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ABSTRACT: Several “Beyond Li-Ion Battery” concepts such as all solid-state batteries and hybrid liquid/solid systems envision the use of a solid electrolyte to protect Li-metal anodes. These configurations are very attractive due to the possibility of exceptionally high energy densities and high (dis)charge rates, but they are far from being realized practically due to a number of issues including high interfacial resistance and difficulties associated with fabrication. One of the most promising solid electrolyte systems for these applications is Al or Ga stabilized Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ (LLZO) based on high ionic conductivities and apparent stability against reduction by Li metal. Nevertheless, the fabrication of dense LLZO membranes with high ionic conductivity and low interfacial resistances remains challenging; it definitely requires a better understanding of the structural and electrochemical properties. In this study, the phase transition from garnet (Ia$_3$d, No. 230) to “non-garnet” (I4d, No. 220) space group as a function of composition and the different sintering behavior of Ga and Al stabilized LLZO are identified as important factors in determining the electrochemical properties. The phase transition was located at an Al:Ga substitution ratio of 0.05:0.15 and is accompanied by a significant lowering of the activation energy for Li-ion transport to 0.26 eV. The phase transition combined with microstructural changes concomitant with an increase of the Ga/Al ratio continuously improves the Li-ion conductivity from 2.6 × 10$^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$ to 1.2 × 10$^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$, which is close to the calculated maximum for garnet-type materials. The increase in Ga content is also associated with better apparent stability against reduction by Li metal, in particular, are exceptionally well suited for use as a protective layer to enable Li-metal based battery concepts.

INTRODUCTION

In some “Beyond Li-Ion Battery” concepts, Li metal is used as the anode, e.g., in Li/air, Li/sulfur, and some redox flow batteries. Li metal benefits from a high theoretical specific capacity (3860 mA h g$^{-1}$), low density (0.59 g cm$^{-3}$), and the lowest negative electrochemical potential (−3.04 V vs the standard hydrogen electrode) leading to high theoretical energy densities. Nevertheless, safety issues related to the formation of Li dendrites in cells with liquid electrolytes has stymied commercialization of rechargeable high energy batteries with Li-metal anodes. Because of these safety issues, there is renewed interest in the use of solid electrolytes either in all solid-state devices with Li-metal anodes or to protect the Li-metal anodes in a hybrid system that also utilizes a liquid electrolytic solution. Garnets based on Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ (LLZO), which show high Li-ion conductivities and excellent chemical and electrochemical stability including apparent stability against reduction by Li metal, in particular, are exceptionally well suited for use as a protective layer to enable Li-metal based battery concepts.
LLZO garnets crystallize in a highly conductive cubic modification (SG: Ia3d, No. 230) and a less conductive tetragonal polymorph (space group (SG): I41/acd, No. 142). The former is stabilized at room temperature (RT) by supervalent substitution at the Li, La, or Zr position in LLZO. The most promising and extensively studied, supervalent cations are Al and Ga, generally substituted on the Li sites. Much experimental and theoretical effort has been expended to elucidate the site preferences of Al and Ga and their influence on Li-ion dynamics/conduction in LLZO garnets. It has been shown that the Li-ion conductivity of LLZO stabilized with Ga is twice that compared to LLZO stabilized with Al. In order to understand this behavior better, cubic LLZO was synthesized by simultaneous substitution of Al and Ga in different ratios. In the corresponding 7Li NMR line shape measurements an increase on single crystals of Li7Ga2O12 garnets, with x = 0.1–0.4 and Li1−xGa2La0.7Zr0.3O12, with y = 0.1–0.6 by means of single crystal X-ray diffraction (SC-XRD). It was demonstrated that Ga-stabilized LLZO crystallizes in the acentric “non-garnet” cubic space group I43d, No. 220, in contrast to LLZO (see Figure 1 for structural details). It was shown that the new space group provides a different Li-ion diffusion mechanism leading to faster Li-ion dynamics as shown by NMR relaxometry experiments, recently. The reasons for the phase transition and the relationship to the macroscopic electrochemical properties, such as bulk (σgb) and grain boundary (σgb), Li-ion conductivity, activation energy (Ea), area specific resistance (ASR), and microstructure, were, however, not fully understood.

