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Sera and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) from patients displaying different clinical symptoms as well as from normal uninfected individuals (NI) were used to evaluate the humoral and cellular responses of Chagas’ disease patients to Trypanosoma cruzi-derived paraflagellar rod proteins (PFR). Our results show that sera from both asymptomatic Chagas’ disease patients (ACP) and cardiac Chagas’ disease patients (CCP) have higher levels of antibodies to PFR than sera from NI. Immunoglobulin G1 (IgG1) and IgG3 were the main Ig isotypes that recognized PFR. We also tested three recombinant forms of PFR, named rPAR-1, rPAR-2, and rPAR-3, by Western blot analysis. Sera from seven out of eight patients with Chagas’ disease recognized one of the three rPAR forms. Sera from 75, 50, and 37.5% of Chagas’ disease patients tested recognized rPAR-3, rPAR-2, and rPAR-1, respectively. PFR induced proliferation of 100 and 70% of PBMC from ACP and CCP, respectively. Further, stimulation of cells from Chagas’ disease patients with PFR enhanced the frequencies of both small and large CD4+ CD25+ and CD4+ CD69+ lymphocytes, as well as that of small CD8+ CD25+ lymphocytes. Finally, we evaluated the ability of PFR to elicit the production of gamma interferon (IFN-γ) by PBMC from patients with Chagas’ disease. Fifty percent of the PBMC from ACP as well as CCP produced IFN-γ upon stimulation with PFR. PFR enhanced the percentages of IFN-γ-producing cells in both CD3+ and CD4+ T lymphocytes. Within the T-cell population, large CD4+ T lymphocytes were the main source of IFN-γ.

Chagas’ disease, caused by infection with the protozoan parasite Trypanosoma cruzi, is a major public health problem in Latin America. Approximately 20 million people are infected, and an additional 100 million individuals are at risk of infection. Reductions in these numbers are expected, since major advances in control of the insect vectors and in methods for screening blood banks have been made, resulting in substantial decreases in frequencies of T. cruzi transmission in several countries of South America (28, 33, 34). The acute phase of Chagas’ disease lasts 1 to 3 months, until parasite replication is controlled by the host immune response. The fate of chronic patients is unpredictable. About 20 to 30% of the patients develop cardiomyopathy (16, 17) of variable severity, while in 8 to 10% the disease evolves to a digestive disorder characterized by pathological dilatations of the esophagus and/or colon (megaesophagus and/or megacolon) (32). The cardiac and digestive forms of the disease are always associated with tissue parasitism. Most patients (60 to 70%), however, are apparently asymptomatic and are referred to as indeterminate, a condition characterized by no clinical manifestation but positive serology and parasitology tests (3, 6, 22).

Chemoserapeutic agents are of limited effectiveness in treating T. cruzi infection in humans (11, 31), and most patients present side effects during treatment. No effective anti-T. cruzi vaccines are available for humans, and potential vaccines have been tested only in experimental animal models of Chagas’ disease. One particular vaccine candidate that has been shown to be very effective in protecting mice against T. cruzi infection is the paraflagellar rod proteins (PFR) (18, 19, 35). The PFR preparation is composed of four distinct proteins, as determined by direct amino acid sequence analysis, immunological analysis with PFR-specific monoclonal antibodies, and analyses of the genes that encode these four proteins (9). The PFR are major structural components of the T. cruzi flagellum, identified as a complex lattice of filaments and expressed by T. cruzi at all stages where flagella are present, including the infective-stage trypomastigotes and metacyclics (9). Further, PFR are highly conserved among different T. cruzi strains (unpublished data). It is noteworthy that the amino acid sequences and ultrastructural characteristics of PFR are not related to any of the major filamentous systems of eukaryotic cells, such as microfilaments, microtubules, or intermediate filaments (5), and thus are unlikely to elicit autoimmune responses. While the protective immunological mechanisms elicited by immunization of mice with PFR have been determined, essentially nothing is known about the immunogenicity of PFR in humans. In this study, we evaluate the immunogenicity of purified
PFR in humans by accessing both humoral and cellular responses of clinically defined Chagas’ disease patients, naturally infected with T. cruzi. Our results show that the majority of patients with Chagas’ disease develop both humoral and cellular responses to PFR, with dominant responses for PAR-2 and PAR-3.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients.** The sera and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) analyzed in this study were obtained from patients with Chagas’ disease followed up by Manoel Ota de Costa Rocha. All the Chagas’ disease patients were clinically infected with T. cruzi and came from different areas of the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. The patients were assigned to groups according to clinical criteria based on physical examination and cardiac examinations (i.e., chest X-ray, echocardiogram, echocardiography, ergometry, Holter monitoring, and autonomic test). The main groups were (i) the indeterminate group, containing forms of asymptomatic patients (ACP), and (ii) the cardiac group, containing patients displaying various degrees of cardiac disturbance (CCP). The CCP were further divided into three subgroups according to the severity of the disease, with cardiac forms CCP1, CCP2, and CCP3 having less, intermediate, and more severe damage, respectively. Age- and sex-matched seronegative individuals from the same community were used as controls. All individuals participating in the study gave informed consent. Diagnosis of patients with Chagas’ disease was based on indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFI) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The age range for the patients was 20 to 60 years.

