Topology of charge density and elastic properties of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ polymorphs
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Using an all-electron, full potential first-principles method, we have investigated the topology of charge density and elastic properties of the two polymorphs, α and β, of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$. The bonding effect was analyzed based on Bader’s quantum theory of “atoms in molecules” (AIM). It was found that the Ti-Si bonding effect is significantly weaker in β than in α, giving less stabilizing effect for β. The Si-C bonds, which are absent in α, are formed in β and provide additional stabilizing effect for β. In contrast to conventional thinking, there is no direction interaction between Ti atoms in both α and β. The calculated elastic properties are in good agreement with the experimental results, giving the bulk modulus of about 180 GPa and the Poisson’s ratio of 0.2. The β phase is generally softer than the α phase. As revealed by the direction dependent Young’s modulus, there is only slight elastic anisotropy in Ti$_3$SiC$_2$. For α, Young’s modulus is minimum in the c direction and maximum in the directions 42° from c. For β, the maximum lies in the c direction, in part due to the formation of Si-C bonds in this direction.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The layered ternary carbidies and nitrides, e.g. Ti$_3$SiC$_2$, Ti$_2$AlC, Ti$_3$AlC$_2$, and Ti$_3$AlN$_2$ etc., have been the subject of numerous studies in recent years due to their unique combination of mechanical, electrical and thermal properties. Among the compounds, Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ has the best comprehensive properties and thus has been investigated most extensively. It has high elastic moduli and easy machinability, good thermal and electrical conductivities, together with excellent oxidation properties and fatigue resistance. In fact, Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ has become the model material of the layered ternary compounds.

There are two polymorphs[10–12] for Ti$_3$SiC$_2$, α and β phases. The structures for both α and β polymorphs are hexagonal in symmetry with a space group of P6$_3$/mmc (No. 194). However, Si occupies 2b Wyckoff position in α, but 2d in β. Note that the 2b and 2d sites are the largest holes in α and β, respectively. The fact facilitates the transition between the two polymorphs. The unit cells of the two polymorphs are schematically shown in Fig. 1 (a) and (b). They can be described as an alternative stacking of the Ti and Si layers: ABABACAC for α and ABCBAACBC for β. The underlined letters refer to the layers of Si atoms; the remainders being the layers of Ti atoms. Carbon atoms occupy the octahedral interstitial sites of Ti atoms and are not shown in this notation.

The possibility of the presence of the β polymorph was first proposed by Farber et al. In the early high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) investigations of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$, there was misinterpretation for the HRTEM images: the α polymorph was thought as the β polymorph. Using image simulations, Yu et al. clarified the relationship between HRTEM images and the underlying crystal structure for Ti$_3$SiC$_2$, and pointed out that the bright spots in HRTEM images do not necessarily correspond to the atomic columns. In the same work, they identified the existence of the β polymorph. Because β is less frequently observed than α, it was proposed to be a metastable phase, which was confirmed by the first-principles calculations of the heats of formation and the Gibbs free energy.

Due to the improvements in theory, calculation methods, and computer size and speed, first-principles calculations are becoming a powerful tool for understanding and predicting structures and properties of materials. Calculation results on Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ have been reported in literatures, but mainly for the α phase. In the present work, we calculated the topology of charge density based on Bader’s quantum theory of “atoms in molecules” (AIM) and the elastic properties of the polymorphs. The chemical bonding and the electronic structure are analyzed comparatively in detail.

II. CALCULATIONS

A. Computational details

The full-potential linearized augmented plane waves (FLAPW) method was used in this study. This method is one of the most accurate schemes to solve the Kohn-Sham equations in density-functional theory. Augmented plane wave plus local orbitals (APW+lo) were used for valence states; LAPW were used for the other states. The use of the mixed LAPW/APW+lo basis set requires considerably less basis functions compared to the pure LAPW basis set. In the present study, about 75 basis functions per atom were used. The gen-
eralized gradient approximation (GGA) exchange correlation functional of Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof was used.\textsuperscript{27} A fully relativistic calculation was used for core states, whereas the valence states were treated in a scalar relativistic scheme. The total and partial densities of states (DOS) were obtained using a modified tetrahedron method of Blöchl \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{28} Tests on the k-point sampling in the Brillouin zone were performed to ensure that the total energies were converged to 0.1 mRy/atom.