Figure 1. (a) Crystal structure of cubic LLZO with space group Ia3d (No. 230). Blue dodecahedra (24c) are occupied by La9+, green octahedra (16a) by Zr4+. Li+ are distributed over three sites, viz., tetrahedrally coordinated (24d) sites represented by red spheres, octahedrally coordinated (48g) sites represented by yellow spheres, and distorted 4-fold coordinated (96h) sites represented by orange spheres. The corresponding Li-ion diffusion pathway is shown in (b). (c) Crystal structure of cubic LLZO with space group I43d (No. 220). Blue dodecahedra (24d) are occupied by La9+, green octahedra (16c) by Zr4+. Li+ are distributed over three sites, two tetrahedrally coordinated sites 12a and 12b (equivalent to 24d in Ia3d) represented by red and orange spheres, respectively, and octahedrally coordinated (48e) sites represented by yellow spheres. The corresponding Li-ion diffusion pathway is shown in (d).

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Synthesis. Synthesis of Li6.4Al0.2Ga0.2La0.2Zr0.6O12 garnets, with x = 0.00, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, and 0.20, was performed by a high-temperature sintering route according ref 18. The starting materials were Li2CO3 (99%, Merck), La2O3 (99.99%, Aldrich), ZrO2 (99.0%, Aldrich), Al2O3 (99.5%, Aldrich), and Ga2O3 (99.0%, Aldrich). Carbonates and oxides in the stoichiometry of the desired composition with a 10% excess of Li2CO3 were intimately ground together using a hand mortar, a pestle, and isopropanol. This mixture was pressed uniaxially to form pellets, placed into a corundum crucible, and heated to 850 °C for 4 h with a heating rate of 5 °C/min. To avoid undesired contamination with Al from the crucible, the samples were placed on a pellet of pure LLZO. Afterward the furnace was shut down and the sample allowed to cool down naturally in the furnace to approximately 200 °C. For the second and final step, the samples were milled in isopropanol in a Fritsch Pulverisette 7 ball mill for 2 h (12 times 800 rpm for 5 min + 5 min break). Finally, the powder was isostatically pressed (24 kbar) to form pellets and sintered at 1230 °C for 6 h, with a heating rate of 20.5 °C/min, and were allowed to cool down to RT. To avoid incorporation of Al11+ from the crucible, the samples were again placed on a pellet of pure LLZO. To suppress formation of extra phases due to Li loss during sintering, the sample pellets were covered with a pellet of pure LLZO. After synthesis, samples were immediately packed under argon to avoid any contact with moisture from the air (for SC-XRD measurements only).

PXRD. PXRD measurements were performed on powders from the crushed pellets used for SC-XRD with a Bruker D8 DaVinci Design diffractometer (280 mm goniometer radius, Lynxeye solid state detector, primary and secondary side Soller slits, Cu Kα radiation, collection range 10°–120° 2θ). For lattice parameter refinements using the program TOPAS V2.1 (Bruker AXS), phase pure material was mixed with silicon as an internal standard (α = 4.3088 Å). For PXRD studies the remaining samples used for SCXRD were used.

SC-XRD. SC-XRD data were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD - diffractometer using Mo Kα radiation. Small single crystals up to 150 μm were selected from the crushed pellets after synthesis and sealed into glass capillaries to avoid prolonged exposure to humidity. Intensity data were collected on samples within 48 h of their synthesis, using graphite-monochromatized Mo Kα X-radiation (50 kV, 30 mA). The crystal-to-detector distance was 30 mm, and the detector was positioned at −30° and (for some points) at −50° 2θ using an o-scan mode strategy at four different φ positions (0°, 90°, 180°, and 270°) for each 2θ position. 630 frames with Δω = 0.3° were acquired for each run. With this strategy, data in a large Q-range up to minimum d-values d = 0.53 Å could be acquired. Three dimensional data were...
integrated and corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and background effects using the APEX2 software (Bruker, 2012). Structure solution (using direct methods) and subsequent weighted full-matrix least-squares refinements on \( F^2 \) were done with SHELX-2012 (Sheldrick, 2008) as implemented in the program suite WinGX 2014.1 (Farrugia, 2012). Several crystallographic positions show a mixed occupancy with Li\(^{3+}\), Al\(^{3+}\), and Ga\(^{3+}\) and vacancies. To overcome this ambiguity, special restraints were chosen: For the Ga\(^{3+}\) rich samples with space group \( I\bar{a}3d \), Al\(^{3+}\) was put onto the 12a position, while Ga\(^{3+}\) was allowed to distribute over 12a and 12d positions, together with Li\(^{3+}\); assuming full occupancy of 12a and 12b sites yields slightly to low Ga\(^{3+}\) contents, so vacancies were introduced until the refined Ga\(^{3+}\) content met the one obtained from EDX analysis. A similar approach was used for samples with SG \( I\bar{a}3d \); however, here the Ga\(^{3+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) were directly fixed onto 24d positions while the Li\(^{3+}\) content was freely refined. More details on single crystal structure refinements can be obtained from CIFs with CSD numbers: 430571 (LLZO:Al\(_{0.20}\)Ga\(_{0.10}\)), 430574 (LLZO:Al\(_{0.15}\)Ga\(_{0.15}\)), 430575 (LLZO:Al\(_{0.10}\)Ga\(_{0.15}\)), 430576 (LLZO:Al\(_{0.05}\)Ga\(_{0.20}\)), and 430603 (LLZO:Al\(_{0.00}\)Ga\(_{0.20}\)).

