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ERRATA

TO: All recipients of UCRL-11899
FROM: Technical Information Division

Subject: UCRL-11899, "Spin Determination for Boson Resonances".

Please make the following corrections on subject report.

Page 2, line just below Eq. (4), should read:

where \( \hat{L} = (2L + 1)^{1/2} \) and \( \hat{S} = (2S + 1)^{1/2} \)

Page 3, middle, should read:

\[
A_{+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \hat{S}} \left[ a_+ (S)^{1/2} + a_- (S + 1)^{1/2} \right] \\
A_{-1} = A_{+1} \\
A_0 = \frac{1}{\hat{S}} \left[ -a_+ (S+1)^{1/2} + a_- (S)^{1/2} \right]
\]

Page 6, Eq. (21c) should read:

\[
G(20;LM) = (-1)^{s+1} \hat{S} t^M_L (2/3)^{1/2} \{ \ldots \}
\]

Page 6, Eq. (21d) should read:

\[
G(00;LM) = (-1)^{s+1} \hat{S} t^M_L (1/3)^{1/2} \{ \ldots \}
\]

Page 6, Eq. (22a) should read:

\[
\sqrt{2} \left[ G(00;LM) + G(20;LM) = (-1)^{s+1} \hat{S} t^M_L (6)^{1/2} (S1S-1 \mid L0) \mid A_{+1} \right]^2
\]

Page 8, Eq. (26a) should read:

\[
|A_{+1}|^2 = [1/4 (2S+1)] \{2S+1+y+ 2a [S(S+1)]^{1/2}\},
\]

Page 11, Eq. (A.7) should read:

\[
\langle \Omega \mid S_{\mu} \lambda \rangle = \hat{S} \int_{(4\pi)^{1/2}} (s)^{\mu \lambda} (\phi, \theta, \phi) \mid \lambda \rangle.
\]
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Abstract

A method of spin and parity determination has been worked out for boson resonances of spin $S$ which decay into a spin-1 particle and a spin-0 particle. It is shown that the quantity $S(S+1)$ can be given in terms of experimentally measurable averages. This affords a straightforward way of determining the spin uniquely. For the parity assignment, one finds that certain experimental averages are identically zero for one parity case and not the other. In addition, for the parity case in which two orbital angular momenta are allowed, decay parameters as well as multipole parameters for the spin-$S$ particle can be determined.
I. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to present a method of determining spin and parity of boson resonances which decay into a spin-1 particle and a spin-0 particle. This method can be applied to $B \rightarrow \pi + \omega$, $A_1, \omega \rightarrow \pi + \rho$, and $K_S \rightarrow K + \rho$. Several authors have discussed these problems before.

In this paper we adopt the approach of Byers and Fenster, which they used to describe fermion resonances decaying into spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ and spinless particles. We also use the helicity formalism of Jacob and Wick for the decay particle of spin 1, which makes it possible to bring out certain salient features of the problem as well as to reduce the amount of algebra required. Perhaps the most interesting result would be the relation (23), with which one could determine, with enough statistics, the spin of the resonant particle unambiguously.

II. Multipole Parameters

Consider a reaction of the type

$$A + B \rightarrow S \text{ (spin } S) + C,$$

$$S \rightarrow X \text{ (spin } 1) + Y \text{ (spin } 0).$$

The spin state of $S$ is described in its own rest frame (SRF), where, for convenience, the quantization axis ($z$ axis) is chosen to be along the production normal. One may define the density matrix of $S$ in this frame by

$$\rho^{(S)} = \sum_{\mu \mu', \lambda} \rho_{\mu \mu', \lambda}^{(S)} | S_\mu \rangle \langle S_\mu', \lambda |,$$

where $| S_\mu \rangle$ is the familiar spin state in the SRF.
Let us define an operator \( T^M_L \) by:

\[
T^M_L = \sum_{\mu} (S_{\mu}^\dagger \, L M \mid S_{\mu} \rangle \langle S_{\mu}^\dagger \mid, 
\]

where \( (S_{\mu}^\dagger \, L M \mid S_{\mu} \rangle \) is the usual Clebsch-Gordan coefficient. In terms of this, one now defines the "multipole parameter" \( t^M_L \) by:

\[
\rho(s) = \sum_{LM} \left( \frac{\hat{L}}{S} \right)^2 t^M_L \ T^M_L, 
\]

where \( \hat{L} = \sqrt{2L+1} \) and \( \hat{S} = \sqrt{2S+1} \).