**Preparation of native T. cruzi antigens.** PFR were purified as previously described by Saborio and coworkers (25, 35). Briefly, 101 T. cruzi Perun strain epimastigotes were harvested by centrifugation, washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and lysed in 0.1 M Tricine, 1 M NaCl, and 1% Triton X-100 by using sonication. The crude flagellar pellet was successively extracted with 2.0 and 6.0 M urea in 10 mM Tricine (pH 8.5). The resulting supernatant contained approximately 50% PFR and 50% tubulin. PFR were separated from tubulin by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and recovered by electroelution using a Prep Cell (model 491; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, Calif.). Fractions containing the PFR were extensively dialyzed against PBS, concentrated in a Centricon concentrator (Amicon, Beverly, Mass.), and sterilized by filtration through a 0.45-μm-pore-size filter. Protein concentrations in the highly purified PFR samples were determined by use of a protein assay (Pierce Chemical Corp., Rockford, Ill.), and purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE.

The epimastigote antigen (EPI-Ag) was prepared from epimastigotes of the Colombian strain of T. cruzi. Briefly, epimastigote forms were grown at 28°C in cell-free liver infusion tryptose medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. Live parasites were collected from liquid culture, washed three times in ice-cold PBS, resuspended at a final concentration of 106 parasites/ml, and then submitted to three cycles of freeze-thawing at ~70 and 37°C, respectively, followed by five 30-s rounds of sonication. The resulting homogenate was then centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 30 min, and the supernatant EPI-Ag was used as the antigen preparation. The protein concentration of parasite extracts was determined by the Bradford method using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a standard. EPI-Ag was frozen at −70°C until use.

**Preparation of rPAR proteins derived from T. cruzi.** The entire PAR-1, PAR-2, and PAR-3 coding regions were cloned into plasmid expression vector pTrcHis for expression in Escherichia coli. Recombinant proteins were produced and purified as described elsewhere (9). Briefly, recombinant PAR (rPAR) proteins were partially purified from E. coli cell lysates by fast protein liquid affinity chromatography using Ni+-activated columns. Final purification of rPAR proteins was accomplished by preparative SDS-PAGE on a Bio-Rad Prep Cell.

