Title
To split or not to split: an opinion on dividing the genus Burkholderia

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/14j5f0bc

Journal
Annals of Microbiology, 66(3)

ISSN
1590-4261

Authors
Estrada-de los Santos, P
Rojas-Rojas, FU
Tapia-García, EY
et al.

Publication Date
2016-09-01

DOI
10.1007/s13213-015-1183-1

Peer reviewed
To split or not to split: an opinion on dividing the genus Burkholderia

Paulina Estrada-de los Santos, Fernando Uriel Rojas-Rojas, Erika Yanet Tapia-García, María Soledad Vásquez-Murrieta, et al.
To split or not to split: an opinion on dividing the genus Burkholderia

Paulina Estrada-de los Santos¹ · Fernando Uriel Rojas-Rojas¹ · Erika Yanet Tapia-García¹ · María Soledad Vásquez-Murrieta¹ · Ann M. Hirsch²,³

Abstract The genus Burkholderia is a large group of species of bacteria that inhabit a wide range of environments. We previously recommended, based on multilocus sequence analysis, that the genus be separated into two distinct groups—one that consists predominantly of human, plant, and animal pathogens, including several opportunistic pathogens, and a second, much larger group of species comprising plant-associated beneficial and environmental species that are primarily known not to be pathogenic. This second group of species is found mainly in soils, frequently in association with plants as plant growth-promoting bacteria. They also possess genetic traits that bestow them with an added potential for agriculture and soil restoration, such as nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, iron sequestration, and xenobiotic degradation, and they are not pathogenic. In this review, we present an update of current information on this second group of Burkholderia species, with the goal of focusing attention on their use in agriculture and environmental remediation. We describe their distribution in the environment, their taxonomy and genetic features, and their relationship with plants as either associative nitrogen-fixers or legume-nodulating/nitrogen-fixing bacteria. We also propose that a concerted and coordinated effort be made by researchers on Burkholderia to determine if a definitive taxonomic split of this very large genus is justified, especially now as we describe here for the first time intermediate groups based upon their 16S rRNA sequences. We need to learn more about the plant-associated Burkholderia strains regarding their potential for pathogenicity, especially in those strains intermediate between the two groups, and to discover whether gene exchange occurs between the symbiotic and pathogenic Burkholderia species. The latter studies will require both field and laboratory analyses of gene loss and gain.
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Introduction

Burkholderia is a bacterial genus that contains a large and ever increasing number of species, with the current count being around 100. It belongs to the class β-proteobacteria, within the family Burkholderiaceae, along with Cupriavidus, Lautropia, Limnobacter, Pandoraea, Paucimonas, Polynucleobacter, Ralstonia, and Thermotrix. The B. cepacia complex (Bcc), which consists of 20 species [Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM) Table 1], has been the major focus of most of the research on Burkholderia and is probably the best known group within this genus. The Bcc is found in soil, the rhizosphere, and clinical environments, but it is the ability of the members of this group to act as opportunistic pathogens, especially in cystic fibrosis patients, which has resulted in the Bcc being not only well studied, but also a source of major concern (Mahenthiralingam et al. 2008). The other medically
important cluster is the *B. pseudomallei* group, which consists of four species: *B. pseudomallei*, *B. mallei*, *B. thailandensis*, and *B. oklahomensis*. Of these, *B. pseudomallei* is the causative agent of melioidosis (Cheng and Currie 2005). and *B. mallei* causes glanders, a disease of equines (Nierman et al. 2004). Other *Burkholderia* species are plant pathogens and responsible for diseases such as wilts, rots, blights, or cankers. Many of the phytopathogenic species were originally classified as *Pseudomonas* (e.g., *P. andropogonis*, *P. gladioli*, *P. cepacia*, *P. glumae*, and *P. plantarii*), but following a polyphasic taxonomic investigation, they were transferred to the genus *Burkholderia* (Yabuuchi et al. 1992; Urakami et al. 1994; Coenye et al. 2001).

The purpose of this review is not to discuss plant and mammalian pathogens or the opportunistic pathogens, as numerous reviews have been written about the Bec, the *B. pseudomallei* cluster, and the plant pathogenic *Burkholderia* species (Sprague and Neubauer 2004; Coenye and Vandamme 2007; Gonzalez et al. 2007). In a recent study, we demonstrated that *Burkholderia* could be split into two phylogenetic groups, indicating that this genus consists of distinct taxonomic lineages (Estrada-de los Santos et al. 2013). Gyaneshwar et al. (2011) proposed that the plant-beneficial–environmental (PBE) group be collectively categorized as the genus *Caballeronia*, and Sawana et al. (2014) recently described this same group as *Paraburkholderia*, but these authors did not adhere to the criteria required for a valid description of a new genus (see section *Burkholderia* taxonomy update).

