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Abstract

The bimolecular gas phase reactions of the ground state silylidyne radical (SiH; X^2Π) with methylacetylene (CH$_3$CCH; X$^1A_1$) and D$_4$-methylacetylene (CD$_3$CCD; X$^1A_1$) were explored at collision energies of 30 kJ mol$^{-1}$ under single collision conditions exploiting the crossed molecular beam technique and complemented by electronic structure calculations. These studies reveal that the reactions follow indirect scattering dynamics, have no entrance barriers, and are initiated by the addition of the silylidyne radical to the carbon-carbon triple bond of the methylacetylene molecule either to one carbon atom (C1; [i1]/[i2]) or to both carbon atoms concurrently (C1-C2; [i3]). The collision complexes [i1]/[i2] eventually isomerize via ring-closure to the c-SiC$_3$H$_5$ doublet radical intermediate [i3], which is identified as the decomposing reaction intermediate. The hydrogen atom is emitted almost perpendicularly to the rotational plane of the fragmenting complex resulting in a sideways scattering dynamics with the reaction being overall exoergic by -12 ± 11 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (experimental) and -1 ± 3 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (computational) to form the cyclic 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene molecule (c-SiC$_3$H$_4$; p1). In line with computational data, experiments of silylidyne with D$_4$-methylacetylene (CD$_3$CCD; X$^1A_1$) depict that the hydrogen is emitted solely from the silylidyne moiety, but not from methylacetylene. The dynamics are compared to those of the related D$_1$-silylidyne (SiD; X$^2Π$) – acetylene (HCCH; X$^1Σ^+$) reaction studied previously in our group, and from there we discovered that methyl group acts primarily as a spectator in the title reaction. The formation of 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene under single collision conditions via a bimolecular gas phase reaction augments our knowledge of hitherto poorly understood silylidyne (SiH; X$^2Π$) radical reactions with small hydrocarbon molecules leading to the synthesis of organosilicon molecules in cold molecular clouds and in carbon-rich circumstellar envelopes.
1. Introduction

Over the past few decades, crossed molecular beam experiments have led to an unprecedented advancement in our understanding of fundamental principles of chemical reactivity and reaction dynamics. Detailed experimental studies of three-atom systems such as bimolecular collisions of chlorine (Cl)$_2$, fluorine (F)$_3$, deuterium (D)$_4$, carbon (C)$_5$, nitrogen (N)$_7$, oxygen (O)$_{11,12}$, and sulfur (S)$_{13,14}$ with molecular hydrogen (H$_2$) established experimental benchmarks. The crossed beam approach has been successfully extended to four-atom [OH/CO, OH/H$_2$, CN/H$_2$, C$_2$H$_2$], five-atom [C$_2$H$_2$], and even six-atom systems [Cl/CH$_4$, F/CD$_4$] bridging our theoretical understanding of reactive scattering dynamics on chemically accurate potential energy surfaces with experimental observations. With the development of powerful theoretical models, attention has turned during the last years to more complex systems of fundamental applications in catalysis, combustion processes, and interstellar chemistry along with planetary atmospheres. This holds in particular for bimolecular reactions between diatomic radicals and small hydrocarbons. Bimolecular reactions involving diatomic radicals such as boron monoxide (BO)$_{28-35}$, boron monosulfide (BS)$_{36-37}$, methylidyne (CH/CD)$_{38-45}$, cyano (CN)$_{32,46-60}$, dicarbon (C$_2$)$_{57,61-74}$, hydroxyl (OH)$_{75-86}$, silicon nitride (SiN)$_{32}$, and silylidyne (SiH/SiD)$_{87}$ synthesized important transient species in extreme environments ranging from low temperature molecular clouds to high temperature combustion settings, interstellar, and chemical vapor deposition environments (Table 1).

Among these diatomic radicals, reactions of the silylidyne radical (SiH; X$^2$Π) with hydrocarbons have received particular attention as these bimolecular reactions are expected to result in the formation of small organosilicon molecules (SiC$_x$H$_y$, x≤6, y≤6). These silicon-carbon-bearing molecules are of essential interest to the astrochemistry community since those species are suggested to comprise nearly 10% of all molecules by mass that have been identified in interstellar and circumstellar environments. However, the underlying reaction pathways, how organosilicon molecules are formed, are unknown to date. Proposed to be a potential key source of refractory material injected into the interstellar medium (ISM), carbon-rich Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars such as the infrared carbon star IRC+10216 are ideal natural laboratories to test astrochemical reaction networks synthesizing organosilicon molecules in extreme environments (Figure 1). Nevertheless, until now, bimolecular ion-molecule
reactions, photochemical processing of circumstellar grains, and reactions on grain surfaces cannot account for the observed fractional abundances of key silicon species such as silicon carbide (SiC) and silicon dicarbide (c-SiC₂). These discrepancies are the effect of insufficient laboratory data such as rate constants and reaction products in particular from reactions involving two neutral species. Therefore, it is crucial to systematically explore the chemical dynamics of neutral-neutral reactions involving silicon-bearing reaction partners such as the silyldyne radical (SiH; X²Π) with hydrocarbon molecules leading to the formation of simple organosilicon molecules (SiCₙHₙ, n≤6, y≤6) under single collision conditions.