**Sources of antibodies used for ELISA, immunoblotting, cytokine measurements, and fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis.** Monoclonal anti-human immunoglobulin G1 (IgG1), IgG2, IgG3, and IgG4 antibodies (clones b6/6-39, HP-6014, HP-6050, and HP-6025, respectively) for ELISA were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, Mo.). Fc-specific, peroxidase-linked anti-human IgG (clone 6017) also was obtained from Sigma. Monoclonal antibodies against human interleukin-10 (IL-10), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), gamma interferon (IFN-γ), and IL-4 (clones JES3-19F1, MA6, NIB2, and SD4-8, respectively) and biotinylated antibodies against IL-10, TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-4 (clones JES3-12G8, MA611, 4S3, and MP4-25D2, respectively) were used in the cytokine assays. The cytokine assays, respectively, were performed as described by Becton and Dickinson Biosciences (San Diego, Calif.). Also purchased from this company were fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated monoclonal antibodies specific to the cell surface proteins CD3, CD4, and CD8, as well as phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated monoclonal antibodies specific to CD25 and CD69. A PE-labeled IFN-γ-specific antibody for intracellular staining was also purchased from Becton and Dickinson Biosciences.

**Quantification of serum antibodies against PFR.** Maxisorp 96-well ELISA plates (Nalge-Nunc) were coated with PFR or EPI-Ag (100-μl aliquots containing 10 μg of protein/ml in PBS) and incubated overnight at 4°C. Coated plates were blocked with 1% albumin for 2 h at 37°C; then serum samples from Chagas’ disease patients or noninfected individuals (NI), diluted (1:20 and 1:100 for IgG isotypes and total IgG, respectively) in PBS-0.05% Tween 20, were added and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Plates were washed with PBS–Tween and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG (Sigma Chemical Co.) for 1 h at room temperature. Bound antibody was measured by reaction with 2,2’-azino-di-3-ethylbenzthiazoline sulfonate (ABTS) (Sigma Chemical Co.), followed by detection at 405 nm in an automated ELISA plate reader.

**Immunoblotting.** PFR and rPAR proteins subjected to one-dimensional SDS-PAGE were transferred to nitrocellulose paper by using the Mini-PROTEAN (Bio-Rad). The blots were soaked with 2% casein–PBS–TWEEN 20 for 1 h at room temperature to block free binding sites and were then incubated overnight at 4°C with serum samples from Chagas’ disease patients or NI at a 1:1,000 dilution. Blots were washed three times with PBS–TWEEN 20 and then incubated with peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG. After being rinsed with 0.05 M carbonate–bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.8), the blots were incubated with the ECL Plus detection system (Amersham, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, England) and exposed to X-ray films (15) for detection of the antigen-antibody complex.

**In vitro proliferation analysis.** In vitro proliferative responses of PBMC were determined according to the protocol described by Gazzinelli et al. (13). Briefly, PBMC from Chagas’ disease patients or NI were obtained by separating whole blood over Histopaque (Sigma Chemical Co.) and washing three times with medium. Cells were counted and cultured in the presence or absence of different stimuli for 3 or 5 days at a concentration of 2.5 × 106 cells/well in 96-well plates (Corning Glass Works, Corning, N.Y.). Stimuli used in the cultures included PFR and EPI-Ag (at a final concentration of 10 μg/ml for 5 days), and as a positive control, we employed the mitogen PHA (Phascolartrug vulgaris lectin) at a final concentration of 5 μg/ml for 3 days. After the incubation period, cultures were exposed to 0.5 mCi of [3H]thymidine for 6 h and then harvested, and the incorporated radioactivity was measured in a scintillation counter. All cultures were performed in triplicate. The above concentrations of antigens were determined by performing titration experiments (data not shown).

**Cytokine measurements.** IFN-γ, IL-4, IL-10, and TNF-α concentrations in supernatants from PBMC cultures, collected after 5 days of stimulation, were measured by sandwich ELISAs with the monoclonal antibodies listed above. The assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s suggested protocol. Briefly, 96-well plates were coated with 2 μg of the anti-cytokine capture antibody/ml diluted in coating buffer (0.1 M Na2PO4, pH 9.0) and were incubated overnight at 4°C. Plates were blocked by 1 ho f incubation with blocking buffer containing 1% BSA with PBS–TWEEN 20; 100-μl aliquots of culture supernatant were then added and incubated overnight at 4°C. Plates were washed, 100-μl aliquots of the biotinylated anti-cytokine detection antibody (2 μg/ml) diluted in blocking buffer–TWEEN 20 were added, and plates were incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin, diluted 1:2,000 in blocking buffer–TWEEN, was added to the plates and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. After plates were washed, bound antibodies were detected by using the ABTS detection system described above. Plates were washed three times with PBS–TWEEN following each incubation.

