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Abstract: Magnesium gluconate is a potent antioxidant used for the prevention and treatment of hypomagnesia. The current research was aimed to investigate the impact of The Trivedi Effect® - Energy of Consciousness Healing Treatment (Biofield Energy Healing Treatment) on magnesium gluconate for the change in the physicochemical, structural, thermal and behavioral properties using PXRD, PSD, FT-IR, UV-vis spectroscopy, TGA, and DSC analysis. Magnesium gluconate was divided into two parts – one part was control, while another part was treated with The Trivedi Effect® - Energy of Consciousness Healing Treatment (Biofield Energy Healing Treatment) remotely by twenty renowned Biofield Energy Healers and defined as The Trivedi Effect® treated sample. The PXRD analysis exhibited that the crystallite size of the treated sample was remarkably changed from range -37.52% to 180.14% compared with the control sample. The average crystallite size was reduced in the treated sample by 0.11% compared with the control sample. The PSD analysis revealed that the particle sizes in the treated sample at $d_{10}$ and $d_{50}$ values were significantly decreased by 11.36% and 8.25%, respectively, while the particle size at $d_{90}$ was increased in the treated sample by 2.43% compared with the control sample. The treated sample's surface area was significantly increased by 9.54% compared with the control sample. The FT-IR and UV-vis analysis showed that the structure of the magnesium gluconate remained the same in both the treated and control samples. The TGA analysis revealed the four steps thermal degradation of the both samples and the total weight loss of the treated sample was reduced by 2.32% compared with the control sample. This result indicated that the thermal stability of the treated sample was improved compared with the control sample. The DSC analysis revealed that the melting temperature of the treated sample (171.05°C) was decreased by 0.17% compared with the control sample (171.34°C). The latent heat of fusion was decreased by 1.16% in the treated sample compared with the control sample. The current study infers that The Trivedi Effect® - Energy of Consciousness Healing Treatment (Biofield Energy Healing Treatment) might lead to a new polymorphic form of magnesium gluconate, which would be more soluble, bioavailable, and thermally stable compared with the untreated...
compound. Hence, The Trivedi Effect® Treated magnesium gluconate would be very useful to design better nutraceutical and/or pharmaceutical formulations that might offer better therapeutic responses against inflammatory diseases, immunological disorders, stress, aging and other chronic infections.
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1. Introduction

Magnesium ion ($\text{Mg}^{2+}$) is a major intracellular ion and plays an important role in the regulation of tRNA and rRNA structures. This ion is also a crucial cofactor for many RNA and DNA processing enzymes as well as for those enzymes using AMP, ADP, or ATP as substrates [1-3]. Hence, magnesium ion is an essential mineral element in human and animal nutrition. It is also used as a metallotherapeutic agent to treat various diseases such as asthma, arrhythmias, acute myocardial infarction, gestational hypertension, preeclampsia and eclampsia [1-7]. Perioperative magnesium supplementation is found to be very useful in the management of postoperative pain by inhibiting postoperative hypomagnesemia as well as reducing the incidence of postoperative shivering [8, 9]. Gluconic acid is a minor organic acid formed from glucose through a simple dehydrogenation reaction catalyzed by glucose oxidase. Gluconic acid and its derivatives are commonly used in the food and pharmaceutical industry [10]. Magnesium gluconate ($\text{MgC}_6\text{H}_11\text{O}_{14}$) is the magnesium salt of gluconic acid. It is used by itself or in combination with one or more antioxidants for the prevention and treatment of diabetes mellitus, allergies, septic shock, inflammatory diseases, immunological disorders, and other chronic infections [11, 12]. It can be used intravenously in the treatment of ischemia/reperfusion injury due to oxidative stress in order to block free radical flow [13]. This organometallic salt can be used in a skin-tightening cosmetic composition [14]. It is also used as an oral tocolytic agent in women, whose labor is arrested initially with intravenous therapy by acting on non-selective β-receptor [15]. The scientific literature reports that magnesium gluconate is a more powerful antioxidant than other magnesium salts [11]. Moreover, magnesium gluconate is a physiologically acceptable salt among from the other salt forms [12]. The bioavailability of magnesium in humans is found low due to the difficulties in the absorption through the narrow channels in the biological membranes for its higher steric constraints to transporters and incapability of its hydration shell [16, 17]. Magnesium gluconate is physiologically acceptable salt and has the highest bioavailability among the magnesium salts such as chloride, sulfate, citrate, lactate, aspartate, etc. [12, 18]. In this point of view, a novel proprietary herbomineral formulation was designed as a nutraceutical supplement, which can be used for the prevention and treatment of various human disorders. Magnesium gluconate is one of the components in this novel proprietary herbomineral formulation as the source of magnesium.

