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1. Introduction

Future magnetic confinement fusion devices face major challenges in the areas of plasma exhaust, pedestal and edge localized mode (ELM) physics as well as fast particle and current drive physics. MAST [1] \((A = \varepsilon^{-1} = R/a = 0.85 m/0.65 m \sim 1.3, I_p \sim 1.5 MA)\), one of the two leading spherical tokamaks (STs) in the world (similar to NSTX [2]), is well suited to advance the physics basis for ITER\(^\text{30} [3]\), DEMO or an ST based component test facility (CTF) [4–6] in these areas [7,8]. The MAST Upgrade project [9,10] (under procurement) will strengthen this even further with the new upper and lower divertor, the off-axis neutral beam heating, the new centre column allowing for a 90% higher flux swing, a 50% higher toroidal field with five times higher \(I^2t\) and higher shaping capabilities. These substantial upgrades to the load assembly, the heating system and the power supplies will enable detailed studies of novel divertor concepts including Super-X [11] and Snow flake [12], fast particle physics and current drive in a much wider operating space. On MAST the hot \(T_i \leq 3 \text{ keV}, T_e \leq 2 \text{ keV}, \) dense \(n_e = (0.1–1) \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}\) and highly shaped (\(\delta \leq 0.5, 1.6 \leq \kappa \leq 2.5\)) plasmas are accessed at moderate toroidal field \(B_t(R = 0.7 m) \leq 0.62 \text{T}\) giving access to regimes at high \(\beta = 2\mu_0 B_0 / B_t^2\), strong rotation, where finite Larmor radius, \(\rho_i\), and magnetic field...
curvature effects are enhanced. Apart from this ST unique parameter regime MAST plasmas also show many similarities to conventional aspect ratio tokamaks. Research during the last two years has delivered important results for the ITER physics basis with respect to ELM mitigation (section 5), fast particle physics (section 6), L–H thresholds (section 5), heat loads (section 5) and neoclassical tearing modes (NTMs) (section 8). Furthermore, MAST data also helped to resolve critical design issues for the Upgrade such as the thermal performance of the sliding joint design for the higher toroidal field and longer pulse length by validating the modelled performance against data from prototype joints, or testing a controllable high field side mid-plane gas valve (section 9). New radial field power supplies for the vertical stabilization control have been incorporated into the Upgrade scope after extensive testing of the old power supplies on MAST and analysing the feedback response to ELMs. The increased understanding of the fast-ion redistribution with off-axis NBI (see section 6) suggests that the initially proposed beam geometry could be improved by angling one of the new beam lines upwards. The engineering implications of this are currently under investigation.

2. Pedestal and ELMs

The physics determining the width of the edge transport barrier in H-mode and therefore the width and height of the pedestal is not yet well understood. In recent years a predictive model for both the height and width of the pedestal has been developed [13], where the pedestal gradient is limited by kinetic ballooning modes (KBM) and the growth of the pedestal is ultimately limited by the ideal peeling–ballooning stability limit. On MAST the evolution of the electron pedestal between type-I ELMs has been investigated in detail using the recently upgraded Thomson scattering system [14–16].

Figure 1 shows the profile of the electron pressure and its gradient at different times during a type-I ELM cycle on MAST. The data in figures 1(a) and (b) are constructed by combining the fitted data from 50 individual profiles at different stages of the ELM cycle from three similar shots and using least-squares fits of the fitting parameters to construct the inter ELM profiles. In a single inter ELM period the MAST Thomson scattering system can measure eight profiles using the eight lasers triggered with respect to an ELM event. In the discharges analysed here the normal time resolution of $\Delta t = 4.33$ ms was used leading to an average of three profiles in an inter ELM cycle (see figures 1(c)–(e)). Using the method described in [15] of sorting profiles of different ELM cycles in three shots with respect to their time before the next ELM, fitting each profile with a modified tanh fit and deriving an average evolution of the fitting parameters through the ELM cycle in principle an arbitrary resolution can be achieved of a smoothed ELM cycle. The reconstructed average evolution agrees well with the limited number of profiles from individual laser pulses during one ELM cycle [15, 16] as can be seen from figures 1(d)–(g) showing the measured profiles with error bars and fits (d)–(e) as well as the pressure and its gradient (f)–(g) calculated from the fits at three times during the ELM cycle (c). It is the pedestal width that evolves the most, rather than the steepness of gradient. Also the region of steepest gradient moves further inwards. With
respect to ideal peeling–ballooning stability this means that the widening of the pedestal region results in a decrease of the unstable pressure gradient limit [15]. A comparison between the pedestal evolution at low and high collisionality of the pedestal \(v^\text{ped}\) has been done, although in a non-dimensionless way. \(v^* = v^\text{ped} / (qR_0) \exp(-qR/qR_0)\) is the electron collision frequency \(v^\text{ped}\) normalized to the ion bounce time \(\epsilon = a/R\); inverse aspect ratio, \(q = r_B / R_B\) safety factor). Whilst the evolution of the pedestal pressure in time is similar at high and low \(v^\text{ped}\), the pedestal width and position evolve more slowly at low \(v^\text{ped}\). At high \(v^\text{ped}\) only \(n^\text{ped}\) increases at constant \(f^\text{ped}\).

