( \text{AuBr}_3 \) and metallic gold. A chemical analysis gave a gold-to-bromide molar ratio of 1.006/1.000.

9. Potassium tetrabromoaurate(III), KAuBr_4

The compound KAuBr_4 was prepared according to the method outlined by Bailar. The reaction can be summarized as follows:

\[
\text{KBr} + \text{Au} + \frac{3}{2} \text{Br}_2 \xrightarrow{55^\circ \text{C}} \text{KAuBr}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

dark reddish-brown

The actual molar ratio of bromine-to-gold used was four-to-one. The KAuBr_4 was dissolved in methanol and filtered through sintered glass followed by evaporation to dryness at 40°C in a stream of air. The chemical analysis gave the following molar ratios: \( \text{K/Au/Br} = 1.02/1.00/3.97 \).
10. **Gold(III) iodide, AuI$_3$**

Auric iodide, AuI$_3$, decomposes into gold metal and aurous iodide, AuI, at 25°C. We made several attempts to prepare AuI$_3$ at 0°C by slowly pouring an aqueous solution of chlorauric acid into an aqueous solution of potassium iodide:

\[
[AuCl_4]^- + 4I^- \rightarrow [AuI_4]^- + 4Cl^- \tag{8}
\]

\[
3[AuI_4]^- + [AuCl_4]^- \rightarrow 4AuI + 4Cl^- \tag{9}
\]

At first, a brownish precipitate forms, which Prescott and Johnson suggest is KAuI$_4$, an intermediate product.\(^{31}\) It quickly reacts with the excess [AuCl$_4$]$^-$ to form AuI$_3$. This precipitate was filtered through a medium sintered glass funnel and washed with ice water. The brownish precipitate turns into a dark dull green clay-like substance, AuI$_3$. Auric iodide decomposes into aurous iodide on standing in air. Although a typical analysis gave an iodine-to-gold molar ratio of about 2.8/1.0, and the color was that of AuI$_3$, we believe that the substance was essentially converted into aurous iodide and the extra iodine existed in elemental form. See the discussion of the results in Section V.

11. **Gold(I) iodide, AuI**

Aurous iodide is more easily prepared than the other gold halides. It is precipitated by slowly adding a dilute solution of KI to an equivalent amount of chlorauric acid, HAuCl$_4$, in aqueous solution. The reaction is
This yellow, finely-divided substance has a tinge of green. A typical analysis gave an iodine-to-gold molar ratio of 1.05/1.00.

12. Potassium dicyanoaurate(I), KAu(CN)$_2$

KAu(CN)$_2$ can be prepared from elemental gold by the "old cyanide process" used by gold miners. The chemical reactions involved are probably,

\[
\begin{align*}
3I^- + [\text{AuCl}_4]^- &\rightarrow \text{AuI} + 3\text{Cl}^- + I_2 \quad \text{(10)} \\
\text{bright orange lemon yellow}
\end{align*}
\]

Gold powder in a dilute aqueous solution of KCN was vigorously stirred with a magnetic stirrer. A stream of air was bubbled through the solution. About five grams of 30-mesh gold powder can be dissolved overnight. The KAu(CN)$_2$ was purified by recrystallization from water.

13. Gold(I) cyanide, AuCN

AuCN was prepared by heating an acidic aqueous solution of KAu(CN)$_2$ at 50°C:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}^+ + [\text{Au(CN)}_2]^- \xrightarrow{50^\circ C} \text{AuCN} + \text{HCN} \\
\text{(13)}
\end{align*}
\]

Gold(I) cyanide is sparingly soluble in water. No chemical analysis was done due to difficulty in dissolving AuCN.
14. Potassium trans-dicyanodihaloaurate(III)

a. KAu(CN)$_2$Cl$_2$. The halogen-cyanide mixed complexes were prepared by the method outlined by J. M. Smith et al. The chlorine-cyanide complex was formed by dissolving 0.02 moles of KAu(CN)$_2$ in 100 cc water and 30 cc methanol solution. The solution was mechanically stirred while chlorine gas was being bubbled through it. This was continued overnight to insure a complete reaction. The solution was then evaporated until very pale yellow crystals began to appear, after which the solution was chilled with ice and the complex was filtered and collected. The KAu(CN)$_2$Cl$_2$ was recrystallized from water. The analysis gave the following molar ratios: K/Au/Cl/CN = 1.03/1.00/1.99/1.99.

b. KAu(CN)$_2$Br$_2$. The bromine-cyanide mixed complex was prepared by mixing an aqueous solution of KAu(CN)$_2$ with an excess amount of liquid bromine which had been dissolved in methanol. The mixture was mechanically stirred overnight then partially evaporated, chilled in ice and filtered. The chemical analysis gave the following molar ratios: K/Au/Br/CN = 1.03/1.00/2.05/1.98.

c. KAu(CN)$_2$I$_2$. Like its chlorine and bromine congeners, it was prepared from KAu(CN)$_2$. An aqueous solution of KAu(CN)$_2$ was stirred with a solution of iodine which was dissolved in methanol. After reacting overnight, this solution was partially evaporated, chilled to 0°C and the crystals were collected by filtering. A chemical analysis gave: K/Au/I/CN = 1.02/1.00/2.04/2.05.
15. Potassium tetracyanoaurate(III), $\text{KAu(CN)}_4$

$\text{KAu(CN)}_4$ was prepared by treating 0.02 moles of $\text{KAu(CN)}_2\text{Br}_2$ with 0.04 moles KCN in 60 cc methanol:

$$\text{KAu(CN)}_2\text{Br}_2 + 2\text{KCN} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}} \text{KAu(CN)}_4 + \text{KBr} \quad \text{bright yellow} \rightarrow \text{colorless}$$

The yellow solution was stirred until it turned colorless. Then the solution was evaporated down to 15 cc and filtered to remove the white crystals of KBr. After carefully evaporating the clear solution to dryness, it was recrystallized from water. The chemical analysis gave:

$$\frac{\text{K}}{\text{Au}}/\text{CN} = 1.08/1.00/4.02.$$ This sample tested positive for $\text{Br}^{-}$ which is probably from residual KBr impurity. This would account for the slight excess potassium in the chemical analysis.

16. Sodium dithiosulfatoaurate(I), $\text{Na}_3\text{Au(S}_2\text{O}_3)_2$

The reactions involved in the preparation of the gold thiosulfate complex can be summarized as follows

$$3\text{(OH)}^- + [\text{AuCl}_4]^- \rightarrow \text{Au(OH)}_3 + 4\text{Cl}^- \quad (15)$$

$$\text{Au(OH)}_3 + 4\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow 3\text{NaOH} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_4\text{O}_6 + \text{Na}_3\text{Au(S}_2\text{O}_3)_2. \quad (16)$$

The details of the preparation are given by J. Brown. We started by adding 21 grams of $\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ to about 75 ml of water. To this solution, we added a 40% (by weight) solution of NaOH dropwise until a vivid
red-orange precipitate started to form. This solution was then poured into a mechanically stirred solution of 50 grams Na₂(S₂O₃)·5H₂O in 100 ml of water, causing no visible change. The direction of pouring is important because if the solutions are mixed in the reverse order, the insoluble black precipitate of gold sulfide results. While still stirring vigorously, 4M HNO₃ was added dropwise at such a rate that any red coloration caused by the addition of each drop of acid disappeared completely before the next was added. The acid was added until all of the red-orange precipitate had dissolved and the red coloration no longer appeared. The solution, which amounted to about 200 cc, was filtered through a "fine" sintered glass funnel and to it was added 800 cc of ethyl alcohol. The white fluoculent precipitate which formed was collected on a similar glass funnel and washed with ethanol (about 100 cc), then recrystallized twice by dissolving the gold thiosulfate in the minimum of water and then adding about two volumes of ethanol and allowing the crystals to grow overnight.

17. Gold sulfides, Au₂S, Au₂S₂ and Au₂S₃

The three gold sulfide compounds were reasonably stable and sparingly soluble in water. They are all dark substances.³⁵

a. Au₂S. Au₂S is a steel-gray sulfide with a solubility product of less than 10⁻³. It dissolves in aqua regia, potassium cyanide, and sulfide solutions. The compound was prepared by bubbling H₂S through an aqueous solution of aurous cyanide:

$$2[Au(CN)_2]^- + H_2S + 2H^+ \xrightarrow{H_2O} Au_2S + 4HCN \uparrow$$

(17)
The insoluble precipitate was washed with dilute HCl solution to avoid peptizing the precipitate. The initially dark reddish-brown precipitate soon turned steel-gray. The chemical analysis gave the following molar ratio: \( \text{Au/S} = 2.04/1.00 \).

b. \( \text{Au}_2\text{S}_2 \). This gold sulfide was prepared by reacting \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) with an aqueous solution of auric chloride

\[
\text{8AuCl}_3 + 9\text{H}_2\text{S} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 4\text{Au}_2\text{S}_2 + 24\text{HCl} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 .
\]  

(19)

Chemical analysis gives \( \text{Au/S} = 1.000/1.007 \).

c. \( \text{Au}_2\text{S}_3 \). This compound was prepared by passing \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) through a solution of chlorauric acid in anhydrous ether. The precipitate was washed with more of the anhydrous ether. Chemical analysis gave the following molar ratio: \( \text{Au/S} = 1.00/1.65 \). This ratio is a little high in sulfur, which may be from occluded \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \). The reaction for the preparation is

\[
2\text{[AuCl}_4]^- + 3\text{H}_2\text{S} \xrightarrow{\text{anhydrous ether}} \text{Au}_2\text{S}_3 + 6\text{H}^+ + 8\text{Cl}^- .
\]  

(18)
C. Apparatus

1. Radiation detector system

For detecting the $\gamma$-rays, we used NaI(Tl) scintillation detectors which were mounted on Amperex XP1010 photomultiplier tubes. A one-inch thick NaI crystal, which was purchased from the Harshaw Chemical Company, was used for the detection of the 77-keV $\gamma$-ray of Au 197. At 77 keV, the resolution was about 17%. We found that our lithium-drifted germanium counter had too low an efficiency to be practical. Its high resolution was offset by its count rate, which was about 1/8 that of the NaI assembly. For the low energy $\gamma$ ray of Fe 57, we used a 2mm-thick NaI crystal for optimum resolution. At 5.9 keV, the resolution was approximately 50%.

The $\gamma$-ray pulses were amplified by the Amperex photomultiplier which was powered by a regulated dc high-voltage power supply. The photomultiplier tube was usually operated at between 1000 and 1200 volts, where the resolution of the required $\gamma$ ray was optimized. After passing through a preamplifier, the scintillation pulses were amplified in a Golding linear amplifier which contained a single channel analyzer for gating on the $\gamma$ ray of interest. For gating purposes, the $\gamma$-ray spectrum was displayed on the Packard analyzer in the pulse-height analyzer mode. The gated $\gamma$-ray pulses were subsequently stored in the appropriate channels in the Packard analyzer to produce a Mössbauer spectrum.
2. **Drive system**

   Briefly, the linear closed-loop drive system consists of a loudspeaker drive coil and a velocity transducer along with the necessary electronics. The drive coil provides an acceleration which is proportional to the applied current. The voltage output of the velocity transducer is proportional to the instantaneous velocity of the suitably coupled rod-magnet on which the source is firmly mounted. The drive coil, drive magnet, transducer, bar magnet, source and absorber are contained in the drive capsule which is illustrated in Fig. IV-4(a). Figure IV-4(b) is a photograph of the drive capsule.

   A 20-kc tuning-fork oscillator (purchased from the American Time Products) is used to synchronize the PHA start-advance pulses with the velocity wave form. The frequency stability of the tuning fork oscillator is better than ±0.02% over the temperature interval between 15°C and 45°C. The PHA is operated in the multiscalar (time) mode with its channels being sequentially addressed by a 10-kc pulse derived from the above oscillator. A channel of the PHA is capable of receiving and storing pulses from the SCA during the period when it is being addressed. The 10-kc pulse advance frequency is further divided by the number of PHA channels (400) to produce a 25-cps square-wave which is used to re-initialize the analyzer after all 400 channels have been scanned and for the closed-loop drive system. This common frequency source effectively synchronizes the channel numbers and the instantaneous velocity of the source. A 25-cps triangular-wave is produced by integrating the above square wave which has first been amplitude-stabilized...
Fig. IV-4(a). The electromechanical drive capsule (Zane$^{36}$).
Fig. IV-4(b). Photograph of the drive capsule assembly (Wickman$^{37}$).
by a precision squaring circuit. The amplitude fluctuation is specified as being less than 0.001%/1°C.

The 25-cps reference triangle wave form is then fed into the closed-loop negative-feedback drive system to drive the loudspeaker coil. It was found that an additional small parabolic correction term to the triangle wave was needed to linearize the velocity. This parabolic wave was obtained by integrating the reference triangle wave and was therefore automatically synchronized with the system. When the feedback drive system is properly balanced, the deviation from linearity of the velocity wave form is less than 1% over 90% of the velocity range. The drive assembly is capable of attaining velocities of about ±20 cm/sec. A schematic of the linear velocity drive amplifier is given in Fig. IV-5.

3. Velocity transducer

The velocity of the transducer is determined by converting the transducer's instantaneous output voltage to a corresponding frequency by using a modified VIDAR 260B voltage-to-frequency converter. These frequency counts are stored in the PHA in multiscaler mode controlled by the scaler advance, as when collecting the Mossbauer spectra. The velocity profile is fitted with an nth-degree polynomial (usually n equals 4) which is used to convert the channel numbers to the corresponding velocities. The VIDAR generates frequencies between 0 and 100 kc with the zero voltage (therefore zero velocity) at 50 kc. The 50 kc ± 50 kc frequency span can be set equal to selected voltages from ±50 mv up to ±2000 mv.
Fig. IV-5. Block diagram of multiscalar mode Mossbauer effect system. (Zane 35).
The transducer voltage output is collected with the transducer both "on" and "off". When the transducer is "on", the frequency profile reflects the voltage output of the 25-cps velocity triangle wave of the source (or absorber). When the transducer is "off", the average of the frequency counts in all the channels determines the channel of zero velocity. The calculated average, \((I, "off")_{\text{calc}}\), is given by Eq. (20),

\[
(I, "off")_{\text{calc}} = \frac{(50 \text{ kcps})(\text{counting time, sec.})}{\text{(number of channels)}}.
\]  

The experimentally-determined average of the counts for zero voltage, \((I, "off")_{\text{ave}}\), is less than the calculated value due to the finite dead time of the analyzer. A dead time correction factor,

\[
\Delta = \frac{(I, "off")_{\text{calc}}}{(I, "off")_{\text{ave}}},
\]

is applied to the velocities. The velocity of channel \(i\), \(v_{\text{vel}}\), is then

\[
v_{\text{vel}} = \left\{ \frac{(I, "on")_i - (I, "off")_{\text{ave}}}{\text{(peak voltage of VIDAR)}} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Transducer} \\ \text{Calibration} \\ \text{cm/sec/volt} \end{array} \right\} \Delta.
\]  

The actual \((I, "on")_i\) values used is derived from an nth-degree polynomial fit explained above. The peak voltage is the scale which was selected on the VIDAR at the time of the experiment. The transducer calibration is explained below in this section.

It is noted that since the start pulse is generated at the same frequency as the velocity wave, and the scaler advance operates at 10 kc,
two mirror-image Mössbauer spectra are collected in the 400 channels. The two spectra can then be used to check and correct for the time lag which might occur between the VIDAR output (apparent velocity) and the transducer output (true velocity). If the true velocity lags the VIDAR velocity in time, the centroids of the two spectra will not agree. The correction for this time lag is made by taking the algebraic mean of the two centroids, since the deviations which are caused by a lag would be in the opposite sense. The effect of a time lag is illustrated in Fig. IV-6.

If the actual centroids are located at points a and b on the velocity triangle, they would appear to be located at points a' for spectrum I and b' for spectrum II. This would result in velocity errors of the opposite sense. The correction is applied by taking the algebraic mean of a' and b'.

The transducers were calibrated by obtaining the well known six-line Mössbauer spectrum of Fe 57 and determining the velocity separation of the two outer Zeeman components. Klein and Zane \(^{38}\) obtained a 1.0658 ± 0.004 cm/sec separation of the outer two Zeeman lines from laser interferometer calibrations at room temperature. This velocity separation is in excellent agreement with that reported by Preston et al. of 1.0657 cm/sec. Hanna et al. had previously determined the splitting of the outer Zeeman components at room and liquid helium temperatures as 1.0608 cm/sec and 1.0885 cm/sec respectively. Hanna's values were used to convert the laser room temperature value to one applicable at 4.2° K. This separation is 1.0939 cm/sec.
Fig. IV-6. Diagram showing effect of possible time lag between true velocity and apparent velocity.
We used a 1.1-millicurie single-line source which had been prepared by plating and annealing Co 57 into 0.001" thick copper metal. The absorber was a piece of enriched (> 90%) Fe 57 foil (1.9 mg. Fe 57/cm^2). Both the source and absorber were purchased from New England Nuclear.

At 4.2°K, the Sanborn 6LVI transducers which we used had an unacceptably short lifetime. We designed two systems to resolve this problem: 1) new sturdier transducers which were wound at the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory and 2) a long push-rod extension of the drive capsule which was built with a geometry that permits the transducer to be kept out of the cold region of the cryostat. Both systems were successful and the first of these modifications was used for these experiments. Figure IV-7 gives a diagram of the extended drive capsule. The push-rod portion centers into the assembly drawn above it.

The Sanborn transducer consists of two separate coils made of approximately 43 gauge wire. Our coils were wound with 38 gauge copper magnet wire in one continuous piece, reversing the direction of the winding at the center. As much wire as possible (about 6400 turns per half coil) was wound on the bobbin to maximize the sensitivity. The bobbin was turned from a single piece of bakelite and the wound coil was secured to the mu-metal outer cylinder with Dow Corning silicon rubber.

A push-rod extension had been previously built and found acceptable and was easily adapted to our system. With the 24 inch extension of the drive capsule, the transducer and drive coil are situated in that portion of the vacuum chamber which extends above the cryostat. The extension is friction-secured to the original drive capsule by an expansion band.
Fig. IV-7. Diagram of the extended drive capsule
The lightweight moving portion was made of 0.006 inch thick stainless steel metal of 3/8 inch O.D.

