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RACING THE CLOSET*

Russell K. Robinson**

Recently, the media have brought to light examples of ordinary black men who are said to live on the “down low” (or DL) in that they have primary romantic relationships with women while engaging in secret sex with men.1 A central theme of this media coverage, which I will call “DL discourse,” is that DL men expose their unwitting female partners to HIV, which stems from their secret sex with men.2 DL discourse warrants examination because it sits at the intersection of three important civil rights movements: (1) the gay rights movement, (2) the black anti-racist movement, and (3) AIDS activism. In this Article, I critique DL discourse in order to reveal important lessons about media framing, gender schemas, and victimization, and the relationship of all three to law. DL discourse tends to conceal several relevant and interconnected groups, including nonblack men who engage in similar practices, down low women, and women whose sexual relationships are not monogamous or “respectable.” These erasures permit the media to boil the underlying issues down to a battle between two caricatures—dangerous black men and their innocent wives and girlfriends.

The media and the public have applied an insidious racialized double standard to black and white men who engage in similar conduct. The black men who are depicted as having secret sex behind their wives’ backs in DL discourse horrify us, yet we see Ennis and Jack, the star-crossed lovers in the Oscar-nominated, box office hit Brokeback Mountain, as victims of the closet.3 When Governor Jim McGreevey came out as a “gay American,” the empathy that the public felt for his wife Dina did not require casting Jim as a villain. Thus, an important point of this Article is that we attend to our tendency to frame black and white men through radically disparate lenses even when they engage in the same underlying conduct. Juxtaposing what I call “white men on the down low”4 against the stories of all-black depravity featured in DL discourse makes apparent that these media stories race the closet.

Although DL discourse has convinced many readers that the DL is a real and significant phenomenon in the black community, no one has ever proved the prevalence of this practice in black communities or elsewhere. Indeed, it may
be impossible to do so since the very conception of the practice entails secrecy. Asking a man whether he is down low may not produce a reliable answer since DL men, by definition, are perceived as hiding their sexual relationships with men and denying the relevance of their involvement in such sex. Many media stories on the DL fail to quote any actual men on the DL beyond J.L. King, the one man who has built a career on acting as a media spokesperson for the group. Thus, the media set up the DL as a “phenomenon” whose existence can neither be proved nor refuted. In my view, the blossoming of the DL story in major media outlets, despite the lack of identifiable DL men and minimal empirical evidence, speaks to the background stereotypes about black pathology that enable the story to bypass normal expectations of verification.

This Article begins in Part I where I describe the main themes of DL discourse, laying the foundation for Part II, which deconstructs the framing of this discourse. While the media tend to pit black men who have sex with men (or MSM) against black women, framing the former as perpetrators and the latter as passive victims, I reveal often ignored subgroups that destabilize the discourse’s simplistic binary. I also reveal that the victimization of black men is masked by the assumption that only women can be victims. Such frames conceal the common ground of marginalization that black MSM and black women share.

Although I attack media conceptions of black men on the “down low,” and their links to government policies, I do not mean to excuse or justify the behavior of a man (of any race) who lies to his wife or female partner about his sexual relationships with men and exposes her to HIV. While there surely are some men who fit the DL caricature, media discourse on the DL contains little of the complexity, personal struggle, and humanity apparent in the lives of many black men who have sex with men and women and refuse to identify as gay.

In this Part, I describe the most common media narrative concerning the down low. Then in Part II, I show how conspicuous omissions in these stories perpetuate the perception that black MSM are enemies of black women, and I attempt to blur the perpetrator/victim divide delineated by the media.

What is the “down low”? Whether it is used in white-dominated media, such as the New York Times, or black-controlled media, such as Essence, the term “down low” typically refers to men who are “(1) Black, (2) not identifying as gay, (3) having
sex with both men and women, (4) not disclosing their sexual behavior with men to female partners, and (5) never, or inconsistently, using condoms with males and females.” Public health experts state that it is entirely unclear how many men satisfy this definition. Nor has it been established that the DL is more common among black men than men of other races or is a primary reason why HIV rates in black women are high.

