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ABSTRACT

High variability in environmental conditions in both space and time once made the upper San Francisco Estuary (the Estuary) highly productive for native biota. Present conditions often discourage native species, providing a rationale for restoring estuarine variability and habitat complexity. Achieving a variable, more complex Estuary requires policies which: (1) establish internal Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta (the Delta) flows that create a tidally mixed, upstream–downstream gradient in water quality, with minimal cross-Delta flows; (2) create slough networks with more natural channel geometry and less diked, riprapped channel habitat; (3) increase inflows from the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers; (4) increase tidal marsh habitat, including shallow (1 to 2 m) subtidal areas, in both fresh and brackish zones of the Estuary; (5) create/allow large expanses of low salinity (1 to 4 ppt) open water habitat in the Delta; (6) create a hydrodynamic regime where salinities in the upper Estuary range from near-fresh to 8 to 10 ppt periodically, to discourage alien species and favor desirable species; (7) take species-specific actions that reduce abundance of non-native species and increase abundance of desirable species; (8) establish abundant annual floodplain habitat, with additional large areas that flood in less frequent wet years; (9) reduce inflow of agricultural and urban pollutants; and (10) improve the temperature regime in large areas of the Estuary so temperatures rarely exceed 20 °C during summer and fall months. These actions collectively provide a realistic if experimental approach to achieving flow and habitat objectives to benefit desirable species. Some of these goals are likely to be achieved without deliberate action as the result of sea level rise, climate change, and levee failures, but in the near term, habitat, flow restoration and export reduction projects can enhance a return to a more variable and more productive ecosystem.
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INTRODUCTION

The San Francisco Estuary (the Estuary), especially the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta (the Delta), must become more variable in space and time to support desirable aquatic species, such as delta smelt (Hypomesus transpacificus) and striped bass (Morone saxatilis) (Lund and others 2007; Moyle and Bennett 2008). Changes in water management, a more intricate network of channel geometry, and improved...
quantity and quality of inflows from the San Joaquin and Sacramento rivers are key actions needed to shift the Estuary into a more desirable state. The basic rationale for the preceding statements is that unmodified estuaries are highly variable and complex systems, renowned for their high production of fish and other organisms (McClusky and Elliott 2004). The San Francisco Estuary, however, is one of the most highly modified and controlled estuaries in the world (Nichols and others 1986). As a consequence, the estuarine ecosystem has lost much of its former variability and complexity and has recently suffered major declines of many of its fish resources (Sommer and others 2007). This reflects a very basic problem: when an estuary loses the connections and interactions between abundant stationary habitat, such as marshes and floodplains, and dynamic variables, such as salinity, its productivity declines (Peterson 2003).

The environmental variability that characterizes productive estuaries, and all other complex productive ecosystems, can occur at various spatial and temporal scales (Kimmerer and others 2008). The idea that physical variability at various scales (i.e., disturbance) is key for maintaining ecosystem complexity and high biodiversity is widely accepted, and deeply imbedded in ecology textbooks as the fundamental factor influencing the evolution and ecological interrelationships among all levels of life, from individuals to ecosystems (e.g., Krebs 2008). What is relatively new, however, is for landscape managers to recognize the value of incorporating such natural environmental variability into management practices and goals for ecosystems, and to recognize this may be essential for bringing highly altered ecosystems to a more desirable state. For example, the concept of the “natural flow regime” (Poff and others 1997) is increasingly regarded as an important strategy for establishing flow regimes to benefit native species in regulated rivers (Postel and Richter 2003; Poff and others 2007; Moyle and Mount 2007). For estuaries worldwide, environmental variability is regarded as fundamental in determining biotic assemblages (McClusky and Elliott 2004). Many studies have shown that estuarine biotic assemblages are generally regulated by a combination of somewhat predictable changes (e.g., tidal cycles, seasonal freshwater inflows) and stochastic factors, such as recruitment variability and large-scale episodes of flood or drought (e.g., Thiel and Potter 2001). The persistence and resilience of estuarine assemblages is further decreased by various human alterations, ranging from diking of wetlands, to regulation of inflows, to invasions of alien species (McClusky and Elliott 2004; Peterson 2003). This paper reviews the importance of these patterns in the San Francisco Estuary in order to demonstrate why habitat complexity and variability should be considered in schemes to manage or change the Estuary.

We have four objectives for this essay: (1) to briefly characterize estuaries in general, describe how variability and complexity define them, and then discuss why these factors are so important to native species; (2) to describe why salinity is such a useful and available indicator of estuarine heterogeneity; (3) to describe the past, present, and potential future variability and complexity of the San Francisco Estuary, in relation to adaptations of key fish species; (4) to recommend water- and habitat-management actions to re-establish variability and complexity and discuss policy implications of these actions. Our focus is on the upper San Francisco Estuary, primarily the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta, Suisun Bay, and Suisun Marsh, although our remarks have applicability to the lower San Francisco Estuary as well (San Pablo and San Francisco bays).

**ESTUARIES**

Estuaries are generally recognized as places where fresh water from the land mixes with salt water from the coastal ocean within a semi-confined area (Pritchard 1967). From the perspective of the San Francisco Estuary, a somewhat better definition is that of Fairbridge (1980) who defines an estuary as “an inlet of the sea reaching into a river valley as far as the upper limit of tidal rise.” This definition emphasizes the strong tidal nature of estuaries, even in areas that are primarily fresh water (such as the Delta). The natural history of estuaries involves large populations of fish, invertebrates, aquatic birds, and mammals, as well as interactions with the surrounding terrestrial systems. Their human history involves
many centers of civilization origin, such as Egypt, China, and Mesopotamia, as well as many European countries. Their major cities were established on estuaries because estuaries provide water access to the inland rivers and sheltered seaports for oceanic transport; they also are highly productive of edible organisms. Unfortunately, the rise of urban areas almost always results in mistreatment of estuaries through pollution, sedimentation, removal of water, diking and draining of adjacent wetlands for farming, as well as over-harvest of estuarine-dependent fish and invertebrates (Lotze and others 2006). Not surprisingly, estuaries worldwide are both among the world’s most valuable ecosystems and among the most damaged (Costanza and others 1997; Lotze and others 2006). The growing awareness of the value of estuarine systems is reflected in the many efforts to restore some of the ecosystem services they once provided, especially fisheries (e.g., the federal Estuary Restoration Act of 2000 [PL 106-457 title 1]).