Since ancient times, many different cultures, religions and systems of belief have recognized a living force that preserves and inhabits every living organism. This force is the source of ‘life’ and has been called various names, such as prana by the Hindus, qi or chi by the Chinese, and ki by the Japanese. This is believed to co-relate with the soul, spirit and mind. This hypothetical vital force has been scientifically evaluated and is now considered the Bioenergetics Field. The Biofield Energy is a dynamic electromagnetic field surrounding the human body, resulting from the continuous emission of low-level light, heat, and acoustical energy from the body. Biofield Energy is infinite, paradimensional and can freely flow between the human and environment [19, 20]. So, a human has the ability to harness energy from the ionosphere of the earth, the “universal energy field”, and transmit it to any living organism (s) or nonliving object (s) around the globe. The object or recipient always receives the energy and responds in a useful way. This process is known as The Trivedi Effect® - Biofield Energy Healing Treatment [21]. Biofield (Putative Energy Field) based Energy Therapies are used worldwide to promote health and healing. The National Center of Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH) has recognized and accepted Biofield Energy Healing as a Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) health care approach in addition to other therapies, medicines and practices such as natural products, deep breathing, yoga, Tai Chi, Qi Gong, chiropractic/osteopathic manipulation, meditation, massage, special diets, homeopathy, progressive relaxation, guided imagery, acupuncture, acupuncture, relaxation techniques, hypnotherapy, healing touch, movement therapy, pilates, rolffing structural integration, mindfulness, Ayurvedic medicine, traditional Chinese herbs and medicines, naturopathy, essential oils, aromatherapy, Reiki, cranial sacral therapy and applied prayer (as is common in all religions, like Christianity, Hinduism, Buddhism and Judaism) [22]. Biofield Energy Healing Treatment (The Trivedi Effect®) has been published in numerous peer-reviewed science journals due to its significant impacts in the science fields of biotechnology, genetics, cancer, microbiology, materials science, agriculture, and pharmaceuticals. These publications reported that Biofield Energy Treatment (The Trivedi Effect®) has the significant capability to transform the physical, structural,
chemical, thermal and behavioral properties of several pharmaceuticals [23, 24], nutraceuticals [25, 26], organic compounds [27-30], metals and ceramics in materials science [31-33], improve the overall productivity of crops [34, 35], as well as modulate the efficacy of various living cells [36-40]. Although magnesium gluconate displays the highest bioavailability and moderate solubility in water in comparison to other magnesium salts, humans still face problems in achieving their daily requirements of magnesium [41]. The physical and chemical properties such as particle size, crystalline structure, crystallite size, surface area, etc. of a pharmaceutical have a direct influence on the absorption, dissolution, and bioavailability of the drug [42]. The stability of a solid drug with respect to the atmospheric conditions is very important to the pharmaceutical industry during processing, formulation, storage, and packaging in order to achieve better therapeutic efficacy [43]. Biofield Energy Treatment (The Trivedi Effect®) has been reported to change the particle size, specific surface area, crystalline, chemical and thermal behavior of an atom/ion through possible mediation of neutrinos [44]. Scientific literature mentions that powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), particle size distribution analysis (PSD), Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrometry, ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectroscopy, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analysis play an vital role for solving various problems encountered in industries for the pharmaceutical/nutraceutical formulation and developments [45]. By considering these aspects, the physicochemical, structural, thermal and behavioral properties of the Biofield Energy Treated and untreated magnesium gluconate were studied using various analytical techniques including PXRD, PSD, FT-IR, UV-vis spectroscopy, TGA, and DSC.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents

Magnesium gluconate hydrate was procured from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (TCI), Japan. All other chemicals used in the experiment were of analytical grade available in India.