Comparing (2) and (4), one finds

\[
\rho^{(s)}_{\mu\mu'} = \left( \frac{\hat{L}}{S} \right)^2 \sum_{LM} t^M_L \ (S_{\mu}^\dagger \, L M \mid S_{\mu}). 
\]

then, by inverting,

\[
t^M_L = \sum_{\mu\mu'} \rho^{(s)}_{\mu\mu'} (S_{\mu}^\dagger \, L M \mid S_{\mu}). 
\]

Note that \( L \leq 2S \) and that \( t^0_L = 1 \) since \( \text{tr} \rho^{(s)} = 1 \).

Because of the hermiticity of the density matrix, one has

\[
t^M_L = (-)^M t^{-M}_L. 
\]

If A and B are unpolarized and one sums over the spin states of C, one has the condition, for parity-conserving reactions,

\[
\rho^{(s)}_{\mu\mu'} = e^{i(\mu' - \mu)^2} \rho^{(s)}_{\mu\mu'}. 
\]

Substituting (8) into (6), one gets:

\[
t^M_L = (-)^M t^{-M}_L. 
\]

This means that \( t^M_L = 0 \) for odd \( M \).
We now turn to the description of the decay of $S$. Let us define $|\lambda\rangle$ to be the helicity state for the decay particle $X$ and $k$ to be its momentum in the direction $\Omega = (\theta, \phi)$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is the transition matrix for $S$ decaying into $X$ and $Y$, where $X$ has momentum $k$ and helicity $\lambda$, $\mathcal{M}^{(s)}$ becomes (see Appendix I):

$$\mathcal{M}^{(s)} \mathcal{M} = \sum_{LM} \frac{\hat{S}^L}{4\pi} t^M_{L*} \sum_{\lambda\lambda'} (-)^{s-\lambda'} (s \lambda s - \lambda' | L \lambda - \lambda' \rangle \mathcal{J}^{(L)*}_{M, \lambda, \lambda'} (\phi, \theta, -\phi) A^*_{\lambda} | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda', | \lambda \rangle,$$

where $A_{\lambda}$ is the "helicity amplitude" for the decay. It depends on the orbital angular momentum $L_S$. Assuming parity is conserved, one has two different sets for helicity amplitudes:

$$\begin{align*}
\ell_S &= S \pm 1 \\
A_{+1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} S (a_+ \sqrt{S+1} + a_- \sqrt{S}) \\
A_{-1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} S (a_+ \sqrt{S+1} + a_- \sqrt{S}) \\
A_0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} S (a_+ \sqrt{S+1} + a_- \sqrt{S})
\end{align*}$$

where $a_{\pm}$ are amplitudes for $\ell_S = S \pm 1$ and $|a_+|^2 + |a_-|^2 = 1$.

If $S = 0$, only one angular momentum state is possible, i.e., $\ell_S = 1$. In this case, one has $A_{+1} = A_{-1} = 0$ and $A_0 = 1$.

The decay angular distribution can be calculated from Eq. (10) by taking the trace. Using the relation

$$\mathcal{J}^{(L)*}_{M, 0} (\phi, \theta, -\phi) = \frac{(4\pi)^{1/2}}{L} \hat{Y}^M_L (\Omega),$$

one gets, for angular distribution,

$$I(\Omega) = \sum_{LM} \frac{\hat{S}}{(4\pi)^{1/2}} t^M_{L*} \hat{Y}^M_L (\Omega) \left\{ \sum_{\lambda} (-)^{s-\lambda} (s \lambda s - \lambda | L0 \rangle | A_{\lambda} |^2 \right\}.$$
Note that the expression inside the bracket vanishes for odd $L$ or for $L > 2S$.

