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Abstract
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Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) cause about 31% of all global deaths. As people age, CVD progress due to the accumulation of fatty materials, immune cells, and smooth muscle cells form stabilized fatty plaques in blood vessels through a process known as atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis plaques affect vessel wall integrity, elasticity, and occlude blood flow, which can result in death. Top risk factors of CVDs include tobacco use, alcohol, blood pressure, and blood cholesterol levels. In order to develop better drug treatment strategies, it is important to elucidate the cellular mechanisms that drive CVD progression within the vessel wall. In healthy blood vessels, smooth muscle cells have a low proliferating rate, low synthesis activity, and high expression of contractility proteins and ion channels important in responding to vessel contraction, tone, and blood pressure.

In chapter one, I discuss current theories on what cells directly contribute to vessel wall repair and/or disease progression. The de-differentiation hypothesis proposes that vascular smooth muscle cells directly contribute to CVDs. This phenotypic switch, or de-differentiation, results in smooth muscle cells that down-regulate expression of contractility proteins, rapidly proliferate and migrate into the damaged area. The heterogeneous population of cells observed in diseased vessels is the result of smooth muscle cell de-differentiation. The isolation and characterization of side populations of vascular stem cells (VSCs) in the vessel wall presents an additional cell source that may also contribute to healthy and diseased vessel wall repair. Previous work from our group characterized a population of multipotent VSCs. In healthy vessel repair, VSCs are activated and differentiate to smooth muscle cell end fates. However, in diseased vessels, aberrant differentiation of VSCs could contribute to the heterogeneous population of fat, bone, and chondrogenic cells.

In chapter two, we characterized how matrix elasticity regulates VSC proliferation, expression of SMC markers, and cellular localization of mechanical signaling factors. We began by confirming trends of previous DNA microarray results when rat VSCs were seeded on polyacrylamide hydrogels (pAAm). While average nuclear area also decreases as matrix elasticity decreases, the rate of cell proliferation was approximately equal compared to glass control. Based on previous work, we tested whether global decreases in nuclear area also led to global chromatin remodeling, primarily detected through histone tail modifications. We did not find global changes in response to matrix elasticity. Instead, we were able to show that smooth muscle marker protein expression decreases as a function of matrix elasticity. As matrix elasticity decreases, F-actin stress fibers decrease, which increases relative pools of G-actin. G-actin can
bind to Myocardin-related transcription factor A, which activates gene transcription of contractile and cytoskeletal proteins, including smooth muscle a-actin.

In chapter three, we describe multiple attempts to characterize the role of Sox family of transcription factor by either targeted knockdown or overexpression. We hypothesized that high expression of two Sox family members, Sox10 and Sox17, in early passage rat VSCs affects vascular stem cell maintenance and/or prevents differentiation. We attempted to use short- and long-term targeted knockdown of Sox protein in rat VSCs. Specifically, we characterized the effects on VSCs proliferation, smooth muscle cell gene expression, cell migration, and directed differentiation. Due to the recovery of Sox gene and protein expression, our results were inconclusive. When we tried to overexpress a GFP-tagged Sox10, we saw dramatic decreases in GFP+ cells after 7 days in culture.

In this work, we were able to determine: (1) Rat VSCs respond to matrix elasticity, a mechanical signal, and decrease expression of smooth muscle cell markers. (2) Matrix elasticity decreases MRTF-A nuclear localization in rat VSCs. (3) As a result, direct downstream targets of MRTF-A, like SMA, also decrease.

Our data for targeted knockdown of Sox10 and Sox17 in rat VSCs were inconclusive. Rat VSCs showed recovery of Sox protein expression after siRNA transfection. Instead, we tried to generate stable shRNA rat VSC lines, but did not see reduced Sox10 staining compared to scrambled control.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACAN</td>
<td>Aggrecan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTA2, SMA</td>
<td>Actin alpha 2, Smooth Muscle Actin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>Ammonium Persulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bFGF</td>
<td>Basic Fibroblast Growth Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis</td>
<td>N,N’-Methylenebisacrylamide crosslinker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>Bovine Serum Albumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD31</td>
<td>Platelet Endothelial Cell Molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL2A1</td>
<td>Collagen, Type II, alpha 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAPI</td>
<td>4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbcamp</td>
<td>N6, 2’-O-Dibutylriadenosine 3’,5’-cyclic monophosphate sodium salt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dk</td>
<td>Donkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMEM</td>
<td>Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F98</td>
<td>Polyclonal Rat Glioblastoma Cell Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDEC</td>
<td>Glioblastoma-derived Endothelial Cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gt</td>
<td>Goat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTIC</td>
<td>Glioblastoma Tumor-Initiating Cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H342</td>
<td>Hoescht 33342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLF</td>
<td>Krüppel-like Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kPa</td>
<td>Kilopascals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYH11, SM-MHC</td>
<td>Myosin Heavy Chain 11, Smooth Muscle Myosin Heavy Chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>Sodium Chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/S</td>
<td>Penicillin/Streptomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAAm</td>
<td>Polyacrylamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Phosphate-Buffered Saline, pH 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVDF</td>
<td>Polyvinylidene Fluoride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rb</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Room Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRF</td>
<td>Serum Response Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfo-SANPAH</td>
<td>N-Sulfosuccinimidyl-6-(4'-azido-2'-nitrophenylamino) hexanoate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBST</td>
<td>Tris-Buffered Saline with 0.05% Tween-20 (v/v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>Tetramethylethlenediamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIE2</td>
<td>Angiopoietin Receptor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSC</td>
<td>Vascular Stem Cell</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Healthy vascular development

The cardiovascular system in vertebrates consists of the heart, blood vessels, and blood. This system is responsible for the transportation of blood, oxygen, nutrients, and removal of waste products throughout the entire body. A cross-section of a healthy artery shows three distinct layers (Figure 1.1). The innermost layer, or tunica intima, consists of a monolayer of endothelial cells. The tunica media, or middle layer, contains smooth muscle cells (SMCs) embedded in a complex extracellular matrix. The outermost layer is the tunica externa, which contains mast cells, nerve endings, microvessels. This connective tissue is also comprised of collagen and other extracellular matrix proteins that anchor arteries to nearby organs within the body.

In mature adult vertebrates, including humans, the vasculature is subject to hemodynamic forces, including laminar shear stress and blood pressure (Figure 1.2). The frictional fluid force per area, or laminar shear stress ($\tau$), is parallel to blood flow and regulates vascular development in embryonic and adult tissues. Endothelial cells cultured in vitro and exposed to laminar shear stress and elicit responses that include, longitudinal alignment, growth inhibition, and release of signaling molecules. The role of hemodynamic forces to promote the differentiation of cancer stem cells to vascular cell fates has not been examined. In Appendix III, I show preliminary data to determine whether laminar shear stress-treated Glioblastoma tumor-initiating cells adopt endothelial-like cell fates, and contributes to the leaky tumor vasculature observed in vivo.

As the heart pumps blood through blood vessels, a perpendicular force is exerted on the walls of the artery, also known as blood pressure ($\rho$). Blood pressure stretches the cells within the vessel wall. Vascular SMCs, which reside below the monolayer of endothelial cells, primarily function to regulate and maintain the blood vessel wall. To this end, these vascular SMCs typically have low proliferation and migration rates, little-to-no synthesis of extracellular matrix proteins, and high expression of proteins that aid in cell contractility, including smooth muscle $\alpha$-actin (SMA), myosin heavy chain 11 (MYH11), calponin1 (CNN1), and SM22$\alpha$.

1.2 Cardiovascular diseases

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) represent about 31% of all deaths worldwide. CVDs are disorders of the heart and blood vessels, with hardening and/or occlusion of the blood vessel walls leading to high mortality rates. Since CVDs are noncommunicable, intervention costs and strategies often reflect individual management and behavioral changes. Current healthcare cost estimates alone are over $300 billion USD, which includes prescription drugs that lower blood cholesterol and blood pressure. Global studies reveal top risk factors as tobacco use, high blood pressure, and high blood cholesterol. Additional risk factors include physical activity, diet, and diabetes.

---

1 Re-examination of genome-wide association studies that report CVDs genetic factor(s) fail to account for population-level racial health disparities. Rather, these findings show causal links of health risks to income and education achievement. These reports often over represent significant changes in genetic loci by oversampling for European patients without demonstrating whether these risk patterns hold true for patients from different ethnic and geographic backgrounds.
Atherosclerosis, or hardening of the arteries is a common development to more serious vascular maladies (Figure 1.3). This chronic disease progresses from the accumulation of fat, cholesterol, and other substances into the walls of arteries. These sites harden into plaques that disrupt the distinct layers of the blood vessel and thicken the vessel wall. While stabilized, the narrowing of the artery constricts blood flow and results in an increase blood pressure. Ultimately the mortality risk occurs when plaques break off and occlude blood flow. While the inflammatory response is a critical component of disease progression, in these studies we primarily focus on the relationship between early changes in the biophysical properties of the vessel wall and vascular cell response in the early stages of CVDs.

An early symptom and marker of CVD risk and atherosclerosis is hypertension, or high blood pressure. In Figure 1.3, blood pressure increases as vessel walls thicken due to the heterogeneous composition of cells in the disrupted layers of the artery. Unlike animal models of atherosclerosis, mechanical testing of fixed tissue samples pose a number of challenges. In reviews of current techniques, variability can be introduced due to tissue acquisition (e.g., size of excised tissue, delivery time and fixation methods), high variability within plaque architecture, and detection method used (e.g., bulk compression methods, micro-indentations, etc).

To address these variations, especially in clinical settings, refinement of non-invasive methods can provide correlative factors that indicate early disease stages in arteries, prior to surgical intervention and tissue acquisition. For instance, D. Liao and colleagues were able to study whether there was a relationship between decreased arterial elasticity and increased hypertension. Through blood pressure, artery diameter, and pulse wave velocity measurements, the data show that increases in vessel stiffening, or marked decreases in arterial elasticity, related to the development of hypertension.

One of the best-utilized models for atherosclerosis is the Apolipoprotein E knockout mouse (ApoE KO). On a standard chow diet, homozygous litters have spontaneous elevation of plasma cholesterol and formation of fatty lesions in vessel walls that exhibit similarities to plaques found in human vessels. When fed a high fat diet, a known CVD risk factor, plaque formation is accelerated. Since additional genes can be assessed in CVD progression through double knockout mice, these mice offer the opportunity to parse the impact of specific genetic and environmental factors that promote atherosclerosis.

In fixed mice tissue samples, invasive techniques can be used to probe the matrix elasticity in atherosclerotic vessels. As expected, the matrix elasticity measurements can confirm differences in regions of the atherosclerosis plaque visible through histology staining. While healthy wild type mouse vessels have mean elasticity of 76 kilopascals (kPa), the mean elasticity of atherosclerotic vessels depended on cellular composition. Atherosclerotic lesions generally have lipid rich, cellular fibrosis, and acellular fibrosis regions. Since these regional categories are heterogeneous, the mean elasticity ranges from 2-9kPa, 5-16kPa, and 12-107kPa respectively. Lastly, local changes to the vessel wall of age-matched wild-type and ApoE KO mice can be detected as early as 18 weeks using blood pressure and pulse wave velocity, which can precede atherosclerotic lesions and plaque formation. Taken together, these data show
ApoE KO mice can be used to determine possible cellular source(s) responsible in vascular injury and repair in healthy and diseased blood vessels.

What is the source of the invasive population of smooth muscle cells in damaged blood vessels? Do they originate from the local vessel wall or differentiate from circulating progenitor cells? To address this, J.F. Benzton and colleagues repeated previous work using sex-mismatched bone marrow transplants with eGFP+ ApoE KO mice into ApoE KO mice. While they recovered circulating GFP+ cells in their irradiated ApoE KO mice in equal proportions to the eGFP+ ApoE KO control, they could not detect GFP+ SMA+ and/or Y chromosome+ smooth muscle cells in the fatty lesions of the irradiated ApoE KO blood vessels. Similar results were also observed in transplanted carotid artery grafts. These observations alongside work by other groups suggest the local vessel wall is the source of the invasive SMCs in the progression of atherosclerosis.

Although atherosclerosis plaques are composed of cells from the immediate area, segments of the vessel wall do not contribute equally to atherosclerotic development. Regions exposed to disturbed laminar shear stress, like in the aortic arch or arterial branches, are the most susceptible to atherosclerosis. One way to assess whether vessel wall position is sufficient to develop atherosclerosis was to perform homograft aortic transplant experiments. In reviewing published work, M.W. Majesky summarizes that contrary to expectations, atherosclerosis-prone regions developed lesions in the relocated atherosclerosis-resistant area. Surprisingly, atherosclerosis-resistant areas flanking the transplant did not develop lesions. Similarly, atherosclerosis-resistant artery transplants did not develop lesions in areas that typically develop atherosclerosis. Together, these studies highlight the cells within the vessel wall, and not just the position, determines the distribution and progression of diseased vessels.

