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Establishing how and when large $N/Z$ values require modified or new theoretical tools is a major quest in nuclear physics. Here we report the first measurement of the lifetime of the $2^+_1$ state in the near-dripline nucleus $^{20}$C. The deduced value of $\tau_{2^+_1} = 9.8 \pm 2.8(stat) \pm 0.9(syst)$ ps gives a reduced transition probability of $B(E2;2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_{g.s.}) = 7.5^{+3.0}_{-1.7}(stat) \pm 1.0(2.4(syst)) e^2fm^4$ in good agreement with a shell model calculation using isospin-dependent effective charges.

PACS numbers: 27.30.+t, 21.10.Tg, 23.20.Lv

The exotic combinations of neutrons ($N$) and protons ($Z$) found far from the region of beta stability can significantly affect nuclear structure and properties. Two effects currently receiving great theoretical and experimental interest are the changes in shell structure [1, 2] and the physics of weakly bound neutrons, which may move outside the core for a sizable fraction of the time leading to spatially extended “core-decoupled” wavefunctions (e.g., neutron halo nuclei [3, 4]). While changes in shell structure due to the valence nucleon-nucleon interaction have been successfully described within a shell model framework with well-bound states and using harmonic oscillator wavefunctions, the effects of weak binding and extended radial distributions go beyond such approaches and at some point the familiar models and assumptions will no longer be valid. Establishing how and when large $N/Z$ values require modified or new theoretical tools is a major question in nuclear physics and is one that remains largely unanswered.

Neutron-rich carbon isotopes have attracted a great deal of attention recently with regards to the question of spatially extended (halo-like) and decoupled valence neutrons. For example, $^{19}$C [5] and the dripline nucleus $^{22}$C [6] are proposed to have ground-state neutron halo structures. Properties of excited states can also provide information on weak binding effects and over the past several years there have been a number of experiments measuring the electric quadrupole transition rate, $B(E2;2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_{g.s.})$, in $^{16}$C [7–9], $^{18}$C [9] and $^{20}$C [10]. These transition rates are among the lowest found throughout the nuclear chart and this fact has been cited by some (e.g., Refs. [9–12]) as evidence for a reduced coupling between the valence neutrons and the core nucleons. Indeed, the $B(E2)$ value recently reported for $^{20}$C in Ref. [10] is far lower than expected from shell model calculations and was interpreted as evidence for a “decoupling” of valence neutrons from the core that goes beyond the usual shell model approach. Here, we present the first direct measure of the $2^+_1$ state lifetime and $B(E2;2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_{g.s.})$ transition strength in $^{20}$C. The result is compared to data in neighboring nuclei and to predictions from a shell model calculation, and discussed in terms of the coupling between the valence neutrons and the core.

The experiment was performed at the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory (NSCL) at Michigan State University. A $^{22}$O secondary beam was produced by fragmenting a 140 MeV/nucleon primary $^{48}$Ca beam on a 775 mg/cm$^2$ $^{25}$Be production target. The A1900 separator [13] was used to select and transport the $^{22}$O ions to the S800 beamline where they underwent reactions on a second 500 mg/cm$^2$ $^{27}$Be target located at the target position of the S800 spectrograph [14], producing $^{20}$C via the $^9$Be+$^{22}$O+$^{40}$Ca reaction. Incoming $^{22}$O ions were identified on an event-by-event basis via their time-of-flight, while outgoing $^{20}$C ions were identified by energy-loss and time-of-flight measurements. The $^{22}$O beam rate was approximately $2 \times 10^4$ pps for 4 days with an energy of $\approx 101$ MeV/nucleon and a 2.5% momentum dispersion.

Approximately 30% of the $^{20}$C nuclei in this experiment were produced in the excited $2^+_1$ state, located at an energy $\sim 1.6$ MeV above the $0^+$ ground state. Gamma decays from the $2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_{g.s.}$ transition were detected in SeGA [15], an array of fifteen 32-fold segmented high-purity germanium detectors, surrounding the S800 target position and coupled to the new digital data acquisition
system (DDAS) [16]. The detector segmentation defines the γ-ray emission angle and is used for event-by-event Doppler correction to the energy of the γ ray emitted from the fast moving nuclei (v/c ~ 40%). SeGA was configured in two rings with seven detectors at 30° (Ring 1) and eight detectors at 140° (Ring 2) relative to the beam direction, and at a distance of 30.2 cm and 23.3 cm from the target, respectively. In this configuration SeGA had a full energy photo-peak efficiency of ~2% at 1 MeV for γ rays emitted in flight.