**Inmunostaining flow cytometric analysis.** Peripheral blood was drawn from volunteers, and PBMC were obtained by density centrifugation as described above and then analyzed for cell surface markers and intracellular cytokine expression. Briefly, 2.5 × 106 PBMC were cultured in 24-well plates in 1 ml cultures for 20 h with either medium alone, PFR or EPI-Ag (10 μg/ml), or PHA (5 μg/ml) during the last 4 h of culture, brefeldin A (1 μg/ml), which impairs protein secretion by the Golgi apparatus, was added to the cultures. The cells were then washed and resuspended in ice-cold PBS plus azide, stained with FITC-labeled antibodies specific for surface markers, and fixed in 2% formaldehyde. The fixed cells were then permeabilized with a solution of sonoparin and stained for 30 min at 4°C by using anti-IFN-γ monoclonal antibodies directly conjugated with PE (IFN-γ, FITC- and PE-labeled Ig control antibodies and a control with unstimulated PBMC were included in all experiments). Preparations were then washed and fixed as described above and analyzed by use of a FACScalibur (Becton Dickinson), selecting the lymphocyte population. In all cases, 20,000 events were acquired and analyzed by use of Cell Quest program for their intracellular cytokine expression patterns and frequencies and for surface markers in a number of ways by using the Cell Quest program.
Statistical analysis. The Student $t$ test was used for final determinations of the significance of differences in ELISA results, PBMC proliferation, and IFN-$\gamma$ production between patients with Chagas’ disease and individuals from the control group. Differences were considered significant when $P$ was less than 0.05.

RESULTS

Antibodies specific for PFR in sera from patients with Chagas’ disease. Figure 1 presents the results obtained in the ELISA, showing the titration curves of total EPI-Ag and PFR in ELISAs employing pools of CP and NI sera. Total IgG antibodies specific to EPI-Ag (top) and PFR (bottom) in sera (1:100) of NI (□) and CP (■) are shown. (Center) Levels of antibodies specific to EPI-Ag (▲) (top) and PFR (○) (bottom) present in individual sera from NI and CP. (Right) Levels of antibodies specific to EPI-Ag (▲) (top) and PFR (○) (bottom) present in sera from individual CP grouped according to the severity of Chagas’ disease. Asterisks indicate statistical significance for comparisons between groups ($P < 0.05$). Each point represents the mean absorbance of duplicates.

IgG1 and IgG3 are the main isotypes specific for PFR in sera from patients with Chagas’ disease. We extended our studies to determine the main Ig isotypes present in the sera from Chagas’ disease patients that were involved in the recognition of PFR. Figure 2 shows that IgG1 (top left) and IgG3 (top right) were the main Ig isotypes from Chagas’ disease patient sera that recognized PFR. Again, no difference was observed among different clinical forms of Chagas’ disease or among patients with different degrees of severity of the cardiac form of the disease.

Immunoreactivity to PFR and rPAR. We also tested E. coli-derived rPARs in an ELISA. Differences between reactivities of sera from Chagas’ disease patients and NI were not as clear (data not shown) as those observed with the native PFR, which contain all four forms of PAR. Nonetheless, rPAR-1, rPAR-2, and rPAR-3 were clearly recognized by antibodies present in sera from Chagas’ disease patients, as shown in the immunoblot presented in Fig. 3. The top panel shows a polyacrylamide gel with PFR, BSA, a molecular weight ladder, a mixture of rPARs, and the individual rPAR forms rPAR-1, rPAR-2, and rPAR-3. The bottom panel shows results of Western blotting with the same experimental set using sera from one NI (left), one ACP (middle), and one CCP (right). Densitometric analyses of Western blots were performed, and results fivefold higher than the average results obtained with sera from NI
were considered positive. While sera from eight Chagas’ disease patients tested recognized native PFR, none from the NI did so. Sera from two out of four NI showed only minimal recognition of rPARs. Sera from seven out of eight Chagas’ disease patients recognized the rPAR mixture. Sera from 75, 50, and 37.5% of the Chagas’ disease patients tested recognized rPAR-3, rPAR-2, and rPAR-1, respectively. In agreement with the ELISA results, recognition of native PFR was always more intense than that of rPARs. We were unable to test the highly purified rPAR-4 due to its insolubility in the recombinant form.