1.3 Cellular mechanisms of cardiovascular disease progression
As outlined above, current theories about CVD progression depend on the cells in close proximity to the site of vessel damage and fat accumulation. The following sections and Figure 1.4 discuss current theories of the cellular mechanisms that drive healthy and diseased vessel development in adults.

1.3.1 De-differentiation of smooth muscle cells
In vivo, the primary function of SMCs is to respond to vessel contraction, tone, blood pressure, and distribution. Characterization of these vascular SMCs reveals a low proliferation rate, low synthesis activity, and high expression of contractility proteins and ion channels that maintain blood vessels. Contractility proteins like CNN1, MYH11, SMA, and SM22α are used to as markers of mature SMCs.

Isolation and characterization of vascular cells from arterial tissue in vitro have observed a distinct population of highly proliferative, migratory cells that synthesize ECM proteins. In cell culture, these cells do not express typical contractility markers and undergo morphology changes. Since these proliferative/synthetic cells emerge from freshly isolated arterial tissue, researchers proposed that the mature SMCs in the vessel wall de-differentiated to the proliferative/synthetic population (Figure 1.4).
The de-differentiation hypothesis of vascular SMCs offers the following explanation of healthy vessel repair.\textsuperscript{30,31} The complex interplay of mechanical signals and soluble factors that maintain the elasticity of the blood vessel, in turn, regulate vascular SMC expression of contractility proteins and dampens cell proliferation and ECM protein synthesis. Vessel wall remodeling can stimulate SMCs to undergo a phenotypic switch, where cells proliferate, migrate, and repair the damaged area. Over time, vascular SMCs increase expression of contractility proteins and cease proliferation and synthesis.

In atherosclerotic plaque regions, like Figure 1.3, the proliferative/synthetic SMCs are morphologically distinct from mature SMCs, and occupy the neointima layer. However, there is no direct evidence that mature SMCs undergo this phenotypic change and contribute to the pool of proliferative/synthetic SMCs. Surgical damage to vessel walls varies among experimental animals and research groups, which affects forming definitive conclusions about the sole contribution of vascular SMCs. Even so, one challenge that persists in both mouse and human models of atherosclerosis is the inability to lineage-trace mature SMCs after vessel injury or damage.

\subsection*{1.3.2 Vascular stem cells}

In available literature the term “vascular stem cells” recovers less than 50 articles. Typical usage refers to stem or stem-like cells in the vascular system. In their literature review, C-S Lin and T.F. Lue define vascular stem cells as “cells that reside within the blood vessel wall that can differentiate into all of the cell types that constitute a functional blood vessels.”\textsuperscript{32} This definition is then used to discuss the evidence of side populations of vascular stem cells.

Early evidence showed that SMCs in atherosclerotic plaques are monoclonal, and that a rare cell population within the vessel wall could explain these results.\textsuperscript{33,34} One side population of Sca1\textsuperscript{+} cells from wild-type mice were isolated and characterized to differentiate to endothelial and SMC cell fates.\textsuperscript{35} In ApoE-deficient mice, the adventitial layer of arteries also shows a Sca1\textsuperscript{+} c-kit\textsuperscript{+} side population of cells.\textsuperscript{36} In 2012, our group reported the isolation and characterization of a population of adult multipotent vascular stem cells (VSCs) from both normal and surgically injured blood vessels.\textsuperscript{37} These VSCs were isolated from the media and adventitial layer of rodent and human tissues, and maintained in a custom maintenance media. These data show that initial populations of VSCs are Sca1\textsuperscript{−} and ckit\textsuperscript{−}, do not have the typical mature SMC morphology, and are not positive for mature SMC markers, like MYH11 and CNN1.

Protocols to isolate and characterize vascular SMCs from animal tissue \textit{in vitro} expose cells for up to 3 weeks in high serum cultures (10-20\% FBS).\textsuperscript{27,28} The above culturing conditions cannot rule out the possibility of dormant stem cell populations in the vessel wall also contributing to vessel wall remodeling. When VSCs are cultured in high serum media for weeks, as established protocols for SMC isolation describe, the morphology changes to expected mature SMCs, with larger cell bodies, long fibers, and positive staining for mature SMC markers. Finally, \textit{ex vivo} tissue explant cultures also show that RFP-labeled Sox10\textsuperscript{+} cells emerge from the tunica media and tunica adventitia of mouse thoracic aortas.\textsuperscript{38} Other groups have been able to use VSC markers (e.g., Sox10, Sox17, S100β) to isolate and characterize migrating cell populations from tissue explant cultures and commercially available vascular SMCs.\textsuperscript{39-41}
As an alternative hypothesis to the de-differentiation theory, aberrant differentiation of VSCs may also contribute to the heterogeneous composition of atherosclerotic plaques. In normal vessel wall remodeling, a VSC niche is within the medial and/or adventitial layers. Once activated, VSCs begin to proliferate and adopt SMC end fates. After the repair has been made, VSCs become quiescent. Similarly, at early stages of atherosclerosis, lipid and cholesterol accumulates in the vessel wall and affects local arterial wall stiffness. Since VSCs are multipotent, aberrant differentiation could contribute to heterogeneous populations of adipogenic, osteogenic, and chondrogenic cells observed. In chapter two, we use an *in vitro* approach to characterize rat VSCs seeded on different matrix elasticity.

### 1.4 Stem cells

A stem cell is defined as a cell that exhibits self-renewal and unrestricted fate potential. In the adult, stem cell populations usually have more restricted lineage differentiation capabilities, but retain self-renewal *in vitro*.

#### 1.4.1 Sox family members in vascular stem cells

The *Sex*-determining region Y-related HMG box (Sox) transcription factor family members are best characterized for controlling cell fate and differentiation in a multitude of processes, such as sexual differentiation, stem cell maintenance, neurogenesis, and skeletogenesis. In mammals, the Sox family has 20 members in 9 groups (A-H) based on sequence homology. Here we focus on Sox10 and Sox17, in Sox groups E and F respectively, due to their high expression in VSCs. When cultured in high serum media (10% FBS), VSCs lose Sox10 and Sox17 protein expression and up regulate mature SMC markers, like SMA, CNN1, and MYH11. The absence of Sox genes may allow for lineage commitment or affect VSC maintenance.

**Sox10**

Sox10 is a well-known marker of neural crest stem cells. Sox10 knockout mice are embryonic lethal, with defects in neural crest stem cells migration. Further characterization has shown Sox10 is required for undifferentiated neural crest stem cells survival, lineage commitment, and melanocyte pigmentation. Constitutive expression of Sox10 preserves glial and neuronal differentiation in cultured neural crest stem cells compared to controls.

During development, the vascular SMCs of the thoracic artery have different embryonic cell origins. Our VSCs are isolated from the aorta that is patterned from cardiac neural crest stem cells, we hypothesized that Sox10 may have a similar role in vascular stem cell maintenance and/or prevents differentiation.

**Sox17**

Sox17, along with Sox7 and Sox18, are SoxF group members, with some functional redundancy between member roles in cardiogenesis and angiogenesis. Sox17 knockout mice are embryonic lethal with defects in the definitive endoderm and heart development. In hematopoietic stem cells, Sox17 knockout affects fetal, but not adult, hematopoietic stem cell pools. Ectopic expression of Sox17 in adult progenitor cells causes them to exhibit properties restricted to fetal hematopoietic stem cell.
Based on these known roles in stem cell maintenance or preventing differentiation, we proposed that Sox proteins were good candidate genes to further investigate in VSCs differentiation. In Chapter 3, we characterize the effects of Sox protein knockdown in VSCs.

1.5 Matrix elasticity regulates stem cell differentiation and self-renewal in vitro
Cells, tissue, and organs from the body exhibit different matrix elasticity (Figure 1.5). As mentioned in Section 1.1, mechanical forces regulate and maintain blood flow and healthy vessels. While cells inside the body experience matrix elasticity up to hundreds of kilopascals (kPa), isolation and culturing in vitro are on the gigapascals range. Seminal work performed by A.J. Engler and colleagues in 2006 demonstrated how mechanical signals alone could regulate mesenchymal stem cell differentiation. Matrix elasticity corresponding to brain, muscle, or bone directed differentiation to neurogenic, myogenic, and osteogenic cells in vitro. Chemical inhibition that disrupts the cytoskeletal relay of matrix elasticity eliminates the directed differentiation effects observed.

These results highlight that biophysical factors are a critical component in the culture and maintenance of stem cells in vitro. Controlling the stem cell biophysical microenvironment can circumvent the ongoing challenge with current culturing methods in maintaining high expression of stem cell markers, heterogeneous populations of stem cells, preventing spontaneous differentiation, or directing differentiation to a specific terminal fate. These effects have been documented for embryonic stem cells, neural stem cells, mesenchymal stem cells, and in cell reprogramming. Since biophysical factors can replace soluble and/or chemical factors required in stem cell maintenance and/or differentiation media recipes, exploiting mechanical signaling pathways could decrease overall culturing time and still ensure purity and enrichment of desired cell fates.

1.6 Conclusion
Early detection and effective drug treatment strategies are critical steps to address the high mortality rate of CVDs. As stated earlier, there is no exclusive marker of SMCs to coincide with specific differentiation state(s). De-differentiation is defined by the down-regulation or absence of cytoskeletal and contractile proteins. While our current isolation protocol for rat VSCs is still comprised of a heterogeneous pool of cells, serial passaging enriches for the faster growing population of cells, which are also Sox10 and Sox17-positive.

Since we were able to narrow the VSC niche to the medial and adventitial layers of the blood vessel, we are specifically interested in further characterization of VSCs in response to local change in the biophysical microenvironment. We hypothesize that aberrant differentiation of VSCs in cardiovascular disease progression. In this work we aim to show that rat VSCs seeded onto varying matrix elasticity in vitro can direct differentiation to terminal fates that more closely resemble cells of the same elasticity in vivo. This presents potential therapeutic target for cells that may directly contribute to vessel wall disorganization.
The Structure Of An Artery Wall. [ref. 4] The inner layer, or tunica intima, consists of a monolayer of endothelial cells. The next layer, or tunica media, contains smooth muscle cells (SMCs). The outermost layer, or tunica externa, has mast cells, nerve endings, and microvessels. Collagen and other extracellular matrix proteins are also present and help anchor arteries to nearby organs within the body.

Figure 1.2. Mechanical forces on the vessel wall. A section of an artery wall shows the endothelial cells that form the inner lining and align longitudinally, and vascular smooth muscle cells that form the outer layers and align circumferentially. Pressure ($\rho$) is normal to the vessel wall, which results in circumferential stretching of the vessel wall. Shear stress ($\tau$) is parallel to the vessel wall and is exerted longitudinally in the direction of blood flow. [ref. 5]
Figure 1.3. Normal And Atherosclerotic Human Artery Cross-Sections. Normal human arteries have three distinct layers that facilitate blood flow throughout the body. As atherosclerosis progresses, the diseased vessel have a disrupted vessel wall. In the figure, there are no longer three distinct ordered layers. The intima layer is disrupted and shows damaged endothelial cells. The medial layer has a heterogeneous accumulation of lipids, calcium, debris, immune and SMCs. This vessel wall thickening constricts blood flow through the artery, resulting in increased blood pressure. [ref. 12]
Figure 1.4. Schematic comparing the cellular source(s) involved in healthy and diseased vessel repair in adults. A, De-differentiation of contractile smooth muscle cells to a proliferative/synthetic smooth muscle cell. Vessel repair includes differentiation and maturation of contractile and proliferative/synthetic smooth muscle cells, as well as other cell type(s). B, Differentiation of vascular stem cells may occur as a step-wise process, first to a mesenchymal-like stem cell, then to smooth muscle cell end fates. C, Other cell type(s) visible in diseased vessels, like adipogenic, chondrogenic or osteogenic lineages, may result from aberrant differentiation of vascular stem cells. [Modified from refs. 30,32]

Figure 1.5. Matrix Elasticity Scale Range For Soft Tissues In The Body. The scale shows the matrix elasticity, in kilopascals, from blood to pre-calcified bone. Conventional tissue culture plastic and glass have values greater than $10^6$ kilopascals. [ref. 42]
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Chapter 2: Matrix Elasticity Regulates Vascular Stem Cell Smooth Muscle α-Actin Expression By Myocardin-Related Transcription Factor A Translocation

In this chapter, experiment design, data collection and analysis was work performed by myself, Alexis J. Seymour, Julia Chu, and Song Li. I will submit parts of this chapter as a first author manuscript at a future date.

2.1 Introduction

Rat vascular stem cells (VSCs) present an additional cell population that may contribute to atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease. We have narrowed the VSC niche to the medial and adventitial layers of the blood vessel wall. Direct and indirect measurements of rodent and human vessels show heterogeneous matrix elasticity, ranging from two to hundreds of kilopascals (kPa) (See Chapter 1). We hypothesize that these heterogeneous regions act as biophysical cues to prime VSCs to differentiate to cell fates with the same matrix elasticity. As matrix elasticity decreases within the vessel wall, these mechanical signals may affect cell morphology and proliferation. As a result, decreases in nuclear area may remodel chromatin, and previously suppressed genes could be accessible to transcription factors to promote gene expression to different cell fates.