In this review, we summarize the latest information about the plant-associated beneficial and environmental bacterial species, i.e., the PBE cluster (Suarez-Moreno et al. 2012), which are not allied to the pathogenic clade. We provide an overview of their taxonomy, distribution in the environment, and interaction with plants as nitrogen (N)-fixing and/or legume-nodulating bacteria and also discuss the various traits that these bacteria use to promote plant growth. Special emphasis will be placed on the PBE *Burkholderia* species that possess these traits and their potential use in agriculture.

**Burkholderia taxonomy update**

Over the last 20 years, information on the genus *Burkholderia* has expanded. It began with the description of *Burkholderia* gen. nov. in 1992 and the transfer of seven species (*B. cepacia*, *B. caryophylli*, *B. gladioli*, *B. mallei*, *B. pseudomallei*, *B. pickettii*, and *B. solanacearum*) from the genus *Pseudomonas* homology rRNA group II into the new genus *Burkholderia* (Yabuuchi et al. 1992). Since then and particularly over the last decade, a steadily increasing number of new species have been described. There are currently 99 named *Burkholderia* species, although not all are validated in the International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology (IJSEM), which is the official journal of record of bacterial names of the International Committee on Systematics of Prokaryotes of the International Union of Microbiological Societies (ESM Table 1). The discovery of so many different *Burkholderia* species is in part due to a reclassification of a number of already known species (*B. phenazineum, B. pyrocinia, B. glathei*, among others) (Viallard et al. 1998), but mostly due to the exploration of new environments and subsequent discovery of new species (*B. tropica, B. unamae, B. caballeronis, B. aspalathii, B. dipogonis* and *B. cordobensis*, and many more) (ESM Table 1).

In our first publication on *Burkholderia* in 2001 (Estrada-de los Santos et al. 2001) and in subsequent publications (Caballero-Mellado et al. 2004; Reis et al. 2004; Perin et al. 2006; Suarez-Moreno et al. 2012), we have noted that the genus can be classified into two large groups, namely, Group A and Group B, based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing data. A similar outcome occurred using multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) of 55 type strains and 26 reference *Burkholderia* strains. Group A consists of strains equivalent to the PBE cluster of Suarez-Moreno et al. (2012), whereas Group B is composed of plant, human, and animal pathogens, as well as opportunistic pathogens (Estrada-de los Santos et al. 2013). Subsequent to these studies, Sawana et al. (2014) performed a phylogenetic analysis of 21 conserved proteins and the 16S rRNA gene sequence from 45 *Burkholderia* species (26 species of *Burkholderia*, 18 strains of *Burkholderia* spp., and one Candidatus *Burkholderia*), with the results also providing evidence for the existence of two major clades that were basically the same as those described in Estrada-de los Santos et al. (2013). Sawana et al. (2014) split the species from Group A from *Burkholderia* to form a new genus, which was named *Paraburkholderia*. In yet another study, Zuleta et al. (2014) phylogenetically analyzed 545 housekeeping genes from 15 *Burkholderia* species, and their results also supported the existence of two distinct groups. We had earlier suggested the name *Caballeronia* as a new name for the genus encompassing the PBE *Burkholderia* species (Gyaneshwar et al. 2011), but proposing a new legitimate genus name that can be validated by the scientific community requires additional studies (see later sections).

For this review, we have updated the phylogenetic tree of *Burkholderia* taking into account one or more (up to 5) 16S rRNA gene sequences from each *Burkholderia* species to obtain even stronger support for the presence of two or more clades. Using a single gene, such as the 16S rRNA gene, for taxonomic analyses is thought by some researchers to be disadvantageous and/or even produce irrelevant results (Yarza et al. 2014). Nevertheless, this gene is still the only widely used taxonomic marker for which sufficient information is available and which is commonly accessible in databases (Yarza et al. 2014).
We have therefore performed an updated phylogenetic analysis with maximum likelihood, similar to that previously described (Estrada-de los Santos et al. 2013). The new analysis shows that since our 2013 publication, Group A has expanded significantly and now includes many new *Burkholderia* species, several of which have only been described within the last few years (Fig. 1). By contrast, Group B has remained essentially the same in the context that the number of described species has hardly changed. In our analysis, *B. andropogonis* was found not to be closely related to any former or current group. Moreover, there are two Transition Groups (1 and 2) among the *Burkholderia* clusters (Fig. 1). We determined the intra-similarity for each group using MEGA v6 (Tamura et al. 2013) and found that for Group A, the similarity was 97.6 % and that for each of the other groups, it was >98.0 % (Table 1). In comparison, the overall inter-group similarity was found to be 95.9 % for Group A and Group B, 96.3 % for Group A and Transition Group 1, 96.2 % for Group A and Transition Group 2, and 95 % for Group A and *B. andropogonis* (Table 1). Interestingly, the inter-similarity among Group B, *B. andropogonis*, and the two Transition Groups was found to be >97 %. The 95.9 % inter-group similarity between Groups A and B is above the cut-off value of 95 % for establishing the separateness of two genera (Tindall et al. 2010). Yarza et al. (2014) recently analyzed the 16S rRNA gene sequences from both bacteria and archaea and proposed rational taxonomic boundaries for taxonomic ranks above the genus level. These authors reported that a sequence identity of ≤94.5 % between two 16S rRNA gene sequences provided strong evidence for distinct genera. However, they also mentioned that this threshold represents a minimum value and that when supported by other evidence, higher than threshold sequence identities can be considered. To paraphrase Yarza et al. (2014): “...the 94.5 % threshold for genera does not preclude the formation of genera that have sequence identities of 96 % if it is supported by other phenotypic, genetic, or environmental data”. This is the case for the PBE Group A of *Burkholderia*, with 95.9 % 16S rRNA gene sequence identity to Group B. Moreover, most Group A species are symbionts or saprophytes and not pathogens or parasites. Group A bacteria also exhibit traits that are applicable to soil remediation and restoration. The relationship between Group A and the Transition Groups is >96.0 % (Table 1), and species in all of these clusters are found in soil, water, and/or rhizosphere or are associated with plants or fungi.