4. **Cryostat**

The cryostat is a three-wall dewar with liquid nitrogen and liquid helium pots (cavities). We kept approximately 2 mm Hg of helium exchange gas in the inner vacuum chamber where the drive capsule is situated. This inner chamber is separated from a 77°K heat-shield by three thin aluminum-coated Mylar windows. The outer vacuum jacket has an indium-sealed beryllium window which minimizes the attenuation of low energy γ rays. The source was located approximately 7 inches from the detector. After thermal equilibrium was reached, the cryostat maintained its low temperature for about 24 hours. The geometry of the cryostat is given in Fig. IV-8. A is the absorber, S the source and C the drive capsule. The relative dimensions of the long push-rod extension drive capsule is indicated at the right of the cryostat. Figure IV-9 is a photograph of the Mössbauer apparatus. The drive capsule was pulled out of the vacuum chamber and is shown at the right of the cryostat to illustrate its relative dimensions.
Fig. IV-8. Diagram of Mössbauer experiment cryostat. The drive capsule, C, is positioned in the cryostat. S is the source and A is the absorber.
Fig. IV-9. Photograph of the electronic equipment of Mössbauer experiments.
D. Data Processing

Data analysis was facilitated by high-speed computers. Initially, we used the IBM 7094 with which we had problems of adequate computer space in core. We eventually converted to the CDC 6600. The program for fitting Lorentz curves to the Mössbauer spectra, FLOR, is written as a subroutine to VARMIT, a least-squares fitting program. The report by W. C. Davidon includes the mathematical details of the method.\(^{40}\)

For most gold compounds, the individual linewidths, \(\Gamma\), are not narrow enough compared to the quadrupole splitting separations to give resolved component lines. FLOR facilitates the resolution of those lines and determines their intensities \((I(i))\), linewidths \((\Gamma(i))\), and line positions \((v_0(i))\). Figure IV-10 illustrates these parameters.

The absorption line shapes are assumed to be Lorentzian and each line is fitted to the formula:

\[
I(i) = K(i) \left[ \frac{(\Gamma(i)/2)^2}{(v-v_0(i))^2 + (\Gamma(i)/2)^2} \right]
\]

\((22)\)

\(K(i)\) is a constant. If \(N\) is the number of absorption maxima, then the theoretical velocity spectrum \(I\) is given by

\[
I = \text{Base} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} I(i) \quad (23)
\]

The total number of parameters, \(\text{NPAR}\), is \((3N + 1)\) and is given in FLOR in the following order:
Fig. IV-10. The parameters of FLOR, the CDC 6600 computer program for fitting Lorentz curves to the Mössbauer spectra.
There is a provision for setting the value of any parameter to a fixed constant and also for imposing linear constraints among the parameters as governed by the Hamiltonian. These provisions are especially important when the resolution of the Mössbauer spectrum is poor and a good fit could not otherwise be made.

The program utilizes a linearly-independent square "constraint" matrix with the dimension equal to NPAR. The programmer provides as input a set of linear constraint vectors, CNTS\textsubscript{k}, whose coefficients satisfy Eq. (25).

\[ \text{CNTS}_k = \sum_{l=1}^{3N+1} c_{kl} = 0 \]  

(25)

The coefficient of the lth parameter in the kth constraint is \( c_{kl} \). The program generates the required number of linearly-independent "dummy" constraints to complete the square \((3N+1, 3N+1)\) constraint matrix. This matrix spans the NPAR dimensional vector space.

In addition to the values of the parameters, the program determines the root-mean-square statistical uncertainties, RMSU. The RMSU is a statistical error indication and is equal to the square root of the diagonal elements of the H (inverse Hessian) matrix

\[ \text{RMSU}_i = \sqrt{H_{ii}}. \]

(26)
These RMSU values are reasonable for the baseline and intensities of the individual lines. For linewidths and line positions, the RMSU values are usually quite small. Actually, these RMSU values are very close in value to the standard deviations which can be calculated by the method of maximum likelihood. This method is based on Poisson statistics and is more accurate than the least-squares method for the treatment of data from an experiment with poor statistics. However, in the limit of high statistics, as with our Mössbauer experiments (about $10^6$ counts), both methods converge. Being quite small, both the RMSU and standard deviation values are usually a poor indication of the reproducibility of the data. Therefore, we have reported the most probable error instead.

For a set of experimental results, the probable error, $pe$, is given by Eq. (27).

$$pe = 0.6745 \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{1=1}^{M} (\bar{x} - x_i)^2}{M}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

$M$ is the number of measurements, $\bar{x}$ the average value of the measurements, and $x_i$'s are the individual measurements. By definition, $pe$ is the 50% confidence limit. That is, given a single additional experiment, there is a 50-50 chance that it's results will be within the $pe$ of the previous identical experiments.

When possible, we performed several experiments on each compound. A given experiment yields two mirror-image spectra. Generally, for purposes of determining the errors, each image was considered individually.
In the case of IS, however, we first averaged the results of the two images, as explained in Section IV-C-3.

A discussion of errors is given in Appendix A.
V. EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL RESULTS

A. Results of the Mössbauer Effect Experiments

The results of our Mössbauer experiments on diamagnetic gold compounds are given in this chapter. The experiments were performed at 4.2°K with a moving source of Pt 197 which beta decays to the 77.345 keV isomeric state of Au 197. The stationary absorbers contained the various gold compounds. The errors that are indicated are the most probable errors. Another given Mössbauer experiment on the same gold compound would have a 50 percent chance of yielding results that are within the probable error. The evaluation of errors is discussed in Appendix A.

The interpretation of these results are given in the following sections.

1. Gold(III) trifluoride, AuF₃

Gold trifluoride is a "paprika" orange crystalline substance with hexagonal symmetry, which has been characterized by a single-crystal X ray structural determination. The space group is P6₁ or P6₁̅2, with cell dimensions of a = 5.149 Å and c = 16.26 Å. There are 6 molecules in the unit cell. The first coordination sphere has square-planar symmetry. The details of its structure are shown in Fig. V-1.

The bond lengths and bond angles are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Au} - F \text{ (bridging)} &= 2.04 \text{ Å} \\
\text{Au} - F \text{ (non-bridging)} &= 1.91 \text{ Å} \\
\text{Au} - F \text{ (cross-linking)} &= 2.69 \text{ Å} \\
\angle \text{Au} - F &= 116°
\end{align*}
\]

The AuF₃ units form an infinite hexagonal helix.
Fig. V-1. The coordination of gold in AuF₃ 
(Einstein 42).
The Mossbauer spectrum for AuF$_3$ at 4.2°K is given in Fig. V-2. The line drawn through the data points is the least-squares fit of two Lorentz lines. Because of the square-planar symmetry about the gold atom in AuF$_3$, the two Lorentz lines were constrained to have equal line-widths and equal absorption intensities. The linewidth determined by the fit was 0.232 (0.002) cm/sec. The IS with respect to gold-in-platinum is -0.107 (0.001) cm/sec and the QS is 0.274 (0.002) cm/sec. Roberts et al.$^{43}$ reported an IS value of $-1.3 \pm 0.2$ mm/sec, which is in agreement with our value within experimental error. They observed no quadrupole splitting. Three runs were made on this compound. The gold density of the common absorber was 163.9 mg/cm$^2$. A chemical analysis disclosed a fluorine to gold molar ratio of 3.00.

2. Tetrafluoraurate(III), [AuF$_4$]$^-$$^2$ $^{26}$

From the reactivity of AuBrF$_6$, Sharp$^{44}$ suggests that the compound is not formulated as [AuF$_2$]$^+$/[BrF$_4$]$^-$ but rather as [BrF$_2$]$^+$/[AuF$_4$]$^-$. The gold(III) tetrafluoride ion is yellow and hydrolizes in atmospheric moisture. The particular structure has not been characterized, but it is most likely that the [AuF$_4$]$^-$ anion is square-planar as was found for the anions of the A$^+$/[AuF$_4$]$^-$ compounds, for A = Na, K, Mo, and Rb. Debye powder photos have been taken of the A$^+$/[AuF$_4$]$^-$ compounds.$^{26}$

In Fig. V-3 is shown the Mossbauer spectrum for [BrF$_2$]$^+$/[AuF$_4$]$^-$ at 4.2°K. The line drawn through the data points is the least-squares fit of two Lorentz lines. We assumed that the symmetry of the complex was square-planar and fitted the data with two Lorentz lines which were constrained to have both equal width and equal intensity. The linewidth
Fig. V-2. Mössbauer spectrum of Au-197 in AuF₃.
Fig. V-3. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in
\([\text{BrF}_2]^+ [\text{AuF}_4]^-\).
determined by the fit was 0.228 (0.040) cm/sec. The IS with respect to gold in platinum is -0.069 (0.002) cm/sec and the QS is 0.182 (0.002) cm/sec. These recent results are in good agreement with earlier results that we obtained on a different apparatus. Roberts et al. performed a Mössbauer experiment on KAuF\textsubscript{4}. They observed an IS of 0 ± 0.02 mm/sec and no quadrupole splitting. Since the symmetry of the first coordination sphere around gold is thought to be square-planar in both compounds, the results were expected to be in closer agreement.

We considered the results of only the first of three runs performed on our latest sample of [BrF\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+}[AuF\textsubscript{4}]\textsuperscript{-} as there was some evidence of sample decomposition. The first experiment had the best resolution of the three runs. The absorber contained 160.2 mg/cm\textsuperscript{2} of gold and no chemical analysis was attempted on the decomposed sample.

3. Gold(III) trichloride, AuCl\textsubscript{3}

Gold trichloride is a dark reddish-brown crystalline substance of monoclinic symmetry. It has been characterized by a single-crystal X ray determination to be of the P2\textsubscript{1}/c space group with the following cell dimensions: a = 5.67, b = 11.04, and c = 6.44 Å. Angle β = 113.3°. The substance reacts with moisture and may be photosensitive. The first coordination sphere has square-planar symmetry and the crystal consists of distinct dimer molecules Au\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{6}.

The bond lengths and bond angles are:

\begin{align*}
\text{Au} - \text{Cl (terminal)} &= 2.25 \text{ and } 2.23 \pm 0.02 \text{ Å} \\
\text{Au} - \text{Cl (bridging)} &= 2.33 \text{ and } 2.34 \pm 0.02 \text{ Å} \\
\text{Au} - \text{Au (closest)} &= 3.55 \pm 0.02 \text{ Å}
\end{align*}
CI-Au-Cl (terminal chlorines) = 90°

CI-Au-Cl (bridging chlorines) = 86°.

We note here that the average Au-Cl distance is 2.29 ± 0.02 Å. It was found that in the CsAu(I) Au(III)Cl₆ compound, the Au(III)-Cl distance is 2.31 Å. The two bond lengths are equal within experimental error. See Fig. V-4 for structural diagrams of the unit cell and the coordination of gold.

The Mössbauer spectra for AuCl₃ at 4.2°K are given in Fig. V-5 (a) and (b). The profile of two Lorentz lines is drawn through the spectrum for AuCl₃ in run 128 while the profile of run 173 consists of three Lorentz lines. In the preparation of the compound for run 173, it appears that the sample had undergone some decomposition into gold metal. Therefore, the spectrum was fitted with three lines, one of which represents the line for gold metal with an IS of -0.12 cm/sec. The AuCl₃ portion of the two runs was fitted with two Lorentz lines whose linewidths and intensities were constrained to be equal. The IS is +0.057 (0.009) cm/sec and the QS is 0.075 (0.008) cm/sec. The linewidth is 0.241 (0.020) cm/sec.

Shirley, et al.³ observed an Au-to-Pt IS of 0.037 (0.015) cm/sec and no quadrupole splitting for AuCl₃. Roberts et al.⁴ report an Au-to-Pt IS of 0.9 ± 0.2 mm/sec and no quadrupole splitting. Our IS result is within experimental error of the result of Shirley but is not in good agreement with that of Roberts.

The areal density of gold in run 128 was 253.5 mg/cm² and the areal density in run 173 was 202.1 mg/cm². A chemical analysis of the sample from run 173 gave a chlorine-to-gold molar ratio of only 2.36.
Coordination of gold in AuCl$_3$. (Clark, et al.$^{45}$)
Fig. V-5. Mössbauer spectrum of Au-197 in AuCl₃.
(a) Experiment 173.
Fig. V-5. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in AuCl₃.
(b) Experiment 128.
The theoretical molar ratio is 3.00 and thus this lower ratio helps substantiate the possibility that the sample contained metallic gold.

4. **Gold(I) chlorine, AuCl**

Gold chlorine, a light yellow, highly unstable substance of orthorhombic symmetry belongs to one of the following possible space groups; $D_2^6$, $D_{2h}^{11}$, $D_{2v}^{14}$, $D_{2h}^{19}$. It has only been characterized by an X-ray powder pattern. Gold(I) chloride has four molecules in the unit cell and the cell dimensions are: $a = 6.41$, $b = 3.36$, and $c = 9.48$ Å. No bond lengths have been determined. We deduce from the bond lengths of the linear $[\text{Cl-Au-Cl}]^-$ complex in CsAu(I) Au(III)Cl$_6$, that the bond length for AuCl should be close to 2.3 Å.

From the dynamics of the reversible reaction between chlorine gas and gold at 500°C, Blitz showed that at these temperatures the vapor was essentially Au$_2$Cl$_2$. He found no evidence of the formation of AuCl$_2$ (as with copper and silver) or of KAuCl$_2$. We assume that the aurous chloride forms a polymer similar to aurous iodide, yielding a linear configuration of the first coordination sphere similar to Fig. V-15 for aurous iodide.

The Mössbauer spectrum for AuCl at 4.2°K is shown in Fig. V-6. The line through the data points is the least-squares fit of two Lorentz curves. The lines, as resolved by the fit, were of width 0.196 (0.004) cm/sec. Because of the axial symmetry of AuCl polymers, the two Lorentz lines were constrained to have equal linewidt hs and absorption intensities. Shirley et al. performed a Mössbauer experiment on AuCl and obtained values of IS and QS which agree with our values within experimental error.
Fig. V-5. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in AuCl.
Machmer observed a pure quadrupole spectrum of Au 197 in AuCl and obtained a nuclear quadrupole coupling of 514 MHz which corresponds to a smaller QS value than ours. To facilitate the comparison of the quadrupole splitting values, we list them in the table below. Included in the table are the values of the triplet observed by Macher in AuCl at 300°K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isomer Shift</th>
<th>Quadrupole Splitting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>-0.142 (0.001) cm/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley</td>
<td>-0.161 (0.020) cm/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machmer</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We performed the Mössbauer experiment on AuCl four times and obtained good agreement for the QS each time. AuCl is an unstable, hygroscopic substance which easily undergoes decomposition into AuCl₃ and gold metal. We feel that in our vacuum cryostat at 4.2°K, AuCl probably did not decompose appreciably during a single run. The difference in the ambient experimental temperatures may account for part of the discrepancy in the QS values between the Mössbauer experiments and the more accurate nuclear quadrupole resonance technique.

Of the two absorber samples we used, one had a gold density of 180.25 mg/cm², the other of 160.06 mg/cm². A chemical analysis disclosed gold to chlorine molar ratios of 1.05 and 1.02.

5. Sodium and potassium tetrachloroaurate(III), NaAuCl₄ and KAuCl₄

The sodium salt of the gold(III) chloride ion (di-hydrate) is light bright-yellow with orthorhombic symmetry. The structure has been
determined by Bonamico et al. The compound belongs to the Pnma, D
space group. There are four complex ions in a unit cell with cell dimensions: a = 12.818, b = 7.067, c = 8.993 ± 0.005 Å. The symmetry of the first coordination is square-planar with an average Au-Cl bond distance of 2.28 Å. The structure of the [AuCl₄]⁻ ion is constructed in Fig. V-7 from bond length and bond angle values of Bonamico, et al. The bond lengths and bond angles are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Au} - \text{Cl}(1) &= 2.288 \pm 0.005 \text{Å} \\
\text{Au} - \text{Cl}(2) &= 2.260 \pm 0.005 \text{Å} \\
\text{Au} - \text{Cl}(3) &= 2.283 \pm 0.005 \text{Å} \\
\text{Au} - \text{Cl}(4) &= 2.278 \pm 0.005 \text{Å} \\
\text{Cl}(1)-\text{Au}-\text{Cl}(2) &= 90.2 \pm 0.2 ^\circ \\
\text{Cl}(1)-\text{Au}-\text{Cl}(4) &= 89.6 \pm 0.2 ^\circ \\
\text{Cl}(2)-\text{Au}-\text{Cl}(3) &= 89.2 \pm 0.2 ^\circ \\
\text{Cl}(3)-\text{Au}-\text{Cl}(4) &= 90.9 \pm 0.2 ^\circ \\
\end{align*}
\]

The potassium salt of the gold(III) chloride ion is a deeper golden yellow than the sodium salt. It has monoclinic symmetry and belongs to the D
-P2₁/a group. There are four complex ions in the unit cell which has the following dimensions: a = 12.18, b = 6.35, c = 8.67 Å and β = 95°24'. Although no detailed structural data are available for the potassium salt, the coordination of gold is probably square-planar as it is in the sodium salt.

The Mössbauer spectrum for K[AuCl₄] at 4.2°K is shown in Fig. V-8. The line drawn through the data points is the least-squares fit of two
Fig. V-7. Coordination of gold in $[\text{AuCl}_4]^-$.
Fig. V-8. Mössbauer spectrum of Au-197 in KAuCl₄.
Lorentz lines. Since gold is in a square-planar environment, the two Lorentz lines were constrained to have equal linewidths and absorption intensities. The IS with respect to gold in platinum is +0.044 (0.006) cm/sec and the QS is +0.127 (0.008) cm/sec. The linewidth is 0.254 (0.029) cm/sec. Our IS value is in essential agreement with that of Shirley et al.\(^3\) who report an Au-in-Pt IS value of 0.036 (0.020) cm/sec and no QS for KAuCl\(_4\). Roberts et al.\(^4\) obtained an Au-in-Pt IS of 1.3 ± 0.07 mm/sec and no QS. This IS value was not in good agreement with our value. Neither Shirley nor Roberts reported the narrow splitting which we have observed.

Both NaAuCl\(_4\) and KAuCl\(_4\) were used as absorbers. The IS, QS and linewidth values were in agreement. The density of gold for the sodium salt was 302.0 mg/cm\(^2\) and for potassium was 223.8 mg/cm\(^2\). Both of these compounds were purchased from the Alfa Inorganics chemical suppliers.

6. **Cesium Aurous Auric Chloride Cs\(_2\)Au(I)Au(III)Cl\(_6\)**

This compound, which was discovered by Wells,\(^29\) is of interest because of the presence of gold in its two oxidation states. Its jet-black coloration is ascribed to the existence of both the Au(I) and Au(III) states in the same compound. The compound was found to be diamagnetic, precluding the existence of gold in the +2 state.\(^5\)

The structure was investigated by Elliott and Pauling\(^47\) and was found to have tetragonal symmetry containing complex ions of \([\text{AuCl}_2]^-\), which are linear, and \([\text{AuCl}_4]^-\), which are square-planar.
The unit cell has the following values: \( a = 7.49 \pm 0.02 \) Å and \( c = 10.87 \pm 0.02 \) Å. The space group is \( \text{D}_{4h}^{17} - \text{I}4/\text{mmm} \). The Au-Cl bond lengths in \([\text{AuCl}_2]^-\) are 2.31 Å and in \([\text{AuCl}_4]^\) are 2.42 Å. Figure V-9 gives the coordination of the two different gold atoms.