One may describe the density matrix of $X$ in the same way as $\rho^{(s)}$. For the purpose, one defines the rest frame of $X$ as follows. First, one rotates the SRF by Euler angles $\{\phi, \theta, -\phi\}$ and then goes to the rest frame of $X$ by pure time like Lorentz transformation. In this frame one may define the density matrix operator $\rho^{(1)}$ for $X$ by [in analogy to (2) and (3)]

$$\rho^{(1)} = \sum_{\ell m} \frac{\ell^2}{3} r^m_{\ell} \sum_{\lambda \lambda'} (\ell \lambda \ell m | \lambda \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda')$$

where $r^m_{\ell}$ stands for the multipole parameter of $X$. Note that $\ell \leq 2$ and that $r^0_0 = 1$ since $\text{tr} \rho^{(1)} = 1$. Note also that states $|\lambda\rangle$ are just the helicity states for $X$.

III. Relation for Spin Determination

Since the trace of $\rho^{(1)}$ is equal to 1, one may write $\mathcal{N} \rho^{(s)} \mathcal{N} = I(\Omega) \rho^{(1)}$.

One then obtains, by comparing (10) and (14),

$$I(\Omega) \sum_{\ell} \frac{\ell}{\sqrt{3}} r^{(\lambda - \lambda')^*} (-)^{1 - \lambda'} (\ell \lambda | \ell \lambda')$$

$$= \sum_{LM} \frac{S L}{4\pi} t^M_{L} (-)^{s - \lambda'} (s \lambda S - \lambda' | L \lambda - \lambda') A_{\lambda} A^{*}_{\lambda'} \sigma^{(L)^*}_{M, \lambda - \lambda'} (\phi, \theta, -\phi),$$

after using the relation (A.10).

Using the formula $^{14}$

$$\int d\Omega \sigma^{(L)^*}_{m \lambda} \sigma^{(L')}_{m' \lambda'} = \frac{4\pi}{\ell^2} \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{m m'},$$

one gets, from (15)

$$\sum_{\ell} \frac{\ell}{\sqrt{3}} (\ell \lambda | \ell \lambda') \int d\Omega I(\Omega) r^{(\lambda - \lambda')^*} \sigma^{(L)^*}_{M, \lambda - \lambda'} (\phi, \theta, -\phi)$$

$$= (-)^{s+1} \frac{S}{L} t^M_{L} (s \lambda s - \lambda' | L \lambda - \lambda') A_{\lambda} A^{*}_{\lambda'}.$$
For a fixed \( m = \lambda - \lambda' \), multiplying both sides by \((1\lambda 1 - \lambda') | \ell \rangle m\) and summing over \( \lambda \) and \( \lambda' \), one obtains

\[
\frac{\ell L}{\sqrt{3}} \langle r^m_{\ell} \mathcal{O} (L)^*_{\ell m} (\phi, \theta, -\phi) \rangle = (-)^{s+1} \sum_{\lambda \lambda'} (1\lambda 1 - \lambda') | \ell \rangle m \) \((s \lambda s - \lambda' | \ell \rangle m) A^*_\lambda A_{\lambda'},
\]

where one has taken the complex conjugate.

Let us denote the left-hand side of (17) by

\[
G(\ell m; LM) = \frac{\ell L}{\sqrt{3}} \langle r^m_{\ell} \mathcal{O} (L)^*_{\ell m} (\phi, \theta, -\phi) \rangle.
\]

Then, we have

\[
G(\ell m; LM) = (-)^{s+1} \sum_{\lambda \lambda'} (1\lambda 1 - \lambda') | \ell \rangle m \) \((s \lambda s - \lambda' | \ell \rangle m) A^*_\lambda A_{\lambda'}, \quad (19)
\]

It is shown in Appendix II that \( G(\ell m; LM) \) can be evaluated from experiment for \( \ell = 0 \) or 2.