These organosilicon molecules have also attracted great interest from the physical organic chemistry community due to the distinct chemical bonding of silicon versus its isovalent carbon counterpart. Studies utilizing the crossed molecular beam approach involving isovalent cyano (CN) and silicon nitride (SiN) radicals with acetylene (C₂H₂) and ethylene (C₂H₄) under single collision conditions revealed the formation of molecules that are distinct in their molecular structures: nitriles (HCCCN, cyanoacetylene; C₂H₃CN, vinyl cyanide) and silaisocyano products (HCCNSi, silaisocyanoacetylene; C₂H₃NSi, silaisocyanoethylene) (Figure 2). Further, crossed beam reactions of methylidyne (CH) and D₁-silyldyne (SiD) with acetylene lead to HCCCH (propargylene)/H₂CCC (vinylidene carbene) and to a minor amount to c-C₃H₂ (cyclopropenylidene) formation [methylidyne reaction], whereas in the D₁-silyldyne – acetylene system, the cyclic isomer c-SiC₂H₂ (silacyclopropenylidene) was formed exclusively (Figure 2). Therefore, these two case studies document that isoelectronic reactants, in which a carbon atom is replaced by an isovalent silicon atom, can lead to dissimilar reaction products. Consequently, a replacement of a carbon atom by silicon might synthesize novel, hitherto unobserved molecules. Here, we present the results of a crossed molecular beam study on the reactions of the silyldyne radical (SiH; X²Π) with methylacetylene (CH₃CCH; X¹A₁) and with D₄-methylacetylene (CD₃CCD; X¹A₁), respectively, to unravel the reaction mechanism of silyldyne with a prototype C₃-hydrocarbon under single collision conditions.

2. Experimental Methods

The bimolecular reactions of the silyldyne radical (SiH; X²Π) with methylacetylene (CH₃CCH; X¹A₁) and D₄-methylacetylene (CD₃CCD; X¹A₁) were studied under single collision
conditions in a universal crossed molecular beam machine.\textsuperscript{61, 104-108} Briefly, a pulsed supersonic beam of ground state silylidyne radicals (SiH; X\(^2\Pi\)) was generated exploiting the photolysis of disilane (Si\(_2\)H\(_6\); 99.998 \%; Voltaix) seeded in helium (He; 99.9999 \%; Gaspro) with fraction of 0.5 \%. This mixture was introduced into a pulsed piezoelectric valve operating at 120 Hz, pulse width of 80 \(\mu\)s, and a backing pressure of 1,520 Torr. The output of an excimer laser (ArF, 193 nm, 30 mJ per pulse) was focused to a spot size of 1 mm \(\times\) 4 mm and intercepted the molecular beam downstream of the nozzle. The pulsed beam of the silylidyne radicals passed through a skimmer, and a four-slit chopper wheel operating at 120 Hz picked a section of this beam with a well-defined peak velocity (\(v_p\)) and speed ratio (\(S\)) of 1730 \(\pm\) 13 m s\(^{-1}\) and 18.9 \(\pm\) 2.9, respectively. In the interaction region of the scattering chamber, this segment crossed the most intense part of a pulsed (D4-)methylacetylene beam (C\(_3\)H\(_4\), Organic Technologies; C\(_3\)D\(_4\), CIL Isotopes) released by a second pulsed valve operating at a backing pressure of 550 Torr perpendicularly. Peak velocities (\(v_p\)) and speed ratios (\(S\)) for the methylacetylene and D4-methylacetylene beams were measured to be 800 \(\pm\) 10 ms\(^{-1}\) and 12.0 \(\pm\) 0.4, and 790 \(\pm\) 10 ms\(^{-1}\) and 12.0 \(\pm\) 0.4, resulting in nominal collision energies of 30.3 \(\pm\) 0.7 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and 31.4 \(\pm\) 1.0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) along with center-of-mass angles of 32.5 \(\pm\) 0.6° and 34.6 \(\pm\) 0.6°, respectively. The rotational temperature of the silylidyne radical (SiH; X\(^2\Pi\)) was determined via laser induced fluorescence (LIF) to be 40 \(\pm\) 10 K (80 \%) and 300 \(\pm\) 50 K (20 \%).\textsuperscript{108}

The reactively scattered products were then mass filtered by a quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS; Extrel QC 150) operated in the time-of-flight (TOF) mode after electron-impact ionization at an electron energy of 80 eV and an emission current of 2 mA. The ions of a well-defined mass-to-charge (\(m/z\)) ratio were directed toward a stainless steel target coated with a thin aluminum layer floated at -22.5 kV. Triggered by the impact of the cations on the aluminum coated stainless steel target, an electron cascade is generated and accelerated onto an aluminum-coated organic scintillator to initiate a photon pulse, which is further amplified by a photomultiplier tube (PMT, Burle, Model 8850) operating at -1.35 kV. The signal was filtered by a discriminator (Advanced Research Instruments, Model F-100TD) and fed into a multichannel scaler. At each angle, up to \(6 \times 10^5\) TOF spectra were accumulated. The recorded TOF spectra were then integrated and normalized to extract the product angular distribution in the laboratory frame. The detector is rotatable within the plane defined by both beams to record angular
resolved TOF spectra. To gain information on the scattering dynamics, the laboratory data were transformed into the center-of-mass reference frame using a forward-convolution routine.\textsuperscript{109-111} This iterative method exploits a parameterized or point-form angular flux distribution, $T(\theta)$, and translational energy flux distribution, $P(E_T)$, in the center-of-mass (CM) frame. Laboratory TOF spectra and the laboratory angular distributions are extracted from these $T(\theta)$ and $P(E_T)$ functions and averaged over a grid of Newton diagrams accounting for the apparatus functions, beam divergences, and velocity spreads. During the analytical fitting, we considered an integral reactive scattering cross section with an $E_c^{-1/3}$ energy dependence with $E_c$ being the collision energy. This energy dependence is applied for barrier-less reactions dictated by long-range attractive forces within the line-of-center model.\textsuperscript{112,113}

