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In contrast to the radial microtubule arrays organized by centrosomes in dividing cells, many differentiated cells assemble non-centrosomal microtubule arrays adapted for specific cellular functions, including nuclear positioning and migration, maintenance of tissue architecture and intracellular transportation. In an RNAi screen in C. elegans, we identified NOCA-1 as a novel protein that does not contribute to centrosome-driven embryonic cell divisions but is required to form microtubule arrays in the germline that are essential for organismal fertility. In this
dissertation, I focused on NOCA-1 to study the assembly mechanism and cellular function of non-centrosomal microtubule arrays.

The *noca-1* gene encodes 8 isoforms expressed in a variety of tissues. Two distinct long isoforms control assembly of microtubule arrays in the germline and embryonic epidermis, respectively. In contrast, a short isoform functions in parallel to the microtubule minus end-binding protein Patronin (PTRN-1) to control assembly of microtubule arrays in the post-embryonic epidermis. Evidence for the redundant activity of NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 includes synthetic lethality and early larval stage dye permeability of *noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ* double mutants, both of which are rescued by selectively expressing PTRN-1 in the post-embryonic epidermis. In support of the genetic interaction, NOCA-1 co-sediments with taxol-stabilized microtubules from worm lysates and purified recombinant NOCA-1, like PTRN-1, binds to microtubule ends in a microtubule-anchoring assay. We conclude that NOCA-1 represents a new class of microtubule end-binding proteins with essential functions of its own, as well as parallel functions with Patronin, in the assembly of non-centrosomal microtubule arrays in multiple *C. elegans* tissues.

Non-centrosomal microtubule arrays in embryonic epidermis contribute to elongation, the process that converts the oval-shaped embryo into an elongated worm. By using two different means of disrupting microtubules, we showed that disrupting microtubules alone does not affect elongation. However, when actomyosin contractility is compromised by a partial loss-of-function mutant of *let-502* (a Rho kinase), disrupting microtubules results in elongation arrests and causes
embryonic lethality. Intact microtubules and normal LET-502 activity are required for E-cadherin clustering at adherens junctions and the maturation of hemidesmosomes, possibly explaining the elongation defects we observed. We conclude that microtubules in the embryonic epidermis contribute to elongation, but only is required when actomyosin contractility is reduced.
Chapter 1

Introduction

Microtubules (MTs) are conserved protein polymers that play many important roles in eukaryotic cells. The building blocks of MT polymers are heterodimers of α-tubulin and β-tubulin, both of which are highly conserved among all eukaryotes (Little et al., 1981). Together with actin and intermediate filaments, MTs make up the cytoskeleton providing mechanical strength for the cell. MTs are often assembled into higher order arrays to fulfill their functions. In dividing cells, MTs are assembled by centrosomes into a dynamic array, known as the mitotic spindle, to segregate chromosomes and orient the division plane. In differentiated cells, MTs are assembled — usually independent of centrosomes — into relatively stable arrays to position nuclei and organelles, provide transportation tracks and also serve as primary structure components of cilia and flagella (Desai and Mitchison, 1997).

The non-centrosomal MT arrays in differentiated cells are the subjects of
this dissertation. Both the assembly mechanism and the cellular function of these arrays will be discussed. In this chapter, a general introduction of MTs and MT arrays is given. In the next chapter, I will focus on the study of NOCA-1, a novel MT end binding protein, to elucidate the assembly and function of non-centrosomal MT arrays in *C. elegans* tissues. The third chapter will be a case study on the function of epidermal non-centrosomal arrays during *C. elegans* embryonic elongation. In the last chapter, the major conclusions will be summarized and the implications and future directions will be discussed.

1.1 MT structure and properties

MTs are hollow tubes measured 25 nm in diameter (Desai and Mitchison, 1997). Inside a MT, α/β-tubulin dimers interact head-to-tail to form linear protofilaments, which interact laterally to get the tube structure (Figure 1.1). The majority of self-assembled MTs from purified tubulin have 14 protofilaments, while MTs in vivo and MTs nucleated from centrosomes and axonemes in vitro mostly have 13 protofilaments (Desai and Mitchison, 1997). In theory, adjacent protofilaments could interact laterally either through α-β monomer interactions (A-lattice) or through α-α and β-β monomer interactions (B-lattice). Ultrastructural analysis has established that MTs mainly adopt the B-lattice (Mandelkow *et al.*, 1986; Song and Mandelkow, 1993; Kikkawa *et al.*, 1994; Song and Mandelkow, 1995). In this conformation, at least one A-lattice seam has to be present to allow registration
of the protofilaments (Figure 1.1). The function of the A-lattice seam is currently unclear.

![Diagram of Microtubule Structure](image)

**Figure 1.1:** Microtubule structure. Microtubules (MTs) are hollow tubes with an outer diameter of 25 nm. The building blocks of MTs are α/β-tubulin dimers, which interact head-to-tail to form protofilaments. 10-15 protofilaments interact laterally to form a closed tube. Shown here is a MT containing 13 protofilaments. Due to the head-to-tail interaction of α/β-tubulin dimers, MT has intrinsic polarity with α-tubulins exposed at the minus ends and β-tubulins exposed at the plus ends. Both α-tubulin and β-tubulin bind to GTP, but only the β-tubulin bound GTP can exchange with free GTP in solution. During polymerization, the β-tubulin bound GTP hydrolyzes to be GDP. Thus, most β-tubulins in the MT lattice is GDP bound. However, a very thin layer (<100 subunits) of GTP bound β-tubulin is present at the plus ends (Desai and Mitchison, 1997). This GTP cap is thought to stabilize MTs.

MTs are physically very stiff. The persistence length quantifies the polymer stiffness and gets larger as a polymer gets more stiff. For a MT, the persistence length is as large as 5200 µm, meaning that MTs are rigid over cellular dimensions (Gittes et al., 1993). This property is well suited for the MT function of providing mechanical strength for cells. For comparison, the persistence length of an actin
filament is only $\sim 17.7 \, \mu m$ (Gittes et al., 1993).

MTs are dynamic polymers. Early cytologists appreciated the dynamics of MTs when they noticed that organizations of the “spindle fibres” or “aster rays” change rapidly over time (Desai and Mitchison, 1997). Later, the components of MTs — $\alpha/\beta$-tubulin dimers — were purified based on its affinity with colchicine, a drug that inhibits mitosis (Weisenberg et al., 1968). The same work also showed that each tubulin dimer co-purify with two GTP molecules, one of which exchanges rapidly with free GTP in solution. Further studies showed that the $\beta$-tubulin bound GTP hydrolyzes during MT polymerization (Weisenberg et al., 1976). In 1984, Mitchison and Kirshner made the interesting observation that individual MT ends could switch between polymerization and depolymerization, a non-equilibrium state they termed as “dynamic instability” (Mitchison and Kirschner, 1984). This unique property of the MTs allows rapid remodeling of the MT arrays and faster exploration of cellular space (Holy and Leibler, 1994).

MTs are intrinsically polarized. All protofilaments in the same MT are orientated in the same direction, so that the two ends of MTs are exposed with either $\alpha$- or $\beta$-tubulins, termed as minus and plus ends respectively (Figure 1.1). Different chemical properties of the two ends result in different behaviors including different rates of polymerization and depolymerization: plus ends always grow faster than minus ends under the same condition. In vitro, both ends exhibit dynamic instability; e.g. they can grow, shrink and switch between the two states, although the rates and transition frequencies are slightly different. In vivo, however, plus
ends become dramatically more dynamic while the minus ends are mostly stabilized. In cells or cell extracts, the growth rate of plus ends is 5 to 60 fold higher than that of pure tubulin at a similar concentration (from \(~1 \mu m/min\) in vitro, to \(5–60 \mu m/min\) in various cells; Desai and Mitchison, 1997; Srayko et al., 2005; Zanic et al., 2013). The catastrophe frequency of plus ends also goes up 20–30 fold from in vitro to in vivo (Simon et al., 1992; Zanic et al., 2013). In contrast, the minus ends in cells almost never polymerize; they are either stable or quickly depolymerize (Dammermann et al., 2003). Thus, the plus and minus ends of MTs seem to be specialized for distinct functions in living cells.

MTs are nucleated polymers. De novo MT formation from purified tubulin has to go through a kinetically slow process to form a nucleus, which then allows relatively fast growth of the polymer. The nucleus of spontaneously nucleated MTs likely has 10-14 tubulin dimers (Fygenson and Flyvbjerg, 1995). The kinetic barrier of MT nucleation is a perfect handle for the cells to control where and when MTs are generated. The key regulator of MT nucleation is \(\gamma\)-tubulin, which was discovered by Oakley and Oakley (1989) in a study of genetic suppressors of heat-sensitive \(\beta\)-tubulin mutant in *Aspergillus nidulans* (Oakley and Morris, 1981; Oakley and Oakley, 1989). In all studied examples, \(\gamma\)-tubulin localizes to the MT nucleation structures, such as centrosomes in dividing cells and apical surface of epithelial cells (Figure 1.2C and D). Perturbation of \(\gamma\)-tubulin invariably inhibits MT nucleation (Hannak et al., 2002; Green et al., 2011). Purified \(\gamma\)-tubulin-containing protein complexes (\(\gamma\)TuRC, \(\gamma\)-Tubulin Ring Complex; Fig-
Figure 1.2: MT nucleation is mediated by γTuRC.

(A) Diagram of the γTuSC, a Y-shaped complex composed of γ-tubulin, GCP2 and GCP3.

(B) Diagram of the γTuRC, a ring-shaped complex composed of several γTuSC and γTuSC-like complex.

(C) Immunofluorescence image of the first division of *C. elegans* embryo at metaphase stage. Note that γ-tubulin localizes to the centrosomes, where MTs are focused at. Scale bar: 10 µm.

(D) Left: spinning disk confocal image of the E16 primordium (intestine epithelial cells) in a developing *C. elegans* embryo expressing histone::mCherry and membrane::mCherry. Right: diagram of the epithelial cells. Note that γ-tubulin localizes to the apical surface. Scale bar: 10 µm.

Images in (A) and (B) are from Figure 5 of Kollman *et al.* (2011) with modifications; immunofluorescence image in (C) is courtesy of Valeria Viscardi, a former postdoc from our lab; image in (D) is from Figure 1 of Feldman and Priess (2012) with modifications.
ure 1.2B) from both *Xenopus* egg extracts and *Drosophila* embryo extracts can stimulate MT nucleation in vitro (Zheng et al., 1995; Oegema et al., 1999). The γTuRC is composed of γTuSC, a more tightly bound γ-tubulin small complex (Figure 1.2A; Oegema et al., 1999). Recently, the cryoEM structure of the budding yeast γTuSC revealed a 13-fold symmetry that resembles the 13-protofilament MTs, suggesting a templating model for MT nucleation (Kollman et al., 2010).

### 1.2 Centrosomal MT arrays

Centrosomes are organelles thought to be the primary microtubule organizing centers (MTOC; Figure 1.3A) in animal cells. Each centrosome has two perpendicularly orientated centrioles surrounded by a protein matrix called pericentriolar material (PCM), which contains γTuRC, thus the MT nucleation activity. Centrioles are short cylindrical structures with 9-fold symmetry, containing 9 triplet, doublet or singlet MTs depending on species (Bettencourt-Dias and Glover, 2007). In addition to their role of recruiting PCM in centrosomes, centrioles can become basal bodies that direct cilia and flagella formation. For more information on the biogenesis and function of centrosomes, see Bettencourt-Dias and Glover (2007) for a review.

Centrosome-based radial MT array formation illustrates the importance of localized MT nucleation and minus end anchoring. During cell divisions, numerous MTs are nucleated from centrosomes, forming radial MT arrays with minus ends.
anchored at the vicinity of centrosomes and plus ends towards the cell cortex and chromosomes. The two centrosome-based arrays together form the bi-polar spindle which segregates the chromosomes and positions the division plane. During interphase, there is only one radial MT array centered at the centrosome that is usually adjacent to the nuclear envelope. Besides, centrosome-based radial MT arrays are also present in migratory differentiated cells such as neutrophils (Bartolini and Gundersen, 2006).

Localized MT nucleation requires centrosome recruitment of the MT nucleator \( \gamma \)-TuRC. A number of proteins have been implicated in the \( \gamma \)-TuRC recruitment. Depletion of the PCM matrix protein pericentrin in mammalian cells or mutating the PCM matrix protein SPD-5 in \textit{C.elegans} both abolish the centrosomal localization of \( \gamma \)-tubulin (Hamill \textit{et al.}, 2002; Takahashi \textit{et al.}, 2002; Zimmerman \textit{et al.}, 2004b). In the case of pericentrin, it appears to recruit \( \gamma \)-tubulin through interactions with the \( \gamma \)-TuSC components GCP2 and GCP3 (note that kendrin is pericentrin; Takahashi \textit{et al.}, 2002; Flory and Davis, 2003; Zimmerman \textit{et al.}, 2004b). Other centrosomal proteins including CG-NAP and CP309 may act in a similar way as pericentrin (Takahashi \textit{et al.}, 2002; Kawaguchi and Zheng, 2004). GCP-WD/NEDD1, on the other hand, appears to recruit the \( \gamma \)-TuRC through a direct interaction with \( \gamma \)-tubulin (Haren \textit{et al.}, 2006; Lüders \textit{et al.}, 2006; Manning \textit{et al.}, 2010). In fact, GCP-WD/NEDD1 was considered to be a \( \gamma \)-TuRC component that mediates its interaction with the centrosome (Lüders \textit{et al.}, 2006). Ninein is thought to recruit \( \gamma \)-TuRC to the centrosome through interaction between its
N-terminal domain and \( \gamma \)-tubulin (Delgehyr et al., 2005; Mogensen et al., 2000). Another player in recruiting \( \gamma \)-TuRC to the centrosome is mto1p in fission yeast and its related protein centrosomin in *Drosophila*. Both mto1p and centrosomin are required for \( \gamma \)-tubulin localization to the spindle pole body (the yeast equivalent of centrosome) or centrosome and both proteins can pull down \( \gamma \)-tubulin (Megraw et al., 1999; Terada et al., 2003; Sawin et al., 2004).

It is less clear that how MT minus ends are anchored to the centrosomes. The MT nucleation complex \( \gamma \)-TuRC is able to cap the minus ends and block polymerization (Zheng et al., 1995), making it a good candidate for capping the minus ends at the centrosome. However, nucleation and anchoring of MTs at the centrosomes seem to be independent of each other (Dammermann et al., 2003). In mouse fibroblast cells, both mother and daughter centrioles have similar nucleating activity, but MTs are focused specifically at the mother centrioles where ninein preferentially localizes to (Mogensen et al., 2000). Expressing the ninein C-terminus removes endogenous ninein and the \( \gamma \)-TuRC from the centrosome and causes defects in MT anchoring (Delgehyr et al., 2005). Although proposed to be a MT anchoring protein, ninein has not been shown to directly cap the MT minus ends. MT anchoring to the centrosomes also requires dynein/dynactin activity, Cep135, PCM-1 and EB1, although these requirements could be indirect (Quintyne et al., 1999; Askham and Vaughan, 2002; Dammermann and Merdes, 2002; Ohta et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2004; Guo et al., 2006).
Figure 1.3: MT arrays in dividing and differentiated cells. Note that the centrosome organized MT array in dividing cell (A) is radial, while non-centrosomal MT arrays are linear (B-F).
1.3 Non-centrosomal MT arrays

Non-centrosomal MT arrays in dividing cells and cilia/flagella are not discussed here. In dividing cells, non-centrosomal arrays contribute to spindle formation in both meiosis and mitosis. Female meiosis in animal cells relies solely on centrosome-independent mechanisms to organize the meiotic spindle (Bartolini and Gundersen, 2006). Plant cells have no centrosomes, thus, the mitotic spindle has to be organized independent of centrosomes. Even mitosis in some animal cells can occur rather normally in the absence of centrosomes (Khodjakov et al., 2000; Bonaccorsi et al., 2000; Megraw et al., 2001; Basto et al., 2006; Mahoney et al., 2006). For mechanisms concerning centrosome-independent organization of radial MT arrays, readers are directed to Meunier and Vernos (2012) for a review.

Non-centrosomal MT arrays in cilia and flagella are special cases since they depend on the centriole-like organelles termed as basal bodies (Bartolini and Gundersen, 2006). For more information on cilia and flagella, see Jana et al. (2014) for a review.

This dissertation focuses on the linear non-centrosomal MT arrays in non-dividing cells. In fission yeast and differentiated animal cells such as epithelial cells, neurons and myotubes, MT arrays are parallel and orientated along the polarity axis (Figure 1.3B-E). In plant cells, the cortical MT arrays could be orientated either transversely or longitudinally at the cell surface (Figure 1.3F; Dixit et al., 2006). All of these non-centrosomal arrays are linear and not focused at the cen-
trosomes (Figure 1.3; Bartolini and Gundersen, 2006).

1.3.1 MT polarity in non-centrosomal arrays

Two different assays have been used to determine the MT polarity in non-centrosomal arrays. Early studies in various epithelial cells and neurons employed the classic hook decoration assay (Heidemann and McIntosh, 1980). In this assay, exogenous tubulins were polymerized from existing MT walls, forming one or several curved tubulin sheets. Cross sections of such preparations were then examined using EM, where clockwise decorated hook indicates plus end toward the observer and counterclockwise indicates minus (Heidemann and McIntosh, 1980).

Later studies capitalized on live cell imaging of fluorescence labeled EB1 (End Binding protein 1) family proteins to determine the MT polarity. EB1 proteins specifically track the growing MT ends in vitro, but do not distinguish plus and minus ends (Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2008). Interpretations of EB1 tracking the growing plus ends in vivo largely came back to the early observations that MT minus ends do not polymerize in cells (Dammermann et al., 2003). In those studies, the polarities of free MT ends were determined by either comparing the dynamic behavior of ends with centrosome-emitting MT plus ends (Yvon and Wadsworth, 1997), or simple assumption that the more dynamic ends are plus ends (Waterman-Storer and Salmon, 1997; Vorobjev et al., 1997). Thus, care should be taken when claiming MT polarity in a certain cell type. Nevertheless, EB1 based imaging results were consistent with established knowledge of MT polarity in both
centrosomes and differentiated cells, justifying their use to determine MT polarity (Stepanova et al., 2003; Srayko et al., 2005).

The interphase MT arrays in fission yeast run along the long axis of cells. Each cell has 3 to 5 MT bundles, where MTs in each bundle are thought to be antiparallel and have their plus ends toward the cell tip (Figure 1.3B Drummond and Cross, 2000; Tran et al., 2001). The two ends of MT bundles were both thought to be plus ends because they both have comparable growth and shrinkage rates with plus ends dynamics in vitro and in some cells (Drummond and Cross, 2000; Tran et al., 2001). Consistent with this proposed MT organization, the cell tips have enriched localization of Tip1p, a CLIP170-like protein thought to localize at the MT plus ends (Brunner and Nurse, 2000; Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2010).

Epithelial cells generally have parallel MT arrays along the apicobasal axis, where minus ends point to the apical surface (Figure 1.3C). A range of epithelial cells, including fish retinal pigment epithelial cells (Troutt and Burnside, 1988), canine kidney epithelial cells MDCK (Bacallao et al., 1989), Drosophila wing epidermis (Mogensen et al., 1989), Sertoli cells in rat testis (Redenbach and Vogl, 1991) and human intestine epithelial cells Caco-2 (Meads and Schroer, 1995), have been examined using the hook decoration method and in all cases the MT minus ends are uniformly orientated towards the apical side. However, exceptions do exist. In the dorsal epidermis of developing C. elegans embryos, MTs are not oriented along the apicobasal axis, but rather along the long axis of cells with plus ends towards the same direction of nuclear migration, as indicated by live imaging
Defferentiated myotubes have linear MT arrays along the major cell axis (Figure 1.3D). It is generally assumed that minus ends are close to the nuclear envelope where the MT nucleation factors are localized (Tassin et al., 1985). A study used EB1 imaging to show that the MTs in the myotubes are antiparallel (Pizon et al., 2005).