B. Structural optimization and heats of formation

The structural optimization for Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ started with the structure parameters determined using X-ray diffraction.\textsuperscript{29} The whole relaxation process includes four steps: (1) The atomic coordinations were relaxed with fixed lattice parameters; (2) With the fixed experimental c/a ratio and the atomic coordinations obtained in the previous step, the theoretical volume was obtained from volume-total energy curve; (3) Using the obtained theoretical volume and atomic coordinations, the total energy was calculated as a function of c/a ratio; (4) The atomic coordinations were relaxed again with the fixed theoretical lattice parameters.

The heats of formation were obtained by subtracting the total energies of the elemental crystals from the total energies of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ polymorphs. In order to precise the total energies, all the elemental crystals were also fully relaxed. For titanium and graphite, the relaxation process was similar to that for Ti$_3$SiC$_2$, but simpler because there are no free internal coordinations for titanium and graphite.

C. Topology of electron density

In addition to band structure and density of states (DOS), electronic structure and chemical bonding of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ were investigated quantitatively on the basis of Bader’s quantum theory of “atoms in molecules” (AIM),\textsuperscript{17–19} which is a theory about atoms, bonds, structure, and structural stability. Based on the topology of electron density, which can be obtained from either quantum mechanics computations or accurate experiments, the theory provides rigorous definitions for atoms in molecules/crystals and the bonds which link the atoms, and thus transform the qualitative concepts into a quantitative description. As its name, the AIM theory concerns mainly molecular quantum chemistry. Recently, the theory was also applied to solid-state phases.\textsuperscript{30–35}

An atom in a molecule or crystal is an open system, which is free to exchange charge and momentum with neighboring atoms. In the AIM theory, an atom is defined as a region containing a single nucleus, with the flux in the gradient vector field of the electron density $\rho(r)$ vanishing at its surface, i.e.

$$\nabla \rho(r) \cdot n(r) = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $n(r)$ is the exterior normal vector to the surface of the region.

Once the atomic basins are determined an atomic property of each atom can be obtained by integration of a corresponding property densities over the basin of the atom, and each atom makes an additive contribution to the value of the property of the total system. The electron population in atom $\Omega$, for example, is given as

$$N(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \rho(r) \, dr$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

In addition to the definition of atomic basins, the topology of electron density gives the definition of molecular structure in terms of its critical points, where the gradient of the electron density vanishes, i.e.

$$\nabla \rho(r) = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

According to Bader’s notation, the critical points are characterized by the rank and signature of the Hessian matrix $(\nabla^2 \rho(r))$ of the electron density. The rank is the number of non-zero eigenvalues of $\rho(r)$ and the signature is the number of positive eigenvalues minus the number of negative ones. In general, the critical points of electron density for energetically stable molecules or crystals are all of rank three, and there are just four possible signature values, i.e. four kinds of critical points: (3,-3) or local (nucleus or non-nucleus) maxima, (3,-1) or bond critical points (BCP), (3,1) or ring critical points (RCP), and (3,3) or cage critical points(CCP). The eigenvalues are generally denoted as $\lambda_i$ (i=1-3) in an increasing order. Thus for a BCP, $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 < 0 < \lambda_3$. For molecules or crystals with equilibrium geometry, the existence of a BCP indicates the formation of a bond between two neighboring atoms.

D. Elastic constants and Debye temperature

We calculated the single crystal elastic constants in terms of the linear theory of elasticity. For a small lattice distortion near equilibrium structure, the strain energy is\textsuperscript{36–37}

$$E_{total} = \frac{1}{2} V \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \epsilon_i \epsilon_j$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $V$ denotes the volume of undistorted system, $c_{ij}$ the elastic stiffness constants, and $\epsilon_i$ and $\epsilon_j$ the components of the strain tensor in the matrix form.
\[ e = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix} \]  

We use the method described in section A to calculate \( E_{\text{total}} \) for various strains of the system and find the second derivatives of the energy with respect to the strain. Then the elastic constants \( c_{ij} \) are evaluated using the equation (4). To find the distorted lattice vectors we adopt the matrix procedure given by Jona and Marcus,\(^{38} \) who modified the procedure of Fast et al.,\(^{39} \) to get higher symmetry in distorted structures. In summary, the undistorted structure is written in a matrix form as

\[ R = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos 15^\circ & \sin 15^\circ & 0 \\
\cos 75^\circ & \sin 75^\circ & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c
\end{pmatrix} \]  

where \( a \) and \( c \) are lattice constants.