3. Theoretical Methods

Structures for reactants, products, and intermediates were calculated using density functional theory (DFT) with the ωB97X-V functional\textsuperscript{114} and the cc-pVTZ basis set\textsuperscript{115} for geometry optimization and frequency analysis (Table S1). Transition-state structures were also computed using the freezing string method (FSM)\textsuperscript{116-117} to construct an approximate Hessian followed by a transition-state search using the partitioned-rational function approximation (P-RFO) eigenvector following algorithm\textsuperscript{118} and frequency calculation, all also at the ωB97X-V/cc-pVTZ level of theory. The vibrational analysis confirms that the transition states have one imaginary frequency each and the minima have none. The vibrational frequency analysis was also used to compute harmonic zero-point energy corrections for all structures. DFT calculations were carried out using an integration grid consisting of 99 radial points and 590 angular points. All reported energies were computed at the ωB97X-V/cc-pVTZ level, except where otherwise specified. Energies of the reactants, $p_1$ and $p_2$ were also computed using coupled cluster with single, double, and perturbative triple excitations [CCSD(T)]\textsuperscript{119} utilizing a frozen core approximation and second-order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory with the resolution of the identity approximation (RI-MP2).\textsuperscript{120-121} To compare directly to experiment, we computed the reaction energies for $p_1$ and $p_2$ via

$$
\text{(CCSD(T)/CBS)} = E(HF/cc-pVTZ) + E^{corr}(RI - MP2/CBS_{4,5}) + E^{corr}(CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ) - E^{corr}(RI - MP2/cc-pVTZ) + ZPE(\omega B97X - V/cc-pVTZ)
$$
where $E^{\text{corr}}(\text{RI-MP2/CBS}_{4,5})$ is the extrapolated RI-MP2 correlation energy using the cc-pVQZ and cc-pV5Z basis sets and the extrapolation approach:\textsuperscript{122}

$$E^{\text{corr}}(\text{MP2/(CBS}_{M,N}) = [{N^3E^{\text{corr}}(\text{RI - MP2/cc - pVNZ}) - M^3E^{\text{corr}}(\text{RI - MP2/cc - pVMZ})}/[N^3 - M^3]$$

where $M$ and $N$ denote the cardinal number for the cc-pVNZ basis sets. These CCSD(T)/CBS energies are estimated to be converged to within 3 kJ mol$^{-1}$. The coupled cluster results are a higher benchmark that can be used to partially validate the density functional theory used to evaluate the energies of the intermediates. Comparing the oB97X-V/cc-pV TZ reaction energies with CCSD(T)/CBS reaction energies, we see that the reaction energy for $p_1$ is changed from -21.4 to -1.0 kJ mol$^{-1}$. This energy change is a measure of the uncertainty in the calculated relative energies of the intermediates. The QChem suite of electronic structure packages was used to perform all calculations.\textsuperscript{123}

4. Experimental Results

4.1. Laboratory Data

For the reaction of the silylidyne radical (SiH; 29 amu) with the methylacetylene molecule (CH$_3$CCH; 40 amu), reactive scattering signal was recorded at $m/z = 68$ (SiC$_3$H$_4^+$) (Figure 3). Signal at $m/z = 67$ (SiC$_3$H$_3^+$) was monitored as well, but the corresponding time-of-flight spectra (TOFs) overlapped with those TOFs obtained at $m/z = 68$ (SiC$_3$H$_4^+$) after scaling. Therefore, signal at $m/z = 67$ stems from dissociative ionization of the parent molecule (SiC$_3$H$_4$) in the electron impact ionizer. These results indicate that the silylidyne radical versus atomic hydrogen replacement channel forming a molecule with the molecular formula SiC$_3$H$_4$ is open and that a molecular hydrogen loss channel is closed – at least under our experimental conditions. We would like to highlight that low intensity scattering signal at a level of a few percent of that at $m/z = 68$ was detected at $m/z = 69$. This finding and the fact that the TOFs of $m/z = 68$ and 69 overlap after scaling implies the synthesis of $^{29}$SiC$_3$H$_4$ and $^{28}$Si$^{13}$CC$_2$H$_4$; no radiative association at $m/z = 69$ (SiC$_3$H$_5^+$) occurs in the current system suggesting that the lifetime of the SiC$_3$H$_5$ adduct is too low to survive the travel from the interaction region to the ionizer. The TOF spectra at $m/z = 68$ (SiC$_3$H$_4^+$) were then taken at distinct laboratory angles, integrated, and normalized with respect to the center-of-mass reference angle to obtain the laboratory product angular
distribution (Figure 4). This distribution is relatively narrow and spread over only about 25° within the scattering plane as defined by the primary and secondary beam, which indicates a relatively low translational energy release. We also show in Figure 4 the most probable Newton diagram for the reaction of the silylidyne radical (SiH; 29 amu) with the methylacetylene molecule (C₃H₄; 40 amu) leading to SiC₃H₄ (68 amu) plus atomic hydrogen (1 amu), derived from the assumption that the thermodynamically most stable SiC₃H₄ isomer 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene is formed.¹⁰⁸,¹²⁴