The Myocardin-related transcription factor (MRTF) family are important in the expression of cytoskeletal and contractile proteins in cardiovascular development and vascular SMCs. The serum response factor (SRF) promoter sequence motif, CArG, is bound by SRF and MRTF family members to activate gene expression (Figure 2.10). The founding member, Myocardin, is critical in early cardiac development and vascular SMC differentiation in the embryo. But, is later restricted to the heart in adults. Unlike Myocardin, the remaining family members, MRTF-A and MRTF-B, are expressed throughout adult tissues.

In adult tissues, MRTF-A and -B nuclear translocation and activity are regulated by the actin cytoskeleton. G-actin in the cytoplasm can bind MRTF-A/B, which sequesters localization to the cytoplasm. As G-actin polymerizes into F-actin, the pool of G-actin decreases and MRTF-A/B is released. MRTF-A/B is imported into the nucleus to promote gene expression.

Recent work demonstrates that biophysical cues, like matrix elasticity and cyclic stretching, regulate a number of transcription factors. Upstream of these mechanical signaling pathways are changes in the ratio of G- and F-actin inside a cell. In fibroblasts experiencing cyclic stretching forces, MRTF-A nuclear translocation promotes cell survival on soft substrates. Changes to tension can downregulate the SRF-MRTF-A mechanical signaling pathway by increasing the G-actin in fibroblasts. Lastly, fibroblasts show that increasing matrix elasticity promotes upregulation of SMA by increasing MRTF-A nuclear translocation.

In this chapter, we isolate and characterize vascular stem cells response to changes in matrix elasticity. We were specifically interested in whether decreases in matrix elasticity affect expression of SMC proteins through MRTF-A nuclear translocation. We show that as matrix elasticity decreases, average nuclear area, SMA expression, and MRTF-A nuclear localization decrease in rat VSCs. Targeted knockdown of MRTF-A decreases SMA expression in rat VSC,
especially on soft (~1kPa) pAAm gels. Finally, Blebbistatin-treatment affects MRTF-A localization and SMA expression on stiff (glass) but not soft (~1kPa) pAAm gels.

2.2 Materials and Methods

Isolation of Rat Vascular Stem Cells and Cell Culture
We previously reported a tissue explant method protocol to isolate and culture VSCs. Thoracic aortas were harvested from Sprague-Dawley rats 3-6 months in age were removed and stored in plain DMEM + 1% P/S for up to 4 hours at 4°C. In a sterile tissue culture hood, aortas were submerged in sterile 1X PBS + 5% P/S. All excess muscle, fat, and tissue were removed and the aortas were cut lengthwise. Once opened, the endothelial layer was scraped with a sterile surgical blade (No.10) for 30 seconds. After thorough rinsing with 1X PBS + 5% P/S, the aorta was dissected into smaller pieces and evenly distributed across a 10cm tissue culture plate, pre-treated with CellSTART® (Life Technologies) for at least 2 hours at 37°C. All emergent cells were cultured for up to 7 days in VSC maintenance media, with media exchange on every third day (see Figure 2.1). After testing for mycoplasma (Lonza MycoAlert™), all cells were collected using 1X TrypLE (Invitrogen) and passaged onto 1% CellSTART-coated 10cm tissue culture plate. After cell expansion, second passage VSCs were stained for expression of Sox10, Sox17, and p75 to confirm the undifferentiated state of VSCs.

VSC maintenance media consists of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Corning) supplemented with 2% Chicken Embryo Extract (MP Biomedicals, LLC), 2.5% Fetal Bovine Serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1% N2, 1% B27, 1% P/S (Invitrogen), 100nM Retinoic Acid, 100µM 2-Mercaptoethanol [βME] (Sigma-Aldrich), and 20ng/mL basic fibroblast growth factor (R&D Systems).

For all experiments, rat VSCs (Passages 3-6) with 70-80% confluency were used. Unless otherwise stated, rat VSCs were seeded at 2,000 cells per cm² for experiments in 1% FBS (low-serum media) or 1,000 cells per cm² for experiments in 10% FBS (high-serum media). For all drug-treated samples, culturing media was supplemented with either DMSO or 10µM Blebbistatin.

Fabrication of Polyacrylamide Hydrogels
Polyacrylamide (pAAm) hydrogels were polymerized based on methods used by R. Pelham and Y-L Wang with modifications made by A-C Tsou. Glass coverslips were submerged in 70% ethanol and sonicated for 15 minutes at room temperature. Air-dried coverslips were oxygen-plasma treated for 2 minutes. Silane-treated glass coverslips with a solution of 1% 16-methyltrimethoxysilane, 94% anhydrous methanol, 5% distilled water, and 57nM glacial acetic acid for 5 minutes at room temperature. Silane-treated glass coverslips were rinsed 3 times in anhydrous methanol for 1 minute at room temperature, then baked dry for 30 minutes at 110°C. Hydrogel solutions were prepared on the silane-treated coverslips using desired rations of acrylamide monomer and bis crosslinker solutions, ammonium persulfate, and TEMED (see Table 2.1), approximately 8.3µL solution per cm². Separate glass slides were coated with a hydrophobic Gel-Slick® solution (Lonza) and used to prevent oxygen from inhibiting the polymerization reaction, as well as creating a uniform surface for each sample (see Figure 2.2). Hydrogels were cross-linked in a 2mg/mL solution of Sulfo-SANPAH (Thermo Fisher...
Scientific) protected from light, for 30 minutes, the activated under ultraviolet light for 5 minutes at room temperature. Hydrogels were washed 3 times in 200mM HEPES, pH 8.5 for at least 5 minutes per wash at room temperature, before applying ~8.3µg/cm² Rat Tail Collagen I (BD Biosciences) by passive adsorption for at least 60 minutes to allow for cell attachment. All samples were sterilized using 70% ethanol for 30 minutes and washed thoroughly with sterile 1X PBS + 5% P/S, pH 7.4 prior to cell seeding.

Isolation of RNA and Quantitative Reverse-Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR)

The manufacturer’s recommended protocol for Trizol® (Invitrogen) was used to isolate RNA. In brief, samples on each pAAm hydrogel were lysed in Trizol® after removing culturing media. Total RNA was collected using Chloroform and Phenol extractions, followed by precipitation in isopropanol overnight at -20°C. The following day, the RNA pellet was washed in 70% ethanol (-20°C) and air-dried for up to 10 minutes at room temperature. The RNA pellet was resuspended in nuclease-free water. RNA concentration and purity was measured on a NanoDrop™ (Thermo Scientific).

For cDNA synthesis, the Maxima 1st strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used. Appendix I: Table A has a detailed list of qPCR primer sequences of the genes of interest used in this study. All qPCR primers were designed using the ABI Prism Primer Express Software version 2.0 (Applied Biosystem). The iQ5 Optical System (Bio-Rad) was used with the Maxima SYBR green/Fluorescein reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to perform qPCR. RNA from rat heart, lung, liver, and bone were used to generate standard curves for genes. Gene expression levels were normalized to 18S Ribosomal RNA of each sample. Data were analyzed using iQ5 software.

Whole Cell Lysis and Immunoblotting

All samples were collected on ice and washed twice in cold 1X PBS. Approximately 100µL buffer was used for every 12cm² of pAAm hydrogel. For 1X RIPA buffer lysis: 50mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150mM NaCl, 1% Triton-X-100, 0.5% Sodium Deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS in diH2O was kept at 4°C. Protease inhibitors were added to a final concentration of 1mM Sodium Orthovanadate, 1mM PMSF, 10µg/mL Leupeptin (Sigma-Aldrich) just prior to cell lysis. A DC protein assay (Bio-Rad) was used to determine relative protein concentration of each sample. Approximately 30µg of protein were loaded into each well. For 1X Sample buffer lysis: The 4X sample buffer (200mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 8% SDS, 40% glycerol, 0.04% Bromophenol blue) was diluted in 1X RIPA buffer with protease inhibitors (see above) (1XSB+). For each sample, 1X SB+ was pipet twice before collecting in a labeled 1.5mL tube on ice. A cell scraper was used to carefully scrape the pAAm gel for any remaining whole cell lysate. All samples were boiled for 5 minutes at 100°C, then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C. Equal volumes of whole cell lysates were loaded into Mini-Protean® TGX Pre-Cast gels (Bio-Rad). Gels were transferred at 4°C overnight in 1X Transfer Buffer (25mM Tris-base, 192mM Glycine, 20% Methanol) at 30V (constant) onto PVDF membranes, pre-treated with 100% Methanol. PVDF membranes were blocked in 3% BSA (w/v) in 1X TBST (50mM Tris, pH 7.5, 120mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween-20) for 2 hours at room temperature. Primary antibodies (see Appendix II: Table A. Primary Antibodies) were diluted in 1X TBST and incubated on a rocking platform overnight at 4°C. The following day, membranes were incubated with corresponding HRP-conjugated IgG secondary antibodies (see Appendix II: Table B. Secondary Antibodies) for 1 hour at room
temperature. Three washes in 1X TBST were performed between antibody incubations. Housekeeping proteins, like GAPDH, Lamins, and Histone proteins, were analyzed and volumes adjusted to equalize loading across samples.

Membranes were stripped and re-probed using Restore™ PLUS Western Blot Stripping Buffer (Pierce). Stripping buffer was applied to membranes for 15 minutes at room temperature on a rocking platform. Membranes were washed once in 1X TBS for 10-15 minutes followed by blocking and antibody incubation listed above.

**Immunoblot Analysis**

Protein bands were visualized using Western Lightning™ Plus Enhanced Chemiluminescence Substrate (PerkinElmer) and Quantity One Digital Developer software (Bio-Rad). Images were exported and quantified using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health).

For analysis, exported images from the Digital Developer software were opened and measured in ImageJ. The densities of each protein were normalized to the mean density of multiple loading controls before being normalized to glass control slide. These data are presented as mean density normalized to glass control slide, plus one standard deviation for at least 2 separate experiments.

**Fluorescent Immunocytochemistry Staining of Cells on Coverslips**

All samples were placed on ice and washed twice in cold 1X PBS. Each glass coverslip was submerged in cold 4% PFA for 10 minutes at RT. Samples were rinsed 3 times with cold 1X PBS, then submerged in cold 0.2% Triton-X-100 for 15 minutes at room temperature. Samples were rinsed 3 times with 1X PBS to remove excess detergent. A blocking solution of heat-denatured BSA (0.1% w/v) was applied for two hours at room temperature. Primary antibodies (Appendix II: Table A. Primary Antibodies Used) were diluted in 1X PBS. Coverslips were placed in a humidified container overnight at 4ºC. The following morning, the coverslips were incubated in corresponding Alexa Fluor-488, -546, or -633 secondary antibodies (Life Technologies™) for 1 hour at room temperature. Samples were washed 3 times in 1X PBS for 5 minutes between antibody incubations. Fluoromount-G® (Southern Biotech) was used to mount coverslips onto slides. Epifluorescence images were taken on a Zeiss Axio Observer.A2 using Zen 2012 software. Confocal images were taken on a Zeiss LSM700 using Zen 2009 software. Images were exported and further processed using NIH ImageJ software.

**Cell Proliferation Assay**

The cell proliferation was quantified using Click-iT® EdU Alexa Fluor®-488 or -647 Imaging Kit (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, one hour prior to cell fixation, culturing media was exchanged with media containing 10µM EdU. After cell fixation and membrane permeabilization (see Immunocytochemistry), coverslips were rinsed twice in 0.1% heat-denatured BSA (w/v) and placed evenly on ParafilmM®. Due to surface tension and hydrophobicity of Parafilm, the Click-iT reaction volume was reduced to 200µL per coverslip. Coverslips were covered in foil and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature. Coverslips were washed in 1X PBS for 5 minutes before proceeding with blocking and primary antibody staining (see Immunocytochemistry). A macro was written to automate the quantification of EdU staining and total Hoescht33342 staining of an image.
**Transient Transfection of rat siRNA**

For all siRNA experiments, rat VSCs were seeded at ~60-70% confluency in CEE media in a 6-well plate. In a sterile hood, rat siMRTF-A (Dharmacon, L-081405-02-0005) was resuspended to 20µM in nuclease-free water, and stored at -20°C until use. siRNA and Lipofectamine 2000 were diluted separately in pre-warmed Opti-MEM I (Invitrogen) media for 5 minutes at room temperature. The diluted siRNA and Lipofectamine 200 were combined and mixed gently for 20 minutes at room temperature. During second incubation step, rat VSCs were rinsed two times with plain DMEM. Wells were filled with 1.5mL of plain DMEM and kept at 37°C. 500µL siRNA-Lipofectamine 2000 complexes were added drop-wise to each well. The final concentration of siRNA is 100nM in 2mL transfection volume. After 6 hours, the transfection media was removed and replaced with CEE. For qPCR, cells were allowed to recover 24 hours after transfection at 37°C before harvesting RNA. For immunoblot, cells were lysed in 1XSB+ either 48 or 72 hours post transfection. For seeding onto pAAm gels, after siRNA transfection cells were collected and seeded onto pAAm gels in high serum media.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Data is presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise stated. One-way ANOVA multiple comparisons was used to test significance of differences in mean nuclear area, circularity, and SMA+ cells on different matrix elasticities. Two-way ANOVA Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test was used to determine significance of siRNA or Blebbistatin-treated cells seeded on different matrix elasticities.