Restoration of estuarine ecosystem services requires re-establishing, at multiple scales, physical-chemical variability in time and space, as well as habitat complexity and diversity (see next section). However, the value of variability in estuaries runs contrary to traditional resource management, which tries to reduce the natural variability of ecosystems to increase predictability and maximize the yield of goods and services valuable to humans (Pahl-Wostl 1998). Efforts to reduce variability often lead to unanticipated and sometimes catastrophic problems. Thus, diking and draining of estuarine marshes to build cities and farms leads to unanticipated dike failures and flooding, or to fisheries declines due to loss of spawning and rearing habitat. Effluent released into estuaries can have the unintended consequence of being concentrated through tidal action, and of exposed fish becoming toxic to eat. Simplifying habitat, dredging channels, eliminating floodplains and marshes, diverting in-flowing water, and encouraging alien species, all cause food webs to change in unfavorable ways. As a result, fisheries collapse, and endemic species become threatened with extinction. Estuaries worldwide have experienced similar changes and have lost many desirable natural attributes, most noticeably sustainable fish populations. They also are increasingly the focus of restoration efforts (e.g., Henk and others 1995; NOAA 2002).

**ESTUARINE VARIABILITY AND COMPLEXITY**

Estuarine variability and complexity arises because two dynamic systems, rivers and coastal oceans, meet in a confined geologic space. These opposing forces shape the estuarine basin through complex processes of erosion and deposition, creating a landscape of shifting channels, bays, and marshlands. Change in estuaries occurs on a continuum of space and time scales. Tidal energy from the ocean provides a regular cycle that changes water elevations and flows, with estuarine geometry and roughness governing local tidal amplitudes, flow patterns, and mixing with the in-flowing fresh water. This tidal cycle can be further modified by changes in astronomical forcing, sea level, and strong winds. River inflows vary seasonally, typically with an annual high and low flow pattern, but with large inter-annual variation superimposed by climate (i.e., wet years and droughts). Rivers also supply sediment to estuaries, which is reworked by river flows and tides to form the Estuary’s complex and shifting landscape. However, the most distinctive feature of estuaries is the variability produced by the mixing of salt water from the ocean with fresh water from the land.

Tidal mixing of fresh and salt water is a key process promoting estuarine variability (Lucas and others 2006). The interaction between river and tidal flows establishes various water-quality gradients between an estuary’s landward and seaward margins, including gradients and mixing in freshwater portions of the Estuary. Without this process, the heavier salt water would simply remain below the fresh water. Salt water mixing with sediment-laden river water also increases settling-out of clay particles by promoting particle aggregation (Krone 1979). The variability and complexity from tidal mixing is compounded by the degree to which estuarine geometry bends and shapes gradients in salinity, temperature, and other aspects of water quality. Moreover, the factors affecting tidal mixing constantly change over various time scales in response to changes in river flow, sea level, barometric pressure, and winds, which together add further complexity.
For aquatic organisms, all forms of variability can be both negative and positive. Variability in salinity, which carries with it variability in temperature, water clarity, and other water-quality characteristics, implies a physiologically stressful environment for most organisms. Thus, organisms living in estuaries tend to use only a particular subset of the variable conditions, or have life-history stages adapted for using different conditions at specific times (e.g., seasons). Not surprisingly, estuarine fish species have diverse life-history strategies. Some move in and out seasonally, usually for spawning and rearing, while others are full-time residents, with additional freshwater and marine species living at the Estuary’s landward and seaward margins (Moyle and Cech 2002). Because of this diversity in estuarine use, overall species richness is typically fairly high in relatively undisturbed estuaries (ca. 100 to 150 fish species for temperate estuaries), especially if measured over multiple years, because the inherent variability increases the likelihood that appropriate conditions for a wide array of organisms will always occur at some location and time within the Estuary. However, at any given time only a relatively small number of fish species (5 to 20) dominate in terms of numbers and biomass.

Estuarine variability is also considered to be a primary factor promoting the high productivity typical in estuaries relative to other ecosystems (Nixon and others 1986; Peterson 2003). Freshwater flow brings nutrients that promote primary production (photosynthesis by algae), while tidal energy and turbulence distribute nutrients within the Estuary. This dispersive process promotes the growth of planktonic organisms, which form the base of food webs that include fish and other organisms of direct interest to humans. Productivity is enhanced further when the tidal water is distributed over a complex landscape, including areas of tidal marsh and floodplain within estuaries, because it picks up nutrients from flooded areas (Nixon 1988). This ecosystem “fertilization” process is often cited as providing underlying positive relationships between freshwater flow, productivity, and fish abundance in estuaries (Nixon and others 1986; Houde and Rutherford 1993). In the San Francisco Estuary, this process seems to be one of several reflected in fish–salinity relationships at the interannual time-scale (Jassby and others 1995; Kimmerer 2002). Thus, despite their relatively small geographical area, estuaries are often essential for supporting diverse marine, freshwater, and estuarine fisheries, especially because they are commonly used by larval
WHY VARIABILITY AND COMPLEXITY ARE SO IMPORTANT

A vast ecological literature documents the significant roles of habitat complexity and variability in promoting abundance, diversity, and persistence of species in a wide array of ecosystems. This literature stresses the importance of both predictable and stochastic physical disturbances, timing and extent of resource availability, as well as the degree of connectivity among habitat patches, relative to the abilities of species to move between them. However, landscapes are not stable in their configurations through time, and environmental fluctuations generally increase the frequency of connections among patches of different kinds of habitat. This can increase turnover of resources, making the resources available to a shifting array of species. The variability implies that different processes interact at various scales in space and time, with the result that more species are present than would be characteristic of a more stable landscape (e.g., an agricultural landscape). Therefore, ecological theory strongly supports the idea that an estuarine landscape that is heterogeneous in salinity and geometry (depth, the configuration of flooded islands, tidal sloughs, floodplains, etc.) is most likely to have high overall productivity, high species richness, and high abundances of desired species.

Cloern (2007) provides a model of how these concepts might translate to the Delta ecosystem. He extended a traditional model of an aquatic food web composed of nitrogen (N), phytoplankton (P), and zooplankton (Z) (NPZ model, Franks 2002) to represent two spatially-segregated habitats: a shallow-shoal habitat and an adjacent deep-water channel habitat. The model system was then used to explore how connectivity—or the transport of N, P, and Z—between habitats, influenced overall productivity of the model food web. Given that the phytoplankton growth rate was light-limited in the model, primary production (growth of phytoplankton populations) dominated shallow-water habitat, whereas zooplankton populations dominated deep-water (light-limited) habitat. Model simulations then showed that transport of phytoplankton to deep-water habitat and transport of nitrogen (from excretion) back to shallow-water habitat markedly increased overall food web production. Moreover, productivity was optimized when the transport rates of phytoplankton and nitrogen between habitats were similar to the phytoplankton growth rate in the shallow-water habitat. Thus, slower transport rates (or reduced connectivity among habitats) decreased overall productivity by reducing nutrients available for phytoplankton growth in shallow habitat, which resulted in reduced phytoplankton as food for zooplankton in deeper habitat. Similarly, productivity rates are reduced when transport rates are higher than phytoplankton growth rates. This results in phytoplankton being exported from shallow-water habitats faster than they can reproduce.