2.2. Energy of Consciousness Treatment Strategies

Magnesium gluconate was one of the components of the new proprietary herbomineral formulation, which was developed by our research team and was used per se as the test compound for the current study. The test compound was divided into two parts, one part of the test compound did not receive any sort of treatment and was defined as the untreated or control magnesium gluconate sample. The second part of the test compound, which was denoted as The Trivedi Effect® Treated sample, was treated with The Trivedi Effect® - Energy of Consciousness Healing Treatment (Biofield Energy Healing Treatment) by the group of twenty renowned Biofield Energy Healers remotely. Eighteen Biofield Energy Healers were remotely located in the U. S. A. and two of which was remotely located in Canada, while the test compound was located in the research laboratory of GVK Biosciences Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad, India. The Trivedi Effect® - Energy of Consciousness Healing Treatment was provided for 5 minutes through the Healer’s Unique Energy Transmission process remotely to the test compound, which was kept under laboratory conditions. None of the Biofield Energy Healers in this study visited the laboratory in person, nor had any contact with the compounds. Similarly, the control compound was subjected to “sham” healer for 5 minutes, under the same laboratory conditions. The sham healer did not have any knowledge about The Trivedi Effect® Treatment. After that, the treated and untreated samples were kept in similar sealed conditions and characterized thoroughly by PXRD, PSD, FT-IR, UV-visible spectroscopy, TGA, and DSC analysis.

2.3. Characterization

2.3.1. Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD) Analysis

The PXRD analysis was performed on PANalytical X’pert Pro powder X-ray diffractometer system. The X-ray of wavelength 1.54056 Å was used. The data was collected in the form of a chart of the Bragg angle (20) vs. intensity, and a detailed table containing information on peak intensity counts, d value (Å), relative intensity (%), full width half maximum (FWHM) (θ°). From the XRD results, the crystallite size (G) was calculated using X’pert data collector and X’pert high score plus processing software. The crystallite size (G) was calculated from the Scherrer equation [46, 47]. The method was based on the width of the diffraction patterns obtained in the X-ray reflected crystalline region. The crystallite size (G) was calculated by using the following Equation 1:

\[ G = k\lambda / (b\cos\theta) \]  

Where G is the equipment constant (0.5), \( \lambda \) is the X-ray wavelength (0.154 nm); b in radians is the full-width at half of the peaks and \( \theta \) is the corresponding Bragg angle.

Percent change in crystallite size (G) was calculated using the following equation 2:

\[ \% \text{ change in crystallite size} = \left( \frac{G_{\text{Treated}} - G_{\text{Control}}}{G_{\text{Control}}} \right) \times 100 \]

Where G_Control and G_Treated are the crystallite size of the control and Biofield Energy Treated samples, respectively. A total of 500.18 mg of the control and treated samples individually were used for the analysis and prepared by the back loading technique using the sample preparation kit. The sample was spread on the holder ring in sufficient quantity to fill the ring cavity. It was then pressed down using a powder press block and scraped the powder that was in surplus using a glass slide in order to get a densely packed specimen. The bottom plate was placed onto the holder ring and clamped in position. The sample holder was
then removed from the sample preparation table by turning it upside down. A smooth surface of the sample was obtained to ensure optimum results.

2.3.2. Particle Size Distribution (PSD) Analysis

The average particle size and particle size distribution were analyzed using Malvern Mastersizer 2000, UK, with a detection range from 0.01 µm to 3000 µm. The sample unit was filled with dispersant medium and operated the stirrer at 2500 rpm. Alignment of the optics was done and taken the background measurement. After the background measurement, the sample was added in to the sample unit with constant monitoring of the obscuration. When the obscuration of the sample reached in between 15% and 20%, further addition of the sample stopped. When the obscuration was stable, the measurement was taken twice and the average was taken of the two measurements. The average histogram of the two measurements was recorded. Along with histogram, the data was presented in a table format which includes particle size (µm). Also, the values at below 10% level (d10), 50% level (d50), and 90% level (d90) were calculated from the histogram, and the calculations such as surface area (m²/g) were done by using Mastersizer 2000 software. The percent change in particle size (d) for at below 10% level (d10), 50% level (d50), and 90% level (d90) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\% \text{ change in particle size} = \frac{d_{\text{Treated}} - d_{\text{Control}}}{d_{\text{Control}}} \times 100
\]  

(3)

Where, d_control and d_treated are the particle size (µm) for at below 10%, 50%, and 90% level of the control and Biofield Energy Treated samples, respectively.