**2.3 Results**

Rat vascular stem cells (VSCs) isolated from the thoracic aorta were cultured in VSC maintenance media (CEE) using the standardized timeline shown in Figure 2.1a. After VSC isolation (Figure 2.1b), a subset of cells were fixed and stained to confirm VSC marker expression of Sox10, Sox17, p75 (Figure 2.1c) and diffuse to no expression of differentiated SMC markers, SMA, CNN1, and MYH11 (Figure 2.1d).

Uniform polyacrylamide hydrogels (pAAm gels) were fabricated across glass surfaces through capillary action, as previously reported (Figure 2.2).15,16 Rat VSCs were allowed to settle on pAAm gels for up to 120 minutes before filling sterile secondary containers with culturing media (Figure 2.3a,b). We determined an optimal seeding density to recover enough cells per samples at sub-confluency. We confirmed preliminary microarray results that show down-regulation of SMC markers on rat VSCs cultured on soft (~1kPa) pAAm gels compared to glass controls (Table 2.2). We show that F-actin staining (Figure 2.3c), and average nuclear area (Figure 2.3d) decrease as matrix elasticity decreases. Other components of nuclear shape, like circularity and aspect ratio (Figure 2.4) do not statistically differ across samples. We also pulsed samples with EdU prior to cell fixation and found proliferation rates to be similar across all samples (Figure 2.3f).

Since we observed significant decreases in nuclear area on the softest (1kPa) versus stiffest (glass) pAAm gels, we wanted to determine whether gene expression is regulated due to global
chromatin remodeling. We expected to see increases in epigenetic markers that correspond to sites of active transcription as matrix elasticity decreased. Therefore, we chose to focus on di- and tri-methylation of Lysine 4 on Histone 3, acetylation of Lysine 9 on Histone 3, and acetylation of Lysine 5 on Histone 4. Using conventional lysing conditions, cells were harvested in cold 1X RIPA buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors. Results from these samples were inconsistent, where significant changes observed fluctuated with efficient recovery of total Histone proteins.

Therefore, we modified our protocol for whole cell lysis for western blot analysis (see Methods). In brief, rat VSCs were lysed in 1X Sample Buffer with 5% βME. Equal volumes of each sample were loaded into wells and common loading controls (GAPDH and β-tubulin, nuclear Lamin B1, and/or total Histone 3) were stained and relative protein density measured. If necessary, subsequent immunoblot well volumes were adjusted to ensure equal protein loading (Figure 2.4 a). With full recovery of total Histone 3 and 4 proteins, no global differences were observed in rat VSCs in response to changes in matrix elasticity (Figure 2.6b). Parallel experiments were fixed and fluorescent staining for tri-methyl Lys4 or acetyl Lys9 on Histone 3 tail modifications confirm no significant changes in these markers (Table 2.4).

Next, we examined whether matrix elasticity affected expression of SMC contractile protein marker in rat VSCs (Figure 2.5a). We see that as matrix elasticity decreased, rat VSCs expression of SMC contractile proteins, SMA and CNN1, also decrease (Figures 2.5b). In Figure 2.6, representative images show MRTF-A and SMA staining of actin fibers in higher matrix elasticity samples (yellow arrowheads, glass). On soft (~1kPa) pAAm gels, MRTF-A has diffuse and/or cytoplasmic staining (white arrows). Since antibody host species for CNN1 and transcription factors are shared, we could not quantify co-staining in CNN1+ cells. Samples stained for SMA and CNN1 show double-positive staining (S.Figure 2.3).

To determine whether MRTF-A regulates SMA+ expression in rat VSCs on pAAm gels, we transfected cells with siRNA against MRTF-A, a scrambled sequence, or water control. MRTF-A gene expression significantly decreases compared to scrambled sequence (Figure 2.7a, left) and water control (data not shown). YAP, another known mechanical signaling protein, is not affected in MRTF-A knockdown samples (Figure 2.7a, right). MRTF-A knockdown also significantly decreases SMC genes, CNN1, SMA, and MYH11 (Figure 2.7b). We also confirmed MRTF-A siRNA knockdown downregulates MRTF-A and SMA expression by immunoblot (Figure 2.7c).

For our knockdown experiments, we scored MRTF-A localization and fraction of SMA+ cells on pAAm gels compared to glass controls. In Figure 2.8a, the average fraction of SMA+ cells decreases in MRTF-A knockdown samples, with the most pronounced affect on rat VSCs on 1kPa (blue bars). Average nuclear area (Figure 2.8b), circularity (S.Figure 2.5a), and aspect ratio (S.Figure 2.5b) are not affected by siRNA treatment on any pAAm gels. Scrambled siRNA controls show MRTF-A localization is similar to untreated controls (S.Figure 2.4a and Figure 2.6, bottom row). MRTF-A knockdown also shows an increase in cytoplasmic and/or diffuse staining (S.Figure 2.4b, bottom row) across all pAAm gels.
Lastly, we treated rat VSCs with Blebbistatin, a non-motile myosin chemical inhibitor. It is commonly used to disrupt the upstream cytoskeletal relay of matrix elasticity and gene expression. Blebbistatin-treatment (black bars) dampens SMA expression in rat VSCs seeded on stiff (glass) pAAm gels (Figure 2.9a, left). Mean proliferation rate (Figure 2.9b), nuclear area (Figure 2.9c), circularity (Figure 2.9d), and aspect ratio (data not shown) are not affected by drug treatment or matrix elasticity. Figure 2.9e-h are representative images of DMSO- and Blebbistatin-treated rat VSCs on glass or 1kPa pAAm gels. Rat VSCs stained for SMA (green) and MRTF-A (red) show changes in overall cell morphology (spindle-like structures) and pancellular MRTF-A staining (Figure 2.9f,h bottom row).

2.4 Discussion and Conclusions

Preliminary DNA microarray results show matrix elasticity dependent expression of smooth muscle cell markers in rat VSCs cultured on polyacrylamide hydrogels (pAAm). Here we confirmed that as matrix elasticity decreases, expression of SMA, an early SMC marker, is downregulated. Consistent with other studies, we show that matrix elasticity affects nuclear area and cell spreading.

Interestingly, while overall nuclear area and cell spreading are affected by matrix elasticity, average cell proliferation rates are not reduced. These results are in contrast to other groups who report softer matrix elasticity suppresses cell proliferation. Initially, I thought that the high serum concentration (10%) may mask any small fluctuations in cell proliferation rates on soft versus stiff pAAm gels. When we culture rat VSCs in low serum concentrations (1%), proliferation rates drop by about 50% (S.Figure 2.2). However, we do not see significant differences in overall rates across pAAm gels.

In work published by our group, changes in nuclear shape led to global chromatin remodeling and enhanced cell reprogramming. In our study, we wanted to determine whether global chromatin remodeling was the reason for changes in contractile protein expression. We used two separate approaches to observe these changes, immunoblot and fluorescence staining. When we stained for Histone 3 tail modification of fixed rat VSCs on pAAm gels, we found no differences in total fluorescence across all samples. After modifying our cell lysis protocols, we confirmed approximately equal Histone tail modifications exist.

Previously, we characterized how in the presence of high serum, VSCs spontaneous differentiate to smooth muscle cells. We can identify these cells through upregulation of SMC markers, like CNN1, SMA, and MYH11. In healthy vessel wall repair, VSCs proliferate and then commit to SMC end fates. By contrast, disease blood vessels have varying elastic properties, and the medial layer is disrupted due to heterogeneous regions of accumulated fat, immune cells, and other secreted matrix proteins. We hypothesize that VSCs differentiate to other cell fates in response to the differences in matrix elasticity. We confirmed that expression of SMC markers, like CNN1, SMA, and MYH11, are down-regulated in rat VSC seeded on soft (1kPa) pAAm gels.

Previous studies show MRTF-A is a strong coactivator of the SRF pathway in regulating contractile and cytoskeletal protein expression. Targeted knockdown of MRTF-A in rat VSCs
shows significant decreases in SMC gene and protein expression. Consistent with other findings, we are able to show MRTF-A depletion downregulates SMA and CNN1 expression.

The interplay between MRTF-A and other transcription factors, like YAP, has been shown specifically in response to different mechanical signals.\textsuperscript{13,22} In response to cyclic stretching, MRTF-A depletion also affects YAP expression in mouse embryonic fibroblasts. In our work, we did not see YAP gene or protein expression depleted by MRTF-A knockdown.

In response to matrix elasticity, MRTF-A localization and activity is sequestered in the cytoplasm due to changes in G-actin concentration (Figure 2.10). On average, there is a 50% reduction of SMA$^+$ cells when rat VSCs are seeded on soft (1kPa) compared to stiff (glass). These cells also show increased MRTF-A localization in the cytoplasm.

Targeted knockdown of MRTF-A has a more potent effect in decreasing overall SMA$^+$ cells. These values decrease to 10-15% of the scrambled control on glass. This likely represents the cells already committed to SMC protein expression. There may be alternative signaling factors that may direct SMC lineage commitment in the absence of MRTF-A. In untreated samples, MRTF-A nuclear localization increases as matrix elasticity increases. In contrast, MRTF-A knockdown causes an increased fraction of diffuse and weak staining in the cytoplasm.

Typical chemical inhibitors of cells on pAAm gels affect the cytoskeletal relay of the matrix elasticity to biochemical outcomes. Only in glass controls does Blebbistatin-treatment reduce the total number of SMA$^+$ cells, while it does not affect cell proliferation, nuclear shape, and area. Unlike targeted knockdown, Blebbistatin-treated cells do not affect MRTF-A nuclear localization.

In our simplified signaling pathway, matrix elasticity is a biophysical factor that regulates SMA and contractile protein expression in rat VSCs through MRTF-A localization (Figure 2.10). Here we propose that stiff surfaces (e.g., glass or 40 kPa) cause cells to spread, which requires more stable F-actin fibers, and decreases the pool of G-actin. MRTF-A is then released from G-actin, and can be imported into the nucleus. MRTF-A, with its co-activator SRF, begins transcription of target genes, like SMA. By contrast, on soft surfaces (e.g., 1 kPa), cells spreading and F-actin fibers decrease, and greater amounts of G-actin are available. This increase in G-actin binds and sequesters MRTF-A to the cytoplasm, where it is inactive. Since MRTF-A is required to transcribe SRF genes, downstream gene expression is decreased.
Table 2.1 Formulation of Polyacrylamide (pAAm) Hydrogels.\textsuperscript{15,16}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pAAm gel (kPa):</th>
<th>Glass (&gt;10^6)</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acrylamide (% final)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis (% final)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2 Preliminary DNA microarray data show differential gene expression of smooth muscle cell and chondrogenic markers for Rat VSCs seeded on 1kPa and Glass matrix elasticity. Undifferentiated Rat VSCs were grown on pAAm gels of varying matrix elasticity (1 kPa, Glass) for 3 days in high serum media (10% FBS). There is down-regulation of smooth muscle cell markers (CNN1, SMA, MYH11) on soft (1kPa) surfaces compared to glass controls (>10^6 kPa). Conversely, there is up-regulation of a chondrocyte marker on soft surfaces compared to glass controls. VSC markers, Sox10 and Sox17, did not change on soft surfaces compared to glass controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Cell Marker</th>
<th>\frac{1 \text{kPa}}{\text{Glass}} (log_2)</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calponin 1 (CNN1)</td>
<td>SMC marker</td>
<td>-4.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth Muscle Myosin Heavy Chain (MYH11)</td>
<td>SMC marker</td>
<td>-2.34</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth muscle α-actin (SMA)</td>
<td>SMC marker</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggrecan (ACAN)</td>
<td>Chondrogenic marker</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX10</td>
<td>VSC marker</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX17</td>
<td>VSC marker</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3 No significant differences in corrected total cell fluorescence of Histone 3 tail modifications of rat VSCs on pAAm gels confirms immunoblot results. Rat VSCs were cultured on pAAm gels for 2 days in high serum media. A nuclear area mask was generated from the DAPI channel of each image. Then, the corrected total cell fluorescence was calculated based on average pixel intensity minus background pixel intensity. Values represent the mean corrected total cell fluorescence ± SD for at least 2 experiments. Total cells counted for Histone 3 acetyl Lys9 are 1941 (glass), 1938 (15kPa), 1549 (8kPa), and 2173 (1kPa). Total cells counted for Histone 3 tri-methyl Lys4 are 5972 (glass), 5410 (15kPa), 5044 (8kPa), 7044 (1kPa).
Figure 2.1 Rat vascular stem cells isolated in vitro using tissue explant culturing method. A, Timeline for rat thoracic aorta tissue explant method. B, Brightfield image of emerging rat vascular stem cells (VSCs) at day 4. C, Rat VSCs after passage 1 fixed and stained for nuclei (Hoechst33342, Hoechst) and VSC markers (Sox10, Sox17, p75) or D, SMC markers (CNN1, SMA, MYH11). Color labels above images correspond to fluorescent probe wavelength. Scale bar represents 50 microns.
Figure 2.2 Schematic of pAAm hydrogel fabrication. A, pAAm hydrogel solutions are placed onto O₂-plasma and Silane-treated glass coverslips. Gel Slick-coated glass coverslips create a uniform pAAm hydrogel surface through capillary action. B, pAAm gels polymerize for 15 minutes before the Gel Slick-coated coverslips are removed. pAAm gels were stored in 200mM HEPES for up to 3 weeks at 4°C prior to use. C, Collagen-I is crosslinked to pAAm gels to allow cell adhesion.
Figure 2.3 Rat VSC nuclear area and cell spreading but not cell proliferation rates decrease as matrix elasticity decreases. A, Experiment timeline. B, Representative Phase contrast images of cells at day 0. C, Nuclei (Hoechst) and F-actin (green) staining at day 2. Quantification of rat VSC D, nuclear area, E, nuclear circularity, and F, proliferation rate on pAAm gels. For D-F, data are presented as mean ± SD of at least 3 separate experiments. Total cells counted are 950 (glass), 1085 (40kPa), 1094 (8kPa), and 1277 (1kPa). Matrix elasticity values are given in kPa or glass control. Scale bar represents 50 microns. * p = 0.0423
Figure 2.4 No global epigenetic changes observed in rat VSCs cultured on different pAAm gels. A, Representative immunoblot of rat VSCs cultured on pAAm gels lysed in 1X sample buffer + 5% βME. Equal volumes of lysate were loaded into each well. B, Compiled quantification of Histone 3 acetyl Lys9, di-methyl Lys4, tri-methyl Lys4, or Histone 4 acetyl Lys5. Data are mean density ± SD, for at least 3 experiments. Density normalized to mean loading control densities, then to glass control (See Methods). Data do not significantly differ between samples for all antibodies tested. Matrix elasticity shown are glass (G, blue), 15kPa (green), 8kPa (purple) and 1kPa (cyan).