These model results are supported by a rich series of field studies and other modeling on the phenomenon of phytoplankton export from shallows to channel areas in the San Francisco Estuary, both in the South Bay and in the Delta (Lucas and others 1999a, 1999b, 2006, 2009; Lopez and others 2006). Curiously, the main constituent of the Delta food web is phytoplankton, primarily diatoms, even though there are flooded islands dominated by submerged vegetation, with epiphytic algae (Jassby and Cloern 2000; Sobczak and others 2002).

Studies of the complex water movements through the Delta and Suisun Marsh (Jon Burau, USGS; Chris Enright, DWR, pers. comm., 2009 DRERIP model) further illustrate the effects of habitat diversity and interconnectedness. Detailed measurements of tidal currents indicate that the present network of channelized sloughs in the Delta causes water from differ-
ent areas to mix rapidly, with low residence times in most areas. Delta geometry thus reduces variability in water residence times, salinity, and temperatures. Similar work in Suisun Marsh indicates that natural, un-diked sloughs have a more complex geometry and are considerably more variable in multiple water-quality measures, because the water overflows onto the marsh plain on flood tides, from which it drains slowly, presumably carrying nutrients, methylmercury, and other dissolved substances. In contrast, water flows rapidly back and forth in diked sloughs with simplified channels, homogenizing water quality. The natural sloughs of Suisun Marsh also have higher abundances of desirable fishes (Moyle, unpublished data). In general, estuarine physical forces (e.g., tidal and river flow) are modified by slough geometry to produce gradients in various water-quality and biological characteristics; dendritic slough geometry promotes higher variability in water quality across a landscape than does the interconnected geometry of channelized sloughs characteristic of the present Delta. We make the case here that because sloughs in the present Delta are mostly open-ended, water quality and habitat are relatively homogenous throughout the Delta, promoting alien freshwater species from macrophytes to fish. In contrast, a heterogeneous, variable estuarine landscape generally favors desirable estuarine species, which tend to dominate in Suisun Bay and Suisun Marsh (Matern and others 2002).

Although ecological theory and observational studies overwhelmingly support the argument for enhancing variability and complexity across the estuarine landscape, they cannot yet be used to determine the levels needed to assure the persistence of desirable species. Large-scale experiments designed to explore the most effective geometry or levels of salinity variation can markedly improve our understanding of the problem; however, there are inherent disconnects among ecological processes working at more local scales and overall trends that emerge at the landscape-scale. In terms of ecological theory, the Estuary is a self-organizing, complex system with inherent nonlinear characteristics produced by feedbacks across scales, including the effects of stochastic events at the landscape and regional scales (Scheffer and Carpenter 2003). Thus, a major flood event may scour submerged beds of aquatic vegetation, create new channels, break levees (both natural and human-made) and flood islands, as well as create temporary freshwater habitat in normally saline areas. The entire Estuary may change temporarily or permanently in response to one large event, especially where human actions have created areas easily altered on a large scale (e.g., subsided diked islands that flood when levees break). In other words, we cannot rebuild an estuary with desirable characteristics just by adding desirable organisms (e.g., fish from a hatchery) or by creating scattered small pieces of habitat. But we can enhance key processes that increase variability and complexity to produce positive changes in the Estuary that can adjust to large-scale stochastic events, as well as to frequent events on a smaller scale. Indeed, process-based restoration is likely to be more sustainable than structure-based restoration (e.g., Simenstad and others 2005).

**SALINITY: A KEY INDICATOR**

Given that major change is inevitable in the San Francisco Estuary in the next few decades, our society has an opportunity to help guide, or at least monitor, some of these changes by using salinity variability as an indicator of heterogeneity in the new estuarine landscape (Lund and others 2010). Salinity variability is a convenient indicator because gradients in other important physical–chemical characteristics often (but not always) track salinity, including water residence times, temperature, suspended sediment, and organism composition. The relationship of salinity to other variables is affected by channel geometry and, is therefore, complex (e.g., Monsen and others 2007). Nevertheless, salinity has the advantage of being relatively easy to measure and of being physiologically important to most organisms, and so a major determinant of their distribution in the Estuary. Salinity is also extremely important as a water-quality variable related to societal water uses. Although humans typically appreciate changes in salinity primarily at seasonal and annual scales, there are at least six important characteristics of environmental variability, all of which contribute to salinity variability. These characteristics
were developed largely for flow regimes of rivers by Richter and others (1996) and Poff and others (1997) but have wide applicability.

**Six Environmental Variability Characteristics**

1. **Magnitude**—the amount of gradient change
2. **Duration**—persistence, in time, of a shift in gradient
3. **Timing**—the timing of changes in gradient magnitude and/or location
4. **Frequency**—the reliability of gradient change on a tidal, seasonal or inter-annual scale
5. **Rate of change**—the length of time it takes to establish a shift in gradients: how quickly a change occurs
6. **Spatial gradient**—the gradient perpendicular to the upstream–downstream gradient at a given location and time.

Identifying the mix of these characteristics that promotes the collective abundance of desirable species is a formidable challenge. Species naturally differ in their salinity and other tolerances as well as in the time-scales at which they respond to change. This creates a great deal of difficulty in trying to establish the mix of conditions that would promote desirable species assemblages in the Estuary, even for a single variable such as salinity. Nevertheless, three basic premises suggest that a focus on estuarine variability, especially as reflected in salinity, is an appropriate but not exclusive direction for creating more desirable conditions in the Estuary, especially the Delta.

**Three Premises for Desirable Estuarine Conditions**

1. Native species (and some desirable alien species, such as striped bass) evolved under highly variable water-quality conditions and so are more likely to thrive when variable conditions return. Conversely, many undesirable alien species became established during times of reduced environmental variability.

2. A more variable, heterogeneous Estuary (especially the Delta) may result in more productive open-water food webs, because proportionally less energy would be captured by alien submerged aquatic vegetation and, perhaps, benthic mollusks. This assumption rests on relatively limited information on the ability of alien pest species to tolerate variable conditions.

3. Given some uncertainty in how species respond to different conditions, higher spatial variability should provide a wider range of habitats in the Delta, some of which are more likely to support desirable species. The current rather homogeneous Delta is not working well for native species. Increased complexity and variability should provide more opportunities for native species to find the conditions they need to survive, especially where favorable dynamic and stationary conditions are likely to coincide (Peterson 2003).

An example of variability that largely favors desirable fishes and discourages alien clams and aquatic plants can be found in the salinity gradients of Suisun Marsh (Figure 1). Compared to the Delta, salinity in the marsh typically has large annual ranges (and is usually fresh in winter) and considerable average variation among years. Salinity also is highly variable across Suisun Marsh at different times of year (not shown in Figure 1). Suisun Marsh continues to support higher numbers of native fishes than the current Delta, has few beds of submerged vegetation, and has areas that are relatively free of problem clams *(Corbula amurensis, Corbicula fluminea)*.