The distorted structure \( R' \) is then obtained by

\[ R' = R(I + e) \]  

where \( I \) denotes the unit matrix. Since there are five independent elastic constants for the hexagonal phase, five different strains are needed. The five strains and the related elastic constants (in parentheses) are listed as follows:

(i) \( \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon, (c_{111} + c_{12}) \)
(ii) \( \epsilon_3 = \epsilon, (c_{33}) \)
(iii) \( \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = \epsilon, (2d_{111} + 2d_{12} + 4c_{13} + c_{33}) \)
(iv) \( \epsilon_4 = \epsilon_5 = \epsilon, (c_{44}) \)
(v) \( \epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 = \epsilon, (c_{111} - c_{12}) \)

In each above case, the other components of the strain tensor are equal to zero. Different strain from that of Jona and Marcus\(^{38} \) is used to calculate \( c_{111} - c_{12} \). Using the present strain, the energy of the distorted structure becomes an even function of the strain. Therefore, only strains in one direction are needed. All the distorted structures were relaxed with respect to internal coordinates to obtain accurate elastic constants.

Polycrystalline elastic constants can be evaluated on the basis of single crystal elastic constants. In this study, the bulk modulus \( (B) \) is calculated directly from case (iii) strains \( (9B = 2d_{111} + 2d_{12} + 4c_{13} + c_{33}) \), the shear modulus \( (G) \) is obtained by averaging schemes developed by Voigt \( (G_V),^{40} \) Reuss \( (G_R),^{41} \) and Hill.\(^{42} \) In Voigt approximation, the shear modulus \( (G_V) \) of polycrystals is assumed to be the space average of stiffness \( c_{44} \) of crystallites disposed at all possible orientations. The Voigt approximation is equivalent to assuming that the strain is uniform throughout the aggregate, but the stress is not. On the other hand, assuming uniform stress but non-uniform strain, the shear modulus \( (G_R) \) is taken as the reciprocal space average of compliance \( s_{44} \) of the crystallites. For hexagonal crystals:

\[ G_V = [(2d_{111} + c_{33}) - (c_{12} + 2d_{13}) + 3(2d_{44} + c_{66})]/15 \]  

\[ 1/G_R = [4(2s_{111} + s_{33}) - 4(s_{12} + 2s_{13}) + 3(2s_{44} + s_{66})]/15 \]

where \( s_{ij} \) are elastic compliances. Using an energy argument, Hill\(^{42} \) proved that \( G_V \) and \( G_R \) represent upper and lower limits and the true value of \( G \) should lie between them. Empirically, a good approximation is

\[ G = (G_V + G_R)/2 \]

Young’s modulus \( (E) \) and Poisson’s ratio \( (\nu) \) are given by:

\[ E = \frac{9BG}{3B + G} \] and \[ \nu = \frac{3B - 2G}{2(3B + G)} \]

The Debye temperature \( (\theta_D) \), a fundamental parameter of a solid, can also be calculated from elastic constants employing the relationship between \( \theta_D \) and the sound velocity.\(^{43} \) The polycrystalline shear, \( \nu_s, \) and longitudinal, \( \nu_l, \) sound velocities are computed from \( B \) and \( G \) as follows:

\[ \nu_s = (G/\rho)^{1/2} \]

\[ \nu_l = ((B + 4G/3)/\rho)^{1/2} \]

The mean sound velocity \( v_m \) is

\[ v_m = \left( \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{2}{\nu_s} + \frac{1}{\nu_l} \right] \right)^{-1/3} \]

Then the Debye temperature is given by the equation:

\[ \theta_D = \frac{h}{k} \left( \frac{3qN\rho}{4\pi M} \right)^{1/3} v_m \]

where \( h \) denotes Planck’s constant, \( k \) Boltzmann’s constant, \( N \) Avogadro’s number, \( \rho \) the density, \( M \) the molecular weight of the solid and \( q \) the number of atoms in the molecule.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

#### A. Structural optimization and heats of formation

The calculated and the experimental lattice parameters and atomic positions of Ti\(_3\)SiC\(_2\) are listed in Table I. Note that the calculated C positions are very close to
those determined recently by neutron diffraction,44 but are significantly different from those determined earlier by X-ray diffraction.26 In contrast to the fact that the X-ray scattering factor of C is much smaller than those of Ti and Si, the neutron scattering factors of the three elements are similar.45 Therefore, the positional parameters of the light carbon atoms determined from neutron diffraction are generally more precise than those determined from X-ray diffraction, especially for powder diffraction. The agreement between the calculated C positions and those determined by neutron diffraction demonstrates the accuracy of the geometrical relaxation of the first-principles calculations.

