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Abstract

Hyperpolarized $^{129}$Xe NMR can detect the presence of specific low-concentration biomolecular analytes by means of the xenon biosensor, which consists of a water-soluble, targeted cryptophane-A cage that encapsulates xenon. In this work we use the prototypical biotinylated xenon biosensor to determine the relationship between the molecular composition of the xenon biosensor and the characteristics of protein-bound resonances. The effects of diastereomer overlap, dipole-dipole coupling, chemical shift anisotropy, xenon exchange, and biosensor conformational exchange on protein-bound biosensor signal were assessed. It was found that optimal protein-bound biosensor signal can be obtained by minimizing the number of biosensor diastereomers and using a flexible linker of appropriate length. Both the linewidth and sensitivity of chemical shift to protein binding of the xenon biosensor were found to be inversely proportional to linker length.
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Introduction

Recent advances in nanomaterials and magnetic resonance polarization techniques have led to the development of new types of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast agents that provide for greater sensitivity and higher selectivity. Proton relaxation agents have been biochemically targeted to yield imaging signals that report the presence of specific molecules. Examples include detecting and imaging biomolecular binding events and enzyme activity with functionalized magnetite nanoparticles \(^1\) and imaging gene expression with chemically modified paramagnetic ions \(^2\). Polarization-enhanced contrast agents have also been used to obtain extraordinary definition of perfused blood and tissue volumes. Dynamic nuclear polarization enhanced glucose has been used for angiography \(^3\) and polarized helium and xenon for lung and tissue imaging \(^4\). Polarized nuclei offer high sensitivity, low-background, and relatively long relaxation times.

Unlike proton relaxation agents, which have been conjugated to target specific tissue types or biomolecules for achieving selective contrast, polarized nuclei have thus far only been used in a non-specific manner in which signal localization relies on slow transport and tissue barriers. One means of targeting polarized nuclei to a specific analyte or sample
region uses a molecular adaptor, termed a xenon biosensor. This sensor was developed as a means to “functionalize” polarized xenon in aqueous solutions and target it to report on a specific biomolecular target or event. The prototypical xenon biosensor consists of three parts, a xenon-binding cryptophane-A cage, a short peptide for water solubility, and a moiety that binds a specific biomolecule. A biotinylated sensor was used to demonstrate that the biosensor-bound xenon resonances significantly broaden and shift downfield in the presence of avidin.\textsuperscript{[5-6]} The sensitivity of biosensor-encapsulated xenon to its local environment indicates that “functionalized” xenon can serve as a magnetic resonance reporter for targeted in situ biosensing and imaging.\textsuperscript{[7]}

Our initial biotinylated cryptophane-A construct (Scheme 1, \textbf{1}) gave rise to four narrow $^{129}$Xe resonances when free in solution. These four resonances derive from the four diastereomers RLL, RLR, LLL, and LLR that arise from the chirality of the three biosensor components, the cage, peptide, and maleimide.\textsuperscript{[6]} In the absence of protein, two of the diastereomer resonances almost completely overlap with the other two, yielding two slightly separated peaks of 20 Hz total linewidth.\textsuperscript{[6]} The avidin-bound form of \textbf{1} yielded a single broad peak $\sim$200 Hz in linewidth that was shifted $\sim$2 ppm downfield
(Figure S1). The broadening observed upon binding appears to arise from an increase in correlation time through a combination of relaxation mechanisms.[6]

We envision applications of functionalized xenon to include spatially localized sensing of specific molecules (e.g. metabolites, antigens, etc.) in a selective and low-background manner by tethering a xenon binding cage to a selective affinity tag, such as an antibody, or a small molecule sensor, such as a ligand binding protein.[6,7] Such applications require acquiring signal from biomolecule-bound xenon biosensor. The large linewidth observed for the protein-bound prototype sensor would significantly limit detection sensitivity. To address this issue we have prepared and determined NMR characteristics of six different biotinylated xenon biosensors when bound to avidin. Through these studies we identify the molecular features that impart optimal linewidth and sensitivity to biosensor applications.