Neurons have linear non-centrosomal MT arrays in their axons and dendrites (Figure 1.3E). A typical neuron has one long process called the axon and several short processes called dendrites. In vertebrate neurons, hook decoration assays have shown that most MTs in axons have their minus ends towards the cell body, while MTs in dendrites have mixed polarities (Burton and Paige, 1981; Heidemann et al., 1981; Baas et al., 1987, 1988; Troutt and Burnside, 1988). There is some difference in invertebrate neurons. Using EB1 based imaging, it was shown that MTs in the axons of Drosophila neurons have similar MT polarity as in vertebrates. However, MTs in the dendrites of Drosophila neurons have a rather uniform polarity with their plus ends towards the cell body, unlike the mixed polarity described in vertebrate neurons (Stone et al., 2008).

The cortical MT arrays in plant hypocotyl cells can be oriented either transversely or longitudinally (Figure 1.3F Yuan et al., 1994; Sugimoto et al., 2000; Ehrhardt and Shaw, 2006). Fast growing cells have transversely oriented cortical arrays, which transition to be longitudinally oriented when cells stop growing (Sugimoto et al., 2000; Ehrhardt and Shaw, 2006). In both types of arrays, MTs
are mostly oriented in the same direction as visualized by low-level expressed EB1-GFP (Dixit et al., 2006).

### 1.3.2 Function of non-centrosomal MT arrays

Non-centrosomal MT arrays are important for nuclear positioning and tissue maintenance in several cell types. In fission yeast, the nuclei are positioned by pushing force generated by MT polymerization (Tran et al., 2001). In the multinuclear fungus Aspergillus nidulans, mutants in the MT motor protein dynein caused severe nuclear positioning defects, indicating a role of MT arrays in these cells (Xiang et al., 1994). In the syncytial germline of C. elegans, the nuclei are normally kept in their membrane compartments through interactions with MTs (Zhou et al., 2009). Disrupting MT itself or the nucleus-MT linkage in C. elegans germline causes nuclear mis-positioning, which leads to complete sterility due to a catastrophic disruption of the tissue architecture featured by multi-nucleation and vesiculation (Zhou et al., 2009; Green et al., 2011). It appears that syncytial tissues are more susceptible to nuclei positioning defects, since mis-positioned nuclei in syncytial tissues have more potential to disrupt the overall tissue architecture.

Non-centrosomal MT arrays help establish or maintain the cellular polarity. In fission yeast, mutants of MT regulators bend or have irregular cell shape with additional growth point besides the two cell tips (reviewed in Hagan, 1998; Chang, 2001). Mutation of a kinesin-like protein Tea2p mislocalized a tip marker Tea1p, suggesting that MT based transportation may accumulate specific proteins to the
Non-centrosomal MT arrays commonly serve as transportation tracks. In epithelial cells, both plus end and minus end directed motors are implicated in apical transport, while only plus end directed kinesins are implicated in basolateral transport (Lafont et al., 1994; Tai et al., 1999; Noda et al., 2001; Jaulin et al., 2007). It appears that MTs are mainly facilitating rather than specifying the transport, since disrupting MTs reduces transportation efficiency but does not cause missorting for at least some cargoes (Grindstaff et al., 1998). In neurons, specific proteins are transported between the cell body and processes (axons and dendrites). The long distance makes axonal transport rather challenging, but cells manage to use MT motors kinesins and cytoplasmic dynein to move cargoes away from or towards the cell bodies (reviewd in Hirokawa and Takemura, 2005). In differentiating muscle cells, MTs are required for transporting sarcomeric myosin, a major protein component of sarcomeres (Pizon et al., 2005). In plant cells, MTs direct the deposition of cellulose microfibrils in the cell wall. Early observation that the organization of cellulose microfibrils was sensitive to colchicine led to the proposal that mitotic spindle is involved, since colchicine was best known to disrupt the spindle (Green, 1962). Soon after, the cortical MT arrays were described and these arrays were shown to co-align with the cellulose microfibrils in adjacent cell walls, suggesting that the cortical MT arrays are more likely to direct cellulose synthesis (Ledbetter, 1963). More recently, a functional YFP (Yellow Fluorescent Protein) fused cellulose synthase in Arabidopsis was visualized to move
along the cortical MT tracks, indicating a relatively direct mechanism of MTs guiding cellulose synthesis in the cell wall (Paredez et al., 2006).

**1.3.3 Array assembly: generating MTs**

In order to assemble non-centrosomal arrays, MTs need to be first generated by either γ-TuRC-dependent MT nucleation, or by MT severing enzymes (discussed below), and then be stabilized and/or anchored to certain cellular structures. As in centrosomal MT arrays, localized MT nucleation and minus end anchoring seem to be the key aspects of assembly mechanism.

In cells containing non-centrosomal MT arrays, the MT nucleating complex γ-TuC (γ-Tubulin Complex) is usually localized to non-centrosomal structures, but in many cases it is unclear how γ-tubulin get localized.

In fission yeast, γ-TuC localizes to three different MTOCs at different time of the cell cycle. During mitosis, γ-TuC localizes to the spindle pole body (SPB; the fission yeast equivalent of centrosomes) that organizes the mitotic spindle (reviewed in Hagan, 1998). From anaphase, an equitorial MTOC (eMTOC) was assembled at the cell equator and disassembled after cytokinesis (Heitz et al., 2001). During interphase, γ-TuC are concentrated at several perinuclear dots that contain the MT nucleating activity (Tran et al., 2001). One of these dots is the SPB and others are termed as iMTOCs (interphase MTOCs). A centrosomin related protein mto1p and its partner mto2p form a complex which recruits the γ-tubulin complex to iMTOCs (Sawin et al., 2004; Venkatram et al., 2004; Samejima et al., 2008).
Besides, a J domain containing protein rsp1p interacts with the chaperon hsp70 and regulates the eMToc disassembly (Zimmerman et al., 2004a).

In epithelial cells, γ-tubulin localizes to the apical surface in many cases, but the fate of centrosomes differ by cell types. In mammalian epithelial cell lines Caco-2 (intestine) and WIF-B (hepatocyte), both centorsomal and non-centrosomal γ-tubulin can be detected by immunofluorescence, but MDCK (kidney) cells appear to have only centrosomal γ-tubulin staining (Meads and Schroer, 1995). In developing organisms, however, γ-tubulin and the MT nucleation activity are frequently released from centrosomes and re-localize to the apical surface (Jankovics and Brunner, 2006; Brodu et al., 2010; Fridolfsson and Starr, 2010; Feldman and Priess, 2012). In Drosophila tracheal cells, the γ-TuRC first releases from the centriole in a spastin (a MT severing enzyme, see below) dependent manner, then gets anchored to the apical surface through a transmembrane protein Piopio (Brodu et al., 2010). However, it is worth pointing out that Piopio is an extracellular matrix protein, whose majority is outside in the lumen (Bökel et al., 2005). Whether the short cytoplasmic tail of Piopio acts directly to recruit γ-TuRC remains unclear. In developing C. elegans intestine, a direct hand-off of γ-TuRC from the centrosomes to the apical surface was observed and this hand-off depends on centrosome itself and the polarity protein PAR-3 (Feldman and Priess, 2012). Interestingly, the PCM proteins TAC-1 and AIR-1, but not the PCM matrix protein SPD-5, also become enriched at the apical surface during differentiation (Feldman and Priess, 2012).
In myotubes, the MT nucleation activity re-distribute to the entire nuclear envelope from centrosomes during differentiation (Tassin et al., 1985), but it is unclear how this relocalization occurs.

In neurons, γ-tubulin was reported to localize only to the centrosomes and not capping the MT minus ends in axons and dendrites (Baas and Joshi, 1992). Based on this observation, MTs were proposed to be nucleated from the centrosomes and then transported. There were some debates on whether MT polymers or tubulin subunits are transported (Baas and Brown, 1997; Hirokawa et al., 1997; Terada et al., 2000). Regardless of the transport, accumulating evidence argues against centrosomes as the MT nucleating structure in neurons. First, flies that lose centrioles during development have a generally normal nervous system (Basto et al., 2006). Second, centosomes in rodent hippocampal neurons lose their MT nucleation activity during development and axons still grow upon laser ablation of centrosomes (Stiess et al., 2010). Recently, the Golgi outposts in Drosophila dendritic arborization neurons are found to contain γ-tubulin and CP309 and directly nucleate MTs (Ori-McKenney et al., 2012).

In plant cells, γ-tubulin is involved in both the establishment and remodeling of cortical MT arrays. During initiation of cortical MT arrays in both tobacco BY-2 cells and plant hypocotyl cells, the γ-TuRC localizes to random dots at the cortex to nucleate MTs (Lindeboom et al., 2013a). However, not all of the new MTs are marked with a GFP fused γ-TuRC marker, suggesting there may be a γ-TuRC independent way to generate MTs (Lindeboom et al., 2013a). Another
study on tobacco BY-2 cells shows that \( \gamma \)-tubulin is recruited to existing MT lattice to nucleate more MTs (Murata et al., 2005). A MT based MT nucleation was also demonstrated during *Drosophila* spindle formation, where an Augmin complex recruits the \( \gamma \)-TuRC to existing MTs and nucleates new MTs, much like the Arp2/3 complex for actin filaments (Goshima et al., 2008). During the reorientation of cortical MT arrays from transverse to longitudinal arrays (Figure 1.3F), recruitment of \( \gamma \)-TuRC to existing MTs nucleate MTs at a certain angle, which appears to initiate the reorientation process (Lindeboom et al., 2013b).

MT severing is another way of generating MTs. Katanin, spastin and fidgetin are the three described MT severing enzymes, all of which are members of the AAA ATPase (reviewed in Roll-Mecak and McNally, 2010). The *Drosophila* spastin has been crystallized and the structure work combined with light and X-ray scattering in solution suggested an intriguing model that the spastin hexamer pulls off the tubulin tail to disassemble tubulin subunits (Roll-Mecak and Vale, 2008). In vivo, MT severing has been involved in generating short MTs for meiotic spindle in *C. elegans*, depolymerizing MTs from both ends during mitosis in *Drosophila S2* cells, debranching branched MTs in cortical MT arrays in *Arabidopsis*, assembling and disassembling cilia and flagella and generating MTs for axon elongation and branching (reviewed in Roll-Mecak and McNally, 2010).

MT severing plays an important role in non-centrosomal MT array formation, presumably by generating more MTs by exposing more MT ends. Spastin was identified as the most mutated gene in a neurodegenerative disease hereditary
spastic paraplegia (Hazan et al., 1999). Like its family member Katanin, purified spastin can sever and disassemble MTs (Roll-Mecak and Vale, 2005). When overexpressed in tissue culture cells or in vivo, spastin indeed disassembles MTs (Errico et al., 2002; Sherwood et al., 2004; Trotta et al., 2004). This MT disassembly function of spastin puzzled some researchers when they observed that spastin null mutant flies have fewer MT bundles in the axons at neuromuscular junctions (Sherwood et al., 2004), making them to propose that spastin may sever MTs generated in the cell body to facilitate their transport. Meanwhile, Trotta et al. (2004) proposed that spastin positively regulate synapse formation by promoting MT dynamics. Interestingly, katanin seems to increase the MT density by making short MTs in C. elegans meiotic spindle (McNally et al., 2006). A parallel hypothesis was then generalized to explain the less dense MTs observed in neuron processes (Roll-Mecak and Vale, 2006). A recent study in plant cortical MT array re-orientation elegantly illustrated this idea. The re-orientation is a rapid process when plant cortical arrays transiton from being transverse to longitudinal (Figure 1.3F). During this process, katanin is recruited to the MT crossover points, where newly growing MTs cross with existing ones, and selectively cut the new MTs to generate more plus ends growing in the same direction as new ones (Lindeboom et al., 2013b). This katanin-mediated severing contributes to the majority of newly formed longitudinal MTs (Lindeboom et al., 2013b), explaining the re-orientation defects in katanin mutants.
1.3.4 Array assembly: stabilizing and anchoring MTs

In order to form functional MT arrays, newly formed MTs need to be stabilized and anchored to certain structures. Ninein and the newly identified CAMSAP protein family are implicated in MT anchoring.

Ninein was shown to anchor MTs to both centrosomal and non-centrosomal sites in mammalian cells (Mogensen et al., 2000; Delgehyr et al., 2005). Ninein appears to be conserved in vertebrates and absent from invertebrates. In mouse fibroblast cells, both mother and daughter centrioles in the centrosomes can nucleate MTs, but ninein preferentially localizes to the mother centrioles, where MTs are anchored at (Mogensen et al., 2000). In mouse inner ear epithelial cells (cochlear cells), ninein localizes to both centrosomes and the apical surface, where MTs are anchored at (Mogensen et al., 2000). In fact, During inner ear epithelial development, cytoplasmic ninein became localized to the non-centrosomal apical sites (Moss et al., 2007). In cultured epithelial cells, endogenous ninein localizes to both centrosomes and cytoplasmic speckles (Moss et al., 2007). The ninein speckles frequently co-localize with MTs and are found to move along the MTs (Moss et al., 2007). Interestingly, ninein localizes to the cell junctions in living mouse epidermis and this junction localization depends on desmplakin (Lechler and Fuchs, 2007). It is worth noting though, that ninein has not been biochemically characterized, so we still don’t know whether it is a bona fide MT anchoring protein.

CAMSAPs are a new family of proteins that can cap and stabilize the MT
_minus ends. This protein family is highly conserved among all eumetazoa, i.e., animals with differentiated tissues (Baines et al., 2009). Invertebrates only have one CAMSAP, while vertebrates have three CAMSAPs in each species (Baines et al., 2009). All CAMSAPs have a conserved CKK domain at the C-terminus that mediates the MT interaction (Baines et al., 2009). Nezha/CAMSAP3 was first identified as a MT minus-end binding protein that tethers MTs to the zonula adherens in cultured epithelial cells through an interaction between Nezha and PLEKHA7 (Meng et al., 2008). Later, the Drosophila homologue of CAMSAPs, Patronin, was shown in vitro to directly bind to and protect the MT minus ends from being depolymerized by kinesin-13 (Goodwin and Vale, 2010). The mammalian CAMSAPs were recently characterized in vitro, and some unique properties of them were identified (discussed below; Jiang et al., 2014; Hendershott and Vale, 2014).

There are some interesting differences among the CAMSAPs and Patronin (Jiang et al., 2014; Hendershott and Vale, 2014). First, CAMSAPs and Drosophila Patronin affect the minus end dynamics differently. Binding of CAMSAP2, CAMSAP3 and Patronin dramatically slows down the minus end polymerization rate and stabilize the ends from being depolymerization by either kinesin-13 or breakage (Goodwin and Vale, 2010; Jiang et al., 2014; Hendershott and Vale, 2014), but CAMSAP1 does not affect the minus end polymerization and does not confer stability (Jiang et al., 2014). Second, CAMSAP2 and CAMSAP3 decorate a short MT stretch as they track the growing minus ends, while CAMSAP1 and Patronin only bind to the ends without forming stretches (Jiang et al., 2014; Hendershott
Third, CAMSAPs and Patronin use different domains to achieve minus end binding. CAMSAPs use their CKK domain for minus end binding, while an additional MBD domain in CAMSAP2 and CAMSAP3 is required for MT stabilization. In contrast, Patronin uses a different domain containing the coiled coil region for minus end binding and its CKK domain for MT stabilization (Jiang et al., 2014; Hendershott and Vale, 2014). Overall, the minus end regulation appears to be more complicated than simple capping and stabilization.

In vivo, CAMSAPs regulate non-centrosomal MTs that are involved in mitosis, cell polarity, cell migration and neurite growth. In Drosophila S2 cells, Patronin inhibition causes a short spindle phenotype (originally Patronin was named Ssp4 for short spindle 4; Goshima et al., 2007). Patronin also has a role in Drosophila embryonic mitosis to switch from poleward flux to anaphase B elongation (Wang et al., 2013). Depletion of CAMSAP2 from cultured epithelial cells leads to a Golgi reorientation defect indicative of polarity defects and reduces the cell migration ability in a monolayer wound healing assay (Jiang et al., 2014). Recently, CAMSAPs are shown to regulate neurite growth. In both cultured neurons and rat primary neurons, CAMSAP1 is required for neurite growth and the binding of its CC1 region with spectrin is important (King et al., 2014). In the nematode C. elegans, the CAMSAP homologue PTRN-1 localizes to punctates along the neurites and ptrn-1 mutant worms have abnormal neurite morphology (Marcette et al., 2014; Richardson et al., 2014).
Chapter 2

NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 control non-centrosomal MT array assembly in \textit{C. elegans} tissues

2.1 Summary

In contrast to the centrosomal microtubule arrays in dividing cells, many differentiated cells assemble non-centrosomal microtubule arrays adapted for specific cellular functions. From an RNAi screen in \textit{C. elegans}, we identified NOCA-1 as a novel protein that does not contribute to centrosome-driven embryonic cell divisions but is required to form microtubule arrays in the germline that are essential for organismal fertility. The \textit{noca-1} gene encodes 8 isoforms expressed in a variety of tissues. Two distinct long isoforms control assembly of microtubule
arrays in the germline and embryonic epidermis, respectively. In contrast, a short isoform functions in parallel to the microtubule minus end-binding protein Patronin (PTRN-1) to control assembly of microtubule arrays in the post-embryonic epidermis. Evidence for the redundant activity of NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 includes synthetic lethality and early larval stage dye permeability of *noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ* double mutants, both of which are rescued by selectively expressing PTRN-1 in the post-embryonic epidermis. In support of the genetic interaction, NOCA-1 co-sediments with taxol-stabilized microtubules from worm lysates and purified recombinant NOCA-1, like PTRN-1, binds to microtubule ends in a microtubule-anchoring assay. Live imaging of the epidermal syncytium where NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 function redundantly revealed an array of evenly spaced microtubule bundles that run circumferentially around the animal. This array, comprised of both stable and dynamic microtubules, is subtly affected in single *noca-1Δ* and *ptrn-1Δ* mutants but nearly completely eliminated in *noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ* worms, suggesting that this specialized non-centrosomal array supports epidermal integrity during animal growth. We conclude that NOCA-1 represents a new class of microtubule end-binding proteins with essential functions of its own, as well as parallel functions with Patronin, in the assembly of non-centrosomal microtubule arrays in multiple *C. elegans* tissues.
2.2 Introduction

MTs are often assembled into arrays to fulfill their diverse cellular functions. Dividing animal cells use centrosomes to assemble two radial MT arrays that form the bipolar mitotic spindle to segregate chromosomes. In contrast, fission yeast, differentiated animal cells and plant cells often assemble linear MT arrays (Bartolini and Gundersen, 2006). In the fission yeast *S. pombe*, three to five linear MT bundles attach to the nucleus and position the nucleus in the middle by balanced pushing force (Tran et al., 2001). In epithelial cells, parallel MTs run along the apical-basal axis and direct membrane trafficking (reviewed in Müsch, 2004; Nelson and Yeaman, 2001). In myotubes, MTs are originated from the nuclear periphery and transport myosin during sarcomere formation (Tassin et al., 1985; Pizon et al., 2005). In neurons, the linear MT arrays in the axons and dendrites support their unique shape and direct polarized organelle transport (reviewed in Hirokawa and Takemura, 2005). In growing plant hypocotyl cells, parallel MTs are transversely oriented in the cortical MT array to direct cellulose deposition to the cell wall (Paredez et al., 2006). Therefore, non-centrosomal MT arrays play critical roles in many differentiated cells by providing structure support and serving as transportation tracks. Nevertheless, it remains unclear how non-centrosomal MT arrays are assembled.