**SAN FRANCISCO ESTUARY: HISTORICAL CONDITIONS**

The upper San Francisco Estuary is geologically young, about 6,000 to 10,000 years old in its present location (Atwater and others 1979; Malmud-Roam and others 2007; Healey and others 2008). The Estuary became established during periods of extreme climatic variability (floods and droughts) compared to the situation in the past 150 years (Malmud-Roam and others 2007). The upper part of the Estuary, the Delta, is misnamed from a geological perspective; **Deltas are technically alluvial fans at the mouths of rivers: large fan-shaped areas of sediment created when sediment loads of rivers are abruptly dropped as the river enters a larger water body or a broad flat**
it was formed as a huge, largely freshwater marsh as tules and other plants flourished in response to continual river inflow and the slow rise of sea level. Rising sea level allowed for the deposition of large amounts of organic matter, creating layers of peat that formed the soils of the large patches of floodable marsh, which became the present "islands" in the Delta. The channels among the islands were historically shifting, winding distributaries of the entering rivers that moved in-flowing water through the Delta and provided access to upstream areas for migratory fish (Figure 2). The dendritic drainage patterns allowed for intimate interactions between the marsh and open-water ecosystems, presumably allowing for greater production and recycling of nutrients that supported food webs, including fish and other "important" organisms. The Estuary was apparently not rich in native aquatic species because of its young age and relative isolation from other large estuaries (Cohen and Carlton 1998; Moyle 2002). However, its high productivity and complexity attracted a high diversity and numbers of birds, especially waterfowl.

The Gold Rush rapidly transformed the San Francisco Estuary in the latter half of the 19th century, starting with the urbanization of the San Francisco Bay region and the diking and draining of Suisun Marsh and most of the Delta. The configuration of the Estuary since then has been altered by the diking and draining of over 90% of its wetlands, as illustrated by the Delta (Figure 3) during one of the least variable climatic periods since the Pleistocene (Malmud-Roam and others 2007). The complicated network of channels has been simplified into a series of ditches and canals, while the productive marshlands have been largely eliminated within the Estuary, having been diked off for agricultural and urban uses. In short, the highly heterogeneous historical San Francisco Estuary has been greatly simplified.

Despite these changes, the San Francisco Estuary is still inherently complex at the landscape-scale as

Figure 1 Annual mean (with standard deviation, middle line), minimum (bottom line) and maximum (top line) salinities for Suisun Marsh based on monthly spot measurements taken at 18 to 24 stations in channels throughout the marsh (source: P. B. Moyle and T. Orear, unpublished data). Sea water is about 35 ppt.
Figure 2 The Delta in the 19th century. Map 2A shows the highly complex pattern of the main river channels through the Delta (ca. 1860) while map 2B shows a re-creation of the complex marsh distributary system that once existed, especially in the south Delta. Source: Chris Enright, DWR, using Atwater data.

Figure 3 Extent of marshlands and wetlands in the San Francisco Estuary system in 1848 and present. Source: http://sfbay.wr.usgs.gov/general_factsheets/change.html.
the result of topographically diverse landscape with distinct regions (the Delta, Suisun Bay and Suisun Marsh, San Pablo Bay, San Francisco Bay), two major in-flowing rivers (Sacramento, San Joaquin), and numerous smaller streams. This overall physiographic structure creates diverse channel types from the narrow, deep passages at Carquinez Strait and the Golden Gate, to the shallow channels cutting through the broad expanses of shallow shoals and marshlands (Figure 4). As a result, tidal patterns and water-quality gradients (especially salinity) are complex. The native aquatic species fauna that have persisted through the past 150 years of change have adaptations, such as wide salinity tolerances, that allow them to persist in complex, dynamic estuarine gradients (Figure 5).

Historically, extensive marshes along the edges of the San Francisco Estuary enhanced this structural complexity, most notably Suisun Marsh and the marshes fringing the main river channels (Figure 3). These marshes varied in the degree to which they retained and drained tidal and riverine waters, thereby creating considerable local variability in water residence times and quality. In addition, the Delta and Suisun Marsh once merged imperceptibly with floodplains and riparian forests along the in-flowing rivers. These flooded areas would have further retained outflows and drained slowly to provide shallow-water habitat through the spring. The wide expanses of marsh and floodplain also would have muted tidal energy, spreading it over wide areas rather than confining it into narrow channels, where it can move with considerable force (as is true today).

Imposed on this complex structure was a highly variable flow regime, both seasonally and across years. The basic seasonal pattern consisted of high flows in winter and spring, with variability from the timing of rain storms and snow melt from the Sierra Nevada. San Joaquin River high flows lasted longer in summer than those of the Sacramento River, as the result of snowmelt from the higher mountains of the southern Sierra. Inter-annual variability was generated by natural variation in precipitation along with long periods of drought and occasional years with huge floods (Malamud-Roam and others 2007; Healey and others 2008). By spreading out the tidal energy, the Estuary’s immense marshlands helped to reduce saltwater intrusion, keeping the central Delta mostly a freshwater system. One important result of such high seasonal and inter-annual variability, especially when accompanied by favorable climatic conditions, was the extremely high abundances of organisms observed prior to significant human intervention. This abundance included not only fish (discussed below), but waterfowl, especially 26 species of ducks and geese (Herbold and Moyle 1989). Arguably, the historical Delta was the centerpiece of the Pacific flyway, allowing huge numbers (perhaps 10 million) of waterfowl to over-winter in California.

---

3 Residence time is essentially the length of time water stays in a limited area. Higher residence times can increase the likelihood of phytoplankton and zooplankton blooms in the open water that will be part of food webs leading to fish. Such blooms are suppressed in flowing water (low residence times) because the phytoplankton cannot stay in surface waters long enough to grow and reproduce before being carried downstream and out of the area.
This abundance of life implies high productivity, which was likely generated by nutrients from the extensive marshes and floodplains, and the dispersion of these nutrients by the complex hydrology throughout the system and into the estuarine food webs. Key indicators of this productivity were the large populations of fishes once supported by the system, especially Chinook salmon, Sacramento perch (*Archoplites interruptus*), and native minnows, as indicated by extensive 19th century and Native American fisheries (Moyle 2002) and the huge influxes of waterfowl that arrived each winter to feed and grow.