Results and Discussion

Diastereomers. The contribution of a change in the distribution of diastereomer resonances upon protein binding was investigated by reducing the number of biosensor diastereomers from four to two by replacing the cysteine maleimide linkage
between the cage-peptide and the biotinylated linker in 1 with a lysine-amide bond linkage. This new biosensor construct, 2, has only two diastereomers, RL and LL. When titrated with avidin the two diastereomeric peaks from this biosensor shift downfield, from 65.1 ppm and 64.5 ppm with linewidths of 24 Hz and 25 Hz, respectively, to 67.9 ppm and 66.4 ppm with linewidths of 43 Hz and 35 Hz, respectively (Figure 1). Avidin binding to 2 shifts the downfield peak by 2.8 ppm and the upfield peak by 1.9 ppm. Each diastereomer undergoes a different change in chemical shift, thus separation of the diastereomer peaks increases from 0.6 ppm to 1.5 ppm upon avidin binding. Based on calculations by Harris and coworkers, this increase in diastereomer separation may arise from different increases in the electrostatic potential that protein-bound, encapsulated xenon experiences.[8] The difference in biosensor linewidths likely arises from biosensor diastereomers having different xenon binding properties, which was first observed for functionalized cryptophane-A diastereomers by Huber et al.[9]

The xenon spectra for avidin-bound constructs 1 and 2 (Figure S1 and Figure 1, respectively) indicate that a significant source of linewidth for construct 1 is an increase in the spread of avidin-bound shifts for diastereomer resonances. These data indicate that the protein-bound form of
the xenon biosensor can provide signal-to-noise comparable to
the protein-free form, and that minimizing the number of
biosensor diastereomers is critical for obtaining good signal to
noise. For highest sensitivity, the optimal xenon biosensor
should consist of a single diastereomer, which can be made by
using a pure enantiomer of cryptophane-A.\textsuperscript{[10]}

**Linker Flexibility.** Although the avidin-bound diastereomers
of construct 2 remain fairly sharp (Figure 1), there is a 14 Hz
increase in the linewidth of each peak upon avidin binding. A
change in the xenon exchange rate was ruled out as the source of
the increased linewidth for the protein-bound sensor by
determining the exchange rates for both protein-free and
protein-bound forms.\textsuperscript{[6]} This increase in linewidth likely arises
from correlation-time dependent relaxation mechanisms. The
molecular nature of the linker can be expected to determine the
extent of motional coupling between the cage and protein. If
the linker acts as a rigid connection between the cage and
avidin then the cage motion will be the same as the protein, a
correlation time of \( \sim 30 \) ns for the avidin tetramer (experimental
methods). However, if the linker is flexible then the cage can
reorient rapidly, independently of the protein, leading to a
decreased correlation time and narrower line.
The effect of linker rigidity on biosensor linewidth was determined by characterization of biosensor construct 3, analogous to 2 but with a six-glycine linker between the cage-peptide and biotin. The six planar peptide bonds in the linker of 3 restrict the rotational motion of the biosensor when bound to avidin. Figure 2 shows the spectra of construct 3 in the absence and presence of avidin. In the absence of avidin, there are two narrow peaks, 35 Hz and 24 Hz, separated by 1 ppm, which correspond to the two biosensor diastereomers, RL and LL. Upon avidin binding four biosensor peaks of larger linewidth (45 Hz to 55 Hz) and unequal intensity appear. The relative amounts of the diastereomers are equal (Figure 2a), therefore each diastereomer must give rise to one large and one small peak when bound to avidin (Figure 2b). The source of the two smaller peaks was investigated by preparing a sample in which most of the protein-bound construct 3 was bound at a ratio of one molecule per avidin (see experimental methods). The corresponding $^{129}$Xe NMR spectrum was similar to that shown in Figure 2b, but the intensities of the two smaller peaks were significantly diminished (data not shown). This observation and the close proximity of two biotin binding sites on avidin (Figure 5a), indicate that the smaller peaks in Figure 2b arise from two biosensor molecules being bound in close proximity.
More flexible linkers, as in 2 and 5, allow more independent motion of the cage, reducing the effects of neighboring ligands on one another. Because this effect may only arise from binding two biosensors in close proximity of each other and can be avoided by using a flexible linker, it was not investigated further.

The increase in linewidth of ~25 Hz for construct 3 upon avidin binding is larger than that for construct 2 (~14 Hz). This likely arises from an increased correlation time resulting from the more rigid glycine linker. Because linker flexibility affects protein-bound biosensor linewidth the conformational constraint from the planar succinimide ring in construct 1 probably makes its behavior less than optimal relative to other linkers of similar length.

