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Multidimensional MR spectroscopic imaging of prostate cancer in vivo

M. Albert Thomasa*, Rajakumar Nagarajana, Amir Hudaab,c, Daniel Margolisa, Manoj K. Sarmaa, Ke Shengc, Robert E. Reited and Steven S. Ramana

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second most common type of cancer among men in the United States. A major limitation in the management of PCa is an inability to distinguish, early on, cancers that will progress and become life threatening. One-dimensional (1D) proton (¹H) MRS of the prostate provides metabolic information such as levels of choline (Ch), creatine (Cr), citrate (Cit), and spermine (Spm) that can be used to detect and diagnose PCa. Ex vivo high-resolution magic angle spinning (HR-MAS) of PCa specimens has revealed detection of more metabolites such as myo-inositol (mi), glutamate (Glu), and glutamine (Gln). Due to the J-modulation and signal overlap, it is difficult to quantitate Spm and other resonances in the prostate clearly by single- and multivoxel-based 1D MR spectroscopy. This limitation can be minimized by adding at least one more spectral dimension by which resonances can be spread apart, thereby increasing the spectral dispersion. However, recording of multivoxel-based two-dimensional (2D) MRS such as J-resolved spectroscopy (JPRESS) and correlated spectroscopy (L-COSY) combined with 2D or three-dimensional (3D) magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging (MRSI) using conventional phase-encoding can be prohibitively long to be included in a clinical protocol. To reduce the long acquisition time required for spatial encoding, the echo-planar spectroscopic imaging (EPSI) technique has been combined with correlated spectroscopy to give four-dimensional (4D) echo-planar correlated spectroscopic imaging (EP-COSI) as well as J-resolved spectroscopic imaging (EP-JRESI) and the multi-echo (ME) variants. Further acceleration can be achieved using non-uniform undersampling (NUS) and reconstruction using compressed sensing (CS). Earlier versions of 2D MRSI, theory of 2D MRS, spectral apodization filters, newer developments and the potential role of multidimensional MRS in PCa detection and management will be reviewed here. Copyright © 2013 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Keywords: prostate cancer; magnetic resonance spectroscopy; 2D JPRESS; 2D L-COSY; citrate; spermine; echo-planar spectroscopic imaging

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second leading cause of cancer deaths after lung cancer (1). The most common diagnostic tools used to look for evidence of PCa include digital rectal examination (DRE), serum concentration of prostate specific antigen (PSA) and transrectal ultrasonography (TRUS) guided prostate biopsy (2). The primary system for prognosis of PCa is based on the size and volume of cancer in a prostate biopsy specimen graded microscopically according to the glandular pattern of cancers (Gleason score, GS) (3). The GS is the sum of the two most common patterns (grades 1–5) of tumor growth found. In needle biopsy, it is now recommended that the worst grade should always be included even if present in less than 5% (4). Most PCa lesions are located in the peripheral zone (PZ) of the prostate and may be detected by DRE when the volume is about 0.2 ml or larger (5–7).

Digital rectal examination has a low overall sensitivity (37%) and low positive predictive value (PPV) when lower PSA levels
(0–3 ng /ml) are encountered (8). PSA measurement has yielded higher detection rates than DRE (7), but its specificity is low (36%) owing to false-positive PSA elevation under benign circumstances, such as inflammation or benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) (9). These inaccurate tools often lead to incorrect diagnoses, inaccurate risk assessments, patient anxiety, and less optimal therapy choices in management of the disease. Hence, there is a need for improved PCA diagnosis with better-detection, localization, and sampling. Prostate cancer is the only major solid organ malignancy that lacks an imaging-based diagnosis.

Diagnostic imaging methods currently in use, such as computerized tomography (CT) and ultrasound (US), cannot adequately detect PCs. MRI offers exquisite anatomical details, but may suffer from poor specificity in detecting and grading PCs (10,11). T2-weighted (T2W) MRI delineates most larger foci of PCs as a region of low signal intensity surrounded by high signal intensity (longer T2) of normal PZ tissue (12,13). Although the sensitivity of T2W images for tumor detection is high, specificity is suboptimal (14).

Low specificity may, however, lead to overtreatment, cause great anxiety to patients, and result in many unnecessary biopsies in patients with no or low grade cancers. On the other hand, if the patient with high grade cancer inappropriately chooses “active surveillance” as a management option, malignant cells can metastasize to the other parts of the body before the cancer becomes clinically evident. Thus more sensitive and specific non-invasive tests are needed to better differentiate indolent and aggressive PCs.

Techniques such diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and perfusion may improve the performance of MR. DWI is an MRI technique that visualizes molecular diffusion, that is, the Brownian motion of water molecules in biologic tissues (15), by applying two equally sized diffusion-sensitizing gradients, which are characterized by their b-values. The mobility is then quantified by calculating the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), which depends mainly on the cellularity, cell size, extracellular space, and temperature. When DWI is combined with T2W MRI, both sensitivity and specificity increase substantially. Sensitivity increases from a range of 49–88% for either modality alone to a range of 71–89% with the two modalities combined, while specificity increases from a range of 57–84% to a range of 61–91% (16–18).

Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels, is often associated with malignancy (19,20). A number of studies have reported an increased microvessel density (MVD) in PCs, potentially enabling the detection or localization of tumors through imaging techniques sensitive to these characteristics (21–24). DCE-MRI of PCs is often found to enhance more quickly, to a greater degree, and to show more washout than the benign PZ (22,25–28). Malignant tissue differs from benign tissue with respect to microvessel density, blood flow, vascular morphology and permeability, and flow dynamics (29). A growing body of literature suggests that DCE-MRI may significantly improve cancer detection, tissue characterization, localization, and staging (30–33). According to a study by Kim et al., DCE-MRI has been found to improve accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity by 26%, 31%, and 22% respectively relative to 1.5 T T2W imaging alone (34). Another study by the same group at 3 T also confirmed the superiority of DCE-MRI over T2W imaging (35). However, reports of correlation between DCE-MRI and specific tissue properties such as GS and MVD have been mixed, with both significant (24,36,37) and non-significant (26,38) findings. Moreover, mechanisms governing both qualitative and quantitative changes are not yet fully understood.

MR SPECTROSCOPIC IMAGING OF PROSTATE USING 1D SPECTROSCOPY

MRS is a non-invasive and powerful biochemical technique, which can be performed after the MRI protocol. Four metabolites, namely citrate (Cit), creatine (Cr), spermine (Spm), and choline (Ch) are the ones commonly detected in PCs (39,40). The resonances of the above mentioned metabolites occur at distinct frequencies (approximately 2.6 ppm, 3.03 ppm, 3.1 ppm, and 3.2 ppm, respectively). In healthy prostate tissues, Cit is secreted by the epithelial cells of the prostate in large amounts along with high levels of zinc, which inhibit the oxidation of Cit in the Krebs cycle. In the presence of cancer, the Cit level is dramatically diminished due to significant reduction of zinc in the cancerous epithelial tissue. Concurrently, the Ch level is elevated due to increased cell membrane turnover in the proliferating malignant tissues. Magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging (MRSI) is promising as a valuable technique for evaluating the extent and aggressiveness of primary and recurrent PCs (10,11). Instead of single voxels, MRSI provides spectra from three-dimensional (3D) spatial arrays of contiguous volumes mapping the entire prostate. MRI and MRSI both are used for detailed anatomic and metabolic evaluations of the prostate. The (Ch + Cr)/Cit ratio is usually used as a criteria for the diagnosis of PCs in the 3D MRSI studies.

A major limitation of the MRSI using 1D spectra is its severe spectral overlap because of limited spectral dispersion at clinically used static magnetic field strengths (B0 ≤ 3 T). Low spectral dispersion causes a large number of metabolites to overlap within a small range of 1H spectra (0–5 ppm) (41). Consequently, it makes quantification of metabolites very challenging. Further, water and lipid suppression using Mescher–Garwood (MEGA) RF pulses are combined with the localizing point resolved spectroscopy (PRESS) or stimulated echo acquisition mode (STEAM) sequence. This has resulted in a long TE-based MRSI acquisition since the 1990s (10,11,42). Spectral editing techniques (43–47) are generally optimized for detecting one specific metabolite, and hence may not be optimal for simultaneously detecting a large number of metabolites in clinical practice.

SINGLE VOXEL BASED 2D MR SPECTROSCOPY OF PROSTATE CANCER

Different versions of multidimensional techniques have been reported to overcome the signal overlap and to detect several metabolites more unambiguously than conventional MRSI techniques including spectral editing (46–56). Multidimensional MRS enables detection of a larger number of resonances from multiple metabolites with improved spectral resolution than one-dimensional (1D) MRS and a more efficient and accurate identification and quantification of metabolites. There are several books explaining various theoretical aspects of 2D MRS (57–59). Here, we present a brief theoretical explanation to describe the acquisition and post-processing of 2D MRS.

Theory

In the recording of single-voxel-based 1D spectroscopy, once the boundaries of the voxel are spatially localized with slice-selective RF pulses, differences in frequencies of 1H for different metabolites are attributed to chemical shift or shielding
experienced by the proton in the particular electronic environment and indirect spin–spin coupling (J-coupling) communicated through covalent bonds. Chemical shift results in distribution of signal over several peaks originating from different magnetically non-equivalent proton groups. J-coupling splits the amplitude of each group further, and distributes it over multiplets arising from indirect coupling of magnetically non-equivalent protons mediated by electron–nuclear and electron–electron interactions. Overlap of these multiplets makes quantitation of different metabolites difficult.