Using (7), (14), and (19), one has the condition

\[
G(\ell m; LM) = (-)^{\ell} G(\ell; -m; LM) \quad \text{(even } \ell) \quad (20a)
\]

and

\[
G^*(\ell m; LM) = (-)^{\ell+M} G(\ell m; L-M) \quad \text{(even } \ell). \quad (20b)
\]

These relations show that it is not necessary to consider negative values of \( m \)

and \( M \) when evaluating \( G(\ell m; LM) \).

It is convenient to write down the relation (19) explicitly for different values

of \( \ell \) and \( m \):

\[
G(22; LM) = (-)^{s+1} \sum_{\lambda} (S1 S: 1 | L2) A^*_1 A_{-1} \quad \text{(even } \ell), \quad (21a)
\]

\[
G(21; LM) = (-)^{s+1} \sum_{\lambda} (S1S0 | L1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ A^*_1 A_0 + (-)^{\ell} A^*_0 A_{-1} \right\}, \quad (21b)
\]
From (21c) and (21d), one has

\[ \sqrt{2} G(00;LM) + G(20;LM) = (-)^{S+1} \hat{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \mathbf{L} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} (S_{1S-1} | L0) \left| A_{+1} \right|^2 \]  
\[ \text{ (even L),} \]  
\[ \text{(21c)} \]

\[ \sqrt{2} G(00;LM) + G(20;LM) = (-)^{S+1} \hat{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \mathbf{L} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \left(2(S_{1S-1} | L0) | A_{+1} \right|^2 - (S_{0S0} | L0) | A_0 \right|^2 \]  
\[ \text{ (even L),} \]  
\[ \text{(21d)} \]

The Clebsch-Gordan coefficients in (21a) and (22a) can be expressed in terms of \( (S_{0S0} | L0) \) if \( L \) is even:

\[ (S_{1S-1} | L0) = \left\{ \left[ \frac{L(L+1)}{2S(S+1)} \right] - 1 \right\} (S_{0S0} | L0), \]
\[ (S_{1S+1} | L2) = \left[ \frac{L(L+1)}{(L-1)(L+2)} \right]^{1/2} (S_{0S0} | L0). \]

Taking the ratio of (21a) and (22a) and using the above two relations, one gets, for the spin \( S(\geq 1) \),

\[ S(S+1) = \frac{L(L+1) G(20;LM)}{\epsilon[2L(L+1)/3(L-1)(L+2)]^{1/2}[\sqrt{2} G(00;LM) + G(20;LM)] + 2G(22;LM)} \]  
\[ \text{(23)} \]

where \( L \) is even \((\geq 2)\) and \( \epsilon = \pm 1 \). \( \epsilon = +1 \) corresponds to \( \ell_s = S \pm 1 \), and \( \epsilon = -1 \) to \( \ell_s = S \).

It is to be understood that the relation (23) is true both for real and imaginary parts of \( G(\ell m;LM) \) separately for all allowed values of \( L \) and \( M \). Using (9) and (20b), one can show that there are \( (L+1) \) independent tests for a given \( L \). Note that formula (23) can be applied only after the parity is determined.
IV. Tests for Spin and Parity

Suppose $S = 0$. Then only one angular momentum state is possible, i.e., $l_S = 1$. For this case, all $G(\ell m; LM)$ should vanish except $G(00; 00)$ and $G(20; 00)$. Furthermore, we must have $G(00; 00) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $G(20; 00) = -(2/3)^{1/2}$.

Now, consider the case $S \geq 1$. If the parity of $S$ is such that $l_S = S$, we must have $A_0 = 0$ from (11). Therefore, for this parity assignment, we have

$$G(21; LM) = 0,$$

(24a)

where $L$ can be either even or odd. Also, from (22b),

$$G(00; LM) = \sqrt{2}G(20; LM) \quad \text{(even } L) .$$

(24b)

One does not expect in general that these conditions hold for the other parity case ($l_S = S \pm 1$), so that conditions (24a) and (24b) afford a means of determining the parity of $S$. However, for the latter parity case ($l_S = S \pm 1$), one may have $G(21; LM) \approx 0$ for odd $L$, if $l_S = S - 1$ dominates over $l_S = S + 1$. So, (24a) is a strong test only for even $L$.

