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Rationale: The present dissertation project specifically sought to understand brain networks connecting with the thalamus, an important subcortical relay structure through which almost all sensory information is routed. In view of the known fundamental importance of thalamocortical connectivity for the development of regional functional specialization in cerebral cortex, it is surprising how little firm knowledge is available about the thalamus and its connections with cortex in Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD). Therefore, it is crucial to examine the role of the thalamus in regulating these functions for an improved understanding of neurodevelopmental mechanisms associated with ASD.
**Design:** The first study of this project examined functional and anatomical connectivity between five broad cortical regions of interests (ROIs; e.g., temporal lobes) and the thalamus for a sample of children and adolescents with ASD (N=26) compared to TD controls (N=27). This first study allowed us to broadly characterize the connectivity between ipsilateral thalamus and the different lobes. In the second study, we delved deeper into the specificity of connections between more narrowly specialized regions (e.g., fusiform gyrus or anterior temporal pole) and the thalamus for a larger sample of children and adolescents with ASD (N=37) compared to TD controls (N=38).

**Results:** In the first study, we found evidence of both anatomical and functional underconnectivity between the prefrontal, parietal-occipital, motor, and somatosensory cortices with ipsilateral thalamus. The only exception was functional connectivity with the temporal lobe, which was increased in the ASD group, especially in the right hemisphere. Delving deeper into the specificity of these connections in the second study, we found that functional connectivity was atypically reduced in the ASD group for supramodal association cortices involved in higher-order cognition (e.g., language, face processing), but was increased for sensorimotor and limbic regions (e.g., auditory cortex).

**Discussions:** Our findings provide evidence of regionally specific aberrations of thalamic connectivity in frontal and temporal lobes, with additional involvement of some parieto-occipital ROIs. These findings could be related to comparatively early maturation of limbic and sensorimotor regions in the context of early overgrowth in ASD, at the expense of thalamocortical connectivity with later maturing cortical regions.
INTRODUCTION

The thalamus is a complex brain structure that has been described as the “gateway” to the cortex. It serves as a “relay station” through which all sensory information is routed and, as such, plays an important role in shaping what people see, hear, and feel. Various lines of evidence have mapped the presence of functionally specialized regions within the thalamus based on their connectivity with the cortex. By virtue of its extensive connectivity with the cortex, the thalamus has been implicated in numerous cognitive processes such as sensorimotor function, attention, and multimodal cognition. Thalamic insult or dysfunction has been linked to several clinical conditions. The current dissertation project aims to examine the role of the thalamocortical (TC) networks in the symptomatology of Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD), which is a highly prevalent neurodevelopmental disorder involving deficits in social cognition, communication, and sensorimotor function (DSM-IV-TR, 2000).

Organization of the thalamus

Traditionally, the thalamus has been divided into three parts: epithalamus, ventral thalamus, and dorsal thalamus (Walker, 1938; Jones, 1985). According to these authors, the epithalamus comprises the anterior and posterior paraventricular nuclei, as well as the habenular nuclei. It appears to have more connections with the hypothalamus compared to the cerebral cortex. The epithalamus does not send or receive fibers to the cerebral cortex (Castro-Alamancos and Connors, 1997). However, there is some increasing evidence that the paraventricular nuclei have axons that ascend to the cerebral cortex, despite its chemical signature being similar to the remainder of the epithalamus (Jones, 2007). The ventral thalamus comprises the reticular nucleus and
the ventral lateral geniculate nucleus, and receives fibers from the cerebral cortex but send no fibers to it in return (Sherman, 2001).

The cortical and thalamic afferents to the reticular nucleus are mostly excitatory, and the axons that go back from the reticular nucleus to the thalamus are inhibitory (Jones, 1985). The dorsal thalamus is the largest part of this division of the thalamus. It is most closely related to the development of the cerebral cortex in its size and complexity (Sherman, 2001). It sends fibers to, and receives fibers from the cerebral cortex and to a lesser extent the striatum (Jones, 2007). The dorsal thalamic nucleus is differentiated into two groups – allothalamus and isothalamus; with the allothalamie region further divided into the intralaminar nuclei - which projects to the striatum and cortex, the non-intralaminar nuclei - which projects only to the cortex, and the paraventricular region that receives afferents from the amygdala (Herrero et al., 2002). Additionally the dorsal thalamus is also connected with the amygdala, hippocampus, and basal ganglia (Jones, 1985). Some of the connections appear to be more diffuse, but most axonal projections between the dorsal thalamus and the cortex are constrained by cytoarchitectonic borders in the cortex (Jones et al., 1976, Hendrickson et al., 1978).

In recent years, with the availability of more sensitive connection-mapping techniques, these basic subdivisions of the thalamus have been revised. Instead, it has become more popular to perceive thalamic subdivisions based on their projections to and from specific regions of the cortex (Figure 1). These projections are largely constrained to the dorsal thalamic nucleus, relaying through the ventral reticular nucleus (Sherman, 2001). A structural classification of the thalamus is based on the termination of its projections in layers of the cortex, arising from animal models (Herkenham et al., 1986). According to this classification, the thalamic nuclei can be divided into three groups based on main layers of termination. The first group comprises the specific
nuclei, with cortical projections terminating in layers IV, lower layer III, and less dense projections in upper layer VI. The second group is the non-specific deep-layer projecting nuclei, also known as intralaminar nuclei, with cortical projections terminating in layers V, VI, and I. The third group is the non-specific projecting nuclei or paralaminar nuclei, with cortical projections terminating in layer I. Another structural classification of the thalamus is based on projection patterns to the cortex in cats (Macchi et al., 1983). These consists of four distinctive groups: nuclei projecting densely into single cortical fields, nuclei projecting densely into one area and diffusively into the other, nuclei projecting diffusely into several cortical fields but with regional concentrations, and nuclei projecting diffusely into widespread areas.

Jones et al. (2007) discuss reciprocal connections in non-human primates between the prefrontal cortex and mediodorsal nucleus, the motor and premotor cortex with ventral anterior and ventral lateral nuclei, the somatosensory cortex with ventral posterior nucleus, the temporal cortex with medial pulvinar and medial geniculate nucleus (MGN), occipital cortex with the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN), and occipital and parietal cortex with the inferior pulvinar. Behrens et al. (2003) used diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) to segment the thalamus based on its gray matter connectivity with the cerebral cortex. They found that these connections between the thalamus and cortex in humans were similar to non-human primates.

Functional classifications of the thalamic nuclei are based on the type of information (e.g., visual, somatic, motor, auditory etc.) relayed to the cortex. Such functional classifications can distinguish between nuclei that convey specific information of one modality (primary) and nuclei that convey information of multiple modalities (secondary; (Castro-Alamancos and Connors, 1997). In studies using resting state functional connectivity, parietal-occipital cortical regions showed maximal connections
with the lateral pulvinar, temporal cortices corresponded most strongly with the medial pulvinar, prefrontal cortex showed maximal correlations with mediodorsal and anterior nuclear areas, somatosensory cortices seemed to correspond most to the ventral posterior nucleus, and the motor cortices correlated with a large region of the thalamus including the ventral lateral, ventral anterior, and parts of mediodorsal nuclei (Zhang et al., 2008, Zhang et al., 2010). In a related study examining maturational trajectories of these functional connections of thalamus and cortex in children, adolescents, and adults, Fair et al. (2010) found a progressive strengthening of functional connectivity of the frontal cortex with dorsal/anterior subdivisions of the thalamus. This was in contrast to a systematic weakening of temporal lobe connectivity with ventral/midline/posterior subdivisions of the thalamus. Additionally, premotor-motor and somatosensory cortical subdivisions also showed increased connectivity in lateral/inferior portions of the thalamus and decreased connectivity in medial/dorsal portions of the thalamus with age, but occipital–parietal correlations with the thalamus were relatively stable across the age groups.

**Synaptic processes in the thalamus**

Development and pruning of synaptic connections are essential steps in the formation of neuronal networks. As our brain mature from infancy to early adulthood, neurons form synapses with a large number of other neurons, and over time many of these initial connections are pruned while the remaining connections are further strengthened (Goda and Davis, 2003; Luo and O'Leary, 2005). The connections that are spared and strengthened are those that are important for function. As we learn behaviors or skills, neurons involved in that function alter their structure to strengthen that connection over time (Kandel & Schwartz, 1985). Just as the cortex becomes parcellated into cytoarchitectonically different areas, the thalamus evolves into distinct
subdivisions that relays inputs to the cortex during development (Rakic, 1988; O'Leary and Stanfield, 1989). Ghosh et al. (1993) argue that subplate neurons are a necessary component in this selective process for thalamic axons to appropriately identify cortical target regions. Subplate neurons are the first to be generated and mature physiologically in the cerebral cortex (Kanold and Luhmann, 2010). They receive glutamatergic input from the thalamus (Hanganu et al., 2002), and serves as a “waiting station” for the ingrowing TC axons (Kanold and Luhmann, 2010). Subplate neurons serve as an integrating element by collecting these incoming information and distributing them effectively throughout the cortical region (Voigt et al., 2001).

In further understanding the formation and organization of thalamic circuitry, one must distinguish between the afferents that bring information to be relayed, called the drivers, from other inputs, called the modulators (Sherman, 2001; Sherman, 2007). Various lines of evidence suggest that drivers have relatively large terminals with a characteristic fine structural appearance, resembling the mossy axon terminals of the cerebellum (Guillery and Sherman, 2002). They make multiple complex contacts with dendrites of relay cells and interneurons, and are usually involved in the visual, auditory, and somatosensory thalamic relays, also known as primary driving afferents (Guillery and Sherman, 2002). These drivers are glutamatergic (Sherman, 2001), have ascending features, and can be distinguished from modulators because when they are turned off, the receptive field properties of the higher order thalamic relays are lost (Bender, 1981; Diamond et al., 1992).

Modulators, on the other hand, are innervations in the thalamus from the brain stem, the thalamic reticular nucleus, local interneurons, and layer 6 of the cortex (Sherman, 2001). The brain stem innervations are cholinergic, the interneuronal innervations are GABAergic, and the cortical innervations are mostly glutamatergic.
They have a characteristic light and electron microscopic appearance, and contact peripheral dendritic regions in contrast to drivers which contact proximal dendritic regions (Vidnyanszky and Hamori, 1994; Erisir et al., 1997). Essentially, drivers carry the information that is transmitted to the cortex, but form a relatively small portion of established thalamic synapses. Modulators, which make up the majority of the thalamic synapses, change the nature of the thalamic transmission, without changing the information being transmitted (Guillery and Sherman, 2002). Modulators do so by changing the relay mode of thalamic cells between tonic mode (depolarization) or burst mode (bursts of action potential; (Guillery and Sherman, 2002). The interplay of the drivers and modulators indicate that TC networks are connected with the cortex on two levels: first order pathways passing information to cortical processing regions (e.g., motor cortex, visual cortex), and higher order pathways connected with cortical regions involved in monitoring of information being relayed (e.g., prefrontal cortex) and intercommunication between cortical areas (Guillery and Sherman, 2002).

