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ABSTRACT

We generalize the construction (computation of unknown function, derivative and second derivative values) for a cubic spline with specified knots subject to various sufficient conditions.

*This work was done in part under the auspices of the Atomic Energy Commission.
INTRODUCTION

In reference (1) we defined a cubic spline function on a closed interval, \([x_1, x_m]\), with knots, \(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_m\). Briefly, the properties of the spline, \(s(x)\), are:

1. On any subinterval, \([x_i, x_{i+1}]\), for \(i\) equals one to \(m-1\), \(s\) is a cubic in \(x\).

2. The first and second derivatives, \(s'\) and \(s''\) are continuous over the whole interval, \([x_1, x_m]\).

In Property (1) the expression cubic includes degenerate cases. On the interval, \([x_i, x_{i+1}]\), the function is defined by

\[ s = c_{i0} + c_{i1} x + c_{i2} x^2 + c_{i3} x^3 \quad \text{for } x \text{ in } [x_i, x_{i+1}] \]  

(1)

Where any or all of the \(c\)'s may be zero. Note also that the coefficients relate to the subinterval. This fact is indicated by the subscript, \(i\).

Now, for \(i\) equal one to \(m-2\), we can set \(j = i + 1\) and write

\[ s = c_{j0} + c_{j1} x + c_{j2} x^2 + c_{j3} x^3 \quad \text{for } x \text{ in } [x_j, x_{j+1}] \]  

(2)

The function \(s\) need not be the same cubic on the consecutive intervals. We may have

\[ c_{in} \neq c_{jn} \quad \text{for some or all } n \text{ from zero to three.} \]

From the above, it would appear that we need \(4m - 4\) values for the \(c\)'s in order to determine the spline, \(s\). However, the \(c\)'s are not independent since at any interior knot, \(x_j\), \(j = 2, m-1\), function values, \(s_j\), and by Property (2) first derivative values, \(s'\), and
and second derivative values, $s''$, as expressed in terms of $c_i$ and $c_j$ must match. Thus, we have three equations involving $c$'s at each $x_j$ for $j = 2$ to $m - 1$, for a total of $3m - 6$ equations. From

$$(4m - 4) - (3m - 6) = m + 2$$

we see that only $m + 2$ $c$-values are independent. If an independent set of $m+2$ $c$-values are known, the rest can be computed. This fact agrees with the statement in reference (2) that the space of all cubic splines with $m$ specified knots has dimension $m + 2$.

We are not interested in the $c$-values and will not compute them. We are interested in the values of the cubic spline and its derivatives at each of the knots. We have not required third derivative continuity. However, $s$ is a cubic on any subinterval, hence, $s'''$ is constant and continuous except for discontinuities ($c_i \neq c_j$) at interior knots, $x_j$. We can define a third derivative function (piecewise continuous, with discontinuities at interior knots, $x_j$) by assigning $s'''_j$, the value assumed from the right of $x_j$ (the constant $6c_j$). Fourth and all higher order derivatives are zero, as may be seen by differentiating either Equation (1) or Equation (2).

For all knots, $x_i$, for $i = 1$ to $m$, we shall call the $3m$ values, $s'_i$, $s''_i$ and $s'''_i$ for $i = 1$ to $m$ the primary values of the spline $s$ with knots $x_i$, noting that $s'''$ may be readily obtained by

$$s'''_i = (s''_j - s''_i) / (x_j - x_i)$$

for $i = 1$ to $m - 1$ with $j = i + 1$ and $s'''_m = s'''_{m-1}$
From our previous remarks about independence of the c's and dimension of the space of splines with m specified knots, we can assume that if \( m + 2 \) independent primary values are specified, the remaining

\[ 3m - (m + 2) = 2m - 2 \]

values can be computed.

