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Machine learning is used to approximate the kinetic energy of one dimensional diatomics as a functional of the electron density. The functional can accurately dissociate a diatomic, and can be systematically improved with training. Highly accurate self-consistent densities and molecular forces are found, indicating the possibility for ab-initio molecular dynamics simulations.

Kohn-Sham density functional theory (KS-DFT) [1, 2] is a widely used electronic structure method, striking a balance between accuracy and computational efficiency [3]. KS-DFT is not a pure DFT, as it requires solving a self-consistent set of orbital equations [4]. In return, only a small fraction of the total energy, the exchange-correlation (XC) energy, need be approximated as a functional of the electronic spin densities. This produces far greater accuracy relative to a pure DFT such as Thomas-Fermi theory [5].

The computational bottleneck in KS-DFT calculations is the need to solve the KS equations, which formally scale as $N^3$, where $N$ is the number of electrons. Thus there is strong interest in constructing an orbital-free DFT, avoiding this step [6]. A sufficiently accurate approximation to $T_\theta[n]$, the kinetic energy (KE) of KS electrons, would produce an orbital-free scheme, greatly reducing the computational cost of DFT without sacrificing accuracy.

Many research efforts have recently focused in this direction [7]. Unfortunately, the relative accuracy requirements of a KE functional are much stricter than those of an XC functional, because the KE is typically comparable to the total energy of the system [5]. Worse, we also need accurate functional derivatives, since ultimately the density must be determined self-consistently via an Euler equation. The standard approximations using local and semi-local forms do not yield accurate derivatives [8].

Approximating $T_\theta[n]$ has proven to be a difficult task for both extended and finite systems [6]. Some build on Thomas-Fermi theory with gradient expansions and various mixing coefficients [7] or on generalized gradient approximations (GGAs) with enhancement factors based on “conjointness” [9]. Others approximate $T_\theta = T_\theta - T^W \geq 0$, where $T^W$ is the von Weizsäcker KE [10], or attempt to produce the correct linear response [6]. For multiple bonds, no present KE functional can accurately describe molecules far from equilibrium structures nor properly dissociate a diatomic. Moreover, solving the orbital-free Euler equation for GGA-like functionals can be difficult due to poor functional derivatives near nuclei [6].

A particularly difficult problem is to correctly dissociate a chemical bond. Any locally-based approximation has difficulties when the bond length is stretched to large distances. The fragments often contain fractional electron numbers, for which local approximations yield very wrong answers [11]. The worst case is the KE of a single bond in one dimension, where a local approximation yields fragments energies that are incorrect by a factor of 4 in the stretched limit.

To tackle these difficulties, we turn to machine learning (ML), a powerful tool for learning high-dimensional patterns via induction that has been very successful in many applications [12] including quantum chemistry [13–16]. Some of us recently suggested a new paradigm in density functional approximation using ML to approximate density functionals [17]. In that work, all particles were confined to a box so no densities looked like those

![FIG. 1. The molecular binding curve for a 1d single bond (in atomic units). The machine learning approximation (MLA) curve is found found self-consistently, using 10 KS-LDA densities and kinetic energies (spaced evenly from $R = 0$ to 10) for training. Note that the incorrect large $R$ limit is due to deficiencies in the LDA XC, not our MLA for the KE.](image-url)
of well-separated atoms. In Fig. 1, we show what happens when we apply ML algorithms self-consistently to fermions in two wells as they are separated: ML has no particular difficulty in treating a situation that is virtually impossible with traditional DFT approximations. In this work, we construct an orbital-free KE functional based on ML that is capable of accurately describing 1d diatomics from the united atom limit to complete nuclear separation. Moreover, we obtain accurate self-consistent densities and molecular forces.

We use standard methods from ML [18], and atomic units throughout. Using kernel ridge regression (KRR), which is non-linear regression with regularization to prevent overfitting [18], our machine learning approximation (MLA) for the KE is

$$T^{\text{ML}}(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \alpha_j k(n_j, n),$$

where \( \alpha_j \) are weights to be determined, \( n_j \) are training densities, \( M \) is the number of training densities, and \( k \) is the kernel, which measures similarity between densities. We choose the Gaussian kernel

$$k(n, n') = \exp(-\|n - n'\|^2/(2\sigma^2)),$$

where \( \sigma \) is called the length scale. The weights are found by minimizing the cost function

$$\mathcal{C}(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \Delta T_j^2 + \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha,$$

where \( \Delta T_j = T_j^{\text{ML}} - T_j \), \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_M) \) and \( K \) is the kernel matrix, \( K_{ij} = k(n_i, n_j) \). The second term is a regularizer that penalizes large weights to prevent overfitting. Minimizing \( \mathcal{C}(\alpha) \) gives

$$\alpha = (K + \lambda I)^{-1} T,$$

where \( I \) is the identity matrix and \( T = (T_1, \ldots, T_M) \). The global parameters \( \sigma \) and \( \lambda \) are determined through leave-one-out cross-validation: For each density \( n' \) in the training set, the functional is trained on all densities except for \( n' \) and \( \sigma \) and \( \lambda \) are optimized by minimizing the absolute error on the \( n' \). Final values are chosen as the median over all optimum values. To test performance, the functional is always evaluated on new densities not in the training set.