2D L-COSY and JPRESS

The idea behind multidimensional spectroscopy is to pry open these interactions and observe their relationships in action. The sequences are designed to see how a spin group modifies its response when another is perturbed in some way. This brings to the foreground the correlation between interacting spin groups, which is the basis of correlated spectroscopy (COSY) (52,57). The three-dimensional (3D) spatially resolved analog of 2D COSY was named "L-COSY" (52). In this scheme, a preparation period initiates a single slice-selective 90° RF pulse or a sandwich of slice-selective RF pulses in two orthogonal planes (90°–J–180°–J) during which the longitudinal equilibrium magnetization of nuclear spins is transferred to transverse magnetization or Hahn spin-echo. This is followed by encoding the second spectral dimension with a variable time period, t1, meaning that, during a series of repeat experiments, t1 takes on a different set of values. This is usually termed the evolution period, which is then followed by a mixing period containing a slice-selective 90° RF pulse in the third orthogonal plane in 2D L-COSY. During this period, there is a coherence transfer between J-coupled spins. In the localized 2D J-resolved spectroscopy (JPRESS), a slice-refocusing 180° RF pulse replaces the slice-selective 90° RF pulse in the L-COSY sequence (49,50). After this, the data acquisition or detection period, t2, begins in both L-COSY and JPRESS, during which the digitized signal is recorded as a function of t2, similarly to 1D MRS. This is repeated several times, creating a 2D data matrix, with each row representing a different t1. This arrayed signal acquisition, s(t2,t1), is the basis of 2D spectroscopy and can be extended to further dimensions by combining with two or three spatially encoding gradients, thereby enabling recording of multivoxel 2D spectra in a single slice or a 3D volume. More spectroscopic dimensions will come into play when considering multicellular MRS. To understand the nature of the interactions between spins during evolution, mixing, and detection periods, and how these events modulate the amplitude, frequency, phase, and full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of the signal, we need to take a closer look at the J-coupled spin-pair system.

A weakly (or strongly) J-coupled spin pair system is defined as one in which the chemical shift differences between magnetically non-equivalent protons (δ1 – δ2) within the molecule are larger than (or equal to) less than the J-coupling between the spins separated by covalent bonds. It can be shown that a general Hamiltonian for J-coupled spins is given by

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{i\neq j} \delta_{ij} I_i J_j + \sum_{k} 2\pi J_{jk} I_j I_k
\]  

where a set of spins (I) with different chemical shifts experiences mutual interactions, and δ and J are expressed in rad/s. For a weakly coupled two-spin system (IS), two spin-½ particles with four Zeeman product states in superposition, there are four stationary states or energy levels that represent the fractional population of coupled spins at equilibrium. The allowed transitions in a J-coupled spin pair system are similar to that in an isolated spin system. However, the total magnetic quantum number, m, of only one spin can change by ±1. Hence, there will be four resonances containing two doublets for the IS protons with one for the I and the other for the S spin.

2D L-COSY

The 2D signal from spin I acquired along the detection dimension (t2) is given by

\[
s(t_1, t_2) = \text{Tr}[(I_1 \rho) \exp(-i\omega_1 t_1) \exp(-i\omega_2 t_2) \exp(-i\omega_1 t_2) \exp(-i\omega_2 t_1)]
\]  

where

\[
\sigma = -0.5 \cos(2\pi J t_1) [I_j \cos(\omega_1 t_1) \cos(\pi t_1) + I_k \sin(\omega_1 t_1) \cos(\pi t_1)]
\]  

\[
+ 2J_{sk} \cos(\omega_1 t_1) \sin(\pi t_1) - 2J_{sk} \sin(\omega_1 t_1) \sin(\pi t_1)]
\]  

\[
+ 0.5 \sin(2\pi J t_1) [I_j \cos(\omega_1 t_1) \cos(\pi t_1) + I_k \sin(\omega_1 t_1) \sin(\pi t_1)]
\]  

\[
- 2J_{sk} \cos(\omega_1 t_1) \cos(\pi t_1) + 2I_{sk} \sin(\omega_1 t_1) \cos(\pi t_1)]
\]

T_R, T_1, and T_2 represent repetition time and longitudinal and transverse relaxation times, respectively. It is also evident from Equation (3) that the coherence transfer (CT) from spin I to S is characterized by two-spin operators, 2J_{sk} and 2I_{sk}. A similar equation can be derived for S spin resulting in a coherence transfer to I spin.

Apodization filters for 2D L-COSY

As shown in Equation (3), the first maximum of the CT echo will occur at \( t_1 = 1/2J \) for the two-spin system (IS) and the position of the maximum for lactate (I5S, three methyl and one methine protons) will be at \( t_1 = \pi/4J \) as described previously by Ziegler et al. (60). In a strongly coupled AB spin system such as citrate in the prostate, the coherence transfer function becomes more complicated, since the chemical shift difference is equal to or less than J (50,54,61). It is also evident in Equations (2) and (3) that the 2D diagonal peak intensities follow a cosine dependence and time domain cross-peak amplitudes increase from zero at the beginning to a maximum at 1/2J with the signal decay according to T2*. As discussed by Ernst et al., for small t1 and t2 values, the contribution to the 2D cross peak volume is insignificant (57). Hence, it is advisable to weight the time-domain signal, as shown in Equation (2), by a weighting function that will deemphasize the signal for small t2 and t1 values (57). While post-processing a 2D L-COSY spectrum, optimal matching filters such as a sine-bell or skewed square sine-bell can be used along both dimensions for better sensitivity of 2D cross peaks. A sine-bell (SB) filter can be defined as

\[
SB_n = \sin \left\{ \left( \frac{\pi}{n} \right) \left[ 1 + (n - 1) t_{pw} / (t_{2\max} - t_{2\min}) \right] \right\}
\]  

where n being the shift parameter is a positive integer and j runs over the two dimensions, 1 and 2. For large n, Equation (4) reduces to

\[
SB = \sin \left\{ \pi t_{pw} / (t_{2\max} - t_{2\min}) \right\}
\]  

As shown in Equation (5), the symmetric unshifted SB function has a maximum value of 1 at \( t_{pw} = (t_{2\max} - t_{2\min})/2 \).
As the maximum value can be reached at an earlier time, the shifted SB or its square (SB, n^2) may offer more flexibility than the unshifted ones.