The densities of pellets are calculated from the diameter, thickness, and weight of the obtained pellets. Theoretical densities of pellets are calculated from the cell parameters from the SC-XRD measurement. 

NPD. The remaining samples used for SC-XRD were ground and used for NPD studies. The neutron diffraction experiments were done at the Institut Laue-Langevin, ILL, in Grenoble (France). Powder diffraction data were acquired in constant wavelength mode (\( \lambda = 1.5441 \) Å) using the D20 diffractometer on ~5 g batches contained in 14 mm diameter vanadium sample cans at 298 K. Experiments were performed in the range 5.8° ≤ 2\( \theta \) ≤ 159.7°, step width 0.04°. An absorption correction was applied to the neutron diffraction data. Data treatment and refinement was done using the FULLPROF-suite of programs. The Thompson–Cox–Hastings pseudo-Voigt function corrected for axial divergence, in conjunction with the D20 resolution function, was used to model peak shape. After satisfactorily refinement of neutron powder and SC-XRD data, the both data sets were joined together, and simultaneous refinements were performed, the results are discussed in text and tables. During mixed refinement, the Ga\(^{3+}\) content (when present) was fixed to the value obtained from EDX analysis for all refinements, while the Li\(^{3+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) content was allowed to freely refine for the I\(\bar{a}3d\) structure. For sample LLZO:Al\(_{0.20}\)Ga\(_{0.10}\), both the Ga\(^{3+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) contents were fixed to the EDX values, assuming that they occupy the Li site only as evidenced from SC-XRD data, while the Li\(^{3+}\) content was allowed to adjust unconstrained. For sample LLZO:Al\(_{0.10}\)Ga\(_{0.20}\), a similar strategy was applied. No stable refinements could be achieved putting Al\(^{3+}\) or Ga\(^{3+}\) onto the interstitial Li3 site.

SEM. SEM images were taken using a Zeiss Ultra Plus device. In particular, we put emphasis on the investigation of the grain size, morphology, and phase composition, and the Al and Ga content using a backscattered electrons detector (BSE) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) measurements, respectively. IS. IS (impedance spectroscopy) measurements were carried out to investigate Li-ion conductivities. Thin films were sputter deposited with a thickness of 200 nm on top of ca. 10 nm Ti (used to improve the adhesion between the sample and the electrode). For the IS measurements a Novocontrol Alpha analyzer was used in the frequency range of 3 × 10\(^{-2}\) to 10\(^2\) Hz. A Julabo F-25 HE circulator was used for cooling and partly also heating the samples under investigation. Set temperatures between 12 and 25 °C (partly 40 °C) were used, leading to true sample temperatures from ca. −8 to 36 °C. In the following, true sample temperatures, measured by a thermocouple, are indicated in all diagrams. An additional impedance spectrum was recorded for a Li/garnet/Li sample at room temperature in an argon glovebox. For this, metallic lithium was first applied on the surfaces of the pellet, and the pellet was sandwiched with two lithium foil disks in a Swagelok type cell. Impedance data down to −120 °C were recorded with a Novocontrol Concept 80 spectrometer that is connected to a Quatro cryo system and equipped with a ZGS active sample cell (Novocontrol).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

