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Abstract

Two sets of hydrothermal experiments were performed to explore Ca isotope fractionation and exchange rates at hydrothermal conditions (410–450 °C, 31.0–50.0 MPa). The first set of experiments determined the magnitude of vapor-liquid Ca isotope fractionation and anhydrite solubility in the CaSO₄-NaCl-H₂O system. The data indicate no statistical difference between the Ca isotopic composition of coexisting vapor and liquid. The second set of experiments utilized an anomalous ⁴³Ca spike to determine the rate of Ca exchange between fluid and anhydrite as a function of total dissolved Ca concentration. Results show that the rate of exchange increases with dissolved Ca concentrations (12–23 mM/kg), but no change in exchange rate is observed when the Ca concentration increases from 23 to 44 mM/kg Ca. 74–142 days are required to achieve 90% anhydrite-fluid Ca isotope exchange at the conditions investigated, while only several hours are necessary for vapor-liquid isotopic equilibrium. The lack of vapor-liquid Ca isotope fractionation in our experiments is consistent with ⁴⁴Ca of mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal vent fluids that remain constant, regardless of chlorinity. Moreover, the narrow range of end member fluid ⁴⁴Ca, 0.98 to 1.13‰ (SW), is largely indistinguishable from MORB ⁴⁴Ca, suggesting that neither phase separation nor fluid-rock interactions at depth significantly fractionate Ca isotopes in modern high-temperature mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal systems.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
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1. INTRODUCTION

High temperature hydrothermal systems at mid-ocean ridges play an important role in determining the chemical and isotopic composition of seawater on geologic timescales. In particular, these systems represent an important sink of seawater Ca to the mantle and source of mantle Ca to seawater. It has been hypothesized that variations in the rate of seafloor spreading and associated fluxes of seawater through high temperature mid-ocean ridge (MOR) hydrothermal systems have produced large changes in the major element chemistry of seawater (Hardie, 1996; Holland, 2005). A better understanding of the chemical and isotopic fluxes of Ca through axial vent systems has important ramifications for understanding Ca cycling in modern and ancient oceans (De La Rocha and DePaolo, 2000; Fantle, 2010; Antonelli et al., 2017).
As seawater is heated in the recharge zone of axial vent systems, anhydrite (CaSO₄) precipitation occurs at temperatures exceeding 140 °C (Bischoff and Seyfried, 1978). During discharge of fluids on and near the seafloor at deep sea vents, mixing of SO₄-rich seawater and Ca-rich hydrothermal fluid also causes anhydrite to precipitate (Tivey et al., 1995). There is also evidence from drill cores, sulfur isotope analysis and theoretical calculations that anhydrite exists near the base of hydrothermal circulation cells (Ono et al., 2007; Alt et al., 2010; McDermott, 2015). Given its widespread occurrence in marine hydrothermal systems, anhydrite is thought to have significant effects on both the hydrogeology of the ocean crust, through porosity reduction during precipitation (Spieck, 1991; Lowell et al., 2003), and redox chemistry of high-temperature vent fluids (Seyfried and Ding, 1995).

In addition to fluid-rock interactions, phase separation of hydrothermal fluid influences the chemical and isotopic flux of dissolved components to the seafloor (Von Damm, 1995, 2004). Elemental partitioning and isotopic fractionation at pressure-temperature conditions representative of natural hydrothermal systems have been previously studied experimentally for a range of transition metals, alkali and alkaline earth elements (Berndt et al., 1996; Heinrich et al., 1999; Liescher et al., 2005, 2006; Foustoukos and Seyfried, 2007b; Rempel et al., 2012; Pokrovski et al., 2013; Syverson et al., 2014; Pester et al., 2015). These studies provide the solubility and isotopic constraints necessary to interpret field data taken from two-phase submarine (Foustoukos et al., 2004; Rouxel et al., 2004, 2008) and sub-aerial (Simmons and Brown, 2006; Hardardottir et al., 2009) hydrothermal systems, while also serving as independent checks on first principle calculations of isotopic fractionation (Anbar et al., 2005; Chialvo and Horita, 2009; Rustad et al., 2010). Additionally, isotopic studies of two-phase fluid systems are useful to identify changes in aqueous speciation between vapor and liquid, given that, for many elements, the bonding and coordination environment in either phase often prefers one isotope relative to others (Liebscher et al., 2005, 2006; Rempel et al., 2012; Syverson et al., 2014). For example, Syverson et al. (2014) proposed that Fe isotope fractionation was caused by two distinct Fe species, [FeCl₂(H₂O)₇]⁺ and [FeCl₄]⁻, coexisting in the vapor and liquid, respectively. With increasing departure from the two-phase boundary of the NaCl-H₂O system, the magnitude of Fe isotope fractionation increased as the two species presumably became more abundant in their respective phases.

In this study we present results from laboratory experiments of the CaSO₄-NaCl-H₂O system and natural samples from modern seafloor hydrothermal systems to determine: (1) the extent to which Ca isotopes fractionate during phase separation; (2) rates of anhydrite recrystallization as a function of dissolved Ca concentration; and, (3) the Ca isotopic composition of mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal fluids from two basalt-hosted vent systems. These data provide further constraints on the reactions responsible for Ca cycling within modern seafloor hydrothermal systems and a foundation for exploring how these systems may have changed over Earth history.

2. METHODS

2.1. Phase separation experiments

Phase separation experiments (experiments 1 and 2) were conducted at 420 and 450 °C between 31.0 and 46.0 MPa in flexible gold reaction cells (Seyfried et al., 1987). These temperatures and pressures were chosen in order to study Ca isotopic behavior at conditions representative of fast-spreading ridges at steady-state hydrothermal conditions (Foustoukos and Seyfried, 2007a; Fontaine et al., 2009; Pester et al., 2011, 2014). The NaCl composition of the two fluids at these conditions are well known (Sourirajan and Kennedy, 1962; Bischoff and Pitzer, 1989; Driesner, 2007; Driesner and Heinrich, 2007), and thus serve as a check for the results of the experiments presented here.

Experiments were performed isothermally and single-phase fluid samples were taken before decompressing to pressures within the vapor-liquid region. A Teledyne ISCO syringe pump remained connected to the pressurizing fluid that surrounds the gold reaction cell to control pressure within ±0.5 MPa of the reported value (see Fig. 1 in Berndt et al., 1996). For these experiments, the reaction time at a specific pressure-temperature condition ranged between 12 and 72.25 h, with the majority falling between 18 and 28 h. The sampling rate, manually controlled by a titanium-regulating valve, was maintained sufficiently low (<1 mL/min) so as to maintain steady-state conditions. As a result, neither temperature nor pressure changed by more than 1 °C or 0.1 MPa during sampling.

