Topical Tranexamic Acid Does Not Affect Electrophysiologic or Neurovascular Sciatic Nerve Markers in an Animal Model
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Abstract

Background Tranexamic acid is a safe and effective antifibrinolytic agent used systemically and topically to reduce blood loss and transfusion rate in patients having TKA or THA. As the hip does not have a defined capsule, topical application of tranexamic acid may entirely envelop the sciatic nerve during THA. Accidental application of tranexamic acid onto the spinal cord in spinal anesthesia has been shown to produce seizures; therefore, we sought to investigate if topical application of tranexamic acid on the sciatic nerve has a deleterious effect.

Questions/purposes We explored whether there were any short- or long-term alterations in (1) electrophysiologic measures, (2) macrophage recruitment, or (3) blood-nerve barrier permeability. Our hypothesis was that local application of tranexamic acid would have a transient effect or no effect on histologic features and function of the sciatic nerve.

Methods We used a rat protocol to model sciatic nerve exposure in THA to determine the effects of tranexamic acid on neural histologic features and function. We evaluated 35 rats by the dorsal gluteal splitting approach to expose the sciatic nerve for topical use of control and tranexamic acid. We evaluated EMG changes (distal latency, amplitude, nerve conduction velocity), histologic signs of nerve injury via macrophage recruitment, and changes in blood-nerve barrier permeability at early (4 days) and late (1 month) times after surgery, after application of subtherapeutic (1 mg/kg body weight [1.6 mg]), therapeutic (10 mg/kg [16 mg]), and supratherapeutic (100 mg/kg [160 mg]) concentrations of tranexamic acid. Differences in blood-nerve barrier permeability, macrophage recruitment, and EMG between normal and tranexamic acid-treated nerves were calculated using one-way ANOVA, with Newman-Keuls post hoc analyses, at each time. A post hoc power calculation showed that with the numbers available, we had 16% power to detect a 50% difference in EMG changes between the control, 1 mg/kg group, 10 mg/kg group, and 100 mg/kg group.

Results At the early and late times, with the numbers available, there were no differences in EMG except for distal latency at 4 days, macrophage recruitment, or changes in blood-nerve barrier between control rats and those with tranexamic acid-treated nerves. The distal latency in the 1 mg tranexamic acid-treated animals at
Conclusion  In our in vivo rat model study, tranexamic acid did not appear to have any clinically relevant effect on the sciatic nerve resulting from topical administration up to 1 month. However, because our statistical power was low, these data should be considered hypothesis-generating pilot data for larger, more-definitive studies.

Clinical Relevance  Topical tranexamic acid is effective in decreasing patient blood loss during THA, and results from our in vivo rat model study suggest there may be no electrophysiologic and histologic effects on the sciatic nerve, with the numbers available, up to 1 month.

Introduction  

Studies have shown that tranexamic acid is an effective antifibrinolytic agent that may be used systemically in patients undergoing TKA or THA [1, 2, 4, 9, 14, 19, 26, 33, 37, 39]. Tranexamic acid (Cyklokapron®, Pfizer Inc, New York, NY, USA), a synthetic inhibitor of fibrinolysis, blocks the lysine-binding site of plasminogen and thereby serves to competitively inhibit activation of plasminogen to plasmin [3]. Studies support systemic and topical use of tranexamic acid for reducing blood loss and the need for blood transfusions without an apparent increase in the risk of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) or pulmonary embolism (PE) during arthroplasty [1, 2, 8, 11, 30–32, 35–37].

Despite the reported benefits of tranexamic acid, incidences of DVT and PE have been reported after the use of tranexamic acid [18, 29]. Furthermore, accidental use of tranexamic acid in spinal anesthesia has been associated with convulsions in patients [5, 23]. Direct application of tranexamic acid in a rat spinal cord model showed it to induce seizures [30]. In addition to seizures, there are some clinical contraindications and precautions to intravenous administration of tranexamic acid, including active intravascular clotting, subarachnoid hemorrhage, previous thromboembolic event, and renal failure [34].