Here, we consider a one-dimensional model of diatomic molecules, where the electron repulsion has the soft-Coulombic form [19]

$$v_{ee}(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + u^2}},$$

as this has been used in a variety of contexts. The one-body potential attraction of the two “nuclei” of nuclear charge \( Z \) at separation \( R \) is

$$v(x) = -Z(v_{ee}(x - R/2) + v_{ee}(x + R/2)),$$

and the internuclear repulsion is \( V_{NN}(R) = Z^2 v_{ee}(R) \).

We solve this model within KS-DFT [2] with the local density approximation (LDA) for XC [19, 20]. The spin-unpolarized form of LDA exchange for this system is given in Ref. [19], and an accurate parametrization of the LDA correlation energy is given in Ref. [20].

Our goal is to “learn” the non-interacting kinetic energy \( T_{\text{S}}[n] \) of the KS system. In previous work [17], where we demonstrated for the first time the ability of ML to approximate density functionals, the fermions were non-interacting and confined to live in a box, restraining the variety of possible densities. In particular, there was no analog of a binding energy curve where a density is centered on two sites whose separation varies continuously from small to infinite.

Fig. 2 shows the densities and potentials for the united atom, equilibrium bond length, and stretched diatomic. To generate a dissociation curve like that of Fig 1, we consider bond lengths up to \( R = 10 \), and place the entire system on a 500-point grid from \( x = -20 \) to 20. This is necessary to converge our KS-DFT calculations. We doubly-occupy the lowest \( Z \) orbitals, so that \( N = 2Z \), where \( N \) is the number of electrons. We extract various energies and the density as a function of \( R \) for different values of \( N \).

A curious point is that the ML method only needs 50 points to achieve the level of accuracy given in this paper once the training energies are sufficiently accurate. Thus, a 500-point calculation may be needed to find essentially exact energies, but a far smaller grid (50 points) then yields a sufficiently accurate representation of the densities for the ML to achieve arbitrarily accurate energies. ML automatically corrects for the coarseness of the grid.

To construct the model, we choose \( M \) training densities at evenly spaced \( R \) between 0 and 10 (inclu-
Table I shows the performance of the MLA, evaluated on a test set with $M = 199$. To compare, we tested the LDA in 1d, $T^\text{loc}[n] = \pi^2 \int dx n^3(x)/6$, and a modified gradient expansion approximation (GEA) [21], $T^\text{MGEA}[n] = T^\text{loc}[n] + c T^W[n]$, where $c = 0.559$ has been chosen to minimize the error. LDA has a MAE of 45 kcal/mol, while the GEA only improves that to 33 kcal/mol. For $N = 2$, we have already achieved a MAE below 1 kcal/mol for $M = 10$. No other existing approximations achieve this level of accuracy, which can be systematically improved.

Thus far, our results have been reported evaluating the ML functional on exact densities. In real applications of orbital-free DFT, these are not available. The density that minimizes the approximate energy satisfies:

$$\frac{\delta T_s[n]}{\delta n(x)} = \mu - v_s(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $\mu$ is a constant. But just as in Ref. [17], ML does not yield an accurate functional derivative. Fig. 3 shows that the derivative of our MLA evaluated at the ground-state density is very different from the exact one. The exact functional derivative shows how the KE changes along any direction, but the model only knows about directions along which the training densities lie. Since these densities are generated from a set of potentials parametrized by $R$, the data is effectively 1d (locally), embedded in a high-dimensional space. The same effect occurs in [17], except there we had 9 parameters in the potential.

The bare gradient of the MLA makes solving Eq. 7 unstable. If we project the gradient onto the space of the data, we can extract the projected functional derivative of the MLA (see Fig. 3). In [17], we used principal component analysis (PCA) to locally approximate the neighborhood of training densities as linear, but here the local neighborhood is nonlinear, and the PCA method leads to inaccurate self-consistent densities. Instead, we use the nonlinear gradient de-noising (NLGD) projection method developed in Ref. [23].

Applying the NLGD projected gradient descent technique [23] to minimize Eq. 7 with $T^\text{ML}$ yields the results in Fig. 4, where we plot the error in total energy, $\Delta E = E^\text{ML}[\tilde{n}] - E[n]$, where $n$ is the exact density and $\tilde{n}$ represent errors on self-consistent densities.
\[ \Delta E_f = E_{\text{ML}}[\tilde{n}] - E_{\text{LDA}}[\tilde{n}] \]

The forces are very accurate and should be suitable for, e.g., an \textit{ab-initio} molecular dynamics calculation. Combined with the reduced computational cost of orbital-free DFT, this method has the potential to simulate very large systems at the same level of accuracy KS-DFT currently provides.

Our work shows that, for a one-dimensional system, machine learning can be used to find a density functional that produces accurate energies and forces on self-consistent densities, even when bonds break. No existing orbital-free scheme comes close to this level of accuracy. Although this example is limited to one dimension, there is no reason in principle to doubt the efficacy of the method for real bonds.
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