TC circuits are also dependent on arousal state, and tend to be more efficient in transmitting information when the individual is awake and alert rather than drowsy, inattentive, or asleep (Livingstone and Hubel, 1981). During the latter states, the brainstem drive is weakened, neurons are hyperpolarized, and the influence of the reticular nucleus (burst mode) is at its peak (Jones, 2009). In contrast, when awake/alert, the reticular nucleus is depolarized, and cortically projecting neurons in the thalamus are capable of relaying information from peripheral sense organs without affecting the temporal and spatial properties of the information, or its sensory quality (Jones, 2009).

Information is relayed to the thalamus mainly through the excitatory action of glutamatergic synapses (Castro-Alamancos and Connors, 1997). For instance,
glutamatergic neurons excite TC cells in the perigeniculate nucleus (PGN) and lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN), which in turn relay information to the visual cortex (Sanchez-Vives and McCormick, 1997). The action of glumatergic synapses on TC pathways may be viewed as the first-order innervations passing on information from thalamus to cortex. TC circuits are also heavily influenced by the action of GABAergic cells innervating the thalamic reticular nucleus (RN) and PGN (Kim et al., 1997). GABAergic neurons are the main inhibitory neurotransmitter in the brain. Activation of GABAergic neurons inhibit postsynaptic cells (tonic mode), but can sometimes also generate burst discharges of action potential (burst mode; Jones, 2009). Input coming from to the dorsal thalamus from other brain structures excite relay neurons, which in turn excite cortically projecting axons, and project back to the dorsal thalamus forming an inhibitory feedback connection to the relay cells (Kim et al., 1997). This forms a synchronous and bidirectional cortico-thalamo-cortical circuitry crucial in understanding thalamic function (Jones, 2009), and is analogous with the higher order innervations monitoring and regulating information exchange.

Connections to and from the thalamus abide by some constraints of laterality. According to Jones et al. (2007), input from the body or extrapersonal space is primarily contralateral to left or right thalamus. Additionally, inputs from subcortical sites to the thalamus are mostly unilateral (e.g., crossed input from dentatothalamic tract, uncrossed input from superior colliculus) with some bilateral loops (e.g., retinal inputs to LGN, brainstem inputs, corticothalamic input). However, output of the thalamus is strictly unilateral, and one side of the thalamus projects only to cortex and striatum of the same side (Jones, 2007).

**Thalamus and cognition**

The thalamus has been extensively studied in terms of its anatomical
organization, efferent and afferent connectivity patterns, basic neural response properties, and synaptic, biochemical, and molecular characteristics (Jones, 2007; Sherman and Guillery, 2006). Its role in perception and cognition, on the other hand, has remained relatively poorly understood. However, in recent years, growing interest in the thalamus as much more than a sensorimotor structure, as well as methodological advances such as high-resolution functional magnetic resonance imaging of the thalamus and improved electrode targeting to subregions of thalamic nuclei using electrical stimulation and diffusion tensor imaging, have fostered research into thalamic contributions to cognition. Initial pathophysiologica l studies of the thalamus focused on sensorimotor disturbances and pain sensation (Dejerine and Roussy, 1906). Human neuroimaging studies also have heavily emphasized the functions of cortical rather than subcortical networks, partially due to technical limitations in terms of spatial resolution. However, in more recent years, there has been an accumulation of compelling evidence to suggest the involvement of the thalamus in various different cognitive functions ranging from language to executive functions. For instance, Kinomura et al. (1996) focused on the effects of attention on thalamic (intralaminar nuclei) and brain stem (midbrain tegmentum) activity. Their demonstration of attentional effects was interpreted as evidence that these areas may control the transition from relaxed wakefulness to higher general attention. Other studies have found activation in the LGN during tasks of attentional modulation in healthy individuals. These findings of attentional modulation in the human LGN have been corroborated by a recent single-cell recording study in the macaque LGN that provides additional support for a thalamic role in attention (McAlonan et al., 2008). The pulvinar has been implicated in attentional processes in the visual cortex, and modulates shifts in visuo-spatial attention (Petersen et al., 1987). Other evidence suggests that the pulvinar is important for filtering distractor information
(Chalupa et al., 1976). Strumpf et al. (2013) found that the BOLD response in pulvinar increased concomitantly with an increased response in visual cortex during a task requiring discrimination of a target amongst distractors. However, it is unclear how the different subdivisions of the pulvinar contribute to these functions. In addition, thalamic involvement has also been reported in perceptual modulation tasks (Saalmann and Kastner, 2009). Neuroimaging studies have shown that activity in the LGN reflects the subjects’ reported percept and not necessarily the actual retinal input in tasks of binocular rivalry (Haynes et al., 2005, Wunderlich et al., 2005).

In a number of functional imaging studies thalamic participation in language tasks has been shown. For example, left thalamic activation has been found during the differentiation of distinct speech sounds, alongside with activity in the planum temporale, the superior temporal and Heschl’s gyri of the dominant hemisphere (Alain et al., 2005). Even the thalamic first-order auditory nucleus – the MGN - has been shown to be active during the recognition of speech sounds involving cortical feedback loops, a function presumed to be relevant for communication (Arnott et al., 2005). Joint thalamic and frontotemporal involvement has been reported during lexico-semantic operations and object recall (Assaf et al., 2006). These authors have proposed a neuronal circuitry in which spatially distributed cortical language operations are flexibly engaged by various thalamic neuronal assemblies, including anterior, intralaminar, dorsomedial nuclei as well as pulvinar subregions of the dominant hemisphere. In this view, human language is not a hierarchically organized cognitive function, but the compound output of interdependent subcortical and cortical systems, specialized in network activation (Klostermann et al., 2013).

Functional brain imaging studies have also demonstrated that the mediodorsal thalamus participates in working memory processes. Activation of the mediodorsal
thalamus has been observed while subjects performed working memory tasks, such as
delayed matching-to-sample tasks and delayed non-matching-to-sample tasks (de
Zubicaray et al., 2001). In addition to the temporary maintenance of information in
working memory, Monchi et al. (2001) showed that the mediodorsal thalamus
participated in other aspects of information processing. Using fMRI, they found that
during performance on the Wisconsin card sorting test the mediodorsal thalamus was
activated when the subjects received negative feedback. Tasks of sequential processing
such as conceptual reasoning, card sorting and Tower of London (first six contrasts of
the Problem Solving subsection), consistently engaged basal ganglia, thalamic, and
cerebellar regions (Cabeza and Nyberg, 2000). According to the authors, these regions
are typical skill learning regions and may reflect the skill-learning aspects of sequential
problem solving tasks.

Thalamic strokes can lead to cognitive and behavioral changes that may be
symptomatically similar to cortical syndromes, which is why the thalamus may be viewed
as a "little brain" in itself (Carrera and Bogousslavsky, 2006). Therefore, it is often
difficult to distinguish between thalamic and cortical dysfunction. Since thalamic infarcts
are usually not life threatening, postmortem anatomical descriptions of thalamus - to
allow for more definitive inferences between thalamic lesions and specific behavioral or
cognitive changes - are rare (Carrera and Bogousslavsky, 2006). Imaging techniques
such as MRI or PET, however, are able to provide a better understanding of the role of
the thalamus in cognition.

**Lesions to thalamic subdivisions**

This anterior region of the thalamus is connected to the cingulate gyrus,
hippocampus, parahippocampal region, orbitofrontal cortex and prefrontal cortex
(Yakovlev et al., 1960; Yakovlev and Locke, 1961). Thalamic lesions in the anterior
region account for 12% of all thalamic infarcts (Bogousslavsky et al., 1988). Individuals with thalamic lesions in this anterior region often demonstrate perseveration, apathy, memory impairments, anomia, and superposition of unrelated information or *palipsychism* (Ghika-Schmid and Bogousslavsky, 2000). Generally, the picture appears to be one of disorganized expressive language with frequent intrusions of unrelated topics. This pattern of superposition was more evident in unstructured conversational speech (e.g., news, current events) compared to performance on automatic tasks (Ghika-Schmid and Bogousslavsky, 2000). Aphasia appears to be prevalent with this type of injury, and may be related to disruptions in communication between cortical language regions and striatum with the anterior region of thalamus (Kennedy and Murdoch, 1993). These authors also found that naming difficulties were more likely to occur with thalamic lesions than other subcortical lesions involving structures such as the basal ganglia. Some patients with anterior infarcts may also demonstrate confabulations similar to frontal lobe lesions, suggesting disruption of thalamo-frontal projections (Ghika-Schmid and Bogousslavsky, 2000). Similar to cortical strokes, left thalamic lesions are likely to lead to verbal impairments, while right thalamic lesions are likely to lead to visuospatial impairment (Graff-Radford et al., 1984). In terms of the memory impairments, anterograde amnesia with relatively preserved recognition is an often-found pattern for anterior thalamic lesions (Clarke et al., 1994). Studies suggest that this amnesic pattern may be due to interruptions of the mammillothalamic tract and it’s connections to memory regions, such as the hippocampus (Mori and Hashimoto, 2001).

Medial regions of the thalamus receive and/or project to the premotor and motor cortex, orbitofrontal and mediofrontal cortex, as well as the globus pallidus and amygdala (Groenewegen and Berendse, 1994). Thalamic lesions in the median region account for approximately 35% of thalamic infarcts and involve the dorsomedian and
intralaminar nuclei (Bogousslavsky et al., 1988). Lesions in these nuclei are characterized by altered consciousness, vertical gaze paresis, and personality changes such as apathy, amnesia, and loss of self-activation following restoration of normal consciousness (Castaigne et al., 1981). Most notably, disinhibited behavior and distinct personality change appears to be associated with these lesions, which could once again be confused with other pathologies such as schizophrenia, mania, or delirium (Carrera and Bogousslavsky, 2006). Individuals with bilateral median thalamic lesions are more likely to demonstrate severe apathy and lack of spontaneity compared to individuals with unilateral lesions (Carrera and Bogousslavsky, 2006). It appears that the median regions of the thalamus are more involved in executive processes, compared to the anterior part of the thalamus that appear to be more associated with attention and memory (Van der Werf et al., 2003). However, other studies have noted significant memory impairments in individuals with bilateral paramedian thalamic infarctions. Crews et al. (1996) describe a case report of an individual with a similar infarction demonstrating difficulties on measures of executive functions such as sequencing, timed cognitive processing, shifting sets, and inhibition of automatic responses, which they attributed to the lack of motivation or apathy associated with these lesions. Additionally, they also found evidence for some language difficulties especially object naming, impaired bilateral psychomotor speed, impaired recall but adequate recognition on verbal memory tasks, impaired recall on non-verbal memory tasks, and deficient explicit memory but intact implicit memory in this previously neurotypical individual. Other studies have found autobiographical memory deficits (Hodges and McCarthy, 1993) and amnesia (Gentilini et al., 1987) in individuals with paramedian thalamic injuries.

Dysarthria is also a common symptom possibly due to connections with motor loops associated with speech function, and is usually more severe with bilateral lesions
compared to unilateral ones (Ackermann et al., 1983). Electrical stimulation studies on the median thalamus have found articulatory distortions resulting in prolongation of speech utterances (Mateer, 1978), as well as decreased voice volume and articulatory inaccuracies concurrent to speech acceleration (Guiot et al., 1961). Ackermann et al. (1983) found that bilateral lesions may lead to articulatory impreciseness such as monotonous speech, high-pitched, breathy, and weak voice, and rough voice quality but normal speech rate. The median geniculate nucleus additionally relays information from the inferior colliculus to the auditory cortex, and lesions in this region are linked to hyperacusia, dichotic listening, or auditory agnosia (Fischer et al., 1995).

