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ABSTRACT

The infrared spectrum of C₂H₇⁺ has been obtained from 2500-4200 cm⁻¹. The apparatus consists of a tandem mass spectrometer with a radio frequency octopole ion trap. After initial mass selection of the C₂H₇⁺ in a sector magnet, the C₂H₇⁺ is spectroscopically probed using a two color laser scheme while trapped under ultra-high vacuum conditions. The first laser is scanned from 2500-4200 cm⁻¹ and excites a C-H stretching vibration. The second laser is a cw CO₂ laser and is used to dissociate the vibrationally excited parent ions through a multiphoton process. The fragment ion C₂H₅⁺ is detected using a quadrupole mass spectrometer. Two sets of spectral features were studied that showed different dependence on the backing pressure in the ion source, on the mixing ratio of hydrogen to ethane, and on the presence or absence of the second laser. Arguments are presented that the two groups of bands can be assigned to the classical and bridging structures of C₂H₇⁺.
I. Introduction

Gas-phase ion spectroscopy, though still a relatively young field, can now be used to probe molecular ions of increasing complexity by making use of improved technology and novel approaches. One of these new methods, recently developed in our laboratory, uses a two color laser scheme to probe the mass selected ions trapped in a radio frequency octopole field. The first laser is a tunable infrared laser that is used to excite the vibrations of the molecular ion of interest. The second laser is a CO$_2$ laser that is used to dissociate the vibrationally excited parent ion. By monitoring the formation of fragment ions as a function of the frequency of the tunable laser it is possible to obtain the infrared spectrum. This technique has not only allowed us to study the vibrational spectrum of hydrated hydronium ions, but also allowed us to study the pentacoordinated carbonium ion C$_2$H$_7$+. 

A great deal of effort has been expended to study carbonium ions. In the early work, a need arose to explain rearrangement of carbonium ions, such as norbornyl cation in solution. Hydrogen migration to form a σ-bonded intermediate was proposed. This intermediate, which contains a 2-electron 3-center bond, was given the name "nonclassical" ion. A pioneer in these studies was S. Winstein. In 1952, he reported an interesting solvolysis study of endo- and exo-norbornyl arylsulfonates.$^{2,3}$ Acetolysis yields the exo-norbornyl acetate, independent of whether the starting diastereomer was
of the endo- or exo- configuration. In a complementary experiment, solvolysis of optically active exo-norbornyl p-bromobenzenesulfonate gave a racemic product mixture which was shown by the loss of optical activity.$^3$ A third observation was the enhanced rate of reaction of the exo-isomer over the endo-isomer.$^3$ All of these anomalies could be explained by a bridged structure for the norbornyl cation containing a pentavalent carbon. Bridged structures such as this, that have delocalized bonding $\sigma$-electrons, are now known as nonclassical ions.$^4$

This concept of nonclassical ions was generally accepted when applied to the norbornyl and many other systems until 1962, when H. C. Brown strongly objected.$^4,^5$ He claimed that scientific scrutiny had been bypassed in the general labeling of many ions as nonclassical. Other theories could also explain the anomalous behavior, so the nonclassical interpretation did not need to hold for every case.

Brown proposed alternative explanations for the controversial experimental observations.$^5$ One possibility was that two interchanging asymmetric classical structures go through a nonclassical structure momentarily. This indeed seemed to be possible for a number of systems where an asymmetric product is obtained from an isotopically tagged reactant with negligible scrambling of the tag.$^6$ This test is only suggestive, not conclusive, and will only be informative when the equilibration of the two distinctly tagged structures
is not more rapid than the identification process chosen. Another possibility was that the bridged form was a transition state with a very low barrier allowing facile rearrangement. Brown also believed a clarification needed to be made between $\sigma$-bridging and hyperconjugation. G. Olah has also emphasized this point. In both $\sigma$-bridging and hyperconjugation a $\sigma$-bond orbital and $p$ orbital overlap to allow electron delocalization involving that $\sigma$ bond. When there is little or no rearrangement of the nuclei, this is called hyperconjugation. When there is extensive nuclear reorganization, this is called bridging. In 1975, G. Olah proclaimed that he had proven the existence of the nonclassical norbornyl cation using NMR techniques and settled that question.

Subsequently, the problem to be addressed then becomes, which carbonium ions have this nonclassical structure? Candidates include the pentacoordinated protonated ethane, and non-saturated alkanes such as protonated acetylene and protonated ethylene. Classical and bridged structures for these three carbonium ions are shown schematically in Fig. 1.

$C_2H_7^+$ was seen in the 1960's with a discharge of methane and/or ethane in mass spectrometric studies. It was clear that $C_2H_7^+$ easily dissociated to give $C_2H_5^+ + H_2$ products. Olah et al. observed pentacoordinated carbon cations in acid solution using NMR. This pointed out the importance of carbonium ions in solution chemistry. Blair et al. observed $C_2H_7^+$ in an ion trap using a continuous electron beam to trap
the ions through negative space charge. The quantity of $C_2H_7^+$ increased with trapping time.

In the early 1970's, Lathan, Hehre, and Pople\textsuperscript{14} calculated the relative energies of bridged and classical forms of $C_2H_3^+$, $C_2H_5^+$, and $C_2H_7^+$. They used Molecular Orbital-Self Consistent Field (MO-SCF) theory with small (STO-3G, 4-31G) basis sets and concluded that the classical structure of $C_2H_3^+$ was more stable by far compared to the bridged conformer. The classical form of $C_2H_5^+$ was also more stable than the bridged form (by 7 kcal/mole). However, calculations on $C_2H_7^+$ showed the bridged structure to be more stable by almost 10 kcal/mole. Hariharan, Lathan, and Pople\textsuperscript{15} later found a preferential stabilizing effect of polarization functions on the bridged form over the classical. For $C_2H_3^+$, the classical structure was still found to be more stable, but by only 5.7 kcal/mole. In the case of $C_2H_5^+$, however, the bridged structure became the more stable conformer by ~1 kcal/mole when d-functions were included on C and p-functions on H.