To assemble non-centrosomal arrays, MTs need to be first generated and then be stabilized and anchored. MTs can be generated by γ-tubulin mediated nu-
cleation or by MT severing. γ-Tubulin functions in a ring-shaped protein complex γ-TuRC (γ-Tubulin Ring Complex) to control MT nucleation (Zheng et al., 1995; Oegema et al., 1999). γ-TuRC as well as the MT nucleation activity frequently re-locates to non-centrosomal sites in differentiated cells, such as the perinuclear iMOTC in fission yeast (Tran et al., 2001), the apical surface in epithelial cells (Meads and Schroer, 1995; Jankovics and Brunner, 2006; Brodu et al., 2010; Fridolfsson and Starr, 2010; Feldman and Priess, 2012), the nuclear envelope in myotubes (Tassin et al., 1985), the Golgi outposts in neuronal processes (Ori-McKenney et al., 2012) and the existing MT lattice in plant cells (Lindeboom et al., 2013b). Therefore, it seems that MTs are generated by localized MT nucleations in many cases. On the other hand, MT severing contributes to MT generations in both neurons and plants, presumably by generating short “MT seeds” (Roll-Mecak and Vale, 2006). In neurons, the MT severing enzymes spastin and katanin are required to generate MTs for axon elongation and branching (reviewed in Roll-Mecak and McNally, 2010). In plant hypocotyl cells, katanin mediates the reorientation of cortical MT arrays from being transverse to longitudinal (Lindeboom et al., 2013b). During the reorientation, katanin is recruited to the MT crossover point, where newly growing MTs cross with existing MTs, and selectively cut the new MTs to generate more plus ends growing in the same direction as new ones (Lindeboom et al., 2013b). Thus, MTs in non-centrosomal arrays are generated by localized MT nucleation or MT severing.

MT stabilization and anchoring require players other than the γ-TuRC,
although this MT nucleating complex can cap the minus ends and block polymerization (Zheng et al., 1995). Ninein and CAMSAPs are involved in MT minus end anchoring. In mammalian cells, ninein has been shown to anchor MTs to both centrosomal and non-centrosomal sites (Mogensen et al., 2000). In mouse epidermis, localization of ninein to cellular junctions is dependent on desmoplakin (Lechler and Fuchs, 2007). However, it remains unclear whether ninein directly binds to MTs due to the lack of in vitro study. CAMSAPs belong to a conserved protein family in eumetazoa and all CAMSAPs contain a CKK domain that binds to MTs (Baines et al., 2009). All three CAMSAPs in human and the only CAMSAP homolog patronin in Drosophila can bind specifically to the MT minus ends, but they differ in abilities of reducing minus end dynamics (Jiang et al., 2014; Hendershott and Vale, 2014). In cultured epithelial cells, Nezha/CAMSAP3 was shown to anchor MTs to the zonula adherens through an interaction between Nezha and PLEKHA7 (Meng et al., 2008). In C. elegans, mutating the only CAMSAP homolog PTRN-1 affects the MT stability in neurites and results in abnormal neurite morphology (Marcette et al., 2014; Richardson et al., 2014). Therefore, MT minus end anchoring mediated by ninein or CAMSAPs is important for assembling non-centrosomal arrays.

In a RNAi screen in C. elegans, we identified NOCA-1 (NOn-Centrosomal Array-1) as a novel protein that specifically functions in MT formation in differentiated tissues (Green et al., 2011). Depletion of NOCA-1, just like depletion of γ-tubulin, results in a catastrophic germline phenotype featured by multinu-
Figure 2.1: NOCA-1 specifically function in non-centrosomal MT array formation.

(A) Top: schematic and images of germlines expressing GFP::PH and mCherry::Histone. Bottom: plot of the brood size after depletion of γ-tubulin or NOCA-1.

(B) Top: schematic and images of dividing 1-cell embryos expressing GFP::Tubulin and mCherry::Histone. Bottom: plot of the embryonic lethality after depletion of γ-tubulin or NOCA-1.

Error bars are SEM of the brood size or percent lethality per worm. Scale bars, 10 μm.
cleation and vesiculation and causes sterility (Figure 2.1A; Green et al., 2011). Additionally, NOCA-1 depletion reduces the number of growing MTs and causes nuclear migration defects in embryonic epidermis (Green et al., 2011). However, unlike γ-tubulin, NOCA-1 is dispensable for centrosome driven embryonic divisions (Figure 2.1B). Therefore, NOCA-1 is a novel player functioning in non-centrosomal MT array formation, but the mechanism of NOCA-1 function is unknown.

Here, we show that NOCA-1 binds to the MT ends and controls MT array formation in multiple C. elegans tissues, either by itself, or together with PTRN-1, which is the only CAMSAP homolog in C. elegans. NOCA-1 has eight isoforms expressing in many tissues. Two of the long isoforms control MT array formation in the germline and embryonic epidermis, respectively. In contrast, a short isoform functions together with PTRN-1 to control assembly of MT arrays in post-embryonic epidermis. Mechanistically, both NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 co-sediment with taxol-stabilized MTs from worm lysates and purified NOCA-1 as well as PTRN-1 binds to MT ends in a MT anchoring assay. We propose that NOCA-1 represents a new class of MT end binding proteins with essential functions of its own, as well as parallel functions with Patronin, in the assembly of non-centrosomal microtubule arrays in multiple C. elegans tissues.
2.3 Results

2.3.1 NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 are genetically redundant for normal C. elegans development

We found that noca-1Δ and ptrn-1Δ were synthetic larval lethal (Figure 2.2A). Both NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 were previously implicated in MT array formation (Green et al., 2011; Marcette et al., 2014; Richardson et al., 2014). Despite some other defects, noca-1Δ worms grew up to be morphologically normal adults (Figure 2.2A), indicating the organismal development was largely normal. PTRN-1 is the only CAMSAP homolog in C. elegans (Baines et al., 2009) and ptrn-1 mutants had abnormal neurites (Marcette et al., 2014; Richardson et al., 2014). However, we made a null ptrn-1Δ allele using MosDEL (Figure 2.3; Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2010) and found the mutant worms had no obvious phenotypes (Figure 2.2A). In sharp contrast, noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ double mutants grew much slower and about 60% of the worms died during the first three days of post-embryonic development (Figure 2.2A and B). Most deaths occurred during the L4 and early adult stages (Figure 2.2B). The 40% survivors were only half the size of wild type worms and they failed to move normally (unc, for uncoordinated; Figure 2.2A). Therefore, we conclude that NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 function redundantly to support the post-embryonic development of C. elegans.

We suspected the epidermal integrity of noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ double mutants was affected for two reasons. First, when the double mutants died, they were
often broken up with some internal tissues leaking out. Second, the double mutant worms were more susceptible to the coverslip compression when mounted onto the imaging slide. To test this idea, we examined the permeability of worms to a HOECHST DNA dye (Moribe et al., 2004) and found that \textit{noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ} worms became permeable from early larval stage (Figure 2.2C). The percentage of permeable worms increased as development went on (Figure 2.2C). In addition, the epithelial junction marked by DLG-1::GFP was defective in \textit{noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ} double mutants (Figure 2.2D). The epidermis of adult \textit{C. elegans} comprises one large syncytium covering the majority of its body area (hyp7), two lateral syncytia formed by fusion of seam cells and several other syncytia at the head and tail (Figure 2.2D; Chisholm and Hsiao, 2012). DLG-1::GFP marks the apical junctions between these syncytia. Normally, the seam junctions are connected to the head epidermis and appear to be two parallel lines running along the whole seam length (Figure 2.2D). In \textit{noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ} double mutants, however, the seam junctions were disconnected from the head epidermis and also had broken points or abnormal branches (Figure 2.2D). Therefore, NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 are required to maintain the epidermal integrity in post-embryonic worms.
Figure 2.2: NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 are genetically redundant for normal *C. elegans* development.

(A) Left: images of control and mutant worms at 72 hours post L1 recovery. Right: plots of the frequency of living worms at 72 hours post L1 stage for indicated genotypes. Error bars are SD of 3 to 5 independent experiments. n shows the total number of analyzed worms.

(B) Growth curve and death curve of worms with indicated genotypes. Error bars are SEM.

(C) Top: DIC and fluorescent images of worms after staining with Hoechst33258 DNA dye. Bottom: plot of percent permeable worms for indicated genotypes. Error bars are margin of error at 95% confidence.

(D) Left: schematic and images of worms expressing DLG-1::GFP. Right: plot of percent worms with indicated phenotypes. Error bars are margin of error at 95% confidence.

Scale bars, 10 µm unless specified.
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**Figure 2.3:** Validation of a null *ptrn-1Δ* allele by MosDEL.

(A) Schematic showing the PTRN-1 isoforms, deleted region in *ptrn-1Δ* and the PTRN-1 domain structure.

(B) Immunoblot using a polyclonal antibody targeting the C-terminal region of PTRN-1.
2.3.2 NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 control MT array assembly in the post-embryonic epidermis

The developmental defects in *noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ* worms could originate from one or several tissues. To determine whether NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 function in specific tissues, we used MosSCI (Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2008) to construct transgenic worms expressing PTRN-1 under a series of tissue-specific promoters and tested whether they can rescue the synthetic lethality of *noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ* worms. We found that expressing PTRN-1 only in the epidermis (Pdpy-7), but not neurons (Prgef-1) or pharynx and intestine (Ppha-4), could rescue both the lethality and unc phenotype of *noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ* worms (Figure 2.4A). Thus, NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 function specifically in the post-embryonic epidermis to support *C. elegans* development.

Because both NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 were involved in MT array formation in other contexts, we want to determine whether MT arrays in *noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ* worms were affected. Live imaging of GFP labeled MTs in the post-embryonic epidermis revealed a MT array of evenly spaced MT bundles that run circumferentially around the animal (Figure 2.4B). This MT array seemed to be at the very surface of cells, since the fluorescence signal decreased rapidly when the imaging focus moved inside (data not shown). Some MTs in the array were relatively stable, while others exhibited clear dynamics (Figure 2.5A). While this array was only subtly affected in single *noca-1Δ* and *ptrn-1Δ* mutants, it was nearly eliminated
in *noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ* double mutants (Figure 2.4C and D). Therefore, we conclude that NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 function redundantly to control MT array formation in the post-embryonic epidermis.

Interestingly, the MT dynamics was differently affected in *noca-1Δ* and *ptrn-1Δ* mutants. We quantified the MT dynamics by counting growth and shrinkage events that pass a line perpendicular to the MT arrays with the aid of kymographs. A MT growth event was indicated by the appearance of signal along the time axis (green arrowhead in Figure 2.4E), while the shrinkage event was indicated by the disappearance of signal (red arrowhead in Figure 2.4E). We found only half dynamic events (growth or shrinkage) in *noca-1Δ* single mutants and more than 50% of dynamic events in *ptrn-1Δ* mutants (Figure 2.4E). Thus, we conclude that inhibiting NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 have different effects in MT dynamics.
**Figure 2.4:** NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 control MT array assembly in the post-embryonic epidermis.

(A) Left: schematic of PTRN-1::GFP transgenes under tissue-specific promoters. Right: plot of the frequency of living worms at 72 hours post L1 stage for indicated genotypes. Error bars are SEM of 3 to 5 independent experiments. n shows the total number of analyzed worms.

(B) Schematic and images of MTs marked by TBB-2::GFP in the post-embryonic epidermis.

(C) Images of post-embryonic epidermis in worms with indicated genotypes.

(D) Left: schematic showing method to measure of the MT bundle density. Right: plot of the MT bundle density in worms with indicated genotypes. Error bars are SEM.

(E) Left: kymograph showing examples of MT shrinkage and MT growth. Right: plot of the frequency of indicated MT dynamic events, growth or shrinkage in worms with indicated genotypes.

Scale bars, 10 µm unless specified.
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Figure 2.5: Post-embryonic MTs are dynamic and delineate the cuticle annuli. 
(A) Kymograph showing MT growth and shrinkage events in post-embryonic epidermal arrays. 
(B) Top: schematic describing the organisation of post-embryonic epidermal MT arrays. Bottom left: DIC image showing the cuticle annuli and fluorescent image showing the MT bundles. Bottom right: line scan plots of the images to their left. Scale bars, 10 µm unless specified.
2.3.3 NOCA-1 controls non-centrosomal MT assembly in the germline and embryonic epidermis

Besides the redundant role with PTRN-1 in the post-embryonic epidermis, NOCA-1 alone was shown to be important for non-centrosomal MT array formation in both the germline and embryonic epidermis (Green et al., 2011). Consistent with that, we found that noca-1Δ worms had disorganized germlines and were completely sterile (Figure 2.6A). In addition, noca-1Δ worms all had nuclear migration defects due to its function in MT formation in the embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.6D). By contrast, ptrn-1Δ worms had normal germline morphology, normal brood size and no nuclear migration defects (Figure 2.6A and D).

Next, we wanted to determine whether MTs were directly affected when NOCA-1 was inhibited. In the germline syncytium, nuclei are positioned inside their own membrane compartments and each compartment has a small opening to the middle shared cytoplasm termed rachis (Figure 2.6B). Live imaging of a GFP labeled β-tubulin transgene (TBB-2::GFP) revealed that dense MT arrays almost filled up the cytoplasmic space both in the membrane compartments and the rachis (Figure 2.6B, right). The high MT density impaired phenotypic analysis by direct MT visualization. Instead, we capitalized on a GFP labeled EB1 transgene (EB1::GFP), which tracks the growing MT ends (Figure 2.6C). The number of growing MTs were reduced by more than 30% after depletion of NOCA-1 or γ-tubulin (Figure 2.6C, right). Therefore, we conclude that NOCA-1 is required for
MT array formation in the germline.

During nuclear migration, MTs in the dorsal epidermis of *C. elegans* embryos were oriented along the long axis of each cell (Figure 2.6E). It was reported that depleting NOCA-1 reduced the number of growing MT ends marked by EB1::GFP. We adopted a similar strategy to determine whether MTs are affected in *noca-1*Δ embryos. Our results showed the number of growing MTs was reduced by more than 50% in *noca-1*Δ embryos than in wild type embryos (Figure 2.6F). Thus, we conclude that NOCA-1 is required for MT array formation in the embryonic epidermis.

In sum, NOCA-1 alone controls assembly of non-centrosomal MT arrays in the germline to maintain the germline architecture and organismal fertility and linear MT arrays in the embryonic epidermis for nuclear migration.
Figure 2.6: NOCA-1 alone controls MT assembly in the germline and embryonic epidermis.

(A) Left: schematic of germline structure. Middle: images of the germline pachytene region of worms expressing membrane and DNA markers with indicated genotypes. Right: plot of the brood size of worms between 24 and 48 hours post L4 stage. Error bars are SEM. Note that the Y axis is offset a bit to show the all 0 data of noca-1Δ.

(B) Left: schematic of MT arrays in the germline. Right: images and amplified region of the germline pachytene region of worms expressing GFP MT markers.

(C) Images of post-embryonic epidermis in worms with indicated genotypes.

(D) Left: schematic showing method to measure of the MT bundle density. Right: plot of the MT bundle density in worms with indicated genotypes. Error bars are SEM.

(E) Left: kymograph showing examples of MT shrinkage and MT growth. Right: plot of the frequency of indicated MT dynamic events, growth or shrinkage in worms with indicated genotypes.

Scale bars, 10 µm unless specified.
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2.3.4 Distinct NOCA-1 isoforms function in different tissues

NOCA-1 had seven annotated splicing isoforms (Wormbase). We identified an eighth isoform (NOCA-1h) that was later shown to be both necessary and sufficient for the germline function. All NOCA-1 isoforms have a unique N-terminal domain fused to a common 466 amino-acid C-terminal domain that contains a coiled-coil region as its only identifiable feature (Figure 2.7A). Its five longest isoforms (a, b, c, f and h) have an additional 205 amino-acid common region (cyan box in Figure 2.7A). A 657 bp deletion of *noca-1* caused a frame shift and removed the majority of the protein including the coiled-coil domain from all NOCA-1 isoforms (ok3692; red line in Figure 2.7A). A polyclonal antibody raised against the coiled-coil region recognized four major species on a western blot. These bands represented NOCA-1 isoforms, because they were not present in *noca-1Δ* mutants (Figure 2.7B, black arrows). NOCA-1 is well conserved in other nematodes including the parasites *Brugia malayi* and *Loa loa* (Figure 2.8), but we have not yet identified homologs outside of nematodes.

We used isoform-specific RNAi to determine which NOCA-1 isoform functions in the germline. The 750 bp isoform-specific N-terminus of NOCA-1h allowed for specific and efficient depletion of NOCA-1 isoform h (Figure 2.7B, right). Depletion of NOCA-1h alone disrupted the germline architecture and resulted in sterility, similar to depleting all NOCA-1 isoforms (Figure 2.7C). Moreover, expressing a
RNAi resistant form of NOCA-1h in the germline rescued both the morphology defect and the sterility of NOCA-1 depletion (Figure 2.7D and E). Therefore, we conclude that NOCA-1 isoform h is both necessary and sufficient for the germline function.

To determine which isoform functions in the embryonic epidermis, we made single-copy transgenes expressing subsets of NOCA-1 isoforms and tested whether they could rescue the nuclear migration defect of \textit{noca-1}\( \Delta \) mutants. The \textit{noca-1}\textit{long} transgene expressing isoforms a, b, c, f, g and h rescued the nuclear migration defect of \textit{noca-1}\( \Delta \), but the \textit{noca-1}\textit{gh} transgene expressing only isoforms g and h could not rescue (Figure 2.7F). Therefore, one or several isoforms of a, b, c and f function in the embryonic epidermis. To distinguish among the four isoforms, premature stop codons were introduced in the \textit{noca-1}\textit{long} transgene to delete these isoforms one by one (named \textit{noca-1}\textit{long}\( \Delta a, b, c \) or \( f \)). Among the four isoform-deleted transgenes, only \textit{noca-1}\textit{long}\( \Delta b \) failed to rescue the nuclear migration defect, indicating that NOCA-1 isoform b functions in the epidermis (Figure 2.7F). We then tested the sufficiency by expressing only NOCA-1 isoform b using an epidermis promoter (P\textit{lbp-1}; Fridolfsson and Starr, 2010) and found expressing NOCA-1b alone rescued the nuclear migration defect of \textit{noca-1}\( \Delta \) mutants (Figure 2.7F). Thus, we conclude that NOCA-1 isoform b functions in the embryonic epidermis.

Using a similar strategy, we found a short NOCA-1 isoform (NOCA-1d) functions together with PTRN-1 in the post-embryonic epidermis. First, the \textit{noca-1}\textit{long} transgene that rescued both the sterility and the nuclear migration defects
in embryonic epidermis failed to rescue the synthetic lethality of \textit{noca-1}\textsuperscript{Δ};\textit{ptrn-1}\textsuperscript{Δ} double mutants (Figure 2.7G), suggesting that the short isoforms were important in this case. Indeed, the \textit{noca-1}\textit{short::mCherry} transgene was able to rescue the synthetic lethality (Figure 2.7G). We then tested which of the two short NOCA-1 isoforms are responsible for this rescue by driving expression of either NOCA-1\textit{d::mCherry} or NOCA-1\textit{e::mCherry} and found that NOCA-1 isoform d, but not e, could rescue the synthetic lethality (Figure 2.7G). Therefore, we conclude that NOCA-1 isoform d functions together with PTRN-1 in the post-embryonic epidermis.