Owing to the adverse reactions associated with systemic administration of tranexamic acid, there is increasing interest in topical use of tranexamic acid to directly target the source of bleeding. With lower systemic absorption associated with topical use of tranexamic acid, there is a theoretic lower risk of thromboembolic complications, which allows for the use of tranexamic acid when systemic administration is contraindicated [35]. Although tranexamic acid has been applied topically intraarticularly in the knee during TKA and in the hip during THA with good efficacy and decreased risk of thromboembolic events [1, 15, 20, 22, 31], to our knowledge, no study to date has rigorously examined the effects of direct application of tranexamic acid on morphologic features and function of the sciatic nerve. As the hip does not have a defined capsule like the knee, topical use of tranexamic acid during THA bathes the sciatic nerve with the agent.

We therefore investigated the effects of tranexamic acid using an in vivo animal model of the sciatic nerve. As tranexamic acid affects the clotting cascade, we explored if there were any short- or long-term alterations in (1) electrophysiologic measures, (2) macrophage recruitment, or (3) blood–nerve barrier permeability, our hypothesis being that local application of tranexamic acid would have a transient effect or no effect on histologic features and function of the sciatic nerve.

Methods  

To determine if tranexamic acid has any short- or long-term local and systemic effects when placed topically adjacent to the sciatic nerve, we used a rat model that simulated sciatic nerve exposure to tranexamic acid that occurs in patients undergoing THA. The sciatic nerve was examined previously for any functional nerve deficits via electrophysiologic studies [21], histologic signs of nerve injury via macrophage recruitment [17], and changes in the blood-nerve barrier using Evans blue albumin diffusion [16].

Surgical Procedure  

Thirty-five male, 250-mg Sprague-Dawley rats were used in our study (Charles River Laboratories, San Diego, CA, USA). Animal surgeries were approved by the institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California, Irvine, and the study was approved by our institutional review board.

All rats were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine and xylazine at 80 to 100 mg/kg and 5 to 10 mg/kg [17]. A dorsal gluteal-splitting approach was used on both rat hind limbs to directly expose the sciatic nerves in each animal, as would be performed during routine THA. One milliliter of normal saline solution
containing three different concentrations (subtherapeutic, 1 mg/kg; therapeutic, 10 mg/kg; or supratherapeutic, 100 mg/kg) of tranexamic acid was placed topically in the right hind leg near the sciatic nerve. Ten milligrams per kilogram was selected as a therapeutic concentration as those used in TKA and THA range from 500 mg to 3 g for an adult human whose average weight is approximately 70 kg to 85 kg [12, 20]. One milliliter of normal saline control was placed in the left hind leg near the sciatic nerve as a matched control. To limit variability, all surgical procedures were performed by the senior author (RG). None of the study rats died from our initial surgery and all remained ambulatory without any gross deficit. All rats completed the study protocol.

Animals were euthanized by an anesthetic overdose [17]. For characterization of the blood-nerve barrier integrity and macrophage recruitment, animals were sacrificed at two different times after tranexamic acid application: 4 days (n = 5, 1 mg/kg; n = 5, 10 mg/kg; n = 5, 100 mg/kg; and n = 3, no tranexamic acid as negative controls); and 1 month (n = 5, 1 mg/kg; n = 5, 10 mg/kg; n = 5, 100 mg/kg; and n = 2, no tranexamic acid as negative controls). Experimental (right sciatic) and saline-injected control (left sciatic) nerves were harvested from each animal.