In reference (1) we showed that if the m function values, \( s_i \), for \( i = 1 \) to m and either \( s'_i \) and \( s''_i \) or \( s'_1 \) and \( s''_m \) were specified, then the remaining primary values could be computed. We were able to do this in a rather special way: first computing all the unknown \( s'_i \) by solving a "tridiagonal" linear system of m equations in m unknowns and then computing all the unknown \( s''_i \) one at a time using a "two point" formula involving \( s'_1 \), \( s'_i \), \( s'_k \) and \( s'_1 \) where \( x_k \) was adjacent to \( x_i \) (either above or below). (NOTE we have \( m + 2 \) primary values specified).

Now, suppose for a function, \( y(x) \), we know \( y_i \) for a set of m distinct and increasing points, \( x_i \) for \( i = 1 \) to m, and we also know \( y'_1 \) and \( y'_m \) or \( y''_1 \) and \( y''_m \) and the function is otherwise unknown. Then the function \( y \) can be approximated by a cubic spline, \( s \), whose values are those known for \( y \). We use the method of reference (1) to find all the values, \( s'_i \) and \( s''_i \), not known and then use these for values for \( y'_1 \) and \( y''_1 \) not known. Interpolation for approximate values for \( y, y' \) and \( y'' \) at any point \( x \) in \([x_1, x_m]\) can be performed by computing \( s, s', \) and \( s'' \) at that \( x \).
However, the (two) kinds of specifications described above are by no means the only sufficient ones to determine a unique cubic spline. Many other $m + 2$ primary values, provided one value is specified at each knot and provided one value is a function value, will determine a unique cubic spline. For example, a unique cubic spline is determined if at any knot $x_j$ we specify $s_j$, $s'_j$, and $s''_j$ and at the other knots, $x_i$, $i \neq j$ we specify either $s_i$ or $s'_i$ or $s''_i$. As another example specification of $s_j$ and $s'_j$ or $s''_j$ and $s_k$ and $s'_k$ or $s''_k$ at knots, $x_j$, $x_k$ and of $s_i$ or $s'_i$ or $s''_i$ at the other knots $x_i$, $i \neq j$, $i \neq k$ is sufficient.

Unfortunately, the method of reference (1) cannot be readily adapted to solving sufficient specifications of a general nature. The usefulness of a more general method can be illustrated in connection with function approximation. For example, if for $y(x)$ we know $y_1$, $y'_1$ and $y''_1$ and $y_i$ for $i = 2$ to $m$, there is a unique cubic spline which approximates $y$ but we cannot find it (compute its derivative values) by the method of reference (1). As another example, suppose we know $y_i$ for $i$ equal 1 to $m$, and further know that at interior knots, $x_j$ and $x_k$, $y_j$ and $y_k$ are extreme values (maximum or minimum), then we can set $y'_j = 0$ and $y'_k = 0$ to sufficiently specify the unique cubic spline $s$ which approximates $y$. Further, if we know all the $y_i$ and know that between two consecutive knots $x_j$ and $x_k$ that $y$ is a straight line, then we can set $s''_j = 0$ and $s''_k = 0$ to specify the cubic spline. Thus, the need for a general method becomes apparent.
GENERAL METHOD

We wish to devise a method which will compute \(2m - 2\) unknown primary values \((s_i, s'_i, s''_i)\) from any sufficient specification of \(m + 2\) primary values. We have \(m - 1\) subintervals, \([x_i, x_j]\) where \(j = i + 1\) and \(i\) runs from one to \(m - 1\). Now, on any of these subintervals, \(s\) is a cubic and, further, the value \(s_j, s'_j\), and \(s''_j\) are those of that cubic.

We set
\[
d_i = x_j - x_i
\]
and remembering that fourth and higher derivatives of a cubic are zero, we expand \(s, s'\) and \(s''\) in Taylor's expansions from \(x_i\) to \(x_j\)
\[
s_j = s_i + d_i s'_i + d_i^2 s''_i/2 + d_i^3 s'''_i/6 \tag{3}
\]
\[
s'_j = s_i + d_i s''_i + d_i^2 s'''_i/2 \tag{4}
\]
\[
s''_j = s_i + d_i s'''_i \tag{5}
\]

Note that \(s'''_i\) is defined by "the right hand convention" mentioned earlier.