Considering that the hydrogen atom can be emitted from the silylidyne radical or from methylacetylene, we are probing now to what extent the hydrogen atom originates from the hydrocarbon or silylidyne radical. We carried out the crossed beam reaction of the silylidyne radical (SiH; 29 amu) with D₄-methylacetylene (C₃D₄; 44 amu). An atomic hydrogen loss should yield scattering signal at m/z = 72 (SiC₃D₄⁺), which can fragment to m/z = 70 (SiC₃D₃⁺); on the other hand, an atomic deuterium loss is expected to result in reactive scattering signal at m/z = 71 (SiC₃D₃H⁺). This signal is unique and cannot result from fragmentation of m/z = 72 (SiC₃D₄⁺) formed in a potential atomic hydrogen loss. Considering economic limitations of the costs of the D₄-methylacetylene reactant, reactive scattering signal was sampled only at the center-of-mass angle. Here, signal was observed only at m/z = 72 (SiC₃D₄⁺) (Figure 5). These data suggest that only the atomic hydrogen elimination pathway is open and that the hydrogen atom likely originates from the silylidyne radical reactant.

4.2. Center-of-Mass Functions

The center-of-mass translational energy distribution P(E_T) is depicted together with the center-of-mass angular distribution T(θ) in Figure 6. The experimental data could be nicely fit with a single channel utilizing the reactant masses of 29 amu (SiH) plus 40 amu (C₃H₄) along with the product masses of 68 amu (SiC₃H₄) plus 1 amu (H). In detail, the P(E_T) depicts a maximum translational energy release E_{max} of 45 ± 10 kJ mol⁻¹. For products born without internal excitation, this high-energy cutoff represents simply the sum of the collision energy and the absolute value of the reaction exoergicity. A deduction of the collision energy from the maximum translational energy suggests a reaction exoergicity of 15 ± 11 kJ mol⁻¹. Further, the P(E_T) peaks at 7 ± 4 kJ mol⁻¹. A distribution maximum close to zero translational energy infers
the existence of a loose exit transition state with an inherently small exit barrier to form the 
SiC₃H₄ isomer(s) plus atomic hydrogen.¹²⁵ Therefore, considering the concept of microscopic 
reversibility, we anticipate only a small barrier of hydrogen atom addition in the reversed reac-
tion.¹²⁵ Finally, we determined the average fraction of the available energy channeling into the 
translational degrees of freedom to be 45 ± 9 %.

Further information on the reaction dynamics can be gained by analyzing the center-of-mass 
angular distribution $T(\theta)$. First, the $T(\theta)$ depicts flux over the complete angular range from 0° to 
180° proposing indirect scattering dynamics via complex formation and hence the existence of 
bond SiC₃H₅ intermediate(s)).¹²⁵ Secondly, $T(\theta)$ depicts a distinct maximum at about 90°, 
suggesting that the atomic hydrogen emission occurs nearly perpendicularly to the rotational 
plane of the decomposing intermediate(s) and almost parallel to the total angular momentum 
vector $J$.¹¹³ Finally, the $T(\theta)$ portrays a mild forward scattering with an intensity ratio $I(0°)/ 
I(180°)$ of about 1.1 : 1.0. This data indicate that the existence of an osculating complex where a 
complex formation takes place but the well depth along the lifetime of the complex is too low to 
allow multiple rotations.¹²⁶ It should be noted that a forward-backward symmetric distribution 
results in a slightly worse fit of the experimental data.

5. Discussions

We are combining now the experimental data with the results from the electronic structure 
calculations to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of the reaction of the silyldyne radical (SiH; 
29 amu) with the methylacetylene molecule (C₃H₄; 40 amu). Let us summarize the experimental 
results. First, the TOF spectra collected at $m/z = 68$ confirm the synthesis of product(s) with the 
molecular formula SiC₃H₄ (68 amu) together with atomic hydrogen (1 amu) under single 
collision conditions. Additional experiments of the silylidine radical (SiH; 29 amu) with D₄-
methylacetylene (C₂D₄; 44 amu) provided proof through the explicit detection of SiC₃D₄ (72 amu) 
along with atomic hydrogen that the hydrogen atom is only emitted from the silyldyne moiety, 
but not from the methylacetylene reactant. Second, the formation of the SiC₃H₄ isomer(s) plus 
atomic hydrogen was found to be slightly exoergic by 15 ± 11 kJ mol⁻¹; the exit transition state 
connecting the decomposing SiC₃H₅ intermediate and the final products was determined to be 
loose as reflected in the peaking of the $P(E_T)$ at only 7 ± 4 kJ mol⁻¹ suggesting a rather simple Si-
H bond rupture process. Third, the center-of-mass angular distribution $T(\theta)$ proposes indirect scattering dynamics via SiC$_3$H$_3$ intermediate(s) with lifetime(s) in the order of their rotational period(s). Also, the $T(\theta)$ was found to peak close to 90º indicating that the hydrogen atom is emitted preferentially parallel to the total angular momentum vector and almost perpendicularly to the rotational plane of the decomposing complex(es).