Inferolateral thalamic regions include the ventrolateral nucleus, ventroposterior nuclei, and ventromedian nucleus (Carrera and Bogousslavsky, 2006). The ventrolateral nucleus has connections with the cerebellum and motor and prefrontal cortex; the ventroposterolateral nuclei receive afferents from the medial lemniscus and spinothalamic tracts; the ventromedian nucleus receives input from the trigeminothalamic pathway (Carrera and Bogousslavsky, 2006). Thalamic lesions in the inferolateral region comprise approximately 45% of all thalamic infarcts (Bogousslavsky et al., 1988). Lesions in this region are usually associated with ataxia, hypesthesia, i.e., reduced physical sensation (Bogousslavsky et al., 1988), reduced sensory sensitivity, chronic pain (Shintani et al., 2000), and tremor pathophysiology (Marsden et al., 2000). Executive dysfunction and affective changes may also occur with these lesions (Annoni et al., 2003). The LGN falls within this region of the thalamus and the posterior half of the lateral side of this nucleus is the origin point of the optic radiation, which eventually connects to the primary visual cortex (Chandler et al., 1992). Lesions in the LGN may result in homonymous quadrantanopia and homonymous hemianopia (Herrero et al., 2002).
The pulvinar is the main region of the posterior nuclei, with the medial pulvinar projecting to the parieto-occipital cortex, prefrontal cortex, cingulate gyrus, and parahippocampal cortex, and the occipital and lateral pulvinar projecting to the parietal, occipital, and temporal cortex (Yeterian and Pandya, 1985; Yeterian and Pandya, 1988). Lesions to the pulvinar may result in difficulties with visual attention modulation. Thalamic lesions in the pulvinar constitute approximately 8% of all thalamic infarcts (Bogousslavsky et al., 1988). Other commonly evidenced symptoms of posterior lesions are an array of visual problems including hypoesthesia, spatial neglect, and homonymous horizontal sectoranopsia, i.e., visual field loss (Karnath et al., 2002).

**Autism Spectrum Disorder**

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a pervasive neurodevelopmental disorder with impairments in social and communicative functioning, along with stereotyped and repetitive behaviors (DSM-V, 2013). Abnormalities in motor and sensory perceptual domains are also fairly common. Individuals with ASD can display variable levels of cognitive skills, social functioning, and symptom severity. Genetic factors are considered predominant in the etiology of ASD, but the disorder is characterized by strong genetic heterogeneity (Eapen, 2011) and no comprehensive model of neuropathological development in autism is currently available. However, growing evidence indicates anomalies of early brain growth affecting basic mechanism of cortical organization and brain connectivity. This may lead to disruptions in functional properties of neural networks, and pose a deficit in the integration of information at neural and cognitive levels.

**Functional connectivity findings in ASD**

Studies using functional connectivity magnetic resonance imaging (fFC) have supported the existence of motor, sensorimotor, visual, and auditory neural networks
The use of iFC to characterize connectivity patterns has recently been extended to clinical populations. IFC may be particularly useful in examining connectivity in developmental disorders, such as ASD, that show cognitive and behavioral changes throughout maturation. The research on functional connectivity in ASD has been partly conflicting due to methodological differences (Müller et al., 2011). It has been proposed that there may be underconnectivity for long-range networks and overconnectivity for short-range or local networks within ASD (Thai et al., 2009). The underconnectivity hypothesis was proposed initially by Just et al. (2004) who reported reduced functional connectivity in multiple regions of the in brain in ASD compared to TD groups during sentence comprehension tasks. The underconnectivity hypothesis has been supported in subsequent studies on executive function (Just et al., 2007), sentence comprehension (Kana et al., 2006), working memory (Koshino et al., 2005), facial recognition (Kleinhans et al., 2008), and theory of mind (Kana et al., 2009). However, there have also been several studies reporting overconnectivity or mixed (both under- and over-connectivity) results in ASD (Koshino et al., 2005, Mizuno et al., 2006, Keehn et al., 2012). In general, the aberrant connectivity seen may contribute differentially to the variety of social, sensorimotor, and cognitive deficits seen in ASD.

Alternative to task-related functional connectivity, resting state or intrinsic functional connectivity aims to measure the functional neurological connections at baseline or while the subject is “at rest”. Pioneered by Biswal et al. (1995), resting state iFC detects slow, spontaneous, and synchronous fluctuations in cerebral blood flow using the BOLD signal. Although connectivity may vary depending on brain region, atypical connectivity is pervasive in ASD (Noonan et al., 2009). Decreased connectivity during resting state iFC has been widely reported in ASD (e.g., Cherkassky et al., 2006;
Assaf et al., 2010; Wiggins et al., 2011). However, increased and mixed connectivity during resting state iFC have also been reported (Shih et al., 2011). These inconsistencies may be explained by several factors. For example, it has been suggested that increases in connectivity could be a result of methodological choices rather than reflective of intrinsic connectivity (Cordes et al., 2001). It is also possible that the overconnectivity in some regions could be a compensatory mechanism for the general, more widespread underconnectivity seen in the ASD brain. Although the exact nature of these inconsistencies in resting state iFC is still unclear, interpretation of the correlations between connectivity and symptomatology in ASD can vary greatly depending on the direction (over- or under-connectivity) reported. For example, decreased connectivity between the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) and the medial prefrontal regions have been correlated with symptoms such as social functioning impairment, while increased connectivity between the PCC and parahippocampal gyrus have been correlated with other characteristic symptoms such as repetitive behaviors (Monk et al., 2009).

**Anatomical findings in ASD**

Aberrant anatomical structures in ASD have been reported in postmortem and in vivo MRI studies. Cellular abnormalities in many brain regions have been found with some consistency in frontal and medial temporal regions as well as the cerebellum (Amaral et al., 2008; Zikopoulos and Barbas, 2010). Brain volume findings are less consistent across studies as both reductions and increases have been found. In general, no differences in whole brain volume between ASD and TD have been reported (Aylward et al., 2002). However, subsequent studies have found regional volumetric increases in ASD (Palmen et al., 2004). Also, one study reported region specific volumetric reductions in the limbic system in adolescents with ASD (Aylward et al., 2002).
which could correlate with social deficits. Evidence of atypical brain growth patterns has also been found in ASD. Despite normal head circumference at birth, after the first year of life, circumference enlargement occurs at an abnormal rate and continues to approximately age 12 at which point an abnormal slowing of growth rate seems to occur (Aylward et al., 2002; Courchesne, 2001; Hazlett et al., 2005).

Carper et al. (2002) reported early hyperplasia in frontal lobe white matter volume with significant deficit in the growth rate of ASD compared to TD individuals. Subsequent imaging studies have also reported similar abnormalities in white matter in ASD (Herbert et al., 2004; Bonilha et al., 2008). White matter abnormalities are generally examined using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) techniques. DTI studies in ASD have allowed for the examination of microstructural regional differences in white matter properties. In particular, compared to more isotropic movement of water in grey matter, white matter is characterized by anisotropic water diffusion, due to the anatomical organization of axonal membranes and myelin sheaths (Beaulieu, 2002). Several measures can be extracted from DTI analyses, including fractional anisotropy (FA) and mean diffusivity (MD). FA is conventionally considered a measure of WM structural integrity, sensitive to anomalies in axonal density, myelination, and coherence of directional alignment of fibers within WM tracts (Basser and Pierpaoli, 1996; Pierpaoli and Basser, 1996). MD represents a measure of the average diffusivity (independent of directionality) that may reflect myelination, interstitial space, and axonal density (Norris, 2001).

In ASD, the DTI literature overall suggests an age-related pattern of white matter disturbances. Wolff et al. (2012) found that white matter development in infants with ASD was characterized by higher FA values at 6 months followed by slower growth rate over time relative to infants without ASD. In a study by Ben Bashat et al. (2007) looking at
toddlers with ASD (1.8-3.3 years), FA increase in several brain areas was reported, with particular involvement of the left and anterior regions. Weinstein et al. (2011) found increased FA as a result of decreased RD in ASD compared to TD toddlers in the corpus callosum and cingulum.

For school-aged children, increase in FA does not seem to be evident. Instead, reduced FA has been reported for this age range in various regions such as the uncinate fasciculus, inferior-occipital fasciculus, arcuate fasciculus, right cingulum, and corpus callosum (Ke et al., 2009, Cheng et al., 2010, Kumar et al., 2010, Groen et al., 2011). Reduced FA values were also found in the short association fibers of the frontal lobe of school-aged children with ASD (Sundaram et al., 2008).

Studies of adolescents and adults with ASD have mostly reported reductions in FA values in regions such as the superior longitudinal fasciculus, inferior longitudinal fasciculus, internal capsule, corona radiate, corpus callosum, fronto-occipital fasciculus, corticospinal tract and, anterior thalamic radiation (Cheng et al., 2010, Shukla et al., 2010, Groen et al., 2011). Mean diffusivity (MD) appears to be consistently higher in individuals with ASD across various age ranges, or no different from controls. Increased MD was reported in the corpus callosum, corona radiata, anterior and posterior limb of the internal capsule, middle cerebellar peduncle, thalamus and thalamic radiations, inferior and superior longitudinal and fronto-occipital fasciculus, corticospinal tract, external capsule, and uncinate fasciculus (Shukla et al., 2010, Groen et al., 2011). Several studies have also cited increased radial diffusivity (RD) in the corpus callosum, internal capsule, and prefrontal and temporal areas in ASD suggesting microstructural white matter aberrations (Keller et al., 2007, Shukla et al., 2010). The cerebellum has also shown abnormalities in white matter in ASD populations (e.g., Courchesne et al., 2001; Akshoomoff et al., 2002; Cheung et al., 2009).
The developmental mechanisms underlying early brain overgrowth and other anatomical anomalies in white and gray matter in ASD, however, remain poorly understood (Bailey et al., 1998; Casanova et al., 2006). Despite some inconsistencies, it is apparent that atypical anatomical development in ASD is present and these potential abnormalities may impact interregional connectivity and information processing and could play an important role in the cognitive and social impairments seen in ASD.

The thalamus in ASD

Various lines of evidence have implicated abnormal thalamic development in ASD. Foremost of these are findings of reduced thalamic volume (Tsatsanis et al., 2003; Tamura et al., 2010). Additionally, Hardan et al. (2006) found that there was an absence of the normal linear relationship between thalamic volume and brain size. While some studies have found laterality effects for observed differences in thalamic volume between ASD and TD groups (Creasey et al., 1986), other studies did not find such laterality differences (Tsatsanis et al., 2003). Asymmetries in serotonin synthesis have also been reported, with a pattern of decreased serotonin synthesis observed in the left frontal cortex and thalamus versus elevated levels in thalamus and contralateral dentate nucleus of the cerebellum (Chugani et al., 1997). Abnormalities of white matter structures related to the thalamus have also been reported in the ASD literature such as reduced white matter integrity in the dentato-rubro-thalamic pathway (Jeong et al., 2012), which the authors suggested may be related to motor and communicative deficits observed in ASD. Additionally, reduced white matter integrity has also been found for ASD groups in anterior thalamic radiation (Cheon et al., 2011). Other thalamic abnormalities reported in ASD include impacted neuronal integrity (Friedman et al., 2003), perfusion (Ryu et al., 1999, Starkstein et al., 2000), and glucose metabolism (Haznedar et al., 2006) within the thalamus.
Some of the above-mentioned findings are suggestive of abnormal TC connectivity (Chugani et al., 1997, Cheon et al., 2011). The thalamus has been suggested as a structure facilitating communication between collaborative networks implicated in important cognitive functions (Just et al., 2004). Abnormal activation of TC networks involved in language processing has also been reported for ASD adults (Müller et al., 1998). Reduced thalamic input in face processing (Kleinhans et al., 2008), and increased functional connectivity between thalamus and fronto-parietal networks (Mizuno et al., 2006) have additionally been reported for ASD groups compared to TD groups.