Figure 2.5. As matrix elasticity decreases, SMA protein expression, an early SMC marker, significantly decreases in rat VSCs. A, Immunoblot of rat VSCs whole cell lysis in 1XSB+ and probed for early SMC markers (SMA, CNN1) and loading controls (GAPDH, Histone 3). B, CNN1 and SMA protein expression cultured on glass (blue), 15kPa (green), 8kPa (purple), and 1kPa (cyan) pAAm gels. Data is mean density ± SD, for 2 experiments. Data is normalized to mean of loading controls, then normalized to glass. Matrix elasticity values are given in kPa or glass control, G.
Figure 2.6 MRTF-A nuclear localization decreases as matrix elasticity decreases in rat VSCs cultured in high serum. Representative images of rat VSC stained for nuclei (Hoescht), SMA, and MRTF-A. Cell scoring for SMA\(^+\) staining with actin fibers is illustrated in glass and 40 kPa samples. Yellow arrowheads point out cells with strong nuclear MRTF-A staining (glass). White arrows highlight cells with diffuse and/or cytoplasmic staining (1kPa). Scale bar represents 50 microns.
Figure 2.7 MRTF-A knockdown decreases SMC marker gene and protein expression in rat VSCs. *A*, qPCR results confirm MRTF-A mRNA knockdown (left panel, blue) does not affect YAP gene expression (right panel, blue). *B*, Only MRTF-A siRNA treated samples (blue) show down-regulation of SMC markers, CNN1, SMA, and MYH11 compared to scrambled controls (white). *C*, MRTF-A knockdown also affects SMA protein expression 48 hours after siRNA transfection. For A-B, data ± SD were normalized to 18S rRNA, then to siRNA scrambled control for at least 2 experiments. n.s. = not significant * p = 0.03 # p = 0.002
Figure 2.8 MRTF-A knockdown significantly decreases SMA⁺ cells compared to scrambled control. A, Average fraction of SMA⁺ cells normalized to glass control in scrambled control (left) or MRTF-A siRNA (right) treated cells. B, Mean nuclear area in scrambled or MRTF-A siRNA treated cells. Total cells scored for scrambled control are 340 (glass), 229 (40kPa), 214 (8kPa), and 194 (1kPa). Total cells scored for MRTF-A siRNA are 336 (glass), 206 (40kPa), 216 (8kPa), and 205 (1kPa). Scale bar represents 50 microns. Two-way ANOVA multiple comparison analysis were compared to scrambled glass control # p = 0.003 ^ p = 0.0002

Figure 2.9 Blebbistatin-treated rat VSCs significantly decreases SMA expression in rat VSCs cultured on glass and 1kPa pAAm gels compared to DMSO-treated glass controls. A, Relative fraction of SMA⁺ cells scored in DMSO (white) or 10µM Blebbistatin (black) on Glass (left) and 1kPa (right) pAAm gels. B, Mean cell proliferation, C, nuclear area and D, nuclear circularity are not significantly affected in Blebbistatin-treated cells compared to DMSO controls. E-H, Representative images of drug-treated rat VSCs on glass and 1kPa pAAm stained for nuclei (Hoechst), SMA (green), and MRTF-A (bottom row). Rat VSCs on glass control pAAm gels cultured in DMSO, E, or 10µM Blebbistatin, F. Rat VSCs on 1kPa pAAm gels cultured in DMSO, G, or 10µM Blebbistatin, H. Data represent mean values ± SD for at least 2 experiments.
For A-D, total cells counted in Blebbistatin-treated samples are 521 (glass) and 535 (1kPa). Scale bar represents 50 microns. \( ^p = 0.004 \)

![Figure 2.10 Model of how matrix elasticity regulates MRTF-A localization via actin binding and results in decreased SMA gene expression.](image)

MRTF-A activity is regulated by its localization within a cell. In high serum media, serum response factor (SRF) is present. On soft surfaces with low matrix elasticity, cell spreading and F-actin fibers decrease. This increase in G-actin can bind to MRTF-A, which sequesters MRTF-A in the cytoplasm. As matrix elasticity increases, F-actin is formed from G-actin. MRTF-A is released from G-actin, and is imported into the nucleus. SRF and MRTF-A are co-activators whose downstream gene targets include SMA, and other contractile proteins. The shift in MRTF-A localization to the cytoplasm prevents gene transcription and results in lower total SMA\(^+\) cells.

Supplemental Figure 2.1 Rat VSCs cultured in high serum on various pAAm gels confirm DNA microarray trends. qPCR results of A, adipogenic cell markers, PPAR\(\gamma\) and LPL, or chondrogenic cell markers, C, ACAN and SOX9, and D, COL2A1. Data are mean expression ± SD, for 2 experiments. Data are normalized to 18S rRNA, then to glass control. Matrix elasticity represented are glass (blue), 15 kPa (green), 8 kPa (purple), and 1 kPa (cyan).
Supplemental Figure 2.2 Rat VSCs average nuclear area, circularity and proliferation rates in low serum media. Quantification of rat VSC A, nuclear area, B, nuclear circularity, and C, proliferation rate on pAAm gels. Data represent mean ± SD, for at least 3 experiments. For A-B, total number of cells counted is 3298 (glass), 2096 (40kPa), 3258 (8kPa), and 3165 (1kPa). For C, total number of cells counted is 1497 (glass), 1731 (40kPa), 1838 (8kPa), and 1615 (1kPa).

Supplemental Figure 2.3 SMA and CNN1-positive rat VSCs cultured in high serum on pAAm gels. Images of SMA and CNN1-positive staining of rat VSCs on glass, 40 kPa, and 1 kPa polyacrylamide hydrogels. Scale bar represent 50µm. Asterisk shows double-positive staining of actin fibers.
Supplemental Figure 2.4 MRTF-A knockdown show diffuse cytoplasmic staining on all pAAm gels. A, Scrambled and B, MRTF-A siRNA transfected rat VSCs stained for nuclei (Hoechst), SMA (red), and MRTF-A (bottom row). Scale bar represents 50 microns.

Supplemental Figure 2.5 No significant changes in nuclear circularity or aspect ratio in siRNA-treated samples. A, Average nuclear circularity and B, nuclear aspect ratio of scrambled (white) or siMRTF-A (blue) cells. Data represent mean ± SD for Figure 2.9.
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Chapter 3: Role of Sox Family Proteins in Vascular Stem Cell Maintenance

In this chapter, experiment design, data collection and analysis was performed by myself, in collaboration with Julia Chu and Song Li.

3.1 Introduction

Members of the Sox family of transcription factors have been shown to be critical for embryonic development, sex differentiation, stem cell maintenance, and differentiation (Chapter 1).

In this chapter, we used several knockdown strategies to determine whether Sox protein expression affects the differentiation or maintenance of rat vascular stem cells (VSCs). In our first report and characterization of rat VSCs, long-term cultures in high serum media (>4 weeks in 10%FBS) results in loss of Sox10 and Sox17 expression and up-regulation of known smooth muscle cell markers, CNN1, SMA, and MYH11.1 Directed differentiation to smooth muscle cells in serum-free media with TGFβ1 also produces similar results in rat VSCs.

We hypothesized that the high expression of Sox family members in early passage VSCs may have a similar role in either preventing VSC differentiation or VSC maintenance. To determine whether knockdown affects stem cell maintenance, we characterized the effects on VSC proliferation, smooth muscle cell gene expression, cell migration, and directed differentiation.

3.2 Materials and Methods

Isolation of Rat Vascular Stem Cells and Cell Culture
See Chapter 2 Methods.

Isolation of Mouse Vascular Stem Cells and Cell Culture
For mouse vascular stem cell isolation, culturing timeline follows Chapter 2 methods, except for a Collagenase type II digestion for 20 minutes at 37°C. After digestion, VSC maintenance media and tissue were centrifuged at 1000rpm for 4 minutes at RT. Tissue explants were cut similar to rat tissue and equally distributed across 6cm tissue culture plates pre-treated with CellSTART. Mouse VSC culturing was similar to rat VSC in Chapter 2 Methods.

Immunoblot Analysis
See Chapter 2 Methods.

Fluorescent Immunocytochemistry Staining of Cells on Coverslips
See Chapter 2 Methods. For all images using the ImageXpress Micro (IXM, Molecular Devices) system, software settings were designed to take multiple images equally distributed across each sample using the same exposure and gain. To quantify cell proliferation by EdU⁺-staining, the MetaXpress software (Molecular Devices) first scores all cell nuclei through Hoescht33342 staining. Positive cells are when immunofluorescent staining thresholds overlap between the assigned channels (e.g., Hoescht33342 and EdU-AF488).

Isolation of RNA and Quantitative Reverse-Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR)
See Chapter 2 Methods.

**Cell Proliferation Assay**
See Chapter 2 Methods.

**Transient Transfection of rat siRNA**
See Chapter 2 Methods.

Rat siRNA Sox10 (Dharmacon, L-090803-02-0005; Ambion, s131239) and rat siRNA Sox17 (Dharmacon, L-090803-02-0005; Ambion s162077). Mouse siRNA Lamin A/C (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. sc-29385), mouse Sox10 (Dharmacon, L-049957-01-0005), and mouse Sox17 (Dharmacon, L-059106-01-0005). Rat VSCs were allowed to recover in VSC maintenance media post transfection.

**Rat shRNA Plasmid Purification and G418 Selection**
Each rat SureSilencing shRNA Sox10 (SA Biosciences, KR45102N) and Sox17 (SA Biosciences, KR43961N) stock plasmids were amplified in DH5α competent cells. From single clones, DNA plasmids were purified using the NucleoBond® Xtra Maxi Plasmid DNA Purification kit (Clontech) following manufacturer’s instructions. The DNA pellet was resuspended in nuclease-free water. DNA concentration and purity was measured on a NanoDrop™ (Thermo Scientific). *PstI* restriction digests also confirmed the shRNA insert and antibiotic resistance (S.Fig.3.2).

DNA plasmids were transfected with Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen) for 6 hours, then changed to VSC maintenance media. After 6 days in culture, cells were grown in selection media (VSC maintenance media supplemented with 200µg/mL G418) for up to 7 days before experimental use.