To determine the lifetime of the 20C 2+ state the Recoil Distance Method (RDM) was applied using the Köln/NSCL plunger [17]; the RDM technique for fast beams and its implementation at the NSCL is described in Refs. [18–20]. A 278 g/cm² 184W degrader foil was placed 0.1 mm downstream of the 500 mg/cm² 9Be secondary reaction target. Gamma rays emitted before the time required to traverse the target-degrader gap indicate the majority of the decays occur before the degrader is calculated to be 0.3–0.5 ps. The spec-

FIG. 1. (Color online) Top panel: Spectrum of γ rays, after Doppler correcting with v/c = 0.418, obtained from a sum of all SeGA detectors and in coincidence with 20C fragments. Lower panels: Spectra of γ rays for Ring 1 (30°) and Ring 2 (140°) after Doppler correcting with v/c = 0.418. Experimental data are shown as a black solid line. Simulated data for τ = 10 ps are shown as a red dotted line.

An event-by-event Doppler reconstructed spectrum of γ rays obtained from a sum of all germanium detectors and in coincidence with 20C fragments is shown in the top panel of Fig. 1. The 21+ − 0gs,γ γ-ray transition is seen at 1618(6) keV, in agreement with the previously measured values of 1588(20) keV [21], 1631(37) keV and 1614(11) keV [10]. Gamma-ray energies were Doppler corrected assuming the 20C fragments are moving with a mean velocity of v/c = 0.418 corresponding to de-

exponential plus constant term, ae−bEγ + c ) was added to the Monte Carlo generated spectrum to account for the effects of beam induced bremsstrahlung and high energy γ rays not included in the Monte Carlo code. Simulated spectra (S_o), to be compared with the experimental one, were then given by S_o = ae−bEγ + c + n·MC, where MC is the output from the Monte Carlo code and n is a nor-

malization factor. The variables (a, b, c, n) were obtained from a point estimation using the Poisson likelihood chi-

spectrum (S)ae = exponential plus constant term, to be compared with the experimental one, were then given by S_o = ae−bEγ + c + n·MC, where MC is the output from the Monte Carlo code and n is a normaliza-

tion factor. The variables (a, b, c, n) were obtained from a point estimation using the Poisson likelihood chi-

squared, χ², of Ref. [22] over the γ-ray energy range from 200 keV to 4 MeV. The lifetime (τ) was then extracted by minimizing χ², noted hereafter as χ², with respect to τ over the spectrum region that includes the Doppler-shifted γ-ray peak components plus Compton edge. As seen in Fig. 2, a clear minimum in χ² as a function of the 20C 2+ lifetime is found at τ = 9.8 ± 2.8 ps. This lifetime value corresponds to an electric quadrupole transi-

tion rate of B(E2;21+ − 0gs,γ ) = 7.5±3.0 e²fm⁴.

Reactions can also occur on the degrader, 184W(22O,20C+γ)X, producing “contaminant” 20C γ rays that can add to the “slow” peak component. The ratio of target to degrader reactions producing 20C was estimated to be 1.8±0.5 by normalizing it to the ratio of reactions in the target and degrader measured in a similar experiment with 16C [23]. This effect was included in the simulation code. The uncertainty due to reactions on the 184W degrader foil adds a systematic error, τ_21+ = 9.8 ± 2.8(stat)+0.5(stat)syst ps and B(E2;21+ − 0gs,γ ) = 7.5±3.0(stat)+1.0(stat)syst e²fm⁴.