Meanwhile, Chong and Franklin\textsuperscript{16} made the controversial claim that $C_2H_7^+$ was less stable than $C_2H_5^+ + H_2$ and invoked an activation barrier to explain observation of $C_2H_7^+$. This led to a paper by Bohme et al.\textsuperscript{17} in disagreement contending that $C_2H_7^+$ was more stable than $C_2H_5^+ + H_2$. They used CO and $C_2H_4$ to bracket the proton affinity of ethane between 136 and 159 kcal/mole.
Zurawski, Alrichs, and Kutzenigg\textsuperscript{18} produced a landmark paper in 1973 when the effects of electron correlation were studied using the independent-electron-pair approximation based on the direct calculations of pair-natural orbitals (IEPA PNO). Polarization functions were again found to be very important to stabilize the bridged structure, and electron correlation further preferentially stabilized the nonclassical form by an equally substantial amount. They found the bridged structure to be more stable than the classical for both $C_2H_3^+$ and $C_2H_5^+$ (by 7 and 9 kcal/mole, respectively). The IEPA method was also used to calculate the relative energies of the $C_s$ and $C_{2v}$ geometries in $CH_5^+$ by Dyczmons and Kutzenigg.\textsuperscript{19} Whereas at the SCF level the $C_s$ structure was more stable, including electron correlation energy led to equal stabilities of the two. Semi-empirical methods were used in 1975 by Bischof and Dewar\textsuperscript{20} to calculate the relative stabilities of $C_2H_7^+$. They found the classical form more stable by 15 kcal/mole. This is clearly at odds with \textit{ab initio} calculations. Nevertheless, Bischof and Dewar came out in strong defense of the MINDO/3 method. This led to work by Kohler and Lischka\textsuperscript{21} comparing SCF, Coupled Electron Pair Approximation (CEPA) PNO, and MINDO/3 calculations on the $C_2H_7^+$ ion. At the SCF and CEPA PNO levels, the bridged structures were more stable by 8.5 and 6.3 kcal/mole, respectively. However, at the MINDO/3 level, the classical $C_2H_7^+$ structure was more stable than the bridged form
by 11 kcal/mole. This shows the inadequacy of the MINDO/3 method in calculating relative energies for protonated ethane.

Very influential experiments from Kebarle's lab came out in 1975-1976.22-25 French and Kebarle22 studied the pyrolysis of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$ and found an activation energy of 10.5 kcal/mole for dissociation into $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ + \text{H}_2$. They concluded that $\text{PA}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_6)$ was greater than $\text{PA}(\text{CH}_4)$ by more than 10 kcal/mole. Assuming the activation energy for the reaction equals the enthalpy change, they found $\Delta H_f(\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+) = 208.5 \pm 2$ kcal/mole and $\text{PA}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_6) = 137.4 \pm 2$ kcal/mole. This was confirmed by experiments done by Hiraoka and Kebarle25 in which they claimed to observe both the classical and nonclassical forms as a function of temperature. At the lowest temperature range they found the reaction of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$ had an inverse temperature relationship implying it was exothermic. At higher temperatures, the temperature dependence became positive suggesting an endothermic relationship. Analyzing these temperature dependences led to the conclusions shown in Fig. 2. The bridged structure is more stable than the classical by 7.8 kcal/mole. This leads to $\Delta H_f(\text{bridged } \text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+) = 207.2$ kcal/mole, $\Delta H_f(\text{classical } \text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+) = 215.0$ kcal/mole, and $\text{PA}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \rightarrow \text{bridged } \text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+) = 139.6$ kcal/mole, $\text{PA}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \rightarrow \text{classical } \text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+) = 131.8$ kcal/mole. These values are summarized in Table I.

An experimental study by Houle and Beauchamp26 of the difference between the adiabatic and vertical ionization potentials of ethyl radical led to an estimated 3 kcal/mole
difference between the bridged and classical structures of \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ \)
with the bridged being lower. Their assumption was that a
vertical ionization of ethyl radical would lead to the
classical structure of ethyl cation whereas the adiabatic
ionization would end with the lower energy bridged structure
which necessitates a large geometry change. Houle and
Beauchamp obtained a heat of formation for \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ \) of 219.2
\( \pm 1.1 \) kcal/mole. This is in some disagreement with the value
of 215.3 \( \pm 1.0 \) kcal/mole found by Baer,\textsuperscript{27} who did a
photoionization and photoion–photoelectron coincidence (PIPECO)
study of \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ \) formation. It is conceivable that the very large
geometry change between the ethyl radical and the bridged ethyl
cation makes it impossible to obtain a true adiabatic
ionization potential experimentally. Gellene, Kleinrock, and
Porter\textsuperscript{28} took the reverse approach in that they started with
the ethyl cation and neutralized it using various metals. They
claimed that 87-90% of their \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ \) beam was the bridged
structure. From this they put a lower bound on the difference
in energy between the bridged and classical structures as 0.8
kcal/mole. Mackay, Schiff, and Bohme\textsuperscript{29} studied the energetics
and kinetics of the protonation of ethane. They obtained
\( \Delta H_f(\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+) = 204.8 \pm 1.3 \) kcal/mole and \( \text{PA(C}_2\text{H}_6) = 142.1 \pm 1.2 \)
kcal/mole, which presumably corresponds to the bridged form.