Although the analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences is a very helpful approach when the aim is to describe bacteria, the resolving power of this technique may be limited, as seen for the Bcc (Vandamme and Dawyndt 2011). Newer alternatives have been developed for the description of novel microorganisms, such as whole genome sequence comparison (Tindall et al. 2010), but because the sequences of many PBE *Burkholderia* genomes are not available for comparative analysis (ESM Table 2), we appeal to the international community working on this genus to start an initiative based on MLSA, which is a highly accepted approach for describing novel species in *Burkholderia* (Vandamme and Peeters 2014). Such an analysis will definitely improve our understanding of the phylogenetic relationship among *Burkholderia* species and will set the stage for splitting the genus.

Curiously, two *Burkholderia* species described by different authors in the same year and isolated from completely different locations have the same name, *B. humi*. One species (RsT7^T^), which is closely related to *B. tropica*, was isolated from peat soil in Russia (Srinivasan et al. 2013), whereas the second species (RA1-5^T^), which is closely related to *B. terrestris*, was isolated from rhizospheric soil in the Netherlands (Dalmastri et al. 1999; Vandamme et al. 2013). The latter species was published in IJSEM and therefore, should keep the name *B. humi*. The *Burkholderia* described by Srinivasan et al. (2013) should be renamed to avoid confusion.

Distribution of *Burkholderia* species in the environment

Members of the genus *Burkholderia* are found almost everywhere in the environment, but mainly as an important component of the soil microbial community (Dalmastri et al. 1999). Suarez-Moreno et al. (2012) summarized all information available on the distribution of the *Burkholderia* species up to 2012. In the years following the publication of their review, many more *Burkholderia* species have been described. Our updated 2015 list of *Burkholderia* species, which is shown in ESM Table 1, contains a description of the environmental source of each isolated species. Few efforts have been made to study the ecology and distribution of these species, which is especially unfortunate considering that many of them had originally been described from only a single strain. Roselló-Mora and Amann (2001) point out that a single-strain species description (SSSD) ignores the diversity of strains within a species and, consequently, the resulting description is incomplete. A large number of *Burkholderia* species consist of SSSDs (e.g., *B. aspalathi*, *B. australis*, *B. dabaoeshenensis*, *B. denitrificans*, *B. eburnea*, *B. endofungorum*, *B. ferrariae*, *B. ginsengisoli*, *B. grimmiae*, *B. humi* Rs7, *B. insulsa*, *B. jiangsuensis*, *B. kururiensis*, *B. megalochromosomata*, *B. monticola*, *B. oxypilha*, *B. phenoliruptrix*, *B. phyumatum*, *B. rhizinica*, *B. rinojensis*, *B. sacchari*, *B. sediminicola*, *B. soli*, *B. terrae*, *B. terrestris*, *B. terricola*, *B. tuberum*, and *B. zhejiangensis*). Although some species consisting of two or more strains have been described (ESM Table 1), information about the ecology of these bacterial species is limited. Fortunately, recently multiple strains have been isolated for *B. phenoliruptrix*, *B. phyumatum*, *B. kururiensis*, and *B. tuberum* species (Estrada-de los Santos et al. 2001; Chen et al. 2005a, b; Caballero-Mellado et al.
Nitrogen fixation and legume nodulation in *Burkholderia* species