In view of these existent findings and the known fundamental importance of TC connectivity for the development of regional functional specialization in cerebral cortex, it is surprising how little firm knowledge is available about the thalamus and its connections with cortex in ASD. The present dissertation project used functional connectivity MRI, and probabilistic diffusion tensor tractography to examine functional and anatomical connectivity between cerebral cortex and thalamus as well as thalamic metabolite concentration levels to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the role of the thalamus in ASD.

**Specific aims and hypotheses**

**Aim 1:** Examine functional (intrinsic functional connectivity MRI - iFC) and anatomical (diffusion tensor imaging - DTI) connectivity between five broad cortical regions of interests (ROIs: prefrontal, temporal, motor, somatosensory, and parietal-occipital) and the thalamus in a sample of children and adolescents with ASD compared to TD controls.
Hypothesis 1. iFC indices will show underconnectivity in the ASD group compared to the TD group for all five ROIs, indicating impacted TC network synchronicity.

Hypothesis 2. DTI indices of fractional anisotropy (FA) will be reduced in the ASD group compared to the TD for all five ROIs, and mean diffusivity (MD), and radial diffusivity (RD) will be increased in the ASD group compared to the TD group for all five ROIs indicating aberrant structural development of TC pathways in the ASD group.

Exploratory Aim 1: To relate functional and anatomical connectivity, to diagnostic and neuropsychological measures specifically looking at socio-communicative abilities, motor skills and cognitive functioning.

Aim 2: The first aim uses large cortical ROIs, encompassing many smaller functional regions. The second aim will delve deeper into the specificity of connections between more narrowly specialized regions (e.g., inferior frontal cortex or anterior temporal pole) and the thalamus. The Harvard-Oxford cortical and subcortical structural atlases (FSL; Smith et al., 2004) will be used to derive probabilistic maps for 68 ipsilateral cortical structural areas and the thalamus. Functional (iFC) and anatomical (DTI) connectivity between these smaller, more specialized cortical ROIs and the thalamus will be examined for a sample of children and adolescents with ASD compared to TD controls.

Hypothesis 1. iFC indices in specific ROIs in the temporal and prefrontal regions will be especially disrupted for the ASD group compared to the TD group. For instance, connections between superior temporal regions (involved in auditory processing) and thalamus may be atypically increased based on previous literature (Shih et al., 2011). Comparatively, connections between orbitofrontal cortex and thalamus may be reduced provided the role the former plays in inhibition and emotional control – both areas of difficulty for several individuals with ASD.
Hypothesis 2. DTI indices of fractional anisotropy (FA) will be reduced in the ASD group compared to the TD for the ROIs showing atypical iFC findings, and mean diffusivity (MD), and radial diffusivity (RD) will be increased in the ASD group compared to the TD group for these ROIs indicating aberrant structural development of TC pathways in the ASD group.

Exploratory Aim 2: To relate functional and anatomical connectivity, to diagnostic and neuropsychological measures specifically looking at socio-communicative abilities, motor skills and cognitive functioning.
METHODS

Participants

For the first study, twenty-nine children with ASD (4 female) and thirty-four typically developing (TD) children (5 female) participated in the study. Three ASD and seven TD participants were excluded from DTI analyses due to head motion. In view of the extreme sensitivity of iFC to even small amounts of head motion (see below), an additional four ASD and four TD participants were excluded from iFC analyses. In the second study, one hundred and five children participated in the study, including 60 children with ASD (eight female) and 45 typically developing (TD) children (ten female). For the iFC analyses, 23 ASD and 7 TD participants were excluded due to excessive head motion (as defined below), resulting in a final sample of 37 ASD and 38 TD children. These included 19 ASD and 21 TD participants from the first study. For DTI analyses, 26 participants with ASD and 12 TD participants were excluded because of missing field-maps (8 ASD, 2 TD) or failed quality assessment (e.g. motion artifact; 18 ASD, 10 TD). The final DTI sample included 34 participants with ASD and 33 TD participants. The sample with combined usable data in both modalities consisted of 27 ASD and 30 TD participants. For both analyses, groups were matched for age, handedness, verbal IQ, and nonverbal IQ (Tables 1A and 1B).

Clinical diagnoses were confirmed using the Autism Diagnostic Interview – Revised (ADI-R; Rutter, 2003), the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS; Lord, 1999), and expert clinical judgment according to DSM-V criteria. Children with ASD-related medical conditions (e.g., Fragile-X syndrome, tuberous sclerosis), and other neurological conditions (e.g., epilepsy, Tourette’s Syndrome) were excluded following phone screening and parent interview. Participants in the ASD group were not excluded
based on commonly occurring comorbidities with ASD such as attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, anxiety disorder, and obsessive compulsive disorder. Participants in the TD group had no reported personal or family history of ASD, nor any reported history of other neurological or psychiatric conditions. Informed assent and consent was obtained from all participants and their caregivers in accordance with the University of California, San Diego, and San Diego State University Institutional Review Boards.

**Data acquisition**

Imaging data for both studies were acquired on a GE 3T MR750 scanner with an 8-channel head coil. Head movement was minimized with foam pillows around participants’ heads. High-resolution structural images were acquired with a standard FSPGR T1-weighted sequence (TR: 11.08ms; TE: 4.3ms; flip angle: 45°; FOV: 256mm; 256 x 256 matrix; 180 slices; 1mm$^3$ resolution). Functional T2-weighted images were obtained using a single-shot gradient-recalled, echo-planar pulse sequence. One 6:10 minute resting-state scan was acquired consisting of 180 whole-brain volumes (TR: 2000ms; TE: 30ms; 3.4mm slice thickness; in-plane resolution: 3.4mm$^2$). Physiological measures of respiration and heart rate were also acquired during the scan using a BIOPAC system. Diffusion weighted images were collected with an echo planar imaging (EPI) pulse sequence with full head coverage and encoded for 61 non-collinear diffusion directions at b=1000 s/mm$^2$, and one at b=0 s/mm$^2$ (2D EPI; TR=8500ms; TE=84.9ms; flip angle=90°; NEX=1; FOV=24cm; resolution=1.875 x 1.875 x 2mm$^3$). Field maps were collected for functional and diffusion weighted sequence to correct for field inhomogeneities (TR=1097ms; TE=9.5ms; flip angle=45°; 2 averages).

Neuropsychological data were obtained for both groups of participants on the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI/WASI-2; Wechsler, 1999), the Developmental Test of Visual-Motor Integration - Sixth Edition (VMI; Beery, 2010), and
the Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals – Fourth Edition (CELF-4; Semel, 2003). For the sociocommunicative domain, additional data beyond ADI-R and ADOS (which were available only for ASD participants) were acquired using the Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ; Rutter, 2003), the Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS; Constantino, 2005), and the parent report versions of the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF; Gioia, 2000) and Sensory Profile (SP; Dunn, 1994). For the second study, an additional measure of repetitive behaviors was also acquired using the parent report Repetitive Behaviors Scale-Revised (RBS-R; Lam and Aman, 2007).

**Cortical and thalamic regions of interest**

For the first study, five cortical regions of interest (ROIs) were selected consistent with TC parcellation established in the non-imaging literature (Jones, 2007) and in previous imaging studies reporting thalamic parcellation based on differential connectivity with cerebral cortical regions (Behrens et al., 2003, Zhang et al., 2008, Fair et al., 2010, Zhang et al., 2010). Seeds were created based on Brodmann areas (BAs) as identified using the Talairach-Tournoux Stereotaxic Atlas (TT-Daemon) in the software suite Analyses of Functional NeuroImages (AFNI; http://afni.nimh.nih.gov/afni) (Cox, 1996). Cortical ROIs were: prefrontal, parietal-occipital, motor, somatosensory, and temporal (see Figure 2C for details). A thalamic mask was further obtained using TT-Daemon atlas in AFNI (Figure 2D). For both DTI and iFC, analyses were performed separately for each hemisphere, i.e., only ipsilateral TC connectivity was considered. This methodological simplification is in agreement with the predominantly unilateral organization of thalamic efferents to striatum and cerebral cortex (although a few thalamic nuclei have been shown to receive some afferents from contralateral cerebral cortex; Jones, 2007, p.142-6).
In the second study, the Harvard-Oxford cortical and subcortical structural atlases were used to derive seed masks for 34 cerebral cortical areas in each hemisphere (68 total; Table 2) and the thalamus (Makris et al., 2006). Analyses were performed separately for each hemisphere, i.e., only ipsilateral TC connectivity was considered. Thalamic masks were identified using the CA_MNI_N27 atlas in Analysis of Functional NeuroImages (AFNI; (Cox, 1996).

**iFC data processing**

Functional images were processed using the AFNI (Cox, 1996) and FMRIB Software Library (FSL) suites (Smith et al., 2004). Functional images were slice-time and head motion corrected registering each functional volume to the middle time point of the scan. Field map correction was applied on each participant using in-house software for correcting magnetic resonance image distortion due to field inhomogeneity. Functional images were registered to the anatomical images via FSL’s FLIRT (Jenkinson et al., 2002). Both images were resampled (3mm isotropic) and standardized to the atlas space of the MNI152 template via FSL’s nonlinear registration tool (FNIRT) for group comparisons. In order to isolate spontaneous low-frequency BOLD fluctuations (Cordes et al., 2001), fMRI time series were bandpass filtered (.008 < f < .08 Hz), using a second-order Butterworth filter, which was also applied to all nuisance regressors described below. Images were spatially smoothed to a Gaussian full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of 6mm, using AFNI’s 3dBlurToFWHM.

Linear effects attributable to scanner drift were removed during regression. In order to remove signal from cerebral white matter and lateral ventricles, masks were created at the participant level, using FSL’s FAST automated segmentation. Masks were trimmed to avoid partial-volume effects, and an average time series for each segment was extracted and removed via regression. Derivatives for white matter and ventricular
time series were also removed. Physiological measures of heart rate and respiration were further modeled as nuisance regressors (usable data not available for 12 ASD and 11 TD participants). Analyses were performed without global signal regression (GSR) to avoid the creation of spurious anti-correlations (Murphy et al., 2009), which may substantially distort group difference (Saad et al., 2012, Gotts et al., 2013).