More recent theoretical calculations have generally
concluded that the bridged structure is more stable than the
classical for all three of the carbonium ions: \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_3^+ \), \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ \), and
$C_2H_7^+$. The energies for the two structures are closest for $C_2H_3^+$. Weber and McLean,\textsuperscript{30-31} using configuration interaction (SDCI) and a double zeta plus polarization (DZ+P) basis set, found bridged and classical $C_2H_3^+$ structures to have energies within 1-2 kcal/mole, with the bridged form probably lower. Kohler and Lischka\textsuperscript{32} included electron correlation using CEPA and found the bridged structure of protonated acetylene lower by 3.5-4.0 kcal/mole. Raghavachari, Whiteside, Pople, and Schleyer\textsuperscript{33} found the bridged form lower by \textasciitilde3.0 kcal/mole, and more recent calculations by Hirao and Yamabe\textsuperscript{34} found the bridged structure of $C_2H_3^+$ to be lower by 1.30 kcal/mole using the symmetry-adapted-cluster method with zero point energy correction (SAC + ZPE). These values are in close agreement with the most recent calculations by Lee and Schaefer\textsuperscript{35} who found an energy difference of 0.97 kcal/mole using CISDT (DZ + P). Calculations on $C_2H_5^+$ found larger energy differences. Lischka and Kohler\textsuperscript{36} found the bridged structure lower by 7.3 kcal/mole using the \textit{ab initio} CEPA method and 8.0 kcal/mole using semiempirical MINDO/3. Raghavachari et al.\textsuperscript{33} found the classical structure was not a minimum on the potential surface at the best level of theory considered (MP4(SDQ) with a reoptimization of the geometries at the MP2 level). Using the geometries optimized at the HF level and MP4(SDQ), the bridged structure was lower than the classical by 5.2 kcal/mole. Hirao and Yamabe\textsuperscript{34} found an energy difference of 4.11 kcal/mole. Following this trend, the bridged structure of $C_2H_7^+$ was also
found to be significantly more stable than the classical structure. Raghavachari et al.\textsuperscript{33} found an energy difference of 6.8 kcal/mole, while Hirao and Yamabe\textsuperscript{34} found the bridged form lower by 4.0 kcal/mole. These results are also summarized in Table I.

In the last few years, calculations of vibrational frequencies have been done. Raine and Schaefer\textsuperscript{37} calculated vibrational frequencies, intensities, and geometries using SCF with a DZ + P basis set of both the classical and nonclassical forms of C$_2$H$_3^+$. This was followed by a calculation by Lee and Schaefer\textsuperscript{35} at a higher level of theory that gave similar results on vibrational frequencies. The motivation for repeating this calculation was to aid in the assignment of the spectrum experimentally observed by Crofton and Oka.\textsuperscript{38} This infrared spectrum is now assigned as essentially that of the bridged structure, possibly with some complications associated with tunneling between the nonclassical and classical forms. DeFrees and McLean\textsuperscript{39} also completed vibrational frequency calculations of protonated acetylene. In addition, they calculated frequencies for nonclassical C$_2$H$_5^+$ and CH$_5^+$. In 1987, Komornicki and Dixon\textsuperscript{40} calculated frequencies, intensities, and structures for CH$_5^+$. M. Dupuis\textsuperscript{41} has probably done the highest level calculation on CH$_5^+$ to date. At our request, Dupuis\textsuperscript{41} has also calculated frequencies and intensities for classical and bridged protonated ethane. The frequencies, intensities, and assignments will be discussed later.
The intriguing work of Kanter, Vager, Both, and Zajfman should also be mentioned. They succeeded in experimentally determining the structure of $C_2H_3^+$ through a Coulomb explosion of the $C_2H_3^+$ ion caused by the sudden loss of several electrons. The arrival times of the three protons and two carbon ions are detected both with X-Y spatial resolution and temporal resolution and analyzed to give average geometries. They find the nonclassical structure dominates their sample of $C_2H_3^+$.

Thus, it seems certain that the lower energy structure after protonating acetylene, ethylene, and ethane is the nonclassical bridged form. Our work concentrated on the spectroscopy of protonated ethane. After a description of the experimental setup and spectra obtained, convincing arguments will be presented to show that spectra have been obtained for both the bridged and classical structures. Table II lists bond lengths and angles for both forms of $C_2H_7^+$ as calculated by Hirao and Yamabe and by Dupuis.

II. Experimental Details

The apparatus used has been described previously. A schematic of the machine is given in Fig. 3. Briefly, the ions are formed in a corona discharge and then mass-selected in a sector magnet. The ion under study is then trapped in an octopole radio-frequency ion trap for 1 msec during which time they are interrogated by a tunable IR laser. Since the density of $C_2H_7^+$ in the trap is not high enough to allow the direct
measurement of photon absorption, the vibrational excitation of \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+ \) was detected by using a cw CO\(_2\) laser to dissociate vibrationally excited \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+ \) into \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ + \text{H}_2 \). The \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ \) ions are then selected by a quadrupole mass filter and counted by a Daly scintillation ion counter.\(^{44}\)

In this experiment, it was seen that the spectrum was strongly dependent on the mixing ratio and backing pressure behind the nozzle. Hydrogen:ethane ratios were systematically varied from 55,000:1 to 8,700:1. Backing pressures used were 60 torr, 90 torr, and 150 torr. The gases used were Matheson ultra-high purity hydrogen (99.999%) and pure ethane from Spectra Gases (99.99%). In spite of this high purity, we found it helpful to remove residual water by flowing the gas through a molecular sieve trap (Linde 13X molecular sieve, 10 Å pore diameter) cooled in a dry ice/acetone bath before allowing the gas to enter the source.

A schematic of the corona discharge ion source is shown in Fig. 4. The discharge is struck between the nickel-plated iron needle and the copper walls of the source. The distance between the needle and the source body was 0.069 ± 0.003 in. The source body was composed primarily of copper for more efficient cooling from a refrigerant which was introduced from outside the machine and used to cool a copper block that was clamped around the base of the source. For this experiment, Freon-22 was used to cool the source to -28°C. This was necessary to freeze out impurity water contained in the gas.
inlet line. At the highest pressure, contamination was more of a problem and the source had to be warmed up after running for only a few hours to allow the condensed water to be pumped off from the surfaces.