The first evidence of dinitrogen (N₂) fixation by a member of genus *Burkholderia* was found in *B. vietnamiensis*, a species isolated from the *Oryza sativa* L. soil rhizosphere in Vietnam (Gillis et al. 1995). However, some time passed before it was realized that the genus *Burkholderia* is actually rich in diazotrophic species (Estrada-de los Santos et al. 2001). *Burkholderia* species that have been shown to be free-living N₂-fixers are *B. caryophylli*, *B. caryophylli* STM678, *B. ferrariae*, *B. fungorum*, *B. helea*, *B. kurwiosiensis*, *B. latata*, *B. nodosa*, *B. phymatum*, *B. silvaticiana*, *B. terrae*, *B. tuberum*, *B. tropica*, *B. unamae*, *B. xenovorans*, and *B. vietnamiensis* (Gama et al. 2016). Other putative N-fixing *Burkholderia* species (*B. australis*, *B. acidipaludis*, and *B. bammensis*) have been reported, but actual diazotrophy has not been authenticated. Nitrogen fixation in *Burkholderia* has not been solely limited to newly described new species; some previously described species have also been shown to fix nitrogen, such as *B. fungorum* (Chen et al. 2005a, b) and *B. caryophylli* (Estrada-de los Santos et al. 2001) in the same study. The presence of *nifH*, the first structural gene encoding the enzyme nitrogenase, has been detected and sequenced from *B. caryophylli* (Chen et al. 2003; Martínez-Aguilar et al. 2008) and the ability to fix nitrogen, as determined by acetylene reduction activity, was reported earlier for this species than it was for *B. vietnamiensis*, which was subsequently named *Pseudomonas caryophylli* (Postgate 1982; Haahreta et al. 1983). The NCBI database contains a partial *nifH* sequence from *B. fungorum* strain S4 2R (Accession number AM110722), but this strain’s true identity is unknown because a 16S rRNA sequence is not available and no other attempt to classify it has been pursued. Moreover, some strains of *B. xenovorans*, which fix nitrogen, were first identified as *B. fungorum* (NCBI Taxonomy Browser). Consequently, whether *B. fungorum* fixes nitrogen remains an enigma. Also, the NCBI database contains records of numerous *nifH* sequences in *Burkholderia*, but a sole sequence from the *nif* operon is not sufficient evidence to confirm N-fixing ability. At least nine *nif* gene sequences must be present for nitrogen fixation to occur in certain bacteria (Wang et al. 2013).

A remarkable discovery was that pertaining to the capacity of some β-proteobacteria species to nodulate legumes. Moulin et al. (2001) showed that two *Burkholderia* strains which had been apparently isolated from *Aspalathus* and *Machaerium* nodules (both sub-family Papilionoideae) were able to form nodules on the promiscuous legume siratro (*Macroptilium atropurpureum* (DC) Urb.), but the nodules were ineffective. These two strains were later described as *B. tuberum* and *B. phymatum* (Vandamme et al. 2002), and Elliott et al. (2007a, b) later demonstrated their ability to nodulate and fix nitrogen, but with legumes different to their originally described hosts: *Cyclopia* spp. and *siratro* in the case of *B. tuberum* STM678⁵ and *Mimosa* spp. in the case of *B. phymatum* STM815⁵. Chen et al. (2005a, b) provided the first conclusive evidence for symbiotic nitrogen fixation and nodulation by *Burkholderia* using high-resolution microscopy and green fluorescent protein tagging of strains. A full description of the history of nodulation in beta-rhizobia is reported in Gyaneshwar et al. (2011) and Suarez-Moreno et al. (2012). However, new findings on nodulation by *Burkholderia* have emerged since then, and these are described in the following sections of this review.

Although most earlier studies focused on *Burkholderia* symbionts of *Mimosa* spp. either in their native (mainly) neotropical range or as pan-tropical invasives (Gyaneshwar et al. 2011), recently many more *Burkholderia* strains, potentially new species, have been isolated from nodules on papilionoid legumes from South Africa (Beukes et al. 2013). Most of these isolates were from *Cyclopia* and related genera in the tribes Podaityreae or Hypocoleptae; they induced nodules on cowpea and/or *siratro*, and had *nod* genes similar to those of *B. tuberum* STM678⁵, which has previously been shown to
Group A, PBE
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*Paraburkholderia*

Transition Group 1

B. symbiotica JPY366
B. symbiotica JPY347
B. symbiotica JPY581
B. symbiotica JPY345{T}
B. caryophylli NBRC 13591
B. caryophylli ATCC 25418{T}
B. soli LMG 24076{T}
B. soli GP258
B. dabaoshanensis GIMN1.004{T}
B. rhizoxinica H3977
B. rhizoxinica G7344
B. rhizoxinica HKI454{T}
B. endofungorum G4101
B. endofungorum HKI456{T}

B. andropogonis

Group 1

B. andropogonis 6758
B. andropogonis 7665
B. andropogonis 6893
B. andropogonis LMG 2129{T}

Group B

Plant, human, animal pathogens

B. sordidicola Jm120
B. sordidicola SNU020123
B. sordidicola LMG 22029{T}
B. udeis Hg4
B. udeis Hg3
B. udeis Hg2{T}
B. terrestris MAS009
B. terrestris MAS014
B. choica LMG 22940{T}
B. terrestris LMG 22937{T}
B. humi A221
B. humi RA15{T}
B. gluthe N15
B. gluthe ATCC 29195{T}
B. telluris LMG 22936{T}
B. megalochromosomata J2949{T}
B. jiangsuensis MP1{T}
B. grimmiae R27{T}
B. cordobensis LMG 27620{T}
B. cordobensis LMG 27621
B. zhejiangensis OP1{T}
B. zhejiangensis R4626
B. zhejiangensis R4625
B. zhejiangensis R4624