After briefly describing the central themes of DL discourse, I will illustrate them by analyzing some prominent examples. Media reports on this “phenomenon” are almost entirely anecdotal and tend to highlight the most alarming examples. The stories consistently frame the DL as a distinctly black issue, rarely even mentioning white men or nonblack men of color. Further, they present as a paradox DL men’s enjoyment of sex with men while denying that they are gay. In addition, they emphasize that the DL involves deception of women, a refusal to wear condoms, and exposure of female partners to a heightened risk of HIV. Moreover, the media tend not to acknowledge that scholars have not been able to pinpoint a single reason as the cause of HIV/AIDS among black women. Scholars have identified multiple factors that likely contribute to the high incidence of HIV/AIDS among black women, including sex between heterosexually identified men in prison who reenter the black community upon release, heterosexual black men who have multiple sex partners, sex work among impoverished black men, and IV drug use, which impacts not just users but also people who sleep with them. Researchers also recently identified an apparent genetic link that may make many African Americans more vulnerable to HIV. Despite these numerous factors, DL discourse tends to omit all factors other than the DL.

Perhaps the most inflammatory example of this discourse is an episode of The Oprah Winfrey Show from April 2004. This episode featured J.L. King, an African American man and author of On the Down Low. In case King’s stories of sleeping with men while being married to and raising children with a black woman were not disturbing enough, Oprah featured two men with even more salacious tales of life on the low. Oprah began the show by stating that “AIDS is on the rise again. Here’s a shocker! It’s one of the big reasons why so many women are getting AIDS. Their husbands and their boyfriends are having secret sex with other men.” Then two men whose identities were obscured provided accounts of their sex lives with women and men:

**Man # 1**

Having a main girl, two other girls on the side and three guys makes
for a lot of sex in the course of a month. I have non-committed sex with men. In no way, shape, or form do I consider myself gay. I just don’t—I refuse to accept that at all. I won’t even use the term ‘bi-sexual’. Being in a relationship with a woman . . . there is a certain warmness; a certain comfort that you just can’t get with another dude. The women I sleep with have not always known that I also sleep with men. In the past, I haven’t told them because it’s a lot easier to just not to [sic] tell.

**Man # 2**

I’m shuffling three guys right now, actually. One is married, the other two gentleman [sic], I am with basically for sex. What we do is very promiscuous . . . very, very, very promiscuous. Sometimes I practice safe sex, sometimes I do not. The married guy, we use condoms all the time. He insists on it. The other two guys, we don’t use condoms. Usually, if I am with a woman, we don’t practice safe sex.

**Man # 1**

For quite a while, I had very bad behavior and had unprotected sex with men, with women.¹⁶

[Later in the show]

**Man # 1**

After I was diagnosed with HIV, my behaviors didn’t change. My behaviors got worse. I hung out in bars and picked up anonymous people. I had unprotected sex with guys, with women. Unfortunately, I would say I most likely have infected other people. I didn’t protect myself or anything else.¹⁷

Presumably, Oprah and her producers selected these men because their stories make for good television. However, they provided no reason for believing that these anecdotes are representative of DL black men in general. Nonetheless, the show perpetuated the notion that DL men are highly promiscuous with men and women and place their own sexual gratification above all else.¹⁸ As author J.L. King said in response to repeated pressing by Oprah to admit that he is gay: “If I was a gay man, I would want to be in a relationship with another man and play house. So when you’re on the D.L., all you want to do is have sex. It’s about gratification, not orientation.”¹⁹ A prominent *New York Times Magazine* story tells a similar story: “DL culture . . . place[s] a premium on pleasure. It is, DL guys insist, one big party . . .
Even as DL discourse sets up a divide between black female victims and black MSM perpetrators, it grants only certain women access to the role of victim. Several categories of women are either expunged or shrouded because they would complicate the divide and present more complex and realistic images of black women. These marginalized women include those who knowingly sleep with an MSM, including bisexual women who might prefer or be comfortable with a bisexual man, and women who choose to stay with an MSM even after learning about his interest in men. The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) found that 12% of young men who disclose their sexual orientation (i.e., out gay or bisexual men) reported having one or more female sex partners within the last six months. Moreover, half of these men acknowledged having unprotected vaginal or anal sex with at least one female partner in the last six months. A study by Richard Wolitski and others found that one-third of the men who self-identified as DL reported that their main partners were females who knew they had sex with male partners. These findings counter several deeply entrenched assumptions in DL discourse: (1) out men do not sleep with women; (2) women would not sleep with a man if they knew he had sex with men; and (3) to the extent that a woman would sleep with such a man, she would certainly demand that he use a condom because of the risk of HIV.