The ratio of target to degrader reactions producing 20C was estimated to be 1.8±0.5 by normalizing it to the ratio of reactions in the target and degrader measured in a similar experiment with 16C [23]. This effect was included in the simulation code. The uncertainty due to reactions on the 184W degrader foil adds a systematic error, τ_21+ = 9.8 ± 2.8(stat)+0.5(stat)syst ps and B(E2;21+ − 0gs,γ ) = 7.5±3.0(stat)+1.0(stat)syst e²fm⁴.
the valence neutrons in carbon isotopes occupy the sd-pling of valence neutrons from the core. For the context of weak binding and the potential decou-

We will now discuss the lifetime and B(E2) result in the context of weak binding and the potential decou-
pling of valence nucleons from the core. For $N > 8$ the valence neutrons in carbon isotopes occupy the sd-shell and (in carbon) the $d_{5/2}$ and $s_{1/2}$ orbits are near degenerate [21]. The four valence protons fill the $p_{3/2}$ level and, because the separation between the $p_{3/2}$ and $p_{1/2}$ levels at $Z = 6$ is large (several MeV) [24], the $2^+_1$ excitation has a dominant neutron character associated with transitions within the neutron sd-shell. The occupancy (spectroscopic factors) of neutrons in the sd-shell was recently measured for $^{16}$C [25] showing a significant neutron configuration mixing and $s_{1/2}$ amplitude in the lowest $2^+$ and $0^+$ states. (Occupation of the $s_{1/2}$ orbital leads to the halo structures reported in the weakly-bound $^{19,22}$C and the anomalous B(M1) transition strength in $^{17}$C [26, 27].) Since only protons directly contribute to the electric quadrupole transition strength, a measure of the B(E2;$2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_{g.s.}$) can, in cases where the lowest lying $2^+$ state has a predominant neutron excitation, provide information on the coupling between the valence neutrons and the core protons due to core polarization. Core polarization effects decrease when the binding energy of the valence nucleons becomes small, as these nucleons spend less time near the core, (see the example of $^{209}$Pb, Ref. [28]) and the observation of a suppressed electric transition rate can therefore be a signature for weakly bound and decoupled neutrons.

Experimental B(E2;$2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_{g.s.}$) values are shown in Fig. 3 for even mass carbon isotopes with $A = 14-20$. The data indicate a rather constant, possibly slightly increasing trend in B(E2;$2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_{g.s.}$) for $^{14,16,18}$C in the range of 3–4 $e^2$fm$^4$. The B(E2) value for $^{20}$C obtained in this work shows this trend continuing and even to increase further. This is in marked contrast to the decreasing B(E2) suggested by Ref. [10], i.e. $^{20}$C B(E2;$2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_{g.s.}$) $< 3.68$ $e^2$fm$^4$, which was derived indirectly from an inelastic scattering measurement. The significance in the difference between the two results for $^{20}$C becomes apparent when comparing with theory (solid line in Fig. 3), which predicts a relatively high value for the $^{20}$C B(E2) consistent with the result reported here. The calculated transition rates (Table I) are given by B(E2; $J_i \rightarrow J_f$) = $|A_p e_p + A_n e_n|^2 / (2J_f + 1)$, where $A_p$ and $A_n$ are shell model proton and neutron quadrupole matrix elements connecting the $J_i = 2^+_1$ and $J_f = 0^+_{g.s.}$ states calculated in a $p - sd$ shell model space using harmonic oscillator wavefunctions and the WBT interaction [32], and $e_p$ and $e_n$ are the effective charges for protons and neutrons from Ref. [31]. We note that other variants of the interaction in the $p - sd$ model space such as WBP [32] and WBT* [21] give $^{20}$C B(E2) values of 6.39 and 7.58 $e^2$fm$^4$, respectively, using the effective charges of Table I. Similar changes are seen for $^{18}$C and $^{16}$C, and can be used to judge the theoretical error within the context of the $p - sd$ model space. However, as shown in Fig. 13 of Ref. [9] other calculations, e.g. AMD, deformed Skyrme Hartree-Fock and the “no-core” shell model, can

![FIG. 2. (Color online) $\chi^2$ minimization for the lifetime of the $^{20}$C $2^+_1$ state. The y-axis is the sum $\chi^2$ normalized to the number of degrees of freedom (ndf) obtained from fits to the $30^\circ$ and $140^\circ$ $\gamma$-ray spectra. The red solid line is a parabolic fit to a lifetime range of 6–14 ps, which defines the minimum $\chi^2$ at a lifetime of $\tau_{0.5} = 9.8 \pm 2.8$ ps.](image1)