Given the volume limits of the gold reaction cell, care was taken to maintain the total volume of the system (vapor + liquid) below the maximum volume of the cell, 72 cm³. The volume and mass fraction of each phase was calculated by mass balance on the bulk mole fraction of NaCl in the system. The NaCl composition of the two phases and the densities were taken from the literature (Driesner, 2007; Driesner and Heinrich, 2007).

Vapor and liquid samples were taken intermittently during experiment 1. Only samples of vapor were taken during the first decompression in experiment 2. The pressure was then increased to near the two-phase boundary and additional stock solution (Table 1) was added to the gold reaction cell with a second Teledyne ISCO syringe pump. The gold reaction cell and supporting pressure vessel were then rotated to allow for sampling of the liquid phase during a second stage of decompression.

All stock solutions (phase separation and isolate exchange experiments) were prepared with reagent grade salts and 18.2 mΩ deionized water. Samples were taken in clean pre-weighed luer lock syringes, weighed approximately 2 g and were separated into aliquots for total dissolved chemistry, Ca isotope and pH measurements. Before each sample, 0.3 g of fluid were removed and discarded to ensure that the fluid sample comes directly from the gold cell and not residual fluid in the capillary exit line. At the end of each experiment, 0.45 μm pore size nylon filters were used to filter anhydrite from solution and were dried for 24 h at 60 °C.
2.2. Isotope exchange experiments

Isotope exchange experiments (A, B and C) were performed at 410 °C, 50.0 MPa also in a flexible gold cell reactor. The fluid phase in all three experiments simulated mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal fluid by containing approximately 550 mM/kg NaCl and varying amounts of dissolved Ca. The stock solutions had a natural Ca isotope composition and excess Ca relative to SO4 to ensure that the majority of SO4 was sequestered during anhydrite precipitation. Ca and SO4 were added as the salts CaCl2 and Na2SO4. Since no other sources of SO4 were added, it is assumed that the mass of anhydrite does not change appreciably over the course of the experiments.

Importantly, these experiments utilized an isotope spike of enriched 43Ca to track reaction progress over time. The enriched isotope spike overwhelms the natural isotopic composition and allows exchange between fluid and anhydrite to be tracked as a function of the fluid [43Ca] values with increasing reaction time (Criss, 1999). Given that natural isotopic fractionation will be negligible in comparison to the spike, this method does not require prior knowledge of the equilibrium isotope fractionation factor (Johnson et al., 2004).

Immediately, these experiments utilized an isotope spike of enriched 43Ca to track reaction progress over time. The enriched isotope spike overwhelms the natural isotopic composition and allows exchange between fluid and anhydrite to be tracked as a function of the fluid [43Ca] values with increasing reaction time (Criss, 1999). Given that natural isotopic fractionation will be negligible in comparison to the spike, this method does not require prior knowledge of the equilibrium isotope fractionation factor (Johnson et al., 2004).

The 43Ca spike solution had 43Ca/42Ca = 37.61, a Ca concentration of 0.11 mmol/kg for experiment A and 0.35 mmol/kg for experiment B. The 43Ca/42Ca ratio of the experimental spike is much larger than the natural ratio, 0.21 (DePaolo, 2004) The spike solution was injected with a Teledyne ISCO syringe pump at the pressure-temperature conditions of the experiments. Each injection of anomalous 43Ca was followed by injection of ~1-2 g of 550 mmol/kg NaCl fluid in order to ensure that all of the spike solution entered the gold cell and to prevent anhydrite precipitation in the capillary exit tube. The entire experimental system was cooled and disassembled after experiment A. Experiment C was initiated as an extension of experiment B by injecting a solution with 95 mmol/kg Ca and natural isotopic abundance to the contents of experiment B after the final sample of B. Upon termination of experiments A and C, anhydrite was filtered from solution within approximately 2 h from the start of cooling.

Unlike in the phase separation experiments, the ISCO syringe pump did not maintain constant pressure during the isotope exchange experiments. However, due to the small size of each sample (1–2 g) and the relatively large volume of spike injections (10–15 g), the overall system pressure did not drop below 45.0 MPa, well above the two-phase boundary at this temperature, 30.6 MPa (Bischoff and Pitzer, 1989). Upon addition of the 43Ca spike, the autoclave and gold cell were rocked for 10 min to promote even distribution of the anomalous fluid. The furnace was not rocked during the remainder of the experiment.

2.3. Modern vent fluids

Fifty-one hydrothermal vent fluids from two different basalt-hosted systems (Juan de Fuca Ridge, East Pacific Rise 9-13°N) were collected with HOV Alvin as part of multidisciplinary research cruises over the past 20 years (Seyfried et al., 2003; Foustoukos and Seyfried, 2007a; Foustoukos et al., 2009; Pester et al., 2011, 2014). Fluids were collected using two types of titanium gas-tight isobaric samplers (Seewald et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2011), both of which minimize entrainment of bottom seawater during sampling. These two samplers show good reproducibility of vent fluid chemistry when used in the same vent location. Both samplers benefit from a thermocouple that is mounted along the side of the snorkel. ICL communication (Bradley

Table 1
Chemical composition of phase separation experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Pressure (MPa)</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Na (mM)</th>
<th>Cl (mM)</th>
<th>Ca (mM)</th>
<th>SO4 (µM)</th>
<th>pH 25 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 420 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock solution</td>
<td>563.14</td>
<td>603.2</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>22,600</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 420 °C</td>
<td>37.5 1 phase</td>
<td>559.7</td>
<td>580.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 420 °C</td>
<td>32.5 Vapor</td>
<td>160.6</td>
<td>156.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 420 °C</td>
<td>32.5 Liquid</td>
<td>1859.0</td>
<td>2054.9</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 420 °C</td>
<td>31.7 Liquid</td>
<td>2183.0</td>
<td>2393.7</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7 420 °C</td>
<td>31.7 Vapor</td>
<td>121.9</td>
<td>132.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment 2 450 °C</th>
<th>Stock solution</th>
<th>927.2</th>
<th>1052.9</th>
<th>81.1</th>
<th>19,800</th>
<th>5.45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1 450 °C</td>
<td>46.0 1 phase</td>
<td>886.5</td>
<td>994.1</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 450 °C</td>
<td>40.5 Vapor</td>
<td>315.1</td>
<td>328.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 450 °C</td>
<td>39.5 Vapor</td>
<td>213.6</td>
<td>222.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 450 °C</td>
<td>38.5 Vapor</td>
<td>149.7</td>
<td>152.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 450 °C</td>
<td>37.5 Vapor</td>
<td>111.1</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 450 °C</td>
<td>40.5 Liquid</td>
<td>2987.9</td>
<td>3161.7</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 450 °C</td>
<td>39.5 Liquid</td>
<td>3127.1</td>
<td>3310.0</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8 450 °C</td>
<td>38.5 Liquid</td>
<td>3555.0</td>
<td>3746.2</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9 450 °C</td>
<td>37.5 Liquid</td>
<td>4029.8</td>
<td>4217.9</td>
<td>110.5</td>
<td>3700</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mM = mmol/kg solution.
µM = mmol/kg solution.
BDL = below detection limit.
et al., 2001; Seewald et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2011) with the thermocouple readout and sampler inlet valve ensures that the measured fluid temperature coincides with the sampled fluid. On board ship, fluids for Ca isotope analysis were placed in acid-washed Teflon or low-density polyethylene bottles.