Table II gives the total energies of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ and elemental crystals, from which the heats of formation of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ are obtained as listed in Table II. The heat of formation for the $\alpha$ phase is -81.2 kJ/mol, while that for $\beta$ is -75.7 kJ/mol, confirming the metastability of the $\beta$ Ti crystal, from which the heats of formation of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of Si-C bonding in the $\beta$ covalent bonding demonstrates large directionality, a character of a significant charge transfer from Ti to C; the Ti-C-Ti bonding is demonstrated by the difference charge densities, there exists superposition of free atom densities from the total valence charge densities. The results are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). The electron density maps show some common features of the two polymorphs. For example, as demonstrated by the difference charge densities, there exists a significant charge transfer from Ti to C; The Ti-C-Ti bonding demonstrates large directionality, a character of covalent bonding.

In Fig. 3(b), the charge accumulation between Si and Ti's next-nearest-neighbor C atoms can be observed in the $\beta$ phase. Such accumulation suggests the formation of Si-C bonding in $\beta$, which is confirmed in the following topology analysis (AIM).

The crystallographically inequivalent BCPs, denoted by the atoms they connect, are listed in Table III. The bond length, electron density, eigenvalues of Hessian of $\rho$, laplacian (sum of eigenvalues) and the ratio $|\lambda_1|/\lambda_3$ are given.

First we notice that a new BCP connecting Si and C atoms exists in $\beta$, indicating the formation of Si-C bonds, which are absent in $\alpha$. The Si-C bonding could provide additional stabilizing effect for $\beta$. But from the heats of formation we know that the $\beta$ phase is a metastable phase relative to $\alpha$. By examining the properties of the BCPs, it is found that the electron density at the Ti$_2$-Si BCP in $\beta$ is significantly smaller than in $\alpha$. It means that less charge is accumulated in the bonding region between Ti2 and Si atoms in $\beta$, giving weaker and longer Ti$_2$-Si bond, and weak stabilizing effect for $\beta$.

Since the Si-C bonds exist only in the $\beta$ phase, the transformation from one polymorph to the other should involve bond breaking and rearrangement. That means the transformation is reconstructive, although the two polymorphs differ slightly in structure. Furthermore, such a reconstruction can not be accomplished by a homogeneous distortion, like in Martensitic transformations.46 Instead, the diffusion of Si atoms is required, Si atoms hop from normal 2b sites to 2d sites (the largest holes in $\alpha$) to generate Frenkel defects (vacancies at 2b and interstitials at 2d). A Frenkel defect in $\alpha$ can be regarded as a $\beta$ nucleus of a half unit cell.

From the bond lengths of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ listed in Table III, it is noticed that Ti1-C bond is longer and has a smaller electron density relative to Ti2-C bond in both $\alpha$ and $\beta$. This might be attributed to the weaker Ti2-Si bonding relative to Ti2-C bonding, resulting in a charge transfer from the former to the latter. Thus the Ti2-C bonding is strengthened.

According to the topology properties at BCPs, there are two categories of interatomic interactions: shared interaction and close-shell interaction.17 For a BCP of shared interaction, the laplacian is negative, the electron density is large (order 1 in eÅ$^{-3}$), and the ratio $|\lambda_1|/\lambda_3$ is larger than unity, corresponding to covalent bonds. Close-shell interaction means positive laplacian, lower electron density and a smaller ratio $|\lambda_1|/\lambda_3$ at the BCPs. Ionic bonds, hydrogen and Van der Waals bonds fall in this category. According to these definitions, all the bonding interactions in Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ belong to close-shell interaction. This is in accordance with the large charge transfer between the atoms of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$, as listed in Table IV.

The above classification scheme, like other bonding classification schemes, is not a quantitatively rigorous scheme, but a qualitative one for easy understanding. Not all the bonds can be classified unambiguously in such a way. For example, due to the strong covalent interaction between Ti and C, the charge densities at Ti1-C and Ti2-C BCPs are not small enough as for typical ionic bonds.