From Equation (5) we have
\[
s'''_i = (s''_j - s''_i)/d_i
\]
and we replace \(s'''_i\) in Equations (3) and (4) by its expression in \(s''_j\) and \(s'_i\) obtaining (after some transposition)
\[
s_i - s_j + d_i s'_i + d_i^2 s''_j/3 + d_i^3 s'''_j/6 = 0 \tag{6}
\]
\[
s_i - s'_j + d_i s''_i + d_i^2 s''_j/2 + d_i^3 s'''_j/2 = 0 \tag{7}
\]

Now, Equations (6) and (7) hold for \(i = 1\) to \(m - 1\) and together provide us with \(2m - 2\) equations involving \(3m\) primary values of which \(m + 2\) are
to be specified. Consequently, we have precisely $2m - 2$ equations in $2m - 2$ unknowns. When we evaluate the terms for those primary values specified and transpose them, from Equations (6) and (7) applied for $i + 1$ to $m - 1$, we have $m - 2$ equations of the form

$$\text{(sum of "unknown" terms)} = -(\text{sum of known terms})$$

which we wish to solve for the unspecified primary values. The question is - will this system be determinate (can we solve for the unknowns)?

Now, Equation (7) does not involve function values, hence, we have only $m - 1$ equations [from (6)] which do. If all $m$ function values are unspecified, we have $m$ unknowns appearing in only $m - 1$ equations. Therefore, at least one function value must be specified (as stated earlier) otherwise the system is indeterminate. Now suppose no primary value is specified at $x_1$. These values appear in Equations (6) and (7) only for $i = 1$ hence we have three unknowns appearing in only two equations and the system is indeterminate. Similarly, at $x_m$, if no primary values are specified, we have Equations (6) and (7) only for $j = m$, hence again, only two equations for three unknowns. As for interior knots, there is obviously no virtue in including a point $x_j$ for which no value (function, first derivative or second derivative) is known. Hence, we shall always require that some primary value be known at every knot (at stated earlier).

Note that the requirements above are necessary conditions; they may not be sufficient.
COMPUTATIONAL PROCEDURE

We are considering a cubic spline function, \( s \), with knots, \( x_i \) for \( i = 1 \) to \( m \) with \( m \) greater than or equal to three. We must know the values of the \( x_i \) and they must be distinct and in increasing order.

Of the primary values, \( s_i, s'_i, s''_i \) (3m in all) we must know \( m + 2 \) with at least one function value known and at least one value known at each knot. We set up an argument vector, \( x \), whose components are the \( x_i \) of dimension \( m \), and an array, \( S \), of dimension, 4 by \( m \), whose rows are (or are to be) values of \( s_i, s'_i, s''_i \) respectively for \( i = 1 \) to \( m \). Now, at the outset, we shall only know \( m + 2 \) of the primary values, hence we set up an indicator array, \( K \), of dimension three by \( m \), whose rows contain a known or unknown indication for \( s_i, s'_i \) and \( s''_i \) respectively, (for convenience, the entry is to be zero if the value is known and one if it is unknown). The values for the knots are placed in the vector, \( x \), the known primary values in the "value array", \( S \), and the corresponding indicators in indicator array, \( K \).

We begin by counting (and numbering) the unknowns as indicated in the indicator array. That is, we look at the first row of the indicator array. If the indicator is zero (known value) we do nothing, otherwise the indicator which is one is replaced by a number for that unknown, i.e. the first encountered is one, the second two, etc. We do the same for the second row of the indicator - continuing the numbering, and then for the third. If at any time the number for the unknown exceeds \( 2m - 2 \) we must stop since there are too many unknowns. If we finish up with less than \( 2m - 2 \) unknowns, we also stop. Meanwhile, we can check that at least
one function value is known and that some value is known at every knot, otherwise we stop.