A linear micro-stability analysis (at normalized perpendicular wavelength \(k_j \rho_i \sim \mathcal{O}(1)\)) of the high \(v^\text{ped}\) profiles using the global gyro-kinetic (GK) code GS2 [17] shows that micro-tearing modes (MTMs) dominate the flatter gradient plateau region just inboard of the pedestal, and that KBMs dominate at the knee and in the steep gradient region of the pressure profile [15–18]. As can be seen from figure 2, a mode transition arises during the ELM cycle as the pressure pedestal broadens and the knee approaches \(\psi_N = \sqrt{\psi - \psi_0}/(|\psi - \psi_0|) \approx 0.96\) (\(\psi_0\): poloidal flux at magnetic axis, \(\psi_N\): magnetic flux at last closed flux surface). The increasing \(\partial n/\partial r\) and \(\partial p/\partial r\) stabilize the MTMs until KBMs are driven unstable, which may be important in explaining the inward advance of the pedestal. In the steepest \(\partial n/\partial r\) region KBMs become more stable due to increasing bootstrap current [16] and are fully stable at low \(v^\text{ped}\) [18, 19]. Studies using measured edge current profiles and GK modelling with global codes are needed to elucidate the role of the KBMs for the pedestal further.

3. ELM mitigation

In ITER and DEMO type-I ELMs need to be mitigated or suppressed to protect the plasma facing components [20], e.g. by using resonant magnetic perturbations (RMPs) [21–24]. The internal coil set on MAST has recently been upgraded from 12 coils to 18 internal coils, 12 below and 6 above the mid-plane, to study ELM mitigation with \(n = 2, 3, 4, 6\) RMP fields.

In figure 3 an example of mitigation of type-I ELMs using \(n = 6\) RMP is shown. Clearly with the application of RMPs (blue) an increase of the ELM frequency \(f^\text{RMP}_\text{ELM}\) over the natural type-I ELM frequency \(f^\text{nat}_\text{ELM}\) is observed, here a factor \(f^\text{RMP}_\text{ELM} / f^\text{nat}_\text{ELM} \approx 3\). When the perturbation is switched off \(f^\text{nat}_\text{ELM}\) is recovered. Various scans with different mode spectra and plasma shape have shown that on MAST above a certain threshold perturbation \(0.7 \times 10^{-4} < b^\text{res}_r < 1.5 \times 10^{-4}\) the ELM frequency increases linearly with perturbation strength. Here, \(b^\text{res}_r\) is the normalized radial component of the resonant perturbation field including the plasma response calculated with MARS-F [25]. The threshold itself depends on the applied spectrum. The ELM energy loss \(\Delta W^\text{ELM}\) is consistent with \(f^\text{RMP}_\text{ELM} \Delta W^\text{ELM} = \text{const.}\) as for unmitigated ELMs. An increase of \(f^\text{RMP}_\text{ELM} / f^\text{nat}_\text{ELM}\) up to 9 has been observed with a particular \(n = 3\) configuration. The upgraded coil set has now also the unique capability to perform a pitch angle scan of the \(n = 3\) perturbation at a fixed \(\psi_0\) to test the resonance condition. This is done by keeping the current in the upper six coils constant, whilst changing the ratio of currents in the 12 lower coils operated in pairs. The sensitivity to the alignment together with modelling including the plasma response [26] suggests that the perturbation strength close to the X-point plays an
important role to affect the ELMs, although studies have as yet failed to identify a single parameter for ELM mitigation.

The application of the RMPs leads to a reduction in the edge pressure gradient [14]. Consequently, these profiles are well in the stable region of the peeling–ballooning stability diagram (see figure 4), despite the ELM frequency being higher. Hence, either the peeling–ballooning picture is no longer valid, or the usual 2D stability analysis is not applicable.

With perturbation fields above the threshold for ELM mitigation or density pump out (see above), lobe structures at the X-point appear as can be seen from figure 5(a) [27]. These structures are also predicted by vacuum field calculations of the perturbed equilibrium using the ERGOS code [28] (see figure 5(b)). The application of RMPs also leads to periodic corrugation of the axisymmetric equilibrium. The capability on MAST to rotate the phase of the perturbation was used to compare the experimental profiles, i.e. from Thomson scattering and visible imaging, with a 3D equilibrium reconstruction using the ANIMEC code [29] showing good agreement between data and modelling. Including 3D effects into the stability analysis is likely to change the peeling–ballooning stability boundary. Addition of structures close to the X-point in a 2D boundary for example reduces the pressure ballooning stability boundary. Including 3D effects could be larger than the mitigated ELMs [31]. In contrast, the application of RMPs also mitigates the first ELM. Furthermore, shallow (r/a > 0.8) pellet injection is shown to be at least compatible with the L–H transition, if not beneficial [34]. The density dependence of the L–H transition has been studied in plasmas without RMPs [14]. Power scans at three different densities, $n_e = (1.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$, $n_e = (2.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and $n_e = (2.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$, were performed by changing the level of NBI power on a shot to shot basis. Here, the power was only changed after the current ramp-up phase and the L–H transition was suppressed until the current and density flat-top was reached, using the sensitivity of $P_{\text{L–H}}$ to the magnetic configuration [35, 36]. This is to avoid effects of different current profile evolution on the relative $P_{\text{L–H}}$ measurements.