![FIG. 3. (Color online) B(E2;$2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_{g.s.}$) trend in even mass carbon isotopes for $A = 16-20$ including only statistical errors. Previous data include $^{14}$C [29], $^{16}$C [8, 9], $^{18}$C [9, 20]C [10]. We note that B(E2) values for $^{16}$C [23] and $^{18}$C [30] obtained at the NSCL using the same RDM technique as the current $^{20}$C measurement agree well with the $^{18}$C and $^{16}$C B(E2) values plotted here. The red solid line is a shell model calculation discussed in the text.](image2)
give very different B(E2) values.

Effective charges [33], within a given model space, carry information on the degree of core polarization induced by the valence neutrons, and their magnitude (suppression) can then be a measure of the neutron-core (de)coupling. Effective charges are generally not expected to be constant as a function of increasing asymmetry \((N - Z)\), but to have an approximate 1/A dependence [34]. In Table 1 the \(e_p\) and \(e_n\) values are taken from the calculation in Ref. [31] based on the treatment in Ref. [34], and follow \(\sim 1/A\). Taking these effective charges to be the appropriate reference (for “normally” coupled neutrons), the agreement between our measured \(^{20}\text{C}\) B(E2) value and calculation indicates that the current shell model using well bound wavefunctions contains the relevant physics to describe these data and the \(^{20}\text{C}\) valence neutrons do not exhibit additional weak binding effects. \(^{20}\text{C}\) with a calculated binding energy of about 4.5 MeV is not a candidate for a halo nucleus.

Why does the \(^{20}\text{C}\) B(E2;2\(^+\) → 0\(^+\)) increase? In the shell model, the attractive interaction between the \(\nu d_{5/2} - \pi p_{1/2}\) orbits and repulsion between the \(\nu d_{5/2} - \pi p_{3/2}\) orbits means that as neutrons fill the \(d_{5/2}\) level there is a decrease in the proton \(p_{3/2} - p_{1/2}\) separation (see for example Ref. [26, 35]), which favors more “in-shell” \((p_{3/2} - p_{1/2})\) proton excitations. It is this increase in the proton admixture to the \(2^+\) that leads to a larger B(E2) in \(^{20}\text{C}\) compared with \(^{16}\text{C}\). This effect is not seen in oxygen isotopes since the \(p_{1/2}\) state is full; B(E2) values for oxygen are shown and discussed in Fig. 3 of Ref. [10]. The increase in \(A_p\) for \(A = 20\) reflects the increase in proton excitations contributing to the \(^{20}\text{C}\) \(2^+\) state. Considering the \(^{20}\text{C}\) \(2^+\) state to be \(2^+ = \alpha (\nu (a)(d)\beta + \beta (p)(\nu)^{-2}\), the shell model spectroscopic factors give \(\beta \approx 0.5\). It is thus a highly mixed state with large components from both neutrons and protons, in contrast to the initial premise of decoupled motion. For \(^{16}\text{C}\) and \(^{18}\text{C}\) a similar analysis gives \(\beta \approx 0.2\) and 0.3, respectively, consistent with the picture of increasing proton contribution to the \(2^+\) state of the neutron-rich carbon isotopes as a function of the neutron number.

To conclude, we have reported the first direct measurement of the lifetime and electric quadrupole transition strength B(E2;2\(^+\) → 0\(^+\)) of the \(^{20}\text{C}\) \(2^+\) state, and compared this value to those in neighboring isotopes and to a shell model calculation with effective charges that follow an approximate 1/A dependence. No evidence was found for dramatic changes in the behavior of the B(E2) across the \(N = 10, 12, 14\) carbon chain up to \(^{20}\text{C}\), in contrast to Ref. [10]. The motivation for this work was to test the applicability of current theories in regions of large \(N/Z\) values and help guide future experimental studies on nuclei close to the driplines. The new data lead to the important result that the shell model calculation used here (with well-bound wavefunctions) can provide a quantitative description of the B(E2) transition rates in these carbon isotopes to within two neutrons of the dripline located at \(^{22}\text{C}\).
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