Si-Si and Si-C bonds are typical covalent bonds in Si and SiC crystals. However, they are categorized as close-shell interaction in Ti$_3$SiC$_2$. Although this should not be regarded as the failure of above classification scheme since both the Si-C and Si-Si bond lengths here are much larger than their normal values (2.35 Å in Si and 1.89 Å in SiC), it suggests the necessity to generalize above scheme developed from molecular quantum chemistry by taking into account the constraints the atoms receive in crystals.

Finally, it should be noted that there is no direct Ti-Ti bonding interactions in Ti$_3$SiC$_2$. While it's in contrast to traditional thinking, we will show that it's a common feature in most of the transition metal carbides and nitrides.47
The band structures of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. The four lower and the next two bands are \( s \) states of \( C \) and \( Si \) atoms. The dispersion of \( Si \) \( s \) bands is larger than that of \( C \) \( s \) bands due to the more diffuse character of the \( Si \) \( s \) orbitals. The higher bands from about -6.0 eV are valence \( Ti \) \( 3d \) and (\( Si, C \)) \( p \) states. Due to the large dispersion of \( Si \) \( s \) states, no energy gap is formed between the lower \( s \) bands and the higher \( p \) and \( d \) bands.

By comparing the band structures of the two polymorphs, the differences in orbital interactions are revealed. It is found that, at \( K \) and \( H \) points, the bands near -2.1 eV in \( \alpha \) increase to near -1.4 eV in \( \beta \), while those close to the Fermi level of \( \alpha \) decrease to -0.3 eV in \( \beta \). From the band character plotting, as shown in Figs. 5(a)-5(d) for \( \beta \), the compositions of the featured bands are obtained. The figures show that the bands of \( \beta \) near -1.4 eV consist mainly of the contributions from the states \( C 2p_z, Si 3p_z, \) and \( Ti2 3dz^2+3dyz \), which form the interactions between base planes. The bands near -0.3 eV consist mainly of the contributions from \( 3dz^2+3dyz \) and \( 3dz^2-2y^2+3dxy \) of \( Ti2 \) atoms, which interact within the base planes. Because of the decreased electron density between \( Si \) and \( Ti2 \) atoms in \( \beta \) (Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 3(b)), the interplane bonding interactions between \( Si 3pz, Ti2 3dz^2+3dyz, \) are weak in the \( \beta \) phase. The energy of these interactions increase from -2.1 eV in \( \alpha \) to -1.4 eV in \( \beta \). The \( Si-C \) interactions in \( \beta \) are not strong enough to stabilize the interplane interactions. The energy of the bands near Fermi level of \( \alpha \) decreases by about -0.3 eV, demonstrating that the interactions within the base planes are stabilized.

Many features in band structures can also be illustrated in electron densities of states (DOS), as shown in Fig. 6(a) and 6(b). Corresponding to the shift of \( Si \) \( 3pz \) band near \( K \) and \( H \) points, the highest peak of \( Si \) \( 3pz \) shifts from -2.1 eV in \( \alpha \) to -1.4 eV in \( \beta \). The minor peak of \( Ti2 \) atoms also shifts due to the \( Si-Ti2 \) hybridization. Similarly, corresponding to the shift of \( 3dz^2+3dyz \) and \( 3dz^2-2y^2+3dxy \) bands of \( Ti2 \) atoms, the peak near the Fermi level of \( \alpha \) shifts down to -0.3 eV in \( \beta \), resulting in a decrease of \( N(E_F) \), the DOS at the Fermi level, from 4.4 states/(unit cell eV) for \( \alpha \) to 3.6 for \( \beta \). The lower \( N(E_F) \) may lead to lower conductivity of \( \beta \) relative to \( \alpha \).

The partial DOS (PDOS) curves do not show detectable hybridization between \( Si \) \( s \) states and the electronic states of \( Ti \) and \( C \) atoms. It means that the dispersion of \( Si \) \( s \) bands originates mainly from the two-dimensional interaction within the \( Si \) planes. Due to Bloch’s theorem, a phase factor is associated to every translation. At \( K \) point (2\( \pi/3 \), 2\( \pi/3 \), 0) in the Brillouin zone of \( Ti3SiC_2 \), the phase factor linking a \( Si \) orbital with its neighbor is equal to \( e^{\pm 2\pi/3} \), and so the Bloch function looks like that shown in Fig. 7, where the phase is denoted by different colors. Therefore, the interactions between all the nearest neighbouring \( s \) orbitals are antibonding, and the \( K \) point should be at the top of \( Si \) \( s \) band as shown in Fig. 4.