For the sake of simplicity, samples with formula \( \text{Li}_x\text{La}_{6.4-x}\text{Al}_{0.2-x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_6\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12} \) are denoted LLZO:Al\(_{0.20−x}\)Ga\(_x\). First, the microstructure as a function of the Al:Ga ratio was investigated. Back scattered electron (BSE)–SEM micrographs of the polished pellets are shown in Figure 2. Since BSE is sensitive to the atomic number, phases with different compositions can be easily distinguished. No composition other than LLZO was observed, which is in agreement with XRD and NPD data. The increase of Ga in LLZO:Al\(_{0.20−x}\)Ga\(_x\) is correlated with a denser studied microstructure with better connected grains and smaller pores. In contrast, the increase of Al leads simultaneously to more pronounced separation of grains and increased grain sizes (up to 200–300 μm). The relative theoretical density for all samples is, however, almost the same and amounts to 85.0(3)%. The Al and Ga content (Al:Ga) of \( \text{Li}_6.4\text{Al}_{0.2}\text{La}_6\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}; \text{from left to right, } x = 0.00, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, \text{ and } 0.20 \).
Table 1. Basic Structural Data and Cationic Distribution of LLZO:Al$_{x}$Ga$_{y}$ Garnets As Determined from Simultaneous Refinement of Powder Neutron Diffraction and Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction Data$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>SG</th>
<th>$a_0$</th>
<th>$L_{3zd}$</th>
<th>$L_{4zd}$</th>
<th>$A_{3zd}$</th>
<th>$G_{24zd}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$Ia3d$</td>
<td>12.9894(2)</td>
<td>1.606(4)</td>
<td>0.191(8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>$Ia3d$</td>
<td>12.9892(2)</td>
<td>1.706(11)</td>
<td>0.198(18)</td>
<td>0.048$^b$</td>
<td>1.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>$Ia3d$</td>
<td>12.9905(2)</td>
<td>2.01(2)</td>
<td>0.118(14)</td>
<td>0.080$^b$</td>
<td>0.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>$I43d$</td>
<td>12.9941(2)</td>
<td>1.01(2)</td>
<td>0.070(14)</td>
<td>0.140$^b$</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>$I43d$</td>
<td>12.9936(2)</td>
<td>1.11(3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Lattice parameter $a_0$ is given in Å; site occupation values in atoms per formula unit (pfu). $^b$Fixed values, obtained by EDX.

Al-rich compositions unambiguously yield the common garnet space group $Ia3d$ for LLZO:Al$_{0.20}$Ga$_{0.00}$, LLZO:Al$_{0.15}$Ga$_{0.05}$, and LLZO:Al$_{0.10}$Ga$_{0.10}$. For compositions LLZO:Al$_{x}$Ga$_{y}$, LLZO:Al$_{0.00}$Ga$_{0.20}$, the acentric space group $I43d$ was observed as described in detail by Wagner et al. (2016), recently. Basic structural data are compiled in Table 1. The Li-ion distribution as well as the lattice parameter as a function of the proportion of Ga is illustrated in Figure 3.

On the basis of single crystal structure refinements of samples synthesized under the specific conditions as set out in the Experimental Section it is assumed that in SG $Ia3d$ Al and Ga is enriched on the tetrahedral 24$d$ sites in LLZO; the 16$a$ site is fully occupied by Zr$^{4+}$, and the 24$c$ site contains La$^{3+}$ and a small amount of vacancies. With increasing Ga content the amount of vacancies on 24$c$ tends to decrease; there is, however, no clear picture from XRD data. In combined refinements, this tendency of decreasing vacancies is tentatively supported. For Ga$^{3+}$ content > 0.10 pfu a change in space group symmetry to $I43d$ is observed. For the latter SG there is strong evidence that Ga and Al are enriched onto the tetrahedral 12$a$ site; this is observed in both single crystal X-ray diffraction and data from combined refinement (SC-XRD and NPD) and supported by DFT calculations. The concentration of vacancies seems to be lower on 12$a$ and 12$b$ sites in $I43d$ SG as compared to $Ia3d$. In addition to the tetrahedral site(s), Li is also found on 96$h$ and 48$c$ positions, respectively. Combined refinements seem to slightly overestimate the amount of Li on these sites. Consequently, the overall content of Li is right above the ideal value of ~6.40 pfu for 0.20 pfu trivalent cations substituted. However, considering the lower La content (according to simultaneous refinement of diffraction data), the Li content is in good agreement according charge neutrality. Moreover, an increase of Li occupation at the 24$d$ site is observed. This behavior was suggested to be responsible for the decrease in electrochemical performance.$^c$ In the present study, however, electrochemical properties seem to be improved by the Li occupation behavior observed (see below).