In sum, we found that NOCA-1 uses specific isoforms to function in specific tissues in all three tested tissues. Two long isoforms of NOCA-1, h and b, functions in the germline and embryonic epidermis, respectively. On the other hand, a short NOCA-1 isoform, NOCA-1\textit{d}, functions in parallel with PTRN-1 in the post-embryonic epidermis.
Figure 2.7: NOCA-1 uses specific isoforms for functions in different tissues.
(A) Schematic of NOCA-1 isoforms.
(B) Immunoblot of NOCA-1 in worms with indicated genotypes or RNAi. Black arrows, NOCA-1 isoforms. Red arrow, NOCA-1 isoform h.
(C) Images showing the germline morphology marked by GFP::PH and mCherry::Histone of worms with indicated RNAi.
(D) Top: schematic showing a NOCA-1h::GFP transgene that is resistant to RNAi. Bottom: image showing the membrane localization of NOCA-1h::GFP transgene in the germline pachytene region.
(E) Plots of the brood size for worms with indicated perturbations.
(F) and (G) Left: schematic showing NOCA-1 transgenes expressing different sets of its isoforms. Right: plots of nuclei in the dorsal cord, or living worms after 3 days post-embryonic development, for worms with indicated genotypes.
Error bars are SEM of the plotted data points in (E) and (F), or of 3-5 independent experiments in (G). Scale bars, 10 µm unless specified.
Figure 2.8: NOCA-1 is conserved across nematodes. Alignment of NOCA-1 in *C. elegans*, *C. briggsae*, *Loa loa* and *Brugia malayi*. The region of NOCA-1 is the shared region of all isoforms (green colored region in Figure 2.7A). Blue color shows the percentage of identical amino acids.
2.3.5 A long isoform specific domain is required for NOCA-1’s function in the germline and embryonic epidermis

Two reasons could account for the fact that specific NOCA-1 isoforms function in specific tissues. First, it could be the isoform specific domain has special functions in corresponding tissues. Second, it could be only certain isoforms are expressed in certain tissues at the right time, while other isoforms is equally capable to function when properly expressed. Our results suggested it is a combination of both.

To start with, we did truncational analysis to test whether the N-terminal isoform-specific domain (differently colored in Figure 2.7A) or the long-isoform domain (cyan box in Figure 2.7A) was required for NOCA-1’s function in the three tissues of interest. In the germline, a transgene expressing NOCA-1h(252-922)::GFP which lacks the h-specific domain was fully capable to rescue the sterility caused by NOCA-1h depletion (Figure 2.9B). In contrast, a further truncation NOCA-1h(457-922)::GFP which also lacks the long-isoform domain failed to rescue, suggesting this long-isoform domain is functionally important in the germline (Figure 2.9B). The lack of rescue ability of (457-922)::GFP is not due to low expression levels, as western blot by a GFP antibody revealed similar expression levels of the two truncations (Figure 2.9A). Consistent with that, ectopic germline expression of another long isoform, NOCA-1c, but not a short isoform, NOCA-1d, was able to rescue the sterility caused by NOCA-1h depletion (Figure 2.10B).
Similarly, we found that the isoform b-specific domain was dispensable while the long-isoform domain was required for NOCA-1’s function in the embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.9C and D). However, in the case of post-embryonic epidermis, both truncations with and without the long-isoform domain can rescue the lethality of \textit{noca-1\Delta:ptrn-1\Delta} double mutants (Figure 2.9E). Thus, the (457-922)::GFP truncation is able to fold properly at least in some tissue, ruling out another trivial explanation for its inability to function in the germline and embryonic epidermis. To summarize, in all tested cases, the N-terminal isoform-specific domain is dispensable for NOCA-1’s function, but the long-isoform domain is important for NOCA-1’s function in the germline and embryonic epidermis, where NOCA-1 function by itself.
Figure 2.9: A long isoform specific domain is required for NOCA-1’s function in the germline and embryonic epidermis.  
(A and C) Immunoblot of worms expressing indicated transgenes.  
(B, D and E) Left: schematic of transgenes expressing indicated NOCA-1 truncations. Right: plots of the brood size, nuclei in the dorsal cord or living worms after 3 days of post-embryonic development.  
Error bars are SEM for the plotted data points in (B) and (D), or of 3-5 independent experiments in (E). Scale bars, 10 µm unless specified.
**Figure 2.10:** Another long isoform, NOCA-1c, can replace the germline function of NOCA-1h.

(A) Immunoblot of worms expressing indicated transgenes.

(B) Left: schematic of NOCA-1 transgenes expressing isoforms c and d. Right: plot of the brood size of worms expressing indicated transgenes after depleting endogenous NOCA-1h. Error bars are SEM.
2.3.6 NOCA-1 co-localizes with γ-tubulin

The membrane localization of NOCA-1 in the germline is reminiscent of the reported γ-tubulin localization (Zhou et al., 2009). We crossed a NOCA-1::GFP transgene and a γ-tubulin::mCherry transgene together and found they indeed co-localized to the plasma membrane in the germline (Figure 2.11A). Interestingly, γ-tubulin::mCherry was also found at the centrosomes, while NOCA-1::GFP was not (red arrow in Figure 2.11A). Similarly, NOCA-1::GFP colocalized with γ-tubulin::mCherry at the apical surface and junctions in embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.11C). We conclude that NOCA-1 and γ-tubulin co-localize to the non-centrosomal sites in the germline and embryonic epidermis.

Because NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 function in parallel in the post-embryonic epidermis, it is thus interesting to compare the localization of NOCA-1, PTRN-1 and γ-tubulin in this tissue. NOCA-1::GFP, but not PTRN-1::GFP, co-localized with γ-tubulin::mCherry at the epithelial junctions between the seam cells and the major body epidermal syncytium (hyp7; Figure 2.11D). In addition, NOCA-1::GFP were also found at small punctates at the surface of the epidermal syncytium, where the circumferential MT arrays were found, but PTRN-1::GFP had a distinct localization pattern featured by both small punctates and short stretches (Figure 2.12). γ-Tubulin::mCherry did not have the punctate localization, presumably due to the dim signal of mCherry; indeed, γ-Tubulin::GFP had small punctates closely resembled that of NOCA-1::GFP (Figure 2.12). Interestingly,
γ-tubulin::mCherry, but not NOCA-1::GFP, was present at the centrosomes in the lateral epidermal cells termed seam cells (red arrow in Figure 2.11D). Thus, we conclude that NOCA-1, but not PTRN-1, co-localize with γ-tubulin to the non-centrosomal sites in post-embryonic epidermis.

The co-localization of NOCA-1 and γ-tubulin led to an attractive proposal that NOCA-1 might recruit γ-tubulin to non-centrosomal sites for MT nucleation. However, in the noca-1Δ mutants, γ-tubulin::mCherry still localized to the plasma membrane of the disorganized germline and to the epithelial junctions of post-embryonic epidermis, indicating that NOCA-1 is dispensable for the observed non-centrosomal localization of γ-tubulin (Figure 2.11B).

Conversely, γ-tubulin or the γ-tubulin nucleated MTs may recruit NOCA-1 to the non-centrosomal sites. We can approach this question in the germline due to its accessibility for γ-tubulin depletion, but analysis in development is prevented by the requirement of γ-tubulin in early cell divisions. In the germline, full length NOCA-1h::GFP still localized to the membrane when the MT nucleator γ-tubulin or the MT subunit β-tubulin was depleted (Figure 2.11E, left). This result suggested that either γ-tubulin or the MTs that are nucleated by γ-tubulin were important for the membrane localization. We are currently doing experiments with nocodazole treatment to distinguish between these two possibilities.

Interestingly, the N-terminal isoform h-specific domain (purple colored box in the diagram) contains a predicted palmitoylation site (cysteine 10) which may account for a redundant membrane localization mechanism for full length NOCA-
1h (Ren et al., 2008). Consistent with this idea, the h-specific domain (1-252)::GFP was able to localize to the plasma membrane and this membrane localization was abolished when the predicted palmitoylation site was mutated from cysteine to alanine (C10A, Figure 2.11F). To conclude, the core functional domain of NOCA-1h requires \( \gamma \)-tubulin and MTs for its membrane localization, while full length NOCA-1h has an additional membrane targeting mechanism conferred by the N-terminal domain, possibly through a lipid modification.
Figure 2.11: NOCA-1 co-localizes with γ-tubulin to non-centrosomal sites.
(A) Left: schematic of the imaged region in (A), (B), (E) and (F). Right: images of the pachytene surface of worms expressing both NOCA-1::GFP and γ::mCherry.
(B) Images showing the localization of γ-tubulin::mCherry in the germline of worms with indicated genotypes.
(C) Left: schematic of the imaged region. Right: images of a late stage embryo expressing both NOCA-1::GFP and γ-tubulin::mCherry.
(D) Top: schematic showing the imaged region. Middle and bottom, images of the post-embryonic epidermis of worms expressing indicated transgenes.
(E) Top: schematic of the transgenes. Bottom, images of the germline pachytene surface (as indicated in A) of worms depleted of γ-tubulin or β-tubulin.
(F) Left: schematic of the transgenes and corresponding images of the germline pachytene surface (as indicated in A). Right: immunoblot of worms expressed indicated transgenes using anti-GFP antibody. Scale bars, 10 µm.
**Vertical lines in the images are autofluorescence of the cuticle.**

**Figure 2.12:** PTRN-1, but not NOCA-1 and γ-tubulin, has short stretch localization patterns.

Top: schematic of the imaged region in the post-embryonic epidermis. Bottom: images of worms expressing indicated transgenes.

Scale bar, 10 µm. Note that the vertical lines in all images are green autofluorescence of the cuticle.
2.3.7 Purified NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 bind to the MT ends

The relatively sparse MTs in post-embryonic epidermis allowed us to analyze the localization of NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 in relation to the MTs. We found that both NOCA-1::GFP and PTRN-1::GFP could localize onto tagRFP labeled MTs (Figure 2.13A). In the case of both NOCA-1 and PTRN-1, some GFP punctates or stretches were clearly at the MT ends, while others appeared to be on the MT lattice of MT bundles (Figure 2.13A). Thus, NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 may bind to MTs to fulfill their functions. To determine whether NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 bind to MTs, we started with a MT co-sedimentation assay from the worm lysate. We found that both NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 co-sedimented with taxol-stabilized MTs from the worm lysate, suggesting they could both bind to MTs, either directly or indirectly (Figure 2.13B).

To characterize the biochemical activity of NOCA-1 and PTRN-1, we purified recombinant NOCA-1 fragment, PTRN-1 and Drosophila Patronin (DmPatronin) from baculovirus infected insect cells (Figure 2.13C). The DmPatronin was previously shown to bind to the MT minus ends, thus serving as a positive control for minus end binding (Goodwin and Vale, 2010). We determined the native molecular weight of the purified proteins using a method combining gel filtration and sucrose gradient analysis (Siegel and Monty, 1966), and found that NOCA-1 was dimers, while PTRN-1 and DmPatronin were monomers in solution (Table 2.1). The ratio of Smax and S for both NOCA-1 fragments are close to 2.0, indicating
that the protein dimer has a slightly elongated shape (Table 2.1; Siegel and Monty, 1966).

### Table 2.1: Hydrodynamic analysis for NOCA-1 and PTRN-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GFP&amp;His tagged</th>
<th>S value</th>
<th>Rs (nm)</th>
<th>Mr S-M (Kd)</th>
<th>Mr aa(Kd)</th>
<th>Smax/S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DmPatronin</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>236.8</td>
<td>219.6</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTRN-1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>178.1</td>
<td>153.3</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOCA-1h (252-922)</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>234.9</td>
<td>104.3</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOCA-1h (457-922)</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>175.4</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
binding can be easily visualized in the maximum intensity projection of time lapse images, where a fan-out MT appearance indicates end binding and a linear MT appearance indicates side binding. Using this assay, we found that purified NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 can both bind to the MT ends (Figure 2.13D).

To determine which ends NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 may bind to, we used a kinesin gliding assay. In this assay, a conventional kinesin motor K560 was immobilized on the coverslip and red MTs were added in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$ and ATP to allow kinesin-driven MT movement. Since conventional kinesin moves to the MT plus ends, the leading MT ends in this assay are the minus ends (Figure 2.13E). As the positive control DmPatronin, PTRN-1 also bound specifically to the MT minus ends (Figure 2.13E). However, the gliding assay requires the test protein to function in low salt buffer ($\leq 100$ mM), but purified NOCA-1 protein do not. For the time being, we could not yet determine which MT ends that NOCA-1 binds to, although we have tried a number of buffer conditions for NOCA-1 fragments (252-922), (457-922) with or without GFP tag, as well as the NOCA-1 homolog from the closely related nematode *C. briggsae*. This lack of functionality in solutions may indicate a missing binding partner of NOCA-1 has to be present for its proper function. To conclude, we found that NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 can both bind to the MT ends, and PTRN-1 specifically binds to the minus ends.
Figure 2.13: NOCA-1 uses specific isoforms for functions in different tissues.
(A) Top and middle: images of worms expressing indicated transgenes. Bottom: schematic showing the imaged region.
(B) Immunoblots and coomassie staining of protein pellets from worm lysates with indicated treatments. DMSO is solvent control, nocodazole depolymerizes MTs and taxol stabilizes MTs.
(C) Left: schematic showing recombinant proteins purified from baculovirus infected insect cells or bacteria (only K560-GFP-His is from bacteria). Right: coomassie staining of indicated recombinant proteins.
(D) Left: schematic showing the experiment set up and image processing. Middle: representative images showing end binding or lattice binding of MTs. Right: plot of percentage of end-binding or lattice binding of indicated recombinant proteins.
(E) Left: schematic showing the experiment set up. Middle: kymographs showing gliding MTs with the leading end ends decorated by green dots. Right: plot of percentage of plus end binding, minus end binding or lattice binding for indicated recombinant proteins.
Scale bars, 10 µm.
2.4 Discussion

In this study, we have found that NOCA-1 is a novel MT end binding protein that controls non-centrosomal MT array formation in multiple *C. elegans* tissues, either on its own or in parallel with a minus end binding protein PTRN-1. Genetic analysis and transgene rescue experiments show that two distinct long NOCA-1 isoforms are required to assemble non-centrosomal arrays in the germline and embryonic epidermis, while a short NOCA-1 isoform and PTRN-1 function together to promote non-centrosomal array assembly in the post-embryonic epidermis. Mechanistically, both NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 can localize to MT ends in cells, co-sediment with taxol-stabilized MTs from worm lysates and bind to the MT ends in vitro. This study identified a new class of MT end binding protein represented by NOCA-1 and established the functional importance of MT end binding proteins in non-centrosomal MT array formation in the context of a developing organism for the first time.

2.4.1 NOCA-1 is a novel MT end binding protein

Several lines of evidence support that NOCA-1 binds to MTs and to the MT ends. First, NOCA-1::GFP can localize to the MT ends in post-embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.13A). Second, NOCA-1 co-sediments with taxol-stabilized MTs from the worm lysate (Figure 2.13B), where many MT associating proteins are competing for the binding sites on MTs. Third, purified NOCA-1 binds to the MT
ends as demonstrated in the MT anchoring assay (Figure 2.13D). Nonetheless, we want to point out that the MT anchoring assay may not represent the physiological condition, since it assays the coverslip immobilized protein aggregates. It would be ideal to examine the MT binding in solution based assays, such as the kinesin gliding assay used for DmPatronin and PTRN-1, but purified NOCA-1 behaves poorly in low salt buffer, preventing the analysis using solution based assays. On the other hand, NOCA-1 does localize at some MT ends in post-embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.13A) and MT end binding is not commonly seen, arguing that the MT end binding of NOCA-1 is real. Thus, we propose that NOCA-1 represents a new class of MT end binding protein.

Alternatively, NOCA-1 could stimulate the MT nucleation activity of γ-tubulin. In the germline, embryonic and post-embryonic epidermis, NOCA-1 co-localizes with γ-tubulin at non-centrosomal sites, although it is not required to recruit γ-tubulin (Figure 2.11A-D). However, a functional NOCA-1 truncation in the germline requires γ-tubulin for its plasma membrane localization (Figure 2.9B, Figure 2.11E). Thus, it is plausible that the γ-tubulin complex needs to recruit NOCA-1 for its nucleation activity. However, NOCA-1 is not found at the centrosomes in the germline, where γ-tubulin is also localized to (Figure 2.11A), suggesting that either γ-tubulin need to be activated on the plasma membrane, or the nucleated MTs rather than γ-tubulin itself are recruiting NOCA-1.
2.4.2 PTRN-1 selectively binds to the MT minus ends

PTRN-1 belongs to the CAMSAP protein family, whose members have been shown to bind to the MT minus ends. The only *Drosophila* homolog of CAMSAP, Patronin, and the three human CAMSAPs, CAMSAP1/2/3, are all shown to bind specifically to the MT minus ends (Meng *et al.*, 2008; Goodwin and Vale, 2010; Jiang *et al.*, 2014; Hendershott and Vale, 2014). Here we presented direct evidence to show that PTRN-1 also binds specifically to the MT minus ends. Most importantly, purified PTRN-1 binds to the leading ends of gliding MTs in the kinesin gliding assay (Figure 2.13E). Thus, it seems that minus end binding is a conserved activity of the CAMSAP family members.

However, there are some interesting differences among the characterized CAMSAP proteins, although all of them can bind to the minus ends. First, human CAMSAPs and DmPatronin affect the minus end dynamics differently. Binding of CAMSAP2, CAMSAP3 and DmPatronin dramatically slows down the minus end polymerization rate and stabilize the ends from being depolymerization by either kinesin-13 or breakage (Goodwin and Vale, 2010; Jiang *et al.*, 2014; Hendershott and Vale, 2014), but CAMSAP1 does not affect the minus end polymerization and does not confer stability (Jiang *et al.*, 2014). Second, CAMSAP2 and CAMSAP3 decorate a short MT stretch as they track the growing minus ends, while CAMSAP1 and DmPatronin only track the ends without forming stretches (Jiang *et al.*, 2014; Hendershott and Vale, 2014). The short MT stretches decorated by CAM-
SAP2 and CAMSAP3 can serve as “MT seeds” for MT outgrowth in mammalian cells (Jiang et al., 2014). Third, human CAMSAPs and DmPatronin use different domains to achieve minus end binding and stabilization. CAMSAPs use their CKK domain for minus end binding, while an additional MBD domain in CAMSAP2 and CAMSAP3 is required for MT stabilization. In contrast, DmPatronin uses a different domain containing the coiled coil region for minus end binding and its CKK domain for MT stabilization (Jiang et al., 2014; Hendershott and Vale, 2014).

Interestingly, PTRN-1 can form short stretches in the post-embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.12), suggesting that PTRN-1 may function similarly to CAMSAP2 and CAMSAP3 in mammalian cells. Our in vitro study only looked at binding of PTRN-1 to GMPCPP stabilized MTs. It will be interesting to test with dynamic MTs to see whether PTRN-1 binding affects the minus end dynamics and whether it decorates short MT stretches. It is also interesting to determine whether PTRN-1 uses its C-terminal CKK domain or other domains for the minus end binding.

2.4.3 NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 are different

Although NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 are genetically redundant in post-embryonic epidermis, they share no sequence similarity and have different functions. First, NOCA-1 is required in the germline for MT array formation and thus for organismal fertility. Although PTRN-1 is not endogenously expressed in the germline,
ectopic expression of PTRN-1 in the germline fails to complement the NOCA-1 function, indicating they are not interchangeable. Second, both NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 are endogenously expressed in the embryonic epidermis, but only NOCA-1 is required for the MT-dependent nuclear migration (Figure 2.6D). In both cases, a long NOCA-1 isoform is functioning and the long-isoform domain is required for their functions (Figure 2.9B and D). The presence of this long-isoform domain may account for NOCA-1 specific functions that cannot be replaced by PTRN-1.