A central problem with DL discourse is its tendency to assume that all or most male-female sex occurs in the context of committed relationships, which is evident from its failure to discuss other sexual arrangements. The implicit and misplaced assumption is that every black woman—or every black woman who matters—is in a relationship that she views as committed and monogamous. The Wolitski study of self-identified DL men, however, found that “few DL-identified MSM in this study currently had a female main partner—most female partners reported by these men were nonprimary partners.” The various forms of male-female relationships that fall outside of marriage or committed partners, whether called “hooking up,” “friends with benefits,” or “maintenance sex,” are not even mentioned in most DL discourse. The failure to acknowledge women in such situations, especially in black-controlled media, seems to arise from their failure to conform to a traditional, “respectable” image of female sexuality.
People sometimes have sex without asking questions about their partner’s sexual history or other potential contemporaneous partners. Thus, in addition to the group of women who know about a man’s involvement with men, there are others who do not know because they do not ask. If they are simply hooking up for a night or two, women may choose not to ask about a man’s other sexual involvements. Even if she did ask, such a woman might reason, she cannot expect full candor from someone she just met or knows only casually. Sex outside the context of a long-term relationship typically carries fewer markers of trust and reliability about the risk one takes on by becoming sexually involved.

Another woman who receives little attention in most DL discourse is the woman who chooses to stay with her husband after she learns of his involvement with men. The New York Times revealed such women in a story that focused on white couples and did not mention the DL. The article identified “Brokeback marriages,” named after the acclaimed, groundbreaking movie Brokeback Mountain, which depicted two men who fell in love and maintained a clandestine sexual relationship while they were married to women. According to the founder of the International Straight Spouse Network, a group that counsels people with queer spouses, one-third of the wives who contact the network stay with their husbands. And half of those marriages last for at least three years. One woman in the story formally divorced her husband, yet later reconciled with him and permitted him to continue having sex with men. Another decided to keep her marriage intact but began having extramarital relations like her husband. In light of Senator Larry Craig’s conviction for soliciting sex from a male police officer, some would put his wife in this category of women who choose to stay.

In sharp contrast to the framing of most DL stories, the Brokeback marriages article assiduously avoids placing the blame on the men in such marriages and instead revealed the complex motivations animating the decision making of the husbands and wives. Consider the following passage:

On the whole these are not marriages of convenience or cynical efforts to create cover. Gay and bisexual men continue to marry for complex reasons, many impelled not only by discrimination, but also by wishful thinking, the layered ambiguities of sexual love and authentic affection. “These men genuinely love their wives,” said Joe Kort, a clinical social worker in Royal Oak, Mich., who has counseled hundreds of gay married men, including a minority who stay in their marriages. Many, he said, considered
themselves heterosexual men with homosexual urges that they hoped to confine to private fantasy life.

“They fall in love with their wives, they have children, they’re on a chemical, romantic high, and then after about seven years, the high falls away and their gay identity starts emerging,” Mr. Kort said. “They don’t mean any harm.”

Although the conduct of the men in Brokeback marriages is indistinguishable from that of DL men, the New York Times treats white men on the DL with a compassion and generosity that I have never seen in a DL story. Kort even suggests that the women who marry gay men bear some responsibility for the marriages: “Straight people rarely marry gay people accidentally,” he wrote in a case study of a mixed-orientation marriage published [September 2005] in Psychotherapy Networker. Some women, Mr. Kort said, find gay men less judgmental and more flexible, while others unconsciously seek partnerships that are not sexually passionate.