Once the marshes were diked and drained, and upstream water diversions in summer became large, tidal energy moved salt water further upstream during dry periods. As the Estuary and in-flowing rivers and their floodplains became developed for urban and agricultural use, and as alien species invaded, the native fish fauna and waterfowl populations gradually declined. Some native species disappeared altogether (thicktail chub, *Gila crassicauda*; Sacramento perch) while others persisted in fairly large numbers until recently (e.g., delta smelt, longfin smelt, *Spirinchus thaleichthys*). Both breeding and wintering waterfowl populations have largely shifted from the

---

**Figure 5** Mean salinity (ppt) +/- SD for the 54 most common species of fish, shrimp, and crabs collected during CDFG’s Bay Study, 1980 to 1995. Source: Hieb and Fleming (1999). All species are native except chameleon goby, threadfin shad, *P. macrodactylus*, yellowfin goby, American shad, striped bass, shumofuri goby, channel catfish, and white catfish. The ranges shown here presumably represent optimal salinities; most species, especially those with mean salinities of <15 ppt, can be found within wider total ranges. The vertical dotted lines group the species into groups based on salinities, from left to right: (1) Delta, (2) Delta + Suisun Bay + Suisun Marsh, (3) San Francisco Bay, and (4) Pacific Ocean.
Delta and Suisun Marsh to refuges and flooded rice paddies in the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys (Herbold and Moyle 1989).

The variability and productivity of the Estuary also is reflected in life history adaptations of species that evolved within it, for example, delta smelt, splittail (*Pogonichthys macrolepidotus*), and Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) as discussed below.

Delta smelt are found only in the San Francisco Estuary, where they live in the brackish parts of the Estuary and spawn in fresh water (Bennett 2005). They were presumably once abundant in the upper Estuary, but are now listed as an endangered species.4 Delta smelt feed entirely on zooplankton, mainly copepods, in open water. They have relatively narrow salinity preferences, and thus have adapted their swimming mode to use tidal currents when possible to remain in lower-salinity water. Rather than expending significant energy fighting tidal flows, smelt use the currents to carry them to where they need to go, including to spawning habitat (most likely beaches or similar shallow-water substrates in the Delta). Remarkably, delta smelt have a primarily one-year life-cycle, so they must spawn successfully each year to maintain their population. This means that the rather narrow range of conditions (salinity, temperature, substrate) needed for spawning and rearing were always present somewhere in the pre-development San Francisco Estuary, even during years of severe drought and extreme flood. It also means the smelt could easily find those conditions somewhere in the altered Estuary, until quite recently. Delta smelt are basically adapted to living in a highly variable system, including being adapted to finding the most productive low-salinity areas of open-water where they feed and grow (Bennett 2005).

Sacramento splittail are now also largely confined to the Estuary and rivers immediately upstream, although they were once more abundant and widespread in the Central Valley (Moyle and others 2004). They basically live in brackish water marshes, feed on benthos, and migrate upstream to spawn in winter, preferably on floodplains just above the Estuary. They are adapted to system variability by being able to spawn multiple times (they live 7 to 9 years) and, in good times, by being able to produce large numbers of young. Apparently, splittail also maintain populations through long periods of adverse conditions by having both strong year classes and some spawning success in marginal conditions (Moyle and others 2004). The juveniles rear briefly on the floodplain, in annual vegetation, but then move downstream as floodplains drain in the spring to the brackish marshes. They feed primarily on benthic invertebrates and detritus produced by the wetlands they inhabit (Moyle 2002). Here they reside until migrating upstream to spawn again. The salinity tolerance of this species (up to 18 ppt for extended periods) is remarkably high for a member of family Cyprinidae, a freshwater group of fishes (Moyle 2002), reflecting their ability to live under a wide range of conditions in an estuarine environment.

Chinook salmon pass through the Estuary on their way upstream to spawning areas and then downstream as juveniles on their way out to sea. They were once extraordinarily abundant [1 to 2 million spawners per year, Yoshiyama and others (1998)] and maintained this abundance during periods of extreme conditions through diversity in life-history patterns (four distinct runs, each with diverse patterns of rearing and migration) and, most likely, through use of the Estuary and its adjoining floodplains for rearing. Today juvenile Chinook salmon rearing on floodplains grow faster and larger than those in the main river (Sommer and others 2001; Jeffres and others 2008). They likely once found the Estuary to be a similarly favorable environment, with its diverse habitats and abundant food. For out-migrating freshwater juveniles converting to saltwater fish, favorable conditions were presumably always present somewhere in the Estuary, with juveniles of different runs and ages using different parts of the Estuary. Chinook salmon in the Central Valley evolved a complexity of life-history strategies and habitat use that enabled them to persist through different climatic regimes and variable conditions of floods and

---

4 The historical abundance of delta smelt is poorly understood because as a small midwater fish there was virtually no appropriate sampling (e.g., midwater trawling) until the late 1950s and 1960s. Even then it was one of the most common fish in the Estuary, despite the abundance of introduced competitors for food and space, such as threadfin shad (*Dorosoma petenense*), American shad (*Alosa sapidissima*), and juvenile striped bass (Moyle 2002).
droughts, which is typical of salmon (Hilborn and others 2003). This complexity of life-history characteristics made it likely that the historical Delta and estuarine marshes were major salmon-rearing areas, because favorable conditions for fish with different strategies were always present somewhere in the system. Peterson (2003) notes that a consistent seasonal match between structural components of an estuary (e.g., marsh habitats) and dynamic components (water-quality variables such as salinity) are a key characteristic of estuaries that are important for rearing juvenile fishes.

The greatly diminished populations of these and other estuarine-dependent native fish and waterfowl from their historical abundance and their continuing decline indicates that the Estuary no longer functions as the productive and variable system that it once was due to the combination of changed hydrology, highly altered landscape, contaminants, altered food webs, and invasive species (Peterson 2003).

SAN FRANCISCO ESTUARY: PRESENT AND FUTURE

The pre-modern Estuary, with its extensive tidal marshes, especially in the Delta, presumably showed a strong gradient in salinity and other variables, from the freshwater Delta to the saltwater San Francisco Bay. The marshes quite likely muted the effects of the tides, reducing short-term variability, although long periods of drought (decades, unlike any experienced in modern times) would presumably have favored extensive movement of salt water farther into the Delta. In the 19th and early 20th century, prior to construction of the major rim dams, Delta channelization and upstream freshwater diversions increased the frequency of saltwater intrusion, especially in drier years. The big rim dams, developed in the 20th century, release water in summer, allowing inflows to increase, shifting the Delta back toward a more freshwater system. Enright and Culberson (2010) indicate that in Suisun Bay and Suisun Marsh, just below the Delta, salinity variability on an annual scale has actually been higher in recent decades because of increased variability in precipitation. The water projects have nevertheless dampened this variability (Enright and Culberson 2010), and in the Delta they have reduced seasonal variability so that export pumps in the south Delta can operate efficiently.