**Linker Length.** The correlation time for the avidin-bound biosensor will also be affected by the length of the linker between the cage and the surface of avidin. Even if the linker is flexible a shorter linker will restrict cage motion due to proximity to the protein surface. Simulations by Sears and Jameson indicate that contact between the protein surface and the cage also influences the chemical shift of the encapsulated xenon. Therefore, a decrease in linker length should also
lead to an increase in chemical shift change upon binding of encapsulated xenon to avidin.

The effect of linker length on biosensor linewidth and chemical shift was explored by comparing the protein-bound properties of biosensors with three different linker lengths. The three constructs, 2, 4, and 5, have two diastereomers and linkers of comparable flexibility. Construct 2 has an intermediate linker length, with the cage ~23 Å from the protein surface, and yields two resolved protein-bound resonances that shift over 2 ppm and broaden by ~14 Hz upon avidin binding (Figure 1). Construct 4 lacks a linker between biotin and the cage-peptide, so that the cage is ~8 Å from the protein. Free sensor and avidin-bound $^{129}$Xe spectra from 4 are shown in Figure 3. The protein-free spectrum shows that there is an unequal mixture of the two diastereomers, which most likely is a result of the purification process in which a narrow HPLC fraction was collected, partially separating the diastereomers. Comparison with the protein bound spectrum shows that the upfield and downfield diastereomers retain their relative chemical shifts upon avidin binding. When construct 4 binds avidin its resonances broaden from ~15 Hz to ~125 Hz and shift from 62.3 ppm and 62.9 ppm to ~65 ppm and ~67 ppm, respectively. The increase in linewidth by 110 Hz limits the accuracy of the
measurement of the change in chemical shift, but it is apparent that the upfield and downfield diastereomers shift by ~3 ppm and ~4 ppm, respectively, which are the largest chemical shift changes observed for a xenon biosensor. The decrease in linker length correlates with an increase in both linewidth and chemical shift sensitivity to avidin binding. Construct 5 has a linker composed of twelve ethylene glycol units, putting the cage ~55 Å from the protein surface. When 5 is titrated with avidin there is no change in the shifts of the xenon biosensor resonances (Figure 4) and the linewidth narrows slightly from ~28 Hz to ~22 Hz. The lack of linewidth and chemical shift sensitivity of construct 5 to avidin binding indicates that there is a maximal linker length for cage-encapsulated xenon's sensitivity to avidin binding.

To better visualize the protein-bound biosensor, constructs 2, 4, and 5 were modeled into the biotin-binding site of avidin.\textsuperscript{[11]} The biosensor was modeled with a fully extended linker, so these models show the maximum distance the cage can be from the surface of the protein. Although these models are not energy-minimized structures, they serve as qualitative comparisons for biosensors with different linker lengths. Figure 5a and 5b show a close view of the avidin-bound biosensor model for biosensors 2 and 4, respectively. For these the
maximum distance the cage can be from the protein surface is ~25 Å and ~8 Å, respectively. Because the four biotin binding sites in avidin are asymmetrically distributed, two of the biosensors are in proximity (Figure 5a). This probably contributes to the restriction of rotational motion of the biosensor. Figure 5c shows avidin bound to four biosensor 5 molecules, for which the maximum protein-to-cage distance is ~50 Å.

Simulations by Sears and Jameson reproduced the magnitude and direction of the chemical shift change of the xenon biosensor upon avidin binding by decreasing the internal volume of the cage. Their results indicate that contact of the cage with the protein surface may be the primary mechanism of xenon biosensor chemical shift sensitivity to avidin binding. Although none of the biosensors studied has a short enough linker to cause the cage to be in direct contact with the surface of the protein all of the time, the inverse proportionality between the linker length and chemical shift sensitivity is in agreement with a contact-mediated binding induced shift.

Partial Cage Deuteration. The increase in the linewidth for biosensor resonances when bound to protein indicates that there is an increase in $T_2$ relaxation rate upon protein binding. An
increase in the rate of xenon exchange into the cage has been previously ruled out as a source of relaxation. The likely relaxation mechanisms are dipole-dipole coupling and chemical shift anisotropy (CSA).