The replacement of Al$^{3+}$ by Ga$^{3+}$ slightly increases the lattice parameter $a_0$ and this finding is evident from the single crystal data. The change of symmetry, however, is not well pronounced in the variation of lattice parameters within the compositions.

In order to investigate the influence of space group and microstructure on $\sigma_{\text{dc}}$, $\sigma_{\text{ac}}$, and $E_{\text{ac}}$, impedance spectra were measured using blocking electrodes (TillPt) for all compositions at temperatures between $-120$ and $40$ °C. Figure 4 displays the results, measured at 20, and $-80$ °C.

At 20 °C, all samples show a more or less complete high frequency semicircle followed by a strong increase of the imaginary part of the impedance toward low frequencies with an almost constant angle in the complex impedance plane. As

---

**Figure 3.** Lattice parameter ($a_0$) (a) and Li site distribution (b) in Li$_6$Al$_{12}$Ga$_{x}$La$_4$Zr$_2$O$_{12}$ with $x = 0.00, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15$, and $0.20$. 

---
the temperature decreases the high frequency arc becomes more apparent. The semiarcs can be fitted by a constant phase element (CPE\(_{\text{gb}}\)) in parallel to a resistance element (R\(_{\text{gb}}\)). The capacitance \(C_{\text{gb}}\) calculated from the fit parameter \(Q_{\text{gb}}\) and \(n\) (\(C = (R^{1-n}Q)^{1/n}\)) is in the pF range (refined from the equivalent circuit) and the calculated relative permittivity is about 40. Taken together these data suggest the high frequency arc is attributed to a bulk process.\(^{29}\) The low frequency spike is well separated from the high (or intermediate arc at lower temperatures—see below) and can be attributed to the interface with electrodes. In a certain frequency range adding another \(R_{\text{d}}\)-CPE\(_{\text{el}}\) element (or CPE\(_{\text{el}}\) only at lower temperatures) improves the fit. This electrode equivalent circuit helps with analysis of the sample-specific high frequency features but does not imply any mechanistic information.

No indications of fast or resistive grain boundary contributions are observed at ambient temperatures. However, as the temperature decreased below \(-20^\circ\text{C}\) a slightly depressed semiarc at intermediate frequencies was observed in the plots. In some cases this intermediate arc could be fitted by another serial \(R_{\text{gb}}\)-CPE\(_{\text{gb}}\) element. Due to the large uncertainty in the capacitance \(C_{\text{gb}}\) of the intermediate arcs (10\(^{-9}\) to 10\(^{-11}\) F), the calculated thicknesses cannot be used to determine accurate normalized \(\sigma_{\text{gb}}\) values according to the brick-layer model.\(^{30,31}\) The capacitance obtained represents grain boundary processes, and the corresponding activation energy can be calculated using the Arrhenius equation (\(E_{\text{gb}} = 0.32(\pm 0.04)\) eV). The resulting equivalent circuits are shown in the inset of Figure 4; they fit all measurement data acceptably well (see dotted lines in Figure 4).

The temperature dependencies of \(\sigma_{\text{bulk}}\) (blocking electrodes) are shown in Figure 5 for all samples. The obtained \(\sigma_{\text{bulk}}\) \(E_{\alpha}\)

![Figure 4. Impedance spectra of Li\(_{6.4}\)Al\(_{0.2}\)Ga\(_{0.8}\)La\(_{2}\)Zr\(_2\)O\(_{12}\) (x = 0.00, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, and 0.20) samples at 20 °C (a), 80 °C (b), and dotted fit/simulation lines (equivalent circuit: \(R_{\text{gb}}\)-CPE\(_{\text{gb}}\)=\(R_{\text{gb}}\)-CPE\(_{\text{el}}\) are included. Data reflects resistivity \(\rho\) (normalized to the sample area and thickness).