The percent change in surface area (S) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\% \text{ change in surface area} = \frac{S_{\text{Treated}} - S_{\text{Control}}}{S_{\text{Control}}} \times 100
\]  

(4)

Where, S_control and S_treated are the surface area of the control and Biofield Energy Treated samples, respectively.

2.3.3. Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectroscopy

FT-IR spectroscopy of the magnesium gluconate was performed using Spectrum Two (Perkin Elmer, USA) Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer with the frequency range of 400-4000 cm⁻¹ by using the pressed KBr disk technique.

2.3.4. Ultraviolet-Visible Spectroscopy (UV-Vis) Analysis

The UV-Vis spectral analysis was carried out using Shimadzu UV-2450 with UV Probe, Japan. The spectrum was recorded using 1 cm quartz cell with a slit width of 1.0 nm. The wavelength range chosen for recording the spectra was 190-800 nm. The absorbance spectra (in the range of 0.2 to 0.9) and absorbance maximum (λ_max) were recorded.

2.3.5. Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA)

The TGA analysis was performed using TGA Q50 (TA Instruments, USA) at a heating rate of 10°C/min from room temperature i.e. 25°C to 900°C in a nitrogen atmosphere. A total of 15 mg of sample was weighed in a platinum crucible. In TGA, the weight loss for each step was recorded in grams as well as in percent loss with respect to the initial weight. Also, the onset, endset, and peak temperature for each step were recorded in TGA. Percent change in weight loss (W) was calculated using the following equation 5:

\[
\% \text{ change in weight loss} = \frac{W_{\text{Treated}} - W_{\text{Control}}}{W_{\text{Control}}} \times 100
\]  

(5)

Where W_control and W_treated are the weight loss of the control and Biofield Energy Treated samples, respectively.

2.3.6. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)

Analysis was performed using the DSC Q20 (TA Instruments, USA) Differential Scanning Calorimeter. A total of 8.23 mg of sample was weighed and sealed in an aluminum pan and equilibrated at 25°C and heated up to 450°C at the heating rate of 10°C/min under nitrogen gas as purge atmosphere with the flow rate of 50 mL/min. The value for onset, endset, peak temperature, peak height (mJ or mW), peak area, and change in heat (J/g) for each peak were recorded. The percent change in melting point (T) was calculated using the following equation 6:

\[
\% \text{ change in melting point} = \frac{T_{\text{Treated}} - T_{\text{Control}}}{T_{\text{Control}}} \times 100
\]  

(6)

Where, T_control and T_treated are the melting point of the control and Biofield Energy Treated samples, respectively.

The percent change in the latent heat of fusion (ΔH) was calculated using the following equation 7:

\[
\% \text{ change in latent heat of fusion} = \frac{\Delta H_{\text{Treated}} - \Delta H_{\text{Control}}}{\Delta H_{\text{Control}}} \times 100
\]  

(7)

Where ΔH_control and ΔH_treated are the latent heat of fusion of the control and Biofield Energy Treated samples, respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD) Analysis

The PXRD diffractograms of both the control and The Trivedi Effect® treated magnesium gluconate, as shown in Figure 1, exhibited sharp and intense peaks indicating that both of the samples were crystalline in nature. PXRD data such as the Bragg angle (2θ), relative intensity (%), full width half maximum (FWHM) (θ°), and crystallite size (G) for the control and treated magnesium gluconate are presented in Table 1. The crystallite size was calculated using Scherrer equation [46, 47]. The highest intense peak in the both control and treated samples was observed at Bragg’s angle (2θ) equal to 5.06°. Table 1 displays the changes of the relative intensities and crystallite size of the treated magnesium gluconate compared with the control sample, while their Bragg’s angle (2θ) were almost similar.
The crystallite sizes at position $2\theta$ equal to nearly 5.06°, 17.9°, 22.4°, 23.6°, 24.7°, 31.4°, 35.7°, 37.6°, and 40.4° (Table 1, entry 1, 6, 11-13, 17, 20, 21, and 24) were significantly increased from 14.25% to 180.14% in the treated sample in comparison to the control sample. Consequently, the crystallite sizes of the treated sample at $2\theta$ equal to nearly 9.88°, 13.9°, 18.5°, 19.0°, 20.1°, 25.5°, 27.4°, 29.5°, 34.5°, 38.9°, 39.6°, 41.7°, 44.1°, and 49.3° (Table 1, entry 2, 3, 7-9, 14-16, 22, 23, and 25-27) were significantly decreased from 12.48% to 37.52% compared with the control sample.