G418 selection was determined by seeding 1x10⁴ rat VSCs per mL in duplicate wells of a 24-well plate. The following day, VSC maintenance media was supplemented with 0, 100, 200, 400, or 800 µg/mL G418. Media was exchanged every other day for up to 1 week. Cells were fixed and stained in 0.5% crystal violet (50mg crystal violet powder in 25% methanol) for 20 minutes at RT. Wells were washed 3 times in distilled water and allowed to air dry at RT. On a shaking platform, 500µL of 10% acetic acid was added to each well for 20 minutes at RT. Absorbance at 595nm was measured to determine optimal antibiotic selection based on cell survival. (S.Fig.3.3)

**GFP-tagged mouse Sox10 DNA Plasmid Purification**
FLAG-tagged mouse Sox10 cDNA was a generous gift from Dr. Yick Fong (R. Tjian lab). The cDNA was shuffled to the pEGFP-N1 plasmid (Karl Banta, A. Winoto lab) using the *EcoRI, BamHI* restriction enzyme sites. A double restriction enzyme digest confirmed the insert was ligated into the pEGFP-N1 vector (S.Fig.3.8). The sequence was verified using the UCB Sequencing Facility.

**Directed Differentiation Assay**
For adipogenic differentiation, DMEM with 10% FBS, 1% P/S, 500µM 3-isobutylmethylxanthine, 1µM Dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich), and 10µg/mL Insulin
(Invitrogen) was exchanged every 3 days for at least 2 weeks. Lipid accumulation was confirmed through Oil Red O staining. In brief, rat VSCs were washed and fixed (see Immunocytochemistry). Cells were rinsed in 60% isopropanol, then stained in freshly prepared Oil Red O working solution for 15 minutes at RT. Mix 6mL 0.05% Oil Red O in 4mL distilled water for 10 minutes at RT, then pass solution through a 0.22μm filter before use. Rinse samples in 60% isopropanol once, then rinsed with distilled water until excess dye particles are removed (2-3X). Keep samples in distilled water and image immediately.

For osteogenic differentiation, DMEM with 10% FBS, 1% P/S, 10mM β-glycerophosphate, 0.1μM Dexamethasone, and 200μM Ascorbic Acid (Sigma-Aldrich), was exchanged every 3 days for at least 3 weeks. Calcium deposits were stained using Alizarin Red S stain. In brief, rat VSCs were washed and fixed (see Chapter 2 Methods). 2% Alizarin Red S, pH 4.1 was stained for up to 5 minutes at RT. Excess dye was blot dry. For TC plates, scan and image immediately. For cells seeded on pAAm gels, were dehydrated in 100% acetone, then in 1:1 acetone-xylene, and cleared in Xylene. A synthetic mounting media (Permount) was used to preserve staining.

For smooth muscle cell differentiation, DMEM, 1% P/S, and 20ng/mL TGF-β1 was exchanged every other day for up to 2 weeks. For spontaneous smooth muscle cell differentiation, DMEM, 10% FBS, and 1%P/S was exchanged every other day for up to 2 weeks. See Immunocytochemistry for cell fixation and staining.

Statistical Analysis
See Chapter 2 Methods.

3.3 Results

Preliminary experiments with Sox10 and Sox17 siRNA did not show knockdown of gene expression 24 hours post transfection (Fig. 3.1a, S.Fig. 3.1). After 3 days post-transfection, Sox10 siRNA-treated rat VSCs does show ~50% knockdown of gene expression (Fig. 3.1c, left green bar) without affecting Sox17 expression (Fig. 3.1c, right green bar). In these samples, SMA and MYH11 expression does increase, but not significantly (Fig.3.1d, middle and right green bars). However, when we fixed siRNA-transfected cells, there is no loss of Sox10 nuclear localization compared to scrambled controls (Fig.3.2a-b). We also do not see significant decreases in proliferation rates of Sox10 siRNA-treated rat VSCs.

We used commercially available shRNA plasmids against rat Sox10 or Sox17 for long-term stable knockdown in rat VSCs (S.Fig.3.2). We established an optimal antibiotic selection concentration (S.Fig.3.3). Rat VSCs were allowed to recover for 6 days post-shRNA plasmid transfection, and then selection media was exchanged for up to 7 days before cells were used. Initial experimental results show shSox10#3 had >50% knockdown of Sox10 without affecting Sox17 gene expression (S.Fig.3.4a). None of the shSox17 plasmids showed robust knockdown of Sox17 (S.Fig.3.4b). We proceeded with shSox10#3 in our shRNA experiments. Repeated experiments using stable rat shSox10#3 cell lines do not exhibit significant changes in Sox10 gene expression, SMC marker expression, or proliferation rates (Fig.3.3). Similar to siRNA results, rat VSC shSox10 cell lines show robust Sox10 nuclear staining (Fig.3.4c), while Sox17 expression appears diffuse and/or cytoplasmic (Fig.3.4f).
Spontaneous differentiation of stable rat VSC shSox10 cell lines (Fig.3.5f) in high serum media does not show increased SMA expression compared to parent (Fig.3.5d) or scrambled shRNA cell lines (Fig.3.5e). We produced similar results when 20ng/mL TGF-β1 was supplemented in basal media (Fig.3.6).

When shSox10#3 rat VSCs cell lines were passaged, Sox10 gene expression is recovered (S.Fig.3.5a, green bars). Directed differentiation of shSox10#3 cell lines to smooth muscle, adipogenic, and osteogenic cell fates did not show significant differences compared to parental or scrambled shRNA cell lines (S.Fig.3.6).

In overexpression experiments, we found that GFP-tagged Sox10 could be expressed in rat VSCs and localizes to the nucleus (Fig.3.7b). However, after 7 days in culture, we were unable to maintain or increase total GFP-positive cells in culture (Fig.3.7d,e). We concluded that the overexpression of Sox10-GFP was toxic to cells.

### 3.4 Discussion and Future Directions

Isolation and characterization of vascular stem cells show high expression of Sox family members. Our working hypothesis was that loss of either protein affects the multipotency of rat VSCs, and that culturing in high serum media would increase expression of SMC markers, like SMA and CNN1. We tried a number of approaches to knockdown either Sox10 or Sox17 expression in rat VSCs. First, we transfected rat VSCs with Sox10 and/or Sox17 siRNA for short-term studies. Instead, data were inconclusive due to insufficient knockdown of Sox proteins and recovery within 5 days. We also tried to use siRNA to knockdown expression of Sox proteins in mouse VSCs (S.Fig.3.7) and got similar inconclusive results. In contrast, mouse Lamin A/C siRNA-treated VSCs did show gene knockdown for up to three days in culture (data not shown).

Our next approach was to generate stable cell lines expressing shRNA against Sox10 or Sox17. We used commercially available shRNA plasmids to generate these long-term knockdown cell lines. Our initial results determined shSox10#3 knocked down Sox10 mRNA without affecting Sox17 expression levels. However, even after antibiotic selection, we still see the remaining cells in culture stain positively for Sox10.

Using early passage shSox10 cell lines, we expected to see an increase in SMC marker expression, especially in high serum media. Although Sox10 expression is greatly reduced in shSox10 cell lines compared to scrambled control, this reduction is comparable to expression lost in parental cell lines (S.Fig.3d-f). In directed differentiation to adipogenic, osteogenic, and smooth muscle cell fates, shSox10 cell lines also did not significantly increase compared to controls.

Sox10 is known to play a key role in cell migration and metastasis of melanoma cells.\(^2\) We did not see significant differences in transwell migration in rat VSCs with targeted knockdown of Sox10 (data not shown).
I transfected a GFP-tagged Sox10 to determine whether overexpression of Sox10 affects rat VSC maintenance. GFP-tagged Sox10 shows strong nuclear localization compared to ubiquitous GFP expression of the empty vector control. However, we saw dramatic decreases in total GFP-positive cells after 7 days in culture. Since we were unable to maintain these rat VSCs, we concluded that overexpression of GFP-tagged Sox10 is toxic to rat VSCs.

Taken together, these data suggest that targeted knockdown of Sox proteins in early passage rat VSCs recover expression of Sox10 and Sox17. As previously stated (see Chapter One), there is no exclusive marker of SMCs to coincide with specific differentiation state(s). In our work, we were able to use Sox10 and Sox17 as early rat and human VSC markers. While our current isolation protocol for rat VSCs is still a heterogeneous pool of cells, other groups have since used Sox10\(^+\) staining to isolate and characterize migrating cell populations from tissue explant and enzyme digestion cultures.\(^3\) Some commercially available rat smooth muscle have also shown Sox10\(^+\) nuclear staining.\(^4\)

Curiously, when I used in situ hybridization (ISH) in uninjured blood vessels, I could not detect Sox expression within the medial or adventitial layers of the vessel wall (data not shown). In order to address which cells directly contribute to cardiovascular disease, it is necessary to identify and characterize the vascular stem cell niche.

G.K. Owens and colleagues, sought to identify “de-differentiated” SMCs in single cells and tissue samples, even as antibodies do not detect SMC proteins like MYH11.\(^5\) Their report combined ISH of a biotin-labeled DNA probe to target the Myh11 promoter with Histone 3K4me2 antibody staining using a proximal ligation assay (PLA).

While tissue sections stain weakly against MYH11, they show that ~66% of cells were Myh11 H3K4me2 PLA\(^+\) efficiency being similar to that of other ISH methods for tissue samples. Based on the identification of potential epigenetic signatures, a similar method would be useful to know what fraction, if any, of Myh11 H3K4me2 PLA\(^+\) cells are also Sox10 and/or Sox17 positive. Curiously, in their images of single SMC staining, not all SMA\(^+\) cells are PLA\(^+\).

A similar lineage-tracing method developed in our lab combines in situ PCR and Histone3K4me2 antibody staining (Danny Huang, unpublished data). Early results show that VSCs isolated from tissue explant culture are negative for Myh11 labeling. Consistent with antibody staining, these VSCs are positive for Sox10 labeling. Clarification of separate populations of cells within the healthy and damaged vessel wall, including VSCs and/or de-differentiated SMCs will help broaden development of new therapeutic approaches to cardiovascular disease.
Figure 3.1 Three days after targeted knockdown of Sox10 in rat VSCs does not significantly increase expression of SMC markers. One day post-transfection, Sox10 siRNA (green bars) do not show changes in A, Sox10 (left) or Sox17 (right) gene expression compared to scrambled siRNA controls (white bars). B, SMC markers, CNN1 (left), SMA (middle), MYH11 (right) also do not show significant increase in gene expression. C, Three days post-transfection, Sox10 siRNA (green, left) show decreased expression of Sox10, but not Sox17 gene expression compared to controls. D, Only MYH11 shows increased expression in rat VSCs with decreased Sox10 expression compared to scrambled control. Data ± SD were normalized to 18S rRNA, then to siRNA scrambled control for at least 3 experiments.

Figure 3.2 Targeted Sox10 knockdown in rat VSCs does not significantly decrease Sox10 protein expression, SMA+ staining, or proliferation rates compared to scrambled control. Representative images of rat VSCs transfected with A, scrambled or B, Sox10 siRNA recovered in VSC maintenance media for three days. C, Mean proliferation rate does not significantly decrease in Sox10 siRNA-treated rat VSCs compared to scrambled controls. Data are mean ± SD.
from multiple images taken per well, using the automated IXM workstation at the Shared Stem Cell Facility. Total cells counted are 48,998 (scrambled) and 40,928 (Sox10 siRNA).

Figure 3.3 Stable rat Sox10 shRNA cell lines does not significantly decrease Sox10 gene expression or proliferation rates. A, shSox10#3 cell lines do not show consistent loss of Sox10 expression over time (left, green) compared to scrambled control (white). B, SMC markers, CNN1 (left), SMA (middle), MYH11 (right) also do not show significant increase in gene expression. C, Proliferation rates of shSox10#3 cell lines compared to scrambled controls. For A-B, data ± SD were normalized to 18S rRNA, then to siRNA scrambled control for at least 2 experiments. For C, data are mean ± SD from multiple images taken per well, using the automated IXM workstation at the Shared Stem Cell Facility. Total cells counted are 29,318 (scrambled) and 29,846 (Sox10 #3 shRNA).

Figure 3.4 Both rat Sox10 shRNA and scrambled control cell lines maintain Sox10 protein expression even after antibiotic selection. A-C, Sox10 protein expression in parent cell lines, scrambled shRNA, and shSox10#3, respectively. D-F, Sox17 protein expression is lost in cell lines transfected with shRNA plasmids. Scale bar represents 50 microns. N.C., negative control
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Figure 3.5 Spontaneous differentiation of stable rat Sox10 shRNA cell lines do not show significant decreases in Sox10 protein expression. Rat VSC cell lines were cultured in 10%FBS DMEM for up to 14 days. Sox10 (green) and SMA (red) protein expression at day 0, A-C, and day 14, D-F, in parent cell lines, scrambled shRNA, and shSox10#3, respectively. Scale bar represents 50 microns. N.C., negative control.
Figure 3.6 Directed differentiation with TGF-β does not significantly increase expression of SMC markers in stable rat Sox10 shRNA cell lines. Rat VSC cell lines were cultured in DMEM with TGF-β for up to 14 days. A-C, CNN1 (red) and nuclei (blue) staining in rat VSC parent cell lines, scrambled shRNA, and shSox10#3, respectively. D-F, SMA (red) and nuclei (blue). G-I, MYH11 (red) and nuclei (blue) staining. Scale bar represents 50 microns. N.C., negative control.
Figure 3.7. Overexpression of mouse Sox10-FLAG is lethal to rat VSCs. Rat VSCs transfected with empty GFP vector or mSox10-GFP show 40-50% transfection efficiency. Live imaging of rat VSCs 24 hours post-transfection of A, GFP empty vector or B, GFP-tagged Sox10. Live imaging of rat VSCs 7 days post-transfection of C, GFP, or D, GFP-tagged Sox10. E, GFP-
positive cells in either GFP (blue) or GFP-tagged Sox10 (red) at 1 day (left) or 7 days (right) post-transfection. Scale bar represents 100 microns.