At the lowest density the available power of $P_{\text{NBI}} = 3.5 \text{ MW}$ was not sufficient to access a clear ELMy H-mode. Instead only an intermediate phase, $H$, is observed where the $D_a$ light dithers at frequencies of $4 \text{ kHz} \leq f_{\text{d}} \leq 5 \text{ kHz}$. This phase exists to very low density $n_e \approx 1.0 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and over a wide range of input power. At the higher density ELMy H-mode is preceded by a similar phase, but the range in input power is reduced as well as the duration of this phase before the transition to ELMy H-mode occurs. This behaviour can be seen from figure 6 showing (a) the $D_a$ traces at different densities and (b) an indicative existence space in $P_{\text{loss}}$ and $n_e$. The periods ($\Delta t < 200 \mu \text{s}$) with low $D_a$ emission represent states of decreased edge turbulence measured with a reflectometer, beam emission spectroscopy (BES) and fast visible imaging. The periods are too short for the kinetic profiles to evolve significantly [37].

The dynamics of the toroidal and poloidal He$^+$ flow, representing the radial electric field, as the plasma enters the low turbulence periods in the $H$ phase is indistinguishable from the behaviour at the transition where the transport barrier can be sustained. Both the toroidal and poloidal velocity, measured by Doppler spectroscopy, indicate a more negative electric field with a stronger gradient in the edge region, just inside the last closed flux surface as can be seen from figures 7(a) and (b). However, turbulent filaments are seen to erupt from the plasma before the flow shear is lost. This would be consistent

4. L–H transition

A further critical issue for ITER is the access to H-mode. The dependence of the threshold power to access H-mode, $P_{\text{L–H}}$, on ELM mitigation techniques and on density is of particular interest. Previous results showed a detrimental effect with the application of $n = 3$ RMPs on DIII-D, MAST and NSTX [33]. In these devices $P_{\text{L–H}}$ increased by up to a factor of two at perturbation strength needed for ELM mitigation. In contrast, the application of $n = 4, 6$ RMPs does not lead to a noticeable increase in $P_{\text{L–H}}$ on MAST and also mitigates the first ELM. Furthermore, shallow (r/a > 0.8) pellet injection is shown to be at least compatible with the L–H transition, if not beneficial [34]. The density dependence of the L–H transition has been studied in plasmas without RMPs [14]. Power scans at three different densities, $n_e = (1.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$, $n_e = (2.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and $n_e = (2.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$, were performed by changing the level of NBI power on a shot to shot basis. Here, the power was only changed after the current ramp-up phase and the L–H transition was suppressed until the current and density flat-top was reached, using the sensitivity of $P_{\text{L–H}}$ to the magnetic configuration [35, 36]. This is to avoid effects of different current profile evolution on the relative $P_{\text{L–H}}$ measurements.

At the lowest density the available power of $P_{\text{NBI}} = 3.5 \text{ MW}$ was not sufficient to access a clear ELMy H-mode. Instead only an intermediate phase, $H$, is observed where the $D_a$ light dithers at frequencies of $4 \text{ kHz} \leq f_{\text{d}} \leq 5 \text{ kHz}$. This phase exists to very low density $n_e \approx 1.0 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and over a wide range of input power. At the higher density ELMy H-mode is preceded by a similar phase, but the range in input power is reduced as well as the duration of this phase before the transition to ELMy H-mode occurs. This behaviour can be seen from figure 6 showing (a) the $D_a$ traces at different densities and (b) an indicative existence space in $P_{\text{loss}}$ and $n_e$. The periods ($\Delta t < 200 \mu \text{s}$) with low $D_a$ emission represent states of decreased edge turbulence measured with a reflectometer, beam emission spectroscopy (BES) and fast visible imaging. The periods are too short for the kinetic profiles to evolve significantly [37].