In view of the known impact of head motion on BOLD correlations (Power et al., 2012) several steps beyond conventional motion correction were taken to reduce the effect of motion. Six rigid-body motion parameters and their derivatives estimated from motion correction of functional volumes were modeled as nuisance variables and removed with regression from all analysis. Additionally, motion for each time point, defined as root mean square of the sum displacement of all six translational and rotational axes (RMSD), was determined for each participant and used as a covariate in all group-level analyses. For any instance of RMSD >0.5mm, considered excessive motion, the time point as well as the preceding and following time points were censored, or "scrubbed". If two censored time points occurred within ten time points of each other, all time points between them were censored. Additionally, a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) tested the effects of group and type of motion (three translational and three rotational), as well as their interaction. The main effect of group was not significant nor was the interaction of group and motion type significant for both studies. This suggests that group differences in iFC were unlikely to be driven by motion or related to differences in type of motion.

Partial correlation analyses were undertaken to accentuate the specificity of TC connections. The average BOLD time course was extracted from each cortical ROI. Partial correlations were then computed between each cortical seed and each voxel within ipsilateral thalamus, eliminating the shared variance by partialling out the
averaged time series from entire cerebral cortex except the given ROI (Zhang et al., 2008). These partial correlation coefficients were then converted to a normal distribution using Fisher’s r-to-z transform. To test for correlations between ROIs and ipsilateral thalamus within each group, we performed one-sample, two-tailed t-tests ($p<.05$) on these Fisher r-to-z transformed values. For direct group comparisons, we performed two-sample, two-tailed t-tests (assuming unequal variance; $p<.05$). Statistical maps were adjusted for multiple comparisons (c. 685 voxels within ipsilateral thalamus), using Monte Carlo simulation (Forman et al., 1995), to a corrected $p<.05$. TC connectivities demonstrating significant positive t-values for the contrast ASD-TD were considered to be “overconnected”, those with significant negative t-values “underconnected”. For each cortical seed with significant group differences, the mean $z’$ was obtained from the cluster of between-group effects in ipsilateral thalamus in each participant, which was then entered into Pearson correlation analyses with scores obtained from diagnostic and neuropsychological measures.

As mentioned previously, the thalamus is functionally highly differentiated into numerous specialized nuclei and regions. We therefore also examined the functional differentiation within thalamus in the first study, following the procedure from Shih et al. (2011). A differentiation index (DI) was calculated separately for each hemisphere after extracting time courses for each participant from each thalamic parcel (using group-specific parcellation shown in Figure 1G-H), as follows: 

$$DI = \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}$$

Alpha, based on Cronbach (1951), is given by:

$$\alpha = \frac{kr_i}{1 + (k-1)r_i},$$

where $i, j = (1, ..., k}$, $k$ is the number of ROI (parcel) pairs and $r_{ij}$ is the average correlation between all ROI pairs. To test for age-related changes, Pearson correlations
between age and DI were performed, partialling out six motion regressors (3 translations and 3 rotation) detected during motion correction (AFNI’s 3dvolreg).

Additionally, in the second study the Euclidean distance between each ROI with significant between-group effects and the centroid of ipsilateral thalamus was calculated (Alexander-Bloch et al., 2012), using the formula:

\[ d = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2 + (z_1 - z_2)^2} \]

wherein \( x, y, z \) are the MNI coordinates for the centroid of each ROI.

**DTI: Probabilistic tractography**

Diffusion weighted data were preprocessed using the FSL Diffusion Toolbox (FDT; (Smith et al., 2004). Preprocessing included field-map correction of field inhomogeneities, removal of skull and non-brain tissue (FSL BET), and eddy current correction (FSL eddy correct). For the second study only, to reduce motion effects on diffusion measures (Yendiki et al., 2013), we thoroughly assessed all scans for subject motion both through visual inspection for (i) signal dropout (ii) image noise and (iii) shifts of head placement, and through quantification of artifacts, excluding any scans with more than minor motion. Motion quantification was performed as suggested by Yendiki et al. (2013): Mean image translation and rotation applied during eddy correction were recorded as were the severity and frequency of signal drop-outs across all slices. These four quantities were combined into a total motion index (TMI) and this measure was used as a covariate in all analyses. The diffusion tensor was calculated at each voxel and maps of axial and radial diffusivity (AD and RD), mean diffusivity (MD), and fractional anisotropy (FA) were generated. The FA map was registered to MNI standard space using non-linear registration (FSL FNIRT) and the FMRIB58 FA template. Transformation matrices were saved to facilitate fiber tracking in native space.

Fiber tracking was performed using the probabilistic tractography algorithms in FSL. The probability distribution of principal diffusion direction was calculated at each
voxel in the brain. Multiple streamlines were generated from each voxel in the target region (thalamus ipsilateral to cortical ROI), using repetitive sampling from the probability distribution, and the resulting output was limited to streamlines reaching the designated target regions (cortical areas). In the first study, for each participant, 5000 tract-following samples were initiated for each target region resulting in a probability map of connectivity. For the second study, due to the CPU-intensive nature of probabilistic tractography, the target regions for these analyses were restricted to ROIs with significant group difference in iFC. 1000 streamlines were then initiated from each seed voxel generating a probability map of the tract location for each target. Voxels found to be above a threshold probability (minimum of 50 streamlines per voxel) formed a binary mask to define the subject-specific location of the tract, and the mean MD, RD, AD, and FA were calculated for each tract. These means were used for between-group comparisons as well as for correlations with scores obtained from neuropsychological and diagnostic measures.

‘Winner-take-all’ parcellation

For the first study only, iFC-based parcellation of the thalami was carried out using z-transformed partial correlation maps averaged across all participants in each group and a ‘winner’ was determined for each thalamic voxel and was color-coded based on maximum correlation with a cortical seed (Figure 2G-H). Similarly, for connectivity-based parcellation of the thalami using DTI data, the total number of samples that reached each cortical region was obtained for each thalamic voxel. Each thalamic voxel was then color-coded according to the target cortical region with the maximum proportion of samples from averaged map across all participants in each group (Figure 2E-F). DTI indices were extracted for each of the resulting thalamic parcellation.
RESULTS

Functional connectivity

In the first study, partial correlation maps between cortical seeds and thalamus for TD participants were largely consistent with previous studies in healthy adults (Zhang et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2010), as well as in adolescents of similar age as in the present study (Fair et al., 2010). Specifically, the prefrontal seed showed strongest correlation with anterior dorsomedial regions of the thalamus, the parietal-occipital seed with posterior regions including the pulvinar, the motor seed with anterior ventrolateral regions, the somatosensory seed with more posterior ventrolateral regions, and the temporal seed with ventromedial and posterior regions. In the ASD group, partial correlation maps were overall similar in location, but smaller in extent for all seeds except the temporal seed, for which extensive functional connectivity was detected bilaterally. These patterns of within-group results were corroborated by between-group findings, which showed several clusters of underconnectivity in the ASD group for all seeds except the temporal seed, for which extensive clusters of atypically increased connectivity were found in the right thalamus.

In view of the possibility of global (non-region specific) connectivity differences between groups, we also performed a group comparison for total correlations between each cortical seed and the thalamus. We found clusters of underconnectivity in the ASD group for the prefrontal, parietal-occipital, and somatosensory seeds, compared to the TD group (Figure 2B, bottom row). For the motor and temporal seeds findings were mixed, with both clusters of under- and overconnectivity in the ASD group. Additionally, iFC based thalamic parcellation revealed that parieto-occipital connectivity was larger that DTI based thalamic parcellation, mostly at the expense of the temporo-thalamic
parcel (Figure 2G). In the ASD group, the thalamic parcel obtained from the prefrontal seed was also greatly reduced, whereas the temporo-thalamic parcel was expanded into anterior thalamic regions occupied by the prefrontal parcel in TD participants (Figure 2H). Results for the differentiation index (examining the specialization of thalamic parcels with respect to their BOLD time series) indicated no significant differences between TD and ASD groups for either right ($t = -1.33, p = .19$) or left ($t=1.56, p=.12$) hemispheres. We further examined the asymmetry of functional differentiation, using the formula $(D_{\text{left}} - D_{\text{right}})/(D_{\text{left}} + D_{\text{right}})$, and found significant group differences in asymmetry ($t = -5.17, p<.001$), reflecting leftward asymmetry in the TD group ($M = .099, SD = .08$), but slight rightward asymmetry ($M = -.028, SD = .08$) in the ASD group.

In the second study delving deeper into specificity of TC connectivity, of the 68 total ROIs iFC was found to be reduced for 15 and increased for 9 ROIs. While TC underconnectivity effects in the ASD group predominated for frontal, parietal, and occipital ROIs, effects for temporal ROIs were mixed. For fronto-thalamic iFC, we found underconnectivity in the ASD group for left middle frontal gyrus (LMFG) and superior frontal gyri (LSFG & RSFG) and supplementary motor area (LSMA & RSMA) bilaterally (Figure 3A). Conversely, overconnectivity was observed for precentral gyri bilaterally (LPCG & RPCG) and right anterior cingulate cortex (RACC).

Among temporal ROIs, bilateral superior temporal gyri (LSTG & RSTG) and transverse temporal gyri (LTG & RTG) as well as right parahippocampal gyrus (RPHG) were overconnected with thalamus in the ASD group. Conversely, TC underconnectivity was seen in bilateral amygdala (Lamyg & Ramyg), right fusiform gyrus (RFF), and left temporal pole (Ltempol). Given that the most robust overconnectivity finding was detected for STG, which extends from anterior pole to most posterior temporal regions, we conducted a reverse iFC analysis. Within thalamus (left and right separately),
clusters of significance from partial correlation analysis for superior temporal ROIs were used as seed for iFC analyses with unilateral temporal lobes. Thalamic overconnectivity was found mainly in posterior sections of Brodmann area 22 in the vicinity of primary auditory cortex (Figure 3B).

For parietal lobe, underconnectivity with thalamus was observed for superior parietal lobule (LSPL & RSPL) and supramarginal gyri (LSMG & RSMG), whereas right posterior cingulate gyrus (RPCC) showed increased iFC. For occipito-thalamic iFC, underconnectivity effects were observed for left supracalcarine gyrus (Lcalc) and right occipital pole (Roccpol) (Figure 3A).

We created a Pearson’s correlation matrix including only ROIs with significant iFC group differences to test for possible links between region-specific overconnectivity and underconnectivity findings (Figure 4). ROIs were sorted according to between-group effects (underconnectivity [indicated by blue font] vs. overconnectivity [red font]). The question of interest was whether thalamic overconnectivity in the ASD group for some ROIs may have come at the expense of ROIs with reduced thalamic iFC; i.e., whether mean $z'$ for one ROI was negatively correlated with mean $z'$ for the other. This question could be answered by focus on one quadrant of the matrix (outlined by dashed black line at top right of Figure 4), which shows mean $z'$ correlations between underconnected and overconnected ROIs in the ASD group. For every ROI pair (outlined with white box) within this quadrant that showed significant negative correlations, the correlation coefficients were converted to a normal distribution using Fisher’s r-to-z transform. Two-sample, two-tailed t-tests (assuming unequal variance; $p<.05$) were performed to determine significant group differences in any of the z-transformed values for these ROI pairs. LMFG pairings with LPCG and RACC, and between RPHG pairings with RSMG and Roccpol showed significant group differences (with the ASD group demonstrating
significantly greater negative correlations than the TD group). For each of these ROI pairs, this indicates that underconnectivity in one was linked to overconnectivity in the other in the ASD (but not the TD) group.