One need not accept Kort’s essentialized conceptions of gay men to find that he raises a valid question. Some women may be drawn to gay or bisexual men (consciously or unconsciously) because of their own psychological reasons. Like many parents who raise queer children, a wife might know and yet not let herself see that her mate is gay. When lawyer/TV host Star Jones announced her marriage to Al Reynolds, rumors swirled that Reynolds had a gay past. Rather than denying that he was gay, the couple released a statement that some understood to imply that, on some level, Jones knew and accepted Reynolds’ past. Former New Jersey Governor Jim McGreevey and his wife Dina are locked in a divorce battle that pivots largely on whether Dina knew her husband was gay. Dina has tapped the deep reservoir of sympathy for wronged wives by writing a book and promoting it with media appearances, including one on The Oprah Winfrey Show. But her husband and his chauffeur, Teddy Pedersen, charge that Dina knew of his sexual interest in men because they all engaged in “three-way” sex on a regular basis before the McGreeveys’ marriage and afterward. Pedersen, who identifies as heterosexual, claims that his involvement was necessary for Jim to be interested in having sex with his wife. If this is true, Dina either knew or should have known that her husband was not heterosexual. Black women may face even greater pressure to stay with an unfaithful man than their white counterparts because of the perceived lack of “good black men” and the community expectation that each black person is responsible for fostering the fragile black family. This obligation may lead some black women to overlook their male partner’s infidelities, whether they are with women or men.
Finally, lesbian and bisexual women are overlooked in DL discourse. Followers of celebrity gossip know that a few high-profile Hollywood couples have been rumored to be closeted gays and lesbians in marriages of convenience. Whether these rumors are true or not, there likely are some women who marry a gay man in order to avoid their own interest in women. Out bisexual women, by contrast, may seek a bisexual man because he is more likely to understand her sexuality and less likely to be threatened than a heterosexual man. In such situations, a bisexual woman might see a man’s sexual interest in men as a benefit, not a burden. For example, in one qualitative study of men who have sex with men and women, one subject reported that he came out to a female sex partner for the first time because she was bisexual and he anticipated that she would be comfortable with his bisexuality.40

The dominant explanation of the down low is that it reveals that there are many black gay men who remain closeted because of the extreme homophobia of the black community.41 The perceived prevalence of such men encourages black women to root out men who are thought to be posing as straight but are actually gay. Although homophobia certainly is a factor, the central flaw in this account is that it denies the existence of genuine bisexuality, even though many men (black and otherwise) attest to experiencing significant sexual attraction to both sexes.42 A recent study indicated that over one million men identify as bisexual, almost as many as identify as gay.43 Studies suggest that black men and other men of color are more likely to report having had sex with both men and women than white men.44 However, many heterosexual and homosexual-identified people believe that men are either gay or straight—there is no room for something in between. A prominent New York Times article, entitled Straight, Gay or Lying? Bisexuality Revisited, advanced this belief and attempted to ground it in science.45 The article reported on Sexual Arousal Patterns of Bisexual Men, a controversial 2005 study by Gerulf Rieger, Meredith L. Chivers and J. Michael Bailey.46 The study attempted to measure sexual arousal patterns in self-identified bisexual men by attaching a gauge to each man’s penis to measure its circumference and then showing each man clips of adult films.47 All men were required to watch several two minute sexual clips, which were sandwiched in between two neutral, relaxing clips. Two sexual clips depicted two men having sex with each other; two other clips depicted two women having sex.48

The results revealed discordance between the bisexual men’s self-reported arousal during the sexual clips and the report of the gauge. While the bisexual
men expressed in their self-reports substantial attraction to both the male-male and female-female clips, the circumferential gauge indicated that bisexual men were as likely as homosexuals and heterosexuals to have “much higher arousal to one sex than the other.” The authors concluded that “most bisexual men appeared homosexual with respect to genital arousal, although some appeared heterosexual.” The New York Times treated this study as corroboration of the statement by some gay men that a man is either “gay, straight or lying.” Based on this logic, to identify as bisexual is to reveal oneself as a liar, because real bisexuals do not exist.