Transition Group 2

Pandoraea norimbergenensis LMG 13019{T}

Ralstonia pickettii LMG 5942{T}
nodulate *Cyclopia* spp. (Elliott et al. 2007a). Similar data were obtained with a wider range of legumes from the Cape Core subregion of South Africa by Lemaire et al. (2015). i.e., *Burkholderia* strains related to *B. phytofirmans*, *B. spretiae*, *B. tuberum*, *B. rhynchosiae*, and some unnamed *Burkholderia* spp., but all possessed symbiosis genes related to *B. tuberum* STM678\(^1\). These were isolated from various species in the tribes Podalyrieae, Indigoferae, Phaseoleae and Crotalariae, including species of *B. tuberum*, *Crotalaria*, *Indigofera*, *Podalyria*, *Rafnia*, *Virgilia*, *Amphithalea*, and *Aspalathus*. Recently, based on Beukes et al. (2013), a novel *Burkholderia* species was identified as *B. kirstenboschensis* (Steenkamp et al. 2015), and at least three more species are being characterized as new species (S. Venter, personal communication). These, together with *B. dilworthii*, *B. rhynchosiae*, and *B. spretiae*, and probably also *B. dipogonis* (Liu et al. 2014; Sheu et al. 2015), illustrate the plethora of recent new descriptions of *Burkholderia* species that nodulate South African native legumes in their native range (and/or as introduced plants in Australasia) and confirm the Fynbos biome/Cape Core subregion as a major center of diversity for beta-rhizobia (Gyaneshwar et al. 2011; Howieson et al. 2013).

With regard to the other major center of beta-rhizobial diversity, South America, and its enormous variety of *Mimosa* species that are nodulated by *Burkholderia* (Gyaneshwar et al. 2011), a recent study on related legume genera in the same group as *Mimosa*, i.e., the Piptadenia group in tribe Mimoseae (sub-family Mimosoideae), showed that these were mainly nodulated by nine different *Burkholderia* species, of which three are likely to be new species and one that was identified as *B. phenoliruptrix* (Bourmaud et al. 2013). *Burkholderia phenoliruptrix* was previously found to be a symbiont of *Mimosa flocculosa* Bukart (Chen et al. 2005a; Cunha et al. 2012). Therefore, it appears that in South America nodulation by *Burkholderia* is mainly confined to *Mimosa* spp. and related neotropical genera in the sub-family Mimosoideae, whereas the South African Burkholderias only nodulate legumes in the sub-family Papilionoideae. However, although these two geographically distant groups of symbiotic Burkholderias are distinct in terms of the member species of their respective host range (owing to their very different plasmid-borne nod genes), there are exceptions; for example, *B. phymatum* and *B. tuberum* can both nodulate *siratro* and common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) (Elliott et al. 2007a; Gyaneshwar et al. 2011; Angus et al. 2013). Moreover, *B. tuberum* is also an exception to the apparent geographical segregation of the symbiotic species, as it is present as a symbiotic nodulator of native and/or endemic legumes on both continents—i.e., it nodulates *Cyclopia* and other papilionoid legumes in South Africa and is a major component of the *Mimosa*-nodulating population in South America (Bontemps et al. 2010; Mishra et al. 2012). Consequently, *B. tuberum* has been proposed to have two biovars in terms of host range, geographical distribution, and nod gene phylogeny: biovar mimosae (*Mimosa* symbionts) and biovar papilionoideae (*Cyclopia* and other papilionoideae symbionts) (Mishra et al. 2012).

Mexico represents an interesting case in terms of symbiotic Burkholderias, as a recent survey of the symbionts of the highly diverse *Mimosa* spp. native to Mexico (and which are taxonomically distant from their Brazilian cousins), showed that the native and endemic species from some central states were not nodulated by *Burkholderia* but by *Rhizobium* and *Ensifer* (Bontemps et al. 2016). The authors of this study attributed this difference to the separate evolution of these two groups of *Mimosa* spp. for >30 million years in very different soils, i.e., the Brazilian spp. are highly endemic to very acidic soils which support a diverse population of acid-tolerant Burkholderias, whereas the Mexican spp. are endemic to mainly neutral-alkaline soils which support a wider range of potential symbionts. The exceptions to the apparent absence of *Burkholderia* symbionts in Mexican *Mimosa* spp. are a closely related group of *B. tuberum*-like Burkholderias that were isolated from the widespread neotropical species, *Mimosa somnians* and *M. skinneri*, in Jalisco. These were genetically almost identical to a strain (CGGE1002) which was isolated from nodules on *M. occidentalis* in the adjacent state of Nayarit, and which according to EzTaxon was also identified as *B. tuberum* (98.7 %) (Fig. 1) (Bontemps et al. 2016). We believe that more work needs to be done regarding the isolation of *Burkholderia* from legume nodules in Mexico. For example, we have described *B. caballeronis*, which was isolated from the tomato rhizosphere, and surprisingly it nodulates *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. (Martinez-Aguilar et al. 2013). Nodulating bacteria are normally isolated from legume nodules or rhizospheres. We are currently assessing *B. caballeronis* on different legume species, including *Mimosa* spp., to determine its host range.