The dynamics of the toroidal and poloidal He$^+$ flow, representing the radial electric field, as the plasma enters the low turbulence periods in the $H$ phase is indistinguishable from the behaviour at the transition where the transport barrier can be sustained. Both the toroidal and poloidal velocity, measured by Doppler spectroscopy, indicate a more negative electric field with a stronger gradient in the edge region, just inside the last closed flux surface as can be seen from figures 7(a) and (b). However, turbulent filaments are seen to erupt from the plasma before the flow shear is lost. This would be consistent
Figure 6. (a) Dα traces for discharges at different densities close to the L–H transition. The density increases from bottom to top. The H–phase is shown in green and the ELMy H-mode in red. The L to H–phase transition is marked by the vertical line. For the medium density case two power values are shown leading to a sustained H–phase with the lower power and a delayed transition to ELMy H-mode at higher power. (b) Indicative Ploss versus \( \bar{n}_e \) existence space with measurement points overlaid.

Figure 7. Boxcar averaged (a) poloidal and (b) toroidal He\(^+\) flow profiles with respect to (c) the boxcar averaged Dα emission as proxy for the edge turbulence.

with a picture where the turbulence transports vorticity out of the edge region. Pending a detailed comparison this phase has some signatures of the I-phase observed in other devices [38, 39], a limit cycle oscillation between turbulence driven flow shear and plasma turbulence. The dynamics of the flow seems to be incompatible at least with simple predator–prey models [40, 41], where the predator, turbulence driven flow shear, feeds on the prey, the turbulence. Here, a simple phase relation between flow shear and turbulence would be expected [42]. Geodesic acoustic modes (GAMs), also often connected to the I-phase [38], have been observed in \( I_p = 0.4 \) MA ohmic discharges on MAST and are found to be affected by RMPs suggesting a coupling to Alfvénic perturbations [43].

5. Exhaust

The conditions in the scrape-off-layer (SOL) set the boundary for the pedestal region, hence influencing both the L–H transition [44] and the dynamics of the pedestal [45, 46]. All large future fusion devices face the challenge of how to deal with the high heat and particle fluxes impinging on the plasma facing components. In tokamaks and stellarators the SOL and divertor are key to this. The MAST Upgrade will incorporate, therefore, upper and lower divertors providing closure at the X-points. A total of 16 coils allow for substantial changes of the magnetic geometry in the divertor volume [47, 48]. Here, the flux expansion at the target as well as further up-stream and the connection length can be changed. This also includes a so called Super-X configuration (SXD) [11]. The operation with a radially extended outer leg is in particular attractive for future high power ST devices, such as a CTF, although it could also mitigate the heat flux in conventional tokamaks. The tight aspect ratio leading to the smaller strike point radius in conventional divertor configurations leads also to a much stronger variation of \( B_t \) with \( R \), which translates into a strong reduction of the parallel heat flux. The divertor design has been assessed with a variety of tools including edge modelling with SOLPS [49].

Selected results from an example simulation comparing SXD and a conventional configuration are shown in figure 8 [50]. In this simulation the flux expansion at the target leads to a strong reduction in (b) peak energy flux with little change in
Figure 8. Example SOLPS calculations for MAST Upgrade comparing the conventional divertor (red) with Super-X (black): (a) 2D density, (b) heat and (c) particle flux at the target. Also shown in (b) is a SXD heat flux profile scaled to the maximum of the CD profile (grey, dotted).

Figure 9. Comparison of heat flux profiles at the lower outer strike point from Langmuir probes (LP, black) assuming $T_i = T_e$ (red) IR camera and (blue) probes using $T_i$ from the divertor RFEA (blue).

(c) the peak particle flux. The SOL both for particles and heat flux is broadened in the SXD case. The SOL heat transport is dominated by conduction leading to a stronger reduction of $T_e$ and $T_i$ at the target in SXD due to the longer connection length. Parameter scans have been performed with SOLPS to test the robustness of specific Upgrade design features. This is necessary to also address uncertainties arising from the ad hoc anomalous diffusivity representing the turbulent cross field (radial) transport in SOLPS. Furthermore, a 1D model has been developed (SOLF1D) and successfully benchmarked against the SOLPS calculation [50]. Here, a strong reduction of heat flux is observed when the divertor leg is stretched to a larger radius or if the recycling source is increased with the SOL volume.

Assumptions not only enter SOL simulations, but also data interpretation. The ion temperature in front of the target plate is one of such assumptions, affecting the interpretation of Langmuir probe measurements. For the first time a retarding field energy analyser (RFEA) has now been used in the down-stream divertor region of MAST to measure $T_i$ close to the target [47, 51, 52]. Figure 9 shows heat flux profiles at the lower outer strike-point during an inter ELM period in a $I_p = 0.9$ MA type-I ELMy H-mode from Langmuir probes assuming $T_i = T_e$ (black dots), the IR camera (red circles) and Langmuir probe data using $T_i$ from the RFEA measurement (blue squares). The inclusion of the measured ion temperature $T_i / T_e \leq 3$ clearly brings the IR and Langmuir probe measurements into closer agreement in the high heat flux region around the strike point, but further out in the SOL leads to an overestimate of the heat flux most pronounced in the region $0.04 \, m \leq R - R_{sep} \leq 0.07 \, m$. With increasing collisionality $T_i / T_e$ evolves towards unity as expected. The divertor RFEA measurements are augmented by a similar system at the outer mid-plane, thus allowing the study of the changes of $T_i$ towards the divertor in the SOL for the first time in a tokamak. As shown previously for the pedestal region [37] also in the mid-plane SOL $T_i > T_e$ holds [51, 53] agreeing well with edge charge exchange measurements.