**Table 1. PXRD data for the control and Biofield Energy Treated magnesium gluconate.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry No.</th>
<th>Bragg angle ($2\theta$)</th>
<th>Relative Intensity (%)</th>
<th>FWHM ($2\theta$)</th>
<th>Crystallite size (G, nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td>30.94</td>
<td>36.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>40.74</td>
<td>39.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>23.95</td>
<td>27.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>16.41</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>15.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>17.92</td>
<td>47.82</td>
<td>58.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>69.97</td>
<td>80.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>19.01</td>
<td>54.43</td>
<td>65.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>20.09</td>
<td>20.10</td>
<td>45.40</td>
<td>21.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.93</td>
<td>20.92</td>
<td>25.28</td>
<td>31.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>22.38</td>
<td>45.08</td>
<td>51.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.63</td>
<td>23.63</td>
<td>45.56</td>
<td>51.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Powder X-ray diffractograms of the control and Biofield Energy Treated magnesium gluconate.
Furthermore, the crystallite sizes of the control and treated magnesium gluconate at 2θ equal to nearly 15.8°, 16.4°, 20.9°, and 31.9° (Table 1, entry 4, 5, 10, and 18) remained unchanged. The average particle size of The Trivedi Effect® treated sample was decreased by 0.11% as compared to the control sample. Scientific literature reported that the changes in the XRD patterns, such as crystallite size and relative intensities, indicated the modification of the morphology of the crystal as well as the proof of polymorphic transition [48-50]. As the crystal morphology of the treated sample was altered compared with the control sample, the treated sample might be a new polymorphic form of magnesium gluconate. The crystal pattern, size, and even polymorphic form of a pharmaceutical play important roles in drug solubility, dissolution and bioavailability. It has been reported in the literature that the alteration in crystal morphology has significant impact on the in vitro dissolution rate, with potential for enhancing the bioavailability [43]. So, it can be concluded that The Trivedi Effect® Treatment might be a very useful method for enhancing the bioavailability of magnesium gluconate.

### 3.2. Particle Size Distribution (PSD) Analysis

The particle sizes at $d_{10}$, $d_{50}$, and $d_{90}$ values and surface area of both the control and treated magnesium gluconate were investigated and the results are presented in Table 2. The control sample showed a particle size values of $d_{10}$ (6.69 µm), $d_{50}$ (38.07 µm), and $d_{90}$ (161.29 µm). After the treatment, the particle size values of magnesium gluconate were found as 5.93, 34.93, and 165.21 µm for $d_{10}$, $d_{50}$, and $d_{90}$, respectively. Thus, the treatment lowered significantly the particle size at $d_{10}$ and $d_{50}$ values of magnesium gluconate by 11.36% and 8.25%, respectively, whereas the particle size at $d_{90}$ was increased by 2.43% with respect to the control sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry No.</th>
<th>Bragg angle (°2θ)</th>
<th>Relative Intensity (%)</th>
<th>FWHM (°2θ)</th>
<th>Crystallite size (G, nm)</th>
<th>% change*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>24.72</td>
<td>24.73</td>
<td>20.71</td>
<td>23.12</td>
<td>0.1506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>25.47</td>
<td>25.47</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>18.07</td>
<td>0.1171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>27.37</td>
<td>27.38</td>
<td>34.45</td>
<td>38.36</td>
<td>0.1673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>29.53</td>
<td>29.33</td>
<td>24.15</td>
<td>35.55</td>
<td>0.0836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>31.42</td>
<td>31.41</td>
<td>20.63</td>
<td>24.35</td>
<td>0.2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>31.86</td>
<td>31.86</td>
<td>18.53</td>
<td>20.54</td>
<td>0.1673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>34.53</td>
<td>34.51</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>22.55</td>
<td>0.1338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>35.72</td>
<td>35.67</td>
<td>28.83</td>
<td>29.57</td>
<td>0.1338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>37.62</td>
<td>37.64</td>
<td>21.29</td>
<td>24.42</td>
<td>0.1338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>38.89</td>
<td>38.90</td>
<td>17.38</td>
<td>20.32</td>
<td>0.1338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>39.65</td>
<td>39.60</td>
<td>28.18</td>
<td>33.30</td>
<td>0.0836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>40.42</td>
<td>40.42</td>
<td>34.80</td>
<td>39.20</td>
<td>0.1338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>41.72</td>
<td>41.75</td>
<td>17.49</td>
<td>20.59</td>
<td>0.1673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>44.14</td>
<td>44.14</td>
<td>24.57</td>
<td>26.50</td>
<td>0.0836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>49.27</td>
<td>49.28</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>0.2342</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average crystallite size**: 36.51 36.47 -0.11