The symbiotic N-fixing *Burkholderia* described to date are:  
*B. caballeronis*, *B. caribensis*, *B. diazotrophica*, *B. dilworthii*, *B. dipogonis*, *B. kirstenboschensis*, *B. mimosarum*, *B. nodosa*, *B. phenoliruptrix*, *B. phymatum*, *B. rhynchosiae*, *B. sabiae*, *B. spretiae*, *B. symbiotica*, and *B. tuberum* (see references in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Similarity percentage among <em>Burkholderia</em> groups based on the analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Species | (1)  | (2)  | (3)  | (4)  | (5)  | Inter-
|         | similarity |
| *Burkholderia* Group A (1) | 97.6 | 97.6 |
| *Burkholderia* Group B (2) | 95.9 | 99.4 |
| Transition Group 1 (3) | 96.3 | 97.8 | 98.2 |
| Transition Group 2 (4) | 96.2 | 97.4 | 97.3 | 98.5 |
| *B. andropogonis* (5) | 95.0 | 97.4 | 96.6 | 97.0 | 99.7 |
| Out group (6) | 93.5 | 94.2 | 94.0 | 93.4 | 93.5  |

The groups are based on the phylogenetic tree reported in Fig. 1. The analysis was performed with MEGA v6 (Tamura et al. 2013).
ESM Table 1). Ferreira et al. (2012) reported the isolation of three *B. fungorum* strains from nodules of *Macroptilium atropurpureum* (DC.) Urb that nodulate common beans but which lack the ability to fix nitrogen. All nodulating *Burkholderia* species are located in the PBE group, with the exception of the symbiont of the Brazilian endemic legume *Mimosa cordistipula*, namely, *B. symbiotica* (Sheu et al. 2012), which sits in the Transition Group 1 along with *B. endofungorum, B. rhizoxinica*, and *B. caryophylli*, among others, which were previously placed in the Group B (Fig. 1). This is not surprising because *Burkholderia* is a genus with a continuously growing number of species, and it is just a matter of time until more nodulating species are discovered outside the PBE Group A.

**Burkholderia** Group A species: virulent or not?

That the N-fixing species *B. vietnamiensis*, a member of the Bcc, and other Group B *Burkholderia* species are either dedicated or opportunistic pathogens has led to concerns about their use in agriculture. The biotechnological use of Bcc species was restricted in 2003 by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Nevertheless, the transmissibility and clinical impacts of the Bcc differ widely from one species to another, thus opening the door to discussions on the existing restriction measures. Indeed, suggestions have been put forth that the ban should be lifted on some strains belonging to another, thus opening the door to discussions on the existing restriction measures. Indeed, suggestions have been put forth that the ban should be lifted on some strains belonging to distinct Bcc species (Chiarini et al. 2006; Li et al. 2013).

As mentioned earlier, the PBE clade defined by Suarez-Moreno et al. (2012) falls into a clade separate from the pathogenic species. When PCR-amplified *cblA* and *esmR* transmissibility-factor encoding-genes from *B. cenocepacia* strain J2315T were used to probe plant-associated diazotrophic (Perin et al. 2006) or non-diazotrophic *Burkholderia* species in the PBE cluster (Castro-González et al. 2011), the results of both PCR and Southern hybridization studies were negative (Perin et al. 2006). Similarly, Angus et al. (2014) analyzed the genomes of several *Burkholderia* species using functional and genomic methods to determine whether virulence determinants could be found in Group A species. Their genomics analysis showed that many of the Group A strains lack the Type 3 secretion system, especially T3SS-3, which is responsible for *B. pseudomallei* virulence in mammalian hosts. Although some Group A strains have a T3SS, such as *B. phytofirmans* and *B. phenoliruptrix* Br3459, these secretion systems lack the genes that are required for cell invasion in *B. pseudomallei* BsaN (Chen et al. 2014). Many of the Group A strains also lack a canonical Type 4 secretion system. In addition to the genomic data, several of the Group A strains were tested on *Caenorhabditis elegans* and on HeLa cells; in both systems, the Group A strains tested did not cause mortality or lysis as did treatment with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (A.A. Angus and A.M. Hirsch, unpublished data) or *Burkholderia thailandensis* E264 (Angus et al. 2014). This same study reported that the tested Group A strains also demonstrated greater susceptibility to commonly used antibiotics than did the pathogenic strains tested, which included *B. thailandensis* E264, *B. vietnamiensis* G4, and *B. gladioli* BSR3.