We are now evaluating the experimentally derived reaction energy and compare the data with the computed energetics to form distinct SiC$_3$H$_4$ product isomer(s) plus atomic hydrogen. The electronic structure calculations expose that only two SiC$_3$H$_4$ isomers are energetically accessible at the collision energy of 30 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Figure 7; Table S1): p1 and p2. It is important to note that our computational investigation identified 28 SiC$_3$H$_4$ product isomers, whose structures, energetics, and symmetries of the electronic ground states have been disseminated previously.$^{134}$ The present analysis places emphasis on those theoretical data crucial to understand the current experimental findings. Here, the synthesis of the 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene (p1) and silacyclobut-2-enylidene (p2) isomers was determined to be exoergic (p1) and endoergic (p2) by $-1 \pm 3$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ and $24 \pm 3$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively. The experimentally derived reaction energy of $-15 \pm 11$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ slightly falls out of range of the formation of the 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene isomer (p1). However, we have to recall that the LIF characterization of the silylidyne radical beam suggests the silylidyne radicals carry the average rotational temperature of about 3 kJ mol$^{-1}$. A subtraction of the latter from the experimentally derived reaction energy of $-15 \pm 11$ kJmol$^{-1}$ – as derived for the condition of no internal excitation of the reactants – reduces the exoergicity of the title reaction to $12 \pm 11$ kJ mol$^{-1}$; this represents a closer agreement with the computational data of $-1 \pm 3$ kJ mol$^{-1}$. Consequently, p1 represents the major reaction product. Based on the energetics alone, we cannot eliminate a minor fraction of the thermodynamically less favorable product p2 at a level of $8 \pm 4$ %.

Having established that 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene isomer (p1) represents the dominating – if not exclusive – product isomer, we are now developing the underlying reaction dynamics and mechanism(s) by combining the electronic structure calculations with the experimental data. A comparison of the molecular geometries of the silylidyne and methylacetylene reactants with the 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene and atomic hydrogen
products (p1) suggests that the silicon atom is formally added to the carbon-carbon triple bond of the methylacetylene molecule. Therefore, in the reaction of the silylidyne radical with methyl-acetylene, the silylidyne radical is predicted to add to the carbon-carbon triple bond eventually forming a cyclic SiC_3H_5 reaction intermediate (indirect scattering dynamics via complex formation), which then emits a hydrogen atom from the silylidyne moiety. This proposal also gains full support from the reaction of the silylidyne radical with D4-methylacetylene. Here, only an atomic hydrogen atom loss was detected experimentally, but no ejection of a deuterium atom could be monitored, strongly implying that the hydrogen loss originates from the silylidyne moiety, but not from the methylacetylene reactant.

The electronic structure calculations verify these conclusions and expose that the silylidyne radical adds without entrance barrier either to the terminal acetylenic carbon atom (C1) or simultaneously to the terminal and central carbon atom (C1-C2) leading to intermediates [i1]/[i2] or [i3], respectively. These three doublet collision complexes are stabilized by 70, 74, and 174 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) with respect to the separated reactants (Figure 7; Table S1); Intermediate [i1] and [i2] are trans-cis isomers and are connected via a low lying barrier of only 8 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). All attempts to localize an intermediate resulting from the addition of the silylidyne radical to the central carbon atom (C2) failed and resulted in the formation of intermediate [i3]. These initial collision complexes can also isomerize with [i1] and [i2] undergoing ring closure to the much more stable intermediate, [i3], via barriers of only 1 and 8 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. Therefore [i1] and [i2] have only fleeting existence in the dynamics. It is important to highlight that competing hydrogen migrations from [i1] and [i2] to the even more stable intermediate, [i4], are less favorable because of higher barriers to hydrogen migration of 55 and 59 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. Note that intermediates [i4] and [i3] are also connected through the intermediate [i5], which can be formed via cyclization of [i4] followed by hydrogen migration from the silicon to the carbon atom. However, considering the barriers of 1 and 8 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) versus 55 to 59 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), [i1] and [i2] are expected to readily rearrange to [i3] rather than isomerize to [i4]. This prediction could be also verified experimentally. Here, in the reaction of the silylidyne radical with D4-methylacetylene (Figure 8), addition of the silylidyne radical (SiH) to the carbon-carbon double bond to C1 or C1-C2 leads to [i1'] and [i2'] or [i3'], respectively. From [i3'], hydrogen loss from the Si-H moiety leads solely to D4-2-methyl-1-silacyclop-2-enylidene isomer (p1'). On the other hand,
if a deuterium shift in $[i1']$ or $[i2']$ is involved, $[i4']$ would be formed, too, which isomerizes to $[i5']$. The latter can undergo either a hydrogen or deuterium shift from the SiHD group forming to distinct intermediates $[i3']$ and $[i3''']$, which in turn could form $p1'$ and $p1'''$, respectively, via atomic hydrogen and deuterium loss, respectively. However, since no elimination of a deuterium atom was observed experimentally, we have to conclude that isomers $[i4]$ to $[i5]$ do not play a role in the scattering dynamics, consistent with relative barriers for $[i1]$ and $[i2]$ isomerization as outlined above. Likewise, we can deduce that $p2$ is not being formed. Here, $p2$ can only be synthesized via the reaction sequence $[i3] \rightarrow [i6] \rightarrow p2 + H$. However, the barrier for the $[i3] \rightarrow [i6]$ isomerization of 312 kJ mol$^{-1}$ is too high to compete with the exit barrier-less unimolecular decomposition of $[i3]$ to $p1$ plus atomic hydrogen loss.