As described by Delikatny et al. (62), there are three major advantages with using the SB filter. (1) As it begins with zero value, unlike an exponential filter, it can emphasize cross peaks relative to 2D diagonal peaks that are cosine dependent. (2) It removes the broad wings (dispersive components) from 2D magnitude line-shapes. (3) At the end of the time domain, the trailing edge of the SB function the window function goes smoothly to zero and truncation errors due to apodization are minimized.

Shown in Figure 1(A) is a 2D L-COSY spectrum recorded in the peripheral zone of a 28 y.o. healthy prostate using a 1.5 T whole body MRI/MRS scanner (GE Medical Systems, Waukesha, WI) with an endorectal coil (MEDRAD, Pittsburgh, PA) combined with a pelvic phased-array coil for signal reception. A body RF coil was used for transmitting the RF pulses. A 2 × 2 × 1 cm³ voxel was placed on the lower left peripheral zone and a spectral window of 625 Hz along the second indirect dimension (F2) and a spectral window of 2500 Hz along the detected direct (F1) dimension. The incremental period (Δt1) was 1.6 ms to yield a spectral window of 625 Hz along the second indirect dimension (t1). The spectral 2D raw files were processed using the FELIX software package (Felix NMR Inc., San Diego, CA). After double Fourier transformation, the 2D L-COSY spectra were reconstructed in the magnitude mode and displayed as contour plots.

The J-coupled multiplets are better resolved along the t1 dimension than the detected t2 dimension as well the 1D MRS counterpart, since any defocusing linear B0 interactions including the static field inhomogeneities during the first half of t1 are not in bilinear interaction, J, similar to the first Hahn echo. The frequency of each peak along the t1 dimension will be dependent on J only, where the frequency along the t2 dimension will be (chemical shift ± 1/2 J). A more detailed theoretical explanation is discussed by Thrippleton et al. (63).

Figure 1(B) shows a 2 ml voxel 2D JPRESS spectrum of the same 28 y.o. healthy prostate as shown in Figure 1. The voxel location of the 2D JPRESS spectrum is shown in Figure 2(A). The 2D peaks due to Cit were located along F1 = ±1.6 Hz, ±7.9 Hz, and ±17.5 Hz. In addition, the triplet nicely resolved about F2 = 3.1 ppm along F1 = 0 Hz and ±7.8 Hz was identified as Spm. The presence of strong Cit peaks was consistent with the well-known fact of its high abundance in healthy prostate (39,40). Moreover, there were 2D peaks along F1 = 0 Hz due to Cr and Ch at F2 = 3.0 ppm and 3.2 ppm, respectively. The J-resolved peaks due to Ch methylene protons were not observable due to the smaller voxel size and reduced number of averages.

Apodization filters for 2D JPRESS

The J-coupled multiplets are better resolved along the t1 dimension than the detected t2 dimension as well the 1D MRS counterpart, since any defocusing linear B0 interactions including the static field inhomogeneities during the first half of t1 are not in bilinear interaction, J, similar to the first Hahn echo. The frequency of each peak along the t1 dimension will be dependent on J only, where the frequency along the t2 dimension will be (chemical shift ± 1/2 J). A more detailed theoretical explanation is discussed by Thrippleton et al. (63).

Figure 1. A 1.5 T 2D L-COSY spectrum recorded from a healthy prostate of a 28 y.o. male using two different apodization filters: (A) a skewed squared sine-bell and (B) an exponential filter using a 3 Hz line broadening. The 2D L-COSY spectrum was recorded using the following parameters. A 2 × 2 × 1 cm³ voxel was placed on the lower left peripheral zone, TII = 2 s, minimal TIR = 30 ms, 45 t1 increments and 16 averages. The total acquisition time was 24 min. The raw data was acquired using 1024 complex points and a spectral window of 2500 Hz for the F2 dimension, 1024 averages per Δt2, 16 averages per Δt1, 1024 complex points and a spectral window of 625 Hz along the F1 dimension. The incremental period (Δt1) was 1.6 ms to yield a spectral window of 625 Hz along the detected F2 dimension. The total acquisition time was 24 min. After zero-filling to 2048 × 256, double Fourier transformation was performed and the 2D L-COSY spectra were reconstructed in the magnitude mode and displayed as contour plots.

wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/nbm
refocused during the second half, resulting in a net zero dependence on the $B_0$ static field inhomogeneities and other linear interactions. Even though this is a major advantage, the phase-modulated time domain datasets are transformed into phase-twisted 2D peaks after the double fast Fourier transformation (FFT) of the 2D JPRESS raw data. Hence, the above mentioned squared or simple sine-bell filter functions can be used prior to the double FFT.