Correlations were run between mean z’ scores and Euclidean distance between ROIs and ipsilateral thalamus, co-varied for motion and age, averaged across participants within each group. Results showed that functional connectivity indices were negatively correlated with distance in the ASD ($r=-.59, p=.002$), but not the TD group ($r=-.28, p=.19$). To further test for group differences, Pearson’s correlation coefficients were computed for each participant between individual ROI iFC z’ score and corresponding Euclidean distance with thalamus. The correlation coefficients were converted to a normal distribution using Fisher’s r-to-z transform. Two-sample, two-tailed t-tests (assuming unequal variance; $p<.05$) were performed to determine group differences. No significant group differences were found for the relation between Euclidean distance and iFC z’ scores, $t(73)=.61, p=.55$.

**Anatomical connectivity**

In the first study, DTI analyses (Figure 5) showed significantly increased MD in the ASD group compared to the TD group for tracts connecting thalamus with motor and somatosensory cortices bilaterally and with prefrontal, parietal-occipital and temporal ROIs in the right hemisphere. Marginally significant increased MD in the ASD group was also found for prefrontal and parietal-occipital thalamic tracts in the left hemisphere. Radial diffusivity was significantly increased in the ASD group in both hemispheres for tracts between thalamus and motor and somatosensory cortices and for tracts between thalamus and prefrontal cortex in the right hemisphere, with further marginal increases in parietal-occipital and temporal thalamic tracts in the right hemisphere. No between-
group differences were found for FA. Volume of tracts between thalamus and motor cortices in the left hemisphere was marginally lower in the ASD group.

Between group comparisons of connection probabilities for each cortical ROI showed reduced probability of connections in the ASD group between thalamus and parietal-occipital, somatosensory, and temporal ROIs in both hemispheres and prefrontal and motor ROIs in the left hemisphere (Figure 2A). Furthermore, connectivity-based thalamic parcellation for our sample of TD adolescents using a ‘winner-take-all’ approach was largely consistent with results from previous DTI studies in adults (Behrens et al., 2003, Zhang et al., 2010) (Figure 2E). Parcellation for the ASD group was overall similar (Figure 2F).

In the second study, due to the computationally demanding nature of probabilistic tractography, the target regions for anatomical connectivity analyses were restricted to the 24 ROIs with significant group difference in iFC (as shown in Figure 3A). Between group t-tests of average DTI indices (FA, MD, RD, AD; Table 3) for each tract showed significantly decreased FA (grossly considered a positive index of ‘tract integrity’) in the ASD group compared to the TD group for tracts connecting right thalamus with RACC and RSMA. Significant increases in the ASD group were observed for MD in tracts connecting thalamus with SFG and SMA bilaterally and with LPCG, Ltempol, and RSPL; and for RD in those connecting with PCG and SMA bilaterally, as well as RSMA, RACC, RSFG, Ltempol, and RSPL (both MD and RD are often described as negative indices of ‘tract integrity’). Finally, significantly increased AD in the ASD group was observed for tracts connecting thalamus with LSFG, RSFG, and RSPL. No significant group differences in DTI findings were observed for occipital ROIs.

We again performed Pearson correlation analyses (partialling out motion) between Euclidean distance (from each ROI with significant group difference to the
centroid of the ipsilateral thalamus) and mean FA, MD, RD, and AD, each averaged across participants within each group. MD, RD, and AD were all negatively correlated with distance in both groups (all $p<.01$).

We also tested for correlations between DTI indices and iFC $z'$ scores for each region to explore the relationship between anatomical and functional measures, using false discovery rate (FDR) correction for multiple comparisons at $p<.05$ (Benjamini et al., 2001), which is preferable in neuroimaging to the overly conservative Bonferrroni approach (Genovese et al., 2002). Results indicated that for LSTG iFC $z'$ scores were positively correlated with AD ($r=.37$, $p<.02$), and MD ($r=.37$, $p=.04$), for LTG iFC $z'$ scores were positively correlated with MD ($r=.33$, $p=.04$) and RD ($r=.28$, $p=.05$), and for RACC iFC $z'$ scores were positively correlated with AD ($r=.32$, $p=.04$), MD ($r=.31$, $p=.04$), RD ($r=.29$, $p=.04$), and tract volume ($r=.33$, $p=.04$).

**Diagnostic and neuropsychological correlates**

In the first study, to explore how anatomical and functional connectivity results related to diagnostic and neuropsychological scores, we performed a series of Pearson correlation analyses, partialling out head motion (root mean square of displacement, as described above). Firstly, significant positive correlations between age and differentiation indices for both right ($r=.47$, $p=.05$) and left ($r=.50$, $p=.03$) hemisphere for TD group were found after partialling out the effects of motion. Corresponding correlations with age were slightly weaker in the ASD group and did not reach significance (Figure 6A-B).

In the first study, correlation results for mean iFC $z'$ values after partialling out motion effects were extracted from each thalamic parcel. These results indicated significant negative correlations for motor thalamic connectivity with ADOS communication scores ($r = -.35$, $p = .03$) and the ADI social interaction index ($r = -.32$, $p = .05$) in the ASD group for the right hemisphere (Figures 6G-H). Furthermore, right
hemisphere temporo-thalamic connectivity was correlated with the Autistic Mannerisms subdomain on the SRS in both groups. For the left hemisphere, negative correlations were seen for parietal-occipital thalamic connectivity and the Metacognition index of the BRIEF \( r = -.43, p = .02 \) in both groups (Figures 6I-J). Note that based on our directional hypotheses (of impaired connectivity being associated with diagnostic severity and functional impairment), no Bonferroni correction was applied to these correlation analyses. In the second study, Pearson correlation analyses were undertaken for the ASD group, partialling out age and head motion (RMSD for iFC and TMI for DTI). All results were then FDR-corrected for multiple comparisons. Among iFC measures, we found positive correlations for ADOS and ADI-R with right cingulate ROIs (reflecting increased symptom severity with greater iFC), but inverse effects for right superior frontal and superior parietal ROIs. Robust positive correlations were detected between iFC \( z' \) scores from RFF with CELF-4 Total Score, indicating improved language skills with greater connectivity between thalamus and these temporal and parietal ROIs. Robust negative correlations were also detected between iFC \( z' \) scores for RFF and planning/organizing, working memory, metacognition, and global executive composite of the BRIEF (Table 4), indicating reduced executive impairment associated with increased iFC.

In the first study, correlations between FA and diagnostic scores (Figures 6C-D) in the ASD group yielded significant negative correlations for bilateral fronto-thalamic tracts and ADOS social score \( r = -.56, p = .02 \) in the left hemisphere; \( r = -.52, p = .03 \) in the right hemisphere) and ADOS total score \( r = -.54, p = .04 \) in the left hemisphere; \( r = -.49, p = .03 \) in the right hemisphere; Figure 5C-D). Negative correlations with ADOS social (\( r = -.55, p = .02 \)) and ADOS total scores \( r = -.55, p = .02 \) were also significant for temporo-thalamic connections in the left hemisphere. For the ASD group, there was also a
significant negative correlation between bilateral temporo-thalamic FA and CELF total scores ($r=-.93$, $p=.01$ in the left hemisphere; $r=-.89$, $p=.01$ in the right hemisphere; Figures 6E-F). In the second study, to minimize multiple comparisons testing for links between DTI indices and diagnostic and neuropsychological measures, we only tested correlations of FA (the most commonly used DTI measure; Table 5) and RD (the index yielding most robust between-group effects; Table 6) for ROIs with significant group differences in the ASD group. Results showed negative correlations between FA in right frontal TC tracts and SRS, and BRIEF, indicating associations between reduced FA and greater impairment with respect to social interaction, and executive functions. For SP, we found positive correlations with FA in right frontal tracts, indicating links between sensory behaviors and TC tract structure. Additionally, we found positive correlations between RD in both right and left frontal tracts and SRS and BRIEF, further indicating impairment with respect to social interaction and executive functions. These correlations are in the direction of impairment for the ASD group. Therefore, more severe social and executive function scores were associated with compromised anatomical connectivity. Additionally, we also found positive correlations between ADI-R social scores and RD for superior frontal and superior parietal tracts, suggesting increased symptom severity with higher diffusivity.
DISCUSSION

This dissertation project is the first to investigate TC connectivity in ASD using combined measures from iFC and DTI tractography. Our findings suggest that, looking more globally across brain regions (lobes), TC connectivity is predominantly reduced in the ASD group. However when examining more specialized brain regions within the lobes differential patterns of connectivity emerges, with findings of both under- and overconnectivity for each lobe (except the occipital). While atypical TC connectivity in ASD was overall highly region-specific, some general principles emerged. Overconnectivity was mostly found for limbic (cingulate, parahippocampal) and sensorimotor regions (auditory, motor), although there were exceptions (underconnectivity for amygdala and occipital ROIs). Conversely, regions involved in multimodal and social cognition, such as executive functions, face processing, and language, were underconnected with thalamus. This pattern partly resembles findings from a large-sample multi-site study (Di Martino et al., 2014), which reported overconnectivity in children and adults with ASD between sensorimotor cortices and subcortical regions (including thalamus). However, this study did not detect predominant underconnectivity for multimodal regions, as observed in the present investigation.

Functional and anatomical connectivity of cortex with thalamus

Functional connectivity results suggested that overall prefrontal functional connectivity was underrepresented especially in the right thalamus. Specifically, TC-iFC was predominantly reduced for bilateral superior frontal and left middle frontal gyri, known for their roles in executive control, working memory, and attention (Haxby et al., 2000). Reduced iFC within executive control networks has been reported in ASD (Kana...
et al., 2007), associated with increased symptom severity (Agam et al., 2010). Supplementary motor area, for which reduced TC-iFC was also found bilaterally, is important for high-order initiation and planning of internally guided movements (see review; (Nachev et al., 2008). Conversely, primary motor cortex, crucial for voluntary motor execution, showed TC overconnectivity. For precentral gyri, overconnected clusters fell within the anterior and medial dorsal nuclei – thalamic subdivisions typically connected with prefrontal cortex (Jones, 2007). There was an inverse correlation of TC-iFC between left middle frontal gyrus and bilateral primary motor cortex. This further highlights the possibility of a ‘functional invasion’ by overconnected motor cortex into thalamic territory typically connected to prefrontal cortex. This pattern may relate to earlier fMRI findings of motor-related activation expanding into prefrontal association areas in adults with ASD (Müller et al., 2003). Overconnectivity clusters also detected for right ACC fell within right ventral posterolateral nucleus, which typically has somatosensory function (Jones, 2007). DTI findings suggested reduction in white matter integrity for prefrontal and motor regions. DTI findings further suggested atypical white matter structure in fronto-thalamic connections for bilateral primary motor cortex, supplementary motor areas, superior frontal gyrus, and right cingulate regions. Anatomical compromise of right anterior cingulate connectivity was also correlated with aberrant iFC for that region. For fronto-thalamic anatomical tract integrity, diagnostic correlations were particularly robust bilaterally, with reduced FA and increased RD being associated with increased symptom severity. Anatomical compromise in fronto-thalamic tracts was also associated with executive functioning difficulties in ASD.