It is interesting to compare our present studies with the reaction of D1-silylidyne (SiD; $X^2\Pi$) with acetylene ($C_2H_2; X^1\Sigma_g^+$) studied earlier. In both systems, the initial collision complexes were found to be formed barrierlessly by addition of the silylidyne radical to the carbon-carbon triple bond either to one or to both carbon atoms. The initial reaction intermediates reside in relatively shallow (61 to 74 kJ mol$^{-1}$; C1 or C2 addition) and deep (152 to 174 kJ mol$^{-1}$; C1 and C2 addition) potential energy wells with the C1/C2 addition products undergoing ring closure via barriers of 1 to 8 kJ mol$^{-1}$. The resulting cyclic intermediates fragment via atomic hydrogen loss solely from the SiH moiety via loose exit transition states with the hydrogen atom emitted almost perpendicularly to the rotation plane of the decomposing complex in overall weakly exoergic reactions (-1 to -10 kJ mol$^{-1}$). The exclusive formation of the silacycloprenylidene molecule (c-Si$_2$H$_2$) in the D1-silylidyne with acetylene system under single collision conditions indicates that in the silylidyne – methylacetylene system, the methyl group acts mainly as a spectator. However, we would like to stress that in the silylidyne – methylacetylene reaction, no addition product of the silylidyne radical to C2 was observed; this suggests that the bulky methyl group and silylidyne moiety ‘repel’ each other and shift the silylidyne moiety closer to the C1 carbon atom resulting in formation of $[i3]$.

To summarize, the computations suggest that the silylidyne radical can add barrierlessly via three open entrance channels to yield intermediates $[i1]$ to $[i3]$ (Figure 7). This indirect reaction dynamics via complex formation were proposed from the center-of-mass angular
distribution exhibiting intensity over the whole scattering range. Intermediates [i1] and [i2], which are likely formed via trajectories holding large impact parameters via addition of the silyldyne radical to the sterically less hindered carbon atom of the methylacetylene reactant, undergo facile ring closure to [i3] with barriers less than the energy of the separate reactants. Eventually, intermediate [i3] undergoes unimolecular fragmentation via atomic hydrogen elimination from the silyldyne group yielding 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene isomer (p1). The electronic structure calculations suggest a barrierless dissociation while the experimental data imply that this process is connected with a rather loose transition state considering the weakly off-zero peaking of the center-of-mass translational energy distribution at 10 ± 3 kJ mol⁻¹. In this case, considering the reversed reaction by the addition of a hydrogen atom to the closed-shell and partially aromatic (2π) molecule p1, the likely presence of a small entrance barrier to hydrogen atom addition seems sensible. This addition could also be reflected considering the ejection of the hydrogen atom nearly perpendicularly to the rotational plane of the decomposing complex. For the addition of a hydrogen atom to p1, the HOMO and LUMO of the latter would depict a maximum overlap with the 1s orbital of the hydrogen atom if a trajectory nearly perpendicularly to the molecular is followed (Figure 9).

6. Summary

We carried out the crossed molecular beam reaction of the ground state silyldyne radical (SiH; X²Π) with methylacetylene (CH₃CCH; X¹A₁) and with D₄ methylacetylene (CD₃CCD; X¹A₁) at collision energies of 30 kJ mol⁻¹. Electronic structure calculations indicate that the reaction of silyldyne with methylacetylene has no entrance barrier and is initiated by the silyldyne radical addition to the π electron density of the methylacetylene molecule either to the sterically less hindered C1 carbon atom or to the C1-C2 carbon atoms simultaneously. The complexes formed through indirect scattering dynamics were also verified by the center-of-mass angular distribution. The originally formed addition complexes [i1] and [i2] rearrange readily via ring closure to form the cyclic SiC₃H₅ intermediate [i3]. The latter decomposes via atomic hydrogen loss through a loose exit transition state with atomic hydrogen loss perpendicularly to the plane of the decomposing complex (sideways scattering) in a slightly exoergic reaction (experimentally: -12 ± 11 kJ mol⁻¹; computationally: -1 kJ ± 3 kJ mol⁻¹). The silyldyne with D₄-methylacetylene system exposed further details of the reaction mechanism and identified solely
an atomic hydrogen from the Si-H moiety yields eventually the aromatic 2-methyl-1-silacyclopent-2-enylidene molecule (SiC₃H₄). The formation of the 2-methyl-1-sila-cyclopent-2-enylidene molecule under single collision conditions enhances our knowledge towards the organosilicon formation in the bimolecular gas phase reaction, and further contributes to the completion of neutral-reaction reaction schemes of organosilicon formation in the physical organic chemistry as well as the astrochemical environments.

