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Nowadays online social media becomes the key factor for people to share and exchange information to the community, those real-time social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram connect people to the others all over the world. With these platforms, people are able to share their thoughts and feelings to thousands of people, or even more.

In this thesis, I used data from Twitter to analysis people’s opinion about the India government’s announcement in 2016 for scraping the bank notes of 500 and 1,000 rupee. And try to figure out people’s emotions with their words in their tweets. Thus, the people’s thoughts could be collected in a more efficient way.
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CHAPTER 1

Introduction

The Prime Minister of India has announced on 8th November 2016 that the 500 and 1,000 rupee notes “would be stopped from today” and it was unscheduled. The announcement was controversial in India, but it was difficult for people outside the India to know people’s opinion about it. So, the tweets that discussed about the announcement were collected and I want to visualize it to make the analysis easier. This should be more precise than the questionnaire since the amount of people in the sample is much more than the usual questionnaire.

As of J. A. Barnes coined the word social network in 1954, many social network platforms came out and have some unbelievable growths. Twitter, the famous social network platform now has more than 336 million global active users. With Twitter, people could spread their latest opinions and information to the worldwide. Thus, in this thesis, I would be able to analysis the data from Indian’s perspective with their own words. By analyzing people’s tweets word by word, their thoughts would be clear about the supportive or the opposite side.

The main object of the thesis is to reorganize and visualize the data so that people’s ideas could be explained and viewed in the simpler way. The data was collected from the tweet tag “demonetization” and over 10,000 tweets were collected after the unexpected live announcement.

After the introductory chapter, in Chapter 2 I reorganize the data to be friendly for the upcoming analysis. In Chapter 3 the data is visualized to be easier to catch the trends of the tweeting. The sentiment analysis is done in Chapter 4, focusing on the text of the tweets. The conclusion chapter discusses the results and summarizes the work briefly.
CHAPTER 2

About the Data

The data has over 14,000 observations, each tweet counts as one observation. It includes data such as the number of times that the tweet was retweeted or not, times the tweet was retweeted, and the device information. After importing the data some entries had to be transfer to numerical format for further analysis.

First we exchange the date and time format to be the general format we use for regular analysis, by taking the Year-Month-Day-Hour-Minute-Second mode. Add the new variable Hour to see the trend of the time that people tweeted. Then substitute the numbers in variables “Retweeted” and “isRetweet” to be 0 or 1 for the true-false analysis. Then in order to analysis the time and devices that people used, we need to reorganized the variables “hour” and “statusSource”. Re-size the “hour” variable to be in a data frame and used the “gsub” function to take the data of device source from the “statusSource” variable. The number of the total observations is 14,940.

Figure 2.1: Summary of the Twitter data — Variables
Figure 2.2: Summary of the Twitter data
CHAPTER 3

Data Visualization

After re-format the data in previous chapter, the visualization of the data could start. In this thesis I start by looking at the trend of the tweets in hours. Let the time variable “hour” to be the x-value and the number of total tweets to be the y-value. Would people prefer to retweet more in the first 10 hours? Or prefer to publish original tweets?

Figure 3.1: Trends of Retweets vs. Total Tweets

The above plot shows that people prefer to retweet for all the time! Those two lines on the plot do not have a lot difference which means that not a lot people send original tweets. People likes to retweet the original tweets that have similar opinions with them, and they would spend less time with tweeting since retweets only requires a few seconds. This is the same as the trend of the social network platform. In the early 21st century, people always
wrote blogs which always contains hundreds of words — unlike Twitter (founded in 2006) which would limit the words for a single tweet. From 6 pm to the late night, people are more willing to retweet. This also applies to the 6 am to 7 am frame. Before we analysis the device sources, I would like to make a guess that this is because people are busier during the rush hours that they do not want to type a lot words — a simple retweet would be more convenient.

Now look at the device sources. To support my previous guess, my expected result would be people use smartphones or portable devices to interact with other Twitter users. Thus Android, iOS, and Windows devices should take the most percentage in the chart. Otherwise, the guess must be false.

![Figure 3.2: Summary of the Device Level Breakup](image)

Figure 3.2: Summary of the Device Level Breakup
Table 3.1: Frequency of Device Breakup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>TweetCount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Twitter for Android</td>
<td>7642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter Web Client</td>
<td>2548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter for iPhone</td>
<td>2093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TweetDeck</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter Lite</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Web (M5)</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter for iPad</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter for Window Phone</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OnlyWire / Official App</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter for Window</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFTTT</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Web (M2)</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hootsuite</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrabInbox</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The chart shows that Android devices have the unreachable leading (see Table 3.1 for exact numbers) comparing to the other device sources. And the portable devices are much more than the desktop sources (Web Client and TweetDeck). Although it could not prove that my guess is correct, it somehow support the guess. It also shows that people in India uses Android phones more than the iPhones. These could be used to estimate people’s budget for purchasing new phones, since for most cases iPhone would be much more expensive than Android phones. So when we want to advertising to India Twitter users, we should focus more on products that are close to the prices of Android phones, except those luxury level Android phones.
Next step I would group the users who received most retweeted. Are they general Indian famous stars, or politicians? Would people prefer to retweet famous person’s tweets? If not, then we could guess that the Twitter users are more willing to retweet those who has opinions from their same sides.