### Strong coupling effects in 2D JPRESS

It was demonstrated earlier that 2D JPRESS spectra of brain and prostate metabolites show more cross peaks than those of weakly coupled ones (50,54). Shown in Figure 3 is a simulated hard pulse version of the 2D JPRESS ($90^\circ–180^\circ–180^\circ$) spectrum of Cit using the GAMMA simulation library (64). Cit has two equivalent methylene groups. Each proton pair forms a strongly $J$-coupled AB spin system (50,54), resulting in eight $J$-resolved 2D peaks anti-symmetric about $F_2 = 2.65$ ppm, as evident in the experimental and simulated 2D spectra. The 2D peaks located along $F_1 = \pm1.6$ Hz, $\pm7.8$ Hz, and $\pm16.4$ Hz were in agreement with a previous report (54). Besides, the projected 1D spectra onto $F_1$ and $F_2$ axes are also shown.

### 2D JPRESS of PCa patients with two different Gleason scores (GS)

Figure 4 shows 2D JPRESS spectra recorded in a 59 y.o. BPH and a 50 y.o. PCa patient using a Siemens 1.5 T Avanto MRI scanner. The metabolites such as Cit, Ch, Cr, and Spm were identified and the 2D multiplet patterns of Cit and Spm in JPRESS spectra were detected with a reasonable resolution. However, the limited spectral resolution along the second axis ($F_2$) resulted in an overcrowded 2D JPRESS spectrum. This complex spectrum is due to the strong coupling effects of Cit as presented in Figure 3. Nagarajan et al. (56) have shown a decrease of Spm in PCa patients of higher GS (4 + 3) compared with lower GS (3 + 4) using 2D J-resolved spectroscopy. High levels of Spm are found in the healthy prostatic ducts (65–67) and the observed variations of Spm in cancer may be due to the loss of ductal morphology or a reduction in the secretion of polyamines (68). The Spm itself, which plays a role in cell proliferation and differentiation, may provide additional information for early diagnosis and prognosis predicting tumor progression. However, the separation of Ch from Spm is inherently difficult because of the proximity of the peaks and the limited spectral resolution available within a reasonable imaging time. A distinct integration region cannot be assigned for Spm. Thus, polyamine level could not be integrated and quantified unambiguously using operator...
defined peak volumes in the frequency domain. It can be only assessed qualitatively and reported relative to the choline peak. In the prostate, Spm has three multiplets at 1.8 ppm, 2.1 ppm, and 3.05 – 3.15 ppm. The 3.05 – 3.15 ppm multiplet occurs between the Cr and Ch singlets and cannot be entirely resolved from them. Although this ratio has been traditionally called the (Ch+Cr)/Cit ratio, it actually includes Spm also. This presents a problem when Ch is elevated in cancer and Spm is diminished. This hinders accurate quantification of both metabolites. Application of localized 2D JPRESS was also reported in prostate in vivo studies by another group (69).

**Maximum-echo sampled 2D JPRESS**

In the half-echo sampled 2D JPRESS, if the incremental period (Δt₁) typically used is 10 ms, the resulting total echo time duration will be 630 ms to achieve a spectral window of 100 Hz along F₁. This would lead to severe T₂ attenuation of 2D peaks, resulting in a significant signal loss. It is known that T₂ value of several metabolites are shorter at 3 T than 1.5 T (70,71), which would lead to further attenuation of J-resolved 2D peaks at higher field strength. As reported by Schulte et al. recently, a maximum-echo based JPRESS can sample the echo signals starting immediately after the final crusher gradient of the last 180° RF pulse (72). This acquisition scheme has several advantages over the half-echo sampling data presented in the previous section, where the acquisition starts at the echo top. A common definition of the sensitivity is the signal-to-noise (SNR) ratio per unit time for identical experimental durations and a constant acquisition time window; the noise will remain the same and it is sufficient to compare the signal. The signal is commonly defined as the peak height in the frequency domain, which is equivalent to the integral of the time domain signal. For single resonances, it suffices to integrate over the exponential damping curve of the echo along the times t₁ and t₂.

As described by Schulte et al., the efficiency of 2D experiments can be compared with 1D PRESS by integrating over the indirect dimension (t₁). The maximum-echo sampled JPRESS signal can be divided into two halves (72). The damping curve in the traditional half-echo sampling JPRESS is equivalent to the right
where $T_{sl}$ represents the total sampling time along $t_1$.

Adding both halves together, the total signal of the maximum-echo sampled JPRESS can be written as

$$2T_2^*T_2[1 - \exp(-T_{sl}/T_2)] - T_2^*t_x[1 - \exp(-T_{sl}/t_x)]$$

where $t_x = 2T_2T_2^*/(T_2 + 2T_2^*)$.

The ratio of maximum-echo sampled JPRESS to PRESS is given by

$$2T_2^*T_2[1 - \exp(-T_{sl}/T_2)] - T_2^*t_x[1 - \exp(-T_{sl}/t_x)]$$

and half-echo JPRESS to PRESS (Equation [9]) by

$$T_2/T_2^*[1 - \exp(-T_{sl}/T_2)]$$

As presented by Schulte et al., the maximum-echo sampled JPRESS scheme has optimal sensitivity in 2D experiments and it has the same sensitivity as the 1D PRESS at long $T_2 > 200$ ms, which is the case for singlets from Cr and trimethyl protons of Ch (72).