Additionally, the MT arrays in post-embryonic epidermis are differently affected by *noca-1Δ* and *ptrn-1Δ*. We found fewer dynamic MTs in *noca-1Δ* mutants and more dynamic MTs in *ptrn-1Δ* worms. In addition, MTs in *ptrn-1Δ* mutants are slightly more fragmented (Figure 2.4E). The nature of this phenotypic difference is currently not understood. One clue is the different localization of NOCA-1 and PTRN-1: NOCA-1 co-localizes with γ-tubulin, while PTRN-1 does not (Figure 2.11A, C and D). We speculate that NOCA-1 may stabilize the minus ends generated by γ-tubulin dependent nucleation, while PTRN-1 prefers binding to the minus ends generated by MT severing, or recruits MT severing enzymes (discussed later). Under this speculation, the plus ends generated by these two means can be different in terms of nucleotide state or tubulin modifications, thus explaining the different MT dynamics in *noca-1Δ* and *ptrn-1Δ* worms. It will be interesting to test whether MT severing contributes to MT generation and whether PTRN-1 would follow the MT severing enzymes in this tissue.
2.4.4 MTs: two ways to generate, two ways to stabilize?

Assembly of non-centrosomal MT arrays often involves localized MT generation and minus end stabilization/anchoring. MTs can be generated by γ-TuRC mediated nucleation or MT severing mediated amplification. In the case of γ-TuRC mediated nucleation, it is conceptually possible that γ-TuRC remains at and protects/anchors the MT minus ends. However, MT nucleation and anchoring are separable events even at mitotic centrosomes, where γ-TuRC mediated nucleation dominates the MT generation (Dammermann et al., 2003). Ninein is a good candidate for minus end anchoring in this case, although in vitro work is required to clarify its biochemical activity (Mogensen et al., 2000). We showed here that NOCA-1 is a novel MT end binding protein required for MT array formation and it closely follows the localization of γ-tubulin. We speculate that NOCA-1 might protect the ends of MTs released from γ-TuRC, although direct evidence for this idea is difficult to obtain.

MT severing is another way to generate more MTs. The MT severing enzymes katanin and spastin have been shown to generate MTs in meiotic spindle assembly, neurite outgrowth, axon branching and plant cortical arrays re-orientation (McNally et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2008; Lindeboom et al., 2013b). For effective generation of “MT seeds”, the MT severing activities need to be closely regulated to prevent complete MT disassembly, and the exposed MT ends need be stabilized. Interestingly, CAMSAP2 and CAMSAP3 are recently reported to recruit
the MT severing enzyme katanin to regulate the lengths of CAMSAP2/3 stabilized MT stretches (Jiang et al., 2014). We showed in this study that PTRN-1 also forms short stretches in the post-embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.12), suggesting that PTRN-1 might use a similar mechanism to control the lengths of stabilized MT stretches. It will be interesting to test whether MT severing enzymes are recruited by PTRN-1 in the post-embryonic epidermis and whether they are required to regulate the lengths of PTRN-1 stretches.

2.4.5 Functions of the circumferential MT arrays in post-embryonic epidermis

The circumferential MT arrays in post-embryonic epidermis of *C. elegans* may be important for secreting the cuticle, a multi-layer collagenous extracellular matrix serving as a protective barrier (Page and Johnstone, 2007). Due to the limited stretchability of the cuticle, worms periodically secrete a larger new cuticle to replace the smaller old one to allow body growth (a phenomenon called molting; Page and Johnstone, 2007). The cuticle is not smooth, but is patterned with circumferential ridges termed annuli except at two seams. During each molting, circumferential actin filament bundles precisely delineating the annular furrows are assembled and these actin filaments are proposed to establish the annular patterns (Costa et al., 1997). However, these actin filaments bundles disassemble after the outer layer cuticle is synthesized, so the inner layers of cuticle have to be synthe-
Figure 2.14: MT orientation is perpendicular to the growth axis in both plant and animal cells.
sized in the absence of circumferential actin filaments (Page and Johnstone, 2007). In contrast, the circumferential MT array is present throughout animal growth and they also match the annular patterns, suggesting they may be important to transport cuticle components or processing enzymes. In support of this idea, when this circumferential array is disrupted in *noca-1Δ; ptn-1Δ* double mutants, the worms grow much slower and their cuticles become permeable to a DNA dye (Figure 2.2B and C), both are indicative of cuticle defects. Therefore, we propose that the circumferential MT arrays in post-embryonic epidermis are important for normal cuticle secretion and thus for normal organismal growth.

Interestingly, this proposed body growth mechanism closely resembles the growth mechanism of *Arabidopsis* hypocotyl cells. Plant cells have cell walls which are made of cellulose microfibrils. During the elongation of plant hypocotyl cells, the cortical MT arrays are transversely oriented to guide cellulose deposition. In fact, a fluorescence labeled cellulose synthase was observed to move along cortical MTs (Paredez *et al.*, 2006). In both *C. elegans* epidermis and *Arabidopsis* hypocotyl cells, MT arrays are transversely oriented so that they are perpendicular to the major growth axis (Figure 2.14). Moreover, both the cuticle of *C. elegans* epidermis and the cell wall of *Arabidopsis* hypocotyl cells are patterned similarly to the MT arrays. This intriguing similarity may suggest a common strategy of using MT cytoskeleton to direct organismal or cellular growth that are confined by non-stretchable extracellular matrix or cell walls. It will be particularly interesting to examine whether cuticle synthesis is affected when circumferential MT arrays are
disrupted and whether cuticle components move along the MTs.

In summary, this study shows that MT end binding proteins are important to organize non-centrosomal MT arrays in multiple *C. elegans* tissues. In particular, NOCA-1 is a novel MT end binding protein, which functions in parallel with PTRN-1 to promote assembly of circumferential MT arrays in the post-embryonic epidermis. We propose that these circumferential arrays serve as transportation tracks for cuticle components during cuticle secretion, and MT end binding proteins promote their assembly by stabilizing MTs. The stabilization conferred by MT end binding — probably minus end binding — is likely broadly required to promote non-centrosomal MT array assembly.

### 2.5 Material and methods

#### 2.5.1 Worm Strains

*Table 2.2:* Strains used in Chapter 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD522</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi62[pOD1110/pSW008; CEOP3608 TBG-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD523</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi63[pOD1111/pSW009; CEOP3608 TBG-1::GFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2: Strains used in Chapter 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD528</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ttTi22935 V (Mos1 insertion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD690</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ItSi159[pOD1113/pSW031; P-CEOP3608::noca-1g(cDNA)::GFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD721</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ItSi173[pOD1114/pSW046; Pnoca-1::noca-1gh; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD722</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ItSi174[pOD1115/pSW053; Pnoca-1::noca-1gh; cb-unc-119(+)]I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD723</td>
<td>noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD726</td>
<td>ItSi77[pOD1112/pSW032; Plbp-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD729</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ItSi180[pOD1115/pSW053; Pnoca-1::noca-1gh; cb-unc-119(+)]I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD739</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III?; ItSi173[pOD1114/pSW046; Pnoca-1::noca-1gh; cb-unc-119(+)]II; noca-1(ok3692)V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD747</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3) III; ttTi21011 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD752</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ItSi182[pOD1237/pSW055; Pnoca-1::noca-1abcfgh; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD753</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ItSi183[pOD1238/pSW047; Pptrn-1::ptrn-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2.2**: Strains used in Chapter 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD758</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III?; ltSi182[pOD1237/pSW055; Pnoca-1::noca-1abcdefg; cb-unc-119(+)]II; noca-1(ok3692)V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD843</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3) III?; ltIs38 [pAA1; pie-1/GFP::PH(PLC1delta1); unc-119 (+)]; ltIs37 [pAA64; pie-1/mCHERRY::his-58; unc-119 (+)] IV; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD851</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3) III?; ltSi62[pOD1110/pSW008; CEOP3608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD852</td>
<td>TBG-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD854</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi211[pOD1245/pSW065; Pnoca-1::noca-1abcdefg-mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD854</td>
<td>ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD862</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi215[pOD1247/pSW074; Pnoca-1h::GFP-PH(PLC1delta1); cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD866</td>
<td>ltSi219[pOD1248/pSW076; Pmex-5::GFP-PH(PLC1delta1)-operon-linker-mCherry-his-11; cb-unc-119(+)]I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD868</td>
<td>ltSi220[pOD1249/pSW077; Pmex-5::GFP-tbb-2-operon-linker-mCherry-his-11; cb-unc-119(+)]I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2.2: Strains used in Chapter 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD870</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi222[pOD1250/pSW078; Plbp-1::GFP-tbb-2-operon-linker-mCherry-his-11; cb-unc-119(+)]I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III?; ltSi211[pOD1245/pSW065; Pnoca-1::noca-1abcfgh-mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; noca-1(ok3692)V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD872</td>
<td>Pnoca-1::noca-1abcfgh-mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; noca-1(OK3692)V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD891</td>
<td>noca-1(OK3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD893</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III?; ltSi183[pOD1238/pSW047; Pptrn-1::ptrn-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD952</td>
<td>Pnoca-1::noca-1abcfgh-sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD953</td>
<td>ltSi183[pOD1238/pSW047; Pptrn-1::ptrn-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(OK3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD961</td>
<td>ltSi249[pOD1274/pSW098; Pdlg-1delta7::dlg-1-GFP::unc-54-3'UTR; cb-unc-119(+)]I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD998</td>
<td>ltSi246[pOD1270/pSW082; Pnoca-1::noca-1abcfgh-sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; noca-1(OK3692)V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2.2: Strains used in Chapter 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD1108</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi323[pOD1297/pSW129; Pnoca-1h::noca-1g(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1110</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi325[pOD1298/pSW130; Pnoca-1h::noca-1c(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1112</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi327[pOD1299/pSW131; Pnoca-1h::noca-1a(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1113</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi328[pOD1300/pSW132; Pnoca-1h::noca-1d(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1219</td>
<td>ItSi174[pOD1115/pSW053; Pnoca-1::noca-1gh; cb-unc-119(+)]I; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1221</td>
<td>cb-unc-119(+)II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1223</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi364[pOD1330/pSW147; Pnoca-1h::noca-1g(1-251)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1225</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi366[pOD1332/pSW149; Pnoca-1h::noca-1g(457-922)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2: Strains used in Chapter 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD1227</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi368[pOD1334/pSW151; Pnoca-1h::noca-1g(252-922)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1233</td>
<td>ltSi369[pOD1335/pSW152; Pnoca-1h::noca-1gRR::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1339</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi417[pOD1342/pSW159; Pnoca-1de::noca-1de::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1345</td>
<td>ltSi417[pOD1342/pSW159; Pnoca-1de::noca-1de::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1359</td>
<td>ltSi716[pOD1935/pDC208; Pmex-5::EBP-2::GFP::tbb-2,3’UTR; cb-unc-119(+)]I; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1394</td>
<td>ltSi443[pOD1471/pSW182; Pnoca-1h::noca-1g(1-251)::sGFP (C10A); cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1398</td>
<td>ltSi447[pOD1469/pSW181; Ppha-4in1::ptrn-1a::opLinker::mCherry-histone; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1426</td>
<td>Plbp-1::EBP-2-GFP::opLinker::mCherry-PH; cb-unc-119(+)]I; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2: Strains used in Chapter 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD1431</td>
<td>ltSi454[pOD1479/pSW190; Pptrn-1::noca-1d(cDNA)::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1432</td>
<td>ltSi455[pOD1480/pSW191; Pptrn-1::noca-1h(457-922)::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1442</td>
<td>ltSi458[pOD1477/pSW188; Pnoca-1d::noca-1d(cDNA)::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1443</td>
<td>ltSi459[pOD1478/pSW189; Pnoca-1e::noca-1e(cDNA)::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1446</td>
<td>ltSi461[pOD1340/pSW157; Pnoca-1::noca-1abcgh (STOP in the first exon of isoform f); cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1504</td>
<td>Plbp-1::EBP-2-GFP::opLinker::mCherry-PH; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)?III; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1505</td>
<td>Plbp-1::EBP-2-GFP::opLinker::mCherry-PH; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)?III; ltSi77[pOD1112/pSW032; Plbp-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2.2: Strains used in Chapter 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD1508</td>
<td>ltSi454[pOD1479/pSW190; Pptrn-1::noca-1d(cDNA)::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)?III; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1509</td>
<td>ltSi455[pOD1480/pSW191; Pptrn-1::noca-1h(457-922)::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)?III; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1510</td>
<td>Pdlg-1delta7::dlg-1-GFP::unc-54-3'UTR; cb-unc-119(+)]II; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1511</td>
<td>Pdlg-1delta7::dlg-1-GFP::unc-54-3'UTR; cb-unc-119(+)]II; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1512</td>
<td>Pdlg-1delta7::dlg-1-GFP::unc-54-3'UTR; cb-unc-119(+)]II; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1516</td>
<td>ltSi458[pOD1477/pSW188; Pnoca-1d::noca-1d(cDNA)::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)?III; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain#</td>
<td>Genotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1517</td>
<td>ltSi459[pOD1478/pSW189; Pnoca-1e::noca-1e(cDNA)::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)?III; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1521</td>
<td>ltSi461[pOD1340/pSW157; Pnoca-1::noca-1abcgh (STOP in the first exon of isoform f); cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)?III; noca-1(ok3692)V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1558</td>
<td>ltSi518[pOD1338/pSW155; Pnoca-1::noca-1acfggh(STOP codon in the first exon of isoform b); cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1578</td>
<td>ltSi523[pOD1339/pSW156; Pnoca-1::noca-1abfggh(STOP codon in the first exon of isoform c); cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1580</td>
<td>ltSi518[pOD1338/pSW155; Pnoca-1::noca-1acfggh(STOP codon in the first exon of isoform b); cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1600</td>
<td>ltSi523[pOD1339/pSW156; Pnoca-1::noca-1abfggh(STOP codon in the first exon of isoform c); cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1605</td>
<td>ltSi531[pOD1337/pSW154; Pnoca-1::noca-1bcfggh(STOP codon in the first exon of isoform a); cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain#</td>
<td>Genotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1606</td>
<td>ltSi531[pOD1337/pSW154; Pnoca-1::noca-1bcfg(STOP coden in the first exon of isoform a); cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III; noca-1(ok3692)V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1652</td>
<td>ltSi540[pOD1343/pSW160; Pnoca-1de::noca-1de::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1653</td>
<td>ltSi541[pOD1505/pSW210; Pdpy-7::PTRN-1(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1654</td>
<td>ltSi542[pOD1506/pSW211; Pptrn-1::PTRN-1(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1690</td>
<td>ltSi561[pOD1508/pSW213; Pptrn-1::NOCA-1g(457-922)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1691</td>
<td>ltSi562[pOD1509/pSW214; Pptrn-1::NOCA-1g(252-922)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1708</td>
<td>ltSi568[pOD1518/pSW223; Pmex-5::mCherry::PH::tbb-2,3’UTR; cb-unc-119(+)]I; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1709</td>
<td>ltSi569[oxTi185; pOD1110/pSW008; CEOP3608 TBG-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]I; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2.2:** Strains used in Chapter 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1727</td>
<td>cb-unc-119(+)I; ltSi246[pOD1270/pSW082; Pnoca-1::noca-1abcdefgh-sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1731</td>
<td>cb-unc-119(+)I; ltSi369[pOD1335/pSW152; Pnoca-1h::noca-1gRR::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1735</td>
<td>cb-unc-119(+)II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1737</td>
<td>cb-unc-119(+)II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1739</td>
<td>cb-unc-119(+)II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1740</td>
<td>cb-unc-119(+)II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2: Strains used in Chapter 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD1741</td>
<td>ltSi570:pOD1527/pSW232; Pdpy-7::GFP-tbb-2::mCherry-his-11; cb-unc-119(+)[I; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1780</td>
<td>ltSi570:pOD1527/pSW232; Pdpy-7::GFP-tbb-2::mCherry-his-11; cb-unc-119(+)[I; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692) V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1781</td>
<td>ltSi570:pOD1527/pSW232; Pdpy-7::GFP-tbb-2::mCherry-his-11; cb-unc-119(+)[I; unc-119(ed3)III?; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1782</td>
<td>ltSi570:pOD1527/pSW232; Pdpy-7::GFP-tbb-2::mCherry-his-11; cb-unc-119(+)[I; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692) V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1864</td>
<td>Plbp-1::noca-1b::sGFP::opLinker::mCherry-PH; cb-unc-119(+)[I; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1865</td>
<td>Plbp-1::noca-1h(252-922)::sGFP::opLinker::mCherry-PH; cb-unc-119(+)[I; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain#</td>
<td>Genotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1866</td>
<td>ltSi600[pOD1555/pSW254; Plbp-1::noca-1h(457-922)::sGFP::opLinker::mCherry-PH; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1867</td>
<td>ltSi601[pOD1542/pSW244; Ppha-4int1::PTRN-1(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1869</td>
<td>ltSi603[pOD1544/pSW246; Prgef-1::PTRN-1(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1908</td>
<td>ltSi598[pOD1553/pSW252; Plbp-1::noca-1b::sGFP::opLinker::mCherry-histone; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1909</td>
<td>ltSi599[pOD1554/pSW253; Plbp-1::noca-1h(252-922)::sGFP::opLinker::mCherry-histone; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2: Strains used in Chapter 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD1910</td>
<td>ltSi600[pOD1555/pSW254; Plbp-1::noca-1h(457-922)::sGFP::opLinker::mCherry-histone; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1911</td>
<td>ltSi601[pOD1542/pSW244; Ppha-4int1::PTRN-1(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1913</td>
<td>ltSi603[pOD1544/pSW246; Prgef-1::PTRN-1(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1914</td>
<td>ltSi219[pOD1248/pSW076; Pmex-5::GFP-PH(PLC1delta1)-operon-linker-mCherry-his-11; cb-unc-119(+)]I; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD2006</td>
<td>ltSi541[pOD1505/pSW210; Pdpy-7::PTRN-1(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II; unc-119(ed3)III?; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a>; ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2.2: Strains used in Chapter 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ltSi669[pSW267/pOD1785; Pmex-5::NOCA-1g(457-922)-sGFP::opLinker::mCherry-PH; cb-unc-119(+)I; unc-119(ed3)III]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD2073</td>
<td>ltSi670[pSW268/pOD1786; Pmex-5::NOCA-1g(252-922)-sGFP::opLinker::mCherry-PH; cb-unc-119(+)I; unc-119(ed3)III]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD2074</td>
<td>ltSi673[pSW279/pOD1787; Pdpy-7::tagRFP::tbb-2; cb-unc-119(+)I; unc-119(ed3)III]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD2111</td>
<td>ltSi674[oxTi177; pSW283/pOD1788; Pptrn-1::PTRN-1(cDNA)::tagRFP; cb-unc-119(+)I]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD2112</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi674[oxTi177; pSW283/pOD1788; Pptrn-1::PTRN-1(cDNA)::tagRFP; cb-unc-119(+)I]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD2113</td>
<td>ltSi673[pSW279/pOD1787; Pdpy-7::tagRFP::tbb-2; cb-unc-119(+)I; ltSi540[pOD1343/pSW160; Pnoca-1de::noca-1de::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)I; unc-119(ed3)III]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD2114</td>
<td>ltSi673[pSW279/pOD1787; Pdpy-7::tagRFP::tbb-2; cb-unc-119(+)I; ltSi542[pOD1506/pSW211; Pptrn-1::PTRN-1(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)I; unc-119(ed3)III]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2: Strains used in Chapter 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD2115</td>
<td>ltSi569[oxTi185; pOD1110/pSW008; CEOP3608 TBG-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)I; ltSi540[pOD1343/pSW160; Pnoca-1de::noca-1de::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)II; unc-119(ed3)III?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD2116</td>
<td>ltSi569[oxTi185; pOD1110/pSW008; CEOP3608 TBG-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)I; ltSi542[pOD1506/pSW211; Pptrn-1::PTRN-1(cDNA)::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)II; unc-119(ed3)III?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD2117</td>
<td>ltSi540[pOD1343/pSW160; Pnoca-1de::noca-1de::sGFP; cb-unc-119(+)II; unc-119(ed3)III?; ltSi674[oxTi177; pSW283/pOD1788; Pptrn-1::PTRN-1(cDNA)::tagRFP; cb-unc-119(+)IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The *C. elegans* strains used in this study were listed in Table 2.2. All worm strains were maintained at 20°C on standard NGM plates with OP-50 bacteria as food.