2.4. Analytical measurements

Elemental concentrations of experimental and field samples were determined in the Aqueous Geochemistry laboratory in the University of Minnesota Department of Earth Sciences. Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) was used for cation analysis, while ion chromatography (IC) was used for anion determination. Uncertainty for the ICP-OES is 3% and 2% for IC (2σ). pH (25 °C, 1 atm) was measured using a Thermo-Ross electrode that was calibrated with pH 4, 7 and 10 buffers before each measurement. No calculations were performed to compensate for the liquid junction potentials that may occur when measuring pH of high salinity samples (Knauss et al., 1990).

Ca isotope compositions of all samples were measured on a Thermo-Fisher Neptune Plus multicollector-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (MC-ICP-MS) at Princeton University. Samples for Ca isotope analyses were processed using an automated high-pressure IC system (Dionex ICS-5000+) and measured for 44Ca/42Ca analyses were processed using an automated high-pressure inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (MC-ICP-MS) on a Thermo-Fisher Neptune Plus multicollector-ICP-MS and TIMS (Fantle and Tipper, 2014). All Ca isotope data from this study correlate well with the theoretical mass dependent fractionation line (slope ≈ 0.494). Circles represent experimental samples and squares represent natural vent fluids. Error bars are 2 SE for δ44/43Ca and δ44/42Ca.

Fig. 1. δ44/43Ca versus δ44/42Ca for all samples with natural abundance Ca isotope composition. The natural abundance Ca isotope data from this study correlate well with the theoretical mass dependent fractionation line (slope ≈ 0.494).

(1, n = 9), indistinguishable from published values determined by both MC-ICP-MS and TIMS (Fantle and Tipper, 2014). All Ca isotope samples are reported relative to modern seawater (δ44/43Ca海水water = 0.56 ± 0.08‰ (1σ, n = 9) and δ44/40 Ca海水water = 0.02 ± 0.11‰ (1σ, n = 9)). δ44Ca海水water = +1.92‰ on the SRM915a scale and +0.98‰ on the bulk silicate Earth (BSE) scale (Fantle and Tipper, 2014). Reported errors are equal to that of standard SRM 915b (for samples analyzed once) or 1 standard error of the mean (SE) for samples with replicate analyses. For some samples with 43Ca spikes the reproducibility of the 44Ca/43Ca ratios was significantly worse than unspiked samples. Although we cannot conclusively point to a cause, this added uncertainty in 44Ca/43Ca does not contribute significantly to the results presented here given the large signals observed in the 43Ca spike experiments. In addition, two samples, B.1 and B.2, plot off the line, an artifact we attribute to contamination by a 43Ca spiked sample during processing. However, since experiment B was spiked with anomalous 43Ca after sample B.2 small deviations in samples B.1 and B.2 do not change the calculated mass balance.

Imaging and chemical analysis of the product anhydrite from all experiments were performed with a Hitachi SU8230 scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with a Thermo System 7 EDS, housed in the Characterization Facility at the University of Minnesota. Images were taken with a 3.0 kV accelerating voltage, a probe current of 20 nA and a working distance of 8–8.5 mm. EDS spectra were acquired with a 15.0 kV accelerating voltage, probe current of 20 nA and a working distance of 15 mm (Appendix).

2.5. Thermodynamic calculations

Aqueous speciation and activity calculations were performed using a thermodynamic database that accounts for the experimental temperature and pressure (410 °C, 50.0 MPa) of the isotope exchange experiments. The database was created using DBCreate (Kong et al., 2013), a software program that facilitates creation of thermodynamic databases at user defined pressure and temperature conditions. The initial thermodynamic data and included aqueous species are consistent with SUPCRT92 (Johnson et al., 1992). The Helgeson-Kirkam-Flowers equations of state, and subsequent revisions, are used to extrapolate...
Table 2
Isotopic composition of phase separation experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Reaction time (hours)</th>
<th>Pressure (MPa)</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>$\delta^{44/42}$ Ca</th>
<th>1 SE</th>
<th>$\delta^{44/43}$ Ca</th>
<th>1 SE</th>
<th>$\delta^{44/40}$ Ca</th>
<th>1 SE</th>
<th>N (replicates)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experiment 1 – 420 °C</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock Solution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.11</td>
<td>–0.04</td>
<td>–1.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>1 phase</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>–0.73</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>Vapor</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>–0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–0.82</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>–0.69</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>72.25</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–0.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>72.25</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>Vapor</td>
<td>–0.18</td>
<td>–0.13</td>
<td>–1.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product anhydrite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.29</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–0.21</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–1.42</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experiment 2 – 450 °C</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock solution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–0.67</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>1-phase</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>–0.58</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>23.75</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>Vapor</td>
<td>–0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>–0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>–0.78</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>Vapor</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>25.75</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>Vapor</td>
<td>–0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.69</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>Vapor</td>
<td>–0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.68</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>–0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>–0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>–0.74</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>23.25</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>–0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>–0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>–0.68</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product anhydrite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–0.18</td>
<td>–0.22</td>
<td>–1.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ca isotopes expressed as,

$$\delta^{\text{Ca}} = \frac{[(R_{\text{x/40}}/R_{\text{x/40}}^{\text{SW}}) - 1] \times 1000}{\%}.$$  

$x = 43$ or 44 and SW refers to the modern seawater standard.

Samples analyzed only once are assigned the error (1σ) of standard SRM915b in the same analytical session, $\delta^{44/42} = 0.04\%e$, $\delta^{44/43} = 0.05\%e$, $\delta^{44/40} = 0.08\%e$. 

Ca isotopes expressed as,

$$\delta^{\text{Ca}} = \frac{[(R_{\text{x/40}}/R_{\text{x/40}}^{\text{SW}}) - 1] \times 1000}{\%}.$$  

$x = 43$ or 44 and SW refers to the modern seawater standard.