We attempted to fit the Mössbauer spectrum of \( \text{Cs}_4\text{Au}_2(\text{I})\text{Au}_2(\text{II})\text{Cl}_12 \) shown in Fig. V-10 with the split lines of \( \text{AuCl} \) and \([\text{AuCl}_4]^\). The results were in unexpectedly close agreement for the \( \text{Au(I)} \) chloride. For comparison, the values for the IS and QS of the cesium triple salt and of \( \text{AuCl} \) and \( \text{KAuCl}_4 \) compounds are given below.

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{Cs}_4\text{Au}_2(\text{I})\text{Au}_2(\text{II})\text{Cl}_12 \text{ Cesium Triple Salt} & \text{Separate Gold Chlorides} \\
\text{[AuCl}_2\text{]}^- \text{ portion:} & \text{[AuCl}_4\text{]}^- \\
\text{IS} = -0.143 \ (0.028) \text{ cm/sec} & \text{IS} = -0.142 \ (0.007) \text{ cm/sec} \\
\text{QS} = 0.410 \ (0.065) \text{ cm/sec} & \text{QS} = 0.465 \ (0.001) \text{ cm/sec} \\
\Gamma = 0.216 \ (0.004) \text{ cm/sec} & \\
\text{[AuCl}_4\text{]}^- \text{ portion:} & \\
\text{IS} = -0.009 \ (0.022) \text{ cm/sec} & \text{IS} = 0.044 \ (0.008) \text{ cm/sec} \\
\text{QS} = 0.133 \ (0.018) \text{ cm/sec} & \text{QS} = 0.127 \ (0.008) \text{ cm/sec} \\
\Gamma = 0.164 \ (0.006) \text{ cm/sec} & \\
\end{array}
\]

The linewidth for \([\text{AuCl}_4]^\) is smaller than the natural linewidth of 0.194 cm/sec. * Except for the IS of the \([\text{AuCl}_4]^\) portion of the cesium triple salt, the IS and QS values of the triple salt and the double salt are in

* An attempt was made to fit this spectrum with linewidths constrained to equal the natural linewidth, 0.194 cm/sec. It was not successful.
Fig. V-9. Coordination of gold(I) and gold(III) in CsAu(I)Au(III)Cl$_6$ (Elliott and Pauling$^{47}$).
Fig. V-10. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in Cs$_2$Au(I)Au(III)Cl$_6$.
agreement. Of course, we can at best only guess the origin of the component Lorentz lines. We note, however, that the bond lengths and bond angles of the [AuCl₄]⁻ portion of the cesium triple salt are essentially the same as those of the sodium and potassium double salts. The [AuCl₂]⁻ portion of the triple salt was found to be linear. Since only one good run was made of this compound, we hesitate to put great weight on these results.

7. Gold(III) tribromide, AuBr₃

This black substance is slightly soluble in water. The three bromide bonds were found to be equivalent by radio-trace chemistry:

\[
\text{AuBr} + ^{81}\text{Br}_2 \rightarrow \text{AuBr}^{81}\text{Br} + ^{81}\text{Br} \]

and molecular weight determination by vaporization yielded the average weight of 910 amu. The theoretical molecular weight for the dimer is 874 amu. The proposed gold coordination is similar to AuCl₃ given in Fig. V-4(a). It is a diamagnetic compound with a magnetic susceptibility of \(-0.23 \times 10^{-6}\) emu.

The Mössbauer spectrum which is shown in Fig. V-11 was least-squares fitted with two Lorentz lines. The resulting linewidth is 0.300 (0.004) cm/sec. The IS with respect to gold in platinum is 0.079 (0.007) cm/sec and the QS is 0.127 (0.002) cm/sec. The component lines were constrained to have equal linewidths and intensities.
Fig. V-11. Mössbauer spectrum of Au\textsuperscript{197} in AuBr\textsubscript{3}. 
For the single run performed, we used an absorber with an areal gold density of 186.5 mg/cm$^2$. The chemical analysis yielded a bromine-to-gold molar ratio of 2.96/1.00.

8. **Gold(I) bromide, AuBr**

Aurous bromide, a dull grayish-yellow compound, has not been structurally investigated. It is generally assumed that AuBr forms long polymers similar to AuI and that it is probably also linear in the first coordination sphere.

In Fig. V-12, the Mössbauer spectrum for AuBr at 4.2°K is given. The line through the data points represents the least-squares fit of two Lorentz lines. Because of the axial symmetry of AuBr, the two Lorentz lines were constrained to have equal linewidths and absorption intensities. The linewidth determined by the fit is 0.217 (0.008) cm/sec. The IS with respect to gold-in-platinum is -0.147 (0.001) cm/sec, and the QS is 0.423 (0.004) cm/sec. Our values are in good agreement with Shirley et al. They report an Au-in-Pt IS of -0.143 (0.010) cm/sec and a QS of 0.410 (0.020) cm/sec. There were two absorbers of AuBr. One had an areal density of gold of 217.9 mg/cm$^2$ and the second had 190.3 mg/cm$^2$. The results of a chemical analysis gave a gold to bromine molar ratio of 1.004.

9. **Potassium tetrabromoaurate(III), KAuBr$_4$**

The potassium salt of auric tetrabromide is a reasonably stable dark reddish-brown crystalline substance. It is not photosensitive and can be stored for at least a month in a dessicator. It has been
Fig. V-12. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in AuBr.
characterized as having two waters of hydration, KAuBr$_4$$ \cdot $2H$_2$O, and as being monoclinic. It belongs to the P$_2_1$/n-$C_{2h}^5$ space group with the following cell dimensions: a = 9.51, b = 11.93, c = 8.46 Å, with beta = 94°24'. Each unit cell contains four molecules. The two gold-bromine bond lengths are 2.65 and 2.46 Å. The symmetry of the first coordination sphere is square-planar.

Two Lorentz lines were least-squares fitted to the Mössbauer spectrum of KAuBr$_4$. See Fig. V-13. Due to its axial symmetry, the two lines were constrained to have equal linewidths and absorption intensities. The result of the fit gave a linewidth of 0.220 (0.012) cm/sec. The Au-in-Pt IS is +0.067 (0.002) cm/sec and the QS is 0.113 (0.002) cm/sec. Our values for both the IS and QS were slightly larger than the corresponding values reported by Shirley et al.$^3$. They observed an Au-in-Pt IS of 0.015 (0.015) cm/sec and no quadrupole splitting.

We used three [AuBr$_4$]$^-$ absorbers which had gold densities of 177.3 mg/cm$^2$, 212.1 mg/cm$^2$, and 156.2 mg/cm$^2$. The best experiment was done on the sample containing 156.2 mg/cm$^2$ of gold. The compound was made here in the laboratory and recrystallized in anhydrous ether. A chemical analysis disclosed a potassium to gold to bromine molar ratio, K/Au/Br, of 1.00/1.01/3.97.

10. **Gold(I) iodide, AuI**

Aurous iodide is a lemon-yellow compound of tetragonal symmetry. It belongs to the C$_{4h}$-P$_4_2_1$/$n$ space group.$^{55}$ There are four molecules per unit cell with cell dimensions of: a = 4.359 ± 0.004 Å, c = 13.711 ± 0.007 Å. The Au-I units connect to each other to form long chains.
Fig. V-13. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in KAuBr$_4$. 
resulting in a coordination number of two and linear symmetry in the first coordination sphere. See Fig. V-14 for a diagram. The gold-iodine bond length has been reported as 2.60 Å \(^5\) and 2.62 Å \(^5\). \(^5\) Jagodzinski gives the following additional bond distances:

- Au has 2 I neighbors at 2.62 Å
- Au has 4 Au neighbors at 3.08 Å
- Au has 4 Au neighbors at 4.359 Å
- Au has 4 I neighbors at 4.02 Å
- Au has 2 I neighbors at 5.06 Å

Au-I-Au = 72°

The Mössbauer spectrum illustrated in Fig. V-15 for AuI is the least-squares fit of two Lorentz curves which were constrained to have both equal absorption intensities and linewidths. The best linewidth, as determined by the fit, was 0.242 (0.004) cm/sec. Shirley et al.\(^3\) obtained values in close agreement with our IS and QS values. Machmer\(^57\) observed a pure quadrupole spectrum of Au 197 in AuI at 300°K and 77°K. At the lower temperature, he discovered a triplet. The QS results of Machmer were about 3% larger than ours. The IS and QS values are tabulated in the table which follows.

### Quadrupole Splitting in AuI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>This work</th>
<th>Shirley et al.(^3)</th>
<th>Machmer(^57) (0.1% precision)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isomer Shift in AuI</td>
<td>-0.132 (0.003) cm/sec</td>
<td>-0.124 (0.010) cm/sec</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.398 (0.001) cm/sec (4.2°K)</td>
<td>0.388 (0.020) cm/sec (4.2°K)</td>
<td>508.296 MH(_z) = 0.40739 cm/sec (300°K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>512.554 MH(_z) = 0.410799 cm/sec (300°K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>516.554 MH(_z) = 0.413898 cm/sec (300°K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>516.620 MH(_z) = 0.414058 cm/sec (77°K)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Fig. V-14. Coordination of gold in AuI. (Jagodzinski$^{56}$).
Fig. V-15. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in AuI.
ll. Gold(III) iodide, AuI₃

This dark-green finely-divided compound is extremely unstable and has not been structurally characterized. Auric iodide, AuI₃, decomposes into gold metal and aurous iodide, AuI, at 25°C. We have not been able to prepare it in the wet at 0°C. From charge transfer spectra on [AuCl₄]⁻ and [AuBr₄]⁻, it was deduced that [AuI₄]⁻ has a low-energy charge transfer band in the infrared region and hence spontaneously decomposes at room temperature.

The Mössbauer spectrum for AuI₃ at 4.2K is given in Fig. V-16. The line drawn through the data points is the least-squares fit of two Lorentz curves. Since AuI₃ probably decomposed into AuI before we were able to complete the Mössbauer experiments, we list the results of the two compounds for comparison:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Linewidth</th>
<th>IS</th>
<th>QS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AuI</td>
<td>0.242 (0.005) cm/sec</td>
<td>-0.132 (0.003) cm/sec</td>
<td>0.398 (0.001) cm/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuI₃(?)</td>
<td>0.237 (0.041) cm/sec</td>
<td>-0.153 (0.037) cm/sec</td>
<td>0.399 (0.006) cm/sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The linewidths are the values determined by the least-squares fit. The corresponding results for AuI and AuI₃(?) are within experimental error. In the section on interpretations, we make a correlation of IS and QS for several gold compounds. From this correlation, the pair of values for AuI₃(?) seem to indicate that they probably belong to the Au(I) oxidation state.
Fig. V-16. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in AuI₃.
We used two AuI samples with areal gold densities of 83.2 mg/cm$^2$ and 526.9 mg/cm$^2$. A chemical analysis gave a gold-to-iodine molar ratio of 0.959. The chemical analysis for AuI$_3$ disclosed an iodine to gold molar ratio of 2.97 and 2.83, but the extra iodine probably existed in elemental form.

12. Gold(I) cyanide, AuCN

Gold cyanide is a stable, finely divided bright yellow substance which has not been thoroughly characterized due to the difficulty of preparing single crystals. AuCN belongs to the $C_{6mm}$ space group and has hexagonal symmetry. It is highly probable that gold cyanide is linear in the first coordination sphere. Most authors report that AuCN forms long (linear) chains of gold atoms connected by CN groups. One source reports that AuCN is made up of long continuous zig-zag chains through the crystal lattice. If there is a bend in the structure, it most likely occurs at the nitrogen or carbon atoms. The distance between two gold atoms is 5.09 Å.

$$\text{Au} - \text{C} = \text{N : Au} - \text{C} = \text{N}$$

The Mössbauer spectrum for AuCN at 4.2°K is shown in Fig. V-17.

The data points were least-squares fitted with two Lorentz lines. The linewidth is 0.272 (0.023) cm/sec. The IS with respect to gold in platinum is +0.166 (0.017) cm/sec, and the QS is 0.809 (0.003) cm/sec. We assumed that AuCN has an axially-symmetric environment and constrained
Fig. V-17. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in AuCN.
the two component lines to be of equal linewidth and intensity. AuCN, along with [Au(CN)$_2$]$^-$, [Au(S$_2$O$_3$)$_2$]$^-$ and [Au(CN)$_4$]$^-$. manifests the largest QS values observed for gold compounds. For this experiment the absorber sample had a gold density of 289.3 mg/cm$^2$. No chemical analysis was performed on this insoluble gold cyanide.

13. Potassium dicyanoaurate(I), KAu(CN)$_2$

This colorless complex is one of the most stable complexes of gold. It has a solubility constant $K = 4 \times 10^{28}$, has rhombohedral symmetry, and belongs to the $R_3$ space group. The cell dimensions are: $a = 9.47$ Å with $\alpha = 43.9^\circ$. The unit cell contains six [Au(CN)$_2$]$^-$ complexes. Due to the similarity in the electronic compositions of carbon and nitrogen, an X-ray analysis leaves some ambiguities. However, from infrared spectra of KAu(CN)$_2$, it has been concluded that the carbon is directly bonded to gold. The complex consists of linear layers of [Au(CN)$_2$]$^-$ ions alternating with K$^+$ ion layers. See Fig. V-18. The bond lengths and bond angles are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Au - C} & = 2.12 \pm 0.14 \text{ Å} \\
\text{C - N} & = 1.17 \pm 0.20 \text{ Å} \\
\text{K - N} & = 2.78 \text{ Å} \quad \text{(average distance)} \\
\text{Au-C-N} & = 172.8 \pm 7.5^\circ \\
\text{C axis-Au-N} & = 20.4 \pm 2.9^\circ \\
\text{C axis-Au-C} & = 19.5 \pm 4.4^\circ
\end{align*}
\]

The sodium congener, which we did not investigate is a colorless crystalline substance with three molecules per unit cell and the following cell dimensions: $a = 3.64$ Å, $c = 27.0$ Å and $\alpha = 22^\circ42'$. 
Fig. V-18. Coordination of gold in KAu(CN)$_2$\(^{-}\). (Rosenzweig, et al.\(^{61}\)).
The IS for KAu(CN)$_2$ is $+0.325 (0.008)$ cm/sec and the QS is $1.021 (0.006)$ cm/sec. The Mössbauer spectrum, shown in Fig. V-19, was fitted with two Lorentz lines. The width as determined from the fit was $0.195 (0.014)$ cm/sec. Since the compound has axial symmetry, the two Lorentz lines were constrained to have equal widths and intensities.

Three of the four samples of KAu(CN)$_2$ contained areal gold densities of $1.005$ g/cm$^2$, $168.2$ mg/cm$^2$, and $271.8$ mg/cm$^2$. The third sample contained some KAu(CN)$_2$I$_2$ as well as KAu(CN)$_2$. This was the result of an incomplete reaction in the preparation of KAu(CN)$_2$I$_2$. Although there were two pairs of lines in the spectrum of the mixed absorber, we were able to resolve the two pairs of lines to obtain useful information. (See Fig. V-20).

14. Potassium tetracyanoaurate(III), K[Au(CN)$_4$]

This fairly stable, crystalline substance is colorless, appears to have orthorhombic symmetry and has four complex aggregates in a unit cell. It belongs to the $D_{4h}$ space group and was found not to be perfectly square-planar. Based on infrared spectra, the Au-C-N unit is out of the plane and the C-Au-C unit is deformed. The cell dimensions of KAu(CN)$_4$ are: $a = 6.74 \pm 0.01$, $b = 7.18 \pm 0.01$ and $c = 17.48 \pm 0.02$ Å. The average Au-C bond length is $1.93\frac{\text{Å}}{}$.

The Mössbauer spectrum for KAu(CN)$_4$ at $4.2^\circ\text{K}$ is shown in Fig. V-21. The line drawn through the data is the least-squares fit of two Lorentz lines with resultant width of $0.218 (0.014)$ cm/sec. The IS and QS are $+0.421 (0.012)$ cm/sec and $0.699 (0.005)$ cm/sec, respectively.
Fig. V-19. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in KAu(CN)$_2$. 
Fig. V-20. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in a mixture KAu(CN)$_2$ and KAu(CN)$_2$I$_2$. 
Fig. V-21. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in KAu(CN)₄.
Although the symmetry around gold is a slightly-deformed, square-planar configuration, we fitted the results with Lorentz lines of equal line-widths and intensities.

We used four absorber samples with the following areal gold densities: 126.47, 166.6, 170.3, and 206.6 mg/cm$^2$. One chemical analysis disclosed a potassium to gold to cyanide molar ratio of 1.07/1.00/4.02.

15. Potassium trans-dicyanodihaloaurate(III), Au(CN)$_2X_2$ (X=Cl, Br, I)

From infrared and Raman studies, it was determined that these mixed halogen-cyanide complexes of gold are trans-square-planar isomers, as indicated in Fig. V-22. No extensive X-ray structural determination has yet been reported for these compounds. From an X-ray powder pattern of the bromine-cyanide complex, it has been shown that it is monoclinic. Further structural studies are being conducted.

The potassium salt of the chlorine-cyanide complex is a pale yellow crystalline complex which appears to be reasonably stable. The bromine-cyanide complex is bright yellow and the iodine-cyanide complex is a deep reddish-brown to almost black crystalline substance.

The Mössbauer spectra for KAu(CN)$_2$Cl$_2$, KAu(CN)$_2$Br$_2$, and KAu(CN)$_2$I$_2$ are given in Figs. V-23, 24, 25. The linewidth, IS and QS results are listed below. Although the chemical environment of gold is not symmetric with respect to the ligands, the spectra appeared nearly symmetric so we constrained the two component Lorentz lines to have equal line-widths and intensities.
$\text{trans} - \text{Au(CN)}_2 X_2$

$X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}, \text{CN}$

Fig. V-22. Coordination of gold in \(\text{Au(CN)}_2 X_2, X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}\).
Fig. V-23. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in KAu(CN)$_2$Cl$_2$. 
Fig. V-24. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in KAu(CN)₂Br₂.
Fig. V-25. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in KAu(CN)₂I₂.
The IS and QS values are slightly monotonically increasing from the chlorine complex to the iodine complex, as might be expected from our model which is explained later.