However, a response by the National Gay and Lesbian Task Force (NGLTF) suggests that the Times glossed over the study’s various limitations as well as aspects of the findings that complicate this facile interpretation. First, the study measured only one aspect of sexual orientation, sexual desire as reflected in an erection. The researchers did not seem to recognize that not all sexual attraction instantly produces an erection. Indeed, with respect to about one-third of the subjects, the gauge did not detect a sexual response to any of the clips. As NGLTF commented:

Since the [Times] article quotes one of the authors as saying, ‘that for men arousal is orientation,’ does this mean that more than one-third of the participants had no sexual orientation? Any mechanical device that purports to accurately assess a condition and is unable to do so one out of three times is surely suspect.

Second, the study’s phallocentric conception of sexual orientation cannot account for emotional attachments, which many people see as central to their sexuality. Third, the Rieger study obtained its sample from advertisements in “gay oriented magazines.” Moreover, it required subjects to identify as “bisexual.” Thus, the study says little about the many bisexually behaving MSM who shun gay culture and a bisexual label and identify as heterosexual, DL, or reject any sexual label at all. Fourth, oddly the study’s measure of heterosexuality was not a clip of a naked woman but of two women having sex. Some bisexual men may not have responded to the female clip not because they are not aroused by women, but because they are not turned on by depictions of two women having sex. Finally, perhaps the most striking finding of the study is that about one-quarter of bisexual-identified men showed minimal attraction to men. While the “‘bisexual,’ but really gay” stereotype enjoys wide currency, there is no popular explanation for why a man with heterosexual patterns of sexual attraction would choose to
identify as bisexual and take on an immense social stigma. One real possibility is that the study imperfectly captured men’s sexual attraction. In the end, rather than putting to rest the notion that real bisexual men exist—as suggested by the Times’s headline—the study seems to raise more questions than it answers. Yet, as with DL discourse, there is a danger that the public will absorb media reports that rest on an unsteady empirical foundation because they are congruent with prevailing stereotypes.

The dominant stereotype of bisexuality in men is that evidence that a man has had sex with men is treated as conclusive proof that he is immutably and eternally gay and, further, any past romantic relationships with women were just a charade.58 This model fits the experiences of many in the dominant white gay male community (and many out black men) and also dovetails nicely with the mainstream gay rights movement’s political strategy of proving that queer people are born gay.59 Frequently drawing on race as an analogy, the movement forcefully argues that queer people must be accepted for who they are because, like blacks, they were “born that way.”60 The immutability claim attempts to show the futility of trying to change queer people and simultaneously alleviates heterosexual anxieties that queer people want to convert straight adults and children. As politically effective as this argument may (or may not) be,61 it does not jibe with the significant community of men who have sex with men at some point in their lives but identify as “straight,” “str8,” “bisexual,” “bicurious,” “Same Gender Loving,” “in the life,” “questioning,” “homothug,” and “DL,” among others, or who simply refuse to accept any sexual identity label and assert that they have genuine sexual and emotional attraction to women and men.62 Contrary to the implication of the DL discourse, this is no tiny fringe of people. Indeed, studies of human sexuality suggest that the category of men who have had sex with men but do not identify as gay is as large or larger than the category of men who self-identify as gay.63 A 2002 CDC survey asked a nationally representative sample of men and women: “Do you think of yourself as heterosexual, homosexual, bisexual, or something else?”64 Just 2.3% of men identified as homosexual. A larger group, 3.9%, chose “something else.”65 In addition, 1.8% identified as bisexual, and the same percentage did not answer the question.66 It seems fair to assume that the men who chose “something else” and probably a good number of those who failed to answer are not entirely heterosexual since adopting a heterosexual identity carries no stigma. One could read the large percentage of men who picked “something else” over “bisexual” to reflect their awareness that bisexuality is not a realistic space for men to occupy—to identify as a bisexual man is to mark oneself as a dishonest
gay man. The “something else” finding also may reveal the discomfort that many men of color have with the terms “gay,” “homosexual,” and “bisexual.” The study concluded that “[i]t is noteworthy that 73 percent of Hispanic or Latino men, and 7.5 percent of black men, reported that their sexual orientation was ‘something else,’ and another 3-4 percent of each group did not report an answer to the question.”