After the discharge and before the nozzle is a small high pressure drift region which allows the ions to cool vibrationally through multiple collisions. A supersonic expansion through a 75μm nozzle cools the rotational temperature to ≤40K. About 7.5 mm downstream from the nozzle is a skimmer which separates the first and second regions of differential pumping. Typical pressures before and after the skimmer are 1.1 × 10^{-4} torr and 8.4 × 10^{-6} torr when run with a backing pressure of 90 torr. The voltage bias of the nozzle and skimmer were kept within 1.0 V of each other to minimize collisional heating by accelerating ions in this higher pressure region.

In the lower pressure region after the skimmer, the ions are gradually accelerated to a kinetic energy of 350 eV which they maintain (through the sector magnet) until directly before the ion trap. In order to keep the machine at ground potential, the ion source and associated optics and the ion trap are floated at +350 V. A stack of 12 evenly spaced plate lenses decelerate the ions before entering the octopole trap. In the trap, their kinetic energy is less than 0.5 eV. The octopole trap consists of eight molybdenum rods 50 cm long, 0.32 cm diameter, evenly spaced on a 1.25 cm diameter circle.
Alternate rods have, at any moment, opposite phases of rf applied with typically 300 V peak-to-peak and a frequency of 7.4 MHz.

The tunable infrared laser is a Quanta-Ray Infrared Wavelength EXTender system (IR-WEX) which is scanned from 2490-4150 cm\(^{-1}\). The infrared wavelength is generated in a lithium niobate crystal which takes the difference of a Quanta-Ray pulsed dye laser (PDL) output and Nd:YAG fundamental. Scanning is achieved by a computer controlled motor system which steps the drive for the dye laser grating. In the high resolution scans, an etalon was placed in the Nd:YAG cavity which reduced the IR linewidth from 1.2 cm\(^{-1}\) to 0.3 cm\(^{-1}\). Pulse duration was 10 nsec with typically 0.5 mJ/pulse at 2800 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1 mJ/pulse at 3940 cm\(^{-1}\).

The WEX output was combined with a cw MPB Technologies Inc. CO\(_2\) laser using a custom designed beam combiner on a ZnSe substrate (CVI Laser Corporation). The CO\(_2\) laser was run on the R(10) line of the 00\(^0\)1-10\(^0\)0 band with 6-8 W at the output of the laser and with 3-4 W passing completely through the machine. This was used to selectively dissociate those ions vibrationally excited by the WEX. The method of selective dissociation of vibrationally excited C\(_2\)H\(_7^+\) is mainly based on the difference in the density of states near \(v = 0\) and \(v = 1\) which causes enhanced multiphoton dissociation (MPD) of \(v = 1\) as compared to \(v = 0\). Because spectroscopy is done in this apparatus through detection of fragment ions, it is important
to have a strong correlation between vibrational excitation of parent ions and formation of fragment ions. This has been achieved in this experiment by monitoring the fragment ion \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ \).

III. Results

All of the \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+ \) spectroscopy was done by monitoring formation of \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ \) as a function of the WEX frequency. The spectra obtained from 2500 to 3400 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) at 60, 90, and 150 torr and a mixing ratio of 45,000:1 hydrogen to ethane are shown in Fig. 5. The five features at lower frequencies (2521, 2601, 2683, 2762, and 2825 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \)) disappear with increasing source backing pressure while the higher frequency features (2945, 3082, and 3128 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \)) remain unaffected. The intensities of the peaks to the red of 2900 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) also drop dramatically when the amount of ethane compared to hydrogen is increased by a factor of 5 (\( \text{H}_2: \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \) of 8,700:1). Yet a third way to distinguish the two sets of features is to block the \( \text{CO}_2 \) laser and have only the WEX passing through the machine. This causes the higher frequency peaks to disappear, but leaves the peaks below 2900 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) apparently unaffected. This implies that some of the parent ions which are associated with the five features below 2900 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) are able to predissociate after the absorption of a single tunable IR photon. These results are summarized in Table III.
The scanning range was extended later to cover the frequencies from 3400 cm\(^{-1}\) to 4200 cm\(^{-1}\) as shown in Fig. 6. An additional band at 3964 cm\(^{-1}\) was also found to be dependent on the source conditions used. Also, several more features were seen that were not dependent on the backing pressure. A broad intense band centered around 3845 cm\(^{-1}\) dominates this region. Two sharp features at 3667 and 3917 cm\(^{-1}\) are also quite prominent. The features at 3726 and 3762 cm\(^{-1}\) are much less intense. All five of these features appear pressure independent as seen in Fig. 6. In contrast to earlier results, however, these features are independent of whether the CO\(_2\) laser is on or off. Frequencies of all of the experimentally observed peaks are given in Table III. Both the backing pressure dependence and CO\(_2\) laser dependence are also included.

Attempts at higher resolution spectra were made by inserting the etalon in the YAG yielding approximately a factor of four reduction in linewidth. The bands centered at 2945.4 and 2762.2 cm\(^{-1}\) showed no resolvable structure within the signal-to-noise level, although their band envelopes are distinctly different. However, the band at 3964.0 cm\(^{-1}\) is clearly separated into a P, Q, R sub-band structure as shown in Fig. 7.

IV. Discussion

The obvious question from the observed spectra is why there seems to be two sets of peaks based on pressure
dependence. They can either come from hot bands or from the presence of two $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$ structures. The features below 3400 cm$^{-1}$ will be considered separately from those above.