Shown in Figure 5 is maximum-echo sampled 2D JPRESS data acquired in a 27 y.o. healthy male before (A) and after (B) a phase rotation using the following parameters: a voxel of $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cm$^3$, $T_E/T_{E_{\text{min}}} = 2$ s/30 ms, 2048 complex points for the detected $t_2$ dimension sampling a spectral width of 2000 Hz, 100 $\Delta t_1$ increments before the last 180° slice-selective RF pulse with each $\Delta t_1 = 1$ ms, eight averages per $\Delta t_1$, a 3 T MRI scanner, 16 channel body matrix coil for “receive” and a quadrature body “transmit” coil. This is in agreement with what was shown by Lange et al. (69).

**ECHO-PLANAR CORRELATED AND J-RESOLVED SPECTROSCOPIC IMAGING**

The single-voxel (SV)-based 1D and 2D MRS studies suffer from limited spatial coverage due to recording of one voxel per measurement. In contrast, the 2D or 3D MRSI technique would facilitate adequate spatial coverage in a single recording. However, a major limitation of the conventional 2D/3D MRSI technique stems from using incremented phase encoding for two or three directions to traverse the $k$-space and the total scan time required for the acquisition of a high-resolution 3D MRSI data may be prohibitively long for clinical exams. Another drawback is that only four major metabolites (Cit, Cr, Spm, and Ch) have been detected by the 3D MRSI sequence due to long $T_E$ for optimal suppression of water and lipids. The acquisition of fully phase-encoded MRSI can be greatly shortened by using echo-planar spectroscopic imaging (EPSI), a method originally proposed by Mansfield (73), which proposed a technique mapping by encoding spatial and spectral dimensions during a single readout. Much effort has been devoted to implementing EPSI (74–78), the results of which have shown sufficient SNR. In the last few years, two different fast MRSI sequences have been demonstrated with potential applications in PCa. First, the flyback echo-planar read-out trajectories were incorporated by Chen et al. into the PRESS sequence using composite RF pulses with high-quality MRSI data recorded in nine PCa patients (79). Second, high-resolution EPSI was implemented on a 4.7 T MRI scanner with the sequence tested in animal models of PCa (80).

To overcome a major limitation of the SV localized 2D MRS, phase-encoding gradients can be combined with L-COSY and JPRESS to record multivoxel 2D spectra in human tissues. However, the total duration will be impractically long due to four different increments for encoding: one for the second spectral dimension and three for the three spatial dimensions. With the above mentioned progress in accelerating one spatial and...
parameters were used for acquiring the 4D EP-JRESI data: an integral part of the EP-JRESI sequence (82). The following volume of interest (VOI) localized by the PRESS sequence which boundary of the image showing the multivoxel grids of MRSI with the yellow fully encoded EP-JRESI data. Shown in Figure 7(A) is an axial MRI slice that all of the above mentioned metabolites can be detected using (4 mM), Gln (2.5 mM) and scyllo-inositol (Scy, 0.8 mM). Here, we show Spm (6 mM), mI (10 mM), PCh (2 mM), taurine (Tau, 3 mM), Glu (4 mM), Gln (2.5 mM) and scyllo-inositol (Scy, 0.8 mM). Here, we show that all of the above mentioned metabolites can be detected using fully encoded EP-JRESI data. Shown in Figure 7(A) is an axial MRI slice showing the multivoxel grids of MRSI using conventional phase encoding because of the shorter echo time. Figure 6 shows the four-dimensional (2D spectral + 2D spatial) EP-COSI data recorded in a 27 y.o. healthy volunteer. The voxel placement is shown in Figure 6(A) and the multivoxel display of Cr, Ch, and Spm over the localized VOI in Figure 6(B). An extracted 3 ml 2D COSY spectrum is displayed in Figure 6(C).

A 500 ml prostate phantom was prepared containing the following metabolites at physiological concentrations as reported in healthy human prostate (69): Cit (50 mM), Cr (5 mM), Ch (1 mM), Spm (6 mM), ml (10 mM), PCh (2 mM), taurine (Tau, 3 mM), Glu (4 mM), Gln (2.5 mM) and scyllo-inositol (Scy, 0.8 mM). Here, we show that all of the above mentioned metabolites can be detected using fully encoded EP-JRESI data. Shown in Figure 7(A) is an axial MRI slice showing the multivoxel grids of MRSI using the yellow boundary of the field of view (FOV), and the white box representing the volume of interest (VOI) localized by the PRESS sequence which is an integral part of the EP-JRESI sequence (82). The following parameters were used for acquiring the 4D EP-JRESI data: T2/T1 = 1500 ms/30 ms; 16 phase encodes (kx), 32 read-out points (ky) with oversampling, 512 × read-out trains resulting in 512 pairs of complex spectral points in the second spectral dimension (t2), 100 t1 increments for the indirect spectral dimension and one average per encoding. A total duration of 40 min was necessary to acquire this water-suppressed 4D EP-JRESI data. A non-water-suppressed EP-JRESI data using four averages with only one t1 increment was used for eddy current and phase correction of the suppressed data (81). After apodization and Fourier transformation of this 4D data, the reconstructed 2D J-resolved spectra were overlaid on top of the 16 × 16 spatial grids. An extracted 2D J-resolved spectrum (3 ml) around the center of the VOI is shown in Figure 7(B). The diagonal peaks cutting through F1 = 0 show all singlets contained in a 1D PRESS spectrum and the 2D cross (off-diagonal) peaks of J-coupled metabolites such as Cit, Spm, Glu, Gln, and ml were also clearly visible.

