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Neutro-neutron Scattering Length from a Comparison of
the $^2\text{H}(p,n)2p$ and $^2\text{H}(n,p)2n$ Reactions*

R. J. Slobodrian, H. E. Conzett, and F. G. Resmini

Abstract: A study of the reaction $^2\text{H}(p,n)2p$ is reported which re-

resolves the discrepancy among the values of the $^1\text{S}_0$ n-n scattering

length extracted by three different groups from the mirror reaction

$^2\text{H}(n,p)2n$. The value $a_n = -16.7^{+2.6}_{-3.0}$ fm obtained is now consist-

ent with results from the $^2\text{H}(\pi^-,2n)\gamma$ and $^3\text{H}(d,^3\text{He})2n$ reactions.

Studies of the differential energy spectra of the reaction $^2\text{H}(n,p)2n$

near 14 MeV (laboratory energy) have yielded values for the $^1\text{S}_0$ neutron-

neutron scattering length which display a considerable spread:

$$a_n = -21.7 \pm 1 \text{ fm}, \quad -23.6^{+2.0}_{-1.6} \text{ fm}, \quad \text{and} \quad -14 \pm 3 \text{ fm},$$

where the assigned error in Ref. 1 was due only to statistics. References 1

and 3 used similar analyses appropriate to a long-range process that results

from the large spatial extension of the deuteron. Thus, the disagreement be-

tween those two results is unexplained, and its clarification is important to

the validity of the assumption of charge symmetry in the nucleon-nucleon inter-

action. Voitovetskii et al. used a formalism based on Feynman diagrams.

The result near the high energy end of the proton spectrum is similar to that

of the Watson-Migdal treatment which is, however, more appropriate for a

short-range process. It has been pointed out that an analysis based on either
the long-range assumption\(^4\) or on a more rigorous three-body theory\(^8\) would give a smaller value of \(a_n\). Figure 1a shows that the three sets of data are self-consistent within the experimental errors, statistical uncertainties, and differences in resolution. Thus, the different values obtained for \(a_n\) result from differences among the analyses employed. An experimental test of the theoretical formulations is clearly desirable.

In contrast, a study\(^9\) of the reaction \(^3\)H\((d,^3\)He\)2n near 32 and 40 MeV has provided a value

\[
a_n = -16.1 \pm 1.0 \text{ fm}
\]

The validity of the Watson-Migdal theory employed in this work was verified through analysis of data from the mirror reaction \(^3\)He\((d,t)2p\). The deduced proton-proton scattering length agreed with the value known from low-energy \(p-p\) scattering.

Similarly, study of the reaction \(^2\)H\((p,n)2p\) can provide a test of the theory used in the analysis of data from the reaction \(^2\)H\((n,p)2n\). Spectra at 30 and 50 MeV\(^{10}\) from \(^2\)H\((p,n)2p\) obtained with 1.4 and 2.0 MeV resolution respectively have been fitted quite well with the impulse-approximation of R. J. N. Phillips\(^4\), although the relatively poor resolution reduced the sensitivity of the fits to variations of the scattering length. Data have also been obtained at 14.1 MeV\(^{11}\) and at 8.9 MeV,\(^{12}\) and they are in qualitative agreement with the impulse approximation prediction.

We have studied the \(^2\)H\((p,n)2p\) reaction near 20 MeV laboratory energy, using protons from the Berkeley 88-inch variable-energy cyclotron. The target was gaseous deuterium, 99.9% pure, at one atmosphere pressure, enclosed in a
cell with 13 mg/cm^2 aluminum entrance and exit windows. The neutron detection was accomplished with a proton-recoil spectrometer. The overall resolution of the system, as determined empirically with the reaction ^1^4_N(p,n)^1^4_O, was 600 keV in the relevant high energy region of the spectrum. Spectra were measured between 5° and 12° in the laboratory. They showed a small anisotropy of the neutron peak, in agreement with other experiments. Figure 2a and 2b show the high energy region of the spectra obtained at 5° and 8° in the laboratory.

The differential energy spectrum can be written as

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma}{dE d\Omega} = \frac{2\pi}{h} \frac{1}{v_i} \sum_{\text{spins}} |T_{if}|^2 \rho$$

where $T_{if}$ is the transition matrix element, $\rho$ is the density of final states, and $v_i$ is the velocity of the projectile.

In general, $T_{if} = \int \Psi_f^* V \Psi_i \, dt$, where $V$ is the interaction causing the transition. In a reaction of the form

$$A + B \rightarrow X + 2N$$

the final-state interaction of the two-nucleon pair (2N) in a $^1S$ state of low relative energy results in a peak at the high energy end of the spectrum of particle $X$. Restricting our discussion to that region of the spectrum, and assuming that there the effect of the interaction between $X$ and $N$ is negligible, one can factor the wave function $\Psi_f = \Psi_{2N}^R \phi_R \Psi_X^R$, where $\phi_R$ describes the relative motion between $X$ and the 2N system. For $r \geq b$, where $b$ is the radius at which the internal and external wave functions are matched,
\[ \Psi_{2n} = e^{i\delta} (\sin kr + \delta)/kr \]

and

\[ \Psi_{2p} = e^{i\delta} [F_0(kr) \cos \delta + G_0(kr) \sin \delta]/kr \]