Supplemental Figure 3.1 Preliminary experiments show pooled siRNA against Sox10 or Sox17 do not show robust knockdown in rat VSCs. Rat VSCs transfected with Sox10 (green) and Sox17 siRNA (purple) do not show significant decreases in gene expression. A, qPCR results show Sox10 siRNA does not affect Sox17 gene expression (purple) and no significant decrease in Sox10 (left) gene expression. B, Early SMC markers also show high variability in Sox10 siRNA (green) samples compared to scrambled control. For A-B, data ± SD were normalized to 18S rRNA, then to siRNA scrambled control for at least 2 experiments.

Supplemental Figure 3.2 PstI restriction enzyme digest confirm presence of ~1kB shRNA and Neomycin selection cassette. For each shRNA DNA plasmid, 1-2 colonies were picked and purified using NucleoBond MaxiPrep kit instructions (c#). PstI restriction enzyme digests were performed for 1 hour at 37°C, then run on 0.8% SYBRsafe-agarose gel. Images were taken using a digital developer with a UV filter (see Chapter 2 Methods), and then processed in Photoshop CC (Adobe). L, DNA Ladder, c, colony, W, water.
Supplemental Figure 3.3 G418 titration kill curve used to determine optimal antibiotic selection concentration of rat VSCs. A, Representative scan of crystal violet stained rat VSCs cultured in VSC maintenance media supplemented with G418. Numbers above well reflect final concentration of G418 in µg/mL. B, Average crystal violet stain absorbance measured to determine optimal antibiotic selection concentration to use.

Supplemental Figure 3.4 Preliminary results of Sox10 and Sox17 gene expression after shRNA plasmid transfection and G418 selection. Sox10 and Sox17 expression levels in rat VSCs transfected with SureSilencing shRNA DNA plasmids targeting A, Sox10 and B, Sox17. For shRNA experiments, shSox10#3 shows consistent knockdown of Sox10 (A, 3rd bar on left) without affects on Sox17 gene expression (A, 3rd bar on right). Stable rat Sox17 shRNA cell lines do not show significant knockdown of Sox17 gene expression (B, right). For A-B, data ± SD were normalized to 18S rRNA, then to shRNA scrambled control.
Supplemental Figure 3.5 Stable cell lines of shRNA Sox10 in rat VSCs do not show stable knockdown of Sox10 after 1 passage. A, Sox10 and B, Sox17 expression levels in rat VSCs transfected with scrambled shRNA (white bars) or shSox10#3 (green bars). While rat VSC shSox10#3 passage 9 show at least 50% reduction of Sox10 mRNA compared to scrambled control (A, left), an additional passage in cell culture shows Sox10 mRNA recovers (A, right). Sox17 mRNA shows the opposite pattern of Sox10; initially, shSox10#3 cell lines show Sox17 mRNA is about 1.4 times greater than scrambled controls (B, left), but then reduces to less than 25% as Sox10 expression levels increase (B, right).
Supplemental Figure 3.6 Directed differentiation of stable rat Sox10 shRNA cell lines does not significantly increase compared to controls. Rat VSC cell lines cultured in directed differentiation media for up to 14 days. Sox10 (green) and SMA (red) protein expression in rat VSC parent cell lines, scrambled shRNA, and shSox10#3, respectively, at day 2 (A-C) and day 12 (D-F). G-I, Alizarin Red S stain. J-L, Oil Red O stain. Scale bar represents 50 microns. N.C., negative control.
Supplemental Figure 3.7 Preliminary experiments with pooled siRNA against Sox10 or Sox17 also do not show significant knockdown in mouse VSCs. Mouse VSCs transfected with Sox10 (green) and Sox17 siRNA (purple) do not show significant decreases in gene expression after 3 days. A, qPCR results show Sox10 siRNA does not affect Sox17 gene expression (purple) and no significant decrease in Sox10 (left) gene expression. B, Early SMC markers also show high variability in Sox10 siRNA (green) samples compared to scrambled control. C, Proliferation rates for siRNA-treated mouse VSCs. For A-B, data ± SD were normalized to 18S rRNA, then to siRNA scrambled control for at least 3 experiments. For C, data are mean ± SD from multiple images taken per well, using the automated IXM workstation at the Shared Stem Cell Facility. Total cells counted are 3,307 (scrambled), 3,426 (Sox10 siRNA), and 3,343 (Sox17 siRNA).

Supplemental Figure 3.8 EcoRI, BamHI double digest confirms presence of ~1.4kB Sox10 cDNA in GFP-tagged Sox10 colonies. For each DNA plasmid, 3 colonies were picked and purified using NucleoBond MaxiPrep kit instructions (c#). EcoRI, BamHI restriction enzyme digest were performed overnight at 37°C, then run on 1% SYBRsafe-agarose gel. Images were taken using a digital developer with a UV filter (see Chapter 2 Methods), and then processed in Photoshop CC (Adobe). L, DNA Ladder, kB, kilobases, c, colony, W, water.
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### Appendix I: qPCR Primers Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Sequence Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5’ → 3’)</th>
<th>Full Gene Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18S rat-962F</td>
<td>GCCGCTAGAGGTTGAAATTTCTTG</td>
<td>Rat 18S rRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S rat-1027R</td>
<td>CATCTTTGGCAATGCTTTTC</td>
<td>Rat 18S rRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTA2 rat-254F</td>
<td>TCCTGACCTGAAGTATCCGATA</td>
<td>Rat α-Actin 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTA2 rat-325R</td>
<td>GGTGCCAGATTCATTTCCATGTC</td>
<td>Rat Calponin 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNN1 rat-122F</td>
<td>AGAACAAAGCTGAGCCAGAA</td>
<td>Rat Collagen Type II, alpha 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNN1 rat-189R</td>
<td>CACCCCTTCGATCCACTCTT</td>
<td>Rat Collagen Type II, alpha 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL2A1#2 rat-4176F</td>
<td>CCAGGGGCTCCAATGATGTG</td>
<td>Rat Collagen Type II, alpha 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL2A1#2 rat-4260R</td>
<td>GTGTTTCGTGCAGCCATCCT</td>
<td>Rat Collagen Type II, alpha 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP rat-763F</td>
<td>GCTAGCCCTGGACATCGAGAT</td>
<td>Rat Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP rat-834R</td>
<td>GGAATGTTGATGCAGTTTTT</td>
<td>Rat Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKL1 rat-2440F</td>
<td>GTCTCTCCACCATGCAGGAGC</td>
<td>Rat Myocardin Related Protein Factor A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKL1 rat-2576R</td>
<td>CTTCAGCTCTGCACCTTTCA</td>
<td>Rat Myocardin Related Protein Factor A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYH11 rat-1759F</td>
<td>TTCCGGAACGCTACG</td>
<td>Rat Myosin Heavy Chain 11, Smooth Muscle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYH11 rat-1818R</td>
<td>TCCATCCATGAAGCCTTTGG</td>
<td>Rat Myosin Heavy Chain 11, Smooth Muscle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p75#2 rat-869F</td>
<td>CATTCCTGTCTATTTGCATCTCTTG</td>
<td>Rat p75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p75#2 rat-946R</td>
<td>CTGTTCCACCTTTGGAAGCAAATAT</td>
<td>Rat p75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100a rat-84F</td>
<td>GCTGAGCAAGAGAGAGGAGTGA</td>
<td>Rat S100α Calcium Binding Protein A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100a rat-165R</td>
<td>CACAGCATTGTTCATCTTTTG</td>
<td>Rat S100α Calcium Binding Protein A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100b rat-45F</td>
<td>CTGTCTACCTTGCTATCGACCTTTG</td>
<td>Rat S100β Calcium Binding Protein B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100b rat-116R</td>
<td>GAGGCTCTGGCGACCTTTTG</td>
<td>Rat S100β Calcium Binding Protein B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM22a rat-23F</td>
<td>CACAAACGACCAAGCCTTTT</td>
<td>Rat SM22α, Transgelin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM22a rat-89R</td>
<td>CACGGCTCATGCCATAGGAT</td>
<td>Rat SM22α, Transgelin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX10 rat-1005F</td>
<td>CTGGAGGTTGCTGAAGCAGAGT</td>
<td>Rat Sox10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX10 rat-1092R</td>
<td>GTCCGGATGCTTTTTTTTG</td>
<td>Rat Sox10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX17 rat-565F</td>
<td>AGAACCAGCAGATCTGCCAAAC</td>
<td>Rat Sox17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX17 rat-615R</td>
<td>AGGATTTCGCTACATCTTTG</td>
<td>Rat Sox17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP rat-936F</td>
<td>ACGGAATATCAATCCACAGCACA</td>
<td>Rat Yes Associated Protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP rat-990R</td>
<td>CGCTCTGACGCTGACAT</td>
<td>Rat Yes Associated Protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Appendix II. Table 1: Primary Antibodies Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody (Host Species)</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Catalog Number</th>
<th>IB Dilution</th>
<th>IF Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggrecan (ms)</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>MABT84</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANGPTL4 (gt)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-32184</td>
<td>1:3000</td>
<td>1:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Actin (gt)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-1616</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCR1 (rb)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab1681</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNN1 (rb)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab46794</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen II (rb)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab53047</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erk 1/2 (rb)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>4695</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH (ms)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-32233</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC 1 (rb)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-7872</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC 2 (rb)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-7899</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC 4/5/7 (rb)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-11421</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone 3 (rb)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab1791</td>
<td>1:3000</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone 3 K4me2 (rb)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab32356</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone 3 K4me3 (rb)</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>07-473</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone 3 K9ac (rb)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>C5B11</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone 4 (rb)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab7311</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone 4 K5ac (rb)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>9672S</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamin A/C (gt)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-6215</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamin A/C (ms)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-7292</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamin B1 (rb)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab16048</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTF-A (H-140) (rb)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-32909</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYH11 (rb)</td>
<td>Biomedical Technologies</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospho-Erk 1/2 (rb)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>9102</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospho-Smad 1/5/8 (rb)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>9511S</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospho-Smad 2/3 (rb)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>8828</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARγ (rb)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab19481</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA (ms)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab7817</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix II. Table 1: Primary Antibodies Used continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody (Host Species)</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Catalog Number</th>
<th>IB Dilution</th>
<th>IF Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMA (rb)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab32575</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smad 1 (rb)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>6944S</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox 9 (rb)</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>ab5535</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox 10 (ms)</td>
<td>R &amp; D Systems</td>
<td>MAB2864</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox 10 (N-20) (gt)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-17342</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>1:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox 17 (ms)</td>
<td>R &amp; D Systems</td>
<td>MAB1924</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP (rb)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>14074</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP TAZ (D24E4) (rb)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>8418</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix II: Table 2. Secondary Antibodies Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody (Host species)</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Catalog Number</th>
<th>IB Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit IgG-HRP (dk)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-2313</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse IgG-HRP (dk)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-2314</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat IgG-HRP (dk)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>sc-2020</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix III: Determine Whether Laminar Shear Stress Mediates Glioblastoma Tumor-Initiating Cell Differentiation to Endothelial Cell-like Fates Through Krüppel-like Factor 5

III.1 Abstract

Glioblastoma is the most common and aggressive adult brain tumor with a median survival time of 12-15 months. Glioblastoma tumor-initiating cells exhibit high self-renewal and differentiation potential in cell cultures and directly contribute to the endothelial cell layer of tumor vasculature. The cell surfaces facing the lumen of tumor vasculature are subject to laminar shear stress, a specific hemodynamic force that regulates vascular development in embryonic and adult tissues. Laminar shear stress applied to cells activates the transcription of genes involved in the differentiation of multipotent cells to endothelial cell fates. This process requires Krüppel-like transcription factors (KLFs). My project aims to investigate the role of laminar shear stress in mediating the cell fate choices of Glioblastoma tumor-initiating cells in culture. I hypothesize that laminar shear stress induces KLF5 gene expression to activate downstream gene targets that mediate the differentiation of Glioblastoma tumor-initiating cells to adopt vascular endothelial cell fates.

III.2 Introduction.

In the United States, two-thirds of malignant adult brain tumors are gliomas. Glioblastoma is the most aggressive glioma characterized by large regions of necrosis, hypoxia, highly permeable and misshapen blood vessels, and a heterogeneous composition of neuronal and endothelial cells. A lineage-hierarchy of multipotent Glioblastoma tumor-initiating cells (GTICs) adopt a variety of differentiated terminal cells fates, including neuronal and endothelial cells, and exhibit high self-renewal in cell culture.