FWHM: Full width half maximum, *denotes the percentage change in the crystallite size of Biofield Energy Treated sample with respect to the control sample.

### Table 2. Particle size data ($d_{10}$, $d_{50}$, and $d_{90}$) and surface area of the control and Biofield Energy Treated magnesium gluconate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Item</th>
<th>$d_{10}$(µm)</th>
<th>$d_{50}$(µm)</th>
<th>$d_{90}$(µm)</th>
<th>Surface area (m²/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>38.07</td>
<td>161.29</td>
<td>0.367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biofield Energy Treated</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>34.93</td>
<td>165.21</td>
<td>0.402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Percent change (%) | -11.36 | -8.25 | 2.43 | 9.54 |

*denotes the percentage change in the particle size data ($d_{10}$, $d_{50}$, and $d_{90}$) and surface area of the Biofield Energy Treated sample with respect to the control sample.

The surface area analysis revealed that the surface area of the treated magnesium gluconate (0.402 m²/g) was significantly increased by 9.54% from the surface area of the control magnesium gluconate (0.367 m²/g) as shown in Table 2. Poorly crystallized compounds possess more surface area and higher exchange capacities than well-crystallized compounds [51]. In addition, the variation of the crystal morphology in the Biofield Energy Treated sample, which was well-supported from PXRD data, may cause to alter the surface area of the treated magnesium gluconate in comparison with the control sample. It has been well established that the particle size, shape and surface area of pharmaceutical compounds have an important impact on solubility, dissolution and in vivo bioavailability, as well as in helping the design of new drug delivery systems [52, 53]. Reducing particle size and higher surface area would enhance the solubility of the solid particles, and consequently would increase the dissolution rate and bioavailability [54]. Thus, it is assumed that the treated magnesium gluconate might be dissolved and absorbed at a faster rate and may possibly have more bioavailability than normal magnesium gluconate.
3.3. Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectroscopy

The FT-IR spectra of both the control and The Trivedi Effect® - Biofield Energy Treated magnesium gluconate, as shown in Figure 2, exhibited only one broad band with high intensity at 3398 and 3400 cm⁻¹, respectively. This peak was attributed to the stretching vibrations of the hydroxyl groups originating from the water present in the magnesium gluconate. The bands of stretching vibrations of primary and secondary hydroxyl groups from the gluconate part of the compound appeared in this region. These bands were remained invisible due to the intensive broad band of water [55].

Figure 2. FT-IR spectra of the control and Biofield Energy Treated magnesium gluconate.

The absorption peaks for the deformation vibration of the hydroxyl groups in the plane δ (OH) and out-of-plane γ (OH) that indicate the presence of primary and secondary hydroxyl groups were observed at 1434 cm⁻¹, 636 cm⁻¹, and 578 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of the control sample, whereas these peaks were found in the spectra of the treated magnesium gluconate at 1434 cm⁻¹, 636 cm⁻¹, and 579 cm⁻¹. The FT-IR spectra of both the control and treated samples displayed C-H stretching at 2936 cm⁻¹ and 1381 cm⁻¹. A very sharp and intensive band at 1606 cm⁻¹ for C=O stretching vibration of a carbonyl group of carboxylate anion was observed in the spectrum of the control sample, while this vibration band was found in the spectrum of the treated magnesium gluconate at 1607 cm⁻¹. The band of the C-O stretching vibrations of the primary alcohol group was observed at 1056 cm⁻¹ in the spectra of both the control and treated samples. The absorption peaks at 1231 cm⁻¹ and 1142 cm⁻¹ due to the C-O stretching vibrations of the secondary alcohol groups were observed in the spectrum in the control sample, while these absorption bands were observed in the treated magnesium gluconate at 1229 cm⁻¹ and 1141 cm⁻¹.