These new measurements enhance confidence in the heat load measurements with the IR camera during mitigated and unmitigated ELMs [24, 54]. As can be seen from figure 10 the peak heat flux to the target is reduced for mitigated ELMs
corresponding to their ELM size, which follows the same \( \Delta W \cdot f_{ELM} = \text{const.} \) dependence as natural ELMs [54]. In addition the wetted area decreases with decreasing ELM size as has been observed in other devices. At vanishing ELM size (\( \Delta W_{ELM} \to 0 \) kJ) the linearly extrapolated peak heat flux would be much larger than the measured inter ELM heat flux \( q_i \approx 0.5\text{–}1.5 \text{ MW m}^{-2} \) and a deviation from the linear trend may occur, although MAST data currently show no evidence for this. Strike point spitting during ELM mitigation with RMPs is measured from C II narrow band visible imaging in the divertor. These measurements agree well with ERGOS vacuum modelling of the perturbations, though at reduced RMP coil current in ERGOS, implying some screening by the plasma. 

Not only the peak heat flux, but also the heat flux profile is important to assess divertor performance in future devices. A database of MAST L- and H-mode discharges has been constructed [55] using a new way of fitting target heat flux profiles developed on JET and ASDEX Upgrade [56] to feed into a multi-machine database [57] and to arrive at a scaling of the heat flux decay length, \( \lambda_q \), with machine parameters. However, a first principle understanding of the SOL transport processes leading to the profiles of \( n_e, T_e \) and \( q_{\text{heal}} \) at the target is needed. Many aspects of the L-mode filament dynamics at the mid-plane in MAST seemed to be captured by electrostatic interchange turbulence simulations with ESEL [58, 59]. The simulations not only reproduce the ion saturation current mean profile, but also many of the statistical properties of the observed turbulence. Parameter scans of these ESEL simulations have been used to arrive at a scaling for \( \lambda_q \) at the mid-plane [58]. To make predictions for the target profiles the simple model for the parallel transport in ESEL needs to be improved. Nevertheless, the scaling law, which is in broad agreement to a recent experimental scaling [60], reproduce the expectation that \( \lambda_q \) does not simply increase with machine size confirming the importance of the forthcoming experimentation in MAST Upgrade [47, 58]. In particular it is found that \( \lambda_q \propto I_p^{-1} \) as experimentally seen on many devices for L-mode [60] and H-mode [57] and increases with the parallel length scale in the SOL, both quantities can be changed in the MAST Upgrade over a large range.

6. Current drive and fast particle physics

Current profile, \( j(r) \), control and non-inductive current drive are likely needed in future tokamaks and in particular in STs. The JINTRAC integrated suite of codes [61], routinely used for modelling JET discharges, has been interfaced with the MAST data to increase the interpretative and predictive capability beyond TRANSP [62]. Modelling of the current ramp-up is used as a validation exercise for both codes and previous ramp-up modelling with TRANSP on MAST [63, 64] and JET [65] suggested a faster modelled current diffusion than observed in the experiment. In the simulations the current diffusion is rather sensitive to errors in the equilibrium reconstruction or experimental mappings. Hence, a set of highly repeatable discharges on MAST with different NBI onset times during the current ramp up to allow MSE measurements within 5 to 10 ms after beam onset were used to build-up a ‘quasi-’ohmic \( j(r, t) \) derived from a MSE constrained equilibrium reconstruction on a shot to shot basis [64]. Comparing these new measurements directly with synthetic data from the modelling confirms the previous results of slower \( j(r) \) evolution in the experiment (see figure 11).

A key question for future tokamaks is the interplay between fast particle driven instabilities and current drive [66]. Beam ions being super-Alfvénic in STs mimic important aspects of \( \alpha \)-particles and understanding of neutral beam current drive (NBCD) in the presence of high fast particle pressure is a key aim for the planned Upgrade. The recently installed four channel movable neutron camera (NC) [67] and poloidal and toroidal fast-ion \( D_r \) emission diagnostic (FIDA) [68] allow detailed studies of the fast-ion redistribution to be performed already in MAST. In particular the redistribution of fast-ions due to \( n = 1 \) fishbones has been studied in detail [69, 70]. In figure 12 measured neutron emission profiles for a dedicated set of discharges during \( n = 1 \) fishbone activity are compared with synthetic data of detailed TRANSP runs of the discharges with varying assumptions for the anomalous fast-ion diffusion model in TRANSP with a simple diffusion coefficient uniform in real and velocity space to assess what global level of redistribution may be present. Similar double null discharges (DNDs) with on-axis and single null discharges (SNDs) with off-axis NBI are compared at two different power levels using the same injection energy. In the DND cases an anomalous (\( \dot{Q}_{\text{el}} = 3 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1} \)) redistribution is confirmed by the NC data at high power, whereas at low power no significant anomalous redistribution is needed to explain the