In order to determine the spin itself, one applies the condition that

$G(\ell m; LM) = 0$, if $L > 2S$. This gives the minimum value of $S$ consistent with the experimental data. For direct determination of the spin, one uses the relation (23). If $L_{\text{max}}$ is the largest even value of $L$ for which $G(\ell m; LM)$ is nonzero, one has $1/4 L_{\text{max}}(L_{\text{max}} + 4)$ independent tests available for (23).

We refer to reference 8 for the statistical treatment involved in evaluating $G(\ell m; LM)$ from experimental data. One notes that some care needs to be taken when using the formula (23), for the statistical distribution of $S(S+1)$ as evaluated by the relation is not of Gaussian form. However, the distribution can be calculated for various hypotheses of $S$, from which one can assess confidence levels on the experimental value of $S(S+1)$. 
Once spin and parity are determined from experiment, one can evaluate decay parameters if the decay proceeds by two orbital angular momentum states: \( \ell_s = S \pm 1 \) (we consider the case \( S \geq 1 \)). Decay parameters are defined in the usual way [see (11)]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha &= 2 \text{Re} \left( a_- a_+^* \right), \\
\beta &= 2 \text{Im} \left( a_- a_+^* \right), \\
\gamma &= |a_-|^2 - |a_+|^2,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1 \). In terms of these,

\[
\begin{align*}
|A_{+1}|^2 &= \frac{1}{4(2S+1)} \left\{ 2S+1 + \gamma + 2\alpha [S(S+1)]^{1/2} \right\}, \\
|A_{-1}|^2 &= |A_{+1}|^2, \\
|A_0|^2 &= \frac{1}{2(2S+1)} \left\{ 2S+1 - \gamma - 2\alpha [S(S+1)]^{1/2} \right\}, \\
A_{+1}^* A_0 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}(2S+1)} \left\{ -\alpha + 2\gamma [S(S+1)]^{1/2} + i\beta (2S+1) \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Using (21), (22) and (26), one evaluates various ratios from \( G(\ell m;LM) \) for given \( L \) and \( M \) (both even) but with different \( \ell \) and \( m \). This gives two (or more) independent equations involving \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \), so that one can solve for them. Note that the sign of \( \beta \) cannot be determined.

Once these parameters are obtained, one can determine \( t_{LM}^M \) for all allowed values of \( L \) and \( M \) by using (21) and (22). However, one cannot determine the overall sign of \( t_{LM}^M \) if \( L \) is odd. For a consistency check, one may apply inequality relationships which exist for absolute values of \( t_{LM}^M \). If the parity of \( S \) is such that \( \ell_s = S \), it is not possible to determine \( t_{LM}^M \) for odd \( L \).
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Appendix I

Here we derive Eq. (10). We may define the "helicity amplitude" for the decay of $S$ by

$$M |S_{\mu}\rangle = (-1)^{s+1} \sum_{\lambda} A \langle \Omega |S_{\mu} ; \lambda \rangle,$$

(A.1)

where $\langle \Omega |S_{\mu} ; \lambda \rangle$ stands for a two-particle state with the relative momentum in the direction $\Omega$ and eigenvalues $S$ and $\mu$ and helicity $\lambda$. In terms of orbital angular momentum $\ell_{s}$, one may write

$$M |S_{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{\ell_{s}} (-1)^{\ell_{s}} a_{\ell_{s}} \langle \Omega | \ell_{s} 1 S_{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{\nu} (-1)^{s+\nu} a_{\nu} \langle \Omega |S+\nu, 1 ; S_{\mu}\rangle,$$

(A.2)

where one has set $\ell_{s} = S+\nu$ and $\nu = -1, 0, +1$. $a_{\nu}$ is the amplitude for the orbital angular momentum $\ell_{s} = S+\nu$.