EMG

Before animal sacrifice, EMG was performed in vivo on all animals by a qualified board-certified neurologist (TM), to determine distal latency, amplitude, and nerve conduction velocity [10]. Motor conduction studies in the sciatic-tibial fibers were performed by stimulating the sciatic nerve at the sciatic notch and popliteal region using a monopolar needle electrode. The reference needle-stimulating electrode was placed in the ipsilateral lumbar paraspinal muscle. The M-wave (compound motor action potential) from the peroneal-immervated ankle dorsiflexor muscle (tibialis anterior) was recorded by placing a subdermal electroencephalogram needle electrode in the muscle approximately 3 mm above the ankle. The distal latency, amplitude of the response, and nerve conduction velocity were computed. The reference-recording electrode was inserted in the plantar aspect of the foot. All neurophysiologic recordings were obtained using a Cadwell Sierra® LT machine (Cadwell Laboratories, Kennewick, WA, USA).

Tissue Processing

After harvest, sciatic nerves were preserved in 4% paraformaldehyde solution at 4 °C overnight and then cryoprotected in serial dilutions of sucrose for 3 hours each (10%, 20%, and 30% sucrose in 0.1 mol/L phosphate buffered saline [PBS]). Nerve samples were frozen, embedded in a 1:1 mixture of optimal cutting temperature compound (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA, USA) and Aqua Mount® (Lerner Laboratories, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Specimens were cut in 15-μm-thick cross sections with a cryostat, mounted on poly-L-lysine-coated glass slides (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), and stored at −80 °C until testing.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed on the sciatic nerve cross sections to detect ED1-IR, a marker specific for activated macrophages [17]. Positive control samples of the spleen and liver were used to detail localization of hematogenously derived macrophages. Frozen sections of the nerve, spleen, and liver were fixed in paraformaldehyde and immersed in 0.1% Triton® X-100 in PBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, NJ, USA). Nonspecific binding was blocked with 10% goat antibodies or bovine serum albumin. Sections were incubated with mouse anti-rat ED1-IR (1:300; Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA) overnight at 4 °C. Control sections were incubated without exposure to primary antibodies. After thorough washes with PBS, slides were incubated in fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin (IgG, 1:500; Chemicon) for 1 hour. After washes in PBS, slides were counterstained and mounted with Vectashield® antifade solution containing 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Vector Laboratories, Burlington, CA, USA) and viewed with an Olympus fluorescent microscope (Olympus Corporation, Center Valley, PA, USA) equipped with PathVysion® software (Applied Imaging, San Jose, CA, USA).

Immunohistochemical analysis was performed to identify endothelial cells lining the lumen of microvessels in the nerve sections. Frozen sections were immersed in 4% paraformaldehyde and then blocked with 10% normal goat serum and 0.1% Triton® X-100 in PBS for 1 hour. The sections were incubated overnight at 4 °C with anti-rat endothelial cell antigen-1 (anti-RECA 1: 1:200; Serotec, Bicester, UK). After washes with PBS, the sections were incubated in fluorescein-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:200) for 1 hour. Slides were washed with PBS, stained with DAPI, and observed with an Olympus IX-71 fluorescence microscope.

Macrophage Recruitment

Using an Olympus IX-71 microscope equipped with SlideBook™ 4.1 (Intelligent Imaging Innovations, Denver,
CO, USA), the total numbers of ED1-IR stained cells were counted on specimens harvested at 4 days and 1 month after surgery. Only labeled cells with visible nuclei were counted. Macrophage numbers were counted under 40× per 100 μm × 100-μm section. For each nerve, multiple sections were prepared. Three to five sections were selected for analysis, each with intact axonal structural integrity. A 100 μm × 100 μm section then was selected randomly to account for variability in and between specimens.

Evans Blue Albumin

Blood-nerve barrier permeability (integrity) was evaluated using Evans Blue albumin, a solution of 5% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) mixed with 1% Evans Blue dye (Sigma-Aldrich) in sterile distilled water and filtered through a G-25Medium Sephadex© column (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). In accordance with previously described methods [16, 24], 1 mL Evans Blue albumin per 100 g body weight was injected intravenously, under anesthesia, and allowed to circulate for 15 minutes before the sciatic nerves were harvested and prepared as described. Before evaluation, nerve cross sections were counterstained with DAPI to localize cell nuclei.