![Figure 5. Temperature dependent bulk conductivities for Li\(_{6.4}\)Al\(_{0.2}\)Ga\(_{0.8}\)La\(_{2}\)Zr\(_2\)O\(_{12}\) (x = 0.00, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15 and 0.20). At ambient temperatures \(\sigma_{\text{bulk}} = \sigma_{\text{total}}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(x)</th>
<th>(\sigma_{\text{total}}) (TillPt) [S cm(^{-1})]</th>
<th>(\sigma_{\text{total}}) (Li) [S cm(^{-1})]</th>
<th>(E_{\alpha}) (TillPt) [eV]</th>
<th>ASR (Li) [Ω cm(^{2})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.63 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.0 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.80 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>6.7 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>6.30 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>7.9 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.06 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>8.8 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.18 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.32 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Accordingly, \(\sigma_{\text{total}}\) of Li ions in our LLZO samples was determined from \(R_{\text{gb}}\) by using \(\sigma_{\text{bulk}} = \frac{\text{R}_{\text{gb}}}{\text{d}}\), and ASR values are given in Table 2. In order to determine the ASR as a function of the Al:Ga ratio, identically prepared samples of LLZO:Al\(_{0.20-}\)Ga\(_{0.80}\) sandwiched between Li electrodes were used. The corresponding impedance spectra are shown in Figure 6.

The Nyquist plots of cells containing LLZO:Al\(_{0.20-}\)Ga\(_{x}\) with \(x = 0.00, 0.05, 0.10,\) and 0.15 are composed of high frequency arcs, clearly visible intermediate frequency arcs, and a low frequency feature. As with the data obtained by fitting the spectra obtained on the cells with blocking electrodes, the high frequency arc was fitted by a \(R_{\text{gb}}\)-CPE\(_{\text{gb}}\) element for the cells containing samples with \(x = 0.00–0.15\). In the case of LLZO with \(x = 0.20\), due to the invisible high frequency arc, an \(R\)
C. This finding is very similar to the observation reported by Tenhaeff et al. They have resolved the different contributions of Li-ion conduction in bulk and grain boundaries in hot pressed LLZO solid electrolytes and found that bulk resistance dominates at temperatures higher than −10 °C. They also observed that the Li-ion conductivity in LLZO increases with decreasing grain size and increasing concentration of grain boundaries. These results suggest a relatively high grain boundary conductivity.

As noted above, for $\sigma_{\text{total}}$ ($\sigma_{\text{bulk}} = \sigma_{\text{total}}$ at ambient temperatures), the increase from LLZO:Al$_{0.20}$Ga$_{0.00}$ to LLZO:Al$_{0.00}$Ga$_{0.20}$ (see Figure 7a) is almost linear, and no spontaneous increase in $\sigma_{\text{total}}$ e.g., caused by a phase transition, was observed. On the other hand, changes in activation energy of the samples in this study (see Figure 7b) show two distinct drops. LLZO:Al$_{0.20}$Ga$_{0.00}$ is characterized by an activation energy of 0.31 eV that is similar to values reported previously (about 0.26–0.37 eV). With the incorporation of 0.05 Ga pfu into the LLZO structure (SG: Ia3d) a significant decrease in $E_a$ for $x = 0.05$ and 0.15 can be observed. Dashed lines are included to guide the eye. The gray areas at $x = 0.00$ and 0.20 indicate values obtained from experiment and calculations from literature.

Figure 6. Impedance spectra of Li$_{6.4}$Al$_{0.2}$Ga$_{0.2}$La$_{3}$Zr$_{1.4}$Ta$_{0.6}$O$_{12}$ (x = 0.00, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15 and 0.20). The bulk resistance clearly decreased with increasing Ga content. The solid lines represent the fit/simulation of LLZO:Al$_{0.20}$Ga$_{0.00}$ with $x = 0.00$, 0.05, 0.10, and 0.15 using the equivalent circuit shown in the inset. For sample Al$_{0.00}$Ga$_{0.20}$ the equivalent circuit without CPE$_{\text{int}}$ was used for fitting.

Figure 7. Activation energy ($E_a$) as a function of the Al-Ga portion in Li$_{6.4}$Al$_{0.2}$Ga$_{x}$La$_{3}$Zr$_{1.4}$Ta$_{0.6}$O$_{12}$ (x = 0.00, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, and 0.20). A significant decrease in $E_a$ for $x = 0.05$ and 0.15 can be observed. Dashed lines are included to guide the eye. The gray areas at $x = 0.00$ and 0.20 indicate values obtained from experiment and calculations from literature.
than previously reported (0.30–0.37 eV) but similar to computed values (0.24–0.30 eV).