Samples analyzed only once are assigned the error (1σ) of standard SRM915b in the same analytical session, $\delta^{44/42} = 0.04\%e$, $\delta^{44/43} = 0.05\%e$, $\delta^{44/40} = 0.08\%e$. 

Ca isotopes expressed as,
the necessary thermodynamic properties (Helgeson and Kirkham, 1974; Helgeson et al., 1981; Tanger and Helgeson, 1988) to experimental conditions and the extended Debye-Hückel equation is used to calculate activity coefficients of charged species. The standard state for pure minerals and pure water is unit activity, while the standard state of aqueous components is unit activity in a hypothetical one molal solution, referenced to infinite dilution. The modified database is compatible with Geochemist’s Workbench (Bethke, 2008) and the measured concentrations of the dissolved components, Ca, Na, Cl, SO4 and pH25°C, serve as inputs to the appropriate mass action and charge balance equations.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Phase separation experiments

NaCl concentrations from phase separation experiments performed as part of this study agree well with predictions based on the numerical model of Driesner (2007) and Driesner and Heinrich (2007) and indicate equilibrium conditions for the NaCl-H2O system (Fig. 2, Table 1). The agreement in NaCl concentrations also highlights the accuracy of the temperature and pressure control systems used in these experiments. Dissolved Ca and SO4 concentrations follow a similar pattern to NaCl during enrichment in the liquid phase and depletion in the vapor phase relative to the single-phase fluid.

Prior to phase separation in experiment 1, the δ44Ca composition of the single-phase fluid was −0.73‰ (Table 2). Upon phase separation, the δ44Ca of the vapor phase decreased to −0.82 and −1.10‰, while the coexisting liquid varied between, −0.69 and −0.87‰. In experiment 2, the δ44Ca composition of the single-phase solution was −0.58‰, the vapor ranged from −0.68 to −0.83‰, and the liquid varied from −0.68 to −0.78‰. In both experiments, the δ44Ca signature of coexisting vapor and liquid pairs are the same, within error. The product anhydrite from the two experiments displayed δ44Ca = −1.42 ± 0.03‰ (Exp. 1) and −1.17 ± 0.07‰ (Exp. 2).

The distribution of chemical species that is responsible for vapor-liquid isotope fractionation has been shown to reach equilibrium within minutes to hours during phase separation at temperatures and pressures consistent with the present investigation (Bischoff et al., 1986; Pokrovski et al., 2005). Therefore, in keeping with previous studies of vapor-liquid isotope fractionation (Liebscher et al., 2005, 2006; Rempel et al., 2012), samples taken during the phase separation experiments were allowed to react for approximately 24 h at constant pressure and temperature to ensure vapor-liquid isotopic equilibrium (Table 2). Isotopic exchange rates in heterogeneous systems (mineral-fluid) are considerably slower, even at hydrothermal temperatures and pressures, than the homogeneous counterpart (fluid-fluid) (Cole and Chakraborty, 2001). Thus, it is possible that the anhydrite did not precipitate from solution in isotopic equilibrium with the fluid (Johnson et al., 2004; DePaolo, 2011). This will not change the magnitude of vapor-liquid isotope fractionation, which is ultimately controlled by the rapid distribution of aqueous species and their associated coordination chemistry. It is, however, important to determine the rate of Ca fluid-mineral exchange, which can best be accomplished for a single-phase fluid coexisting with anhydrite at a temperature consistent with the phase separation experiments.

3.2. Isotope exchange experiments

In order to isolate the effects of isotopic mineral-fluid exchange from those associated with net precipitation or dissolution of anhydrite, experiments were run to chemical equilibrium prior to the injection of the 43Ca spike. Equilibrium was assessed by comparison of the measured Ca2+(aq) and SO42−(aq) activities with the theoretical fluid-anhydrite phase boundary (Fig. 3). Predicted and measured Ca concentrations are within 15‰ for all three experiments, and the results from experiments A and C plot close to the phase boundary, suggesting chemical equilibrium (i.e. anhydrite saturation) was achieved. For experiment B (Table 3), the reported SO4 concentration is below the analytical detection limit and is therefore replaced with the detection limit of 40 μmol/kg. Given the good agreement in predicted and measured Ca, experiment B also reached chemical equilibrium. That the fluid chemistry for this experiment suggests anhydrite under saturation reflects the difficulty of measuring such low SO4 concentrations. pH (25 °C, 1 atm) varied between 5.12 and 5.93 and shows no apparent pattern with time. Ca and SO4 concentrations decreased after an injection as the result of mixing between fluids with slightly different Ca and SO4 compositions and the 550 mM/kg NaCl solution used to flush the reactor capillary tubing. Speciation calculations confirm that post-injection samples were also anhydrite saturated.
Fluid samples from all experiments containing isotopic spikes exhibit large δ⁴³Ca values (>78‰, Table 3) and initial, $t = 0$, δ⁴³Ca compositions are calculated by linear regression of subsequent samples (see Appendix). The δ⁴³Ca of the system (fluid + anhydrite) is calculated by mass balance using the δ⁴³Ca of the stock solution as the starting value and accounting for the injection of anomalous δ⁴³Ca and mass loss associated with sampling. As expected, δ⁴³Ca of the solution decreased with reaction time as the ⁴³Ca spike in the fluid exchanged with the natural abundance ⁴⁴Ca in the anhydrite. The decline in fluid δ⁴³Ca for experiments A, B and C are 52.7‰, 42.2‰ and 3.51‰, respectively. Experiment C displays a smaller range of δ⁴³Ca because the anhydrite had elevated δ⁴³Ca remaining from experiment B and the fluid δ⁴³Ca composition was lowered significantly by injection of natural abundance Ca (see experimental procedures). The uncertainty in δ⁴³Ca and small changes in these values between samples makes it difficult to unambiguously resolve the rate of Ca mass transfer in experiment C.

The dissolution of anhydrite during quenching, as described below, makes the recovered anhydrite an unreliable constraint on the Ca isotopic composition of this phase and coexisting fluid at experimental conditions. Nevertheless, $\Delta^{44}$Ca_{anh-fluid} can be calculated prior to injection of the ⁴³Ca spike solution. $\Delta^{44}$Ca_{anh-fluid} for experiments A and B are approximately −0.41‰ and −0.94‰, respectively. The large difference between these values and the short amount of reaction time (<96 h) indicate that these isotopic fractionations are not indicative of equilibrium. Experiment C is not included as ⁴³Ca was altered by the presence of the spike in all samples in this experiment.