![Figure 11. Comparison of the (top) \( q \) and (bottom) current density profile evolution during an ohmic current ramp-up with MSE measurements using the NBI onset from a multi shot analysis. Results from (red) an MSE constrained equilibrium reconstruction (EFIT) and (blue) neoclassical poloidal field diffusion (TRANSP) are shown for the (solid) initial profiles and (dashed) profiles after 50 ms evolution at \( dI/dt = 5 \text{ MA s}^{-1} \) ramp speed.](https://example.com/figure11)
measurements. Here, the higher power causes the $n = 1$ fishbone amplitude to increase by more than a factor of two. Off-axis NBI should lead to a reduced fishbone drive even at high power, since the fast-ion pressure gradient in the core is reduced. Indeed in these discharges only weak $n = 1$ activity is found and consequently, no fast-ion redistribution is needed to explain the neutron data. The new local and energy resolved FIDA data is consistent with the assumption of $D_{FI}$ constant in space and energy [71, 72]. However, the data from the poloidal FIDA system seems to suggest that there may be a loss of trapped fast-ions even under conditions where the neutron data can be modelled assuming no anomalous fast-ion transport. In contrast to the loss of fast-ions, which affects the neutron emission strongly, the loss of trapped fast-ions may not produce a significant drop in the neutron rate.

These new data can be compared with HAGIS [73] that links the $n = 1$ fishbone mode amplitude to the TRANSP anomalous diffusion coefficient [70]. A highly parallelized new Monte-Carlo full-orbit beam injection code LOCUST-GPU [74], running on dedicated graphics processors, has been developed to calculate smooth representations of the experimental fast-ion distribution function $f_{FI} = f_{FI}(E, p_\phi, \mu; \sigma)$ expressed in terms of constants of motion, to be used directly in the HAGIS modelling extending the calculations using model fast-ion distribution functions presented previously [8]. This, together with the incorporation of collisional drag into the HAGIS modelling of resonant beam ions, has led to an improved understanding of the interactions of these ions with various electromagnetic modes, as well as fast-ion redistribution during fishbones. In particular the inclusion of dynamical friction is important when modelling super-Alfvénic particles. It has been found that the redistribution during fishbones can be expressed as effective diffusion and convection both scaling quadratically with the mode amplitude [70]. However, the transport coefficients computed using HAGIS are not sufficient to account for the drops in neutron emission during fishbone excitation, suggesting that other processes may be contributing to the fast-ion redistribution.

Other mechanisms for fast-ion redistribution and fast-ion losses have also been studied. The ion loss due to the toroidal field ripple has been modelled with CUEBIT [75] and shown to contribute only $0.1 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ or less to $D_{FI}$. The changes to the fast-ion distribution caused by sawtooth crashes and an internal $n = 1$ kink mode (long-lived mode, LLM) [76] have also been measured with the NC and FIDA [67, 72]. During the LLM neutron emission is reduced in the core. The FIDA data are best matched during this phase using a core localized $D_{FI} \approx 6 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ in contrast to the lower but global redistribution during fishbone activity. Synthetic FIDA and NC diagnostics are embedded in LOCUST-GPU, for better data analysis. An example of the modelled $D_{\alpha}$ spectrum is shown in figure 13.

At the low toroidal field in MAST the high ratio of fast-ion velocity to the Alfvén speed (up to 2.5) leads to the excitation of compressional Alfvén eigenmodes (CAEs) [77] with $n > 0$ rotating in the co-current direction. Such modes have to be excited by the anomalous Doppler resonance condition.
flow shear subcritical turbulence may cause a finite ion heat flux in regimes where the linear growth rate of the micro-instabilities vanishes [82, 83]. The experimental dependence of $R/L_{\|} (L_{\|}^{-1} \equiv \nabla \ln f$: gradient length) on toroidal flow shear and $q/e$ is in reasonable agreement with cyclone base-case simulations (a generalized circular s-alpha, tokamak equilibrium, frequently used to benchmark simulations [84]) to obtain the zero-turbulence manifold [81, 83].

Turbulence measurements have not only been compared to non-linear GK calculations [81], but also a database of turbulence characteristics has been compiled to perform a statistical comparison to associated linear and non-linear time scales [81, 85]. In figure 15 the measured turbulence correlation times $\tau_c$ are compared to (a) the drift time $\tau_\ast = (l_i/\rho_i) L_i/v_{th,i}$ ($L_i = \min[L_r, L_n]$, $l_i$: poloidal correlation length), (b) the parallel streaming time $\tau_{ab} = (\pi r/v_{th,i}) B/B_p \sim l_i/v_{th,i} (B_p$: poloidal field), (c) the magnetic drift time $\tau_m = (l_i/\rho_i) R/v_{th,i}$ and (d) the perpendicular velocity shearing time $\tau_{ab} = [(B_p/B)\partial U_\phi/\partial r]^{-1} (U_\phi$: toroidal mean flow). The data show that $\tau_c \sim \tau_{ab} \sim \tau_m$, which indicates a ‘grand critical balance’ [82] where these basic turbulence characteristics can be predicted from the equilibrium quantities alone. Furthermore, this implies that the parallel and perpendicular correlation length within the flux surface are not independent [85].