The contribution of dipole-dipole coupling between encapsulated xenon and cage protons can be calculated using the estimated correlation time of the xenon biosensor (see experimental methods) and the xenon-proton internuclear distances that have been previously reported for cryptophane-A dissolved in (CDCl₂)₂ based on SPINOE measurements. The validity of the assumption that the internuclear distances do not significantly change when the cage is functionalized, dissolved in water, and bound to avidin will be discussed below. The rate of proton-xenon cross relaxation for the biosensor can be calculated using the following relationships,

\[ \sigma_{\text{HXe}} = \sum_i \sigma_{\text{HXe}}(i) \]  

\[ \sigma_{\text{HXe}}(i) = \frac{d_{\text{600}}}{8} \left[ 4J(0) + J(\omega_H - \omega_{\text{Xe}}) + 3J(\omega_{\text{Xe}}) + 6J(\omega_H) + 6J(\omega_H + \omega_{\text{Xe}}) \right] \]  

with \[ d_{\text{600}} = \left( \frac{\mu_0 h \gamma_H \gamma_{\text{Xe}}}{4\pi} \right)^2 \left\langle \frac{1}{r(i)^6} \right\rangle \]  

and \[ J(\omega) = \frac{2\tau_C}{5(1 + \omega^2 \tau_C^2)} \]

where \( \sigma_{\text{HXe}} \) is the total proton-xenon cross relaxation rate,
$\sigma_{\text{HXe}}(i)$ is the proton-xenon cross relaxation rate for the $i$th proton, $<r(i)^{-6}>$ is the average internuclear distance for the $i$th proton, and $\tau_c$ is the correlation time for rotation of the biosensor.\textsuperscript{[13]} The $<r(i)^{-6}>$ values that have been previously determined\textsuperscript{[12]} were used to calculate estimates of $\sigma_{\text{HXe}}$ for the protein-free biosensor, with $\tau_c \sim 1.6$ ns, and the protein-bound biosensor, with $\tau_c \sim 30$ ns (experimental methods). $\sigma_{\text{HXe}}$ is 0.07 Hz and 0.8 Hz for the protein-free and protein-bound biosensors, respectively. These predicted values for dipolar broadening are well below the experimental values indicating that H-Xe dipole-dipole coupling does not contribute significantly to biosensor relaxation.

The contribution of dipole-dipole coupling to biosensor linewidth was also tested experimentally using a partially deuterated version of construct 2, designated 2*, in which the methoxy and linker protons were deuterated (Scheme 1). The calculated differences in $\sigma_{\text{HXe}}$ values predicted for partially deuterated free and avidin-bound 2* (0.03 Hz and 0.4 Hz) are within the error of linewidth measurement (4 Hz), indicating that the linewidths of 2* and 2 should be the same. Comparison of the linewidths of free and avidin-bound 2* (~19 Hz and ~37 Hz, respectively, Figure S2) with those for construct 2 (~24 Hz
and ~39 Hz) indicates that dipole-dipole coupling does not contribute significantly to protein-bound linewidth. This is a result of the relatively large average proton-xenon internuclear distance for encapsulated xenon (5 ± 0.7 Å) leading to weak dipolar coupling because of the $\langle r(\mathbf{i})^{-6} \rangle$ dependence.

**Temperature Dependence.** The contribution of xenon exchange to biosensor linewidth was probed by measuring the temperature dependence of free and avidin-bound biosensor resonances for construct 2*. As shown in Figure 6, both the linewidth and chemical shift of the xenon biosensor depend on temperature. The chemical shift of construct 2* for both free and avidin-bound forms depends linearly on temperature between 10°C and 40°C with a slope of 0.27 ppm/°C (Figure S3), which is the same as that for cryptophane-A-encapsulated xenon in deuterated tetrachloroethane (~0.28 ppm/°C). Temperature dependence of encapsulated xenon is thought to arise from increased xenon sampling of the repulsive regions of the xenon-cryptophane potential and an increase in cryptophane-A molecules in higher energy conformations. The similarity of temperature-dependent chemical shift for cryptophane-A dissolved in (CDCl$_2$)$_2$ and cryptophane-A that has been functionalized, dissolved in water, and protein-bound suggests that the structure and
interactions of the cage and encapsulated xenon are similar in these different environments. This supports the previous assumption that the internuclear distances between encapsulated xenon and cage protons are similar in different constructs and solvents.

As shown in Figure 6, the linewidth for avidin-free construct 2* increases significantly with temperature above 25°C, indicating that the exchange rate reaches a rate of ≥ 30 sec⁻¹ so that exchange broadening significantly contributes to protein-free biosensor linewidth (see also Figure S4a). From 10°C to 25°C the biosensor linewidth decreases slightly with temperature, indicating correlation time dependent mechanisms dominate at these temperatures. Figure 6 also shows that the linewidth of the protein-bound biosensor 2* is not significantly temperature dependent from 10°C to 35°C, indicating that a relaxation mechanism other than exchange must dominate at low temperatures (see also Figure S4b).