MULTI ECHO (ME) BASED ECHO-PLANAR J-RESOLVED SPECTROSCOPIC IMAGING (MEEP-JRESI)

Multi-echo (ME) encoding schemes, namely turbo spin echo (TSE) and fast spin echo (FSE), have been shown to decrease the overall scan time in MRI (83–85). Similar approaches have demonstrated the applicability of ME techniques to MRSI (86,87). One limiting factor for ME-based MRSI is the T2 decay as the signal is greatly diminished with each echo, especially in living tissues, where T2 relaxation times are shorter (70,71,88). Recently, a 4D EP-JRESI sequence was implemented combining two spectral dimensions with two spatial dimensions and incorporating multi-echo (ME) for encoding one of the spatial dimensions to reduce scan times to suit clinical requirements. Nagarajan et al. (89) employed the MEEP-JRESI sequence on a 3 T MRI/MRS scanner and evaluated it in three healthy prostate volunteers using the external body matrix “receive” coil. The MEEP-JRESI technique facilitates recording multivoxel 2D J-resolved spectra in a single recording using a total acquisition time of approximately 13 min. Figure 8(A) shows the T2-weighted axial MRI displaying the VOI location for the MEEP-JRESI recorded in a 28 y.o. healthy volunteer. A multivoxel display of Cr/Ch/Spm inside the VOI is shown in Figure 8(B) with each voxel resolution of 2 ml.


Image acquisition approaches have conventionally followed the Nyquist–Shannon sampling theorem, where the sampling rate
should be at least twice the maximum frequency present in the
signal of interest. However, the theory of compressive sensing
(CS) describes a combined sampling and reconstruction
paradigm which states that certain images and signals can be
recovered from an acquisition that uses fewer samples than
required by Nyquist–Shannon (90,91). Since the annual meeting
of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine
in May 2007, CS has met with significant enthusiasm among MR
researchers (92–96). Three criteria are critical for the successful
application of CS (92): (1) the data should have a sparse
representation in a particular transform domain, (2) the aliasing
from undersampling should be incoherent in that transform
domain and (3) a nonlinear reconstruction should be used to
enforce both the sparsity of the reconstruction and consistency
with the measurements. MRI is well suited for CS, and there are
significant benefits in imaging speed and reduced costs, thereby
improving patient care. A major challenge in designing CS data
acquisition methods for MRI is in implementing NUS densities
that result in incoherent aliasing while providing data sparsity
in a transform domain, such as wavelets, curvelets, etc (76).
Incoherent aliasing combined with sparsity in the transform
domain allows L1-norm-based reconstructions from NUS data to
be exact under ideal conditions and “approximately” exact under
normal conditions (90,91). Application of CS sampling and
reconstruction has been accomplished in parallel imaging to
exploit both image sparsity and coil sensitivity encoding (97). As
described earlier, there are three spatial encodings and one
spectral encoding in a 3D MRSI sequence, and recently Vigneron
and co-workers developed an undersampling scheme along both
spatial encoded dimensions to achieve suitable incoherent
aliasing. They have demonstrated a factor of two enhancement
in the spatial resolution without increasing acquisition time or
decreasing coverage (94). A further modified scheme was shown
to provide up to a acceleration factor of 7.5 for hyperpolarized
MRSI (98).

The total duration of a fully encoded 3D MRSI can be
approximately 2 hours if the following parameters are used: $T_R =
1$ s, $12 \times 12 \times 12$ for phase-encoding along three spatial dimen-
sions and four averages. Therefore, average weighted and other
schemes have been used to minimize the total time (99). During
the last five years, two different fast MRSI sequences have been
demonstrated for PCs as mentioned earlier (79,80). There has

---

**Figure 7.** (A) An axial slice MRI of a prostate phantom containing 10 metabolites; (B) an extracted 2D J-resolved spectrum (voxel size of 3 ml). A 3 T MRI scanner was used.

**Figure 8.** (A) The $T_2$-weighted axial MRI and (B) multivoxel distribution of Cr, Ch, and Spm from a 28 y.o. healthy prostate from MEEP-JRESI. A 3 T MRI scanner was used.
been one report of combining two spectral dimensions with 2D spatial encoding applicable to PCa (100). As discussed earlier, a second spectral dimension was added to the EPSI technique in the recently published 4D EP-COSI sequence (81). A total scan time of 40 min was required for 512 x 100 complex points along the two spectral dimensions with a 16 x 16 spatial resolution along the two spatial dimensions.