The extent of isotopic mixing between fluid and anhydrite, F, can be quantified as follows (Criss et al., 1987)

$$F = \frac{\delta^{43}Ca_{0} - \delta^{43}Ca_{t}}{\delta^{43}Ca - \delta^{43}Ca_{0}}$$

where the subscripts 0 and t refer to the initial fluid composition (time of spike addition) and at any subsequent sampling time, respectively. The subscript ΣCa refers to the bulk composition (fluid and mineral) of the system. Given that the anhydrite-fluid Ca isotope fractionation is expected to be small at experimental conditions, a highly enriched ⁴³Ca spike justifies the use of δ⁴³Ca in place of the equilibrium fluid δ⁴³Ca in Eq. (1) (Johnson et al., 2004; Handler et al., 2014).

F is a parameter that expresses approach to equilibrium for a specific chemical system at well-defined pressure and temperature conditions and can be used to determine the order of a given reaction. Previous studies of isotope exchange in both homogeneous and heterogeneous systems have been often described with first order reaction schemes (Cole et al., 1983; Johnson et al., 2004; Friedich et al., 2014), although this is not always the case (Graham, 1981; Johnson et al., 2002). In keeping with previous studies that investigate isotopic exchange between NaCl-fluids and sulfate minerals (Kusakabe and Robinson, 1977; Chiba et al., 1981), we describe the relationship between F and time using a first order reaction

$$\ln(1 - F) = -kt$$

The rate constant, k, is calculated as the slope of a least squares fit to the data. The R² for the least squares fit was greater than 0.9 for all three experiments. For experiments A, B, and C, the rate constants calculated with Eq. (2) have units of hr⁻¹ and are $6.9 \times 10^{-4}$ ($±3.0 \times 10^{-5}$), $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ ($±1.6 \times 10^{-4}$), and $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ ($±1.0 \times 10^{-4}$), respectively (Fig. 4). Eq. (2) can be modified in order to account for the dissolved Ca concentration

$$\ln(1 - F) = -k[Ca]t$$

While previous studies (e.g. Criss et al., 1987) have included terms to account for moles of reactive isotopic exchange in each phase, we use a concentration term since it is the moles per gram (or mL) of water that ultimately determines the frequency of molecular collision and thus reaction rates (Lasaga, 1981a). The three rate constants (kg_{mol} mmol⁻¹ hr⁻¹) calculated using Eq. (3) are $5.8 \times 10^{-5}$ ($±2.5 \times 10^{-6}$), $5.3 \times 10^{-5}$ ($±6.9 \times 10^{-6}$), and $2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ ($±2.4 \times 10^{-6}$), for experiments A (12 mM/kg Ca), B (23 mM/kg Ca) and C (45 mM/kg Ca), respectively. The values from experiments A and B are averaged to give an overall rate constant of $5.6 \times 10^{-5}$. Using this average rate constant and the dissolved concentrations of experiments A and B in Eq. (3), 142 and 74 days, respectively, are required to achieve 90% anhydrite-fluid isotope exchange. The rate constant from experiment C is not included in the average, as it would unrealistically skew the result, since the value of F did not increase between experiments B and C after the same amount of reaction time (Table 3). It is important to remember that experiment C began as an extension of experiment B. Given that the anhydrite surface area evolved differently in experiments A and B then it did in C, as discussed below, we do not directly compare the results of experiment C with those of A and B.

In many mineral-fluid isotopic and chemical exchange reactions, the surface area of the mineral contributes to the overall rate of exchange (Cole and Chakraborty, 2001; Brantley et al., 2008 and references therein). SEM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Time after injection of spike (hours)</th>
<th>Ca (mM)</th>
<th>SO₄ (µM)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>δ⁴⁴/⁴₂ Ca</th>
<th>1 SE</th>
<th>δ⁴⁴/⁴₃ Ca</th>
<th>1 SE</th>
<th>δ⁴⁴/⁴₀ Ca</th>
<th>1 SE</th>
<th>δ⁴³/⁴₀ Ca</th>
<th>1 SE</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>F (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experiment A</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock solution</td>
<td></td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>22,600</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>−1.11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.2</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>−0.57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.4</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spike</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>−285.87</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>411.23</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−278.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>395.61</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−272.18</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>383.71</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td></td>
<td>−275.23</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>390.21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.9</td>
<td>44.25</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td></td>
<td>−271.90</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>383.29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.10</td>
<td>92.25</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td></td>
<td>−267.04</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>373.61</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-Anhydrite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experiment B</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock solution</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>−0.89</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.39</td>
<td>−0.51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.2</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.48</td>
<td>−0.40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spike</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td></td>
<td>−256.97</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>354.88</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−232.72</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>350.63</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.5</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>−227.39</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>301.37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.6</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>−224.58</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>296.72</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.7</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>−224.31</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>296.12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.8</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>−221.40</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>291.45</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.9</td>
<td>243.3</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td></td>
<td>−207.75</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>268.39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experiment C</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.72</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.1</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>−72.90</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>79.74</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.2</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>−74.63</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>81.53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.3</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>−74.67</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>81.97</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.4</td>
<td>29.75</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
<td>−74.16</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>81.29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.5</td>
<td>46.75</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td>−74.34</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>81.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.6</td>
<td>56.75</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>−73.50</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>80.37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.7</td>
<td>72.00</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td>−73.65</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>80.50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.8</td>
<td>96.25</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>−73.40</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>80.22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.9</td>
<td>242.25</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>−71.63</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>78.02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Anhydrite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mM = mmol/kg of solution, uM = μmol/kg of solution.

Samples analyzed only once are assigned the 1 SE of standard 915b in the same analytical session,
δ⁴⁴/⁴₂ = 0.04‰, δ⁴⁴/⁴₃ = 0.05‰, δ⁴⁴/⁴₀ = 0.08‰.

NIS = Natural injection solution, solution of natural δ³⁴Ca injected to reset bulk elemental and isotopic Ca.
1 SE for δ⁴³/⁴₀ Ca for samples analyzed once is 0.67‰, the average of the measured errors.
BDL = below detection limit.

F (%) calculated with adjusted δ⁴³Ca.
images of anhydrite from the present study demonstrate that the product anhydrite from the phase separation and isotope exchange experiments has acicular morphology and also shows clear evidence of dissolution (Fig. 5). The isotope exchange experiments were designed specifically to measure in-situ fluid chemistry and dissolution was predictable given the relatively long amount of time (2 h) needed to cool the steel pressure vessel and gold reaction cell. About half as much anhydrite was recovered as expected, based on mass balance calculations of the first several samples. This indicates that the observed defects in the crystal structure almost certainly occurred during cooling. Crystals that appear to be completely intact measure between 1 and 1.5 mm in length, while smaller crystals present in the image are interpreted to have broken mechanically during post experiment processing. Given that anhydrite in the experiments (isotope exchange (A and B) and phase separation) formed by heating of Ca and SO₄ rich fluid, the evolution of surface area over the course of the experiments (heat up and constant temperature recrystallization) is assumed to be equal. That the Ca normalized rate constants for experiments A and B, $5.8 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ and $5.3 \times 10^{-5} \pm 6.9 \times 10^{-6}$ (kgsoln mmol⁻¹ hr⁻¹), are the same within error also suggests that differences in surface area did not substantially alter the rate of exchange.