where \( k \) is the relative N-N momentum in units of \( \hbar \), \( \delta \) is the \( ^1S_0 \) phase shift, and \( F_0(kr) \) and \( G_0(kr) \) are the regular and irregular Coulomb S-wave functions. Thus, we have

\[ T_{if} = \frac{e^{-i\delta}}{k} \int (f_n \phi R_X)^* \Psi_1 d\tau \]

for \( nn \) \hspace{1cm} (2a)

\[ = \frac{e^{-i\delta}}{k} g_n(\theta,k) \]

\[ T_{if} = \frac{e^{-i\delta}}{kC} \int (f_p \phi R_X)^* \Psi_1 d\tau \]

for \( pp \) \hspace{1cm} (2b)

\[ = \frac{e^{-i\delta}}{kC} g_p(\theta,k) \]

with

\[ f_n(k,r) = (\sin kr \cot \delta + \cos kr)/r \]

\[ = f_n^0(k,r) \]

\[ f_p(k,r) = C[F_0(kr) \cot \delta + G_0(kr)]/r \]

\[ = f_p^0(k,r) \]

where \( C^2 = 2\eta/(\exp 2\eta - 1) \), \( \eta = e^2/(\hbar v) \), \( v \) is the p-p relative velocity, and \( \delta \) is the c.m. angle of particle X. Equations (2) reduce to the Watson-Migdal short-range approximation and to the long-range impulse approximation under the appropriate assumptions.
For $r \leq b$ and for the small values of $k$ which are important here, $\psi_{2n}$ and $\psi_{2p}$ are equal to within a few percent and, in any case, contribute little to $g_n$ and $g_p$ because of the small overlap with the deuteron wavefunction contained in the initial state, $\psi_1$. Also, it can be seen that $f_n$ and $f_p$ have a remarkably similar energy dependence in the important range of values of $kr$. Consequently, for mirror reactions at equivalent center of mass energies, we assume that

$$g_n(\theta, k) = \text{Const.} \cdot g_p(\theta, k) \quad (3)$$

An experimental determination of $|g_p|^2$ thus provides a $|g_n|^2$ which can be used in the analysis of $nn$ final-state spectra. The important point is that this obviates the need for a direct calculation of $g_n$ via (2a). Therefore, the necessary approximations and uncertainties of such a calculation are eliminated. As an example, Fig. 1c shows a verification of (3) in the context of the calculation of Phillips.

We have compared our $^2\text{H}(p,n)2p$ spectra with those calculated from (1) and (2b), using the $^1S$ effective range expansion for $s$, with the known scattering length, $a_p = -7.7$ fm, and effective range, $r_e = 2.63$ fm. This provides an experimental determination of $|g_p|^2$. With $|g_n|^2$ given by (3), a comparable analysis was made of the statistically best $nn$ final-state data. Figure 1b shows the resulting best fit calculation, with

$$a_n = -16.7^{+2.6}_{-3.0} \text{ fm}.$$ 

The probable errors were determined from a $\chi^2$ criterion with a fixed (optimum) value for the spectrum endpoint energy.
The value of $a_n$ deduced in our analysis of these $^2\text{H}(p,n)\alpha p$ and $^2\text{H}(n,p)\alpha n$ data is consistent with the values $a_n = -16.4 \pm 1.3$ fm and $a_n = -16.1 \pm 1$ fm determined respectively from the $^2\text{H}(\pi^-,2n)\gamma$ and $^3\text{H}(d,^3\text{He})\alpha n$ reactions.

We are grateful to A. D. Becher for his contributions to the experiment and for a critical reading of this manuscript, to W. F. Tivol for his help in taking data, and to the 88-inch cyclotron staff for their very efficient assistance.

*This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.*
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Figure Captions

Fig. 1a. Experimental spectra of the reaction $^2\text{H}(n,p)2n$ near 14 MeV. Triangles correspond to Ref. 1, circles to Ref. 2, and squares to Ref. 3. The data of Refs. 1 and 3 were energy shifted to superimpose them properly on the data of Ref. 2, for comparison purposes.

Fig. 1b. The dots are the $^2\text{H}(n,p)2n$ data of Ref. 2 corrected with the form factor $|g_n(\theta,k)|^2$ obtained from the mirror reaction $^2\text{H}(p,n)2p$. The solid line is a plot of the best fit with $a_n = -16.7$ fm. The dashed line is a plot of the form factor $|g_n(\theta,k)|^2$ as a function of the energy of the third particle (proton).

Fig. 1c. Form factors from the calculation of Ref. 4 are indicated with solid lines, the dashed line is the ratio $|g_p|^2/|g_n|^2$. The dash-dot line is the phenomenological form factor deduced from the $^2\text{H}(p,n)2p$ reaction.

Fig. 2. Data from the reaction $^2\text{H}(p,n)2p$ at 19.7 MeV obtained in the present experiment, and at 14.1 MeV taken from Ref. 11. Dashed lines are Watson-Migdal curves calculated with $a_p = -7.7$ fm. Solid lines are Watson-Migdal curves with $a_p = -13.3$ fm which show that the data can be simulated with a large value of $a_p$. Curves are normalized to the same area.

Fig. 2a. Data at 19.7 MeV and $5^\circ$ lab.

Fig. 2b. Data at 19.7 MeV and $8^\circ$ lab.

Fig. 2c. Data at 14.1 MeV from Ref. 11, at $3^\circ$ lab. The resolution at the high energy region of the spectrum is approximately 1 MeV.
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