The FT-IR analysis indicated that there was no significant alteration of the characteristic peaks for the functional groups. Hence, it can be concluded that the structure of the magnesium gluconate remained the same in both the treated and control samples.

3.4. Ultraviolet-Visible Spectroscopy (UV-Vis) Analysis

Literature reported that 0.1% aqueous solution of magnesium gluconate showed a maximum absorption peak (λ_max) at 194.7 nm [56]. The UV-vis spectra of both the control and The Trivedi Effect® - Biofield Energy Treated samples (Figure 3) showed that the wavelength for the maximum absorbance (λ_max) of both the control and Biofield Energy Treated samples were at 198.2 nm and 198.8 nm, respectively with a minor shift of absorbance maxima from 2.2717 (control sample) to 2.2812 (The Trivedi Effect® - Biofield Energy Treated sample).
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Figure 3. UV-Vis spectra of the control and Biofield Energy Treated magnesium gluconate.

The UV absorbance happens due to the different types of energy transitions from the singlet to the singlet excited state such as $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^*$, $n \rightarrow \pi^*$, and $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$. These types of electronic transitions are occurred when the difference in energy between the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) and the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) is significantly higher than the activation energy of the compound [57]. As there was no significant alternation in the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of the treated sample compared with the control, it is inferred that the structural configuration or activation energy of the treated sample was not different from the control sample.

3.5. Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA)

The TGA and DSC are very useful techniques for the comparison of the thermal stabilities of pharmaceutical solids, determination of several kinetic parameters, and accomplishment of drug/excipient compatibility data for the pre-formulation study [58]. The TGA study of both the control and the treated magnesium gluconate (Figure 4) exhibited four thermal degradation steps and the data are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Thermal degradation steps of the control and Biofield Energy Treated magnesium gluconate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>% Weight loss</th>
<th>% Change$^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st step of degradation</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>68.03</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd step of degradation</td>
<td>193.59</td>
<td>186.13</td>
<td>9.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd step of degradation</td>
<td>300.51</td>
<td>300.51</td>
<td>37.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th step of degradation</td>
<td>595.86</td>
<td>595.77</td>
<td>23.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total weight loss</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$ denotes the percentage change in the weight loss of the Biofield Energy Treated sample with respect to the control sample.
The weight losses of the treated sample at the first, second, and fourth steps of thermal degradation were significantly decreased by 13.95%, 10.70% and 7.16%, respectively compared with the control sample. Consequently, the weight loss of the treated magnesium gluconate at the third step degradation was increased by 3.29% compared with the control sample. The first step degradation was probably associated with the removal of water of both the samples.

Figure 4. TGA thermograms of both the control and Biofield Energy Treated magnesium gluconate.

Overall, the total weight loss of the treated sample was decreased by 2.32% compared with the control sample.

3.6. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) Analysis

The DSC thermograms of both the control and treated magnesium gluconate (Figure 5) and showed two endothermic peaks. Their DSC data are presented in Table 4. The first broaden endothermic (minor) peak was due to the water removal from the sample. The second sharp endothermic (major) peak was due to the melting temperature of the magnesium gluconate.
The DSC data analysis (Table 4) revealed that the melting temperature of the treated sample (171.05°C) was slightly (0.17%) decreased compared with the control sample (171.34°C). The control and treated samples of magnesium gluconate exhibited a latent heat of fusion of 319.50 J/g and 315.80 J/g, respectively. The result showed 1.16% decrease in the latent heat of fusion in the treated magnesium gluconate compared with the control sample (Table 4).

Table 4. The latent heat of fusion (J/g) and melting point (°C) values of the control and Biofield Energy Treated magnesium gluconate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endothermic peak</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>T_{\text{onset}} (°C)</th>
<th>T_{\text{peak}} (°C)</th>
<th>T_{\text{endset}} (°C)</th>
<th>∆H_{\text{fusion}} (J/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor peak</td>
<td>Control sample</td>
<td>63.98</td>
<td>83.21</td>
<td>95.67</td>
<td>20.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biofield treated sample</td>
<td>61.15</td>
<td>80.27</td>
<td>95.51</td>
<td>17.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Change'</td>
<td>-4.42</td>
<td>-3.53</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-17.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major peak</td>
<td>Control sample</td>
<td>157.77</td>
<td>171.34</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>319.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biofield treated sample</td>
<td>156.18</td>
<td>171.05</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>315.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Change'</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T_{\text{onset}}: Onset melting temperature, T_{\text{peak}}: Peak melting temperature, T_{\text{endset}}: Endset melting temperature, ∆H: Latent heat of fusion, ' denotes the percentage change of Biofield Energy Treated sample with respect to the control sample.