Although no group differences in white matter integrity in temporo-thalamic tracts emerged in our DTI findings, direct group comparison for iFC indicated temporo-thalamic overconnectivity in the ASD group, especially in the right hemisphere. Diagnostic
correlations were hemisphere-specific (beneficial on the left, but unexpectedly detrimental on the right). However, temporo-thalamic overconnectivity was not pronounced on group comparisons for total correlation, suggesting that such overconnectivity is relative (with respect to connectivity with other cortical regions) and occurs in a context of predominant TC underconnectivity overall. More specifically for the temporal lobe, we found several subregions with increased iFC in the ASD group, including superior temporal gyri and transverse gyri bilaterally, as well as right parahippocampal gyrus. The overconnectivity clusters for both superior temporal and transverse gyri spilled into the ventral anterior and medial dorsal nuclei – thalamic subdivisions predominantly connecting with prefrontal cortex in the TD brain (Jones, 2007). A reverse connectivity analysis pinpointed bilateral hotspots of temporo-thalamic overconnectivity in primary auditory cortex and immediate vicinity (Figure 2B). This finding may relate to heightened auditory sensitivity (Matsuzaki et al., 2012), and atypical language development (Herbert et al., 2002), which are common in ASD. Thalamic iFC of superior temporal and transverse gyri was correlated with autistic mannerisms, and impaired social interaction and planning abilities. TC-iFC of transverse gyri (bilaterally) was also inversely correlated with age in the TD group, whereas in the ASD group both overconnectivity and absence of this age-related effect suggest a lack of typical maturational progression in TC connectivity. The overconnectivity cluster for right parahippocampal gyrus, a region involved in visual encoding and memory (Maguire et al., 1998), was mainly seen in right pulvinar, which is typically connected with medial temporal and parieto-occipital cortices (Jones, 2007) and may relate to strengths in non-social visual processing in ASD (Samson et al., 2012).

Conversely, bilateral amygdala, left temporal pole, and right fusiform gyrus showed TC underconnectivity. DTI findings also revealed white matter compromise in
tracts connecting left temporal pole and thalamus. Both amygdala and fusiform gyrus play a role in face processing (Kanwisher et al., 1999), and aberrant functioning of these regions is associated with impaired face processing in ASD (Schultz et al., 2003).

Reduced TC-iFC of right fusiform gyrus was associated with poor language skills and executive functions. This may reflect links between social and executive processing, as suggested by a meta-analysis of visual processing studies revealing atypically reduced frontal activity during face processing in ASD (Samson et al., 2012). Weak fusiform-thalamic response to faces may thus be exacerbated by executive deficits in ASD. In contrast, TC-iFC of right transverse and left superior temporal gyri was positively correlated with planning and organization skills. TC overconnectivity of auditory cortex furthermore spilled into typically thalamic-prefrontal networks. Studies have suggested strong connections between dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and auditory cortex, and involvement of DLPFC in goal-directed auditory tasks (Procyk and Goldman-Rakic, 2006). Our current findings may highlight heightened DLPFC involvement in auditory processing networks in ASD.

The relative strength of temporo-thalamic connectivity was again apparent in the parcellation, with temporal parcels impinging on thalamic regions occupied by other ROIs (especially frontal) in the TD group. This strong representation of temporal connections in anterior and dorsal thalamus, accompanied by reduced parcels for motor-thalamic connectivity, resembled the iFC parcellation patterns observed in pre-teen children (but not adolescents or adults) by Fair and colleagues (2010). These findings may collectively relate to reduced differentiation in gene expression between frontal and temporal lobes in ASD reported by Voineagu et al. (2011). Related findings in macaque monkeys show that thalamic connectivity of some temporal regions (TE in anterior and TEO in posterior inferior temporal cortex) found in infants is lost or reduced in adults.
(Webster et al., 1995). However, the functional relevance of the relative temporothalamic overconnectivity in ASD remains unclear. Two fMRI studies have reported increased temporal activity in the context of reduced frontal activity in response to language tasks (Just et al., 2004), potentially consistent with our findings.

For parietal regions, we found mostly reduced iFC, namely with superior parietal lobules and supramarginal gyri bilaterally. Superior parietal lobules play important roles in working memory (Koenigs et al., 2009) and spatial orientation (Karnath, 1997), while supramarginal gyri are involved in language functions (Stoeckel et al., 2009) and empathy (Silani et al., 2013). For both regions volumetric abnormalities have been reported in ASD (Ke et al., 2008). DTI findings also showed compromised thalamic white matter tracts for right SPL. Both iFC and white matter indices were correlated with diagnostic severity. In contrast, right posterior cingulate cortex showed functional overconnectivity with thalamus, associated with repetitive behavior symptom severity. PCC is part of the default mode network, which is poorly modulated in ASD (Assaf et al., 2010). The overconnectivity clusters for right posterior cingulate region fell mostly within the ventral anterior and ventral lateral nuclei – thalamic subdivisions typically connected with prefrontal and motor cortices (Jones, 2007). Connectivity-based parcellation of the thalamus derived from DTI results also showed comparatively extensive representation of parieto-occipital connectivity in posterolateral thalamus in the ASD group. However, this difference was only seen qualitatively on parcellation results, whereas direct group comparison of probabilistic tractography maps actually showed bilaterally reduced parieto-occipital connectivity for the ASD group in posterior thalamus (primarily in the pulvinar). The pulvinar and its parietal connections are functionally important for spatial attention (Shipp, 2004) and abnormalities of anatomical connectivity detected in our
study may relate to impaired attention in ASD (Townsend and Courchesne, 1994; Allen and Courchesne, 2001).

The occipital lobe was the only subdivision with exclusively reduced iFC in the ASD group. DTI findings did not indicate significant group differences in white matter integrity for the occipital lobe. Among the underconnected thalamic regions were anterior dorsal nuclei, lateral geniculate nucleus and inferior pulvinar, the latter two typically connecting to occipital cortex. Several studies have indicated increased functional connectivity of visual cortex in ASD associated with socio-communicative deficits (Samson et al., 2012). Our findings suggest that while the occipital lobe may be hyperconnected with itself (Keown et al., 2013), it has reduced connectivity with subcortical visual structures. Reduced thalamic iFC for right occipital pole along with right supramarginal gyrus – both involved in visual/visuospatial processing – was linked with overconnectivity for right parahippocampal gyrus, suggesting enhanced mediotemporal TC connectivity at the expense of parieto-occipital connectivity in pulvinar (Jones, 2007).

In addition, Euclidian distance of cortical ROI from thalamus was associated with reduced TC-iFC in the ASD group, possibly consistent with a hypothesis by (Lewis et al., 2013) according to which early brain overgrowth in ASD may affect long-distance connectivity due to greater conduction delays. However, no group differences in correlational patterns with Euclidean distance were noted for DTI indices. Additionally, the relation between distance and TC-iFC did not significantly differ between groups and any interpretation along those lines therefore requires great caution. Rather than distance per se, the overall pattern of our findings may instead reflect maturational timelines, suggesting that in the context of early brain overgrowth in ASD (Courchesne et al., 2001). TC connectivity for early-maturing sensorimotor and limbic regions may be
atypically robust, at the expense of TC connectivity for late-maturing association cortices. These abnormalities are particularly relevant given the importance of TC afferents in the emergence of regional functional specializations in cerebral cortex during early brain development (O'Leary and Nakagawa, 2002).

**Comparison between iFC and DTI findings**

Comparing iFC with DTI findings, it was remarkable that relative temporal overconnectivity was not observed at all in probabilistic tractography. Although the iFC and DTI findings may thus appear divergent, they are in fact overall consistent with the literature. Previous DTI studies have reported reduced FA in anterior thalamic radiation (Cheon et al., 2011) and in the anterior limb of the internal capsule, which incorporates TC fibers (Jou et al., 2011; Shukla et al., 2011). Cheung et al. (2009) found no group differences for the anterior thalamic radiation, but reported correlation between FA in this tract and ADI reciprocal social interaction scores in children with ASD. Differences in iFC and DTI findings for temporo-thalamic connectivity can again be attributed to the very different signal sources mentioned above, which make them sensitive to different aspects of connectivity. For example, whereas DTI tractography will probe the integrity of direct axonal connections between temporal lobe and thalamus, iFC may detect effects of indirect polysynaptic connectivity via other brain regions, such as the basal ganglia (cf.Di Martino et al., 2011).

Thalamic parcellation based on iFC also did not fully match the DTI-based parcellation. Similar inconsistencies between these modalities have been reported for healthy adults (Zhang et al., 2010). Notably, the pattern of iFC-based parcellations in our study of TD children and adolescents was quite similar to results in adults (Fair et al., 2010) and resembled the pattern reported for adolescents in Fair et al. (2010).
Differences between connectivity techniques are expected given the very different signal sources (blood oxygenation in cortex vs. water diffusion in white matter) of iFC and DTI.

On the other hand, there was some convergence of functional and anatomical connectivity findings, with functional underconnectivity for several ROIs (SMA, SFG, left temporal pole, right SPL) accompanied by DTI evidence of white matter compromise. Yet, there were also notable differences. For temporal regions, group differences in TC-iFC were robust for STG and TG, without corresponding DTI findings. Notably though, iFC overconnectivity effects were found to be positively correlated with anatomical indices of mean and radial diffusivity for left STG and TG, possibly signs of myelin loss or compromise. For other (especially frontal) regions, both iFC and DTI analyses yielded evidence of aberrant connectivity. For right ACC and bilateral PCG, iFC overconnectivity was associated with increased RD. Right ACC iFC was associated with reduced FA and was significantly correlated with increased MD and RD, again suggesting a link between functional overconnectivity and anatomical white matter compromise. The described findings for temporal and frontal ROIs appear contradictory only at first glance and probably reflect limitations of tensor-based approaches in DTI (Jones et al., 2013). Generally, the two modalities (iFC, DTI) examine very different parameters of ‘connectivity’ and may therefore not correspond in simple ways. More specifically, reduced FA and increased RD may reflect presence of multiple fiber orientations (Johnson et al., 2013). Such DTI findings thus do not necessarily imply reduced or impaired connectivity and may, in fact, be consistent with observed functional overconnectivity.

Limitations

Our ASD cohort was high-functioning, as only participants able to hold extremely still during MRI scans could be included. Findings may not apply to lower-functioning
children. Second, the inclusionary age range was relatively large. Although, this allowed us to test for age-related changes, the cross-sectional nature of our study prevented firm conclusions with respect to maturational changes in TC connectivity between ages 8 and 17 years. Third, our study was more comprehensive for functional connectivity, whereas anatomical analyses (probabilistic tractography) could not be performed for all ROIs due to their computationally demanding nature. Restricting ROIs for these analyses to those with significant iFC group differences, we may have missed some additional group differences in anatomical connectivity. A further simplification in our study was the focus on ipsilateral TC connections. While these are vastly predominant in the TD brain, any possible abnormalities in this hemispheric organization in ASD may have gone undetected in our study. Additionally, atypical thalamocortical connectivity in ASD likely relates to iFC with other subcortical structures (such as basal ganglia) and cerebellum (Khan, 2015). The complex circuits linking these could not be examined in the present study.