Quantification of Changes in Blood-nerve Barrier Permeability

As reported [16], functionality of the blood-nerve barrier was evaluated by comparing the fluorescence of the Evans Blue albumin in the neural microvasculature with surrounding endoneurium. ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) was used to determine the average optical density of five random 10×10 pixel areas (approximately 4 μm²) in a randomly selected microvessel and five random 10×10 pixel areas from the surrounding endoneurium. The comparative ratio of intensity in a blood vessel to endoneurium was calculated by dividing the average intensity of the five blood vessel optical density measurements by the average optical density of the five endoneurial measurements (Fig. 1).

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Prism 5 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Data are presented as the mean and SD. Differences in Evans Blue albumin optical density, macrophage recruitment (ED1-IR cells), and EMG (distal latency, amplitude, nerve conduction velocity) between normal and tranexamic acid-treated nerves were calculated using one-way ANOVA, with Newman-Keuls post hoc analyses, at each time. A post hoc power calculation showed that with the numbers available, we had 16% power to detect a 50% difference in EMG changes between the control group, 1 mg/kg group, 10 mg/kg group, and 100 mg/kg group.

Results

At 4 days (Fig. 2) and at 1 month (Fig. 3) after surgery, there were no differences between the control group nerves nor any of the treatment groups in terms of nerve conduction velocity or amplitude, with the numbers available (Table 1). There was an increase in distal latency in the 1 mg/kg tranexamic acid-treated group only at 4 days, but the difference did not persist at 1 month, with the numbers available. The distal latency in the 1 mg tranexamic acid-treated animals at 4 days was 1.06 ± 0.15 ms (p = 0.0036 versus all other groups), whereas the distal latencies in the control, the 10 mg/kg, and 100 mg/kg tranexamic acid-treated animals were 0.83 ± 0.11, 0.89 ± 0.05, and 0.87 ± 0.13 ms, respectively. Distal latencies were not increased in any of the groups at 1 month with the numbers available (0.81 ± 0.10, 0.89 ± 0.03, 0.81 ± 0.06, and 0.83 ± 0.08 ms, respectively, for controls; 1 mg/kg, 10 mg/kg, and 100 mg/kg for the tranexamic acid-treated groups) (Table 2).

There were no differences when quantifying the amount of macrophages between treated and control rats with the
numbers available. Four days after surgery, 2.6 ± 1.27 macrophages were seen in the control sciatic nerve sections, 3.2 ± 1.10 macrophages in the 1 g/kg tranexamic acid-treated nerve sections, 1.8 ± 0.84 macrophages in the 10 mg/kg tranexamic acid-treated nerve sections, and 1.8 ± 0.84 macrophages in the 100 mg/kg tranexamic acid-treated nerve sections, and 1.4 ± 0.55 macrophages in the control sciatic nerve sections. 2.2 ± 0.84 macrophages in the 1 mg/kg tranexamic acid-treated nerve sections, 2.2 ± 0.84 macrophages in the 10 mg/kg tranexamic acid-treated nerve sections, and 1.4 ± 0.55 macrophages in the 100 mg/kg tranexamic acid-treated nerve sections (p = 0.14) (Fig. 4). At 1 month after surgery, 2.5 ± 0.87 macrophages were seen in the control sciatic nerve sections, 2.2 ± 0.84 macrophages in the 1 mg/kg tranexamic acid-treated nerve sections, 2.2 ± 0.84 macrophages in the 10 mg/kg tranexamic acid-treated nerve sections, and 1.4 ± 0.55 macrophages in the 100 mg/kg tranexamic acid-treated nerve sections (p = 0.09) (Fig. 5).