A. 2400-3400 cm$^{-1}$

From energetic considerations, hot bands would be expected to need less energy to dissociate in agreement with the above described observations for these features below 2900 cm$^{-1}$. Note that three of the bands below 2900 cm$^{-1}$ are more intense than the strongest C-H stretch. We expect that the observed spectral intensities reflect the true intensities fairly well. This assumption depends on the following two facts to be true. First, no frequency dependence is introduced in the multiphoton excitation process by the CO$_2$ laser. This is most probably the case since no change in the spectrum is observed when the CO$_2$ laser is blocked. Second, the dissociation rate is fast enough that the variation upon excitation of different modes will not affect the observed spectrum. This is also a valid assumption since the RRKM lifetime is many orders of magnitude shorter than the 1 msec trapping time. This makes the hot band hypothesis seem unlikely. In order to have a hot band intensity be stronger than the fundamental, the excitation of the "hot" bend or stretch would have to significantly increase the transition moment of the C-H stretching vibration, since a population inversion is probably impossible.
The most likely reason is the presence of both the bridged and classical structures. The classical structure is higher in energy than the bridged by 4-8 kcal/mole.\textsuperscript{25,33,34} This lends credence to the idea that the bridged structure will not dissociate after being excited by the WEX unless the CO\textsubscript{2} laser is on, whereas the classical structure can dissociate. Thus, the five features below 2900 cm\textsuperscript{-1} seem to arise from the classical structure, and the features between 2900 and 3300 cm\textsuperscript{-1} from the bridged structure.

The five features at 2521, 2601, 2683, 2762, and 2825 cm\textsuperscript{-1} can be made to disappear both by raising the backing pressure and by increasing the relative amount of C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} to H\textsubscript{2}. At the higher pressures, more collisions will occur behind the nozzle which logically leads to more efficient internal cooling of the nascent ions. This leads to strongly enhanced formation of the lower energy bridged structure over the classical form. An analogous argument can be made for the case with a higher C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}:H\textsubscript{2} ratio, but the same backing pressure. Even though the number of collisions will be approximately the same, internally excited C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{7}\textsuperscript{+} is much more efficiently cooled through collisions with an ethane molecule than with a hydrogen molecule. Several mechanisms can contribute to this increased efficiency.\textsuperscript{46,47} Due to the closer vibrational frequency match between C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{7}\textsuperscript{+} than between H\textsubscript{2} and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{7}\textsuperscript{+}, V - V transfer from the hot C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{7} will occur much more readily to ethane. Another factor is that ethane has a larger polarizability than hydrogen. This leads
to an increased collision cross section through long-range ion-induced dipole forces. But, the most important quenching mechanism of the classical structure is likely to be proton transfer from a classical C₂H₇⁺ to a C₂H₆ to form the more stable bridged C₂H₇⁺. If this exothermic mechanism is not very efficient during the cooling process, some of the classical C₂H₇⁺ will be trapped in the classical form and will not have enough energy to overcome the 5 kcal/mole barrier to isomerize into the bridged form.

M. Dupuis has calculated vibrational frequencies for both the bridged and classical forms of C₂H₇⁺. Comparison of his theoretically calculated vibrational frequencies with the experimental spectrum further supports the bridged/classical theory. Referring to Table IV one sees that the SCF calculation for the bridged structure predicts six features above 2500 cm⁻¹ with frequencies 3271, 3274, 3420, 3422, 3466, and 3466 cm⁻¹. These frequencies are expected to be 10% too high. Scaling them down yields the frequencies 2944, 2947, 3078, 3080, 3119, and 3119 cm⁻¹. Comparison with the experimental frequencies and intensities leads to the assignment of the 2945 cm⁻¹ feature as mostly ν₆, the 3082 cm⁻¹ to ν₃ and ν₄, and the 3128 cm⁻¹ to ν₁ and ν₂. The agreement between the theoretical and experimental frequencies is excellent.

A comparison with the theoretical vibrational frequencies for the classical structure is also encouraging. The
calculated frequencies and intensities are listed in Table V. The C-H bonds were treated at the SCF level, but the \( \text{CH}_2 \text{H}_2 \) group was treated at the correlated level. Because of the difficulty of this calculation caused by the weakly bound \( \text{H}_2 \) group, absolute values for the frequencies may not be reliable. However, the separation between the asymmetric \( \text{CH}_a \) and \( \text{CH}_b \) peaks and the symmetric \( \text{CH}_a \) and \( \text{CH}_b \) peaks may prove more informative. This appears to be the case. The five experimental frequencies 2521, 2601, 2683, 2762, and 2825 cm\(^{-1}\) can be tentatively assigned to the five scaled frequencies 2935, 2995, 3027, 3041, and 3091 cm\(^{-1}\). The experimental separation between the asymmetric \( \text{CH}_a \) and \( \text{CH}_b \) is 63 cm\(^{-1}\) as compared to a theoretical value of 50 cm\(^{-1}\). The separation between the symmetric \( \text{CH}_a \) and \( \text{CH}_b \) peaks is 80 cm\(^{-1}\) for the experimental data and 60 cm\(^{-1}\) for theory. Also note the similarity in the experimental band shapes between the asymmetric \( \text{CH}_b \) (2825 cm\(^{-1}\)) and symmetric \( \text{CH}_b \) (2601 cm\(^{-1}\)) bands. Both have clearly resolved shoulders shifted to the red side by 25-30 cm\(^{-1}\) with \(^{-1}/3\) the intensity of the main very sharp peak. Comparing the band shapes of the asymmetric \( \text{CH}_a \) (2762 cm\(^{-1}\)) and symmetric \( \text{CH}_a \) (2521 cm\(^{-1}\)) also shows similar envelopes. They also have shoulders but the red shift is only 7 cm\(^{-1}\) and thus not well separated, and their intensities compared to the main peak are larger.