### C. Band structure and DOS

The band structures of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. The four lower and the next two bands are \( s \) states of \( C \) and \( Si \) atoms. The dispersion of \( Si \) \( s \) bands is larger than that of \( C \) \( s \) bands due to the more diffuse character of the \( Si \) \( s \) orbitals. The higher bands from about -6.0 eV are valence \( Ti \) \( 3d \) and (\( Si, C \)) \( p \) states. Due to the large dispersion of \( Si \) \( s \) states, no energy gap is formed between the lower \( s \) bands and the higher \( p \) and \( d \) bands.

By comparing the band structures of the two polymorphs, the differences in orbital interactions are revealed. It is found that, at \( K \) and \( H \) points, the bands near -2.1 eV in \( \alpha \) increase to near -1.4 eV in \( \beta \), while those close to the Fermi level of \( \alpha \) decrease to -0.3 eV in \( \beta \). From the band character plotting, as shown in Figs. 5(a)-5(d) for \( \beta \), the compositions of the featured bands are obtained. The figures show that the bands of \( \beta \) near -1.4 eV consist mainly of the contributions from the states \( C 2p_z, Si 3p_z, \) and \( Ti2 3dz^2+3dyz \), which form the interactions between base planes. The bands near -0.3 eV consist mainly of the contributions from \( 3dz^2+3dyz \) and \( 3dz^2-2y^2+3dxy \) of \( Ti2 \) atoms, which interact within the base planes. Because of the decreased electron density between \( Si \) and \( Ti2 \) atoms in \( \beta \) (Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 3(b)), the interplane bonding interactions between \( Si 3pz, Ti2 3dz^2+3dyz, \) are weak in the \( \beta \) phase. The energy of these interactions increase from -2.1 eV in \( \alpha \) to -1.4 eV in \( \beta \). The \( Si-C \) interactions in \( \beta \) are not strong enough to stabilize the interplane interactions. The energy of the bands near Fermi level of \( \alpha \) decreases by about -0.3 eV, demonstrating that the interactions within the base planes are stabilized.

### D. Elastic properties

Because of the difficulty to grow \( Ti3SiC_2 \) single crystals, there are only polycrystalline elastic constants available from the reported experiments. However, single crystal elastic constants can be obtained from first principle calculations. The elastic constants of \( Ti3SiC_2 \) were calculated using the pseudopotential method. In the present study, we adopted the all-electron \( F(L)APW+lo \) method to calculate the single crystal elastic constants. The results are given in Table V. Polycrystalline elastic constants, sound velocities and Debye temperature were calculated from the single crystal elastic constants as listed in Table VI. The calculated values match the experimental data very well. For example, the bulk modulus of the \( \alpha \) phase was measured experimentally, most of the results, including the latest one, showed a
TABLE III: Bond length ($l$ in Å), electron density ($\rho$ in eÅ$^{-3}$), eigenvalues of Hessian and laplacian ($\lambda_i$, $\nabla^2 \rho$ in eÅ$^{-5}$) of $\rho$, and the ratio $|\lambda_1|/|\lambda_3|$ at the bond critical points in Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ polymorphs.

| Bond   | $l$  | $\rho$ | $\lambda_1$ | $\lambda_2$ | $\lambda_3$ | $\nabla^2 \rho$ | $|\lambda_1|/|\lambda_3|$ |
|--------|------|--------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|
| $\alpha$ Ti1-C| 2.20 | 0.473  | -1.62        | -1.57        | 5.42         | 2.23           | 0.30             |
| Ti2-C  | 2.10 | 0.576  | -2.06        | -2.06        | 5.56         | 1.44           | 0.37             |
| Ti2-Si | 2.70 | 0.269  | -0.64        | -0.53        | 2.18         | 1.00           | 0.29             |
| Si-Si  | 3.08 | 0.198  | -0.21        | -0.12        | 0.78         | 0.45           | 0.27             |
| $\beta$ Ti1-C| 2.18 | 0.483  | -1.67        | -1.62        | 5.49         | 2.20           | 0.30             |
| Ti2-C  | 2.10 | 0.589  | -2.22        | -2.14        | 5.48         | 1.12           | 0.41             |
| Ti2-Si | 2.75 | 0.239  | -0.42        | -0.40        | 2.03         | 1.22           | 0.21             |
| Si-Si  | 3.06 | 0.194  | -0.22        | -0.10        | 0.81         | 0.49           | 0.27             |
| Si-C   | 3.23 | 0.206  | -0.19        | -0.19        | 1.05         | 0.67           | 0.18             |

TABLE IV: Atomic charges for Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ polymorphs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ti1</th>
<th>Ti2</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>1.623</td>
<td>1.421</td>
<td>-0.874</td>
<td>-1.795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>1.633</td>
<td>1.363</td>
<td>-0.800</td>
<td>-1.779</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$B$ value of close to 180 GPa, matching well with present calculations. Comparison between the two polymorphs shows that the $\beta$ phase is generally a little softer than the $\alpha$ phase.