The areal densities of gold in the samples and the results of the chemical analyses are listed in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Areal gold density</th>
<th>Chemical Analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KAu(CN)$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>327.6 mg/cm$^2$</td>
<td>K/Au/Cl/CN = 1.03/1.00/1.99/1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAu(CN)$_2$Br$_2$</td>
<td>118.9 mg/cm$^2$</td>
<td>K/Au/Br/CN = 1.03/1.00/1.98/2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAu(CN)$_2$I$_2$</td>
<td>80.9 mg/cm$^2$</td>
<td>K/Au/I/CN = 1.02/1.00/2.04/2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;sample 2&quot;</td>
<td>113.2 mg/cm$^2$</td>
<td>(no analysis)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. Sodium dithiosulfatoaurate(I), Na$_2$Au(S$_2$O$_3$)$_2$

This compound, medically known as sanocrycin, has been variously used for attempting to cure tuberculosis, leprosy and arthritis. It is a stable white floculent compound which has monoclinic symmetry and belongs to the $P_2_1/a$ space group. There are four molecules per unit cell whose dimensions are: $a = 18.206$, $b = 11.355$, and $c = 5.44$ Å, and $\beta = 97.87^\circ$.

The S-Au-S bond angle is very nearly $180^\circ$ and the average Au-S bond distance is 2.28 Å. This compound forms a cyclic structure as shown in Fig. V-26(a).
Fig. V-26. Coordination of gold in Na$_3$Au(S$_2$O$_3$)$_2$.
H. Templeton and H. Rubin, Ref. 66.
This X-ray study is probably the first X-ray structural determination of the thiosulfate anion structure:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\downarrow \\
S-S \\
0 \\
\end{array}
\]

Figure V-26(b) gives the orientation of a unit cell of Na\(_3\)Au(S\(_2\)O\(_3\))\(_2\). A list of the tentative values of the bond lengths and angles are given in Table I.

In Fig. V-27 is given the Mössbauer spectrum of Na\(_3\)Au(S\(_2\)O\(_3\))\(_2\)). Since the X-ray structural determination of the compound revealed an essentially linear bond at gold, the two Lorentz lines were constrained to have both equal linewidths and line intensities. The linewidth is 0.317(0.020) cm/sec and the IS and QS are +0.072(0.029) cm/sec and 0.708(0.004) cm/sec respectively.

Since an early chemical analysis showed that there was a large excess of the thiosulfate ligand, we used a larger than average sample. The actual gold content of the sample has not been determined.

17. Au(III) cis-1,2-dicyanoethylene-1,2-dithiolate anion, [AuS\(_4\)C\(_4\)(CN)\(_4\)]\(^-\)

This medium reddish-brown compound, \([(C_2H_5)_4N]^+[AuS\(_4\)C\(_4\)(CN)\(_4\)]^-\), is diamagnetic and essentially square-planar\(^{67}\) in the first coordination sphere. It is the only truly bidentate gold complex included in this study. The general structure of this compound is given in Structure I:
Table I. Distances in $\text{Na}_3(\text{Au(S}_2\text{O}_3)_2)\text{H}_2\text{O}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom 1-Atom 2 Bond (Å)</th>
<th>Atom 1-Atom 2 Bond (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au - S(4) 2.28</td>
<td>Na(1) - O(6) 2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- S(3) 2.28</td>
<td>- W(1) 2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- W(2) 2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(1) - S(4) 2.05</td>
<td>Na(2) - O(1) 2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- O(1) 1.45</td>
<td>- O(2) 2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- O(2) 1.45</td>
<td>- O(3) 2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- O(3) 1.45</td>
<td>- O(4) 2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(2) - S(3) 2.06</td>
<td>Na(3) - O(1) 2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- O(4) 1.47</td>
<td>- O(2) 2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- O(5) 1.47</td>
<td>- 0(3) 2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- O(6) 1.47</td>
<td>- O(4) 2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(1) - O(1) 2.63</td>
<td>- W(1) 2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- O(4) 2.50</td>
<td>- W(2) 2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- O(5) 2.46</td>
<td>- O(1) 2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- O(6) 2.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The standard deviations are Au-S 0.004Å, S-S 0.01Å, S-O 0.02Å, and Na-O 0.02Å or less.
Fig. V-27. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in Na₃Au(S₂O₃)₂.
The Mössbauer spectrum is not symmetric as seen in Fig. V-28. Since we did not prepare this compound in our laboratory and we are not certain about its stability, it is difficult to evaluate the results. The larger of the two intensities occurs at near zero isomer shift.

We also fitted the spectrum with a single and a double line as shown in Fig. V-28 (b). When the double line was constrained to have equal intensities and linewidths, the resulting linewidth was 0.221 (0.005) cm/sec and the splitting is 0.197 (0.006) cm/sec. The isomer shift with respect to gold in platinum is +0.326 (0.007) cm/sec.

The linewidth of the single line is 0.236 (0.011) cm/sec; it is located at 0.121 (0.008) cm/sec. We have not come to a satisfactory conclusion about this compound. In Sec. II-B-3, we have discussed other possible sources of unequal line intensities.

18. Gold(III) -- sesquioxide, Au₂O₃

This reddish-brown powdery compound has not been characterized. It was purchased from Alfa Inorganics, Inc. If the gold(III) oxide structure is consistent with the other tervalent compounds of golds, it would have coordination number four with square-planar symmetry at the central gold metal.
Fig. V-28(a). Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in [AuS₄C₄(CN)₄]⁻.
Fig. V-28(b). Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in [AuS$_4$C$_4$(CN)$_4$]$^-$. 

AuS$_4$C$_4$(CN)$_4^-$

Isomer shift (cm/sec)
Gold oxide, $\text{Au}_2\text{O}_3$, has a slightly asymmetric Mössbauer spectrum as seen in Fig. V-29. This compound is reportedly not very stable, but was investigated as purchased from the Alfa Inorganics, Inc. Chemical Company. The average linewidth is large, 0.350 (0.022) cm/sec, so the Mössbauer spectrum may represent more lines than two. The natural linewidth for the 77 keV $\gamma$-ray of $\text{Au}^{197}$ is 0.194 cm/sec. We tried fitting the spectrum with three lines, one of which was the line for gold metal at -0.12 cm/sec isomer shift. This did not improve the fit appreciably so we simply approximated the chemical environment of the gold nucleus as being axially symmetric and fitted the spectrum with two lines which were constrained to have equal linewidths and equal line intensities. The IS is $+0.094 (0.001)$ cm/sec and QS is $0.168 (0.004)$ cm/sec.

The absorber sample contained 232.4 mg/cm$^2$ of gold. No chemical analysis was performed due to the difficulty of analyzing for oxygen.

19. Gold sulfides: $\text{Au}_2\text{S}$, $\text{Au}_2\text{S}_2$ and $\text{Au}_2\text{S}_3$

The three gold sulfide compounds were reasonably stable, sparingly soluble in water and appeared to be amorphous to X-ray diffraction investigations.66

a. $\text{Au}_2\text{S}$. Crystalline $\text{Au}_2\text{S}$ was obtained by some French investigators68 who had determined that $\text{Au}_2\text{S}$ belongs to the $0_4^{\text{h}}\text{Pn3m}$ space group of $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$ type structure and has cubic symmetry. They report two $\text{Au}_2\text{S}$ molecules per unit cell and $a = 5.020 \pm 0.003\AA$.

The $\text{Au}_2\text{S}$ Mössbauer spectrum was fitted with two Lorentz lines of unequal intensities but of equal linewidths as shown in Fig. V-30. The
Fig. V-29. Mössbauer spectrum of Au-197 in Au$_2$O$_3$. 
Fig. V-30. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in Au$_2$S.
linewidth and the two line positions are 0.249 (0.004) cm/sec, and 0.433 (0.007) cm/sec and -0.156 (0.006) cm/sec, respectively. A chemical analysis gave a gold-to-sulfur molar ratio of 2.04.

b. \( \text{Au}_2\text{S}_2 \). \( \text{Au}_2\text{S}_2 \) might be more accurately written as \( \text{Au}_2\text{S}^+\text{Au}_2\text{S}_3^- \), since it has been amply stated in the literature that many gold compounds with an apparent +2 oxidation state are just gold compounds containing the metal in both the +1 and +3 oxidation states in equivalent amounts. These compounds are invariably black. This gold sulfide was not completely amorphous to X-ray powder studies and had lines similar to those of the gold metal.

The Mössbauer spectrum for \( \text{Au}_2\text{S}_2 \) at 4.2°K is given in Fig. V-31. The spectrum was fitted with four Lorentz lines with no knowledge of the Hamiltonian. The compound may be a combination of several gold sulfides or may even contain some metallic gold. The linewidths were constrained to be equal and the four lines occur at -0.344 (0.011) cm/sec, -0.197 (0.015) cm/sec, +0.021 (0.058) cm/sec and +0.290 (0.011) cm/sec. The linewidth determined by the fit was 0.326 (0.008). The molar ratio of sulfur to gold was determined to be 1.007, which is essentially the theoretical molar ratio.

c. \( \text{Au}_2\text{S}_3 \). \( \text{Au}_2\text{S}_3 \) is a black powder which decomposes into its elements at about 200°C.\(^{34}\) If this compound is structurally similar to the other gold (III) compounds (square-planar with coordination number four) then the shortest bonds to gold might involve the following structure:
Fig. V-31. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in $\text{Au}_2\text{S}_2$. 
The Mössbauer spectrum for \( \text{Au}_2\text{S}_3 \) is a complicated curve which we attempted to fit with three lines of equal linewidth as shown in Fig. V-32. The line positions are \(-0.197 \pm 0.009\) cm/sec, \(+0.50 \pm 0.011\) cm/sec and \(+0.348 \pm 0.007\) cm/sec. The linewidth determined by the fit was \(0.303 \pm 0.016\) cm/sec.

Three samples were prepared containing the following areal densities on gold: 81.4 mg/cm², 87.2 mg/cm², and 77.5 mg/cm². The chemical analysis on \( \text{Au}_2\text{S}_3 \) gave a sulfur to gold molar ratio of 1.60, 1.63, and 1.60.

**B. General Observations About the Results**

The complete results of our Mössbauer effect experiments on gold compounds are given in Table II. In the first column of the table are listed \( \Delta\psi(0)^2_{\text{Relativistic}} \) values which were computed from the IS results using Eq. (11) of Sec. II-B-1. For Au 197 we have

\[
\text{IS (cm/sec)} \approx 1.618 \times 10^{-27} \cdot \Delta\psi(0)^2_{\text{Relativistic}}
\]  

The IS values are relative to a gold-in-platinum source.

In the fourth column are listed the electric field gradients, \( q_{zz} \), which were determined from the following expression
Fig. V-32. Mössbauer spectrum of Au 197 in Au$_2$S$_3$. 
Table II. Results of the Mössbauer Experiments
(The assumed signs are indicated parenthetically).

| Compound          | \( IS \) cm/sec | \( \Delta \psi(0)^2 \times 10^{25} \) Relativistic | \( QS \) cm/sec | \( |\alpha_{zz}| \times 10^{15} \) | \( \Gamma \) cm/sec |
|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( AuF_3 \)       | -0.107(0.001)   | -6.611                          | 0.274(0.002)    | (-) 7.860       | 0.232(0.002)    |
| \( [AuF_4]^- \)   | -0.069(0.002)   | -4.263                          | 0.182(0.002)    | (-) 5.221       | 0.228(0.040)    |
| \( AuCl \)        | -0.142(0.001)   | -8.774                          | 0.465(0.001)    | (-)13.339       | 0.196(0.004)    |
| \( [AuCl_4]^- \)  | 0.044(0.006)    | 2.7187                          | 0.127(0.008)    | 3.643           | 0.254(0.029)    |
| \( AuCl_3 \)      | 2.057(0.009)    | 3.521                           | 0.075(0.008)    | 2.151           | 0.241(0.020)    |
| \( AuBr \)        | -0.147(0.001)   | -9.083                          | 0.423(0.004)    | (-)12.134       | 0.217(0.008)    |
| \( [AuBr_4]^- \)  | 0.066(0.002)    | 4.115                           | 0.113(0.002)    | 3.2415          | 0.220(0.012)    |
| \( AuBr_3 \)      | 0.079(0.007)    | 4.881                           | 0.127(0.002)    | 3.643           | 0.300(0.004)    |
| \( AuI \)         | -0.132(0.003)   | -8.156                          | 0.398(0.001)    | (-)11.417       | 0.242(0.004)    |
| \( AuI_3 \)       | -0.153(0.037)   | -9.4537                         | 0.399(0.005)    | (-)11.446       | 0.237(0.041)    |
| \( Cs_4Au^{II}Au^{II}Cl_{12} \) | -0.143(0.028)   | I 0.410(0.005)                  | 0.216(0.004)    |
| \( Au_2O_3 \)     | 0.094(0.001)    | 5.808                           | 0.168(0.004)    | 4.819           | 0.350(0.022)    |
| \( [Au(S_2O_3)_2]^- \) | 0.072(0.029)   | 4.4488                          | 0.708(0.004)    | (-)20.310       | 0.317(0.020)    |
This equation was discussed in Sec. II-B-3. Here \( \eta \), the asymmetry parameter, is taken to be zero. This is valid for most gold(I) and gold(III) compounds, which are considered to have axial symmetry. The known exception is the gold cyano-halides, \( \text{KAu(CN)}_2X_2 \) (\( X = \text{Cl, Br, I} \)), where \( 0 < \eta < 1 \). We do not have the values of \( \eta \) for these compounds. We have approximated the electric field gradients for these three compounds at the end of this section, and have estimated their \( \eta \) values.

The observed linewidths are given in the last column. The quadrupole moment, \( Q \), of \( \text{Au}^{197} \) has the value \(+0.594(10) \times 10^{-24} \text{cm}^{2/3}\) and \( e \) is the unit of electronic charge, \( 4.803 \times 10^{-10} \text{ esu} \). Substituting the above values, we have the following conversion between \( QS \) and \( q_{zz} \) for \( \text{Au}^{197} \)

\[
QS \text{ (cm/sec)} \times 28.686 = q_{zz} \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3
\]
Fig. V-33. Isomer shift results of gold(I) and gold(III) compounds.
at the bottom). In Sec. II-B, we concluded that the gold absorbers with the larger $\psi(0)^2$ values would have the more positive IS values. Gold(I) and gold(III) compounds use 6s6p and 5d6s6p$^2$ hybrid orbitals for bonding, respectively. Therefore, in compounds with ligands of lower electronegativities, the gold atoms would be expected to accumulate larger densities of 6s electrons and this have the more positive IS values.

From electronegativity differences between gold and the halides, gold halides are expected to be partially ionic, with the halides having the (slightly) larger portion of the bonding electrons. The cyanide radical is generally considered to be less electron-attracting than the halides. In Fig. V-33, we find the gold halides near the bottom and the cyanides at the top. Therefore, this observed ordering of compounds with respect to IS values helps support the model which proposes an increase in $\psi(0)^2$ from bottom to top of Fig. V-33.

b. **Comparison of isomer shift values for gold(I) and gold(III) compounds.**

In Fig. V-33, we see that for a given ligand, the IS value of the gold(III) compound is more positive than of the corresponding gold(I) compounds. This implies that for a given ligand, the gold(III) compound has a greater nuclear electron density than the corresponding gold(I) compound. Compare, for example, KAu(CN)$_4$ with KAu(CN)$_2$ which have IS values of $+0.421$ and $+0.325$ cm/sec, respectively; and AuCl$_3$ with AuCl whose IS values are $+0.057$ and $-0.142$ cm/sec, respectively.

In Sec. III-B, we gave the inner electron configurations and hybrid orbitals of the aurous and auric compounds as
Gold(I); \[ 5d^{10}(6s6p)^N \] \[ 0 \leq N \leq 2 \] \hspace{1cm} (4)

Gold(III); \[ 5d^8(5d6s6p^2)^{N'} \] \[ 0 \leq N' \leq 2 \] \hspace{1cm} (5)

To simplify this discussion, consider an hypothetical ligand, L, which forms perfectly covalent bonds with gold \((N = 1, N' = 1)\): \([\text{AuL}_2]^-\) and \([\text{AuL}_4]^-\). \([\text{AuL}_2]^-\) would have one 6s and one 6p electron. \([\text{AuL}_4]^-\) would have one 6s and two 6p electrons and a 5d hole. Although both compounds would have the same number of s electrons, the effective \(\psi(0)^2\) for \([\text{AuL}_4]^-\) should be more than for \([\text{AuL}_2]^-\) due to lower shielding of the 6s electron in the \([\text{AuL}_4]^-\) complex by its nine 5d electrons. Therefore, the IS values of the gold(III) would be expected to be more positive than that of the corresponding gold(I) compounds, as observed. The 6p\(_{1/2}\) electrons, which are more energetic (and therefore farther from the nucleus) than the 6s electrons, are not expected to contribute significantly to the \(\psi(0)^2\) value or to effectively shield the 6s electrons.*

\[
\psi(0)^2_{6p_{1/2}} \text{ of the } 5d^{10}6p_{1/2} \text{ configuration of gold is about 2\% of the } \psi(0)^2_{6s} \text{ value of the } 5d^{10}6s \text{ configuration. These results are given in Sec. C of this chapter.}
\]
2. Quadrupole Splitting

a. Quadrupole splitting results and electric field gradient. In Fig. V-34, the QS results are arranged with the larger values at the top. The sign of the electric field gradient, EFG, whose magnitude is proportional to the QS, cannot be determined by these experimental results. The sign of the EFG of the molecular bond of the gold compounds is accessible from bond symmetry considerations. However, we can only guess the sign of the EFG at the nucleus of gold, which is caused by the bonding electrons. The electronic configuration of gold(I) compounds is depicted in Fig. V-35. The EFG of the sp bonding electrons of gold(I) is negative. However, since the bonding electrons extend a distance from the nucleus of gold, the actual EFG at the nucleus of gold may not directly reflect the symmetry of the bonding electrons. If the repulsive forces of the valence 6p electrons were to distort the inner closed shells of electrons, for instance, the EFG at the nucleus could be oblate and therefore positive.