Thus, it seems that based on a comparison of calculated peak separations and experimental separations and on band
contours, the assignment of the experimental features between 2500 and 2900 cm\(^{-1}\) to the classical structure is reasonable. The most troubling aspect of this assignment is the magnitude of the experimentally observed red shift of the C-H stretches which is not predicted by theory. The C-H stretches in ethane lie between 2895 and 2985 cm\(^{-1}\). A red shift of close to 400 cm\(^{-1}\) is, at first, quite disconcerting. Looking at the situation more carefully, if the classical structure of C\(_2\)H\(_7\)^+ can be represented as a C\(_2\)H\(_5\)^+ loosely attaching a H\(_2\), then the C-H stretches observed would correspond to vibrations in the C\(_2\)H\(_5\)^+ moiety. Much work has been done on the correlation between C-H stretch frequencies, bond lengths, and bond dissociation energies.\(^{48}\) Caution must be taken when using bond energies to predict vibrational frequencies for cases when the bond dissociation accompanies a significant stabilization energy from the product formed. This leads to a smaller dissociation energy than the frequency alone would seem to indicate. In this particular case, the stabilization energy does not seem to interfere. Instead, the primary complication comes from the interaction of the C-H bonds to give antisymmetric and symmetric stretching modes. The work quantitating a linear relationship between C-H frequencies and dissociation energies uses "isolated" frequencies which are found by deuterating all hydrogens except the one under study. Nonetheless, it is still expected that an estimate for the dissociation energy will serve as a guide for a reasonable
first approximation to the stretch frequency. Using values from Table I for the difference between the classical and bridged $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+$ forms, a C-H bond energy in the classical structure of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+$ is calculated to be 82-86 kcal/mole. This is significantly weaker than that in ethane (97.4 kcal/mole) or methane (103.4 kcal/mole). Therefore, a significant red shift in the C-H stretch frequencies in the classical $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$ structure from those in ethane and methane is expected. From an equation given in Ref. 48, a C-H bond energy of 84 kcal/mole is predicted to correspond to a stretch frequency of 2770 cm$^{-1}$. This is between the two observed absorptions that have been assigned to antisymmetric CH$_a$ and CH$_b$ modes. Apparently, the classical structure of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$ as calculated by Dupuis has the H$_2$ moiety more strongly bound to the $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+$ then the structure our experiment suggests, which can be described as $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+\cdot\text{H}_2$.

B. 3400-4200 cm$^{-1}$

Among the six features above 3400 cm$^{-1}$, the peaks at 3667, 3726, 3762, 3845, and 3917 cm$^{-1}$ showed no stagnation pressure dependence whereas the one at 3964 cm$^{-1}$ disappeared at higher pressures. The CO$_2$ laser was not necessary for any of these features. This lack of CO$_2$ laser dependence can be explained by realizing that the absorption frequencies (3667 to 3917 cm$^{-1}$) correspond to energies (10.5-11.2 kcal/mole) very close to the expected dissociation energy (13 kcal/mole). If the ions initially contain 2-2.5 kcal/mole internal energy or
if the dissociation energy measured by Kebarle\textsuperscript{25} is too high, then the CO\textsubscript{2} laser photons would not be necessary. Based on the vibrational frequencies calculated by Dupuis, an internal energy of 2-2.5 kcal/mole only requires an average vibrational temperature of 400-450 K. Even though the source is cooled with chloro-difluoromethane (Freon-22), a vibrational temperature after the discharge slightly above room temperature is possible.

The fact that the feature at 3964 cm\textsuperscript{-1} disappears simultaneously with the five features below 2900 cm\textsuperscript{-1} strongly suggests that they originate from the same form of C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{7}\textsuperscript{+}. Therefore, the peak at 3964 cm\textsuperscript{-1} is assigned to a classical C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{7}\textsuperscript{+} structure. The five features between 3600 and 3950 cm\textsuperscript{-1} show no pressure dependence, and thus all originate from the more stable bridged C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{7}\textsuperscript{+} structure. The fact that these features do not require the CO\textsubscript{2} laser to be present is not an issue here as it was at the lower frequencies as has been discussed above.

Theory does not predict any fundamentals for either the classical or bridged structure above 3600 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{41} The highest frequency in the classical structure should correspond to the H\textsubscript{1}-H\textsubscript{2} stretch vibration of the H\textsubscript{2} moiety which is bound to the C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}\textsuperscript{+} unit. The unscaled frequency for this motion is 3516 cm\textsuperscript{-1} as compared to 3171 cm\textsuperscript{-1} for CH\textsubscript{5}\textsuperscript{+} at a comparable level of theory.\textsuperscript{41} (At higher levels of theory this mode is predicted to lie at 2797 and 2813 cm\textsuperscript{-1} for CH\textsubscript{5}\textsuperscript{+}.)\textsuperscript{41,40} The calculated
geometries of the classical $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$ and $\text{CH}_5^+$ are consistent with
the frequency shift. The C-H$_1$ and C-H$_2$ bond distances are
longer in $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$ (1.295 and 1.315 Å$^{41}$) than in $\text{CH}_5^+$ (1.261 Å$^{41}$,
and the H$_1$-H$_2$ bond distance is shorter in $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$ (0.824 Å$^{41}$
0.833 Å$^{34}$) than in $\text{CH}_5^+$ (0.853 Å$^{41}$ 0.882 Å$^{34}$ 0.869 Å$^{40}$).
Thus, it's clear that the H-H stretching vibration in $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$ will
be significantly to the blue of that in $\text{CH}_5^+$. Our results
indicate that rather than being shifted by only a few hundred
wavenumbers, the H-H stretching vibration has actually been
shifted close to a thousand wavenumbers to 3964 cm$^{-1}$. This
again suggests a weaker H$_2$ and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+$ interaction that causes the
H-H stretching frequency to be closer to that of free H$_2$. In
our previous work on the hydrogen cluster ions H$_n^+$ (n = 5, 7, 9,
11, 13, 15)$^{50,51}$ and on the hydrated hydronium cluster ions
H$_3\text{O}^+\cdot(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\cdot(\text{H}_2)_{m}$,$^{52}$ we were able to detect the H-H stretching
vibration in the region from 3900-4120 cm$^{-1}$. The red shift of
the H-H stretching vibration from free H$_2$ (4161 cm$^{-1}$) was a
gauge of the binding energy of the H$_2$ moiety to the rest of the
ion. The most strongly bound cluster ion was H$_5^+$ which requires
-6 kcal/mole$^{53}$ to dissociate into H$_3^+ +$ H$_2$. The red shift of
the H$_2$ stretch was also greatest at 251 cm$^{-1}$ placing the H$_2$
stretch at 3910 cm$^{-1}$. The H$_7^+$ ion was bound by roughly 3
kcal/mole$^{53}$ and showed a red shift of only 181 cm$^{-1}$ putting it
at 3980 cm$^{-1}$. Since the classical $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$ is estimated to have a
binding energy of -4 kcal/mole,$^{25}$ this places it between H$_5^+$ and
H$_7^+$. A first approximation to the H$_2$ moiety frequency, then, is
between 3910 and 3980 cm\(^{-1}\). Our peak observed at 3964 cm\(^{-1}\) fits neatly into this range.