The Cauchy relations were examined. For hexagonal materials it was demonstrated that the following Cauchy relations held if the interatomic forces were central: $c_{12} = c_{66} = (c_{11} - c_{12})/2$ and $c_{13} = c_{44}$, i.e., the Cauchy ratio $(c_{12}/c_{66}$ and $c_{13}/c_{44})$ was unity. The Cauchy ratio shown in Table V indicates that its deviation from unity is notable, similar to many hexagonal transition metals.

Elastic anisotropy exists in real crystals and plays an important role in determining properties of crystals. Here we calculate the change of Young’s modulus with direction to investigate the elastic anisotropy in Ti$_3$SiC$_2$. For hexagonal systems, the reciprocal of Young’s modulus ($E$) in the arbitrary direction is defined as

$$1/E = (1 - l_3^2}s_{11} + t_3^4 s_{33} + t_3^2 (1 - l_3^2)/(2s_{13} + s_{44}) \quad (16)$$

Note that the Young’s modulus of hexagonal crystals depends only on one direction cosine, $l_3 = \cos \theta$, where $\theta$ is the angle between the arbitrary direction and the crystallographic $c$-axis. This is because the hexagonal crystals are isotropic in the basal plane. The direction dependent Young’s modulus of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ is shown in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b) for $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively. From these figures one can see that the Young’s modulus of the $\alpha$ phase is larger in directions between the $a$ and $c$ axes, the minimum of 303 GPa is in the $c$ direction, and the maximum of 355 GPa in the directions 42° from $c$. In contrast, the Young’s modulus of the $\beta$ phase is smaller in directions between the $a$ and $c$ axes, maximum (325 GPa) in $c$ and minimum (300 GPa) in the directions 50° from $c$. It’s interesting to note that, although the $\beta$ phase is generally softer than the $\alpha$ phase, it’s Young’s modulus in the $c$ direction is larger than that of the $\alpha$ phase. This could be attributed to the formation of additional Si-C bonds in the $c$ direction of $\beta$.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

An all-electron and full potential first-principles method has been used to calculate the heats of formation, electronic structure, and elastic properties of the two polymorphs of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$.

1. It was found that the energy of the $\beta$ phase is higher than $\alpha$, in agreement with the observation that $\beta$ is a metastable phase;

2. The topology analysis showed that Ti-Si bonding for hexagonal systems, the reciprocal of Young’s modulus ($E$) in the arbitrary direction is defined as

$$1/E = (1 - l_3^2)s_{11} + t_3^4 s_{33} + t_3^2 (1 - l_3^2)/(2s_{13} + s_{44}) \quad (16)$$

Note that the Young’s modulus of hexagonal crystals depends only on one direction cosine, $l_3 = \cos \theta$, where $\theta$ is the angle between the arbitrary direction and the crystallographic $c$-axis. This is because the hexagonal crystals are isotropic in the basal plane. The direction dependent Young’s modulus of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ is shown in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b) for $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively. From these figures one can see that the Young’s modulus of the $\alpha$ phase is larger in directions between the $a$ and $c$ axes, the minimum of 303 GPa is in the $c$ direction, and the maximum of 355 GPa in the directions 42° from $c$. In contrast, the Young’s modulus of the $\beta$ phase is smaller in directions between the $a$ and $c$ axes, maximum (325 GPa) in $c$ and minimum (300 GPa) in the directions 50° from $c$. It’s interesting to note that, although the $\beta$ phase is generally softer than the $\alpha$ phase, it’s Young’s modulus in the $c$ direction is larger than that of the $\alpha$ phase. This could be attributed to the formation of additional Si-C bonds in the $c$ direction of $\beta$.