Supporting Information
Table S1: Zero-point vibration-corrected relative energies, point groups, symmetries of the electronic wave functions, and geometries of the reactants, products, intermediates, and transition states calculated at the ωB97X-V/cc-pVTZ level of theory. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at [http://pubs.acs.org](http://pubs.acs.org).
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Table 1. Crossed molecular beam studies of diatomic radicals with closed-shell molecules. Unless noted otherwise, all diatomic radical sources are pulsed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Name</th>
<th>Boron Monoxide</th>
<th>Boron Monosulfide</th>
<th>Methylidyne</th>
<th>Cyano</th>
<th>Dicarbon</th>
<th>Hydroxyl</th>
<th>Silicon Nitride</th>
<th>Silylidene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>BO</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>CHCD</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>C₂</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>SiN</td>
<td>SiH₃SiD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic State</td>
<td>X⁻σ⁺</td>
<td>X⁻σ⁺</td>
<td>X⁻σ⁺</td>
<td>X⁻σ⁺</td>
<td>X⁻σ⁺/X⁻π⁺</td>
<td>X⁻π⁺</td>
<td>X⁻σ⁺</td>
<td>X⁻π⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction Partner with Generation Source</td>
<td>Ablation</td>
<td>Ablation</td>
<td>Photolysis</td>
<td>DC Discharge</td>
<td>RF Discharge(Continuous)</td>
<td>Photolysis</td>
<td>Ablation</td>
<td>Ablation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Deuterium (D₃)⁷⁵</td>
<td>Deuterium (H₂)²⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Hydrogen Deuteride (HD)³⁵</td>
<td>Hydrogen (H₂)²⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>D₄-methane (CD₄)⁷⁶</td>
<td>D₄-methane (CD₄)⁷⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allene (CH₂HCH₂)¹⁰</td>
<td>Allene (CH₂HCH₂)¹⁰</td>
<td>Allene (CH₂HCH₂)¹⁰</td>
<td>Allene (CH₂HCH₂)¹⁰</td>
<td>Allene (CH₂HCH₂)¹⁰</td>
<td>Allene (CH₂HCH₂)¹⁰</td>
<td>Allene (CH₂HCH₂)¹⁰</td>
<td>Nitrogen (N₂)⁷⁶</td>
<td>Nitrogen (N₂)⁷⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene (CH₂CHCH₂)¹⁹</td>
<td>Propylene (CH₂CHCH₂)¹⁹</td>
<td>Propylene (CH₂CHCH₂)¹⁹</td>
<td>Propylene (CH₂CHCH₂)¹⁹</td>
<td>Propylene (CH₂CHCH₂)¹⁹</td>
<td>Propylene (CH₂CHCH₂)¹⁹</td>
<td>Propylene (CH₂CHCH₂)¹⁹</td>
<td>Carbon Monoxide (CO)³⁹</td>
<td>Carbon Monoxide (CO)³⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diacetylene (HCCCCCH)¹⁴</td>
<td>Diacetylene (HCCCCCH)¹⁴</td>
<td>Diacetylene (HCCCCCH)¹⁴</td>
<td>Diacetylene (HCCCCCH)¹⁴</td>
<td>Diacetylene (HCCCCCH)¹⁴</td>
<td>Diacetylene (HCCCCCH)¹⁴</td>
<td>Diacetylene (HCCCCCH)¹⁴</td>
<td>Nitrogen (N₂)⁷⁶</td>
<td>Nitrogen (N₂)⁷⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Butadiene (CH₂CHCHCH₂)¹⁶</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene (CH₂CHCHCH₂)¹⁶</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene (CH₂CHCHCH₂)¹⁶</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene (CH₂CHCHCH₂)¹⁶</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene (CH₂CHCHCH₂)¹⁶</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene (CH₂CHCHCH₂)¹⁶</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene (CH₂CHCHCH₂)¹⁶</td>
<td>Hydrogen (H₂)²⁸</td>
<td>Hydrogen (H₂)²⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Butyne</td>
<td>2-Butyne</td>
<td>2-Butyne</td>
<td>2-Butyne</td>
<td>2-Butyne</td>
<td>2-Butyne</td>
<td>2-Butyne</td>
<td>Deuterium (D₂)⁸⁰</td>
<td>Deuterium (D₂)⁸⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH₂CCH₂)¹¹</td>
<td>(CH₂CCH₂)¹¹</td>
<td>(CH₂CCH₂)¹¹</td>
<td>(CH₂CCH₂)¹¹</td>
<td>(CH₂CCH₂)¹¹</td>
<td>(CH₂CCH₂)¹¹</td>
<td>(CH₂CCH₂)¹¹</td>
<td>Carbon Monoxide (CO)³⁹</td>
<td>Carbon Monoxide (CO)³⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene (C₆H₆)³⁰</td>
<td>Benzene (C₆H₆)³⁰</td>
<td>Benzene (C₆H₆)³⁰</td>
<td>Benzene (C₆H₆)³⁰</td>
<td>Benzene (C₆H₆)³⁰</td>
<td>Benzene (C₆H₆)³⁰</td>
<td>Benzene (C₆H₆)³⁰</td>
<td>Hydrogen Chloride (HCl)³⁶</td>
<td>Hydrogen Chloride (HCl)³⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylacetylene (C₆H₅CCH)⁷⁰</td>
<td>Phenylacetylene (C₆H₅CCH)⁷⁰</td>
<td>Phenylacetylene (C₆H₅CCH)⁷⁰</td>
<td>Phenylacetylene (C₆H₅CCH)⁷⁰</td>
<td>Phenylacetylene (C₆H₅CCH)⁷⁰</td>
<td>Phenylacetylene (C₆H₅CCH)⁷⁰</td>
<td>Phenylacetylene (C₆H₅CCH)⁷⁰</td>
<td>Hydrogen Bromide (HBr)³⁸</td>
<td>Hydrogen Bromide (HBr)³⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
<td>Acetylene (HCC⁴H)¹⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
<td>Ethylene (H₂CCH)¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
<td>Methacetylene (CH₂CCH)¹⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Pentene (CH₃CH₂CH₂CHCH₂)⁹</td>
<td>1-Pentene (CH₃CH₂CH₂CHCH₂)⁹</td>
<td>1-Pentene (CH₃CH₂CH₂CHCH₂)⁹</td>
<td>1-Pentene (CH₃CH₂CH₂CHCH₂)⁹</td>
<td>1-Pentene (CH₃CH₂CH₂CHCH₂)⁹</td>
<td>1-Pentene (CH₃CH₂CH₂CHCH₂)⁹</td>
<td>1-Pentene (CH₃CH₂CH₂CHCH₂)⁹</td>
<td>1-Pentene (CH₃CH₂CH₂CHCH₂)⁹</td>