Native PFR do elicit cellular responses in the majority of PBMC from patients with Chagas’ disease. We considered proliferative results higher than the average for NI plus 3 standard errors to be positive. As shown in Fig. 4, 100 and 90% of PBMC from ACP and CCP, respectively, proliferated upon stimulation with native EPI-Ag. We considered PFR quite immunogenic, because 100 and 70% of PBMC from ACP and CCP, respectively, proliferated upon stimulation with native PFR. We also determined the phenotype of T cells proliferating in response to PFR stimulation. Our results show that stimulation of PBMC from Chagas’ disease patients with PFR enhanced the frequencies of both small and large CD4+ CD25+ and CD4+ CD69+ lymphocytes, as well as that of small CD8+ CD25+ lymphocytes (Fig. 5 and Table 1).

We also evaluated the ability of PFR to elicit production of cytokines by PBMC from patients with Chagas’ disease. Among the cytokines tested, the main ones produced by PBMC from Chagas’ disease patients stimulated with PFR were IFN-γ and TNF-α. Increased levels of IFN-γ production in response to PFR were observed for 50% of ACP (7 of 14; average, 0.56 ± 0.93 ng/ml) and 50% of CCP (7 of 14; average, 0.39 ± 0.56 ng/ml). Upon stimulation with EPI-Ag, 71.4% of PBMC from ACP (10 of 14; average, 1.1 ± 1.5 ng/ml) and
85.7% of PBMC from CCP (12 of 14; average, 0.8 ng/ml) produced IFN-γ. One hundred percent (28 patients) of PBMC stimulated with PHA produced large amounts of IFN-γ (average, 2.8 ng/ml). Another cytokine produced by PBMC from Chagas’ disease patients stimulated with PFR was TNF-α. Forty percent of PBMC from ACP (6 of 15; average, 0.2 ± 0.7 ng/ml) and 36.3% of PBMC from CCP (4 of 11; average, 0.3 ± 0.3 ng/ml) stimulated with PFR produced TNF-α; 20% of ACP (3 of 15; average, 0.07 ± 0.1 ng/ml) and 45.4% of CCP (5 of 11; average, 0.4 ± 0.2 ng/ml) PBMC produced TNF-α upon stimulation with EPI-Ag. PBMC from 26 patients stimulated with PHA produced larger amounts of TNF-α (average, 1.9 ng/ml) than PBMC from NI. Other cytokines (i.e., IL-4 and IL-10) were also assessed concomitantly, but their levels were undetectable under our conditions.

Large CD4+ T lymphocytes are the main source of IFN-γ in PBMC stimulated with native PFR. Finally, we analyzed the phenotype of cell populations within the PBMC that produce IFN-γ upon stimulation with PFR. Corroborating the results with ELISA, PBMC from 50% of Chagas’ disease patients (three of six) tested by intracellular staining were found to produce IFN-γ upon stimulation with PFR. As shown by the results of intracellular cytokine staining (Fig. 6), large CD4+ T lymphocytes were the main source of IFN-γ in PBMC from Chagas’ disease patients. Cells from gates R1 and R2 represent small and large lymphocytes, respectively. It is shown at the R1 and R2 gates that the main cell populations producing IFN-γ were either single-positive (CD4+ IFN-γ+) or double-positive (CD4+ CD8+ IFN-γ+) T cells. As shown in Fig. 6 (right panels), CD4-negative IFN-γ-producing cells were also CD8 negative. Further investigation showed that this cell subset was also CD3 negative (data not shown), indicating that these were not T cells. A significant proportion of IFN-γ-producing cells were also CD25 positive. Under the experimental conditions used