For gold(III) compounds, the EFG is further complicated by the existence of a $5d_{x^2-y^2}$ electron hole. Figure V-36 gives the geometries of the 5d hole and the dsp$^2$-hybrid bonding orbitals. Since the two give opposite-sign contributions to the EFG, the sign of the resultant EFG of the valence electrons plus the $5d_{x^2-y^2}$ hole would depend on the relative magnitudes of the 5d and 6p EFG values. With the sign of the EFG of the combined gold(III) bonding and nonbonding electrons themselves in question, it seems speculative to assign a sign to the EFG at the gold nucleus. Although the sign of the EFG at the nucleus may not be the same as that of the bonding and nonbonding electrons, the nuclear EFG is probably proportional to the EFG of the outer electrons.
Fig. V-34. Quadrupole splitting results of gold(I) and gold(III) compounds.
Fig. V-35. The electric field gradients of the 5d$^{10}$ core and the 6s6p$_2$ hybrid orbitals of gold(I).
Fig. V-36. The electric field gradients of the 5d\textsuperscript{8} core and the 5d6s6p\textsuperscript{2} hybrid orbitals of gold(III).
b. **Comparison of the quadrupole splitting of gold(I) and gold(III) compounds.** In the introduction, we explained that the large differences in the QS results of related gold(I) and gold(III) compounds, such as AuCl and AuCl₃, aroused our interest in the bonding characteristics of gold compounds. Although our results have raised additional questions, we did arrive at a satisfactory qualitative explanation for the above observation. Earlier workers⁴,⁶⁹,⁷⁰ on nuclear quadrupole effects considered mainly the p electron contribution to the EFG and essentially neglected the d electrons as a source of EFG. However, from our calculations of the 5d and 6p electron EFG values of various gold ionic and excited states, we find that the EFG of the 5d and 6p electrons are comparable. Therefore, the probable reason for the observed smaller QS values of the gold(III) compounds compared to the corresponding gold(I) compounds is that the 5d₂₋ₓ²_y² hole would decrease the effect of the EFG of the bonding electrons in gold(III) compounds and therefore decrease the QS results.

3. **Relationship of the Isomer Shifts and Quadrupole Splittings**

a. **Gold(I).** From the IS and QS results for gold(I) compounds which are given in Figs. V-33 and V-34 respectively, the linear relationship between the IS and QS results is apparent. We have assumed that the electric field gradient, EFG, of the nucleus has the same sign as that of the valence electrons. The alternative assumption would not affect the results of the following discussion. Gold(I) utilizes the two 6s6p hybrid orbitals for bonding. The closed shells of electrons form a symmetric sphere. The bonding electrons, which have a prolate
configuration around gold, have a negative EFG. The electronic distribution around the gold atom is depicted in Fig. V-35. Gold is located at the origin of the coordinates and the ligands, L, are located along the z axis.

We have plotted the IS against a quantity which is proportional to the quadrupole coupling constant, \( \frac{1}{2} \eta Q(1 + \eta^2/3)^{1/2} \), in Fig. V-37. The QS is equal to the magnitude of this quantity. The best straight line through all the data points, determined by the least-squares method, is

\[
\text{IS}(\text{Au(I)}) = 0.7815 \left( \frac{\text{eqQ}}{2} \right) - 0.4744 \text{ cm/sec} \tag{6}
\]

The IS and \( \left( \frac{\text{eqQ}}{2} \right) \) are in units of cm/sec.

b. Gold(III). For Gold(III) compounds, a linear relationship between the shift and the quadrupole coupling constant would also exist if there were a difference in the sign of the EFG between the gold fluorides and the remaining gold compounds. This is not unreasonable since a sign difference does exist between the \( 5d^8(5d6s^6p_x^6p_y)^0 \) and the \( 5d^8(5d6s^6p_x^6p_y)^2 \) electronic configurations. The first electronic configuration represents the ionic extreme where gold has the \( 5d^8(3+) \) configuration, having none of the bonding electrons. In the second electronic configuration, gold has all of the eight bonding electrons. The first case would have a prolate charge distribution and thus a negative EFG; the second has an
Fig. V-37. Linear relationship of isomer shift and 1/2(eqQ) for Gold(I) compounds.
oblate distribution and a positive EFG. The assumption is that in the gold fluoride compounds, the highly electronegative fluorine atom retains a major share of the bonding electrons and that the resulting EFG on gold is negative. As the gold atom receives a greater and greater proportion of the bonding electrons, the sign of the outer-electron EFG changes from negative to positive. The electronic configuration of the outer electrons in gold(III) compounds is given in Fig. V-36. The gold atom is located at the origin of the coordinates and the ligands, L, along the x and y axes.

The linear relationship between the IS and the quadrupole coupling constant is shown in Fig. V-38. Here, as for gold(I) compounds, we have assumed that the nuclear EFG has the same sign as that of the outer electrons. The equation of the best straight line through the axially symmetric gold compounds, determined by the least-squares method, is

\[ IS(\text{Au(III)}) = 0.5422 \left(\frac{\text{eqQ}}{2}\right) + 0.0162 \text{ cm/sec} \quad (7) \]

The data used in determining this equation were taken from the following compounds:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(1/2(\text{eqQ})) cm/sec</th>
<th>IS cm/sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\text{AuF}_4]^-)</td>
<td>(-) 0.182</td>
<td>-0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{AuCl}_4]^-)</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{AuBr}_4]^-)</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Au(CN)}_4]^-)</td>
<td>0.699</td>
<td>0.421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. V-38. Linear relationship of isomer shift and quadrupole splitting of gold(III) compounds.
If we include the following nearly axially symmetric compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>1/2 (Eq. Q)</th>
<th>IS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AuF₃</td>
<td>(-) 0.274</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuCl₃</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the best straight line is

\[
\text{IS(Au(III))'} = 0.5704 (\text{eqQ}/2) + 0.0078 \text{ cm/sec} \quad (8)
\]

The assumed signs for the 1/2(eqQ) values are indicated parenthetically.

The linear relationship between the isomer shift and quadrupole coupling constant shows that the gain in nuclear electron density (mainly from the 6s electrons) is approximately proportional to the gain in the 5d and 6p electron unbalance. This suggests that the hybridization of the sp and dsp² orbitals remains constant and that the change in the IS and QS from one gold compound to another is caused by the change in the number of bonding electrons at the gold atom. The proportion of the bonding electrons which reside at the gold atom is governed by the electronegativity of the ligands.

From the graph in Fig. V-38, we can now estimate the asymmetry parameter, η, for the gold(III) cyano-halides. Since the QS values for gold(I) halides and gold(I) cyanides lie at opposite extremes of the scale in Fig. V-34, large values of η² are expected for the gold(III) cyano-halides. We determined η² to be 1.1, 1.0, and 1.2 for KAu(CN)₂Cl₂, KAu(CN)₂Br₂, and KAu(CN)₂I₂ respectively. However, η² should be between zero and one. The fact that these values were determined to be about 10% high may indicate that the line which was determined from the QS...
and IS relationship should be steeper or the intercept should be a little larger. The $q_{zz}$ values of these compounds were computed from Eq. (18) of Sec. II and are listed in Table III.

C. Calculation of Nuclear Electron Density

The $\psi(0)^2$ calculations were made with parameters generated by Mann in Dirac-Fock atomic structure calculations for excited states of gold. The major component of the radial wave function, $P$, is given by

$$P = PA \cdot r^{1+I} [1 - \alpha r^2 + \beta r^4 + ... ] \quad (9)$$

The minor component, $Q$, is

$$Q = PA \cdot r^1 [q_0 + q_1 r^2 + q_2 r^4 + ... ] \quad (10)$$

where $q_0$ is zero for $s_{1/2}$, $p_{3/2}$, and $d_{5/2}$ electrons. $P_A$, $q_0$, $q_1$, $q_2$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$ are available in Mann's program.

The normalization condition is:

$$\int_0^\infty (P_i P_j + Q_i Q_j)^2 \, dr = \delta_{ij} \quad (11)$$

Near the origin, the charge density for a $s_{1/2}$ or $p_{1/2}$ electron is:

$$\frac{\omega_i}{4\pi r^2} (P_i^2 + Q_i^2) \quad (12)$$
Table III. Determination of $\eta^2$ for the potassium dicyanodihaloaurates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>IS (cm/sec)</th>
<th>QS(calc) $n=0$ (cm/sec)</th>
<th>$q_{zz}(calc)$ $10^{-15}$ esu/cm$^3$</th>
<th>QS observed (cm/sec)</th>
<th>$\eta^2$ calculated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KAu(CN)$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>0.4423</td>
<td>12.69</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>1.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAu(CN)$_2$Br$_2$</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.4718</td>
<td>13.53</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAu(CN)$_2$I$_2$</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.4828</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>1.196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both IS and QS values are the observed values. QS(calc) are the values which were determined from Eq. (7) and $q_{zz}(calc)$ was computed from Eq. (3). $\eta^2$, the asymmetry parameter, was determined from Eq. (2).
where \( \omega_i \) is the occupation number of the shell.

For the \( s_{1/2} \) shell,

\[
|\psi(r)_{s}^2| = \frac{\omega_i (PA)^2}{4\pi} \left[ 1 - (2a - q_1)^2 + (2\beta + \alpha^2 + 2q_1q_2)r^4 + \ldots \right]
\]  

(13)

and for the \( p_{1/2} \) shell,

\[
|\psi(r)_{p_{1/2}}^2| = \frac{\omega_i (q_0^2PA)^2}{4\pi} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{2q_1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{q_0^2} \right)r^2 + \frac{2q_2}{q_0} + \frac{(q_1}{q_0^2} \right) - 2a/q_0^2 r_4 + \ldots \right]
\]  

(14)

The units are in \( a_0^{-3} \). The electron density at the nucleus is,

\[
|\psi(0)^2| = \int_0^R \psi^2(r)r^2 dr/ \int_0^R r^2 dr
\]  

(15)

where \( R = 2.0219 \times 10^{-5} \text{Å}^{1/3} \) is the nuclear radius. This yields the following explicit expressions

\[
|\psi(0)_{s_{1/2}}^2| = \frac{\omega_i (PA)^2}{4\pi(R^3/3)} \left[ \frac{R^3}{3} - \frac{R^5}{5} (2\alpha^2 - q_1) + \frac{R^7}{7} (2\beta + \alpha^2 + 2q_1q_2) \right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{R^9}{9} (2q_2 - 2a\beta) + \ldots \]  

(16)
Multiplying the above expressions by $0.067486 \times 10^{26}$ converts the units from $a_o^{-3}$ to cm$^{-3}$.

The values of $\psi(0)^2$ for the individual shells ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$) are given in Table IV for comparison between the different ionic states. The total nuclear electron density, $\sum \psi(0)^2$, is also indicated.

A few values of $\psi(0)^2_{np1/2}$ are given in Table V. We find that $\sum \psi(0)^2_{np1/2}$ is approximately 5% of the s electron nuclear density, $\sum \psi(0)^2_s$.

D. Calculation of the Electric Field Gradient

The electric field gradient, $q$, of an electron is given by the following expression

$$q_{nll} = e \frac{(2l)}{(2l + 3)} \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{nl}$$

(18)

where $e$ is the electron charge, equal to $4.803 \times 10^{-10}$ esu, and $l$ is the azimuthal quantum number. The determination of $q_{nll}$ of a particular electron requires its $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle$ value, which can be calculated from the

*See Eq. (10), Appendix C for consideration of spin and relativistic correction.
Table IV. Nuclear electron densities for ns electrons, $\psi(0)^2_{\text{ns}}$, for gold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>$\psi(0)^2_{1s^2}$</th>
<th>$\psi(0)^2_{2s^2}$</th>
<th>$\psi(0)^2_{3s^2}$</th>
<th>$\psi(0)^2_{4s^2}$</th>
<th>$\psi(0)^2_{5s^2}$</th>
<th>$\psi(0)^2_{6s^n}$</th>
<th>$\sum_{n=1}^{5,6} \psi(0)^2_{\text{ns}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5d$^{10}$6s</td>
<td>1.0811351 $\times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>1.6181933 $\times 10^{-30}$</td>
<td>3.7074950 $\times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>9.2513488 $\times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>1.7240657 $\times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>6.4876425 $\times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>1.291068257 $\times 10^{31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d$^9$6s</td>
<td>1.08113463 $\times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>1.6181935 $\times 10^{-30}$</td>
<td>3.707404 $\times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>9.2519601 $\times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>1.7327180 $\times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>8.9125445 $\times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>1.29110182 $\times 10^{31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d$^8$6s</td>
<td>1.0811339 $\times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>1.61819598 $\times 10^{-30}$</td>
<td>3.707491 $\times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>9.2527926 $\times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>1.7448536 $\times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>11.1568264 $\times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>1.29113758 $\times 10^{31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d$^9$6s$^2$</td>
<td>1.0811339 $\times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>1.61819893 $\times 10^{-30}$</td>
<td>3.7074975 $\times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>9.25316815 $\times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>1.7387135 $\times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>14.6529252 $\times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>1.29116725 $\times 10^{31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d$^9$6p</td>
<td>1.08113444 $\times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>1.61818562 $\times 10^{-30}$</td>
<td>3.70729750 $\times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>9.25044562 $\times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>1.728676 $\times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>1.29100509 $\times 10^{31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d$^{10}$</td>
<td>1.08113533 $\times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>1.61821324 $\times 10^{-30}$</td>
<td>3.70725501 $\times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>9.25010076 $\times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>1.71813546 $\times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>1.29099743 $\times 10^{31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury 5d$^{10}$6s$^2$</td>
<td>1.13463355 $\times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>1.71599995 $\times 10^{-30}$</td>
<td>3.9414777 $\times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>9.8927001 $\times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>1.8956166 $\times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>16.0490299 $\times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>1.35759712 $\times 10^{31}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table V. Nuclear electron densities for np\(_{1/2}\) electrons, \(\psi(0)^2\)\(_{np_{1/2}}\), for gold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>(\psi(0)^2)(_{2p6}) x10(^{29})</th>
<th>(\psi(0)^2)(_{3p6}) x10(^{28})</th>
<th>(\psi(0)^2)(_{4p6}) x10(^{27})</th>
<th>(\psi(0)^2)(_{5p6}) x10(^{27})</th>
<th>(\psi(0)^2)(_{6p1}) x10(^{25})</th>
<th>(\sum_{n=2}^{n=6} \psi(0)^2)(_{np}) x10(^{31})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5d(^{10}) 6s</td>
<td>3.6570099 x10(^{29})</td>
<td>9.3985819 x10(^{28})</td>
<td>23.1275442 x10(^{27})</td>
<td>3.79192177 x10(^{27})</td>
<td>0.0486606 x10(^{31})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^{10}) 6p(_{1/2})</td>
<td>1.23705252 x10(^{29})</td>
<td>3.17923915 x10(^{28})</td>
<td>7.82373819 x10(^{27})</td>
<td>1.28323049 x10(^{27})</td>
<td>1.63742407 x10(^{31})</td>
<td>0.04938630 x10(^{31})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^{10})</td>
<td>1.23705170 x10(^{29})</td>
<td>3.17921018 x10(^{28})</td>
<td>7.82340609 x10(^{27})</td>
<td>1.28086806 x10(^{27})</td>
<td>0.04938046 x10(^{31})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^{9}) 6p(_{1/2})</td>
<td>3.6569434 x10(^{29})</td>
<td>9.3986248 x10(^{28})</td>
<td>23.13267997 x10(^{27})</td>
<td>3.8222755 x10(^{27})</td>
<td>3.92364908 x10(^{31})</td>
<td>0.04866752 x10(^{31})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
appropriate electronic wavefunction. This task has proven to be our greatest problem. In this section we discuss how we arrived at the $q_{nll}$ values which we finally selected.

Relativistic $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle$ values for free ions were obtained from Mann,\textsuperscript{71} where

$$\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_i = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r^3} (p_1^2 + q_1^2) \, dr$$

(19)

$P$ and $Q$ are the major and minor components respectively of the relativistic wavefunctions and are given in Sec. V-C.

1. Electric Field Gradient for 5d Electrons

For the 5d electrons, we calculated the average $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d}$ values of several ionic states from the occupation numbers of the 5$d_{3/2}$ and 5$d_{5/2}$ states and the $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d_{3/2}}$ and $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d_{5/2}}$ values supplied by Mann.\textsuperscript{71} These values are listed in Table VI and are plotted in Fig. V-39. Unfortunately, we are interested in bonding configurations that are not found in the free ions, thus precluding direct calculation. By connecting the points (solid lines) of the states of equal numbers of 5d electrons and varying numbers of 6s and 6p electrons, we find that the lines formed have nearly the same curvatures. Therefore, we have estimated the $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d}$ dependence on charge for the 5$d^{9}6s6p^2$ state by drawing a dashed line with a curvature similar to the other existing curves but occurring midway between the points for the 5$d^{9}6p$ and 5$d^{9}6s$ states. This results in the following expression for $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d}$ as a function of charge
Table VI. Determination of \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d} \) values from \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d_{3/2}} \) and \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d_{5/2}} \) values. (Mann\(^7\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>( J )</th>
<th>electron occupation number</th>
<th>( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_J )</th>
<th>( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5d(^{10})6s</td>
<td>5D(_{5/2})</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.6964</td>
<td>14.1861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5D(_{3/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.9206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^{10})6p</td>
<td>5D(_{5/2})</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.2721</td>
<td>13.6826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5D(_{3/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.7984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^9)6s(^2)</td>
<td>5D(_{5/2})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.7303</td>
<td>14.4079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5D(_{3/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.5048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^9)</td>
<td>5D(_{5/2})</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.9915</td>
<td>14.4938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5D(_{3/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.2474</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^9)</td>
<td>5D(_{5/2})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.4185</td>
<td>15.0836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5D(_{3/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.1650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^8)</td>
<td>5D(_{5/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.5384</td>
<td>16.4632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5D(_{3/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18.3880</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^9)6s</td>
<td>5D(_{5/2})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.0329</td>
<td>14.7014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5D(_{3/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.7870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^8)6s</td>
<td>5D(_{5/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.1190</td>
<td>16.0361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5D(_{3/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.9532</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^9)6p</td>
<td>5D(_{5/2})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.279</td>
<td>14.8603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5D(_{3/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.837</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^8)6s6p</td>
<td>5D(_{5/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.9782</td>
<td>15.7983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5D(_{3/2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.6186</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. V-39. The Dirac-Fock $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d}$ results of free-atom states of gold.
where $X$ is the charge on the gold atom and the expression is in units of $a_0^{-3}$. The $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d}$ of the above equation is not a very sensitive function of charge for small $X$. This is as one might have expected, since the ionic charges on gold arises from differing numbers of 5$d$, 6$s$, and 6$p$ electrons. The mutual shielding of the 5$d$ electrons is probably not very effective and the shielding of the 5$d$ electrons by the 6$s$ and 6$p$ electrons would be even less so. The value of $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d}$ obtained in this way for neutral gold ($X = 0$), is reasonable when compared with the values of 12.3 $a_0^{-3}$ and 11.8 $a_0^{-3}$ which were obtained from magnetic hyperfine structure and atomic fine structure determination, respectively.\textsuperscript{72}

For the calculations made in Sec. E of this chapter, we used $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d}$ value for neutral gold of 14.49 $a_0^{-3}$. Due to the approximate nature of the calculations in Sec. E, this simplification is probably justified. Using Eq. (18) of this section, we get the following EFG

$$q_{522} = 26.86 \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3$$  

(21)

2. Electric Field Gradient for 6$p$ Electrons.

The determination of $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p}$ for gold was considerably more difficult and the results are less certain. The difficulty arises partly because the 6$p$ electron of gold is loosely bound and close in energy to the continuum. Therefore, the directly calculated $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p}$ values for
free-atom gold has been substantially smaller than we had expected and are surely not a good estimation for molecular configurations. From the value of $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p}$ of 8.87 $a_0^{-3}$ for Hg 201, we would expect a comparable value for $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p}$ for gold.