Conclusions

Few previous studies have presented results relevant to TC connectivity in ASD. Abnormal activation of TC networks involved in language processing has also been reported for ASD adults (Müller et al., 1998). Reduced thalamic input in face processing (Kleinhans et al., 2008), and increased functional connectivity between thalamus and fronto-parietal networks (Mizuno et al., 2006) have additionally been reported for ASD groups compared to TD groups. Mizuno et al. (2006) also reported overconnectivity between thalamus and anterior superior temporal lobe in the left hemisphere, accompanied by thalamic underconnectivity with medial temporal regions bilaterally. Shih et al. (2011) detected robust overconnectivity between thalamus and posterior superior temporal sulcus in a larger sample of children and adolescents with ASD.
Methodological differences may account for divergent findings. Mizuno et al. (2006) studied adults (rather than children) and used thalamic (rather than cortical) seeds. Shih et al. (2011) tested for thalamic connectivity only in a small region of lateral temporal cortex. Both studies used task-activated (rather than resting state) data, although task effects were regressed out. This dissertation study is the first to provide comprehensive evidence of bilateral impairment of functional and anatomical connectivity between cerebral cortex and thalamus in ASD. Our findings provide evidence of regionally specific aberrations of thalamic connectivity in frontal and temporal lobes, with additional involvement of some parieto-occipital ROIs. While these findings were relatively consistent for five large cortical seeds, some regional differences were also found with relatively robust impairment of fronto-thalamic connections, but relative sparing and partial functional overconnectivity for temporo-thalamic connections especially in the right hemisphere. They suggest – albeit with some exceptions – a gross pattern of functional overconnectivity for earlier-maturing limbic and sensorimotor regions, but underconnectivity for later maturing supramodal regions. Correlations with diagnostic and neuropsychological measures suggest a role of TC connectivity in social interaction, executive difficulties, and repetitive and restricted behaviors in ASD. In a broader context, our findings of impaired TC connectivity are consistent with previous studies indicating functional (Ryu et al., 1999, Starkstein et al., 2000, Friedman et al., 2003, Haznedar et al., 2006) and anatomical (Tsatsanis et al., 2003, Tamura et al., 2010) impairment of thalamus in ASD. They are also consistent with the very first functional connectivity study that explored TC correlations using glucose positron emission tomography (Horwitz et al., 1988).
Table 1A: Demographic data for autism spectrum disorders (ASD) and typically developing (TD) groups for first study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>iFC sample</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>DTI sample</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASD (n=22)</td>
<td>TD (n=23)</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>ASD (n=26)</td>
<td>TD (n=27)</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.2 (1.5)</td>
<td>14.3 (1.5)</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>14.1 (2.5)</td>
<td>14.2 (2.2)</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12-17</td>
<td>12-17</td>
<td></td>
<td>9-17</td>
<td>9-17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonverbal IQ</td>
<td>111.1 (13.1)</td>
<td>108.0 (12.0)</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>112.4 (14.9)</td>
<td>107.4 (13.1)</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70-140</td>
<td>86-129</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-140</td>
<td>77-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness</td>
<td>18:4</td>
<td>20:3</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>24:2</td>
<td>24:3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Right:Left)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1B: Demographic data for autism spectrum disorders (ASD) and typically developing (TD) groups for second study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>iFC sample</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>DTI sample</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASD (n=37)</td>
<td>TD (n=38)</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>ASD (n=34)</td>
<td>TD (n=33)</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.9 (2.6)</td>
<td>13.0 (2.6)</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>13.7 (2.8)</td>
<td>12.9 (2.6)</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9-17</td>
<td>8-17</td>
<td></td>
<td>7-17</td>
<td>8-17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonverbal IQ</td>
<td>104.4 (16.9)</td>
<td>107.5 (12.5)</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>105.2 (16.8)</td>
<td>107.5 (12.8)</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62-140</td>
<td>83-137</td>
<td></td>
<td>69-140</td>
<td>83-137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male:Female)</td>
<td>32:5</td>
<td>30:8</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>31:3</td>
<td>27:8</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness</td>
<td>32:5</td>
<td>31:7</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>30:4</td>
<td>27:6</td>
<td>.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Right:Left)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>.081 (.048)</td>
<td>.082 (.041)</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>2.12 (3.9)</td>
<td>.70 (2.4)</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RMSD/TMI)</td>
<td>.021-.246</td>
<td>.019-.166</td>
<td>-1.5-11.6</td>
<td>-2.1-7.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: List of all Harvard-Oxford Stereotaxic atlas ROIs used in iFC analysis (R: right, L: left).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frontal Lobe</th>
<th>Temporal lobe</th>
<th>Parietal lobe</th>
<th>Occipital Lobe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R frontal pole</td>
<td>R temporal pole</td>
<td>R posterior cingulate</td>
<td>R lingual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R orbitofrontal</td>
<td>R inferior temporal</td>
<td>R central operculum</td>
<td>R cuneal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R medial frontal</td>
<td>R middle temporal</td>
<td>R parietal operculum</td>
<td>R supracalcarine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R frontal operculum</td>
<td>R superior temporal</td>
<td>R postcentral</td>
<td>R intracalcarine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R anterior cingulate</td>
<td>R transverse</td>
<td>R superior parietal lob.</td>
<td>R lateral occipital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R subcallosal</td>
<td>R fusiform</td>
<td>R precuneous</td>
<td>R occipital pole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R inferior frontal</td>
<td>R parahippocampal</td>
<td>R supramarginal</td>
<td>L lingual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R middle frontal</td>
<td>R hippocampus</td>
<td>R angular</td>
<td>L cuneal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R superior frontal</td>
<td>R amygdala</td>
<td>L posterior cingulate</td>
<td>L supracalcarine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R suppl. motor</td>
<td>L temporal pole</td>
<td>L central operculum</td>
<td>L intracalcarine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R precentral</td>
<td>L inferior temporal</td>
<td>L parietal operculum</td>
<td>L lateral occipital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L frontal pole</td>
<td>L middle temporal</td>
<td>L postcentral</td>
<td>L occipital pole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L orbitofrontal</td>
<td>L superior temporal</td>
<td>L superior parietal lob.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L medial frontal</td>
<td>L transverse</td>
<td>L precuneus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L frontal operculum</td>
<td>L fusiform</td>
<td>L supramarginal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L anterior cingulate</td>
<td>L parahippocampal</td>
<td>L angular</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L subcallosal</td>
<td>L hippocampus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L inferior frontal</td>
<td>L amygdala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L middle frontal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L superior frontal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L suppl. motor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L precentral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Significant DTI tractography results (all p-values FDR corrected). For all ROIs listed, TC-iFC underconnectivity was also found, except those marked †, for which iFC overconnectivity was detected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lobe</th>
<th>DTI index and region</th>
<th>Fractional Anisotropy (FA; TD &gt; ASD)</th>
<th>Mean Diffusivity (MD; ASD &gt; TD)</th>
<th>Radial Diffusivity (RD; ASD &gt; TD)</th>
<th>Axial Diffusivity (AD; ASD &gt; TD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>RSMA; p=.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RACC; p=.006 †</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>LSFG; p=.03</td>
<td>RACC; p=.006 †</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RSFG; p=.008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSMA; p=.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RSMA; p=.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPCG; p=.03 †</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>Ltempol; p=.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>RSPL; p=.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>RSFG; p=.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSMA; p=.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RSMA; p=.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPCG; p=.03 †</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPCG; p=.04 †</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RACC; p=.05 †</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>Ltempol; p=.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>RSPL; p=.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>LSFG; p=.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RSFG; p=.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>RSPL; p=.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Significant correlations between iFC z' scores for ASD group and behavioral/diagnostic measures (all p-values FDR corrected). BRIEF data were only available for 25 ASD participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>FCMRI z' SCORES</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RACC</td>
<td>RSFG</td>
<td>RFF</td>
<td>RSPL</td>
<td>RPCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r p</td>
<td>r p</td>
<td>r p</td>
<td>r p</td>
<td>r p</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOS Total</td>
<td>.39 .01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOS RRB</td>
<td></td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R Social</td>
<td>-.37 .02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R Comm.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.38</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R RB</td>
<td>.42 .01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CELF-Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF Plan/Orgn.</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.37</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF WM</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.53</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF MET</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.52</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF GEC</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.42</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Significant correlations between FA and behavioral/diagnostic measures (all $p$-values FDR corrected) for ASD group. SP data were only available for 29 ASD participants, and BRIEF data for 25 ASD participants. For all measures except SP, higher scores indicate more severe symptoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>FA RACC</th>
<th></th>
<th>RSMA</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCQ Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS Social Aware.</td>
<td>-.47</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.48</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS Autistic Mann.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td></td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS Social Cog.</td>
<td>-.49</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>-.56</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP Sens. Seek.</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP Sens. Avoid.</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF Inhibition</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF Emotion. Reg.</td>
<td>-.48</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.50</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF Shifting</td>
<td>-.53</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>-.48</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF Monitor.</td>
<td>-.45</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.50</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF BRI</td>
<td>-.49</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>-.46</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF MET</td>
<td>-.47</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.46</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF GEC</td>
<td>-.49</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>-.46</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6: Correlations between RD for ROIs showing significant group differences and behavioral/diagnostic measures (all p-values FDR corrected) in the ASD group. * p<.05. BRIEF data was only available for 25 ASD participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>RACC</th>
<th>LPCG</th>
<th>LSMA</th>
<th>RSMA</th>
<th>RSFG</th>
<th>RSPL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R Social</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R Comm.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS Soc. Aware.</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS Aut. Mann.</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS Soc. Comm.</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS Total</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF Shifting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF WM</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF Monitor.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF MET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF GEC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Subdivisions of nuclei of the thalamus.
Figure 2: (A) DTI probabilistic tractography results; (B) iFC results from partial correlation analysis; total correlation analysis results are shown additionally in the bottom row; (C) Surface rendering of cortical ROIs (only left hemisphere shown) with color code used in parcellation and tabulation of Brodmann areas included in each cortical ROI; (D) thalamic mask. Thalamic parcellation for TD and ASD groups based on DTI (E-F), and iFC (G-H).
Figure 3: [A] iFC between frontal, temporal, parietal, and occipital ROIs from the Harvard-Oxford cortical atlas and ipsilateral thalamus. Only ROIs with significant between-group findings are shown. [B] Reverse connectivity analysis, using thalamic clusters of overconnectivity with superior temporal gyri (as shown in [A]) as seeds, indicates regions in and around auditory cortex driving these overconnectivity effects.
Figure 4: Correlation matrix for ROIs with significant iFC group differences. White squares indicate correlations $r > .39$ or $r < -.39$ ($p < .05$; FDR corrected). Font color indicates ROIs that were underconnected (blue labels) or overconnected (red labels) with thalamus in the ASD group (abbreviations as in main text). Dashed black line highlights the upper right quadrant of specific interest that shows correlations between underconnected and overconnected ROIs in the ASD group. For example, negative correlation ($r=-.63$) for LMFG-RPCG in the ASD group shows that low iFC with thalamus for LMFG was associated with high iFC for RPCG, indicating possible linkage between the two findings.
Figure 5: Mean diffusivity (MD), radial diffusivity (RD), fractional anisotropy (FA) and volume of thalamic connections with prefrontal, parietal-occipital, motor, somatosensory and temporal cortices in ASD and TD groups (** p<0.001; * p<0.05; corr.; error bars represent SEM).
Figure 6: Correlations of connectivity measures as well as differentiation indices with age and diagnostic and neuropsychological scores for first study.
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