The *noca-1(ok3692)* allele is balanced with a translocation balancer (nT1), but the exact *noca-1* locus is slightly outside of the balanced region (2 cM away from the translocation joint). Therefore, all worms containing nT1 balanced *noca-
were carefully maintained by singling out individual worms at each generation from worms giving correct phenotype segregations: \( \frac{1}{5} \) of fertile worms with pharyngeal GFP marker and \( \frac{1}{5} \) of sterile worms without pharyngeal GFP marker.

A transposon-based deletion strategy was used to make the null ptrn-1 allele (ptrn-1(lt1::cb-unc-119+)) (Figure 2.3; Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2010). Briefly, a repairing plasmid containing a Cb-unc-119 selection marker and homology arms (pOD1877/pSW62, 50 ng/\( \mu \)L) was injected together with a plasmid encoding the Mos1 transposase (pJL43.1, Pglh-2::Mos1 transposase, 50 ng/\( \mu \)L), and three plasmids encoding different fluorescent markers for negative selection: pCFJ90 (Pmyo-2::mCherry, 2.5 ng/\( \mu \)L), pCFJ104 (Pmyo-3::mCherry, 5 ng/\( \mu \)L), and pGH8 (Prab-3::mCherry, 10 ng/\( \mu \)L), into the strain OD747 (IE21011 x DP38). After one week, the moving progeny worms without fluorescent markers were identified as candidates under a fluorescent dissection scope. The deletion of candidate worms was then confirmed by PCR spanning both homology regions.

A similar transposon-based strategy was used to generate all transgenes used in this study (MosSCI; Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2008). To make the nocai-1::sGFP transgene RNAi resistant, a 999 bp region close to the 3end of NOCA-1 coding sequence was re-encoded by codon shuffling (Figure 2.15). Depending on the insertion sites, transgenes were cloned into pCFJ151 (ChrII insertion, ttTi5605; UniI insertion, oxTi185; UniIV insertion, oxTi177), pCFJ352 (ChrI insertion, ttTi4348) or de novo (ChrV insertion, ttTi22935) by Gibson Assembly (Gibson
et al., 2009) to generate the repairing plasmids. In most cases, an improved transposase plasmid using a stronger promoter (pCFJ601, Peft-3::Mos1 transposase, 50 ng/µL) and an additional negative selection marker pMA122 (Phsp-16.41::peel-1, 10 ng/µL) were used in the injection mix. Single copy transgenes were generated by injecting a mixture of repairing plasmid, transposase plasmid and selection markers into strains EG6429 (outcrossed from EG4322; ttTi5605, Chr II), EG6701 (ttTi4348, Chr I), EG8078 (oxTi185, Chr I) or EG8081 (oxTi177, Chr IV). After one week, progeny of injected worms were heat-shocked at 34°C for 2 hours to induce the expression of PEEL-1, in order to kill the exo-chromosome array containing worms. Moving progeny worms without fluorescent markers were identified as candidates and transgene integration was confirmed by PCR on both sides.

2.5.2 RNA Interference

The DNA templates for synthesizing double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) were PCR-amplified from the N2 cDNA using the oligos listed in Table 2.3. Single-stranded RNAs (ssRNAs) were first synthesized in 50 µL T3 and T7 reactions using cleaned PCR reactions as templates (MEGAscript, Invitrogen), and then cleaned using the MEGAclean kit (Invitrogen). The ssRNAs from 50 µL T3 and 50 µL T7 reactions were then mixed with 50 µL of 3× soaking buffer (32.7 mM Na₂HPO₄, 16.5 mM KH₂PO₄, 6.3 mM NaCl, 14.1 mM NH₄Cl). To make dsRNA, the mixed ssRNAs were first incubated at 68°C for 10 min to denature, and then incubated at 37°C for 30 min to anneal.
Figure 2.15: NOCA-1 sequence re-encoding.
Schematic of the NOCA-1hRR::GFP transgene showing the sequence of the RNAi-resistant region. The DNA sequence in this region was altered by codon shuffling to prevent the transgene from being targeted by RNAi, while maintaining the codon bias and amino acid sequence. The capital letters indicate the altered codons.
Table 2.3: Oligos used for dsRNA production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Oligonucleotide 1</th>
<th>Oligonucleotide 2</th>
<th>mg/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T09E8.1</td>
<td>AATTAACCCTCAC-</td>
<td>TAAAGGgccaacag-</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ncoa-1)</td>
<td>TAAAGGgcttg-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TaATACGACTCAC-</td>
<td>TATAGGctg- gatcgtaaag</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TaATACGACTCAC-</td>
<td>catttttttggaccatgc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T09E8.1h</td>
<td>AATTAACCCTCAC-</td>
<td>TAAAGGgcttg-</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(noa-1h)</td>
<td>(noca-1h)</td>
<td>TaATACGACTCAC-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TaATACGACTCAC-</td>
<td>TATAGGaagcgactcg-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TaATACGACTCAC-</td>
<td>gttccttttt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F58A4.8</td>
<td>AATTAACCCTCAC-</td>
<td>TAAAGGctcaagc-</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(tbg-1)</td>
<td>TAAAGGctcaagc-</td>
<td>TATAGGccat-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAAAGGctcaagc-</td>
<td>gaacttcctggaaatcg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAAAGGctcaagc-</td>
<td>getctctcagcaacg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F26E4.8</td>
<td>AATTAACCCTCAC-</td>
<td>TAAAGGgcaactg-</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(tba-1)</td>
<td>TAAAGGgcaactg-</td>
<td>TATAGGtggtg-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gaaacggaaga</td>
<td>tatacttggacggta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For localization analysis in Figure 2.11, dsRNAs were delivered by injecting the L4 hermaphrodites. For all other experiments, dsRNAs were delivered by soaking the L4 hermaphrodites for 24 hours at 20°C. After recovery from injection or soaking, worms were incubated at 20°C for 24-54 hours before different experiments. For brood size counting and embryonic lethality assays, worms were singled out at 24 hours post recovery and removed from the plates at 48 hours post recovery. The number of hatched larvae and unhatched embryos were counted one day later. For germline imaging, injected worms were incubated at 20°C for 48-54 hours before imaging.

2.5.3 Worm Assays

For larval lethality assays, embryos were obtained by bleaching the adult worms with freshly mixed 20% household bleach and 0.5 N NaOH for 10 min. After that, embryos were rinsed twice in M9 and rotated in M9 at room temperature (∼23°C) for overnight to allow hatching. On the following day, synchronized and starved L1 worms were recovered on food. The phenotype was quantified at 72 hours after recovery.

For the permeability assay, synchronized worms at different stages and of different genotypes were first rinsed with M9 in a depression slide well and transferred into 1 μg/mL HOECHST 33258 in M9 (Sigma). After 15 min incubation, worms were rinsed twice in M9 and anesthetized in a mixture of 1 mg/ml Tricaine (ethyl 3-aminobenzoate methanesulfonate salt, Fluka analytical, A5040-25G) and
0.1 mg/ml of TMHC (tetramisole hydrochloride, Sigma, T1512-10G) dissolved in M9 for 30 min. Then worms were mounted onto a 2% agarose pad and imaged using the Metamorph (see Light Microscopy section).

For brood size counting assays, L4 hermaphrodites of different genotypes were incubated at 20°C and singled out 24 hours later. After another 24 hours, the adult worms were removed from the plates. The brood size was counted on the following day.

For nuclear migration assays, worms of different genotypes were maintained at 20°C. Healthy L1 worms were partially anesthetized in 20 mM NaN₃ and mounted on 2% agarose pad. Number of nuclei in the dorsal cord was counted while the worms were imaged using DIC on the Zeiss microscope (see Light Microscopy section).

2.5.4 Light Microscopy

Images in Figure 2.2D, Figure 2.4, Figure 2.5, Figure 2.6B-F, Figure 2.11D, Figure 2.12 and Figure 2.13A were acquired using an inverted Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 system with a Yokogawa spinning-disk confocal head (CSU-X1), a 63× 1.40 NA Plan Apochromat lens (Zeiss), and a Hamamatsu ORCA-ER camera (Model C4742-95-12ERG, Hamamatsu photonics). Images in Figure 2.1, Figure 2.6A, Figure 2.7C-D, Figure 2.11B, Figure 2.11F and Figure 2.13D-E were acquired using the same system with an EMCCD camera (QuantEM:512SC, Photometrics) to boost the signal to noise ratio. Imaging parameters were controlled using the
AxioVision software (Zeiss).

Images in Figure 2.11A, C and E were acquired using a Nikon TE2000-E inverted microscope with a Yokogawa spinning-disk confocal head (CSU-10), a 60× 1.40 NA Plan Apochromat lens (Nikon) and an EMCCD camera (iXon DV887ECS-BV, Andor Technology). Imaging parameters were controlled using the Andor iQ2 software.

Images in Figure 2.2C were acquired using a Nikon eclipse E800 microscope with a 60× 1.40 NA Plan Apo lens (Nikon) and a Hamamatsu ORCA-ER camera (Model C4742-95-12ERG, Hamamatsu photonics). Imaging parameters were controlled using the Metamorph software (Molecular Devices).

Images in Figure 2.2A were acquired using the DinoEye eyepiece camera (AM7023B, Dino-Lite) mounted on a Nikon SMZ800 dissection scope. Imaging parameters were controlled using the DinoXcope software (Dino-Lite).

2.5.5 Image Analysis

EB1 comet tracking was performed using Image J. The tiff images were first subject to Gaussian blur (sigma = 1 pixel), subtract background (rolling ball based, r = 20 pixels) and threshold (manually adjusted parameters to retain most comets). Then the MTrack2 plugin was called (parameters: minimum and maximum object size = 1 and 100 pixels, maximum velocity = 12 pixels/frame, minimum track length = 3 frames) to obtain the total number of tracks within the analyzed region of interest. To obtain the effective area of analyzed regions (excluding the nuclei
images were first sub threshold so that only the cytoplasmic region was highlighted and then measured. Normalized track densities were ratios of the total track number and the effective area for all analyzed images.

### 2.5.6 Antibody Production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Oligonucleotide 1</th>
<th>Oligonucleotide 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOCA-1 ttgaatcCTCgattgcaa-gaaatga</td>
<td>ttgaattcTTAggttttcaact-gctcg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTRN-1 aagttctgttccaggggccAAG-agtgcagggagaatttttaGTT-TATTCTTATGAGCCG-GAGTTC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To generate the NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 antibodies, oligos listed in Table 2.4 were used to PCR-amplify the regions encoding the amino acids 569-717 of NOCA-1h and the amino acids 910-1110 of PTRN-1a from the N2 cDNA library. The PCR products were cloned into the pGEX6P-1 vector. The GST fused antigens were purified from bacteria and outsourced for injection into rabbits (Covance). NOCA-1 antibodies were affinity purified using the same antigen after cleavage of the GST tag as previously described (Desai et al., 2003). PTRN-1 antibodies were affinity purified using a GFP-PTRN-1-His fusion purified from insect cells (see Protein Purification section).
2.5.7 Western Blots

For the Western blot in Figure 2.7B (right panel), 20∼50 control, noca-1(RNAi) or noca-1h(RNAi) worms were transferred into a pre-weighted tube containing 1 mL of M9 + 0.1% Triton X-100 and washed for three times. After the last wash, excess buffer was removed until the net weight of worms and buffer was proportional to the number of worms (1 mg per worm). Worms were then sonicated in a sonicating water bath at ∼70°C for 10 min and boiled for 3 min.

For all other Western blots, a mixed population of worms growing at 20°C were collected and washed three times using M9 + 0.1% Triton X-100 in an Eppendorf tube. After the last wash, ∼100 µL buffer was left, and then 50 µL of 4x sample buffer and 100 µL of glass beads were added. Worms were vortexed for 5 min, boiled for 3 min, and then vortexed and boiled again. Samples were run on an SDS-PAGE gel, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, probed with 1 µg/ml anti-NOCA-1, anti-PTRN-1 or anti-α-tubulin (mouse monoclonal DM1-α; Sigma-Aldrich T9026), and detected with an HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (rabbit or mouse; GE Healthcare).

2.5.8 MT Co-Sedimentation from Worm Extract

The *C. elegans* extract was made as previously described (Zanin *et al.*, 2011). Briefly, ∼1 g of frozen worms from a large-scale liquid culture were weighed and resuspended in 1.5× volume of the worm lysis buffer (50 mM Hepes-KOH pH 7.6,
1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 75 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 µg/mL Pepstatin A, 1 tablet of Roche cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor per 50 mL). The resuspension was sonicated to get the crude extract. The crude extract was first centrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 min at 2°C, after which the supernatant was again centrifuged at 50,000 g for 20 min at 2°C. The supernatant after the second centrifugation was used for the experiment.

To determine whether NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 co-pellet with taxol-stabilized microtubules (MTs), a modified procedure from Kellogg et al. (Kellogg et al., 1989) was used to compare the amounts of NOCA-1 or PTRN-1 pelleting down with no microtubule control and taxol-stabilized microtubules. For each experimental condition, 200 µL of worm extract prepared as above was supplemented with 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM GTP and 0.4 µL of DMSO (solvent control), 0.5 mg/mL nocodazole (no MT control) or 10 mM taxol (stabilized MT). The samples were warmed to 23°C for 10 min to allow MT polymerization, incubated on ice for 15 min and then pelleted through a glycerol cushion (worm lysis buffer with 40% glycerol) by centrifugation at 48,000 g for 30 min at 2°C. The sample/cushion interface was washed three times with worm lysis buffer. The pellet was resuspended in 200 µL 1× sample buffer. 12 µL of each sample were run on an SDS-PAGE gel for either Coomassie staining or Western blots.
2.5.9 Protein Purifications

For MT anchoring and MT gliding assays, DmPatronin, PTRN-1, NOCA-1h(252-922) and NOCA-1(457-922) were PCR-amplified from a plasmid or the N2 cDNA, and then cloned into the pFL vector (Berger et al., 2004) downstream of the p10 viral promoter with GFP and His tags. These plasmids were transformed into DH10EMBacY to generate bacmids, which were then transfected into Sf9 cells to produce baculoviruses. High Five cells were infected at 1-2 × 10^6 cells/mL using the baculoviruses and cultured for 48 hours at 27°C before being collected. Expressions of GFP tagged proteins were monitored using a fluorescence dissection scope.

To purify the proteins, baculovirus infected High Five cells from 150 mL culture were lysed in 50 mL lysis buffer (50 mM Hepes pH 7.6, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 10% sucrose, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Tween-20, 1 µg/mL pepstatin A, 1 tablet of Roche cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor), pelleted and the soluble fraction was incubated with nickel beads for 1 hour at 4°C. The beads were then washed three times with wash buffer (50 mM Hepes pH 7.6, 500 mM NaCl, 25 mM imidazole, 10% sucrose, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Tween-20) and eluted with 1 mL fractions of elution buffer (50 mM Hepes pH 7.6, 500 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, 10% sucrose, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Tween-20). Elutions were used for flow-cell assays either directly or stored at -80°C after snap-frozen in 50-100 µL aliquots in liquid nitrogen.
The kinesin motor domain with or without GFP tag was expressed in E.coli cells (Rosetta) induced at OD 0.6-0.8 and cultured at 13°C for overnight. Bacteria cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Hepes-K pH 7.6, 500 mM KCl, 10 mM imidazole, 10% Glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 µg/mL pepstatin A, 1 tablet of Roche cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor), pelleted and the soluble fraction was incubated with nickel beads for 1 hour at 4°C. The beads were then washed three times with wash buffer (50 mM Hepes-K pH 7.6, 500 mM KCl, 25 mM imidazole, 10% Glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM MgCl₂) and eluted with 1 mL fractions of elution buffer (50 mM Hepes-K pH 7.6, 500 mM KCl, 250 mM imidazole, 10% Glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM MgCl₂). Elutions were used for flow-cell assays either directly or stored at -80°C after snap-frozen in 50-100 µL aliquots in liquid nitrogen.

2.5.10 MT Flow-Cell Assays

Coverslips were cleaned by 10 min sonication in 5 M KOH dissolved in pure ethanol followed by 10 min sonication in clean water, 2× rinse with water and 1× rinse with ethanol. After being dried in 37°C incubator for overnight, the coverslips were used to make flow cells using microscope slides (Gold Seal, Thermo Scientific) and double-sided tape (Scotch).

To make rhodamine-labeled GMPCPP MTs, labeled and unlabeled bovine tubulin were clarified by centrifugation at 90,000 rpm for 5 min at 2°C. Then the concentrations of labeled and unlabeled tubulins were measured. An elongation
mix was prepared by mixing labeled and un-labeled bovine tubulin at 1:20 ratio and 10 µM total concentration in 1× BRB80 (80 mM Pipes-KOH pH 6.8, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA) supplemented with 1 mM DTT and 1 mM GTP. The mix was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen at 5 µL and stored at -80°C. The stock of labeled MTs were made by thawing an elongation mix aliquot, dilute with 5 µL of 1× BRB80 with 1 mM DTT and incubated in a 37°C water-bath for 30-60 min.

For MT anchoring assays, 10 µg/mL of the llama GFP binder diluted in Tris-KAc buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl 8.0, 150 mM KAc, 10% Glycerol, 1 mM DTT) was squirted in the flow cell and incubated for 5 min. Then the coverslip was blocked with 1 mg/mL casein diluted in the Tris-KAc buffer for 5 min. 5 nM of tested GFP tagged proteins diluted in the Tris-KAc buffer were then delivered and incubated for another 5 min. Finally, 0.1 µM of rhodamine-labeled GMPCPP MTs diluted in the MT solution with an oxygen scavenger mix (1× BRB80, 1 mM DTT, 1 mg/mL casein, 0.8 mg/mL glucose, 0.04 mg/mL glucose oxidase, 0.016 mg/mL catalase) was flowed in before imaging.

For kinesin gliding assays, the kinesin motor domain K560 (most concentrated fraction after His purification) was squirted in the flow cell and incubated for 5 min. The coverslip was then blocked with the Gliding Buffer (1× BRB80, 1 mg/mL Casein, 100 mM KCl, 0.1% Tween-20, 10% Sucrose, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM ATP). After that, 0.1 µM of rhodamine-labeled GMPCPP MTs diluted in the Gliding Buffer was flowed in and incubated for 5 min. ~200 pM of GFP-DmPatronin or ~300 pM of GFP-PTRN-1 diluted in the Gliding Buffer were then flowed in
before imaging.
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Chapter 3

Non-centrosomal MT arrays contribute to embryonic morphogenesis

3.1 Summary

The *C. elegans* embryonic morphogenesis requires the contribution of all three cytoskeletal filaments: actin, intermediate filaments and MTs. Actin and myosin contribute to the main contraction force that deforms the embryos and intermediate filaments link the hemidesmosomes together to connect the inside muscle with the outside cuticle. On the other hand, the contribution of MTs remains elusive. Early pharmacological experiments established the requirement of MTs for elongation, but we don’t know anything beyond that. Here, we estab-
lished two independent methods of inhibiting or degrading MTs specifically in the embryonic epidermis and found that inhibiting MTs by itself did not cause any elongation defects. However, inhibiting MTs synergizes with reducing actomyosin contractility by a partial loss-of-function mutant of let-502. We found that two MT regulators, NOCA-1 and γ-tubulin both localized to the adherens junctions and hemidesmosomes and maturation of these junction structures required intact MTs. We conclude that microtubules in the embryonic epidermis contribute to elongation, but only is required when actomyosin contractility is reduced.