It is evident from figure 15(d) that for most of the data in our ion-scale turbulence database the $E \times B$ shearing time $\tau_{ab}$ is longer than the correlation time of the turbulence $\tau_c$. This indicates that where strong ion-scale turbulence is observed, i.e. primarily in the periphery of L-mode plasmas, that the $E \times B$ shearing rate is not the controlling factor governing the de-correlation of the turbulence. It is conjectured in [85] that a significant component of the turbulent amplitude due to zonal flows, which does not contribute to the amplitude of the density

\[
\omega - k_i v_i = l_0 \omega_i \quad \text{with} \quad l = -1 \quad (\omega_i: \text{ion cyclotron frequency})
\]

and are driven by the beam anisotropy $T_i > T_n$. Usually CAEs are excited at the normal Doppler resonance $l = +1$ and have $n < 0$.

7. Core transport

In spherical tokamaks ion energy transport is often found to be close to neoclassical, due to the strong perpendicular flow shear stabilizing ITG turbulence [78], except in the periphery of L-mode plasmas where the level of flow shear is too weak [79]. Electron heat transport on the other hand remains strongly anomalous, with one candidate explanation being ETG turbulence, which is less affected by sheared flow [78]. Although in H-mode plasmas in MAST (and indeed also in NSTX) ion-scale turbulence is largely stabilized by $E \times B$ flow shear [78, 79], strong ion-scale turbulence is present in the peripheral regions of L-mode plasmas where the level of flow shear is insufficient to fully stabilize this turbulence, this difference being an important feature that distinguishes L- and H-mode plasmas. Otherwise, the core transport properties of L- and H-mode plasmas are rather similar with anomalous, strong ion-scale turbulence being largely stabilized in both cases. This has been confirmed using the new 2D (8 radial poloidal) BES system [80]. A comparison of BES measurements of L-mode density fluctuations with synthetic data from various NEMORB (global non-linear GK) [79] simulations is shown in figure 14. The level of L-mode turbulence is sensitive to inclusion of: (A) kinetic electrons, (B) collisions and (C) rotation shear (figure 14). The flow shear, as well as all other prescribed profiles in these calculations, has been taken from a detailed TRANSP analysis of the experiment. Inclusion of (A) and (B) brings simulated turbulence amplitude within factor of 2–3 to the experimental data and adding (C) may improve agreement further [81]. Also, at high parallel
fluctuations detected by the BES system, may be playing a role in the de-correlation of the turbulence instead.

8. Core stability

The NTM is considered as one of the most detrimental modes with respect to confinement and to the probability of disruptions for ITER [87]. In particular modes with \( m/n = 2/1 \) helicity (\( m \) poloidal and \( n \) toroidal mode number) are the most dangerous. To understand the NTM stability it is important to determine the critical island width, \( w_c \), that leads to a flattening of the pressure profile inside the island and thereby the loss of bootstrap current. A common method to determine \( w_c \) is to observe the island evolution in experiments where the plasma \( \beta_p \) is ramped down \([88, 89]\). Examining the heat transport inside the island, however, is a more fundamental method to understand NTM stability. Using field-programmable gate array (FPGA) based event triggering of the Thomson scattering system \([90, 91]\) the \( T_e \) and \( n_e \) profiles at eight time slices through the rotating 2/1 island of an NTM have been measured for the first time in an ST \([92]\).

A 2D simple heat transport model assuming divergence-free diffusive heat transport in the island with constant parallel and perpendicular heat diffusivity has been used to fit to the \( T_e \) profile. The model has been validated against the island width estimation during \( \beta_p \) ramps. Figures 16(a) and (b) show the good comparison between experimental and modelled \( T_e \) profiles. From the modelling of an ensemble of similar discharges with saturated NTMs the different terms in the modified Rutherford equation have been estimated with errors resulting from the measurement errors (see figure 16(c)) with the most unstable term being the bootstrap drive and all other terms small and stabilizing. A key feature of this analysis is that no specific models for parallel or perpendicular heat transport are assumed, which has not been the case in many previous analyses of NTM growth. The same technique of intelligent event triggering can be used to mitigate the NTM in H-mode by shifting the plasma briefly of DND triggering a short L-mode transition \([36]\) leading to a temporary reduction of \( \beta_p \) \([91]\).