We considered several sources of $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p}$ calculations and attempted to extrapolate a value which would be appropriate for gold. The sources of $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p}$ calculations are discussed and evaluated in Appendix B. These calculations are described below and the results are tabulated in Table VII. The results of cases B through E include a relativistic correction factor, $R$, which was applied to the Hartree-Fock non-relativistic results.

Case A. Mann made Dirac-Fock calculations of $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p}$ for the following excited ionic states of gold: 5d$^5$6p(+3), 5d$^6$6p(+2), and 5d$^7$6p(+1). This is probably the best set of calculations among the five cases that we considered (cases A through E). The $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle$ values are given in Table VII. The equation of the best straight line, determined by the least-squares method is

$$\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} = (5.775 X + 3.9833) a_0^{-3} \quad (22)$$

Here $X$ is the ionic charge on gold. Using Eq. (16) and doubling the value to obtain the EFG along the z axis, we have

*This value corresponds to $23 \times 10^{15}$ esu/cm$^3$ for the electric field gradient.*
Table VII. \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} \) values of gold and related elements
(in units of \( a_0^{-3} \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au excited states</th>
<th>Case A: Dirac-Fock (Mann)(^{71})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5d(^7)6p (+3)</td>
<td>21.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^8)6p (+2)</td>
<td>15.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d(^9)6p (+1)</td>
<td>9.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>( R_{74} )</th>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>Case B ground states</th>
<th>Case C ground states</th>
<th>Case D 5d(^{10})6p isoelectronic states</th>
<th>Case E 5d(^{10})6s(^2)6p isoelectronic states</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>1.3172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>1.3268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>1.3369</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>15.963</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>8.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>1.3470</td>
<td>14.80</td>
<td>21.565</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.47</td>
<td>13.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>19.63</td>
<td>26.55</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.84</td>
<td>20.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Po</td>
<td>1.3681</td>
<td>25.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33.42</td>
<td>25.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case B. Case B consists of $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p}$ values obtained from the Hartree-Fock atomic structure calculations for the ground states of Tl, Pb, Bi, and Po, which were published by Mann. The equation of the best straight line, as determined by the least-squares method, is

$$\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} = (4.981 X_Z - 0.031) \ a_o^{-3}$$ (24)

where $X_Z$ is the increase in nuclear charge over that of gold ($Z = 79$). The corresponding electric field gradient expression is

$$q_{610} = (12.83 X_Z - 0.081) \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3$$ (25)

Case C. From the Slater-Hartree-Fock atomic structure calculations of Herman and Skillman, we computed the $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p}$ values for the ground states of Tl, Bi, and Po. The result gave

$$\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} = (5.293 X_Z + 5.480) \ a_o^{-3}$$ (26)

where $X_Z$ is the increase in nuclear charge over that of gold ($Z = 79$). The corresponding electric field gradient is

$$q_{610} = (13.632 X_Z + 14.114) \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3$$ (27)
Case D. Using a minimal basis set, we made atomic structure calculations on a program written and developed by Roothaan,77,78 for the 5d\(^{10}\) 6p isoelectronic states of the following atoms: Au, Hg, Tl, Pb, Bi, and Po. The resulting \((1/r^3)_{6p}\) function is

\[
(1/r^3)_{6p} = (6.275 X + 0.804) a_0^{-3}
\]

which corresponds to

\[
q_{610} = (16.160 X + 2.071) \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3
\]

There, X corresponds to the ionic charge on the atoms.

Case E. A set of calculations similar to case D above was made for the 5d\(^{10}\) 6s\(^2\) 6p isoelectronic states of Au, Hg, Tl, Pb, Bi, and Po. The equation of the best straight line determined by the results is

\[
(1/r^3)_{6p} = (5.810 X - 3.322) a_0^{-3}
\]

where we have that

\[
q_{610} = (14.964 X - 8.555) \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3
\]

Compared to the \((1/r^3)_{5d}\) functions, the \((1/r^3)_{6p}\) functions are much more sensitive to the ionic or nuclear charge. The shielding coefficient of the outer 6p electrons should reflect the number of inner
5d and 6s electrons. However, it is not evident that the $(1/r^3)_{6p}$ values should vary so greatly with the charge.

If we wish to estimate the valence-electron occupation number on the gold atom in the various gold compounds, we are faced with the selection of some value for $(1/r^3)_{6p}$ for gold which is reasonable. We feel that the $(1/r^3)_{6p}$ values which were extrapolated to gold in cases A through E are too small. These calculations were made for gold free-atom states. The maximum radii (as determined by Mann) of some of these states are:

- $5d^96p_{3/2} (+1)$: $r_{6p} = 3.195 \, a_o = 1.69 \, \text{Å}$
- $5d^86p_{3/2} (+2)$: $r_{6p} = 2.825 \, a_o = 1.49 \, \text{Å}$
- $5d^76p_{3/2} (+3)$: $r_{6p} = 2.603 \, a_o = 1.375 \, \text{Å}$

Molecularly bonded gold, on the other hand, would have somewhat more confined wave functions due to the electrons on the ligands. Some of the measured interatomic distances in gold compounds are given in Table VIII. In the third column of Table VIII are listed the covalent radii of the ligands. This radius should represent a lower limit in the ligand radius. If we take the sum of the gold 6p maximum radius ($r = 1.69 \, \text{Å}$) for the $5d^96p$ (+1) excited state and the covalent radius of the ligand, it should give the approximate interatomic distance required for no interaction to exist between the gold 6p electron and those electrons of the ligand. For interatomic distances shorter than this, the electron should experience some confinement of its wavefunctions. In the fifth
Table VIII. Comparison of interatomic distances with those determined from covalent radii and the maximum extension of the 6p electron of the 5d96p(+1) excited state of the gold ion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Interatomic distance, Å</th>
<th>Ligand covalent radius</th>
<th>Ligand ionic radius</th>
<th>Ligand covalent radius plus 1.69Å</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AuF₃</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>(F⁻) 1.36</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[AuCl₄]⁻¹</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>(Cl⁻) 1.81</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[AuBr₄]⁻¹</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>(Br⁻) 1.95</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[AuCl₂]⁻¹</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>(Cl⁻) 1.81</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Au(S₂O₃)₂]⁻³</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>(S₂⁻) 1.84</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Au(CN)₂]⁻¹</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>(C⁻⁴) 2.60</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuI</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>(I⁻) 2.16</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
column of Table VIII, these values are, without exception, larger than the measured interatomic distance (column two). Therefore, the 6p wavefunctions for molecular gold should have less radial extension than the 6p free-atom wave functions. This would result in a larger \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} \) value for molecular gold. The ionic radii of the ligands are given in the fourth column to indicate the upper limit of the calculated interatomic distance, below which gold-ligand electron interaction will occur.

The electric field gradient of gold should probably be close to that of mercury\(^7\) which has a \( q_{610} \) value of about \( 23 \times 10^{15} \) esu/cm\(^3\). This corresponds to a \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} \) value of \( 8.87 \) \( a_0^{-3} \). We estimate \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} \) for gold as that calculated for the 6p electron in the 5d\(^{9}\)6p gold ion. Due to the approximate nature of this aspect of the calculation, we shall not consider \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} \) as a function of charge but as

\[
\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} = 9.71 \ a_0^{-3}
\]

which gives an electric field gradient value of

\[
q_{610} = 25.01 \times 10^{15} \ \text{esu/cm}^3
\]

In the next section, we have made a second estimation of \( q_{610} \) by an indirect method involving the expressions for the nuclear factor, \( \delta R/R \) (in the isomer shift equation), and Eqs. (V-52) and (V-53).
E. Discussion

In Sec. III-B, we discussed the hybrid orbitals of gold as well as the molecular orbitals of gold with a ligand, L. The molecular orbitals were approximated as

$$\psi_{AuL} = \alpha \psi_{Au} \pm \beta \psi_L$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

where \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are positive real numbers. This approximation is reasonably good in the region close to the nucleus. Fortunately, we are interested in the electric field gradients at the nucleus where Eq. (31) probably best describes the true molecular orbitals. The normalization condition would require that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{AuL}^2 d\tau = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\alpha\beta \int \psi_{Au} \psi_L \, d\tau$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

We know from studies on (diatomic) molecules that the overlap term can be non-negligible. However, in keeping with the already approximate nature of the present treatment, we will neglect the overlap term in the normalization and make the further simplification that

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

The EFG at gold due to a bond with a ligand, L, is
\[ q_{zz}(\text{Au,bond}) = e \int \psi_{\text{AuL}} \left( \frac{3\cos^2 \theta - 1}{r^3} \right) \psi_{\text{AuL}}^* \, d\tau \quad (37) \]

where \( \psi_{\text{AuL}} \) is given by Eqs. III-(4), (5), and (7). Due to the \( 1/r^3 \) factor, where \( r \) is the distance from the gold nucleus, the only significant term in the expansion of the above expression is

\[ q_{zz}(\text{Au,bond}) = e \alpha^2 \int \psi_{\text{Au}} \left( \frac{3\cos^2 \theta - 1}{r^3} \right) \psi_{\text{Au}}^* \, d\tau \quad (38) \]

The gold wavefunctions, \( \psi_{\text{Au}} \), have been given in Chapter III for the two linear gold(I) hybrid orbitals as

\[ \psi_{\text{Au(I)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (6s \pm 6p_z) \quad (39) \]

and the four square-planar gold(III) hybrid orbitals as

\[ \psi_{\text{Au(III)}} = \begin{cases} 
1/26s \pm 1/2 \sqrt{2} \ 6p_x - 1/2 \ 5d_{x^2-y^2} \\
1/26s \pm 1/2 \sqrt{2} \ 6p_y + 1/2 \ 5d_{x^2-y^2}
\end{cases} \quad (40) \]

The upper signs designate one hybrid orbital and the lower signs designate the other.

Combining Eqs. (35) and (36), we have the EFG for gold(I) compounds

\[ q_{zz}(\text{Au(I)},\text{bond}) = \alpha^2/2 \left[ q_{6s} + q_{6p_z} + q_{6s,6p_z} \right] \quad (41) \]
From the symmetry rules which are outlines in Appendix C, only the second term in square brackets is non-zero. After transforming to spherical harmonics, we have that

\[ q_{zz}(\text{Au(I)},\text{bond}) = \alpha^2/2 \ [q_{610}] \]  

(42)

In a gold(I) molecule or complex, there are two hybrid orbitals which can accommodate two spin-paired electrons each, resulting in a total of four bonding electrons. Therefore, the EFG in a gold(I) molecule is given by

\[ q_{zz}(\text{Au(I)},\text{molecule}) = 4(\alpha^2/2) \ q_{610} = 2\alpha^2 \ q_{610} \]  

(43)

where \( \alpha \) was defined in Eq. (34).

Due to possibly large Sternheimer antishielding factors, the lattice contribution to the EFG cannot be categorically disregarded. For gold(I) compounds, the observable EFG, \( q_{zz}(\text{Au(I)}) \), which includes the Sternheimer \( 80,81 \) antishielding factors and the lattice contributions, is

\[ q_{zz}(\text{Au(I)}) = (1 - \gamma_\infty) q^{\text{latt}} + 2\alpha^2(1 - R_{6p}) q_{610} \]  

(44)

* See Appendix C.

** The bonding electron count is made in Sec. III-B.
Here, \( q^{\text{latt}} \) is the lattice EFG, \( \gamma_\infty \) the lattice Sternheimer antishielding factor, and \( R_{6p} \) the Sternheimer antishielding factor for the gold 6p electrons. From the \( \gamma_\infty \) value calculated for Bi(3+), \( \gamma_\infty \) for Au(1+) can be approximated as \(-40\). In Appendix D we have estimated the average \( q^{\text{latt}} \) for gold(I) compounds as between zero and \(-0.06\times10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3\).

Since this would result in an average lattice contribution of less than \(-2.5\times10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3\) as compared to the \( q_{610} \) value of about \(-25\times10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3\), the lattice correction has not been applied to the \( q_{zz} \) calculations of this section. We will also have to disregard the \( R_{6p} \) correction since that value has not been calculated for gold(I). We thus have

\[
q_{zz}(Au(I)) = 2a^2 q_{610}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (45)

The EFG for gold(III) compounds is

\[
q_{zz}(\text{Au(III)},\text{bond}) = \left[ \frac{1}{4}q_{600} + \frac{1}{4}q_{522} + \frac{1}{2}q_{611} + \frac{1}{2}q_{611,522} + \frac{1}{2}q_{610,611} + \frac{1}{2}q_{522,611} \right]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (46)

From the symmetry rules given in Appendix C, we have that the second, third and fourth terms in the square brackets are non-zero. The fourth term is probably small. We will regard the orbital EFG to be

\[
q_{zz}(\text{Au(III)},\text{bond}) = a^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} q_{522} + \frac{1}{2} q_{611} \right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (47)

\( q_{610} \) is determined to be even larger \((-44\times10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3\) at the end of this section by a second method of computation.

\[\text{UCRL-18706}\]
As explained in Chapter III, there are a total of eight spin-paired bonding electrons. In addition to these eight bonding electrons, there are eight non-bonding 5d electrons with an EFG of $-2q_{522}$. The molecular EFG is therefore

$$q_{zz}(\text{Au(III)\text{, molecule}}) = -2q_{522} + 2\alpha^2[q_{522} + 2q_{611}] \quad (48)$$

Since no Sternheimer antishielding factors have been calculated for the gold(III) lattice, 6s or 6p EFG and as the average $q_{\text{latt}}$ is small (0 to $-0.03 \times 10^{-15}$ esu/cm$^3$)* compared to the $q_{522}$ and $q_{611}$ values, we will approximate $q_{zz}(\text{Au(III)})$ by Eq. (48).

From the results in this chapter, one can solve for the nuclear factor $\delta R/R$, from the expression for the isomer shift (Eq. (II-2)). The equation for the isomer shift can be modified to give the difference in the IS values of two different absorbers (when using a chemically equivalent source):

$$\Delta IS = IS_{\text{abs(I)}} - IS_{\text{abs(III)}} = 5.39 \times 10^{-24} (\delta R/R) \left[ \sum_{\text{abs(I)}} \psi(0)^2 - \sum_{\text{abs(II)}} \psi(0)^2 \right]$$

$$\quad (49)$$

The nuclear electron densities can be approximated from the free-atom Dirac-Fock wavefunctions. We will take the first absorber, $\text{abs(I)}$, to be the completely ionic gold ion ($\alpha^2 = 0$) and the second absorber, $\text{abs(II)}$, Appendix D.
to be a 100% covalent gold compound \((\alpha^2 = 1/2)\). The two states of interest for gold(I) compounds are

\[
5d^{10}(+1) \quad \text{and} \quad 5d^{10}[6s6p](-1)
\]

and the gold(III) compounds are

\[
5d^8(+3) \quad \text{and} \quad 5d^8[5d6s6p^2]^1 = 5d^96s6p^2(-1)
\]

The approximate nuclear electron densities are given in Table IX where the free-atom states from which the \(\psi(0)^2\) values were taken are indicated. For gold(I) compounds of \(\alpha^2 = 0\) and \(\alpha^2 = 1/2, \Delta \psi(0)^2\) is \(-7.08 \times 10^{26}\) and for gold(III) compounds for \(\alpha^2 = 0\) and \(\alpha^2 = 1/2, \Delta \psi(0)^2\) is \(-7.91 \times 10^{26}\).

No corrections have been applied for \(\sum_{n=1}^{5} \psi(0)^2_{ns}\) due to shielding by the additional 6s electrons. We found this shielding effect, which was suggested by Crawford and Schawlow,\(^{83}\) to be negligible. In Appendix E, we discuss this effect.

The values of \(\Delta S(\alpha^2 = 0)\) and \(\Delta S(\alpha^2 = 1/2)\) are obtained from the values of the molecular EFG, \(q_{zz}\), which are computed from Eqs. V-(45) and V-(48) and are subsequently converted to quadrupole splitting values by Eq. V-(3) and to the IS values by Eqs. V-(6) and V-(7):

\[
\Delta IS(\text{gold-I}) = -0.7815 \frac{q_{610}}{28.686 \times 10^{15}}
\]
Table IX. Determination of $\Delta\psi(0)^2$ for gold(I) and gold(III) states where $\alpha^2 = 0$ and $1/2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>State Required</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>$\Sigma\psi(0)^2 \times 10^{26}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gold(I)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$5d^{10}$</td>
<td>$5d^{10}$</td>
<td>$5 \Sigma \psi(0)^2 = 129099.743$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$n=1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>$5d^{10}6s6p$</td>
<td>$5d^{10}6s$</td>
<td>$6 \Sigma \psi(0)^2 = 129106.825$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$n=1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta\psi(0)^2_{ns} = -7.082$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Au(III)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$5d^{8}$</td>
<td>$5d^{8}6s$</td>
<td>$5 \Sigma \psi(0)^2_{ns} = 129102.601$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$n=1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>$5d^{9}6s6p^2$</td>
<td>$5d^{9}6s$</td>
<td>$6 \Sigma \psi(0)^2_{ns} = 129110.182^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$n=1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5d^{9}6p$</td>
<td>$\psi(0)^2 = 0.327^{**}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta\psi(0)^2 = -7.908$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Au(III)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*,^{**}$

The difference of shielding of $\frac{5}{n=1} \Sigma \psi(0)^2_{ns}$ by the 6s and 6p electrons is only about 5 parts in $10^6$. Therefore we approximated $\psi(0)^2_{total}$ of $\alpha = 1/2$ by summing the two $\psi(0)^2$ values.
and

\[ \Delta IS(\text{gold-III}) = 0.5422 \frac{(q_{610} - q_{522})}{28.686 \times 10^{15}} \]  (53)

Assuming that Eqs. V-(42) and V-(45) are good approximations of the molecular EFG for the aurous and auric compounds respectively, one can solve for the nuclear factor, \( \delta R/R \). The equations for the nuclear factor, from gold(I) and gold(III) compound results respectively, are:

\[ \delta R/R(\text{gold-I}) = 5.06 \times 10^6 \frac{q_{610}/\Delta \psi(0)^2}{26.86 \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3} \]  (54)

\[ \delta R/R(\text{gold-III}) = 3.51 \times 10^6 \frac{(q_{610} - q_{522})/\Delta \psi^2(0)}{25 \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3} \]  (55)

If the values of \( q_{522} \) and \( q_{610} \) are taken as \( 26.86 \times 10^{15} \) esu/cm\(^3\) and \(-25 \times 10^{15} \) esu/cm\(^3\) respectively [Eqs. V-(22) and V-(20)], and the \( \Delta \psi(0)^2 \) \((\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1/2)\) is approximated by those values in Table IX, then \( \delta R/R \) (gold-I) and \( \delta R/R \) (gold-III) are \( 1.78 \times 10^{-4} \) and \( 2.30 \times 10^{-4} \) respectively.