In lieu of direct measurements, the surface area of the pristine anhydrite crystals in all experiments can be estimated geometrically as a right rectangular prism. Based on the SEM images, the dimensions chosen are $1250 \times 100 \times 60 \mu m$, yielding a surface area of $4.12 \times 10^{-3} \text{cm}^2$ and a volume of $7.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{cm}^3$. The density of anhydrite is $2.98 \text{g/cm}^3$ (Palache et al., 1951), which together with our calculated volume and surface area gives a specific surface area of $1.84 \times 10^{-2} \text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$.

As demonstrated by Chiba et al. (1981), a decrease in the solution pH increases the rate of O isotope exchange between fluid and anhydrite. These authors hypothesize that the activity of H⁺ plays a fundamental, but uncertain, role in exchange kinetics. Thermodynamic modeling using measured element concentrations indicate that at conditions of our experiments the in-situ pH is 5.95, 5.83 and 5.78 for experiments A, B and C, respectively. Thus, differences in pH between experiments are insufficient to alter the rates of exchange in this study.

### 3.3. Vent fluid Ca isotopes

The Ca isotope compositions ($\delta^{44}Ca$) of hydrothermal vent fluids ($n = 51$) issuing from East Pacific Rise (EPR)
9–13°N and Juan de Fuca Ridge (JdFR) vary between 0 and −1.2‰ (SW) and exhibit a clear linear correlation with the dissolved Mg/Ca ratios (Fig. 6). The extrapolated end-member (Mg/Ca = 0) δ44Ca for the entire data set is −1.04‰ and end-member δ44Ca for each vent site vary from −0.98 to −1.12‰ (Table 4). Complete vent chemistry for MEF (1999 and 2005), EPR 9°N (2004 and 2008) and EPR 13°N (2008) have been published previously (Seyfried et al., 2003; Foustoukos and Seyfried, 2007a; Foustoukos et al., 2009; Pester et al., 2011, and Pester et al., 2014).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Anhydrite-fluid Ca isotope exchange

In order for Ca isotopes to be used effectively as geochemical and environmental proxies, the mechanisms that produce mineral-fluid fractionation must be well understood. Ca isotope fractionations between minerals, primarily calcite, and aqueous solutions have been interpreted to represent both kinetic (Tang et al., 2008; DePaolo, 2011; Brown et al., 2013) and equilibrium (Bullen et al., 2003; Marriott et al., 2004) fractionation. Inherent to the discussion regarding kinetic or equilibrium fractionation is the mechanism and rate at which Ca isotopes exchange between coexisting reservoirs. Such data are limited for the Ca system at ambient and elevated temperatures, but necessary to inform our interpretation of observed experimental and natural Ca isotope systematics.

At the elevated temperature (410 °C) of the isotope exchange experiments, both recrystallization and diffusion could potentially control isotopic mixing between fluid and anhydrite. Comparison of the rate constants (Eq. (2)) regressed from this study with that of another study that investigated fluid-anhydrite O exchange at a range of hydrothermal temperatures shows good agreement characterized by largely similar temperature dependence (Fig. 7, Chiba et al., 1981). Below 200 °C diffusion is likely too slow to control exchange rates (Chacko et al., 2001; Johnson et al., 2004). Therefore the linear trend is important in that it demonstrates a single exchange mechanism, recrystallization (Chiba et al., 1981), dominates across the entire temperature range (100–550 °C) investigated. The range of activation energies calculated by Chiba et al. (1981), 42–46 kJ/mol, also suggests recrystallization as the dominant mechanism of exchange (Lasaga, 1981a). Experiments in which diffusion is the dominant exchange mechanism generally have activation energies between 84 and 125 kJ/mol (Lasaga, 1981b).

It is noteworthy that the rate of recrystallization in the isotope exchange experiments varies with different dissolved Ca concentrations (Fig. 8). Previous experiments have purposefully changed mineral solubility by altering the NaCl (or other salt) content in order to investigate the effect on oxygen isotope exchange (Chai, 1975; Cole et al., 1992; Cole, 1992). In these studies, an increase in mineral solubility dramatically increased the rate of oxygen isotope exchange between fluid and either granite or calcite. Anhydrite solubility in our experiments is extremely low at 410 °C owing to the well-known retrograde solubility. The presence of excess Ca in the fluid also prevents significant dissolved SO4 from accumulating. The low solubility notwithstanding, our results suggest that changes in the concentration of the dissolved components can produce measurable effects on isotope exchange rates, even in systems at chemical equilibrium. This is in keeping with experiments performed in both the S and O isotopic systems (Kiyosu, 1973; Ohmoto and Lasaga, 1982; Cole et al., 1983; Syverson et al., 2015).

As an example of the utility of isotopic exchange rate data, the extent of Ca isotopic mixing between anhydrite and fluids in the phase separation experiments is assessed. The phase separation experiments reacted for approximately 24 h at each individual pressure and temperature and experiments 1 and 2 cumulatively lasted 185 and 207 h, respectively (Table 2). Calculations indicate that after 24 h, roughly 5% of the Ca in the anhydrite exchanged with the fluid phase (see Appendix). After 185 and 207 h, between 10 and 14% Ca in the anhydrite exchanged. These are minimum values given that they were calculated at 410 °C and the phase separation experiments took place at 420 and 450 °C. Additionally, the phase separation experiments involved fluid:anhydrite Ca mole ratios of approximately 2 and 3. These ratios are higher than in the isotope exchange experiments and would also increase the rate of anhydrite-fluid Ca isotope exchange (Handler et al., 2014). Altering the fluid Ca concentration in experiment B to impose a fluid:anhydrite Ca ratio of 3 increases the percent of anhydrite Ca exchanged by the same factor, so that after 185 or 207 h there is approximately 45% exchange. While difficult to calculate the exact amount of exchange that occurred in the phase separation experiments, the lack of change in the isotopic composition of the vapor and liquid, particularly in experiment 2 (450 °C), suggests that significant variation would be unlikely if the experiments had reacted further.