Similar measurements were made for the avidin-free and avidin-bound forms of constructs 3 and 5. The linewidth and chemical shift for the avidin-free form of construct 3 and both the avidin-free and avidin-bound forms of construct 5 exhibited similar behavior to that observed for the avidin-free form of construct 2*. However, the resonances for the avidin-bound form
of construct 3 were the narrowest at 23°C and broadened when the temperature was increased and decreased by 10°C (Figure S5), again indicating a combination of mechanisms as for 2*.

The temperature dependence of the linewidth for construct 2* was used to determine the xenon exchange rates above 25°C for protein-free biosensor. Exchange affects linewidth according to the relationship

\[
\Delta \nu_{1/2} = (\pi \tau_{\text{ex}})^{-1}
\]

where \(\Delta \nu_{1/2}\) is the contribution to the linewidth at half height and \(\tau_{\text{ex}}\) is the exchange rate. To calculate \(\tau_{\text{ex}}\), the linewidths at high temperature were corrected for linewidth contribution from the low temperature relaxation mechanisms by extrapolating the temperature dependence behavior between 10°C and 25°C up to 35°C. The exchange contributions to linewidths were used to calculate \(\tau_{\text{ex}}\) at 28°C, 30°C, and 35°C. For temperatures below 25°C \(\tau_{\text{ex}}\) was determined by measuring the recovery of selectively saturated xenon signals, as described previously.\textsuperscript{[6]} The temperature dependent exchange rates were used to obtain an activation energy for xenon dissociation from the cryptophane complex in water of 121 ± 7 kJ/mol. This is approximately three times higher than that determined for xenon-cryptophane-A decomplexation in (CDCl\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}, 37 kJ/mol,\textsuperscript{[16]} one cause of which may
be a higher enthalpic cost for dissolution of xenon in water.

Other Relaxation Contributions for the Protein-Bound Biosensor. The dipolar (~1 Hz) and exchange (~7 Hz) contributions to the linewidths for xenon in the protein-bound biosensor 2 are not sufficient to explain the observed value of ~32 Hz (corrected for inhomogeneous broadening from field inhomogeneity estimated from the $^1$H linewidth in D$_2$O in the sample). Chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) was also considered as a possible contributing mechanism. The contribution of CSA to xenon linewidth can be significant due to the extraordinary chemical shift sensitivity of xenon to its local environment. The CSA value required for a given linewidth can be calculated from the following relationship:

$$R_2 = \frac{1}{18} \left\{ \Delta \sigma_{CSA}^2 \omega_{xe}^2 (4J(0) + 3J(\omega_{xe})) \right\} \tag{3}$$

where ($R_2$) is the linewidth in Hz, $\Delta \sigma_{CSA}$ is the chemical shift anisotropy in ppm, $\omega_{xe}$ is the resonant frequency of $^{129}$Xe at 7 T ($2\pi*82.9$ MHz), and $J(\omega)$ is estimated from the correlation time, Equation (1d). Using the ~30 ns estimated $\tau_c$ for the avidin-bound biosensor 2* and the 24 Hz observed excess linewidth, a $\Delta \sigma_{CSA}$ value of ~180 ppm was calculated. This value seems unrealistically large for xenon inside a deformed cryptophane-A
cage. Reported $^{129}\text{Xe}$ CSA values range from 10 ppm to over 150 ppm, but those larger than tens of ppm are for xenon inside fully occupied nanochannels where xenon-xenon interactions dominate the CSA term.\cite{17} Further experiments are needed to directly establish the protein-bound cryptophane-encapsulated $^{129}\text{Xe}$ CSA.

Another possible contribution to bound biosensor linewidth is conformational exchange. The fact that multiple peaks are seen for bound biosensor 3 emphasizes the sensitivity of encapsulated $^{129}\text{Xe}$ to local interactions. It is possible that slow (ms-µs) fluctuations among multiple bound conformations also contributes to the linewidth for other biosensors. Studies of other linkers, and proteins with single binding sites, are probably needed to resolve this issue.