No differences in leakage of Evans Blue albumin (blood-nerve barrier permeability or integrity) were observed in the control (saline) samples, in the 1 mg/kg tranexamic acid, 10 mg/kg tranexamic acid, and 100 mg/kg tranexamic acid-treated nerves at 4 days or 1 month (Fig. 6) after surgery with the numbers available. At 4 days, the ratio of Evans Blue albumin leakage was 2.40 ± 0.96 for controls, 3.67 ± 1.27 for the 1 mg/kg group, 3.92 ± 2.21 for the 10 mg/kg group, and 3.14 ± 1.10 for the 100 mg/kg group (p = 0.10). At 1 month, the ratio of leakage was 2.52 ± 0.80 for the

**Table 1. Electrodiagnostic studies at 4 days**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group (numbers)</th>
<th>Distal latency (milliseconds)</th>
<th>Amplitude (millivolt)</th>
<th>Conduction velocity (meter/second)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (18)</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.11</td>
<td>28 ± 10</td>
<td>50 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mg/kg (5)</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.15</td>
<td>22 ± 10</td>
<td>50 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p = 0.004)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mg/kg (5)</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.05</td>
<td>33 ± 14</td>
<td>53 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mg/kg (5)</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.13</td>
<td>34 ± 4</td>
<td>51 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All values are mean and SD; † significant.

**Table 2. Electrodiagnostic studies at 1 month**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group (numbers)</th>
<th>Distal latency (milliseconds)</th>
<th>Amplitude (millivolt)</th>
<th>Conduction velocity (meter/second)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (17)</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.1</td>
<td>36 ± 11</td>
<td>54 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mg/kg (5)</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.03</td>
<td>38 ± 8</td>
<td>58 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mg/kg (5)</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.06</td>
<td>28 ± 10</td>
<td>54 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mg/kg (5)</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.08</td>
<td>39 ± 10</td>
<td>52 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are mean ± SD.

10 mg/kg tranexamic acid-treated nerve sections (p = 0.09) (Fig. 5).

Fig. 2A–C The graphs show (A) distal latency, (B) amplitude, and (C) nerve conduction velocity of a rat sciatic nerve at 4 days. The control group (n = 18) had the sciatic nerve exposed to saline; the 1 mg/kg group (n = 5) had the sciatic nerve exposed to 1 mg/kg tranexamic acid; the 10 mg/kg group (n = 5) had the sciatic nerve exposed to 10 mg/kg tranexamic acid; and the 100 mg/kg group (n = 5) had the sciatic nerve exposed to 100 mg/kg tranexamic acid; *Significant (p = 0.0036).

Fig. 3A–C The graphs show (A) distal latency, (B) amplitude, and (C) nerve conduction velocity of rat sciatic nerve at 1 month. Control rats (n = 17) were those with sciatic nerves exposed to saline. The 1 mg/kg are rats (n = 5) whose sciatic nerve was exposed to 1 mg/kg of tranexamic acid. The 10 mg/kg are rats (n = 5) whose sciatic nerve was exposed to 10 mg/kg of tranexamic acid. The 100 mg/kg are rats (n = 5) whose sciatic nerve was exposed to 100 mg/kg of tranexamic acid; p = 0.38, which did not reach significance*.
Fig. 4A–B  Macrophage recruitment quantifications at (A) 4 days and (B) 1 month using ED1-IR marker for macrophages are shown. Control rats (n = 17) were those whose sciatic nerve was exposed to saline; 1 mg/kg rats (n = 5) had the sciatic nerve exposed to 1 mg/kg tranexamic acid; 10 mg/kg rats (n = 5) had the sciatic nerve exposed to 10 mg/kg tranexamic acid, and 100 mg/kg rats (n = 5) had the sciatic nerve exposed to 100 mg/kg tranexamic acid. *Significant.