4.2. Ca isotope systematics in mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal systems

Previous studies have produced contrasting interpretations of Ca isotope fractionation in mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal systems. Zhu and Macdougall (1998) suggest that fractionation is negligible due to the high temperatures involved. Amini et al. (2008) argue that the difference between end member hydrothermal fluid δ44Ca and host rock δ44Ca at the Logatchev vent field results from Ca fractionation during anhydrite precipitation. Phase separation produces vapors that are enriched in the heavy isotopes of Fe, Cl, B and H relative to the source fluid (Berndt et al., 1996; Liebscher et al., 2005, 2006; Syverson et al., 2014). A similar pattern in the Ca system could be another mechanism by which end member vent fluids display heavier δ44Ca relative to that of the host rock.

End-member vent fluids taken from EPR 9°50′N and JdFR show δ44Ca that do not vary substantially with total dissolved Cl concentration. As an example, the end-member δ44Ca for Axial (JdFR, 2014) is −1.12‰ and Cl
Table 4
Chemical and isotopic composition of natural vent fluids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mg (mM)</th>
<th>Ca (mM)</th>
<th>Mg/Ca</th>
<th>Cl (mM)</th>
<th>δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca ± 0.08‰ (1 SE)</th>
<th>δ⁴⁴/⁴²Ca ± 0.04‰ (1 SE)</th>
<th>δ⁴⁴/⁴³Ca ± 0.05‰ (1 SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEF, 1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hulk BGT3478</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>447.0</td>
<td>−1.11</td>
<td>−0.18</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dante M3470A</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>446.0</td>
<td>−0.99</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastille BGT3470</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>239.0</td>
<td>−0.86</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastille M3470D</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>398.0</td>
<td>−0.58</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantilever BGT3474</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>−0.79</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantilever M3474A</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>109.0</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sully M3474B</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>−0.87</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sully BGT3480</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>534.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End member</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−1.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF, 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dudley M4132-23</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>459.0</td>
<td>−1.13</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puffer IGT4134-5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>459.0</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sully IGT4139-2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>463.0</td>
<td>−1.10</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastille IGT4139-4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>489.0</td>
<td>−1.03</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke and Mirrors (flange) IGT4148-5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>540.0</td>
<td>−0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter Island IGT4142-4</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>494.0</td>
<td>−1.18</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter Island IGT4142-5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>494.0</td>
<td>−1.10</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothra (Hot Harold) M4140-11</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>545.0</td>
<td>−1.07</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothra (Faulty Towers) CGT4143</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>508.0</td>
<td>−1.07</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End member</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF, 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobo 4743D</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>540.0</td>
<td>−0.99</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastille 4743C</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>456.0</td>
<td>−0.94</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dante 4744 6-2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>525.0</td>
<td>−0.83</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP 4743E</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>522.0</td>
<td>−1.09</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sully (flange) 4743 6-4</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>508.0</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobo 4744 6-1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>454.0</td>
<td>−1.03</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puffer 4743 6-5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>445.0</td>
<td>−0.89</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End member</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial, 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mushroom 4739E</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>706.0</td>
<td>−1.12</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferno 4739D</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>706.0</td>
<td>−1.08</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hell 4739C</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>577.0</td>
<td>−1.11</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix 4739B</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>581.0</td>
<td>−1.18</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gollom 4741B</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>569.0</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hell 4741C</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>577.0</td>
<td>−1.19</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferno 4741D</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>699.0</td>
<td>−1.05</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix 4741E</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>584.0</td>
<td>−1.02</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End member</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−1.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on next page)
Table 4 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mg (mM)</th>
<th>Ca (mM)</th>
<th>Mg/Ca</th>
<th>Cl (mM)</th>
<th>(\delta^{44/40})Ca ± 0.08‰ (1 SE)</th>
<th>(\delta^{44/42})Ca ± 0.04‰ (1 SE)</th>
<th>(\delta^{44/43})Ca ± 0.05‰ (1 SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPR 9°50'N, 2008</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio 9</td>
<td>B9-4386-IGT6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>313.0</td>
<td>−0.96</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crab Spa</td>
<td>CS-4394-IGT6</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>520.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tica</td>
<td>TC-4388-IGT5</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>366.0</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P vent</td>
<td>P-4393-IGT5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>387.0</td>
<td>−1.01</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ty/lo</td>
<td>TI-4393-IGT6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>165.0</td>
<td>−0.89</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ty/lo</td>
<td>TI-4397-IGT5</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>532.0</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End member</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPR 13°N, 2008</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinoir</td>
<td>AC-4391-MW</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>528.0</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorian</td>
<td>DO-4391-MG</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>519.0</td>
<td>−0.57</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorian</td>
<td>DO-4392-IGT5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>466.0</td>
<td>−0.94</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Pr-4392-IGT6</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>525.0</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Bonum</td>
<td>GB-4389-IGT5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>642.0</td>
<td>−1.06</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jumeaux</td>
<td>JX-4392-MG</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>473.0</td>
<td>−0.51</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End member</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPR 9°50'N, 2004</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio9</td>
<td>IGT-3961-1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>328.0</td>
<td>−1.15</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio9</td>
<td>M-3961-16</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>454.0</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P V ent</td>
<td>IGT-3964-4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>546.0</td>
<td>−1.11</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tica Hi-T</td>
<td>M-3964-16</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>454.0</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tica-Lo-T</td>
<td>IGT-3961-3</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>577.0</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q V ent</td>
<td>M-3972-15</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>577.0</td>
<td>−1.04</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio9</td>
<td>M-3969-16</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>292.0</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End member</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mM = mmol/kg solution.
MEF = Main Endeavour Field, Juan de Fuca Ridge.
Axial = Axial Caldera, Juan de Fuca Ridge.
EPR = East Pacific Rise.
concentrations from these vents are always greater than seawater. In contrast, vents sampled at EPR 9°50’N (2008) have less than seawater chlorinity and the end-member \( ^{44}\text{Ca} = 1.00 \)‰ (Table 4). The natural vent samples coincide with our experimental results that indicate significant Ca isotope fractionation does not occur between vapor-liquid pairs (Fig. 9) within the pressure and temperature ranges investigated (31.0–37.5 MPa, 420 °C and 36.0–46.0 MPa, 450 °C). These conditions were chosen because similar conditions prevail for seafloor vent systems during steady state intervals between magmatic activity (Fontaine et al., 2009; Pester et al., 2014).

A large dataset now exists indicating that the \( ^{44}\text{Ca} \) composition of MORB is \( 1.02 \pm 0.18 \)‰ (SW) (Wombacher et al., 2009; Amini et al., 2009; Schiller et al., 2012; Colla et al., 2013; Valdes et al., 2014; Lehn and Jacobson, 2015; Feng et al., 2017). The \( ^{44}\text{Ca} \) composition of end-member vent fluid samples from the present study all lie within the MORB field (Fig. 6), as do end-member fluids from the Logatchev hydrothermal field, \( -1.00 \pm 0.05\%e \) (SW) (Amini et al., 2008), and 17° to 19°S on the East Pacific Rise, \( -1.00 \pm 0.05\%e \) and \( -1.11 \pm 0.05\%e \) (SW) (Schmitt et al., 2003). That mid-ocean ridge vent fluids record MORB \( ^{44}\text{Ca} \) substantiates previous work (Zhu and Macdougall, 1998) that indicates that the primary effect of modern MOR hydrothermal systems is to trap seawater Ca and release mantle Ca to the ocean.