(1) The DOS peak near the Fermi level of the $\alpha$ phase shifts to lower energy in the $\beta$ phase, resulting in the decreased DOS at the Fermi level of $\beta$;

(2) The polycrystalline elastic constants and sound velocities deduced from calculated single crystal elastic constants were found to be consistent with the experimental results. The $\beta$ phase is generally a little softer than the $\alpha$ phase;

(3) As revealed by the direction dependent Young’s modulus, there is only limited elastic anisotropy in Ti$_3$SiC$_2$. For $\alpha$, Young’s modulus is minimum in the $c$ direction and maximum in the directions 42° from $c$. For $\beta$, the maximum lies in the $c$ direction, presumably because of the formation of Si-C bonds in this direction.
TABLE V: Calculated elastic constants ($c_{ij}$ in GPa) and Cauchy ratio of the Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ polymorphs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$c_{11}$</th>
<th>$c_{12}$</th>
<th>$c_{13}$</th>
<th>$c_{33}$</th>
<th>$c_{12}/c_{66}$</th>
<th>$c_{13}/c_{44}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VI: The bulk, shear, Young's moduli ($B$, $G$, $E$ in GPa), Poisson's ratio ($\nu$), density ($\rho$ in g/cm$^3$), longitudinal, transverse, average elastic wave velocity ($v_l$, $v_s$, $v_m$ in km/s), and the Debye temperature ($\theta_D$ in K) for polycrystalline Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ obtained from experiments or the calculated single crystal elastic constants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$B$</th>
<th>$G$</th>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$\nu$</th>
<th>$\rho$</th>
<th>$v_l$</th>
<th>$v_s$</th>
<th>$v_m$</th>
<th>$\theta_D$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>795$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>784$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Fine-grained samples; $^b$Coarse-grained samples; $^c$Corrected based on $\rho$ and $v_m$; $^d$Based on results reported in Ref. 51, but corrected in Ref. 52.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS:

FIG. 1. Structure models of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ refer to the two polymorphs, respectively. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phases have the same space group (P6$_3$/mmc). The carbon atoms located in the octahedral interstices between Ti layers are represented by the smallest balls, one of which is indicated by an arrow.

FIG. 2. Calculated total valence charge densities (electron/Å$^3$) for the (1120) plane of (a) $\alpha$, and (b) $\beta$.

FIG. 3. Difference charge densities (electron/Å$^3$) of (a) $\alpha$, and (b) $\beta$. Dotted and solid lines denote negative and positive values in difference densities respectively.

FIG. 4. Band structures of (a) $\alpha$, and (b) $\beta$.

FIG. 5. Band structure of the $\beta$ phase in character plotting mode, showing (a) Si $p_z$, (b) Ti2 ($d_{xz}$+$d_{yz}$), (c) C $p_z$, and (d) Ti2 ($d_{xz}$+$d_{yz}$) character bands.

FIG. 6. The total and partial DOS curves of (a) $\alpha$, and (b) $\beta$.

FIG. 7. Schematic of the Bloch function of Si $s$ orbitals at K point ($2\pi/3$, $2\pi/3$, 0) in the Brillouin zone of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$.

FIG. 8. Direction dependent Young’s modulus of (a) $\alpha$, and (b) $\beta$. 

FIG. 1. Structure models of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ refer to the two polymorphs, respectively. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phases have the same space group (P6$_3$/mmc). The carbon atoms located in the octahedral interstices between Ti layers are represented by the smallest balls, one of which is indicated by an arrow.
FIG. 2. Calculated total valence charge densities (electron/Å\(^3\)) for the (1120) plane of (a) \(\alpha\), and (b) \(\beta\).
FIG. 3. Difference charge densities (electron/Å$^3$) of (a) $\alpha$, and (b) $\beta$. Dotted and solid lines denote negative and positive values in difference densities respectively.
FIG. 4. Band structures of (a) $\alpha$, and (b) $\beta$. 

Note: two column figure.
FIG. 5. Band structure of the $\beta$ phase in character plotting mode, showing (a) Si $p_z$, (b) Ti$_2$ ($d_{xz}+d_{yz}$), (c) C $p_z$, and (d) Ti$_2$ ($d_{x^2-y^2}+d_{xy}$) character bands.
FIG. 6. The total and partial DOS curves of (a) $\alpha$, and (b) $\beta$. 

(a)  
(b)
FIG. 7. Schematic of the Bloch function of Si $s$ orbitals at K point $(2\pi/3, 2\pi/3, 0)$ in the Brillouin zone of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$. 
FIG. 8. Direction dependent Young’s modulus of (a) $\alpha$, and (b) $\beta$. 