### Table 1. Increases in percentages of double-positive T cells after culture with PFR or EPI-Ag

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Small lymphocytes (R1)</th>
<th>Large lymphocytes (R2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFR</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.4 (2.1)</td>
<td>5.8 ± 5.7 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epi-Ag</td>
<td>5.1 ± 3.1 (2.5)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.8 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FIG. 6.** Large CD4+ T lymphocytes are the main source of IFN-γ in PBMC from patients with Chagas’ disease. Representative histograms show frequencies of various T-cell populations in PBMC from one out of three Chagas’ disease patients after 20 h of culture with either PFR, EPI-Ag, or medium alone. Frequencies of cells expressing the indicated surface markers and intracellular cytokines were determined by use of antibodies directly conjugated with either FITC (x axis) or PE (y axis) as described in Materials and Methods. Top left histogram represents forward- and side-scatter gates for R1 (small lymphocytes) and R2 (large lymphocytes).
here, the small and large CD8\(^+\) T lymphocytes were not a major source of IFN-\(\gamma\).

**DISCUSSION**

Natural transmission of Chagas’ disease in areas of endemicity has decreased due to the use of insecticide for control of the hematophagous vectors. However, the vectors have not been eliminated, and the possibilities of interruption of the vector control program and acquisition of resistance to insecticide are worrisome (28). In addition, *T. cruzi* transmission has increased in countries where natural infection is absent, due to the expanding exodus from areas of endemicity of individuals who act as blood or organ donors (33). Both nitrofurans and nitroimidazoles (i.e., Nifurtimox and Benznidazole, respectively), which are used for treatment, cure only 60 to 70% of Chagas’ disease patients in the acute phase of infection. Further, the chemotherapy of Chagas’ disease is even less effective for patients in the chronic phase. These compounds show no efficacy in some of the cases, since some *T. cruzi* strains are naturally resistant to these drugs (8). In addition, interruption of therapy is often necessary, because some side effects are not tolerated after long-term administration (7). New drugs are currently being tested in experimental models and clinical trials but are not commercially available to treat patients with Chagas’ disease (31). In this scenario, an effective vaccine against *T. cruzi* may represent one alternative for the prevention and control of Chagas’ disease. Although no vaccine is available at the moment, a few *T. cruzi* antigens have been identified as potential candidates for vaccine development. In experimental models, parasite-derived antigens such as ASP-1, ASP-2, CRP, cruzipain, Tc52, PFR, TolA-like surface protein, trans-sialidase, and TSA-1 (4, 10, 12, 18) have been shown to induce efficient protective immunity against *T. cruzi* infection.

We have been working with the PFR as a potential vaccine candidate against *T. cruzi* infection. During the process of transformation from trypomastigote to amastigote, the flagellum of the trypomastigote is reduced in length by about 90%. This degradative process occurs within the host cell cytoplasm; thus, the catabolic products of proteins found within the flagellum should be readily available for entry into the major histocompatibility complex class I presentation pathway as well. We believe that this is an important aspect of the ability of PFR to elicit protective immunity, as CD8\(^+\) T lymphocytes have been shown to be an important component of protective immunity against *T. cruzi* infection (25, 30). Further, PFR have no amino acid sequence homology, ultrastructural similarity, or immunological cross-reactivity with tubulin, actin, intermediate filament proteins, or other proteins present in mammalian cells (5, 9, 26). Therefore, PFR are unlikely to elicit an autoimmune response and thus may be safely used as an immunogen in a vaccine or immunotherapeutic protocols for prophylaxis or treatment of human Chagas’ disease, respectively.