This feature was also scanned at higher resolution as shown in Fig. 7. A P, Q, R band shape emerges. C\(_2\)H\(_7^+\) is a near symmetric top with the C-C bond as top axis. For such a molecule, a transition with a changing dipole moment parallel to the C-C axis would show a P, Q, R band shape. The rotational constant, B, is calculated from the classical C\(_2\)H\(_7^+\) geometry to be 0.55 cm\(^{-1}\). In fact, the ripples on top of the P and R branches are spaced by 1.1 cm\(^{-1}\), in excellent agreement with the expected 2B separation. Using assignments based on these facts, a rotational temperature of 20K is obtained.

The five features between 3600 and 3950 cm\(^{-1}\) are believed to originate from the bridged structure. The six highest calculated frequencies\(^{41}\) correspond to C-H stretches and can be grouped into three quasi-degenerate pairs. The next highest frequency, \(\nu_7\), corresponds to a side-to-side motion of the bridging proton with a scaled frequency of 2074 cm\(^{-1}\) and huge intensity of 20 D\(^2\)/(Å\(^2\).amu). The first overtone of this should have an observable intensity and lie close to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\). We tentatively assign the feature at 3917 cm\(^{-1}\) to this first overtone. The peak observed at 3667 cm\(^{-1}\) has a similar band contour to the feature at 3917 cm\(^{-1}\). The frequency corresponding to the motion of the bridging proton away from the C-C bond is calculated to lie at 1786 cm\(^{-1}\). This leads to preliminary assignment of the 3667 cm\(^{-1}\) band as the combination
band of the motion of the proton side-to-side and away from the C-C bond ($\nu_7 + \nu_8$). The two smaller features at 3726 and 3762 cm$^{-1}$ are separated by 36 cm$^{-1}$, close to the separation of the fundamental C-H stretches observed at 3082 and 3128 cm$^{-1}$. The band contours and relative heights at the three pressures of these two pairs also matches well. This leads to an initial assignment to the combination band of these C-H stretches and $\nu_{17}$ which is calculated to have a very strong intensity of 10 D$^2/(\text{Å}^2\cdot\text{amu})$. Even a tentative assignment of the broad feature at 3845 cm$^{-1}$ is difficult. The best guess at this point is a combination band of $\nu_7 + \nu_{14} + \nu_{17}$. The reason for the unusual broadness is unknown. These preliminary assignments are listed in Table VI. Encouraging is the fact that the difference between the experimental frequencies and the theoretical frequencies with no anharmonic correction is between 193-257 cm$^{-1}$ for binary combinations and 321 cm$^{-1}$ for the ternary combination. Because the bridged structure calculated for $C_2H_7^+$ has $C_2$ symmetry, no combination bands or overtones can be ruled out on the basis of symmetry.