**Conclusions**

Optimizing the design of the xenon biosensor construct has allowed signals from avidin-bound biosensor diastereomers to be resolved, demonstrating the feasibility of obtaining narrow lines from protein-bound xenon biosensors. The structural details of the biosensor linker significantly influence the linewidth and chemical shift sensitivity of the protein-bound xenon biosensor. The characteristics of the constructs surveyed
here indicate that a flexible linker of moderate length, such as that used in constructs 2 or 5, can lead to reasonably narrow lines for protein-bound xenon biosensor. Depending on the desired application, the chemical shift sensitivity of the xenon biosensor can be tuned by using a shorter or longer linker. The relaxation mechanisms contributing to the linewidth for the avidin-bound biosensor include bulk-to-cage xenon exchange, biosensor conformational exchange, and possibly CSA. The exchange contribution is inherent since xenon must be able to enter the cage for the biosensor to function; however, it may be possible to modify the cage to decrease the rate of exchange without significantly altering the xenon binding affinity. Conformational exchange contributions seem to be eliminated in biosensor molecules with longer, flexible linkers. They may also disappear for targets with single biosensor binding sites. Understanding the parameters for obtaining good signal-to-noise for protein-bound cryptophane-encapsulated xenon is critical for applications that require protein-functionalization, such as affinity-targeting with antibodies\cite{7,18} or metabolite sensing with engineered periplasmic binding proteins,\cite{19} at \textit{in vivo} concentrations.

\textbf{Experimental Methods}
Cryptophane-A carboxylic acid$^6$ and partially deuterated cryptophane-A carboxylic acid$^{20}$ were synthesized as previously described. The complete synthesis of construct 1$^6$ and construct 3$^{21}$ have been previously described. For constructs 2 and 4, the cryptophane-A carboxylic acid was attached to the deprotected N-terminus of a hydrophilic peptide $[\text{NH}_2\text{K}(\text{Cbz})\text{R}(\text{Pbf})\text{K}(\text{Boc})\text{R}(\text{Pbf})\text{CO}_2\text{Me}]$ using PyBOP and N-methylmorpholine in DMF. The peptide was synthesized by conventional solid-phase peptide chemistry using trityl resin (Fmoc chemistry; PyBOP/DIEA acylation; deprotection with 20% piperidine in DMF; resin cleavage with AcOH/trifluoroethanol/DCM (1:1:8); esterification of C-terminus using diazomethane; deprotection of N-terminus with piperidine in DMF; purification by reversed-phase HPLC). The Cbz protecting group was then cleaved under hydrogenation conditions ($H_2$, Pd/C). The cryptophane-A-peptide construct was biotinylated by reacting the unprotected lysine side chain with NHS-LC-LC-Biotin (Pierce Chemical) in DMF in presence of DIEA, for construct 2, or with biotin, for construct 4, deprotected with TFA/Me$_2$S/thioanisole (18:1:1), and purified by reversed-phase HPLC. For construct 5, the cryptophane-A carboxylic acid was attached to the deprotected terminus of a small hydrophilic peptide $[\text{KR}_3\text{COOH}]$ that was synthetized by conventional solid-phase methods (Fmoc
chemistry; DCC/HOBt/NMP acylation; deprotection in TFA with water/thioanisole; purity of crude product was >95% by FTICR-MS). The cage-peptide construct was biotinylated by reaction with HBTU/HOBt/DIEA/N-Fmoc-amino-dPEG_{12}-acid (Quanta Biodesign), deprotected with piperidine/NMP, and reacted with HBTU/HOBt/DIEA/ biotin.

Hyperpolarized $^{129}$Xe NMR spectroscopy was conducted using the stopped-flow bubble mode apparatus and a 300 MHz (proton frequency) Varian Inova spectrometer and a Amersham Health $^{129}$Xe polarizer, which produced ~3% polarized $^{129}$Xe, as previously described. All spectra were referenced to xenon gas at 0 ppm, fitted using matNMR, and processed with a 10 Hz exponential filter, unless otherwise noted. The solution peak for all spectra was at 191 ppm for solutions in 50% D$_2$O, 50% H$_2$O, and at 189 ppm for solutions in 99% D$_2$O, and typically had a linewidth of ~10 Hz. Unless otherwise noted, errors for chemical shifts were ± 0.1 ppm and linewidths ± 4 Hz. The chemical shift of the solution-dissolved xenon peak changed with temperature by less than 0.04 ppm/°C between 10°C and 40°C. ~10% 1-Propanol was added to biosensor solutions for constructs 3 and 5 to suppress sample foaming during xenon bubbling. Sample concentrations were determined with UV-vis spectrophotometry using molar absorptivity coefficients of 8,000 M$^{-1}$ for pure biosensor$^6$ and
96,000 M\(^{-1}\) for pure avidin (Sigma). The sample in which one molecule of construct 3 was bound per avidin was prepared by adding one equivalent of construct 3 to a dilute solution of two equivalents of avidin tetramer, or eight equivalents of biotin binding sites, during mixing. Because the biotin-binding sites were in excess and avidin binds biotin in a non-cooperative manner, most biosensor was assumed to be bound at a ratio of one molecule per avidin.