Disruption avoidance and mitigation are key features for ITER due to the large forces and the potential for runaway electron generation. On MAST a systematic study of disruption mitigation by massive gas injection with respect to gas load and impurity species \([47, 93, 94]\) supports findings on other devices. The peak energy load can be reduced by 60\% compared to unmitigated disruptions and the best results with respect to penetration speed and thermal quench time are achieved with an Ar(10\%)/He mix. The relative reduction of energy is found to increase with increasing stored energy.

9. EBW imaging and other technical improvements

One of the most exciting novel diagnostics on MAST is the electron Bernstein emission synthetic aperture imaging \([95, 96]\), which measures the emission window in poloidal and toroidal angle at \( \Delta \tau \approx 10 \mu s \) time resolution with a 10 MHz sampling rate. This is achieved by using an array of eight antennas to synthesize a 2D image and 16 frequencies for probing at different plasma densities (radial positions) giving 3D information of the EBW emission. Figure 17(a) shows the modelled EBW emission using a 1D full wave mode-coupling code complemented with 3D ray-tracing \([97]\) and figure 17(b) the corresponding measurement. The angular positions of the emission cones show excellent agreement, with the vertical elongation in the experimental data caused by the shape of the antenna array, and should allow ELM-resolved measurements of \( j(r) \) in the steep gradient region of the pedestal. The system simultaneously also records active data using one of the antennas as the emitter and recording the reflected power similar to Doppler back scattering, providing density turbulence and velocity fluctuation measurements. A 2D image of the turbulent plasma flows reconstructed from the Doppler shift of the reflected signal is shown in figure 17(c).

The analysis of the large amount of data is ongoing, but the first results are promising with respect to flows and ELM-resolved edge current densities.
As several other systems on MAST this diagnostic is also driven by FPGAs and the application of FPGAs in fusion technology on MAST is growing with development and individual programming done in-house. Apart from the event triggering, already mentioned, an intelligent drive of the TAE antenna has been developed to stop the drive power briefly whilst measuring the plasma response and a system to improve the vertical feedback control is in development to be used on MAST Upgrade.

New piezo-electric valve mechanisms were developed for the HFS mid-plane gas fuelling and the movable gas nozzle. The former, currently operated with a solenoid drive, now enables the mid-plane HFS fuelling to be shut off, thus avoiding the low pedestal temperatures prevalent with continuous fuelling. Here, the valve mechanism is extended from the outside of the vessel to provide sealing close to the plasma. This gives similar fuelling rates to the old system at ten times lower gas pressure and has enabled disruption mitigation studies with massive gas injection from the high field side. The latter allows a modulated gas influx with up to 500 Hz close to the plasma with response times <1 ms and fuelling rates of the order of 10^21 particles s^{-1} e.g. for impurity transport studies. Both valve systems act as prototypes for the fuelling system design on the MAST Upgrade. In particular the HFS mid-plane fuelling location is foreseen to be the main fuelling location on the Upgrade and needs to be able to support density feedback.

10. Summary

MAST continues to make important contributions to ITER/DEMO physics and the general understanding of tokamak plasmas as well as building the physics basis for future spherical tokamak (ST) applications on the way to fusion power. New and sometimes unique measurements such as electron Bernstein wave imaging are fundamental to the progress. The Upgrade (under procurement) will further strengthen these research capabilities and recent studies have aided the design and clarified the physics capabilities. In particular the new divertor design in the MAST Upgrade will help to address the critical exhaust issue, which is even more challenging in the compact ST geometry. Initial modelling suggests that a strong reduction in target heat flux in the Upgrade may be accomplished with a radially extended outer leg.

On MAST mitigation of edge localized modes (ELMs) using resonant magnetic perturbations with n = 3, 4, 6 has been demonstrated with the increase in ELM frequency likely to be caused by the 3D deformation of the plasma, and has been shown to be compatible with pellet fuelling. The peak heat flux during an ELM is found to be proportional to the ELM energy loss with mitigated ELMs following the same trend as natural ELMs. At low collisionality the ion temperature in the divertor, measured using a retarding field energy analyser, exceeds the electron temperature showing that the usual assumption of equality between these temperatures for probe data analysis is not always justified. The measured edge velocity fluctuations during an oscillatory phase preceding the formation of the edge transport barrier (L–H transition) raise questions for simple predator–prey-like dynamics between the turbulence driven flow shear and turbulence suppression by the flow shear. Core ion-scale turbulence in L-mode has been measured to be consistent with a ‘grand-critical-balance’, where the characteristic turbulent time scales are of the same order of magnitude. Global gyro-kinetic modelling shows reasonable agreement with the measured fluctuation amplitude over the full plasma radius, when kinetic electron effects and collisions are taken into account. Measurements of the 2D temperature profile inside an island due to a neoclassical tearing mode enable a detailed analysis of the island evolution without assuming a specific model for the parallel and perpendicular heat transport inside the island. The fast-ion redistribution by fishbones is found to be low with off-axis neutral beam injection. This bodes well for the MAST Upgrade and its planned off-axis heating as well as for future ST devices.
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