Additionally, ∆H_{\text{fusion}} for water removal from the treated sample was significantly decreased by 17.97% compared with
the control sample. The analysis indicated that the thermal stability of the treated sample was slightly lowered compared with the control sample.

4. Conclusions

The current analysis anticipated the significant impact of the treated magnesium gluconate. The PXRD analysis showed that the crystallite size of the treated sample was significantly altered from -37.52% to 180.14% compared with the control sample. The average crystallite size was decreased in the treated sample by 0.11% compared with the control sample. Overall, the PXRD analysis indicated the change of the crystal morphology in the treated sample compared with the control sample. PSD analysis revealed that the particle sizes in the treated sample at $d_{10}$ and $d_{50}$ values were significantly decreased by 11.36% and 8.25%, respectively, while the particle size at $d_{90}$ was increased in the treated sample by 2.43% with respect to the control sample. The surface area analysis revealed that the surface area of the treated sample was significantly increased by 9.54% compared with the control sample. The TGA analysis revealed the four steps thermal degradation of the both samples and the total weight loss of the treated sample was decreased by 2.32% compared with the control sample. This finding revealed that the thermal stability of the treated sample was enhanced compared with the control sample. The DSC analysis demonstrated that the melting temperature of the treated sample (171.05°C) was lowered by 0.17% with a 1.16% decrease in the latent heat of fusion compared with the control sample (171.34°C). Thus, The Trivedi Effect treated magnesium gluconate would be a new polymeric form of magnesium gluconate, which might have improved solubility, more bioavailability and long-term storage stability compared with the control sample. Briefly, The Trivedi Effect treated magnesium gluconate would be a new polymeric form of magnesium gluconate, which might have improved solubility, more bioavailability and long-term storage stability compared with the control sample. The Trivedi Effect Energy of Consciousness Healing Treatment (Biofield Energy Healing Treatment) could be a useful approach in the design of better nutraceutical and/or pharmaceutical formulations that can offer significant therapeutic responses against various diseases such as diabetes mellitus, allergies and septic shock; stress-related disorders like sleep disorder, insomnia, anxiety, depression, Attention Deficit Disorder (ADD), Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder (ADHD), mental restless (mind chattering), brain fog, low libido, impotency, lack of motivation, mood swings, fear of the future, confusion, migraines, headaches, forgetfulness, overwhelm, loneliness, worthlessness, indecisiveness, frustration, irritability, chronic fatigue, obsessive/compulsive behavior and panic attacks; inflammatory diseases and immunological disorders like Lupus, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, Hashimoto Thyroiditis, Type 1 Diabetes, Asthma, Chronic peptic ulcers, Tuberculosis, Hepatitis, Chronic active hepatitis, Celiac Disease (gluten-sensitive enteropathy), Addison Disease, Crohn’s disease, Graves’ Disease, Pernicious and Aplastic Anemia, Sjogren Syndrome, Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS), Multiple Sclerosis, Rheumatoid arthritis, Chronic periodontitis, Ulcerative colitis, Chronic sinusitis, Myasthenia Gravis, Atherosclerosis, Vasculitis, Dermatitis, Diverticulitis, Rheumatoid Arthritis, Reactive Arthritis, Alopecia Areata, Psoriasis, Scleroderma, Fibromyalgia, Chronic Fatigue Syndrome and Vitiligo; aging-related diseases like cardiovascular disease, arthritis, cancer, Alzheimer’s disease, dementia, cataracts, osteoporosis, diabetes, hypertension, glaucoma, hearing loss, Parkinson’s Disease, Huntington’s Disease, Prion Disease, Motor Neurone Disease, Spinocerebellar Ataxia, Spinal muscular atrophy, Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Friedreich’s Ataxia and Lewy Body Disease; chronic infections and much more.
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