We demonstrate here that by imposing a NUS scheme on the above presented fully sampled data shown in Figure 7(B) and after the CS reconstruction, the quality of the extracted 2D MRS spectra from the undersampled data is comparable to that of the fully sampled data. Therefore, NUS was imposed on one spectral and one spatial dimension and the remaining spectral and spatial dimensions were fully sampled by the EPI readout. Compared with the fully encoded data, the 25% NUS densities demonstrate 4x undersampling, which reduced total scan duration to 10 min. Figure 9 shows the multivoxel EP-JRESI data (A) and extracted 2D J-resolved spectrum (B) after the CS reconstruction, demonstrating the fidelity of the CS reconstruction.

The feasibility of recording a 25% sparsely sampled in vivo EP-JRESI data and successful CS reconstruction of the 2D J-resolved spectrum is demonstrated here. A 32 year-old healthy human subject was investigated on the 3 T MRI scanner. (B) the corresponding 2D J-resolved spectrum extracted from the center voxel.

PRIOR-KNOWLEDGE FITTING FOR QUANTITATION OF PROSTATE METABOLITES

As discussed earlier, previous attempts by other researchers using the single- and multivoxel-based 1D MRS approaches have reported four prostate metabolites only, such as Cit, Ch, Spm, and Cr (10,11). This was due to the long echo time (T_E > 100 ms) and limited 1D spectral quantitation approaches used. Recent investigations of HR-MAS of ex vivo prostate cancer specimens on ultra-high field NMR spectrometers have demonstrated quantitation of many more metabolites such as Tau, ml, scylo-inositol (si), Glx, etc. than what has previously been shown using the 1D MRS in vivo approaches so far (103-105). A recent report has used prior-knowledge-based LC-model processed HR-MAS ex vivo data to quantify additional metabolites such as Glx and glucose (106). A few years ago, Schulte et al. developed a prior-knowledge fitting (ProFit) algorithm and demonstrated the feasibility of quantitation of brain and prostate metabolites (69,72,107). After the fitting process, the quality of the fit can individually be evaluated for each metabolite using Cramér–Rao lower bounds (CRLB) (108). A statistical lower bound for the achievable standard deviation of the estimated parameters is provided by CRLB which are not dependent on the individual concentrations but only on the noise and the orthogonality of the basis function. The architecture of the fitting process allows for another useful measure of the quality of the fitting of the spectrum by comparing creatine 3.9 (Cr3.9) to creatine 3.0 (Cr3.0) ratios, which ideally should be 1 since the number of protons (2,3) are already taken into account for Cr3.9 and Cr3.0, respectively, in their basis-set creation. Higher Cr3.9/Cr3.0 ratios reflect poor spectra and implying that the results can be excluded. Note that in order to implement this control creatine 3.9 and creatine 3.0 have to be implemented as different elements in the basis sets. Our preliminary

Figure 9. (A) The CS-reconstructed 2D J-resolved multivoxel spectra showing the Cit multiplets processed from the 25% NUS raw data using a 3 T MRI scanner. (B) the corresponding 2D J-resolved spectrum extracted from the center voxel.
results using the ProFit-based quantitation of the previously acquired GE 1.5 T and Siemens 3 T and 1.5 T MRS data using 2D localized-correlation spectroscopy (L-COSY) spectral acquisition in selected brain pathologies demonstrate the increased power of estimating more brain metabolites such as glutathione (GSH), PCh, PE, and GPC (109,110). Shown in Figure 11 are plots showing the fitting of a 2D JPRESS prostate spectrum (a) using a Siemens 3 T MRI scanner, the same as shown in Figure 5, its fit (b) and the fit residue (c) as determined with ProFit. The estimated metabolite ratios (/Cr) using the ProFit quantitation were as follows: Cit, 7.36; PCh, 0.09; GPC, 0.48; Spm, 8.55; ml, 3.79; st, 0.12; Glu, 1.52; Gln, 0.03; Tau, 1.47. These values were in agreement with the work published by Lange et al. (69). However, the applicability of ProFit in a clinical setting is yet to be demonstrated.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS: CLINICAL APPLICATIONS**

As discussed above, localized 2D MRS has left infancy and moving to maturity. Twenty minutes of total duration were required for recording the decade old single-voxel-based 2D L-COSY and 2D JPRESS spectra. Recent fully sampled multivoxel-based 4D EP-JRESI and EP-COSI sequences enable recording 2D COSY and J-resolved spectra in multiple regions. However, the total duration was typically 20–40 min depending on the number of incremented spectral (t₁) and spatial encoding (k_y) steps. Recent developments from our group demonstrate clearly that further acceleration can be accomplished using the NUS schemes and total durations of the 4D EP-JRESI and EP-COSI sequences can be shortened to approximately 10 min or less. The nonlinear CS reconstruction is necessary for processing the NUS 4D data. Further work is necessary to demonstrate the potential of multidimensional MR spectroscopic imaging using the fast imaging methods as reported recently to bring it into the clinic as a robust diagnostic metabolite imaging technique. We hope all these recent developments will lead to clinical realization of these novel MRSI sequences in the near future.
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