We are now ready to construct the coefficient matrix, $A$, $(2m - 2)$ by $(2m - 2)$ and right-hand-side $(2m - 2)$ for the linear system of Equation (8). We now proceed for consecutive knots, $(x_i, x_j)$ for $i$ equal one to $m-1$, and $j = i + 1$, computing $d_i = x_j - x_i$ and from $d_i$ the coefficients for Equations (6) and (7). Our numbering of the unknowns now becomes important since that number is the column of the coefficient matrix corresponding to that unknown. When the indicator is zero, the value is known and the product of the coefficient and the known value must be subtracted from the right-hand-side. Otherwise, the coefficient is entered in the indicated column of the coefficient matrix, $A$. For each $i$ for $i = 1$ to $m - 1$ we obtain two rows for the coefficient matrix and two entries for the right-hand-side. As we compute the $d_i$, we can check that the $x_i$ are distinct ($d_i \neq 0$) and in proper order ($d_i > 0$), otherwise we must stop. Note that the coefficients of this paragraph are those of Equations (6) and (7) not the $c$-values mentioned earlier.

We now have a linear system of the form

$$A \vec{p} = \vec{b}$$

$A$ is $(2m - 2)$ by $(2m - 2)$, $\vec{p}$ is vector whose components are the unknown primary values in the order described above and $\vec{b}$ is right-hand-side above. Barring pathological circumstances (singular or ill-conditioned matrix, $A$) this system can be solved for the unknown vector, $\vec{p}$. We now again use our numbering system contained in the indicator array to
place the now known components of \( p \) in their appropriate places in
the value array.

We now compute \( s_i''' \) for \( i \) equal 1 to \( m - 1 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    s_i''' &= (s_j'' - s_i'')/d_i \\
    \text{and set } s_m''' &= s_m''
\end{align*}
\]

and place these answers in the fourth row of the value array. Then
this array contains all the values for \( s \).
Certainly there are pitfalls in approximating any function for which we know only enough values to specify a cubic spline. The specified values will, of course, be exact, but all other values computed for the spline may differ greatly from those of the function. Particularly, this is more likely when the two "extra" primary values (other than one per knot) are specified at one knot or at two knots close together. Hence, we need some method whereby the approximation error can be estimated.

The cubic spline approximation has zero fourth derivative values on every subinterval. In general, this will not be true for the function, y, being approximated. In order to get an estimate of errors, let us assume that s is in fact a quartic over the entire interval, \([x_1, x_m]\). Now, for y we can write for any \(i = 1\) to \(m - 1\), with \(j = i + 1\)

\[
y_j = y_i + d_i y'_i + d_i^2 y''_i/2 + d_i^3 y'''_i/6 + d_i^4 y^{iv}_i/24
\]  

(8)

\[
y'_j = y'_i + d_i y''_i + d_i^2 y'''_i/2 + d_i^3 y^{iv}_i/6
\]  

(9)

\[
y''_j = y''_i + d_i y'''_i + d_i^2 y^{iv}_i/2
\]  

(10)

where \(y^{iv}\) is a constant (not zero).

Now, we define an error function, \(e\)

\[e = s - y\]

Subtracting Equations (8), (9) and (10) from (3), (4) and (5), respectively, we obtain
Now we define a function, \( r \), by
\[
r \equiv e/y^iv \equiv (s - y)/y^iv
\]
and divide equation by \( y^iv \) (not zero) to obtain (after transposition)
\[
\begin{align*}
 r_i' - r_j' + d_i r_i'' + d_i^2 r_i'''/2 + d_i^3 r_i''''/6 &= d_i^4/24 \\
 r_i'' - r_j'' + d_i r_i''/2 &= d_i^3/6 \\
 r_i''' - r_j''' + d_i r_i'''' &= d_i^2/2
\end{align*}
\]
We solve Equation (13) for \( r_i'''' \)
\[
r_i'''' = (r_i'' - r_j'')/d_i + d_i/2
\]
and substitute in Equation (11) and (12) to obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
 r_i - r_j + d_i r_i' + d_i^2 r_i''/2 + d_i^3 r_i''''/6 &= -d_i^4/24 \\
 r_i' - r_j' + d_i r_i''/2 + d_i r_j''/2 &= -d_i^3/12
\end{align*}
\]
Now we note that the coefficients for Equation (17) and (18) are precisely those of Equations (6) and (7), however, unlike the latter, the right hand sides of the former are not zero.