To understand the first drop in activation energy we calculated site energy differences using DFT. The migration pathway for Li-ion motion involves a series of transitions between tetrahedral and neighboring octahedral sites. The low energy sites are tetrahedral, but as the Li-ion concentration increases, the Li ions occupy the higher energy octahedral sites. In order for the Li ion to migrate throughout the crystal structure, they must pass through the tetrahedral site located close to the supervalent cation. We performed DFT calculations on structures with a single Al<sup>3+</sup> or Ga<sup>3+</sup> cation and a single Li<sup>+</sup> with a compensating background charge and then computed the total energy difference in structures with the Li ion close to, or far from, the cation as shown in Figure 8a.

Our results indicate that Ga<sup>3+</sup> raises the site energy of the neighboring tetrahedral site by 10 meV more than Al<sup>3+</sup> (Figure 8b). In essence this increase in site energy acts to smooth the energy landscape by decreasing the site energy difference between the tetrahedral and octahedral sites. The rest of the improvement is likely associated with the increase in grain size and grains connectivity.

The second decrease in activation energy coincides with a phase change to SG I43d at x = 0.15, similar to what was seen in recent NMR spectroscopy results of Ga stabilized LLZO with SG I43d. In that study, an additional diffusion-induced relaxation rate peak in spin-lock <sup>7</sup>Li NMR experiments at low temperatures indicated a further diffusion process for LLZO stabilized with Ga (x = 0.20, SG: I43d), in contrast to samples stabilized with Al (x = 0.00, Ia3d). Ab initio molecular dynamics support these findings showing more facile diffusion in the I43d structure. Furthermore, the E<sub>r</sub> values of Ga stabilized LLZO were slightly lower compared to Al stabilized LLZO.

The area-specific resistance (ASR) of LLZO:Al<sub>0.20</sub>Ga<sub>0.05</sub> containing cells turned out to be 77.8 Ω cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is similar to values reported previously (see Figure 7c). The lowest ASR value of 37 Ω cm<sup>-1</sup> was recently obtained by Cheng et al. for cells containing samples with similar composition. They found a strong correlation between the ASR and the microstructure of the LLZO solid electrolyte; in particular, the ASR was lower for samples in which the surfaces of the LLZO has a finer-grained microstructure and more grain boundaries. On the basis of this circumstance the higher ASR value obtained for LLZO:Al<sub>0.25</sub>Ga<sub>0.05</sub> herein might be attributed to the larger average grain size of the sample. The trend observed in this study, in which ASR decreased for samples as Ga content rose, may be in part due to changes in the microstructure. There was a significant decrease in ASR of about 50 Ω cm<sup>-1</sup> to values in the range of 24 to 28 Ω cm<sup>-1</sup> for the samples containing Ga, the lowest reported values for LLZO solid electrolytes, as far as we know.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, a phase transition from Ia3d to I43d occurs with a critical amount of 0.15 Ga pfu in Ga and Al co-substituted samples with general composition Li<sub>x</sub>Al<sub>0.20</sub>Ga<sub>x</sub>La<sub>y</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>12</sub> (0 ≤ x ≤ 0.2). The increase in Ga does not change the lattice parameter and the site distribution of substitutional cations significantly but leads to a preference of the Li ions to occupy the 24d sites (or the equivalent sites in the I43d structure). The change in structure coincides with an increase in the bulk Li-ion conductivity from 3.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> S cm<sup>-1</sup> for 0 Ga pfu to 10<sup>-3</sup> S cm<sup>-1</sup> for 0.20 Ga pfu, with two significant drops in the activation energy at x = 0.05 and 0.15. DFT calculations show that the first drop in activation energy is largely related to Ga–Li repulsion, which acts to smooth the Li-ion diffusion energy landscape compared to Al; the second drop is due to the phase transition from Ia3d to I43d. This, combined with the changes in microstructure, seems to be the explanation for the almost linear increase in Li-ion conduction. An additional beneficial effect of the Ga substitution is a decrease in the interfacial resistance to values that are, to our knowledge, the lowest ever reported values of LLZO samples.

The success in making a dense LLZO sample with a Li-ion conductivity above 10<sup>-3</sup> S cm<sup>-1</sup> and an ASR of about 20 Ω cm<sup>-1</sup> described in this work bodes well for the fabrication of devices with lithium anodes and LLZO solid electrolytes. The present study showed how important an in-depth understanding of the structure–property relationships in this class of materials is if we want to advance in developing new electrochemical energy storage devices.
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