The nuclear factors should be the same from both the gold(I) and gold(III) data; therefore, an alternative method is suggested by first considering \( \delta R/R \) to be constant and solving for \( q_{610} \) from Eqs. (54) and (55). This results in a \( q_{610} \) value of \(-44.04 \times 10^{15} \) esu/cm\(^3\) and a \( \delta R/R \) value of \( 3.1 \times 10^{-4} \). The \( \delta R/R \) value is very close to that estimated by Shirley\(^{13}\) \((\delta R/R = 3 \times 10^{-4})\).

Because of the \( q_{610} \) value for Hg of \( 23 \times 10^{15} \) esu/cm\(^3\) which was determined by Dehmelt et al.,\(^{73}\) we expected a similar value for gold.
However, if $q_{610}$ is $-25 \times 10^{15}$ esu/cm$^3$, the charges on gold are somewhat more negative than one might expect. The values of $q_{zz}$, $\alpha^2$ and $X$ are given in Table X from Eqs. (45) and (48). The charge, $X$, for gold(I) and gold(III) compounds was determined from the following equations

$$X(Au-I) = 1 = 4\alpha^2$$

$$X(Au-III) = 3 - 8\alpha^2$$

where $\alpha^2$ is computed from Eqs. (45) or (48). The charge on gold for $q_{610}$ equals $-25 \times 10^{15}$ esu/cm$^3$, ranges from $-0.5$ in AuF$_3$ to $-2.6$ in KAu(CN)$_4$.

The much larger $q_{610}$ value of $-44 \times 10^{15}$ esu/cm$^3$ which was determined in this section gives an internally more consistent set of results. Not only is $\delta R/R$ a constant, but the calculated charges on the gold atom are more neutral.

From electronegativity considerations, we expect the charge on gold to be positive for (at least) the gold chlorides, bromides and iodides. This means that according to our model, $q_{610}$ should be larger in magnitude for gold(III) compounds. For gold(I) compounds, $q_{610}$ of $-44 \times 10^{15}$ esu/cm$^3$ results in positive charges on gold in AuCl, AuBr, and AuI. For gold(III) however, a value of $q_{610}$ equal about $-50 \times 10^{15}$ esu/cm$^3$ is required for the gold atom to be positively charged in the gold(III) halides. This is approximately a 12% difference between these values for $|q_{610}|$. Since $q_{610}$ values for gold(I) and gold(III) need not be the same value and indeed are probably not identical, this difference may not be unreasonable. The values of $\alpha^2$ and charges $X$ for gold in the individual compounds are listed in Table X for $q_{610}$ values of $-25 \times 10^{15}$, $-35 \times 10^{15}$, $-40 \times 10^{15}$, $-44 \times 10^{15}$ and $-50 \times 10^{15}$ esu/cm$^3$.
Table X. Bonding results of gold compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>( q_{zz} )</th>
<th>( q_{610} = -25 )</th>
<th>( q_{610} = -35 )</th>
<th>( q_{610} = -40 )</th>
<th>( q_{610} = -44 )</th>
<th>( q_{610} = -50 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AuCl</td>
<td>13.339</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>+0.24</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuBr</td>
<td>12.134</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuI</td>
<td>11.417</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au(S_2O_3)_2^-3</td>
<td>20.310</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuCN</td>
<td>23.207</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAu(CN)_2</td>
<td>29.288</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuF_3</td>
<td>-7.860</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[AuF_4]^-</td>
<td>-5.221</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAuCl_4</td>
<td>3.643</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-1.42</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAuCl_3</td>
<td>2.151</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAuBr_4</td>
<td>3.242</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuBr_3</td>
<td>3.643</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-1.42</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAu(CN)_2Cl_2</td>
<td>-12.69</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-2.12</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-1.29</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAu(CN)_2Br_2</td>
<td>-13.53</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-2.19</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAu(CN)_2I_2</td>
<td>-13.85</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-2.21</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-1.37</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[AuS_2C_4(CN)_4]^-</td>
<td>5.651</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au_2O_3</td>
<td>4.819</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( q_{zz}, q_{610} \) are in units of \( 10^{15} \) esu/cm\(^3\).
VI. SUMMARY

From the Mössbauer experiment results of the linear gold(I) and square-planar gold(III) compounds, a direct relationship was established between the isomer shifts and quadrupole splittings for both oxidation states of gold. This relationship was explained in terms of the hybridization of the bonds and the number of bonding electrons on gold. The results suggest that the hybridizations of the compounds remain essentially constant, sp for the gold(I) and dsp² for the gold(III) compounds, and that the changes in the isomer shift and quadrupole splittings are due to the differences in the ionic character of the various compounds.

We attempted to determine the values of \( q_{522} \) and \( q_{610} \) from Dirac-Fock free-atom wavefunctions of gold which were calculated by Mann.\(^{71}\) For \( q_{522} \), we deduced a value of \( 26.86 \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3 \). \( q_{522} \) was not a sensitive function of charge. Unfortunately, the \( q_{610} \) value was much more difficult to determine and was found to be a very sensitive function of charge. From the free-atom wavefunctions, we estimated \( q_{610} \) to be about \( -25 \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3 \). However, from the results of the linear relationship of the isomer shift and quadrupole splitting for gold(I) and gold(III) compounds, and the nuclear electron density calculations, we arrived at a \( q_{610} \) value of \( -4.4 \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3 \). This corresponds to a nuclear factor, \( \delta R/R \), of \( +3.1 \times 10^{-4} \). The charges on gold in the compounds were determined for several \( q_{610} \) values between \( -25 \times 10^{15} \) and \( -50 \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3 \). From electronegativity considerations, the charge on gold is expected to be positive for gold halides. This implies a minimum value of \( |q_{610}| \) for gold(I) compounds of about \( -37 \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3 \) and a minimum value of \( |q_{610}| \) for gold(III) compounds of about
-50 \times 10^{15} \text{ esu/cm}^3. \text{ This difference may not be unreasonable since the q}_{610} value which is applicable for the gold(I) compounds is most likely not identical to that which is applicable for the gold(III) compounds.}

It appears that the free-atom wavefunction calculations are not adequate for estimating the electric field gradient for loosely bound electrons such as the 6p electrons of gold. Further insight into the bonding characteristics of these gold compounds must await molecular structure calculations which are not available at this time for heavy atoms.
APPENDIX A

Error Analysis

We give brief definitions for the standard deviation and probable error, and a detailed discussion of the computation of the errors reported in this work.

For a function, $F$, of several variables $x, y, \ldots$, its standard deviation, $\sigma_F$, is defined as:

$$\sigma_F = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \sigma_x \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \sigma_y \right)^2 + \ldots}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \ldots$ are the standard deviations of $x, y, \ldots$. $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \ldots$ are not always known and cannot always be readily determined.

The standard deviation, $\sigma$, for a collection of $N$ measurements is

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{N}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\bar{x}$ is the average value of the measured $x_i$'s. From the standard deviation, we can compute the probable error, $pe$, of the collection of measurements:

$$pe = 0.6745\sigma$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The $pe$ is the 50%-confidence limit as explained in Sec. IV-D.

For the results of an experiment as complex as that of the Mössbauer effect, it is difficult to find a simple probability model for the computed measurements: isomer shift IS, quadrupole splitting QS and
linewidth \( \Gamma \). In this work we have given the more limited statistical precision indices. A detailed error analysis follows for AuF\(_3\).

Table I includes a tabulation of the \( v_o(1) \) and \( v_o(2) \) values which are the line positions of the two component lines for the three AuF\(_3\) experiments: runs 184a, 184 and 185. The IS and QS are computed from the expressions below for diamagnetic compounds in axially symmetric environments and are tabulated in columns III and V respectively:

\[
\text{IS} = \frac{v_o(1) + v_o(2)}{2} \tag{4}
\]

\[
|\text{QS}| = |v_o(1) - v_o(2)| \tag{5}
\]

For AuF\(_3\) the IS and QS are +0.107 (.006) and 0.274(.002) cm/sec respectively. The linewidth is 0.232 (.002) cm/sec. The pe, given in parentheses, are determined by applying Eqs. (2) and (3). In Sec. IV-D, it was explained that the mirror-image IS values should be averaged, and not treated as independent results. This averaging is done in column IV which yields the same IS value but a smaller (and more accurate) pe of 0.001 cm/sec (which was actually computed as 0.0005 cm/sec). The pe for all the compounds were computed in this manner. To give an indication of the statistics for each run, the values of the baselines of each run are listed in column VII. Chi-squares are given in the eighth column. The value of chi-square, \( \chi^2 \), equal to one is the ideal lower limit. The Chi-square increases with poorer fits.
### Table I. Computation of probable error, pe, as reported in the results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>$v_o(1)$</th>
<th>$v_o(2)$</th>
<th>IS</th>
<th>IS</th>
<th>QS</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cm/sec</td>
<td>cm/sec</td>
<td>cm/sec</td>
<td>cm/sec</td>
<td>cm/sec</td>
<td>cm/sec</td>
<td>counts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184a</td>
<td>-0.25307</td>
<td>0.026410</td>
<td>-0.11333</td>
<td>-0.10759</td>
<td>0.279480</td>
<td>0.237019</td>
<td>2.54 x 10^6</td>
<td>1.9287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.238533</td>
<td>0.0348272</td>
<td>-0.101853</td>
<td>-0.101853</td>
<td>0.273360</td>
<td>0.230792</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>-0.252539</td>
<td>0.0192205</td>
<td>-0.116609</td>
<td>-0.107731</td>
<td>0.271760</td>
<td>0.235090</td>
<td>1.32 x 10^6</td>
<td>1.2396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.235279</td>
<td>0.0375700</td>
<td>-0.098854</td>
<td>-0.107731</td>
<td>0.272849</td>
<td>0.230300</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.97819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>-0.255759</td>
<td>0.0181634</td>
<td>-0.1182978</td>
<td>-0.108019</td>
<td>0.2739225</td>
<td>0.231904</td>
<td>5.22 x 10^5</td>
<td>1.0537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.232544</td>
<td>0.0419315</td>
<td>-0.095306</td>
<td>-0.1068019</td>
<td>0.274476</td>
<td>0.229290</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ave = -0.1074, Ave = 0.1074, Ave = 0.2743, Ave = 0.2324

$\text{pe} = 0.0061$, $\text{pe} = 0.0048$, $\text{pe} = 0.00166$, $\text{pe} = 0.00186$
An alternative method of determining a pe for the isomer shift, IS, and the quadrupole splitting, QS, would be to use the RMSU values of v_o(1) and v_o(2) which are computed by FLOR* Table II has a tabulation of these RMSU values for AuF_3. If these RMSU values are taken to be the errors in v_o(1) and v_o(2), then the \( \sigma_{IS} \) and \( \sigma_{QS} \) can be calculated from Eq. (1) where F would be given by Eqs. (4) and (5),

\[
\sigma_{IS} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\text{RMSU } v_o(1)^2 + \text{RMSU } v_o(2)^2}
\]

\[
\sigma_{QS} = \sqrt{\text{RMSU } v_o(1)^2 + \text{RMSU } v_o(2)^2}
\]

From these standard deviations, the probable errors can be computed as above in Table I. This method yields a pe of (.0002) and (.0004) cm/sec for the IS and QS respectively. The pe for the IS computed in Table I is approximately 2-1/2 times larger than computed in Table II. That for the QS is more than 4 times larger.

The pe for the gold compounds in general, as computed in Table I, has been between two and six times larger than the corresponding pe values computed by the method of Table II. We have a few instances were the former pe is more than an order of magnitude larger than the latter pe for the IS. Table I-type pe values are consistently closer than the Table II-type pe values to the value of the velocity interval between successive channels, which is an index of the precision limit. The most frequent value of the velocity interval between successive channels is 0.012 cm/sec. Therefore, we have given the Table I-type errors.

*FLOR is the computer program to fit Lorentz curves to the Mössbauer spectra.
Table II. Computation of probable error, pe, from RMSU values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>RMSU-v_0(1)</th>
<th>RMSU-v_0(2)</th>
<th>σ(IS)</th>
<th>pe(IS)_i</th>
<th>σ(QS)</th>
<th>pe(QS)_i</th>
<th>RMSU Γ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>184a</td>
<td>2.18868 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.20659 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.55397 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.04815 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.10795 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.09631 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.44693 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.16905 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.13252 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.52089 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.02584 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.04178 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.05168 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.19611 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>-3.42478 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.37090 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.42120 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.63310 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>4.84240 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.26620 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>8.01626 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-3.42342 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.63835 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.49786 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.68482 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>4.99573 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.36962 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>9.24460 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>-5.84786 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.65212 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>4.06644 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.74281 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>8.13289 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.48563 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.2996 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-5.74241 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.90842 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>4.06390 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.75459 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>8.16780 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.50918 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.33841 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

σ_T = 2.8880139 x 10^{-4}

σ = 5.7760288E-4

pe = 1.94797 x 10^{-4}

pe = 3.895931 x 10^{-4}
APPENDIX B

Evaluation of (1/r^3) Calculations

To calculate (1/r^3) for gold, we initially made Hartree-Fock atomic structure calculations of various excited states of gold with a program devised by Roothaan. This program uses Slater orbitals and treats exchange exactly. We started by using a minimal basis set for these calculations. However, we soon realized the serious extent of the limitations of the program for heavy atoms. The maximum total number of vector components allowed in the program is 150. This number could adequately treat configurations up to about [Kr]5s^2 and probably could not accommodate elements with 4d electrons.

As an alternative, we calculated (1/r^3) values from the Slater-Hartree-Fock atomic structure calculations published by Herman and Skillman. These calculations, like those with the Roothaan's program are nonrelativistic. Also, Herman and Skillman use the Slater approximation for exchange. We find that the use of this approximation is not always justified by comparing some (1/r^3) values with those of Mann, who has published Hartree-Fock atomic structure calculations where he has treated exchange exactly. We see from Table I, that the (1/r^3)_{5d}^n values for Ir, Pt and Au ground states which were calculated from the Herman and Skillman results and by Mann agree to about 10%. The former values being larger. However, we get much less agreement when comparing (1/r^3)_{6p}^n values in Table II. Here, the Herman and Skillman method yields much larger (1/r^3) values than does Mann's. Table I also
Table I. Non-relativistic $\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)$ 5d values for Ir, Pt and Au in units of $a_o^{-3}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of Calcs.</th>
<th>Mann Hartree-Fock</th>
<th>Hermann &amp; Skillman Slater-Hartree Fock</th>
<th>Roothaan Hartree-Fock (minimum basis set)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Element</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>$5d^76s^2$</td>
<td>$5d^76s^2$</td>
<td>$5d^8(+1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)_{5d^7}=10.542$</td>
<td>$\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)_{5d^7}=12.49$</td>
<td>$\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)_{5d^7}=4.776$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>$5d^96s$</td>
<td>$5d^96s$</td>
<td>$5d^9(+1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)_{5d^9}=11.116$</td>
<td>$\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)_{5d^9}=13.145$</td>
<td>$\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)_{5d^9}=6.017$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>$5d^{10}6s$</td>
<td>$5d^{10}6s$</td>
<td>$5d^{10}6p(+0)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)_{5d^{10}}=12.43$</td>
<td>$\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)_{5d^{10}}=13.624$</td>
<td>$\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)_{5d^{10}}=6.874$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II. Non-relativistic \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} \) values for Tl, Pb and Bi in units of \( a_0^{-3} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of calcs.</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mann</th>
<th>Hermann &amp; Skillman</th>
<th>Rooshaan Hartree-Fock</th>
<th>minimal basis set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hartree-Fock</td>
<td>Slater-Hartree-Fock</td>
<td>Hartree-Fock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>5d(^{10}) 6s(^2) 6p((+0))</td>
<td>5d(^{10}) 6s(^2) 6p((+0))</td>
<td>5d(^{10}) 6s(^2) 6p((+0))</td>
<td>(\langle 1/r^3 \rangle = 7.55)</td>
<td>(\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} = 11.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>5d(^{10}) 6s(^2) 6p(^2)((+0))</td>
<td>5d(^{10}) 6s(^2) 6p(^2)((+0))</td>
<td>5d(^{10}) 6s(^2) 6p(^2)((+1))</td>
<td>(\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} = 10.99)</td>
<td>(\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p}^2 = 16.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>5d(^{10}) 6s(^2) 6p(^3)((+0))</td>
<td>5d(^{10}) 6s(^2) 6p(^3)((+0))</td>
<td>5d(^{10}) 6s(^2) 6p(^3)((+2))</td>
<td>(\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p} = 14.57)</td>
<td>(\langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{6p}^3 = 19.71)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
illustrates the inadequacy of the minimal basis set calculations which we made with Roothaan's program. One strong indication that Mann's Hartree-Fock calculations are probably reliable is the good agreement of the \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d} \) value for gold between his calculations (12.43 \( a_0^{-3} \)) and experimental results. \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{5d} \) for gold has been determined as 12.3 and 11.8 \( a_0^{-3} \) from magnetic hyperfine interaction and atomic fine-structure splitting.\(^{72}\)

In Table III, we tested the agreement between calculations made with Roothaan's program and those made by Mann by calculating the wave functions and \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{4p} \) values for bromine by using Clementi's expanded basis set. The Roothaan-Clementi result (\( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{4p} = 11.186 \ a_0^{-3} \)) was in excellent agreement with that of Mann (\( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle_{4p} = 11.996 \ a_0^{-3} \)).