An initial study with a mouse model showed that immunization with PFR induced anti-PAR antibodies and protected mice against challenge with a virulent strain of *T. cruzi* (35). Additional experiments demonstrated that protective immunity elicited by vaccination with PFR was dependent on T cells rather than B cells (18, 19). Thus, B-cell-deficient mice immunized with PFR and subsequently challenged with a lethal inoculum of *T. cruzi* presented reduced parasitemia and 100% survival. Further, it was shown that vaccination with PFR elicits parasite-specific CD4\(^+\) Th1 lymphocytes that act as a major source of IFN-\(\gamma\) for macrophage activation (18, 19). Once activated, macrophages produce high levels of reactive nitrogen intermediates, which are responsible for controlling parasite replication inside host cells (14, 18, 24). Additional evidence also suggests that immunoprotection induced by vaccination with PFR is in part mediated by CD8 Tc1 cells (37).

The efficiencies of different adjuvants as vehicles for native PFR and various rPARs in immunization against *T. cruzi* have also been evaluated. Freund’s (subcutaneous) adjuvant and alum adjuvant have been shown to elicit larger amounts of IFN-\(\gamma\) and of IL-2 and antibodies, respectively (19). Only the former adjuvant formulation induced protective immunity in mice immunized with PFR, which was associated with a strong Th1-type response. Immunization with PFR and rIL-12 simultaneously adsorbed to alum also resulted in induction of a Th1 response associated with protective immunity (37). We have also verified the immunogenicity of rPAR-1 and rPAR-2 alone or in combination with different adjuvants (i.e., alum, Freund’s adjuvant, QS-21, Ribi-700, adenovirus, and rIL-12). It has been shown that the rPARs are also able to induce protective immunity against *T. cruzi* infection (37).

However, there was no information regarding the immunogenicity of PFR in humans. In order to address this question, we decided to investigate the levels of humoral and cellular responses to PFR in sera and PBMC from Chagas’ disease patients naturally infected with *T. cruzi*. By ELISA, anti-PFR antibodies were found in 95% of *T. cruzi*-infected patients tested. Western blot analysis showed that 37.5, 50.0, and 75.0% of sera from patients with Chagas’ disease recognized rPAR-1, rPAR-2, and rPAR-3, respectively. In addition, PFR elicited proliferative responses of variable intensity in PBMC from most (85%) patients infected with *T. cruzi*. These findings indicate that in addition to being immunogenic for most patients, the PFR epitopes are probably conserved among various *T. cruzi* isolates. In fact, our unpublished results demonstrate that genes encoding the various PFR are highly conserved among different strains of *T. cruzi*.

Our studies also show that the majority of patients with Chagas’ disease presented high levels of IgG1 and IgG3 Ig isotypes specific to PFR. Because IFN-\(\gamma\) is the main cytokine that promotes isotype switching to the IgG1 and IgG3 subclasses, our results indicate that during natural infection with *T. cruzi*, PFR elicit a type 1 cellular response. This hypothesis is further supported by the dominant IFN-\(\gamma\) response and lack of IL-4 production by PFR-stimulated PBMC from ACP and CCP. We also show that among T cells, the CD4\(^+\) CD8\(^-\) population is the main subset responsible for IFN-\(\gamma\) synthesis after stimulation with PFR proteins. However, there is a CD3\(^-\) CD4\(^+\) CD8\(^-\) cell population that apparently contributed to IFN-\(\gamma\) production. Consistent with these data are findings from other groups showing that PBMC from *T. cruzi*-infected patients in response to other *T. cruzi* antigens such as cruzipain, trans-sialidase, and B13 (1, 2, 23) are predominantly of type 1 and are characterized by high levels of IFN-\(\gamma\) production. These findings indicate that natural infection with *T. cruzi* favors the development of a Th1 response to the parasite antigens.
At this point major ethical and logistic barriers make the testing of a vaccine against Chagas' disease in humans difficult. However, the repeated experiments showing protective immunity elicited by the native PFR in rodent models (18, 19, 35, 37) and the pronounced results demonstrating the immunogenicity of PFR in humans are encouraging for testing of the vaccine in a primate model.
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