Glioblastoma tumors are modeled through stereotactic injection of GFP-labeled primary human (Dirks, U87) and rat (F98) glioblastoma cells into the hippocampi of immune-compromised mice. Lineage-tracing studies in immune-compromised mice show that human or rat GTICs directly contribute up to 20% or 50% of the endothelial layer of the tumor vasculature, respectively. Glioblastoma-derived endothelial cells (GDECs) express endothelial cell markers, like angiopoietin receptor 2 (TIE2) and platelet endothelial cell adhesion cell molecule (CD31) and can bind lectin, an endothelial-specific glycoprotein. Glioblastoma tumor-initiating cells do not express TIE2 or CD31, and do not bind lectin. These data suggest that GDECs present in tumor vasculature result from the differentiation of GTICs to endothelial cell-like fates. This mechanism of pathological blood vessel formation in mouse models of glioblastoma will not be impaired by therapies targeting classical pathways of blood vessel formation.

Historically research on blood vessel formation has focused on the role of pro-vascular factors (e.g., vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)) or cell-cell contact (e.g., Notch) signaling pathways in the adoption of endothelial cell fates. The role of hemodynamic forces to promote the differentiation of GTICs to vascular cell fates has not been examined. By examining parallel mechanisms of mechanical forces in normal and pathological vascular biology, this work may lead to critical insights into this process.
A cross-section of a blood vessel shows that the cells facing the lumen experience a frictional force per unit area, or laminar shear stress ($\tau$) (Figure 1.2). This signal is critical for multipotent progenitor cells to adopt vascular cell fates.\textsuperscript{16} Parallel-plate flow chambers reconstitute laminar shear stress in cultured cells to activate the transcription of genes required in this process.\textsuperscript{17} The Krüppel-like transcription factors (KLFs) are required to activate downstream gene targets to signal vascular cells to remodel the vascular wall and/or form new blood vessels.\textsuperscript{18,19} While the role of biophysical cues has been used to study the differentiation and maintenance of stem cell populations (see Chapter 1.5), the specific role of laminar shear stress to promote differentiation of GTICs to vascular cell fates has not been examined.

Gene expression profiles of glioma cell cultures were compared to identify candidate genes that may mediate cell differentiation promoted by laminar shear stress. The Wurmser lab confirmed high KLF5 transcript levels in GTICs and GDECs through quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (Wurmser lab, unpublished data).

KLF5 is a key transcription factor required for adult vascular cell remodeling.\textsuperscript{20} Irregular laminar shear stress and/or disrupted KLF5 gene expression in rodent models and vascular cell cultures show defects in vascular wall remodeling, which results in atherosclerosis and heart failure. KLF5 knockout mice are embryonic lethal.\textsuperscript{21} Characterization of the viable, heterozygous KLF5 littermates show decreased local inflammation and angiogenesis in wire-injured blood vessels and reduced luminal thickness in response to Angiotensin II stimulation compared to wild-type littermates. Since, direct downstream gene targets of KLF5 include soluble growth factors (e.g., early growth response-1, platelet-derived growth factors (PDGFs)) and receptor tyrosine kinases (e.g., TIE2), these data suggest KLF5 is a key mediator in the vascular cell response to cardiovascular injury and tissue remodeling.\textsuperscript{22,23}

To determine if KLF5 is required for GTICs to contribute to tumor blood vessels, rat GTICs expressing a dominant negative KLF5 (DNKLF5) were used to initiate tumors in immune-compromised mice. DNKLF5 cell lines express only the DNA-binding domains of KLF5, which cannot recruit coactivators.\textsuperscript{24} Engrafting DNKLF5 cells results in 33% longer survival time than engrafting with GTIC control cultures (unpublished data). At the time of surgical resection, tumors initiated with DNKLF5 cells had no obvious gross morphological changes in the vasculature or tumor size compared with tumors initiated with GTIC control cultures. Tumors initiated with DNKLF5 did reduce the frequency of GDECs in tumor blood vessels to 10-15%. These data suggest KLF5 plays a predominant role in the process of tumor vasculogenesis.

There is significant understanding of laminar shear stress in the maintenance of terminally differentiated endothelial cell fates. This project aims to broaden understanding of laminar shear stress on the differentiation capacities of GTICs-to-GDECs and the molecular mechanism(s) that regulate this process using rodent and cell culture models. I hypothesize that KLF5 mediates the differentiation response to shear stress in GTICs, and I seek to identify the molecular mechanisms and cellular components that mediate these processes.

### III.3 Materials and Methods

**Cell Culture**

Rat F98 polyclonal GTIC (ATCC) were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS,
1% P/S, and 1X L-Glutamate (Invitrogen). Before each shear stress treatment, sterile glass slides were coated with ~5µg cm\(^{-2}\) Rat Tail Collagen I (BD Biosciences) in 0.02N Glacial Acetic Acid for 2 hours at room temperature in a sterile tissue culture hood. Glass slides were washed twice in sterile 1X PBS. Slides were left to dry overnight in the tissue culture hood and used the following day or stored at 4°C for up to 2 weeks. A confluent monolayer of Rat GTICs was grown overnight onto Collagen I-coated glass slides.

**Assembling Parallel-Plate Flow Chamber and Shear Stress Treatment**

Parallel-plate flow chambers were assembled as shown in Figure III.1.\(^\text{25}\) For each parallel-plate flow chambers, 1 250mL Erlenmeyer flask, rubber stopper with 2 glass rods, rubber tubing, and 2 pairs of forceps were cleaned thoroughly in 70% ethanol and rinsed in distilled water. Dry sterilize for 45 minutes, followed by a 45 minutes dry cycle. Spray binder clips with 70% ethanol, wrap in tin foil and leave under UV light overnight. Submerge flow chambers in distilled water and sonicate for 30 minutes at room temperature. Submerge flow chambers in containers with 70% ethanol. Leave uncovered under UV light for 30 minutes. Aspirate all alcohol, close lid and leave chambers overnight under UV light. Submerge silicone gaskets in 70% ethanol and expose to UV light for 30 minutes. Cover gaskets and leave under UV light overnight.

Each glass slide is mounted with the cells facing the flow channel and then held together using binder clips. A sandwich is created between the glass slide and acrylic plate using a silicone gasket. Each acrylic plate has an inlet and outlet for liquid to flow over the chamber. The width of the exposed chamber is 1.70cm. The height of the silicone gasket is 0.0254 cm. The specific gravity of basal media was approximately 7.755\times10^{-3} \text{ dynes cm}^{-2}. Peristaltic pumps are used to connect tubing that allows media to flow through the chamber and across the cells. The flow rate is used to calculate the approximate shear stress, using the following equation:

\[
Q = \frac{wh^2}{6} \times \frac{\tau}{\mu}; \quad \text{where } Q \text{ is flow rate (mL/sec)},
\]

- \(w\) is width of Silicone gasket (cm),
- \(h\) is height of Silicone gasket (cm),
- \(\tau\) is shear stress (dynes/cm\(^2\)),
- \(\mu\) is specific gravity of fluid (dynes•sec/cm\(^2\)).

For shear-stress treatment of 10 dynes cm\(^{-2}\), flow rates were approximately 5mL every 24 seconds.

**Isolation of RNA and Semi-Quantitative Reverse Transcriptase PCR**

In brief, static or laminar shear stress-treated samples were lysed in RNA-bee (Amsbio) after removing culturing media and washing cells twice in cold 1X PBS. Total RNA was collected following manufacturer’s recommended protocol, followed by precipitation in isopropanol overnight at -20°C. The following day, the RNA pellet was washed in 70% ethanol (-20°C) and dried in a Speed-vac for up to 4 minutes at room temperature. The RNA pellet was resuspended in nuclease-free water. RNA concentration and purity were measured with UV spectrometry.

For cDNA synthesis, we followed the GoScript Reverse Transcriptase (Promega) protocol. Specific primers for Klf5, Klf4, Runx1, and 18S rRNA were designed as previously described.\(^\text{10}\)
For image analysis, scanned images were quantified using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health). For each gene, relative mRNA levels were normalized to 18S rRNA, then expression was compared to static controls.

### III.4 Preliminary Results

To test whether laminar shear stress promotes the differentiation of rat or human GTIC-to-GDEC fates in culture, I assembled a parallel-plate flow chamber (Figure III.1) to apply laminar shear stress to GTIC cultures, as previously described. Endothelial cell cultures exposed to laminar shear stress exhibit time-dependent changes in inducible gene expression.

For initial experiments, we applied a physiological laminar shear stress (10 dynes cm$^{-2}$) and harvested RNA at 0, 6, 12, and 14 hours. RNA harvested from rat GTICs cultured on glass coverslips, but not exposed to laminar shear stress, serve as static controls. We found that GTICs exposed to laminar shear stress in cell culture induced KLF5 transcript levels in a time-dependent manner compared to static controls (Figure III.2).

### III.5 Discussion and Future Directions

Our aim was to establish a time course required for KLF5 up-regulation in physiological laminar shear stress-treated GTICs. Due to unforeseen circumstances, experiments to further characterize the role of KLF5 and identify downstream targets of KLF5 in GTIC-to-GDEC differentiation were halted.

In summary, this project aims were to determine whether GTICs exposed to laminar shear stress in cell cultures induce expression of GDEC proteins found in animal engrafting experiments. Specifically, whether laminar shear stress-treated rat or human GTIC cultures induce the expression of TIE2 and CD31, binds lectin, and can form capillary tubes in matrigel compared to static (untreated) GTIC control cultures.

If laminar shear stress-treated GTICs upregulates TIE2 and CD31 expression compared to GTIC static controls, this would demonstrate the following: (1) Applying laminar shear stress to GTIC cultures induces expression of endothelial cell and GDEC markers from mouse models of glioblastoma tumors, (2) Laminar shear stress is a specific mechanical signal that contributes to GTIC differentiation to endothelial cell fates, and (3) Applying laminar shear stress to glioblastoma cells in culture can be used to identify the molecular mechanism(s) that specifically regulate differentiation of GTICs-to-GDECs. It is possible that in addition to laminar shear stress the differentiation of GTIC-to-GDEC cell fates requires additional soluble factors and cell contacts with endothelial cells. If so, I will use cell culture media permissive for brain microvascular endothelial cell growth in my experiments.

A critical step for normal and pathological angiogenesis is to create vascular network tubes with a lumen. Endothelial cell cultures plated on Matrigel, an artificial extracellular environment, are able to form vascular networks reminiscent of the normal vascular endothelium (Fig.1.2). GDEC cultures plated in Matrigel form vascular networks reminiscent of abnormal tumor blood vessels. To determine whether GTICs exposed to laminar shear stress adopt GDEC fates to form capillary tubes in cell culture, I will plate cell cultures in Matrigel. I expect GTICs exposed
to laminar shear stress to form capillary tubes.

Glioblastoma tumors exhibit large regions of low oxygen (hypoxia). In normal blood vessel formation, hypoxia increases active VEGF levels, which binds and signals through VEGFR2. This signaling cascade results in the local proliferation and migration of endothelial cells to form new blood vessels. If hypoxia is required, cultured cells plated on Matrigel will be placed in a sealed chamber with 1% oxygen.
Figure III.1. Parallel-Plate Flow Chamber Used To Apply Laminar Shear Stress To Cell Cultures. A, Parallel-Plate Flow Chamber (h₁: 1.10cm, w₁: 7.60cm). Flow outlet well (h₂: 8.0cm, w₂: 1.40cm), threaded center (c). Arrow indicates direction of laminar shear stress. B, D Unassembled and Assembled Parallel-Plate Flow Chamber end view (threaded center, x: 1.25cm, y: 0.55cm). C, Parallel-Plate Flow Chamber Assembly with Silicone Gasket and confluent monolayer of Rat GTICs. Rat Tail Collagen I-coated glass slides were seeded with a confluent monolayer of Rat VSCs (Blue). Silicone gasket has outer (dₒ: 2.90x8.80cm, hₒ: 0.0254cm) and inner dimensions (dᵢ: 1.70x6.8cm). Binder clips on the edges of the flow chamber secure it in place (not shown). A peristaltic pump flows culturing media into the acrylic plate with an inlet and outlet through sterile tubing. All experiments are conducted in a humidified cell culture incubator set at 37ºC, 5% CO₂.

Figure III.2. Klf5 Gene Expression Increases In Rat GTICs Exposed To 12 Hours Of Laminar Shear Stress. A, RT-PCR analysis of 18S rRNA and Klf5 in response to 12 or 14 hours of Laminar Shear Stress (10 dynes cm⁻²); L, 1kB DNA Ladder. B, Densitometry results of RT-PCR for rat Klf5, Klf4, and Runx1 of Laminar Shear Stress- or static-treated rat GTICs. Klf5 and Runx1 show induced gene expression compared to static-treated GTIC controls. For all samples, gene expression is normalized to 18S rRNA, then normalized to static-treated samples.
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