**Potential use of Burkholderia in agro-biotechnology**

The Bcc has been used to control plant pests, promote plant growth, produce important industrial compounds, and degrade toxic molecules (Jaeger et al. 1999; Van et al. 2000; Hussain et al. 2007; Li et al. 2013). However, due to their opportunistic pathogenic behavior and the spread of Bcc into diverse environments, many of which function as a natural reservoir, these bacteria have been banned for agricultural use in the USA. Nonetheless, the beneficial behavior of *Burkholderia* is not limited to just the Bcc. Indeed, many species from the PBE group have interesting features with potential applications in agro-biotechnology.

**Bioremediation**

Modern industrial activity has led to an accumulation of artificially synthesized pollutants, many of which damage the environment. Alternatives considered for soil remediation/decontamination are involve both plants (phytoremediation) and microorganisms (rhizoremediation), and which taken together is referred to as bioremediation. *Burkholderia* has a potential role in rhizoremediation because several species metabolize toxic compounds. For example, different strains of the plant-associated diazotroph *B. unamae* use phenol and benzene as their sole carbon sources (Caballero-Mellado et al. 2007). Also, *B. kururiensis*, a trichloroethylene-metabolizing, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol degrader, and a plant-associated, N-fixing species, breaks down phenol, benzene, and toluene (Zhang et al. 2000; Caballero-Mellado et al. 2007; Gómez-De Jesús et al. 2009). *A. tropica* strain isolated from the Santa Alejandrina marsh in Veracruz, Mexico, degrades benzene, toluene, and xylene (De Los Cobos-Vasconcelos et al. 2006). In addition, *B. xenovorans* strain LB400T is one of the most potent aerobic polychlorobiphenyl (PCB)-degrading microorganisms studied to date (Seeger et al. 1999). This species was tested for PCB degradation in the rhizosphere of * Panicum virgatum* L. and was found to be responsible for the removal of 47.3 % of the PCB pollutants present (Liang et al. 2014). Recently, *Burkholderia* sp. VUN10013 was found to be able to degrade phenanthrene and anthracene, the latter being elevated in acidic soils (Somtrakoon et al. 2008a, b). Interestingly, when the 16S rRNA sequence (AF068011) from strain VUN10013 was compared to sequences in the NCBI database, the best hit was *B. phytofirmans* with 99 % similarity. *Burkholderia phytofirmans* PsJN is a plant growth-promoting bacterium with high aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC)-
deaminase (AcdS) activity (Sessitsch et al. 2005). It also has the capacity to degrade thiocyanate, a common contaminant in effluents from gold mine tailings (Vu et al. 2013). Another environmental Burkholderia species involved in pollutant degradation is B. sartisoli. This species was isolated from a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-contaminated soil in New Zealand (Vanlaere et al. 2008) and grew on naphthalene and phenanthrene (Laurie and Lloyd-Jones 1999). Burkholderia phenoliruptrix type strain AC1100 was isolated after successive plating from a chemostat inoculated with waste contaminated with 2,4,5-trichloroethylene (2,4,5-T), a potent herbicide (Kellogg et al. 1981; Coenye et al. 2004). This bacterium also degrades 2,3,4,6-tetrachlorophenol and pentachlorophenol (Karns et al. 1983). Strain AC1100T can remove >99 % of 2,4,5-T present at 1 mg g−1 of soil within 1 week and >90 % from a heavily contaminated soil containing 20 mg g−1 of soil within 6 weeks (Kilbane et al. 1983). Moreover, the elimination of 2,4,5-T by strain AC1100T supports the growth of plants inoculated by this strain in soil containing low concentrations of this contaminant. The same effect was observed on the germination and seedling vigor of L. grown in soil contaminated with 2,4,5-T after inoculation with B. phenoliruptrix AC1100T (Gangadhara and Kunhi 2000). Burkholderia terricola and B. hospita were isolated as transconjugants that acquired the catabolic plasmids pJP4 or pEMT1, both encoding enzymes for the degradation of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, in an agricultural soil inoculated with a Pseudomonas putida UWC3 donor strain harboring either one or the other plasmid.

Pérez-Pantoja et al. (2012) analyzed several Burkholderia genomes for aromatic compound biodegradation and reported that the Burkholderia species studied contained the pathways for protocatechuate ortho ring-cleavage, catechol ortho ring-cleavage, homogentisate ring-cleavage, and phenylacetyl-CoA ring-cleavage. Many of these species belong to phylogenetic Group A. A number of Burkholderia strains are involved in biodegradation processes (Mueller et al. 1997; Coenye and Vandamme 2003), but many have not been assigned to any new or already described Burkholderia species. In summary, the ability of the PBE Burkholderia species to degrade toxic compounds is either more common than originally thought, or it has just simply been overlooked until now.