V. Conclusion

The spectrum of $C_2H_7^+$ has been presented. The spectrum shows a strong dependence on both the ratio of ethane to hydrogen and on the backing pressure used. Evidence has been presented in support of our belief that the different behavior can be attributed to the changing ratio of classical to bridged
protonated ethane being probed spectroscopically. The observed infrared frequencies are compared with predicted frequencies for the classical and bridged structures.
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TABLE I. Relative energies of the classical (cl) and bridged (br) structures of C$_2$H$_3^+$, C$_2$H$_5^+$, and C$_2$H$_7^+$. Heats of formation for C$_2$H$_7^+$ and proton affinities for C$_2$H$_6$. Units are kcal/mole.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>C$_2$H$_3^+$ cl-br</th>
<th>C$_2$H$_5^+$ cl-br</th>
<th>C$_2$H$_7^+$ cl-br</th>
<th>H$_f$ C$_2$H$_7^+$</th>
<th>PA C$_2$H$_6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lathan et al.</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>140.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hariharan et al.</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chong and Franklin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>218.8±1</td>
<td>127.0±1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bohme et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>136&lt;PA&lt;159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zurawski et al.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bischof and Dewar</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>cl 199.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohler and Lischka</td>
<td>MINDO -11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cl 138.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCF 8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEPA 6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>br 143.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French and Kebarle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>br 208.5±2</td>
<td>br 137.4±2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiraoka and Kebarle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cl 215</td>
<td>cl 131.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>br 207.2</td>
<td>br 139.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houle and Beauchamp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackay et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber and McLean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lischka and Kohler</td>
<td>MINDO 5.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>(3.5-4.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEPA</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohler and Lischka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raghavachari et al.</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirao and Yamabe</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee and Schaefer</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Reference 15.
c. Reference 16.
d. Reference 17.
e. Reference 18.
g. Reference 21.
h. Reference 22.
i. Reference 25.
k. Reference 29.
l. Reference 30 and 31.
m. Reference 36.
n. Reference 32.
o. Reference 33.
p. Reference 34.
q. Reference 35.
TABLE II. Bond lengths and bond angles of the bridged and classical forms of protonated ethane as given by Hirao and Yamabe (Ref. 3), and Dupuis (Ref. 41). Bond lengths are given in Å, and bond angles are in degrees. H₉ and H₇ are on opposite carbons and H₈ is the bridging proton in the nonclassical structure. In the classical structure, the two hydrogens in the H₉ moiety are labeled 1 and 2. The other two hydrogens on the same carbon are labeled H₆. Two of the hydrogens on the opposite carbon are labeled H₆ and the third is labeled H₆.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridged</th>
<th>Hirao and Yamabe</th>
<th>Dupuis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-C</td>
<td>2.209</td>
<td>2.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-H₉</td>
<td>1.239</td>
<td>1.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-H₆, C-H₇</td>
<td>1.073-1.079</td>
<td>1.073-1.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₉ to center of C-C</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-H₆-C</td>
<td>126.2°</td>
<td>116.5°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classical</th>
<th>Hirao and Yamabe</th>
<th>Dupuis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-C</td>
<td>1.525</td>
<td>1.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-H₆</td>
<td>1.081</td>
<td>1.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-H₆</td>
<td>1.082</td>
<td>1.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-H₇</td>
<td>1.086</td>
<td>1.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-H₁, C-H₂</td>
<td>1.267</td>
<td>1.295, 1.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₁-H₂</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₁-C-H₂</td>
<td>38.4°</td>
<td>36.8°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III. Experimentally observed vibrational frequencies of \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+ \). Frequency units are cm\(^{-1}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Shows pressure dependence</th>
<th>Remains when CO(_2) is blocked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3964.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3917</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3845</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3762</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3726</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3667</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3128</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3082</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2945.4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2825</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2762.2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2683</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2601</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2521</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV. Vibrational frequencies and intensities of the \text{C}_7\text{H}^+\text{ bridged structure. Frequencies are in cm}^{-1}\text{, intensities are in D}^2/(\text{Å}^2\text{-amu}). Theoretical frequencies are from M. Dupuis, ref. 41. Scaled frequencies are in parentheses. Predicted symmetry is C_2\text{.}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\nu)</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Calc. freq</th>
<th>Int. freq</th>
<th>Observed freq.</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3466</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{a}, CH\textsubscript{b} asym stretch, out-of-phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3119)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3466</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>3128</td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{a}, CH\textsubscript{b} asym stretch, in-phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3119)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3422</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{a}, CH\textsubscript{b} asym stretch, in-phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3080)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3420</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>3082</td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{a}, CH\textsubscript{b} asym stretch, out-of-phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3078)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3275</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{a}, CH\textsubscript{b} sym stretch, in-phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2947)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3272</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>2945.4</td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{a}, CH\textsubscript{b} sym stretch, out-of-phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2944)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2305</td>
<td>20.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bridging proton side-to-side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2074)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bridging proton - away from C-C bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1786)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{a}, CH\textsubscript{b} deformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1210)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td></td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{a}, CH\textsubscript{b} rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(900)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE V. Vibrational frequencies and intensities of the C\textsubscript{2}H\textsuperscript{7}\textsuperscript{+} classical structure. Frequencies are in cm\textsuperscript{-1}, intensities are in D\textsuperscript{2}/(Å\textsuperscript{2}·amu). Theoretical frequencies are from M. Dupuis, ref. 41. Scaled frequencies are in parentheses. Predicted symmetry is C\textsubscript{s}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Calc. freq</th>
<th>Int.</th>
<th>Observed freq.</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ν</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A'</td>
<td>3516</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>3964.0</td>
<td>H\textsubscript{1}-H\textsubscript{2} stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3164)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A''</td>
<td>3434</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2825</td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{b}-CH\textsubscript{b} asym stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3091)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A''</td>
<td>3379</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2762.2</td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{a}-CH\textsubscript{a} asym stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3041)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A'</td>
<td>3363</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2683</td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{a}, stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3027)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A'</td>
<td>3328</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2601</td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{b}-CH\textsubscript{b} sym stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2995)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A'</td>
<td>3261</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2521</td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{a}-CH\textsubscript{a} sym stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2935)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE VI. Preliminary assignments of the combination bands for the bridged structure of C₂H₇. Frequencies are in cm⁻¹. Scaled frequencies from ref. 41 are used with no anharmonic corrections. Predicted symmetry is C₂v.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental frequency</th>
<th>Preliminary assignment</th>
<th>Theoretical frequency</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3917</td>
<td>2ν₇</td>
<td>4148</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3845</td>
<td>ν₇ + ν₁₄ + ν₁₇</td>
<td>4166</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3762</td>
<td>ν₂ + ν₁₇</td>
<td>4019</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3726</td>
<td>ν₄ + ν₁₇</td>
<td>3978</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3667</td>
<td>ν₇ + ν₈</td>
<td>3860</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1  Schematic of bridged and classical structures for protonated acetylene, ethylene, and ethane.

Fig. 2  Energy diagram based on the thermochemical studies of Ref. 25 for the bridged and classical forms of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$.  

Fig. 3  Schematic of the experimental apparatus.

Fig. 4  The ions are created in a corona discharge ion source. Typical conditions are described in the text.

Fig. 5  Spectrum of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$ from 2500-3300 cm$^{-1}$ taken using a hydrogen to ethane ratio of 45,000:1 at the backing pressures indicated. The features which disappear with increasing pressure are due to the classical structure of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$. The bands which are independent of pressure are from the more stable bridging structure of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$.

Fig. 6  Spectrum of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+$ from 3400-4100 cm$^{-1}$ taken using a hydrogen to ethane ratio of 45,000:1 at the backing pressures indicated. The feature at 3964.0 cm$^{-1}$ dis-
appears with increasing pressure and is assigned to the H-H stretch of the classical structure of C$_2$H$_7$.

Fig. 7 Higher resolution scan of the band centered at 3964.0 cm$^{-1}$. P, Q, R branches are emerging with some partial rotational resolution. The vibration excited is the H-H stretch in the classical structure of C$_2$H$_7$. 
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**Fig. 1**
\[ \text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+ \text{ (classical)} \]

\[ \text{C}_2\text{H}_7^+ \rightarrow \text{CH}_3^+ + \text{CH}_4 \]
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