Public attitudes toward men who identify as bisexual may be even more negative than attitudes toward gay men. Bisexual men face condemnation not just from heterosexuals but also from homosexuals. The primary response to bisexual conduct and identification among men (and perhaps to MSM who refuse to label their sexuality) is essentially one of false consciousness. Bisexual men may say they are attracted to women, the argument goes, but that is just to avoid the full-on stigma of being perceived as gay. If there were no social pressure to be straight, they would confess that their genuine desire is to be with men and only men. All of the sex, long-term relationships, and even marriages that these men have shared with women are thus dismissed as a sham, motivated by social pressure rather than genuine sexual and emotional attraction. However, studies reveal that even openly gay men sometimes sleep with women. As discussed earlier, the CDC found that more than one in ten out young men reported having at least one female sex partner in the last six months. This finding suggests that even some men who openly identify as gay or bisexual may enjoy sex with women. If a significant number of out men, who have little social capital to gain from post-coming out sex with women, engage in such sex nonetheless, they are presumably motivated by genuine sexual/emotional desire.

Clearly, there are a number of men who at some point in their lives said they enjoyed sex with women and identified as bisexual and yet later came to identify as gay and minimize their attraction to women. Because many gay men have experienced this sexual identification trajectory, they may misapprehend it to be the only trajectory for MSM. They might assume that their experience is representative of all men’s experiences and be skeptical of men who express interest in women and men. The very creation of a gay male community may serve to distort the perceptions of the prevalence of this bisexual-to-gay narrative. Gay enclaves are organized primarily around providing opportunities for men to meet male sexual partners and to consume gay culture (i.e., gay gyms, gay clubs, gay clothing stores). As a result, men who have a strong interest in women (in addition to their interest in men) are likely to be less interested in spending their time
exclusively in a male-centered enclave where potential heterosexual female sex partners are few.74 As I have written elsewhere, the organization of a community around gay male sexuality is not infrequently coupled with hostility to women.75 Moreover, there is a circular nature to this phenomenon. Bisexual men, anticipating skepticism and hostility from gay men in such male-centered spaces, avoid those spaces because they do not feel welcome or identify with gay culture. To the extent that such men congregate in gay spaces, they may “cover” their bisexuality. Hence, gay men immersed in gay enclaves and gay culture come across fewer genuinely bisexual men and come to doubt that such men exist. The upshot is that men who are exclusively interested in men dominate gay enclaves, and this most visible group of MSM often perceives itself and is perceived by the general public as representative of all nonheterosexual men.76

The existence of genuine bisexuality in men matters because it suggests that some of the men who lead DL lives are not closeted gay men but rather men whose desires and behavior do not fit the reductive and simplistic conceptions of sexuality that are prevalent among gay and straight people. Some DL men may not be gay or straight; they might be “something else.” The sexual binary pressures such men to hide their interest in men because any expression of sexual interest in men is likely to be read by their wives or girlfriends as a disclosure of gay identity. In short, to the extent that DL men are genuinely bisexual or have a sexuality that does not fit any well-worn label, their failure to disclose their sexuality to women may not be driven by a gratuitous desire to deceive or harm their female partners but by the reality that their sexual desire, as they conceive and experience it, is unintelligible in contemporary U.S. culture.77 Moreover, a black man who does not fit the heterosexual-homosexual binary is likely influenced by the knowledge that disclosing his sexuality will invite another form of discrimination, in addition to race-based discrimination, and that it may be more stigmatizing than simply coming out as gay, which at least is often understood as a legitimate if disfavored identity.78
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