![Figure 3.3: Tweets that Got Most Retweets](image)

Searching for these users who received top retweets, most of them are not famous persons that has the Twitter verified sign. That could be use to support the ideas that people on the social media platform would be more often to express their real ideas, while in the real-life they might be influenced by the environment they live in. This is an interesting result that when people are on the internet, since their information would not be provided or they do not have to talk to other people face to face, people are more courage to speak out the thoughts from their own perspectives.

Then check the users who received most replies. Would that be the same person who received the most retweets? The answer is no. Which means that those original tweets that received a lot retweets are not controversial. When people agree with the idea in the tweet, they would prefer to retweet rather than leave the reply.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>User</th>
<th>RepliesReceived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>369</td>
<td>narendramodi</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>421</td>
<td>PMOIndia</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td>sardesairajdeep</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>ArvindKejriwal</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>centerofright</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377</td>
<td>ndtv</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>ANI_news</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>608</td>
<td>timesofindia</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>CNNnews18</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>evanspiegel</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558</td>
<td>Stupidosaurus</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264</td>
<td>jamewils</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>AmmU_MaanU</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>BJP4India</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276</td>
<td>John_Miller(GLR)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>madmanweb</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td>NarendraModi98</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>arunjaitley</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>digvijaya_28</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>dna</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.4: Tweets that Got Most Replies
CHAPTER 4

Sentiment Analysis

After the work in Chapter 3, the data provides more and more information comparing to the raw Twitter data. Now it is the time to run the sentiment analysis. First, I took out the words that are not wanted from the text, such as numbers, punctuation, and white space. Then run the Rsentiment package in R to detect if the word is positive, negative, or neutral (The package would rate sentiment of the sentences). After creates new variables to store the words and summarize the frequencies of each words, use the wordcloud package in R to draw the wordcloud for positive and negative words — to understand people’s thought about the government’s announcement from both sides.

Figure 4.1: Wordcloud of the Positive Sentiment
Besides the wordclouds, I used another sentiment package which is called syuzhet in R to focus on the eight words that express people’s emotions (The eight words are selected by the NRC sentiment dictionary [MT10]). The most frequent word is “trust”. And for the other
words, only “joy” could be considered as a positive emotion. Thus most people is reflecting some negative emotions with the announcement since the percentage of trust and joy is less than the others.

This research could be expanded as we go further with the device sources. What would iPhone customer think comparing to Android phones users? The iPhone has a very specific price that is higher than most of the Android phones. So I splitted the people into different groups by their device sources, and see if the groups would have the same or different opinions based on different perspective. I took the Android group and iPhone group to make the comparison by creating the wordcloud graphs in groups, and the Web group to see the difference between portable device users and computer users.

(a) Positive Sentiment – Android

(b) Negative Sentiment – Android

Figure 4.4: Wordclouds of Android user
The wordcloud figures did not show a lot difference between Android users and iPhone users. People’s consuming preference did not effect their opinions about the bank notes.
suspension. The figure for Web Client also shows that when people were not using portable devices, they would still have the similar ideas.
CHAPTER 5

Conclusion

In this thesis, I run the data of 14,940 Twitter tweets that are collected under the tag of India’s “demonetization”. For many times I have felt that I was able to speak more when I was “online”. Now the world’s trend is to make all information “online” : the news, the music, the TV dramas, and so on. It is good that we could receive information from the other countries easier and faster (and cheaper).

The idea about this thesis is to analysis people’s opinion about the country government’s decision by matching their tweets. And I have also used the data to get some additional information such as the possible purchase power of Twitter users by grouping their devices. With the part visualizing the tweeting hours of the users, I found out that the peak occurs when people are mostly on their way to work or home. These users tend to retweet what they have the same opinions instead of retweeting famous people’s tweets.

The 2016 India demonetization is a controversial topic. Most Indians were really shocked by the unscheduled announcement and they discussed a lot. In the result it shows that most people do not think it was a good news and they became afraid of the subsequent result. Coming to the 2018, we could see that people’s fear is reasonable. The Government did not meet their expectation with the new 500 and 2,000 rupee bank notes. But it is a great example showing that social media platform is turning to become reliable data source for the analysis. It is an upgrade for the traditional questionnaire while people all talk about what they really want to say instead of simply answering specific questions.
5.0.1 Future Thought

And for the people who had positive or negative opinions, it would be interest to find their characteristics for further studies. However, the tweets did not provide information such as sex, age, or location although some users would present these on personal sites and these would not be able to collect when we were looking for tweets under the tag. This is the disadvantage when we are comparing with traditional questionnaire that it could focus on specific groups or ask people to provide some information to help the characteristics process. In order to have some further studies with this topic, I think that the data would need to have some more variables that have to be collected in other ways.