Isotopic and chemical measurements from MOR hydrothermal systems indicate that the hydrothermal fluid interacts with fresh basalt. Field and experimental studies
have shown that the constancy and similarity of Li isotope compositions from MOR vent fluids along the EPR, JdFR, and Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR) can best be explained by alteration of fresh basalt (Chan et al., 1992; Seyfried et al., 1998; Foustoukos et al., 2004). Reaction between low-temperature seawater and basalt results in altered basalt that is enriched in Li relative to the fresh rock (Chan et al., 1992). This isotopic fractionation is useful in that it creates distinct Li isotope compositions between altered and unaltered basalt that can be used to assess sources of vent fluid Li. Fluids venting at EPR, JdFR and MAR record Li isotopic signatures consistent with alteration of fresh basalt; there is no evidence for interaction of fluid with weathered basalt based on Li isotope compositions, regardless of the dissolved Cs/Rb ratio (Chan et al., 1993; Foustoukos et al., 2004). Elevated vent fluid Cs/Rb ratios have been used as evidence that fluids interact with altered basalt in some vent systems and fresh basalt in others (Palmer and Edmond, 1989). However, fluid reaction with altered basalt should express itself in both Li isotopes and Cs/Rb ratios given that all three elements leach similarly from the rock (Seyfried et al., 1998). Cs/Rb ratios may vary between vent sites as a result of processes such as differing Cs and Rb behavior during alteration above 400 °C (Vollinger, 1976; Berndt, 1987). Li, Rb, and Cs readily dissolve into hydrothermal fluid, leaving behind a rock depleted in these elements (Seyfried et al., 1998). The constant concentration of these three elements in vent fluids over time also demonstrates progressive infiltration of hydrothermal fluids into fresh basalt (Seyfried and Shanks, 2004). These data justify the use of δ44Ca of unaltered MORB as the host rocks at EPR and JdFR.

As demonstrated by Amini et al. (2008), precipitation of anhydrite during mixing of seawater and hydrothermal fluid will fractionate Ca isotopes. This fractionation will undoubtedly sequester light Ca (relative to the mixed seawater-hydrothermal fluid) in the shallow ocean crust, thereby affecting the composition of Ca isotopes that reach the ocean. The extent to which anhydrite precipitation alters the hydrothermal fluid Ca isotope flux to the ocean will require quantification of the size and isotopic composition of the global anhydrite reservoir. It should be noted, however, that anhydrite precipitation during any type of mixing (and the resultant Ca isotope fractionation) does not alter the end member hydrothermal fluid δ44Ca. By definition, the chemical and isotopic composition of an end member fluid (0 mM/kg Mg) results from fluid-mineral reactions and phase separation at depth before any mixing occurs (e.g. Bischoff and Seyfried, 1978; Von Damm et al., 1985). It is also not possible to precipitate anhydrite directly from end member fluid. Experiments, sulfur isotopes and analysis of drill core all indicate the presence of anhydrite at depth (Seyfried and Ding, 1995; Ono et al., 2007; Alt et al., 2010). Thus, end member fluids are anhydrite saturated at depth and become progressively under saturated as they rise and cool due to the retrograde solubility of anhydrite.

Further research is necessary in order to rigorously quantify the reactions that result in the observed δ44Ca of MOR hydrothermal fluids. Of particular interest are measurements of Ca isotope systematics between plagioclase, diopside, epidote, and coexisting fluid. These three minerals are primary hosts for Ca in MORB and control the Ca concentrations of vent fluids (Berndt et al., 1988; Seyfried et al., 1988) and likely the fluid Ca isotopic signature. Non-zero equilibrium Ca isotope fractionation has been documented at 1000 °C between coexisting clinopyroxene-orthopyroxene (Huang et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2014), suggesting a similar pattern may exist between minerals and fluid in MOR hydrothermal systems. If non-zero equilibrium Ca isotope fractionation is confirmed, the lack of fractionation observed in MOR systems would be due to kinetic effects. Fluid residence times at temperatures above 200 °C have been estimated between 1 and 2 years at Main Endeavor Field, JdFR based on 226Ra/236Ra activity ratios (Kadko and Butterfield, 1998), whereas sulfur isotope systematics from EPR and Mid-Atlantic Ridge vent sites indicate fluids reside at temperatures above 400 °C for a maximum of several days (Ohmoto and Lasaga, 1982; Ono et al., 2007). Ca isotope disequilibrium is therefore possible given the time (minimum of 74 days) necessary to achieve 90% fluid-anhydrite Ca isotope exchange, as determined in the present study. Additionally, at 300 °C, a minimum of 200 years is necessary for O isotope equilibrium between NaCl fluids and aluminosilicate minerals (Cole et al., 1992). Given the similar rates of Ca and O isotope exchange between anhydrite and fluid (Fig. 7), the results of Cole et al. (1992) demonstrate that Ca isotope exchange between fluid and igneous minerals may be considerably slower than between anhydrite and dissolved Ca.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Phase separation experiments performed in the CaSO4-NaCl-H2O system indicate that there is insignificant Ca isotope fractionation between coexisting vapor and liquids at elevated temperatures and pressures. The rate data indicate that at 410 °C, 50 MPa a minimum of 74 days are necessary to achieve fluid-anhydrite Ca isotopic equilibrium. The data also show that at relatively constant SO4 concentration the rate of Ca isotope exchange increases with higher total dissolved Ca, suggesting the importance of concentration on isotopic exchange in systems at chemical equilibrium. Applying the rate data to the phase separation experiments indicates that significant changes in the isotopic composition of either anhydrite or the vapor and liquid are unlikely with more reaction time.

End member fluid samples from basalt-hosted seafloor hydrothermal systems along the Juan de Fuca Ridge (Main Endeavor Field and Axial Volcano) and East Pacific Rise (9°50’N and 13°N) have δ44Ca that range between −0.98 and −1.13% (SW). Within analytical error, there is no observable Ca isotope fractionation between end member fluid and host rock, MORB. Together, the phase separation experiments and vent fluids indicate that neither phase separation nor fluid-rock reactions at depth fractionate Ca isotopes in high temperature basalt-hosted vent systems.
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APPENDIX A. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.01.028.
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