Partially as a result of dampened variability, the Delta shifted into a different assemblage of dominant organisms, first clearly noticeable in the early 1980s (Moyle and Bennett 2008), apparently after diversions, dam releases, and drought combined to create an increasingly freshwater environment, with stronger cross-Delta flows and decreased habitat for desirable fishes (Monson and others 2007; Fleenor and others 2008). Around 2000, an apparent threshold was crossed and the ecosystem became relatively predictable (“stable”), with low pelagic productivity (reflected in the Pelagic Organism Decline) (Moyle and Bennett 2008). The new biotic assemblage in the Delta became characterized by non-native freshwater species that thrive in fairly clear, fresh water where strong tidal fluxes are muted by dense beds of vegetation. This assemblage reflects an altered physical environment in which the Delta has become simplified into a channelized conveyance system to support export of fresh water from and through the Estuary during summer and to reduce freshwater outflows at other times of year. Suisun Bay and Suisun Marsh have become essentially a brackish-water system, while San Francisco Bay has become more consistently a marine system, as shown by fish distributions (Figure 5). Such prolonged stabilization, combined with habitat loss and an influx of alien species, has caused a regime shift (Scheffer and Carpenter 2003; Folke and others 2004; Moyle and Bennett 2008) which is also reflected in the overall low and declining productivity of the San Francisco Estuary compared with other estuaries worldwide (Nixon 1988; Anke Mueller-Solger, DWR, pers. comm.). The regime shift was accompanied by a loss of resiliency in pelagic fish populations that had previously rebounded in response to favorable environmental conditions (Sommer and others 2007). The prolonged application of salinity standards (Figure 6) and altered hydrology (Figure 7) to support pumping operations has reduced variability in salinity during the critical summer months, favoring the
expansion of alien ecosystem engineers\textsuperscript{5} such as overbite clam \textit{(Corbula amurensis)} in Suisun Bay and Brazilian waterweed \textit{(Egeria densa)} in the Delta. Similarly, alien freshwater fish and invertebrate species typically associated with aquatic vegetation have increased dramatically, and currently dominate Delta food webs. Abundant riverine and lake fish species include Mississippi silverside \textit{(Menidia audens)}, largemouth bass \textit{(Micropterus salmoides)}, and several sunfish \textit{(Lepomis)} species. Although Glibert (2010) asserted that increasing ammonium levels from the Sacramento waste treatment facility in the northern Delta were the cause of this regime shift (via food web effects), the imbalanced influx of nutrients is more likely to have just contributed to the already-ongoing shift and then helped to maintain the current state of low primary productivity and decline in pelagic fish species. Essentially, the changed hydrodynamics and flow patterns likely exacerbated the effects of changed nutrient dynamics, and possibly the effects of toxicants as well.

The present "stable" state, however, is temporary. The ecosystem is likely to dramatically shift again within the next 50 years due to large-scale levee collapse in the Delta and Suisun Marsh. Major levee failures are inevitable due to continued subsidence, sea level rise, increasing frequency of large floods, and the high probability of earthquakes (Lund and others 2007, 2010). These significant changes will create large areas of open water, as well as new tidal and subtidal marshes. Other likely changes include reduced freshwater inflow during prolonged droughts, altered hydrology from reduced export pumping from the Delta as a result of sea level rise, and additional alien invaders (e.g., zebra and quagga mussel, \textit{Dreissena} spp.). Overall, the major changes in the Estuary's landscape are likely to promote a more variable, heterogeneous San Francisco Estuary, especially

\textsuperscript{5}Ecosystem engineers are organisms that regulate or change ecosystem functioning through their actions (Wright and Jones 2006). The overbite clam has caused a major shift in the food web of Suisun Bay from centering on pelagic organisms to benthic organisms, contributing to the decline of pelagic fish.
in the Delta and Suisun Marsh. This changed environment is likely to be better for desirable species; at least it is unlikely to be worse (Moyle 2008). Even if major changes were somehow avoided, examination of sea level rise effects (Figure 8) indicates that salinity would intrude an additional 5 km for each 0.3 m of sea level rise, presumably creating a higher diversity of habitat in the Delta.

**TOWARD A MORE HETEROGENEOUS AND VARIABLE ESTUARY**

So, what is needed to create a more heterogeneous Estuary in time and space? The answer reflects one basic truth: the estuarine ecosystem of the future will differ greatly from any ecosystem that has existed here in the past. We provide ten general directions for management of the San Francisco Estuary, especially of the Delta and Suisun Marsh, to create an ecosystem with attributes favorable to estuarine species. These directions fall into four broad categories:

1. Establishing seaward gradients in salinity, temperature, turbidity, and other physical aspects of the environment,
2. Establishing large expanses of diverse habitat, especially open-water habitats linked to tidal marshes;
3. Increasing floodplain habitat at the mouths of rivers flowing into the Delta and ensuring regular flooding of these habitats;
4. Improving water quality in ways that favor desirable species and discourage undesirable alien species.

These recommended actions are not independent and may at times conflict. For example, creating a more natural, dendritic channel structure may increase residence time of water in the channels, reducing tidal-generated variability in salinity. Likewise, large amounts of new open-water habitat will change the tidal prism and the degree to which fresh and saltwater can mix. Obviously, building an ecosystem is difficult!

**A. Establishing Seaward Gradients**

1. Establish internal Delta flows that create a tidally-mixed, upstream-downstream gradient (without cross-Delta flows) in water quality. One current problem with the Delta is that flows are manipulated to draw fresh water into the SWP and CVP pumps in the south Delta and to provide fresh water for Delta farmers, especially in late summer. Water is released from reservoirs to hold back salinity intrusion and is moved, one way or another, across the Delta, for export. While the tides are powerful enough to create

---

**Figure 8** Cumulative probability distributions of daily X2 locations for unimpaired flows (thin green solid line) with 1 foot of sea level rise (red dashed line), 3 feet of sea level rise (thick solid blue line), and 1981 to 2000 historical condition (opaque brown line), illustrating progressive salinity variability for unimpaired conditions with sea level rise. X2 is the location of the 2-ppt salinity region of the Estuary in km from the Golden Gate. Thus, a lower X2 value indicates that the low-salinity zone is farther downstream in the Estuary. Paired letters indicate geographical landmarks: CQ = Carquinez Bridge; MZ = Martinez Bridge; CH = Chipps Island; CO = Collinsville; EM = Emmaton; and RV = Rio Vista, as shown on Figure 4. Results from Water Analysis Module using unimpaired flow and historical boundary conditions (Fleenor and others 2008).
an impression of normal land-to-seaward movement, the net flow is often across the Delta and daily tidal patterns, which direct seaward movement of fish, can be overwhelmed by movement of water toward the pumps in the south Delta. This flow pattern leads to a confusing environment for migratory fish (e.g., juvenile salmon may end up in the central and southern Delta, where water temperatures are higher and water quality is otherwise unfavorable) and draw others, such as delta smelt, toward the south Delta pumps (Kimmerer 2008; Grimaldo and others 2009). Current conditions favor resident freshwater invasive organisms such as largemouth bass and other alien fishes, and Brazilian waterweed (Brown and May 2006). Re-creating tidally driven, landward-seaward flow patterns as the dominant hydrology should favor estuarine fishes, such as striped bass, longfin smelt (*Spirinchus thaleichthys*), and delta smelt.