<td>1-Pentene (CH₃CH₂CH₂CHCH₂)⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butane</td>
<td>Butane</td>
<td>Butane</td>
<td>Butane</td>
<td>Butane</td>
<td>Butane</td>
<td>Butane</td>
<td>Butane</td>
<td>Butane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>Pentane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₅)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₅)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₅)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₅)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₅)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₅)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₅)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₅)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₅)⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
<td>(CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₃)⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane (C₆H₁₂)⁹</td>
<td>Cyclohexane (C₆H₁₂)⁹</td>
<td>Cyclohexane (C₆H₁₂)⁹</td>
<td>Cyclohexane (C₆H₁₂)⁹</td>
<td>Cyclohexane (C₆H₁₂)⁹</td>
<td>Cyclohexane (C₆H₁₂)⁹</td>
<td>Cyclohexane (C₆H₁₂)⁹</td>
<td>Cyclohexane (C₆H₁₂)⁹</td>
<td>Cyclohexane (C₆H₁₂)⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deuterium (D₂)³¹</td>
<td>Deuterium (D₂)³¹</td>
<td>Deuterium (D₂)³¹</td>
<td>Deuterium (D₂)³¹</td>
<td>Deuterium (D₂)³¹</td>
<td>Deuterium (D₂)³¹</td>
<td>Deuterium (D₂)³¹</td>
<td>Deuterium (D₂)³¹</td>
<td>Deuterium (D₂)³¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen (O₂)¹⁸</td>
<td>Oxygen (O₂)¹⁸</td>
<td>Oxygen (O₂)¹⁸</td>
<td>Oxygen (O₂)¹⁸</td>
<td>Oxygen (O₂)¹⁸</td>
<td>Oxygen (O₂)¹⁸</td>
<td>Oxygen (O₂)¹⁸</td>
<td>Oxygen (O₂)¹⁸</td>
<td>Oxygen (O₂)¹⁸</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Silicon-carbon bearing molecules detected in the circumstellar envelopes so far.
Figure 2. Left column: structures of products formed in bimolecular reactions of cyano (CN) [a/b] with acetylene (C₂H₂) and ethylene (C₂H₄), as well as methyldyne (CH) [c] with acetylene. Right column: Structures of products formed in bimolecular reactions of the isovalent silicon nitride (SiN) [a/b] and D₁-silyldyne (SiD) [c] with the same unsaturated hydrocarbons, respectively.
Figure 3. Selected time-of-flight (TOF) spectra recorded at a mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of 68 (SiC₃H₄⁺) for the reaction of the silylidyne radical (SiH; X²Π) with methylacetylene (CH₃CCH; X¹A₁). The circles represent the experimental data, while the solid lines represent the best fits.
Figure 4. Laboratory angular distribution obtained at a mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of 68 (SiC$_3$H$_4^+$) in the reaction of the silylidyne radical (SiH; X$^2\Pi$) with methylacetylene (CH$_3$CCH; X$^1\Lambda_1$) (top) along with the most probable Newton diagram leading to SiC$_3$H$_4$ isomer(s) (bottom). The Newton circle represents the maximum center-of-mass recoil velocity of the thermodynamically most stable 2-methyl-1-silacyclopent-2-enyldene (SiC$_3$H$_4$) isomer.
Figure 5. The center-of-mass TOF spectra for the reaction of the silyldyne radical (SiH; \(X^2\Pi\)) with D4-methylacetylene (CD3CCD; \(X^1A_1\)) recorded at \(m/z = 72\) (SiC3D4+) for the atomic hydrogen loss channel (left). Signal at \(m/z = 71\) (right) if any barely recognizable; the dashed line represents the best fit simulation for the dissociative electron impact fragmentation of \(^{29}\text{SiC}_3\text{D}_4^+\) (m/z = 73) to \(^{29}\text{SiC}_3\text{D}_3^+\) (m/z = 71); the circles represent the experimental data.
Figure 6. Center-of-mass translational energy distribution $P(E_T)$ (top) and angular distribution $T(\theta)$ (bottom) for the reaction of the silyldyne radical with methylacetylene forming SiC$_3$H$_4$ product isomer(s) via an atomic hydrogen emission. The hatched areas define the error limits.
Figure 7. Relevant stationary points of the SiC₃H₅ potential energy surface for the reaction of the silylidyne radical (SiH; X^2Π) with methylacetylene (CH₃CCH; X^1A₁). Energies of the intermediates, transition states, and products are given relative to the reactants energy in kJ mol⁻¹. The elucidated reaction pathway [i1][i2][i3] →[i3] → p1+H is highlighted in blue.
Figure 8. Reaction pathways leading from the silyldyne radical with D4-methylacetylene to the most preferential route $[i1'][i2'](i3') \rightarrow i3' \rightarrow p1' + H$, comparing with the inaccessible reaction route $[i1'][i2'] \rightarrow [i4'] \rightarrow [i5'] \rightarrow [i3']/[i3''] \rightarrow p1'+H/p1''+D$, under current experimental conditions.
Figure 9. Schematic representation of the HOMO (left) and LUMO (right) of the 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene product.
Graphical Abstract: Flux contour map for the crossed beam reaction of the silyldyne radical (SiH; X^2Π) with methylacetylene (CH_3CCH; X^1A_1) leading via atomic hydrogen loss to the 2-methyl-1-silacyclop-2-enylidene (SiC_3H_4) product.