3.2 Introduction

During morphogenesis, oval-shaped *C. elegans* embryos elongate four times to be a long thin worm. This striking elongation event occurs with almost no cell divisions or migrations; instead, it is driven by coordinated change of cell shapes (Sulston *et al.*, 1983). Laser killing experiments have established that epidermal cells are the major contributors to elongation, as laser killing of epidermal cells, but not neurons, pharynx and intestinal cells, cause elongation defects (Sulston *et al.*, 1983; Priess and Hirsh, 1986). Early elongation between the comma stage and the 2-fold stage does not seem to need any other cells. However, elongation beyond the 2-fold stage requires the body wall muscle, as embryos with mutations affecting muscle functions are arrested at 2-fold stage (pat phenotype, for Paralyzed and Arrested at Two-fold; Williams and Waterston, 1994). So far, however, it is
unclear how the muscle contraction promotes elongation. Thus, *C. elegans* embryonic elongation appears to have an early elongation phase that is mainly driven by the epidermis, and a late elongation phase which requires muscle, although how muscle drives epidermal shape change is elusive.

All three cytoskeleton filaments are important for elongation. Early pharmacological studies found that treatment with actin filament inhibitor cytochalasin D completely abolishes elongation and this effect is reversible (Priess and Hirsh, 1986). Treatment with MT inhibitors results in abnormal and partial elongation (Priess and Hirsh, 1986). Later, genetic analysis implicated the cytoplasmic intermediate filaments in elongation, since mutations in both IFB-1 and IFA-3 causes elongation defects (Woo *et al.*, 2004). Thus, the MTs, actin and intermediate filaments, are all required for elongation.

Actomyosin contraction activity in the epidermal cells are essential for normal elongation. The non-muscle myosins NMY-1 and NMY-2 (myosin heavy chains) have partially redundant functions in the epidermal cells to promote contractility (Piekny *et al.*, 2003). The actomyosin contractility is positively regulated by the Rho kinase (ROCK) LET-502 and negatively regulated by the MEL-11 myosin phosphatase (Wissmann *et al.*, 1997, 1999; Piekny *et al.*, 2000). Based on the localizations of these regulators, it is thought that actomyosin contractility mainly acts in the lateral epidermal cells (the seam cells). Consistent with that, the Rho1 GAP RGA-2, a negative regulator of the acomyosin activity, is required to counteract the LET-502/ROCK activity in the dorsal and ventral epi-
dermis for normal elongation (Diogon et al., 2007). Interestingly, only the early elongation (from comma to 2-fold) requires LET-502/ROCK and RGA-2 (Diogon et al., 2007). Overall, a high acomyosin contractility in the lateral epidermis and a relatively low contractility in the dorsoventral epidermis are balanced to promote epidermal morphogenesis in the early elongation phase.

Intermediate filaments are found at the attachment structure hemidesmosomes in the epidermis. Hemidesmosomes attach the epidermis to the extracellular matrix. At the apical surface, they attach the epidermis to the cuticle exoskeleton; at the basal surface, they attach the epidermis to the basal membrane, which is then attached to the body wall muscle (Labouesse, 2006). Intermediate filaments link the apical and basal hemidesmosome attachment structures together so that the muscle is connected with the cuticle exoskeleton. IFB-1 and IFA-3 are the major cytoplasmic intermediate filament components expressing in the epidermis and mutations in both of them cause elongation defects (Woo et al., 2004). Recently, the hemidesmosomes are found to be mechanosensors that transduce the muscle caused tension to a kinase activity in the epidermis at the hemidesmosomes (Zhang et al., 2011). One important output of this mechanosensation pathway is the phosphorylation of intermediate filaments and the maturation of hemidesmosomes (Zhang et al., 2011). Thus, intermediate filaments are important for both attachment and mechanosensation purposes.

The role of MTs are elusive. The MT drug treatment causes elongation defects including abnormal surface constrictions and depressions (Priess and Hirsh,
Based on that, Priess and Hirsh (1986) proposed that MTs are important to distribute the force generated by actomyosin contraction evenly in the epidermis. Recently, a computational model is proposed where MTs are important to provide the anisotropic property in the dorsoventral epidermis, distribute the force evenly, as well as buffer the changes in distribution of the actomyosin activities (Ciarletta et al., 2009). However, the lack of a molecular handle precludes the examination of proposed models. Although genetic analysis of embryonic elongation has identified many regulators controlling the actomyosin contractility and intermediate filament attachment, no MT regulators in the elongation process have been found. This is partly due to the essential role of MTs in early cell divisions, so that mutations in generic MT regulators causes early arrest of embryos.

NOCA-1 is a novel MT regulator that only affect non-centrosomal MT array formation (Figure 2.1; Green et al., 2011). RNAi or genetic deletion of NOCA-1 do not cause cell division defects, but greatly reduce the MT density in several differentiated cells, including the embryonic epidermis. Therefore, NOCA-1 provides an excellent molecular handle to study the function of MTs in the elongation process without destroying the overall embryonic structure as the drug treatment does. In addition, MT severing enzymes can be used to degrade MTs when it is overexpressed. Overexpressing the MT severing enzyme Spastin in tissue culture cells or in vivo disassembles MTs (Errico et al., 2002; Sherwood et al., 2004; Trotta et al., 2004).

In this study, we established two methods to degrade MTs in the embryonic
epidermis during elongation and found that inhibiting MTs alone does not abolish elongation. However, MTs become essential for elongation when the actomyosin contractility is compromised by a partial loss of function allele of LET-502/ROCK. We found two MT regulators — NOCA-1 and \( \gamma \)-tubulin — both localize to the adherens junctions and hemidesmosomes in the epidermis and intact MTs are required for maturation of both attachment structures. Thus, we conclude that MTs contribute to embryonic elongation, but only is essential when actomyosin contractility is limited.

3.3 Results

3.3.1 Establishing two methods to disrupt MTs in developing embryos

In order to perturb MTs specifically in the embryonic epidermis, we established two methods. First, we overexpressed the MT severing enzyme Spastin using a strong epidermal promoter, Pdpy-7 (Matsushita-Ishiodori et al., 2007). We used un-tagged Spastin to preserve its enzymatic activity. To follow the expression of untagged Spastin, we linked its expression with a NLS-mCherry using an operon linker from CEOP1032 (Figure 3.1A). An operon linker works as an internal ribosome entry site (IRES). Thus, the Spastin expression was effectively reported by the nuclear mCherry expression. As expected, the MTs in the epidermis were
efficiently degraded by the expression of Spastin, but not by a deletion mutant of Spastin which removed the ATPase domain (Figure 3.1B). The MTs were affected from the 1.8-fold stage of the embryo, although the nuclear mCherry was expressed before that. Thus, we have established a Spastin overexpression ($Spastin(O/E)$) system to effectively degrade MTs from the epidermis from 1.8-fold stage.

In the second method, we used a genetic mutation of $noca-1$, which was shown to affect the epidermal MTs (Green et al., 2011). Because $noca-1\Delta$ mutants were completely sterile, homozygous $noca-1\Delta$ embryos had to be obtained from the heterozygous mother (Figure 2.6A). To distinguish the homozygous $noca-1\Delta$ embryos from its siblings, we inserted a reporter transgene (cytoplasmic mCherry driven by an epidermis promoter) precisely at a site only 0.06 cM from the $noca-1$ locus using MosSCI (Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2008). After mating this transgenic worms with balanced $noca-1\Delta$ mutants, we now had a heterozygous mother whose wild type $noca-1$ is linked with cytoplasmic mCherry in the epidermis. Therefore, embryos without mCherry marker were homozygous for $noca-1\Delta$ (Figure 3.2A). To maximize the depletion of NOCA-1 from the epidermis, we used RNAi against the heterozygous mothers to obtain embryos depleted with both maternal and zygotic NOCA-1 ($noca-1(m/z)$; Figure 3.2A). The epidermis MTs in $noca-1(m/z)$ embryos were severely affected from as early as dorsal epidermal intercalation (one hour before elongation start) and continued to have defective epidermal MTs through the elongation process (Figure 3.2B). Therefore, we have established a genetic system to inhibit MT formation in the epidermis throughout the elongation process.
Figure 3.1: Spastin expression degrades MTs in the epidermis.
(A) Schematic showing the constructs expressing Spastin or a Spastin deletion mutant and the expression reporter NLS-mCherry.
(B) Images of *C. elegans* embryos expressing an epidermal Tubulin::GFP transgene with or without Spastin expression.
This figure is courtesy of Sophie Quintin from the Labouesse lab.
Figure 3.2: *noca-1(m/z)* embryos have less dense MTs in the epidermis.
(A) Schematic showing the transgenic strategy to obtain *noca-1(m/z)* embryos.
(B) Images of *C. elegans* embryos expressing an epidermal Tubulin::GFP transgene with indicated genotypes.
3.3.2 A ROCK partial loss of function allele synergizes with MT inhibition to cause elongation defects

Treatment with MT depolymerizing drugs disrupted embryonic elongation and epidermis was the major tissue responsible for driving elongation based on laser killing experiments (Priess and Hirsh, 1986). Thus, we may think that inhibiting MTs specifically in the epidermis would cause elongation defects. However, in both Spastin(O/E) and noca-1(m/z) embryos, we observed no elongation defects (data not shown). Therefore, either epidermal MTs are not important for elongation, or they have some redundant role with other players.

We then considered the possibility of a redundant role of MTs and the actomyosin filaments. Previously, a computational model predicted that MTs could buffer the embryos against changes of actomyosin contractility (Ciarletta et al., 2009). To test this idea, we used a partial loss of function allele of the Rho Kinase LET-502 (let-502(sb118); Diogon et al., 2007). The Rho kinase positively regulates the actomyosin contractility in two ways. First, it could directly phosphorylate and activity the regulatory myosin light chain; second, it could phosphorylate and inhibit a inhibitory phosphatase that removes the phosphate from the light chain (Wissmann et al., 1997, 1999). The let-502(sb118) allele is especially useful because it is temperature sensitive so that its activity can be adjusted by shifting the temperature. In this study, we used three different temperatures: 20°C as the permissive temperature, 23.5°C as the semi-permissive temperature and 25°C as
the restrictive temperature. At both 20°C and 23.5°C, the let-502(sb118) mutants were morphologically normal, while at 25°C, the let-502(sb118) mutants were almost 100% dead at embryonic or larval stage (Figure 3.3B; Diogon et al., 2007). Thus, let-502(sb118) provides a nice tool for modulating the acomyosin contractility.

Interestingly, we found that MTs became essential for elongation when the actomyosin contractility was reduced. While both Spastin(O/E) and noca-1(m/z) embryos elongate normally, these MT inhibited embryos failed the elongation in the background of let-502(sb118) at 23.5°C (Figure 3.3A and B). The noca-1(m/z);let-520 embryos were arrested slightly earlier than the Spastin(O/E);let-502 embryos, presumably due to the MT inhibition was effective earlier than in the Spastin(O/E) embryos. Therefore, we conclude that MTs in the epidermis contribute to the embryonic elongation, and they become essential when the actomyosin contractility was reduced.

3.3.3 MTs contribute to maturation of both the adherens junctions and hemidesmosome

In order to understand what MTs may do to contribute to elongation, we started by examining the localization of NOCA-1 and the MT nucleator γ-tubulin in elongating embryos. We found that both NOCA-1 and γ-tubulin localized to the adherens junctions and hemidesmosomes (Figure 3.4). Based on the localiza-
Figure 3.3: MT inhibition synergizes with reduced actomyosin contractility to cause elongation defects.

(A) DIC images from time lapse series showing the embryonic elongation process in embryos with indicated genotypes.

(B) Plot of embryonic length measured along the long axis of embryos. 5-17 worms were measured for each condition. Error bars are SEM.

The images in the bottom panel of (A) and the corresponding quantification data are courtesy of Sophie Quintin from the Labouesse lab.
tion of these two MT regulators, we speculated that MTs may contribute to the maturation of these junction structures.

Figure 3.4: Both NOCA-1 and γ-tubulin localizes to adherens junctions and hemidesmosomes.
Left, schematic showing the adherens junctions and hemidesmosomes in an elongating embryo. Right, images of embryos expressing NOCA-1::GFP or γ-tubulin::GFP. Arrows point to the hemidesmosomes and arrowheads point to the adherens junctions.
The transgenic strains were generated by the dissertation author; the images are courtesy of Sophie Quintin from the Labouesse lab.

The adherens junctions are essential to hold the epidermis together and the epidermal integrity is critical for elongation to happen (Costa et al., 1998; Priess and Hirsh, 1986). We started by examining the adherens junction marked by E-cadherin::GFP. We found the E-cadherin::GFP clustering at the adherens junction appeared to be fragmented in both the Spastin(O/E) embryos and the noca-1(RNAi) embryos (Figure 3.5A). In the presence of let-502(sb118), the ratio of affected embryos were increased by 20% and 50% for Spastin(O/E) and noca-1(RNAi) embryos, respectively (Figure 3.5B). Thus, we conclude that E-cadherin
clustering at adherens junctions requires MTs and LET-502.

**Figure 3.5**: E-cadherin clustering at adherens junctions requires MTs and LET-502.

(A) Images of embryos expressing E-cadherin::GFP with indicated perturbations. (B) Plot of percentage of embryos with fragmented E-cadherin clustering. At least 20 worms were tested for each condition. Error bars are margin of error at 95% confidence.

This figure is courtesy of Sophie Quintin from the Labouesse lab.
The hemidesmosomes of *C. elegans* are both attachment structures and mechanosensors. They are zipper-like structures attaching the epidermis to the extracellular matrix at both apical and basal surfaces. Matured hemidesmosomes are linked with intermediate filaments, so that the inside body wall muscle is connected with the outer cuticle (Schematic in Figure 3.4). As a mechanosensor, the HD transduces muscle-induced tension to activate the PAK-1 kinase to promote intermediate filament phosphorylation (Zhang *et al.*, 2011). The localization of MT regulators on the hemidesmosomes suggested a potential link between MTs and hemidesmosomes. Therefore, we examined whether hemidesmosome maturation was affected by immunostaining with an intermediate filament antibody. We found that the intermediate filaments in *let-502/Spastin(O/E)* embryos were initially normal (10 out of 10), but disrupted when muscle started to contract (14 out of 17, Figure 3.6). We also have preliminary data that the intermediate filaments were disrupted in *noca-1(m/z)* embryos (data not shown). Therefore, we conclude that intact MTs and LET-502 are required for hemidesmosome maturation.

### 3.4 Discussion

In this study, we found that MTs contribute to embryonic elongation, but only is essential when actomyosin contractility is limited. Early pharmacological studies have established that MTs are required for normal elongation (Priess and Hirsh, 1986). However, whether the MT drug caused defects are due to specific
Figure 3.6: Hemidesmosome maturation requires intact MTs. Immunostaining images using MH4 antibody which recognizes the intermediate filaments in hemidesmosomes. This figure is courtesy of Sophie Quintin from the Labouesse lab.
requirement of MTs in the epidermis or due to overall disruption of the embryonic structures are not known. We have shown here that disrupting MTs by either a \textit{noca-1\Delta} mutant or by overexpressing the MT severing enzyme spastin do not cause elongation defects on their own. However, when the actomyosin contractility is compromised by a partial loss of function allele of \textit{LET-502/ROCK}, MT inhibition causes dramatic elongation defects. Two MT regulators — the MT end binding protein NOCA-1 and the MT nucleator \(\gamma\)-tubulin — localize to the adherens junctions and hemidesmosomes in the epidermis and intact MTs are required for maturation of both attachment structures. This study identified a synergistic effect of MTs and actomyosin contractility in controlling embryonic elongation and demonstrated a role of MTs in maturation of adherens junctions and hemidesmosomes.

3.4.1 MTs contribute to epidermal morphogenesis during embryonic elongation

There are two ways to explain the synergistic defects caused by disrupted MTs and reduced contractility. First, MT inhibition could reduce the actomyosin contractility in a \textit{LET-502/ROCK} independent manner, and its combination with the \textit{let-502} mutation mediated reduction made the contractility lower than the threshold required for normal elongation. It is known that \textit{LET-502/ROCK} is not the only pathway regulating the actomyosin contractility, since null mutations in
the positive regulator LET-502/ROCK and the negative regulator MEL-11 can suppress each other (Wissmann et al., 1997; Piekny et al., 2000). One example of parallel pathways involves FEM-2, a PP2c phosphatase better known for its role in sex determination, since fem-2 mutations stop the nearly normal elongation in let-502;mel-11 double mutants (Piekny et al., 2000). In addition, two other kinases, MRCK-1 and PAK-1, function redundantly with ROCK during C. elegans elongation (Gally et al., 2009). Thus, it is possible that MTs control actomyosin activities in parallel to the LET-502/MEL-11 pathway.

Second, the effects of MTs could be solely explained by their mechanical properties. During embryonic elongation, MTs are organized into circumferential arrays in the dorsoventral epidermis (Figure 3.2). MTs are stiff polymers, as a consequence, their circumferential organization probably renders the dorsoventral cells more resistant to pushing and pulling forces. The anisotropic organization of MTs is conceptually important for morphogenesis, especially taking into account that the actomyosin activities are not uniformly distributed in all epidermis. Normally, the actomyosin activity is high in the lateral epidermis and low in the dorsoventral epidermis (Wissmann et al., 1997, 1999; Diogon et al., 2007). A mathematical model for elongation is proposed based on simple assumptions that embryos are noncompressible continuum, the driving force is actomyosin contractility and the passive response is coming from the MTs (Ciarletta et al., 2009). In this model, the anisotropy in dorsoventral epidermis provided by MTs are required for maximum stretch of the embryos. Conceptually, the circumferential MTs make the
dorsoventral epidermis harder to stretch along the circumferential axis. When the dorsoventral epidermis passively responds to the contraction of lateral epidermis, the circumferential MT bundles make it preferentially stretch along the longitudinal axis of embryos. Moreover, this anisotropic response conferred by circumferential MTs are more important when the relative difference of actomyosin activities between lateral and dorsoventral epidermis is reduced (Ciarletta et al., 2009). Therefore, the synergistic elongation defects of MT inhibition and contractility reduction can be explained by the disrupted anisotropy in dorsoventral epidermis and the reduced actomyosin activity in the lateral epidermis.

3.4.2 MTs are important for maturation of epidermal junction structures

Two pieces of evidence support that MTs are important for maturation of epidermal junction structures. Two MT regulators, the MT nucleator γ-tubulin and the MT end binding protein NOCA-1, localize to the adherens junctions and hemidesmosomes during embryonic elongation (Figure 3.4). Moreover, E-cadherin clustering onto the adherens junctions and hemidesmosomes are disrupted when MTs are degraded by Spastin(O/E) or NOCA-1 inhibition (Figure 3.6, Figure 3.5). In cultured epithelial cells, non-centrosomal MTs have been shown to recruit the minus-end directed kinesin moter KIFC3 for proper organization of the zonula adherens (Meng et al., 2008). Another study showed that non-centrosomal MTs
recruit type II myosins to engage the adherens junctions and then potentiate the tight junction integrity (Sumigray et al., 2012). By similarity, non-centrosomal MTs in the embryonic epidermis of *C. elegans* embryos may also serve as transportation tracks to recruit factors for maturation of the adherens junctions and the hemidesmosomes.