Plant growth promotion abilities

Many Burkholderia species are known for their ability to promote plant growth. The mechanisms involved in plant promotion include indole acetic acid (IAA) production, siderophore synthesis, nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, ACC-deaminase activity, and induction of systemic resistance, among others (see ESM Table 1 for more examples and references). AcdS degrades ACC, the ethylene precursor, which is an inhibitor of plant growth. AcdS is found in a diversity of Burkholderia strains from both phylogenetic groups (Castro-González et al. 2011).

A number of Burkholderia species have been reported to produce IAA, as measured by the Salkowski test, with or without the addition of tryptophan (Castro-González et al. 2011; de Oliveira-Langatti et al. 2014; Naveed et al. 2014). One publication describes the identification of IAA not only by the Salkowski test but also by chromatography (Singh et al. 2013), although it should be noted that the species in this particular study was B. cepacia RRE25. Regardless, the exact mechanism(s) used by the Group A Burkholderia for IAA production has not been elucidated, and whether or not any other phytohormones are produced by the beta-rhizobial strains has not to our knowledge been reported as yet.

In summary, although the plant-promoting activity exhibited by Burkholderia is promising, the presence of human pathogens and opportunistic pathogens in this genus together with some very effective plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, such as B. vietnamiensis (Van et al. 2000), has so far limited its application in agriculture.

Concluding remarks

The number of species within the genus Burkholderia is steadily increasing, with many species having been described within the last 10 years and with numerous attempts having been made to consolidate the various species into different phylogenetic groups and ultimately to describe new genera. Although the description of a new taxonomic lineage must be thoroughly comprehensive, there is a lingering reluctance to split the genus Burkholderia. However, the current approach of basing the separation of a genus on phenotypic features, especially when the phenotypic traits are highly inconsistent, which is always the case whenever large populations are studied (see Xu et al. 1995; Yao et al. 2002; Vinuesa et al. 2005), is problematic. Ackermann (2015) mentioned that the expectation has been that all individuals in a clonal population will express the same phenotype. However, in some situations only a minority of individuals in a clonal population will express a given phenotype, while others will benefit from it without contributing. Therefore, phenotype cannot always be a conclusive factor in determining taxonomic lineage.

The potential use in agriculture of many Burkholderia species from the environmental, plant-associated, and non-pathogenic clade is definitely one reason, among others, why it is desirable to split the PBE cluster from the pathogen-containing Group B clade and describe it as a new genus with a less controversial name which does not contain the word “Burkholderia”, as does “Paraburkholderia”. Moreover, although many Burkholderia species, such as B. graminis, have been proposed as species which should be placed in genus Paraburkholderia, based on Fig. 1 and on
other unpublished data *B. graminis* is nested well within the PBE clade with other *Burkholderia* species that have also been properly validated. Although we believe strongly that the A group should be separated from the B group and renamed, we propose that *B. graminis* and all the other PBE clade members remain in the genus *Burkholderia* until more robust evidence is provided beyond what has been published to date. Therefore, our review is a plea for a concerted international effort to study the entire genus and determine whether MLSA or other strategies are better for separating *Burkholderia* into two or more genera. For example, experiments whereby symbiotic genes are transferred into Group B bacteria and virulence genes moved into Group A strains might address these concerns, but to our knowledge, such experiments have not been pursued. In our previous publication (Angus et al. 2014), we described the development of functional assays to test whether select PBE *Burkholderia* strains inhibited nematode worm and HeLa survival. Additional assays need to be developed to test the efficacy and safety of these PBE strains. Nevertheless, although many *Rhizobium* and closely related species are harbored by the opportunistic or serious pathogen (citrus greening disease) brush, the *Rhizobiaceae* are still widely used as inoculants. “The bottom line is that different clusters of genes and different G+C content of genomes correlate with either the symbiotic or parasitic lifecycle in the *Rhizobiaceae*” (Angus et al. 2014). The same is true for the *Burkholderiaceae*. A multi-faceted scientific effort that encompasses many disciplines and focuses on the PBE *Burkholderiaceae* is needed to understand fully the differences between the A and B groups.

We view as achievable the goal of using PGPR *Burkholderia* strains, particularly those from the PBE group, for bioremediation, biofertilizer production, and protection from plant pests, with the ultimate aims to eliminate our dependence on and use of chemical fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides and to help us attain truly sustainable agriculture. We realize that the quest to separate the PBE *Burkholderia* from the Group B species cannot be performed by a few laboratories—it will “take a village”. Demonstrating how internationally relevant PBE *Burkholderia* species are is shown by the fact that South African forage legumes, which are nodulated by Group A *Burkholderia* (J. Howieson, personal communication), thrive in the acid, infertile, and arid soils of Western Australia and have already been planted in experimental plots in farmers’ fields. Farmers in Western Australia can no longer use *Rhizobium* inoculants and their hosts because the Mediterranean forage crops used for grazing are no longer productive due to the change in Western Australia’s climate. The time is right to direct research efforts towards the Group A *Burkholderia* so that they can be utilized for agriculture. Splitting the genus is the first step towards achieving this goal.
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