2. **Create more slough networks with natural channel geometry and less diked, riprapped channel habitat.**

Re-establishing the historical extensive dendritic sloughs and marshes is essential for re-establishing diverse habitats and gradients in salinity, depth, and other environmental characteristics important to desirable fish and other organisms (e.g., Brown and May 2008). These shallow drainages are likely to increase overall estuarine productivity if they are near extensive areas of open water, because they can deliver nutrients and organic matter to the more open areas. Dendritic slough networks will develop naturally in Suisun Marsh after large areas become inundated following dike failures and they can be re-created fairly readily in the Cache Slough region by reconnecting existing networks. In the Delta, historical dendritic patterns are unlikely to redevelop but the present simplified habitat in the channels between islands could be made more suitable habitat for desirable species. Many levees are maintained in a nearly vegetation-free state, providing little opportunity for complex habitat (e.g., marshes and fallen trees) to develop. Much of the low-value channel habitat in the western and central Delta will disappear as islands flood, but remaining levees in submerged areas should be managed to increase islands of habitat complexity (e.g., through planting vegetation), especially in the cooler northern and eastern parts of the Delta.

3. **Increase inflows from the San Joaquin and Sacramento rivers.**

Inflow to the Delta from the San Joaquin River currently comes mainly from the regulated tributaries—the Merced, Tuolumne, and Stanislaus rivers—and from agricultural drainage to the main river. Most fresh water is diverted upstream of the Delta. Consequently, San Joaquin River flows are greatly diminished and burdened with salt from agricultural drainage (Lund and others 2007; Fleenor and others 2008). A seaward gradient should be established with greater flows at appropriate seasons (especially winter and spring) to improve conditions in the south Delta for fish. While difficult to achieve in this water-scarce region, increased San Joaquin River outflows would (1) improve water quality through dilution, (2) increase migration rates of juvenile salmon through the Delta, (3) reduce entrainment in the SWP and CVP pumps, (4) increase inflows to the Delta during critical periods, and (5) improve habitat in the lower river through flooding of shallow areas.

The Sacramento River is the major source of freshwater for the Estuary, and the need to transfer its relatively high-quality water to the pumps in the South Delta is the major reason the hydrodynamics of the Estuary are so altered. However, much of the Sacramento River is diverted for agricultural use before it reaches the Delta, which also affects flows and water quality in the Delta (Lund and others 2007). Increasing flows in appropriate seasonal patterns through the Estuary by reducing pumping from the south Delta, reducing upstream diversions, and/or increasing inflows would have the same general effects noted for the San Joaquin River.

**B. Increasing Habitat Diversity**

4. **Increase tidal marsh habitat, including shallow (1 to 2 m) subtidal areas (especially in Suisun Marsh), in both fresh and brackish zones of the Estuary.** Part of environmental variability is having diverse habitats available to fish, especially tidal marshes containing natural tidal channels and large expanses of sub-
tidal habitat. This type of habitat has been greatly depleted because marshes in the Delta and throughout the Estuary have been diked and drained, mostly for farming and hunting (Figure 3). Unfortunately, most such habitat in shallow water today is dominated by alien fishes, including highly abundant species, such as Mississippi silverside, which compete with and prey on native fishes (Bennett and Moyle 1996; Brown 2003). With increased variability in water quality, especially salinity, such habitat should become more favorable for native fishes. In particular, increasing the amount of tidal and subtidal habitat in Suisun Marsh should favor native fishes, given the natural variability in salinity and temperature that occurs there. The few areas of the marsh with natural tidal channels tend to support the highest diversity of native fishes, as well as more striped bass (Matern and others 2002; Moyle, unpublished data). With sea level rise, many diked areas of Suisun Marsh currently managed for waterfowl (mainly dabbling ducks and geese) will return to tidal marsh and will likely favor native fishes such as splittail and tule perch (*Hysterocarpus traski*), as well as (perhaps) migratory fishes such as juvenile Chinook salmon. Experimental (planned) conversions of some of these areas would be desirable for learning how to manage these inevitable changes to optimize habitat for desired fishes and other organisms.

5. **Create or allow large expanses of low salinity (1 to 4 ppt) open water habitat in the Delta.** Open water habitat is most likely to be created by flooding subsided islands in the Delta and diked marshland "islands" in Suisun Marsh (Lund and others 2007, 2010; Moyle 2008). The depth and hydrodynamics of many of these islands when flooded should prevent alien aquatic plants from establishing, while variable salinities in the western Delta should prevent dense populations of alien clams from becoming established (Lund and others 2007). Although it is hard to predict the exact nature of these habitats, they are likely to be better habitat for pelagic fishes than the rock-lined, steep-sided and often submerged vegetation-choked channels that run between islands today (Nobriga and others 2005). Experiments with controlled flooding of islands should provide information to help to ensure that these changes will favor desired species. Controlled flooding also has the potential to allow for better management of hydrodynamics and other characteristics of flooded islands (through breach location and size) than would be possible with unplanned flooding.

6. **Create a hydrodynamic regime where salinities in parts of the Delta and Suisun Bay and Suisun Marsh range from near-fresh to 8 to 10 ppt periodically to discourage alien species and favor desirable species.** There is a high degree of uncertainty in the specific salinity ranges in this recommendation but the basic idea is that fairly high fluctuations in salinities may discourage freshwater organisms in the western Delta, especially Brazilian waterweed and largemouth bass, and saltwater organisms in the brackish parts of the Estuary (Suisun Bay and Suisun Marsh), especially the overbite clam. Reducing the abundance of these ecosystem engineers could (in theory) improve food supplies for pelagic fish and other organisms, and reduce habitat that favors alien species such as largemouth bass and sunfishes. Variability in salinity in the western and central Delta may have to be significantly greater now, both within and among years, than it was in the past to suppress invasive species that are now well established. The weakness of this recommendation is our inadequate knowledge of how various alien species will react to a more variable regime. It is possible that reducing one species may simply allow another equally obnoxious species to take its place.