Fig. 5A–B  The blood-nerve barrier integrity examinations for extravasation using Evans Blue albumin are shown. Control rats (n = 17) were those whose sciatic nerve was exposed to saline; 1 mg/kg rats (n = 5) had the sciatic nerve exposed to 1 mg/kg tranexamic acid; 10 mg/kg rats (n = 5) had the sciatic nerve exposed to 10 mg/kg tranexamic acid, and 100 mg/kg rats (n = 5) had the sciatic nerve exposed to 100 mg/kg tranexamic acid. *Significant.

Fig. 6A–H  The blood-nerve barrier integrity examinations using Evans Blue albumin show extravasation (red) at 4 days for the (A) control group, and (B) 1 mg/kg, (C) 10 mg/kg, and (D) 100 mg/kg groups. The examinations also are shown for (E) the control group, and (F) 1 mg/kg, (G) 10 mg/kg, and (H) 100 mg/kg groups at 1 month. Blue is stained with DAPI for cell nuclei. Green is stained with ED1-IR for endothelium. The black area inside the green endothelium is the lumen of a vessel. The black area outside the green is the extracellular matrix. The control rats (n = 17) had the sciatic nerve exposed to saline, the 1 mg/kg rats (n = 5) had the sciatic nerve exposed to 1 mg/kg tranexamic acid, the 10 mg/kg rats (n = 5) had the sciatic nerve exposed to 10 mg/kg tranexamic acid, and the 100 mg/kg rats (n = 5) had the sciatic nerve exposed to 100 mg/kg tranexamic acid.
control group, 1.78 ± 0.22 for the 1 mg/kg group, 2.78 ± 0.76 for the 10 mg/kg group, and 2.34 ± 0.60 for the 100 mg/kg group (p = 0.15).

Discussion

Numerous reports suggest that use of intravenous tranexamic acid is effective in reducing patient blood loss without increasing the risk of thromboembolic events in select cases of total joint arthroplasty [6, 7, 31–33, 37]. However, safety concerns have been raised [18, 29] pertaining to thromboembolic, cardiovascular, and neurologic risks with the use of tranexamic acid. In particular, seizures have been reported when tranexamic acid is accidently placed onto the spinal cord during spinal anesthesia and when directly applied to the rat spinal cord [5, 23, 30]. We therefore sought to determine whether use of tranexamic acid has an effect on histologic features and function of the sciatic nerve by evaluating EMG changes (distal latency, amplitude, nerve conduction velocity), histologic signs of nerve injury via macrophage recruitment, and changes in blood–nerve barrier permeability at early (4 days) and late (1 month) times after surgery. We found that at both times, topical application of tranexamic acid in a rat model did not appear to have any effect on histologic features and function of the sciatic nerve, with the numbers available.

Limitations of our study include the small number of animals, nonrandomization of the animals, and the limited times selected for postsurgical evaluation. Although our morphometric data appeared to approach statistical significance with our sample size and increased numbers might become statistically significant, it would not change our conclusions as there were no meaningful functional deficits with animals. In addition, post hoc statistical analysis of EMG was found to be 16%, and future studies with increased numbers may detect a difference. We selected the two times owing to the short half-life of tranexamic acid and time of detectable nerve injury [16, 17, 27]. As our exposure might not entirely simulate the complex environment of a THA, there is concern for potential increased invasiveness of the procedure. As such, there is the possibility that with increased inflammation and blood flow, there might be increased drug availability to the nerve. However, as the supratherapeutic concentration in our animal model did not have an adverse effect, this should account for the possibility of increased absorption of tranexamic acid with an actual THA. As the inflammatory cascade takes time to develop, the peak inflammatory and vascular response is seen approximately 7 days after injury to nerve [38]. Because tranexamic acid has quick absorption (plasma concentration peak at 1 hour after intramuscular injection) and quick half-life, drug concentration will be gone before the peak inflammatory response develops [27]. Although we evaluated the most logical markers that might be affected by tranexamic acid on the sciatic nerve, we did not exhaust all possibilities and did not evaluate the effects of tranexamic acid on other structures, such as the muscles and joint implants. The inability to assess pain in the animal model also may have limited our assessment regarding the effect of tranexamic acid on nerve function. Despite good intentions, bias may have been introduced during surgical dissection, tranexamic acid application, or during histologic evaluation of each animal group.