Where a value of \( y_k, y_k' \) or \( y_k'' \) is specified, we have the corresponding \( e_k, e_k' \) or \( e_k'' \) equal zero, hence the corresponding of \( r_k, r_k' \) or \( r_k'' \) equal zero. Thus, transposing known terms to the right will not change the right hand sides of (17) and (18). Further, the coefficients for the unknown terms will be precisely those of Equation (8). Again we solve the
linear system
\[ Ar = d \]

where \( A \) is the same matrix mentioned earlier, \( t \) represents \( m - 2 \) unknowns corresponding to the unknown \( r_k, r'_k, r''_k \) and \( d \) is a known vector constructed from the right hand sides of Equations (17) and (18). Note that we can solve for all the unknown \( r_k, r'_k \) and \( r''_k \) and if \( y \) is indeed a quartic, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    e_k &= r_k y^{iv} \\
    e'_k &= r'_k y^{iv} \\
    e''_k &= r''_k y^{iv}
\end{align*}
\]

for \( k = 1 \) to \( m \).

Thus, for a quartic, we can compute the errors of approximation of \( s, s' \) and \( s'' \) at every knot.

In general, we are interested in the magnitude of the error rather than its sign, hence for quartic, \( y \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    |e_k| &= |r_k| |y^{iv}| \\
    |e'_k| &= |r'_k| |y^{iv}| \\
    |e''_k| &= |r''_k| |y^{iv}|
\end{align*}
\]

If \( y \) is not a quartic, \( y^{iv} \) is not constant, but if higher order derivatives are neglected, we can let

\[
y^{iv} = \max |y^{iv}|
\]

and say

\[ |e_k| \text{ is of the order } |r_k| y^{iv} \]
Methods for estimating the value of $y^{iv}$ are left to the reader. The following are suggested

(1) $y^{iv} = \max_{i=1}^{m-2} |s_j'' - s_i''|/d_i$

(2) Construct a polynomial of degree $m + 1$ (at least 4 for $m \geq 3$) from the $m + 2$ specified values (one of which must be a function value) and find the maximum absolute value for its fourth derivative.

INTERPOLATION

After $s_i$, $s_i'$, $s_i''$ and $s_i'''$ are determined for $i = 1$ to $m - 1$, we can always interpolate for $s$, $s'$ and $s''$ for any $x$ in $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$. First, we find the subinterval, $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ in which $x$ lies. Then we define

$$h = x - x_i$$

and use cubic expansions

$$s = s_i + hs_i' + \frac{h^2}{2} s_i'' + \frac{h^3}{6} s_i'''$$

$$s' = s_i' + hs_i'' + \frac{h^2}{2} s_i'''$$

$$s'' = s_i'' + hs_i'''$$

If $s$ is being used to approximate a function, $y$, and the error of approximation $e$ is acceptable, for any $x$ in $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ we can let

$$y = s$$

If $e'$ is acceptable, let

$$y' = s'$$
and if \( e'' \) is acceptable, let

\[ y'' = s'' \]

**COMPUTER CODE**

A computer routine, UNVSPY, has been written in FORTRAN to compute all the unknown values for any properly specified cubic spline. If desired, it will also compute absolute error coefficients, \( |r_k|, |r'_k| \) and \( |r''_k| \) applicable for approximating some other function, \( y \). It makes no estimate of \( y' \) and does not decide if the approximation is acceptable. However, if the user is able to do this for his particular function, \( y \), and so desires, the subroutine on another option will interpolate for an array of \( x \) values the corresponding values, \( s, s' \) and \( s'' \), which can be used to approximate \( y, y' \) and \( y'' \) at these \( x \) values. Extrapolation is not permitted, all the values \( x \) must lie in \([x_1, x_m]\).

Listing and instructions for use of this routine are available from the author.
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