We feel that Mann's atomic structure calculations are the best available. As was evident in Section V-D, the problem of calculating electric field gradients lies largely in determining which free-atom states are applicable to the given molecular situation.
Table III. Non-relativistic \( \langle 1/r^3 \rangle \) values for the ground state \( 4p \) of bromine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mann 75 Hartree-Fock</td>
<td>11.996 a_0^{-3}</td>
<td>Herman &amp; Skillman 76 Slater-Hartree-Fock</td>
<td>14.857 a_0^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roothaan (^{\dagger}) Hartree-Fock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.186 a_0^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded basis set:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.322 a_0^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal basis set:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{\dagger}\) The expanded basis set consisted of 76 basis vectors as determined by Clementi. The minimal basis set consisted of only 26 vectors.
APPENDIX C

Electric Field Gradients

1. The Main Sources of Electric Field Gradients

The quadrupole splitting, QS, obtained with the Mössbauer effect is a sensitive indication of the electric field gradient, EFG, of the nuclear electronic environment. Care is required in interpreting these results as several factors affect the EFG: the hybridization of the bonds, their ionic character, the effective lattice field gradient and its effect on the closed electron shells, and the extent of multiple bonding, to name a few. With Sternheimer's calculations, we find that the distortions of the inner closed shells by the valence electrons and the lattice charges cannot always be disregarded. The Sternheimer shielding (antishielding) factors are often large enough to make the lattice contributions to the EFG significant. These contributions to the EFG are related as follows:

\[ q_{zz} = (1 - \gamma_\infty)q^{\text{latt}} + \sum_{nlm} (1 - R_{nl}) a_{nlm} \langle q_{nlm} \rangle \]

The \( q_{zz} \) is the observed field gradient oriented about the z axis and \( q^{\text{latt}} \) is the field gradient due to lattice charges in the limit of zero polarizability of the closed shells. \( (1 - \gamma_\infty) \) is the lattice Sternheimer shielding factor. If \( \gamma_\infty \) is negative, the factor is said to be antishielding. \( (1 - R_{nl}) \) is the atomic Sternheimer shielding (antishielding) factor for the nl electrons. \( \langle q_{nlm} \rangle \) is the expectation value of the field gradient for the nlm electron with its coefficient, \( a_{nlm} \), which gives its fractional
contribution to the molecular wavefunction. The atomic contributions are summed over all the orbitals used in bonding. Neither $\gamma_{\omega}$ nor $R_{_nl}$ has been theoretically calculated for gold.

If it were not for large lattice Sternheimer antishielding factors, the penetrating valence electrons would be the most significant source of EFG at the nucleus. Townes and Dailey had predicted that of the valence electrons, the p electrons were probably the most important. They based this assumption largely on available experimental evidence. For example, the ratio of the EFG for the cesium 5d and 6p electrons is

$$\langle q_{5d}(Cs)/q_{6p}(Cs) \rangle \simeq 1/11$$

However, we have determined that the values of $\langle q_{5d} \rangle$ and $\langle q_{6p} \rangle$ are more comparable for gold

$$\langle q_{5d}(Au)/q_{6p}(Au) \rangle \simeq 1$$

From our calculations in Sec. V-D, it appears that the EFG of the 5d electrons can be even larger than that of the 6p electrons. Therefore, it would be incorrect to categorically disregard all non-p electron contributions to the EFG.

We offer the following explanation for the increase in the ratio of $\langle q_{5d} \rangle/\langle q_{6p} \rangle$ in going from cesium to gold. For cesium, both the excited 5d and 6p electrons are subjected to approximately the same amount of screening from the (xenon-configuration) closed shell. Due to the $r^2$
dependence of the electron density of 6p electrons near the nucleus as compared to the $r^4$ dependence of the 5d electrons, there would be a larger EFG at the cesium nucleus caused by the 6p electrons.

For gold, however, the mutual screening of the 5d electrons in the nearly filled shell is less than their collective screening of the valence 6p electrons. Therefore, the 5d electrons in gold are held more tightly by the larger effective nuclear charge than are the 6p electrons, and thus have a larger EFG.

2. Calculation of Electric Field Gradients

The calculation of atomic and molecular electric field gradients has been treated in the literature, therefore we will develop here only that portion which is necessary for understanding our results. The molecular electric field gradients, $q$, cannot be calculated exactly even neglecting antishielding factors. They can, however, be estimated for atoms and molecules by using hydrogenic wave functions, $\psi_{n\ell m}$

$$\psi = \sum_{n\ell m} a_{n\ell m} \psi_{n\ell m} \quad (4)$$

where $n$, $\ell$, and $m$ are the principal, azimuthal and magnetic quantum numbers respectively and $a_{n\ell m}$ is the coefficient of $\psi_{n\ell m}$.

The molecular electric field gradient, $q_{zz}$, is discussed in Sec. V-E. There, we estimated the dominating term of the $q_{zz}$ of a gold atom which is bonded to a ligand, L, as
\[ q_{zz}(Au) = \alpha^2 \int \psi_{Au} \frac{(3\cos^2\theta - 1)}{r^3} \psi_{Au} \, d\tau \] (5)

where \( \alpha \) is defined in Eq. (3) of Sec. V-E by

\[ \psi_{\text{AuL}} = \alpha \psi_{\text{Au}} \pm \beta \psi_L \] (6)

and overlap is neglected in normalization, i.e.,

\[ \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1 \] (7)

The EFG arising from a given pair of atomic orbitals, \( \psi_{n\ell m} \) and \( \psi_{n'\ell' m'} \), is designated as \( q_{n\ell m, n'\ell' m'} \), where

\[ \langle q_{n\ell m, n'\ell' m'} \rangle = -e \int \psi_{n\ell m} \left( \frac{3\cos^2\theta - 1}{r^3} \right) \psi_{n'\ell' m'} \, d\tau \] (8)

and the total EFG of the molecule is

\[ q_{zz, \text{(molecule)}} = \sum_{n\ell m, n'\ell' m'} |a_{n\ell m}^* a_{n'\ell' m'}| q_{n\ell m, n'\ell' m'} \] (9)

The value of \( q_{n\ell m, n'\ell' m'} \) is non-zero if \( m = m' \) and either \( \ell = \ell' \) (\( \ell \neq 0 \)) or \( \ell = \ell' \pm 2 \), a consequence of the behavior of spherical harmonics and the second order Legendre polynomial factor, \((3\cos^2\theta - 1)\). For clarity, if \( n = n' \) and \( \ell = \ell' \), \( q_{n\ell m, n'\ell m} \) is given as \( q_{n\ell m} \). The \( q_{n\ell m} \) terms make the largest contribution to \( q_{zz, \text{(molecule)}} \).
The EFG due to a single hydrogenic orbital electron is given in closed form as

\[ q_j = e \left( \frac{2j - 1}{2j + 2} \right) \left\langle \frac{1}{r^3} \right\rangle_n l R_r \tag{10} \]

where \( j \) is the sum of the electron spin and the orbital momentum, \( l \). \( z \) is that direction in space in which \( l \) has the maximum projection (\( m = 1 \)). \( R_r \) is the relativistic correction factor. The problem of obtaining the orbital EFG is reduced to determining the average value of \( 1/r^3 \), \( \left\langle 1/r^3 \right\rangle \), for the electrons of interest.

For the non-relativistic hydrogenic case,

\[ \left\langle 1/r^3 \right\rangle = \frac{Z^3}{n^3 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) a_o^3} \tag{11} \]

where \( Z \) is the nuclear charge, and \( a_o \) is the Bohr radius, \( h/2\pi m e \). When screening effects become important, as with heavy nuclei, the values of \( z \) and \( n \) must be modified to reflect the effective nuclear charge and the effective total quantum number. This necessarily introduces uncertainties.

Fortunately, there are two experimental methods of obtaining more reliable values of \( \left\langle 1/r^3 \right\rangle \). \( \left\langle 1/r^3 \right\rangle \) can be calculated from the magnetic hyperfine structure constant, \( a \), if the value of the nuclear magnetic moment, \( \mu_I \), is known,

\[ a = \frac{2\mu_I \mu_o}{I} \left\langle 1/r^3 \right\rangle \frac{1(1 + 1)}{j(j + 1)} F_r \tag{12} \]
where $\mu_0$ is the Bohr magneton, $I$ the nuclear spin, and $F_r$ is a relativistic correction. These relativistic corrections approach unity for small $Z$. Their values are tabulated in Refs. 5 and 74.

The second experimental method of determining $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle$ is from the separation between two fine structure levels, $\Delta \nu$:

$$\Delta \nu = Z_i (1 + 1/2) \langle 1/r^3 \rangle R \alpha^2 H_r \frac{dn^*}{dn}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$Z_i$ is the effective $Z$ for a penetrating orbit, $R$ is the Rydberg constant, $\alpha$ is the fine structure constant and $H_r$ is a relativistic correction. The change in the effective principal quantum number, with respect to the principal quantum number is $dn^*/dn$, and is usually taken as unity.

We obtained our $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle$ values from Dirac-Fock atomic structure calculations which were supplied by Mann.\textsuperscript{71} These results are discussed in Sec. V-D and Appendix B.

Given a value for $\langle 1/r^3 \rangle$, $q_{n11}$ can be calculated from Eq. (10). If the electron orbital of interest lies along the symmetry axis (as in a diatomic molecule) the required field gradient, $q_{n10}$, is given by

$$q_{n10} = -\frac{(1 + 1)}{(2l - 1)} q_{n11}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

and $q_{n1m}$ is non-zero for $l > 0$. For $s$ electrons ($l = 0$), the charge distribution is symmetric and does not contribute to the field gradient.

For $p$ electrons ($l = 1$), which have zero probability of being in the nucleus (except for relativistic $p_{1/2}$ electrons), we have from
Laplace's equation, $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$, that

$$q_{611} + q_{61\bar{1}} + q_{6\bar{1}0} = 0 \quad (15)$$

and from symmetry,

$$q_{611} = q_{61\bar{1}} \quad (16)$$

Therefore, as we also have from Eq. (11) for $l = 1$, we have

$$-q_{611} = \frac{1}{2} q_{610} \quad (17)$$

For convenience, we have been using hydrogenic wavefunctions, $\psi_{nlm}$, in discussing field gradients. However, when treating bonds, such as in Sec. III, we used the more descriptive atomic orbitals (e.g., $p_x$, $p_y$, etc.). Therefore we now give the unitary transformation matrix which maps the $p$ orbitals into the equivalent hydrogenic wavefunctions:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
6p_x \\
6p_y \\
6p_z
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
611 \\
61\bar{1} \\
6\bar{1}0
\end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

Thus, if $\hat{q}$ is the field gradient operator,

$$q_{6p_x} = \langle 6p_x | \hat{q} | 6p_x \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(q_{611} + q_{61\bar{1}}) = q_{611} \quad (19)$$
Similarly,

\[ q_{6p_y} = q_{611} \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

and

\[ q_{6p_z} = q_{610} \]

For the d electrons (\( l = 2 \)), we get the following relationships by using the same reasoning as for the p electrons:

\[ q_{522} + q_{522} + q_{521} + q_{521} + q_{520} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where

\[ q_{522} = q_{\bar{5}22} \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

and

\[ q_{521} = q_{\bar{5}21} \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

From Eq. (14) we have;

\[ q_{520} = -q_{522} \]  \hspace{1cm} (24)

therefore,

\[ q_{521} = -1/2q_{522} \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

The unitary transformation between the d orbitals and the hydrogenic wavefunctions is
This gives the following relationships

\[ q_{d,z} = q_{520} \] (27)

\[ q_{d_{xz}} = q_{d_{yz}} = \frac{1}{2} q_{521} + \frac{1}{2} q_{\frac{1}{521}} = q_{521} \]

\[ q_{d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}} = q_{d_{xy}} = \frac{1}{2} q_{\frac{522}{522}} + \frac{1}{2} q_{\frac{522}{522}} = q_{522} \]
APPENDIX D

The Calculation of $q^{\text{latt}}$ Values

For the purpose of calculating $q^{\text{latt}}$ values, we will treat the charges of the ligands, $X_L$, as point charges which are located a distance $r$ (the interatomic distance) from the gold atom. The expression for the electric field gradient due to the point charges is

$$q_{zz} = \sum_i X_{L(i)} \cdot e(3\cos^2 \theta - 1)/r^3 \quad (1)$$

where $e$ is the electronic charge $4.803 \times 10^{-10}$ esu/cm$^3$ and $\theta$ is the angle measured from the $z$ axis. The charge $X_L$ is related to $\alpha$, the contribution of the gold atomic orbitals to the molecular wavefunction, by the following equation

$$X_L = 2\alpha^2 - 1 \quad (2)$$

The nearest-neighbor lattice contribution to the electric field gradient at the gold nucleus is

$$q^{\text{latt}}(\text{Au(I)}) = 4(2\alpha^2 - 1)e/r^3 \quad (3)$$

for the linear gold(I) compounds and

$$q^{\text{latt}}(\text{Au(III)}) = -4(2\alpha^2 - 1)e/r^3 \quad (4)$$

for the square-planar gold(III) compounds.
In Table I, several values of $q^{latt}$ are given. In the third column, the maximum possible $q^{latt}$ is listed which was determined by assuming that the ligands had a full charge of -1. The fifth column has $q^{latt}$ values which reflects the $a^2$ values which were determined by taking $q_{610}$ to be $-25.0 \times 10^{15}$ esu/cm$^3$. The sixth and seventh columns have $a^2$ and $q^{latt}$ values respectively which correspond to a $q_{610}$ of $-37.48 \times 10^{15}$ esu/cm$^3$. See Secs. V-C and V-E.

The interatomic distances are in angstroms and the $q^{latt}$ values are in units of $10^{15}$ esu/cm$^3$. 
Table I. $q^{\text{latt}}$ values for gold compounds of known interatomic distances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Interatomic distances $\AA$</th>
<th>$q^{\text{latt}}$ for $\alpha^2 = 0$</th>
<th>$\alpha_1^2$</th>
<th>$q^{\text{latt}}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_2^2$</th>
<th>$q^{\text{latt}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AuF$_3$</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>+0.240</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>+0.029</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>+0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAuCl$_4$</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>+0.160</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>+0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAuBr$_4$</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>+0.115</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>+0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[AuCl$_2$]$^-$</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>-0.158</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.073</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_3$Au(S$_2$O$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>-0.180</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAu(CN)$_2$</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>-0.202</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>+0.036</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuI$_5$</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX E

Evaluation of Crawford-Schawlow Effect

The Crawford-Schawlow\textsuperscript{83} effect has been of interest where the density of the electrons in the nucleus is of importance. Crawford and Schawlow had predicted that the shielding of the inner s electrons by the valence s electrons would be significant at the nucleus where the inner electrons have a high density. For the isotope effect in Hg, they estimated a 16\% correction due to such shielding.

Since the isomer shift is a function of $\psi(0)^2$, such an effect would be important if it were large enough to warrant subsequent corrections. To test for this shielding effect, we compared the $\psi(0)^2$ values for the 5d$^9$6s and 5d$^9$6s$^2$ states of gold which differ by one 6s electron. We found, however, that the $\psi(0)^2$\textsubscript{ns} values for corresponding n values were very close and that where they differed, the 5d$^9$6s$^2$ state usually had the larger electron density. This is in the unexpected direction and it is difficult to understand since the 5d$^9$6s state of gold has a residual +1 charge in addition to having one less 6s electron. Table IV of Sec. V-C gives a complete list of the $\psi(0)^2$\textsubscript{ns} values of interest.

We further compared the 5d$^{10}$6s gold ground state with the ground state of mercury, 5d$^{10}$6s$^2$. Here, we found that without exception, mercury had higher $\psi(0)^2$\textsubscript{ns} values than the corresponding gold orbitals. This is understandable since mercury has a higher nuclear charge ($Z = 80$) than has gold ($Z = 79$). Mercury, therefore, has a greater attraction for its electrons.
The third pair of cases was chosen to have the following properties:

1. The same element so that Z would be of equal value
2. The same ionic charge
3. One less valence s electron in one case of the pair
4. Equal numbers of 5d electrons to eliminate differences in the shielding therefrom.

The 5d⁹6s (+1) and 5d⁹6p (+1) states fulfilled these requirements. Here, we observed the predicted shielding effects. Table I facilitates the comparison of the corresponding ψ(0)² values of the two states, giving both their algebraic differences and the percentage differences. As expected, the percentage shielding effect increases with principal quantum number n. The shielding effect, however, is very small (a few tenths of a percent to less than 10⁻⁴ percent) and a correction due to shielding differences is not warranted in our calculations.

Crawford and Schawlow estimated the importance of the screening effect of the valence s electrons on the inner s electrons by evaluating the ratio of ψ(0)²/ψ(0)²₆₅, using Hartree wavefunctions. In Table II we list their Hartree-ψ(0)² values along with the ψ(0)²/ψ(0)²₆₅ ratios. For comparison, we have tabulated the Dirac-Fock-ψ(0)² values and the corresponding ψ(0)²/ψ(0)²₆₅ ratios. From the Table II, it is evident that the magnitude of the screening effect appeared larger using the less accurate Hartree wavefunctions, but is greatly reduced when Dirac-Fock wavefunctions are considered instead.
Table I. Screening correction due to 6s electrons in 5d\(^9\)6s and 5d\(^9\)6p states of gold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ns</th>
<th>5d(^9)6s</th>
<th>5d(^9)6p</th>
<th>Δ</th>
<th>Δ%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s</td>
<td>1.081135×10(^{-31})</td>
<td>1.081134×10(^{-31})</td>
<td>1.913×10(^{-24})</td>
<td>1.76×10(^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2s</td>
<td>1.618193×10(^{-30})</td>
<td>1.618186×10(^{-30})</td>
<td>7.889×10(^{-23})</td>
<td>4.88×10(^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3s</td>
<td>3.707404×10(^{-29})</td>
<td>3.707298×10(^{-29})</td>
<td>1.068×10(^{-25})</td>
<td>2.78×10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4s</td>
<td>9.251960×10(^{-28})</td>
<td>9.250446×10(^{-28})</td>
<td>1.514×10(^{-25})</td>
<td>1.68×10(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5s</td>
<td>1.732718×10(^{-28})</td>
<td>1.728676×10(^{-28})</td>
<td>4.042×10(^{-25})</td>
<td>2.34×10(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II. $|\psi(0)_{ns}^2|$ values of Hg and the ratios, $|\psi(0)_{ns}^2|/|\psi(0)_{6s}^2|$.

| ns orbital | $|\psi(0)_{ns}^2|$ | $|\psi(0)_{ns}^2|/|\psi(0)_{6s}^2|$ | $|\psi(0)_{ns}^2| \cdot \pi aH^3$ | $|\psi(0)_{ns}^2|/|\psi(0)_{6s}^2|$ |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1s         | $1.1346 \times 10^{31}$ | 7050                | $5.06 \times 10^5$ | 15850               |
| 2s         | $1.715999 \times 10^{30}$ | 1070                | $5.56 \times 10^4$ | 1740                |
| 3s         | $3.94148 \times 10^{29}$ | 246                 | $1.23 \times 10^4$ | 385                 |
| 4s         | $9.89270 \times 10^{28}$ | 61.6                | $3.06 \times 10^3$ | 95.5                |
| 5s         | $1.89562 \times 10^{28}$ | 11.8                | $6.10 \times 10^2$ | 19.1                |
| 6s         | $1.60490 \times 10^{27}$ | 1                   | $3.2 \times 10^2$  | 1                   |
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