In the rat model, we found that electrophysiologic parameters, including nerve conduction velocity and amplitude of the sciatic nerve, did not differ from those after application of tranexamic acid compared with saline controls at 4 days and at 1 month after surgery, with the numbers available. Distal latency, however, was slightly increased at 4 days but not at 1 month (Table 1), which may have been secondary to early neural irritation and which resolved without any effects at 1 month. With acute and chronic nerve compression injuries, nerve conduction velocity is slowed [28]. Our results showed no functional effects to the sciatic nerve or hind-limb muscle activity after topical application of tranexamic acid compared with the control group at 1 month, with the numbers available. As tranexamic acid has a short half-life (2–3 hours) and has been shown to cause EMG changes during local application in the rat spinal cord [13, 27], we hypothesized that tranexamic acid might have a transient effect or no effect on the sciatic nerve after local topical application. However, our results agree with our hypothesis. With one exception (distal latency in the 1 mg tranexamic acid group at 4 days), with the numbers available, local application of tranexamic acid to the sciatic nerve had an effect on the parameters we studied in our model, which simulated surgical exposure of patients undergoing THA, at either 4 days or 1 month after application. Our findings likely reflect the selective effect of tranexamic acid on gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors, which are located in the central nervous system, not in the peripheral nervous system (sciatic nerve) [13].

We found no difference in macrophage recruitment at 4 days and at 1 month in tranexamic acid-treated sciatic nerve sections relative to controls, with the numbers available. This result differed from findings in a previous study in the rat [17], which showed that macrophage recruitment increased immediately in nerve sections that were acutely crushed compared with a gradual increase with long-term (months) chronic nerve compression. With trauma or surgery, injury to vascular endothelium results in exposure of collagen and release of tissue factors. The tissue factors and exposed collagen activate the extrinsic...
and intrinsic coagulation cascade, and in turn, plasminogen and the anticoagulation pathway. By competitively inhibiting the conversion of plasminogen to plasmin, tranexamic acid promotes the coagulation process. As tranexamic acid prevents degradation of clot formation, it is possible there is increased macrophage recruitment and an alteration of the blood-nerve barrier [17, 24]. Therefore, in our study, we found no change in macrophage recruitment to suggest an inflammatory process involving the sciatic nerve when treated with topical application of tranexamic acid.

When examining blood-nerve barrier integrity, our results also suggest that there is no 4-day or 1-month difference in the extravasation of Evans Blue albumin from the control nerve samples compared with the nerves treated with topical tranexamic acid, with the numbers available. In an animal study by Gray et al. [16], Evans Blue albumin extravasation increased in a sciatic nerve section at 2 and 4 weeks after compression injury. Omura et al. [25], in their study of acute nerve injury, reported that Evans Blue albumin leakage to the endoneurium was seen at 24 hours, peaking 3 to 7 days after injury, and returning to nearly normal levels at 21 days after injury. Similarly, Olsson [24] reported increased extravasation of Evans Blue albumin in an acutely crushed sciatic nerve rat model. Our results indicate that the blood-nerve barrier remains intact at 4 days and 1 month after topical administration of tranexamic acid, at which times an effect would be evident if there was to be one [16, 24, 25].

Topical application of tranexamic acid has shown good results in decreasing blood loss in patients during THA. Further randomized controlled studies are needed to find the optimal application dose, along with the timing and frequency of administration [15, 20]. Our study suggests that local topical administration of tranexamic acid does not affect sciatic nerve function in an in vivo animal model, with the numbers available. However, because our statistical power was low, these data should be considered hypothesis-generating pilot data for larger, more-definitive studies. Our findings may help support the safety of local topical use of tranexamic acid during THA.
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