7. **Take species-specific actions that reduce abundance of non-native species and increase abundance of desirable species.** An increase in desirable species is likely to result if many of the above (1 through 6) conditions occur, especially in combination, but such diversity could be enhanced further by large-scale actions to reduce abundance of alien ecosystem engineers (e.g., actively controlling clam or aquatic weed populations) and to enhance populations of desirable species (e.g., improvement of salmon streams through improved flow regimes). Species-specific actions always should be performed as carefully monitored experiments, so managers can avoid becoming dependent on continuous programs such as salmon hatcheries, which can create as many problems as they solve in the long run (e.g., Williams 2006).
C. Creating More Floodplain Habitat

8. Establish abundant annual floodplain habitat, including large areas that flood in wet years (e.g., Yolo Bypass, San Joaquin floodplain). Most floodplains in the Central Valley have been isolated from their rivers by levees. Recent studies demonstrate that floodplains provide habitat and food for desirable fishes, as well as for waterfowl of all types (Opperman and others 2009). Many fishes rear opportunistically on floodplains (Moyle and others 2007) and juvenile salmon grow faster and become larger (Sommer and others 2001; Jeffres and others 2008). Splittail require such habitat for spawning (Moyle and others 2007). Floodplains also can generate nutrients for downstream areas (Jassby and Cloern 2000). Increasing the amount of regularly flooded seasonal habitat, with large expanses flooded during wetter years, will benefit fishes, especially if the physical structure of flooded areas is taken into account and perhaps modified (Feyrer and others 2003). Inundating large expanses of habitat during winter and spring on an irregular basis (frequencies of every 2 to 7 years) can produce large year classes of some species, to help carry their populations through dry periods. This can be done by improving management of the Yolo Bypass for fish, by increasing floodplain areas along other rivers (e.g., Cosumnes and Mokelumne rivers), and by developing floodplain habitat along the lower San Joaquin River, including a bypass in the Delta. Improving floodplain management for native fish is highly compatible with agricultural use of flooded lands (e.g., by keeping it in annual vegetation) and mosquito control (e.g., by having abundant juvenile fish and rapid drainage). We note also that simply creating floodable floodplains will not be very useful unless additional flows are provided to create flooded conditions at the right time of year, with adequate durations of flooding.

D. Improving Water Quality

9. Reduce input of agricultural and urban pollutants. Despite the positive effects of the Clean Water Act, the Delta still receives abundant pollutants from many sources, especially agricultural drainage, wastewater treatment plants, urban storm drains, and airborne pesticides. These pollutants have the potential to produce significant effects on fish and invertebrate populations (e.g., pyrethroid pesticides can have effects on invertebrates in the part per trillion range), which may mask larger-scale impacts, such as those of diversions, or negate the effects of habitat improvements. While we have not discussed contaminants much in this paper, the amount and number of contaminants entering the Estuary pose substantial risk to estuarine organisms, and they can disguise or divert attention away from other water issues if their input is not reduced. Important activities include cleaning up (a) agricultural return water, which has only recently seen regulation, especially in the San Joaquin River; (b) effluent from urban storm drains, which are often extremely high in pyrethroid pesticides, and (c) effluent (especially ammonium) from large sewage treatment plants (Healey and others 2008).

10. Improve the temperature regime in large areas of the Estuary so temperatures rarely exceed 20 °C during summer and fall months. Diversions, drainage water, and other factors are combining with climate change to increase water temperatures in the Delta. Summer temperatures in many areas may become lethal to delta smelt and less favorable for other native species, suggesting that higher temperatures may be bad for some desirable species and favor less desirable alien species. Thus, finding ways to keep part of the Delta cool in summer is likely to be important. Flooding western islands and re-flooding of intertidal marsh may be one way to do this, through greater mixing and evaporative and radiative cooling over tidal cycles (C. Enright, DWR, pers. comm.).

Policy Implications of Variability

Restoring habitat complexity and variability to the Estuary, especially the Delta, imposes major policy challenges. Among them are:

- Most environmental- and water-management regulations are intended to restrict variability. They therefore make it difficult to increase variability as recommended here in the Delta. Salinity standards and the operations of water-export projects and some other in-Delta diver-
sions would have to be changed to allow increased variability from water operations.

- Restoring complexity and variability in physical habitats will require significant physical landscape modifications. Depending on the location, these changes may involve flooding islands, setting back levees, or breaching levees. These actions would require substantial revisions in current levee policies, especially in the Delta and Suisun Marsh.

- Water-management and flow changes to improve Delta habitat complexity and variability will challenge existing water management policies, practices, and expectations and are likely to conflict with other flow objectives, including perhaps some environmental flows correlated with desirable species in the past.

- Substantially improving outflows and water quality from the San Joaquin River, which are particularly important for habitats in the southern and central Delta, will be difficult. Upstream diversions in the San Joaquin basin are valuable economically, and the existing drainage to the San Joaquin River is the major outlet for accumulations of salts and other pollutants.

- Inevitable changes to the Estuary from sea level rise, island flooding, and other factors will increase habitat and water-quality variability in the Delta and elsewhere, which is likely to improve conditions for desirable fish species. These changes will have to be incorporated into future land- and water-use decisions.

- Improvements from efforts to increase complexity and variability can be negated or reduced if pollution from surrounding urban and agricultural areas is not reduced or better controlled. This means, in part, reducing “non-point source” pollution from agriculture and reducing inputs from sewage treatment plants.

- Restoring complexity and variability for future conditions, especially in the Delta and Suisun Marsh, will necessarily involve experimentation. Some experiments will be unintentional as islands fail, legal verdicts are rendered, and mistakes are made. Most habitat-restoration projects can be treated as experiments if planned that way. More useful and less expensive experimentation could consist of intentional, formal, and relatively controlled manipulative research supported by preparatory modeling studies. Some management activities will fail, even with more formal experimentation. Policy difficulties will arise in establishing scientific capabilities to undertake experiments to guide the transition of the Estuary to a more variable system. Resources—in terms of land, water, funding, expertise, leadership, and responsible political insulation—will be needed to allow formal experimentation and exploratory modeling to go forward and be useful.

- Finally, restoring environmental variability in the Delta is fundamentally inconsistent with continuing to move large volumes of water through the Delta for export. The drinking and agricultural water-quality requirements of through-Delta exports, and perhaps even some current in-Delta uses, are at odds with the water quality and variability needs of desirable Delta species.

CONCLUSIONS

The San Francisco Estuary has become a heavily invaded ecosystem that is less heterogeneous in structure and water quality, resulting in declines of many fish species that depend on estuarine conditions. This is especially true of the Delta. A key to returning the Estuary to a state that supports more of the desirable organisms (e.g., Chinook salmon, striped bass, delta smelt) is increasing diversity in physical habitat and variability in tidal and riverine flows and water chemistry, especially salinity, over multiple scales of time and space. It is also important that the stationary physical habitat be associated with the right physical–chemical conditions in the water at times when the fish can use the habitat most effectively (Peterson 2003). To combat problems with invasive species, short-term (monthly, annual) variability in
some factors, such as salinity, probably needs to be higher than it was historically. Some of this variability is likely to return naturally as the result of sea level rise, climate change, and earthquake-caused levee failures, but habitat improvement, flow restoration, and export reduction would push the Estuary toward a more variable and presumably more productive ecosystem, or at least one with higher abundances of desirable species. While these findings are speculative, they have widespread support in ecological theory and observations from other systems, so making quantitative predictions of change should become a high priority for research.
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