### 3.5 Material and methods

#### 3.5.1 Worm Strains

**Table 3.1**: Strains used in Chapter 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD523</td>
<td>unc-119(ed3)III; ltSi63[pOD1111/pSW009; CEOP3608 TBG-1::GFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD723</td>
<td>noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD726</td>
<td>ltSi77[pOD1112/pSW032; Plbp-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD761</td>
<td>let-502(sb118ts)I (6× outcrossed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD844</td>
<td>let-502(sb118ts)I; ltSi77[pSW032; Plbp-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD845</td>
<td>let-502(sb118ts)I; nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD846</td>
<td>let-502(sb118ts)I; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.1: Strains used in Chapter 3 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OD907</td>
<td>letSi222[pOD1250/pSW078; Plbp-1::GFP-tbb-2-operon-linker-mCherry-his-11;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cb-unc-119(+)]I; noca-1(ok3692)V/nT1<a href="IV;V">qIs51</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD909</td>
<td>letSi222[pOD1250/pSW078; Plbp-1::GFP-tbb-2-operon-linker-mCherry-his-11;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cb-unc-119(+)]I; letSi77[pOD1112/pSW032; Plbp-1::mCherry; cb-unc-119(+)]V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1252</td>
<td>let-502(sb118ts)I; ItSi173[pOD1114/pSW046; Pnoca-1::noca-1g; cb-unc-119(+)]II; noca-1(Ok3692)V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1253</td>
<td>let-502(sb118ts)I; ItSi182[pOD1237/pSW055; Pnoca-1::noca-labcfgh; cb-unc-119(+)]II; noca-1(Ok3692)V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML748</td>
<td>let-502(sb118ts)I; mcls35[Plin-26::GFP::tba-2; pat-4::CFP; pRF4]V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML752</td>
<td>mcls35[Plin-26::GFP::tba-2; pat-4::CFP; pRF4]V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML1652</td>
<td>mcIs46[pCL08(dlg-1::RFP); cb-unc-119(+)] (4× outcrossed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML1654</td>
<td>mcIs46[pCL08(dlg-1::RFP); cb-unc-119(+)]; mcSi53[Pdp-7::EB1::GFP; cb-unc-119(+)]II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.1: Strains used in Chapter 3 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain#</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ML2282</td>
<td>mcIs54[pML497; Pdpy-7::SPAS-1_IRES_NLSmCherry, cb-unc-119(+)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML1896</td>
<td>mcIs35; mcIs54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML1824</td>
<td>mcIs35; mcEx606(pML494; Pdpy-7::SPAS-1Δ_IRES_NLSmCherry; myo-2::GFP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML1931</td>
<td>let-502(sb118ts)I; mcIs54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML1617*</td>
<td>xnIs96[pJN455; Phmr-1::HMR-1::GFP; cb-unc-119(+)] (outcrossed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML1913</td>
<td>let-502(sb118ts)I; xnIs96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML1915</td>
<td>let-502(sb118ts)I; mcIs54/+; xnIs96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ML1617 is an outcrossed version of a gift from J. Nance lab (Achilleos et al., 2010).

The C. elegans strains used in this study were listed in Table 3.1. All worm strains were maintained at 20°C on standard NGM plates with OP-50 bacteria as food.

The noca-1(ok3692) allele is balanced with a translocation balancer (nT1),
but the exact noca-1 locus is slightly outside of the balanced region (2 cM away from the translocation joint). Therefore, all worms containing nT1 balanced noca-1(ok3692) were carefully maintained by singling out individual worms at each generation from worms giving correct phenotype segregations: $\frac{4}{5}$ of fertile worms with pharyngeal GFP marker and $\frac{1}{5}$ of sterile worms without pharyngeal GFP marker.

A transposon-based strategy was used to generate single copy transgenes used in this study (MosSCI; Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2008). Depending on the insertion sites, transgenes were cloned into pCFJ151 (ChrII insertion, ttTi5605), pCFJ352 (ChrI insertion, ttTi4348) or de novo (ChrV insertion, ttTi22935) by Gibson Assembly (Gibson et al., 2009) to generate the repairing plasmids. In most cases, an improved transposase plasmid using a stronger promoter (pCFJ601, Peft-3::Mos1 transposase, 50 ng/µL) and an additional negative selection marker pMA122 (Phsp-16.41::peel-1, 10 ng/µL) were used in the injection mix. Single copy transgenes were generated by injecting a mixture of repairing plasmid, transposase plasmid and selection markers into strains EG6429 (outcrossed from EG4322; ttTi5605, Chr II), EG6701 (ttTi4348, Chr I), EG8078 (oxTi185, Chr I) or EG8081 (oxTi177, Chr IV). After one week, progeny of injected worms were heat-shocked at 34°C for 2 hours to induce the expression of PEEL-1, in order to kill the exo-chromosome array containing worms. Moving progeny worms without fluorescent markers were identified as candidates and transgene integration was confirmed by PCR on both sides.
Exochromosome arrays were made by microinjecting a mixture of transgene plasmid and marker plasmid at various concentrations. In some cases, arrays were integrated into the genome by X-ray.

3.5.2 RNA Interference and Embryo Length Measurement

The DNA templates for synthesizing the noc-1 dsRNA were amplified by PCR from the N2 cDNA using oligos AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGgccgaacaag-gatcgtaaag and TAATACGACTCACTATAGGctgcatttgtttgaccatgc.

Single-stranded RNAs (ssRNAs) were first synthesized in 50 µL T3 and T7 reactions using cleaned PCR reactions as templates (MEGAscript, Invitrogen), and then cleaned using the MEGAclear kit (Invitrogen). The ssRNAs from 50 µL T3 and 50 µL T7 reactions were then mixed with 50 µL of 3x soaking buffer (32.7 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 16.5 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 6.3 mM NaCl, 14.1 mM NH$_4$Cl). To make dsRNA, the mixed ssRNAs were first incubated at 68°C for 10 min to denature, and then incubated at 37°C for 30 min to anneal.

dsRNAs were delivered by soaking the L4 hermaphrodites for 24 hours at 20°C. After recovery from soaking, worms were incubated at 20°C for ~18 hours before their embryos were dissected out for imaging at indicated temperatures in the figures.

Embryo length was measured using Image J. Briefly, a segmented line was manually drawn along the midline of the embryo from the tip of nose to the tip of tail. The total length of this segmented line was taken as the embryo length. The
starting time point for elongation was determined by the first visual appearance of constriction, since \textit{noca-1(m/z);let-502(sb118)} embryos had no distinctive comma stage for time alignment. This visual appearance of constriction was roughly correlated with the completion of ventral enclosure.

### 3.5.3 Immunofluorescence

Embryos were fixed and stained by indirect immunofluorescence, as described in (Bosher et al., 2003). MH4 antibody (anti-intermediate filament), which was obtained from DSHB, was diluted 1:100.

### 3.5.4 Light Microscopy

Images in Figure 3.2 were acquired using an inverted Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 system with a Yokogawa spinning-disk confocal head (CSU-X1), a 63× 1.40 NA Plan Apochromat lens (Zeiss), and a Hamamatsu ORCA-ER camera (Model C4742-95-12ERG, Hamamatsu photonics). Imaging parameters were controlled using the AxioVision software (Zeiss).

Images in Figure 3.3A top and middle panels (control and \textit{noca-1(m/z);let-502(sb118)} embryos) were acquired using an inverted Olympus IX70 microscope with a Delta-Vision system (Applied Precision), a 100× 1.35 NA U Plan Apochromat lens (Olympus), and a Roper Scientific CCD camera (CoolSNAP). Imaging parameters were controlled using the softWoRx software (Applied Precision).

Images in Figure 3.3A bottom panels (\textit{Spastin(O/E);let-502(sb118)} em-
bryos) were captured using a Leica DMRXA2 (HCX 40× 1.25 NA Plan Apochromat lens) coupled to a Coolsnap HQ (Roper Scientific) camera, monitored by the MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices).

Images in Figure 3.1, Figure 3.4, Figure 3.5 and Figure 3.6 were captured using a Leica DMI6000 B spinning-disk confocal microscope (100× 1.43 NA Plan Apochromat lens), coupled with an Andor Revolution spinning-disk system (Ixon EMCCD 512X512 camera and Yokogawa spinning-disk head). Images were computationally projected using the MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices).
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Chapter 4

Conclusions and discussions

4.1 Conclusions

The focus of this dissertation is the assembly mechanism and cellular function of non-centrosomal MT arrays. In Chapter 2, we focused on a specific non-centrosomal MT regulator NOCA-1 and found that NOCA-1 is a novel MT end binding protein. NOCA-1 uses eight splicing isoforms to function either on its own, or together with PTRN-1, to control non-centrosomal MT assembly in multiple *C. elegans* tissues. In Chapter 3, we established two methods to perturb the MT arrays in elongating *C. elegans* embryos to demonstrate the role of MTs during embryonic elongation. We found that MTs in the epidermis contribute to the elongation, but become essential only when the actomyosin contractility is reduced.
4.1.1 NOCA-1 is a novel MT end binding protein and PTRN-1 binds specifically to MT minus ends

Previous studies have shown that NOCA-1 specifically functions in non-centrosomal MT array formation, but does not contribute to centrosome-driven cell divisions (Figure 2.1; Green et al., 2011). NOCA-1 has eight splicing isoforms, all of which share a 466 amino acid long C-terminus, while five of the longest isoforms also share an additional 205 amino acid long region termed the long-isoform domain (Figure 2.7). The shared C-terminus of NOCA-1 contains a coiled coil domain, which may explain the dimerization of NOCA-1 in solution (Table 2.1). NOCA-1 is well conserved among nematodes (Figure 2.8), but we have not yet identified homologs outside of nematodes.

We believe NOCA-1 binds to the MT ends for three reasons. First, NOCA-1::GFP localizes to the MTs and sometimes to the ends in post-embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.13A). Second, NOCA-1 co-sediments with taxol-stabilized MTs from the worm lysate (Figure 2.13B), where many MT associating proteins are competing for the binding sites on MTs. Third, purified NOCA-1 binds to the MT ends as demonstrated in the MT anchoring assay (Figure 2.13D). Although the MT anchoring assay may not represent the physiological condition, the end specific binding makes us think the activity is meaningful. On the other hand, NOCA-1 does localize at some MT ends in post-embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.13A). Therefore, we conclude that NOCA-1 binds to the MT ends.
We may expect PTRN-1 to bind to the MT minus ends based on studies on its homologs. Both the *Drosophila* and mammalian homologs of PTRN-1 bind to the MT minus ends (Meng *et al.*, 2008; Goodwin and Vale, 2010; Jiang *et al.*, 2014; Hendershott and Vale, 2014). Here we provided direct evidence that the *C. elegans* CAMSAP homolog also binds specifically to the minus ends of MTs. In vitro, purified GFP labeled PTRN-1 protein binds to the leading ends of moving MTs in a kinesin gliding assay (Figure 2.13E). In vivo, PTRN-1::GFP loclaizes to the MTs and sometimes to the ends in post-embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.13A). Interestingly, PTRN-1::GFP has both punctate and stretch loclaization patterns, indicating that it may function more similarly to CAMSAP2 and CAMSAP3, both of which have been reported to form short stabilized MT stretches in vivo and in vitro (Jiang *et al.*, 2014; Hendershott and Vale, 2014).

### 4.1.2 Two MT end binding proteins control MT array formation in *C. elegans* tissues

Based on phenotypic analysis, NOCA-1 by itself controls non-centrosomal MT array formation in the germline and embryonic epidermis. First, noca-1Δ mutants have completely disorganized germlines and they are completely sterile, indicating that NOCA-1 is requied for the germline function (Figure 2.6A). Depletion of NOCA-1 reduces the growing MT numbers similar to depletion of the MT nucleator γ-tubulin, demonstrating that NOCA-1 is involved in MT formation
in the germline (Figure 2.6C). Second, \textit{noca-1Δ} mutants have nuclear migration defects in the embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.6D), while the nuclear migration is mediated by MT motor activity (Fridolfsson and Starr, 2010). Moreover, \textit{noca-1Δ} mutant embryos have fewer growing MTs in the embryonic epidermis, indicating that NOCA-1 is required for MT formation here (Figure 2.6F). Taken together, we conclude that NOCA-1 is by itself required for MT formation in the germline and embryonic epidermis.

A genetic interaction has revealed that NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 have parallel functions in the post-embryonic epidermis. Single \textit{noca-1Δ} and \textit{ptrn-1Δ} mutants can both grow up to be morphologically normal adults. In contrast, \textit{noca-1Δ; ptrn-1Δ} double mutants are synthetic larval lethal and the lethality originated from the post-embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.2, Figure 2.4). MT arrays in the post-embryonic epidermis are evenly spaced MT bundles running circumferentially around the animal. These MT arrays are only subtly affected in single \textit{noca-1Δ} and \textit{ptrn-1Δ} mutants, but nearly completely eliminated in \textit{noca-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ} worms. Thus, we conclude that NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 function redundantly to control non-centrosomal array formation in the post-embryonic epidermis.

In sum, we have found that NOCA-1 by itself controls non-centrosomal MT array formation in the germline and embryonic epidermis, and function in parallel with PTRN-1 to control non-centrosomal array formation in the post-embryonic epidermis.
4.1.3 MTs contribute to embryonic elongation

We have shown that MTs in the epidermis of elongating C. elegans embryos can be inhibited by overexpressing the MT severing enzyme Spastin (Figure 3.1), or by inhibiting the MT end binding protein NOCA-1 (Figure 3.2). Although embryos elongate normally in both conditions, we found that elongation is abolished when MT inhibition is combined with reduced actomyosin contractility (Figure 3.3). Therefore, we conclude that MTs in the epidermis contribute to embryonic elongation, but only become essential if actomyosin contractility is limited.

4.2 Discussions

4.2.1 NOCA-1 and PTRN-1: similarity and difference

We showed that both NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 binds to the MT ends and both promote MT array formation in the post-embryonic epidermis. However, the two proteins share no sequence similarity and their functions are different. First, ectopic germline expression of PTRN-1 does not compensate the loss of NOCA-1, suggesting they are not interchangeable. Second, both NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 are present in the embryonic epidermis, but only NOCA-1 is required for the MT-dependent nuclear migration (Figure 2.6D). Third, the MT arrays in post-embryonic epidermis are differently affected in single noca-1Δ and ptrn-1Δ mutants. We observed fewer dynamic MTs in noca-1Δ single mutants, but more
dynamic MTs in ptrn-1Δ mutants (Figure 2.4E).

The phenotypic differences between NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 deletion mutants can be partially explained by their different localizations. In every tissue of interest, NOCA-1 co-localizes with the MT nucleator γ-tubulin (Figure 2.11), but PTRN-1 does not. Based on that, we may speculate that NOCA-1 and PTRN-1 may selectively stabilize the minus ends generated by different means. For example, NOCA-1 may preferentially stabilize the γ-tubulin nucleated MTs, while PTRN-1 prefers the minus ends generated by MT severing. Under this speculation, the plus ends generated by these two means can be different in terms of nucleotide state or tubulin modifications, thus explaining the different MT dynamics in noca-1Δ and ptrn-1Δ worms. This idea predicts that PTRN-1 mediated MT formation requires MT severing activity. Thus, it will be interesting to test whether MT severing contributes to MT generation in the post-embryonic epidermis. If it is the case, then it will be interesting to see (1) whether the MT severing enzymes co-localizes with PTRN-1, and (2) whether noca-1Δ genetically interacts with mutations in the corresponding MT severing enzymes.

4.2.2 MTs: two ways to generate, two ways to stabilize?

Assembly of non-centrosomal MT arrays often involves localized MT generation and minus end stabilization/anchoring. MTs can be generated by γ-TuRC mediated nucleation or by MT severing mediated amplification. In the case of γ-TuRC mediated nucleation, it is conceptually possible that γ-TuRC remains at and
protects/anchors the MT minus ends. However, MT nucleation and anchoring are separable events even at mitotic centrosomes, where γ-TuRC mediated nucleation dominates the MT generation (Dammermann et al., 2003). Ninein is a good candidate for minus end anchoring in this case, although in vitro work is required to clarify its biochemical activity (Mogensen et al., 2000). We showed here that NOCA-1 is a novel MT end binding protein required for MT array formation and it closely follows the localization of γ-tubulin. We speculate that NOCA-1 might protect the ends of MTs released from γ-TuRC, although direct evidence for this idea is difficult to obtain.

MT severing is another way to generate more MTs. The MT severing enzymes katanin and spastin have been shown to generate MTs in meiotic spindle assembly, neurite outgrowth, axon branching and plant cortical arrays re-orientation (McNally et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2008; Lindeboom et al., 2013b). For effective generation of “MT seeds”, the MT severing activities need to be closely regulated to prevent complete MT disassembly, and the exposed MT ends need be stabilized. Interestingly, CAMSAP2 and CAMSAP3 are recently reported to recruit the MT severing enzyme katanin to regulate the lengths of CAMSAP2/3 stabilized MT stretches (Jiang et al., 2014). We showed in this study that PTRN-1 also forms short stretches in the post-embryonic epidermis (Figure 2.12), suggesting that PTRN-1 might use a similar mechanism to control the lengths of stabilized MT stretches. It will be interesting to test whether MT severing enzymes are recruited by PTRN-1 in the post-embryonic epidermis and whether they are required
to regulate the lengths of PTRN-1 stretches.

We proposed here two ways of generating stabilized MTs for MT array formation: one is based on $\gamma$-TuRC mediated nucleation and NOCA-1 mediated stabilization, while the other is based on MT severing amplification and CAMSAP mediated protection. Different tissues could preferentially use one or both means to generate non-centrosomal MT arrays. In *C. elegans*, it appears that the MT severing and CAMSAP mediated MT generation predominates the neurites, while the $\gamma$-TuRC and NOCA-1 controlled MT formation predominates the germline and embryonic epidermis. In the post-embryonic epidermis, however, the two seem to function in parallel.

### 4.2.3 Non-centrosomal MT arrays in developing organisms

The functions of non-centrosomal MT arrays in the germline and embryonic epidermis of *C. elegans* are both related to nuclear positioning. While disruption of non-centrosomal arrays in the germline causes deleterious defects, disrupting MTs in the embryonic epidermis does not cause noticeable defects for the organism. This difference could be understood as the different susceptibility to nuclear positioning between syncytium and individual cells. The *C. elegans* germline is a large syncytium, where thousands of nuclei are arranged in their membrane compartments; nuclear positioning defects would thus cause many nuclei falling out into the rachis to completely disrupt the germline architecture. In contrast, the nuclear migration in embryonic epidermis happens when they are still individual cells, so
even the nuclei lose their migration tracks, they do not have much freedom to go around.

The circumferential MT arrays in the embryonic epidermis also contributes to the embryonic elongation. Although disrupting the MTs alone does not cause elongation defects, MT inhibition combined with reduced actomyosin contractility causes severe elongation defects (Figure 3.3). We proposed that these MT arrays are important for that they confer the mechanical anisotropy of the dorsoventral epidermis based on a mathematical model (Ciarletta et al., 2009).

We have proposed the circumferential MT arrays in the post-embryonic epidermis are important for secreting the cuticle. Due to the limited stretchability of the cuticle, worms periodically secrete a larger new cuticle to replace the smaller old one to allow body growth (a phenomenon called molting; Page and Johnstone, 2007). Supporting evidence includes that the circumferential MT array match the annular patterns of cuticles (Figure 2.5B) and when the array is disrupted in noc-a-1Δ;ptrn-1Δ double mutants, the worms grow much slower and their cuticles become permeable to a DNA dye (Figure 2.2B and C).

Interestingly, this proposed body growth mechanism closely resembles the growth mechanism of plant cells. Plant cells have cell walls which are made of cellulose microfibrils. During the elongation of plant hypocotyl cells, the cortical MT arrays are transversely oriented to guide cellulose deposition. In both *C. elegans* epidermis and *Arabidopsis* hypocotyl cells, MT arrays are transversely oriented so that they are perpendicular to the major growth axis (Figure 2.14). Moreover,
both the cuticle of *C. elegans* epidermis and the cell wall of *Arabidopsis* hypocotyl cells are patterned similarly to the MT arrays. This intriguing similarity may suggest a common strategy of using MT cytoskeleton to direct organismal or cellular growth that are confined by non-stretchable extracellular matrix or cell walls. It will be particularly interesting to examine whether cuticle synthesis is affected when circumferential MT arrays are disrupted and whether cuticle components move along the MTs.

In the end, we propose that non-centrosomal MT arrays in developing organisms function in nuclear positioning and intracellular transportations. Most interestingly, non-centrosomal MT directed cellular growth may represent a conserved mechanism across animals and plants.
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