Molecular models of the biosensor constructs were built with PyMOL and docked into the crystal structure of biotin-bound avidin by overlaying the biosensor-tethered biotin with avidin-bound biotin and positioning the biosensor linker in the biotin binding channel. No energy minimization on the biosensor or biosensor-avidin construct was done.

The correlation time of the protein-free and protein-bound biosensor can be estimated according to the following relationships:

\[
\tau_c = \frac{4\pi \eta_w r_H^3}{3k_B T} \quad (4a)
\]

\[
r_H = \left(\frac{3\overline{V} M_r}{4\pi N_A}\right)^{1/3} + r_w \quad (4b)
\]

where \(\tau_c\) is the correlation time, \(\eta_w\) the viscosity of water, \(r_H\) the effective radius, \(\overline{V}\) the specific volume, \(M_r\) the molecular
weight, and $r_w$ the thickness of a single hydration sphere for water.$^{[13]}$ Accordingly, the correlation times for the avidin-free ($M_r = \sim 2$ kDa) and avidin-bound ($M_r = \sim 74$ kDa) xenon biosensor was calculated to be $\sim 1.6$ ns and $\sim 30$ ns, respectively.
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**Figure and Scheme Legends**

**Scheme 1.** The five different biotinylated xenon biosensor constructs that were synthesized and characterized.

**Figure 1.** Titration of biosensor 2 with avidin. (a) 140 μM 2 with peaks at 65.1 ppm and 64.5 ppm and linewidths of 24 Hz and 25 Hz, respectively; (b) 126 μM 2, 16 μM avidin tetramer; (c) 109 μM 2, 29 μM avidin tetramer, corresponding to fully titrated biosensor, with peaks at 67.9 ppm and 66.4 ppm and linewidths of 43 Hz and 35 Hz, respectively. All spectra were collected at 23°C, 16 scans. The two biosensor diastereomers shift downfield and only slightly broaden upon avidin binding of construct 2.

**Figure 2.** Titration of biosensor 3 with avidin. (a) 82 μM 3 with peaks at 65.9 ppm and 65.0 ppm and linewidths of 35 and 24 Hz, respectively. (b) 77 μM 3, 20 μM avidin tetramer, corresponding to fully titrated biosensor, with peaks at 67.4 ppm, 66.5 ppm, 65.6 ppm, and 64.5 ppm and approximate linewidths of 55 Hz, 49 Hz, 46 Hz, and 57 Hz, respectively. All spectra were collected at 23°C, 16 scans. Four biosensor resonances appear upon avidin binding of construct 3 from interactions between protein-bound biosensors that are in close proximity.

**Figure 3.** Titration of biosensor 4 with avidin. (a) 72 μM of
construct 4 with peaks at 62.9 ppm and 62.3 ppm and linewidths of 17 Hz and 12 Hz, respectively. (b) 72 µM 4, 18 µM avidin tetramer, corresponding to fully titrated biosensor, with peaks at 67 ppm and 65 ppm and linewidths of ~125 Hz. Both spectra were acquired at 20°C. The protein-free spectrum was acquired with 16 scans and the protein-bound spectrum with 64 scans. The unequal intensities of both diastereomers indicate that their relative positions remain the same after protein binding. The broad protein-bound resonances arise from a short linker that restricts the range of motion of the biosensor.

Figure 4. Titration of biosensor 5 with avidin. (a) 50 µM 5 with two peaks at 66.9 and 66.1 ppm and linewidths of 27 Hz and 31 Hz; (b) 50 µM 5, 13 µM avidin tetramer, corresponding to fully titrated biosensor, with two peaks at 66.9 ppm and 66.0 ppm and linewidths of 24 Hz and 20 Hz. There is no change in chemical shift and a slight decrease in linewidth for construct 5 upon avidin binding due to a long, flexible linker.

Figure 5. Molecular models of the xenon biosensor bound to avidin. (a) Two biosensor 2 molecules (green) bound to avidin (gray, space-filling). The proximity of two biotin binding sites allows for interaction of two biosensor molecules when bound to avidin. The linker of construct 2 keeps the cage within ~23 Å of
the protein surface. (b) One biosensor 4 molecule (yellow) bound to avidin (gray, space-filling). The second biosensor molecule is not shown for clarity. The linker of construct 4 keeps the cage within ~8 Å of the protein surface and in proximity of the second cage and protein surface. (c) Four biosensor 5 molecules (green) bound to avidin (gray, space-filling, blue cartoon backbone). The linker of construct 5 extends the cage ~50 Å from the protein surface.

**Figure 6.** Temperature dependence for $^{129}$Xe NMR spectra of (a) 105 μM avidin-free and (b) 87 μM avidin-bound construct 2*. Spectra for three different temperatures are shown, 35°C (solid gray line), 20°C (dashed line), and 10°C (solid black line). Linewidths of free $^{129}$Xe in solution were between 10 and 20 Hz for all temperatures. Spectra were collected with 4 scans for (a) and 8 scans for (b). At temperatures above 25°C the protein-free biosensor resonance broadens due to xenon exchange. Protein-bound biosensor linewidth is essentially temperature independent over the 10°C to 35°C range.
The xenon biosensor can be used as a sensitive, low-background, magnetic resonance detector for biomolecular events. Here we describe the necessary molecular parameters for obtaining optimal signal-to-noise and chemical shift sensitivity from the protein-bound xenon biosensor.
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**Cover Figure:**
The cover picture shows four xenon biosensor molecules (yellow) bound to the avidin tetramer (gray/blue). Each biosensor binds one hyperpolarized xenon atom (green). The magnetic resonance signal from cage-encapsulated xenon can be used to detect avidin binding with high sensitivity, no background signals, and no interference from other materials in the sample. Optimizing the molecular parameters of the tether between the cage and avidin allows for high sensitivity signal acquisition from protein-functionalized xenon, enabling more sophisticated applications of the xenon biosensor.
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Figure S1. Spectrum of construct 1 in the presence of excess avidin obtained with the bubble-mode apparatus. The single peak is at 66.2 ppm, has a linewidth of 200 Hz, and was collected at 20°C with 32 scans.
Figure S2. Titration of biosensor construct 2* with avidin. (a) 105 µM 2* with peaks at 63.4 ppm and 62.8 ppm with linewidths of 16 Hz and 21 Hz, respectively; (b) 96 µM 2*, 12 µM avidin; (c) 87 µM 2*, 22 µM avidin with peaks at 66.3 ppm and 65.0 ppm with linewidths of 34 Hz and 40 Hz, respectively. The linewidths for 2* are similar to those for 2, but the chemical shift are shifted upfield due to the partial deuteration of cage protons. Spectra were collected at 23°C and 8 scans.
Figure S3. The change in chemical shift with temperature for both diastereomers of avidin-free (circles) and avidin-bound (triangles) construct 2*. The difference in chemical shift between the diastereomers remains constant with temperature at 0.6 ppm for avidin-free and 1.2 for avidin-bound. The temperature dependence of the chemical shift, or slope of the lines, is 0.27 ppm/°C for both forms of construct 2*. The temperature dependence of xenon dissolved in solution was 0.04 ppm/°C. All spectra were referenced to the gas peak. This temperature dependence is the same as that previously observed for cryptophane-encapsulated xenon dissolved in tetrachloroethane.
Figure S4. Temperature dependence of the linewidth for both diastereomers of the avidin-free (a) and avidin-bound (b) forms of construct 2*. Protein-free biosensor linewidth is dominated by exchange above 25°C, but protein-bound linewidth is relatively independent of temperature. Solution linewidth for all samples were between 10 and 20 Hz. The error in linewidth measurements for the avidin-bound form was higher due to lower signal to noise and the small, upfield peak that appears at higher temperatures (Figure 6).
Figure S5. The temperature dependence of the avidin-bound form of construct 3. Spectra for three different temperatures are shown, 13°C (a), 23°C (b), and 33°C (c). At 23°C four peaks are apparent, at 67.4 ppm, 66.5 ppm, 65.6 ppm, and 64.5 ppm with approximate linewidths of 50 Hz each. These lines broaden when the temperature is increased or decreased by 10°C, indicating that two mechanisms of different temperature dependence contribute to linewidth of the protein-bound form of construct 3. Spectra were collected with 16 scans.