There is a prescription which connects the helicity state with a state of definite orbital angular momentum:

$$(S_{\mu}; \lambda | \ell_{s} 1 S_{\mu}\rangle = \frac{\ell_{s}}{S} (\ell_{s} 0 1 \lambda |S\lambda\rangle).$$

(A.3)

Using this relation, one has

$$\langle \Omega | \ell_{s} 1 S_{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{\lambda} \langle \Omega |S_{\mu} ; \lambda \rangle (S_{\mu} ; \lambda | \ell_{s} 1 S_{\mu}\rangle).$$

Then, from (A.2),

$$M |S_{\mu}\rangle = (-1)^{S} \sum_{\lambda} \langle \Omega |S_{\mu} ; \lambda \rangle \sum_{\nu} (\nu)^{\nu} a_{\nu} \frac{(S+\nu)}{S} (S+\nu, 0; 1 \lambda |S\lambda\rangle).$$

One may compare this with (A.1) to get:

$$A_{\lambda} = \sum_{\nu} (-1)^{\nu+1} a_{\nu} \frac{(S+\nu)}{S} (S+\nu, 0; 1 \lambda |S\lambda\rangle).$$

(A.4)
The Clebsch-Gordan coefficients in (A.4) can be calculated in general:\(^13\)

\[
\begin{align*}
(S-1, 0; 1, \pm 1 \mid S, \pm 1) &= [(S+1)/2(2S-1)]^{1/2}, \\
(S+1, 0; 1, \pm 1 \mid S, \pm 1) &= [S/2(2S+3)]^{1/2}, \\
(S, 0; 1, \pm 1 \mid S, \pm 1) &= \pm 1/\sqrt{2}, \\
(S-1, 0; 1, 0 \mid S, 0) &= [S/(2S-1)]^{1/2}, \\
(S+1, 0; 1, 0 \mid S, 0) &= -[(S+1)/(2S+3)]^{1/2}, \\
(S, 0; 1, 0 \mid S, 0) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(A.5)

where the first four relations are valid for \( S \geq 1 \). Using these, one gets, from (A.4),

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{+1} &= 1/\sqrt{2} \left\{ a_+ [S/(2S+1)]^{1/2} + a_- [(S+1)/(2S+1)]^{1/2} + a_0 \right\}, \\
A_{-1} &= 1/\sqrt{2} \left\{ a_+ [S/(2S+1)]^{1/2} + a_- [(S+1)/(2S+1)]^{1/2} - a_0 \right\}, \\
A_0 &= -a_+ [(S+1)/(2S+1)]^{1/2} + a_- [S/(2S+1)]^{1/2}.
\end{align*}
\]

(A.6)

Note that \( \sum_\lambda |A_\pm|^2 = 1 \) if \( \sum_\nu |a_\nu|^2 = 1 \).

Since parity is conserved, (A.6) breaks up into two different sets, which are given in (11).

States \( \langle \Omega \mid S \mu; \lambda \rangle \) can be expressed in terms of \( \mathcal{O}_{\mu \lambda}^\dagger (s)^* (\phi, \theta, -\phi) \) and the helicity state \( \mid \lambda \rangle \) of \( X^{19} \):

\[
\langle \Omega \mid S \mu; \lambda \rangle = \frac{\hat{S}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \mathcal{O}_{\mu \lambda}^\dagger (s)^* (\phi, \theta, -\phi) \mid \lambda \rangle.
\]

(A.7)

Substituting (A.1) and (A.7) into (4), one gets

\[
\mathcal{M}_p(s)_{\mu, \mu'}^{+} = \sum_{LM} \frac{i}{4\pi} \epsilon_{LM} \sum_{\mu \lambda} (S \mu L M | S \mu) \sum_{\lambda \lambda'} \mathcal{O}_{\mu \lambda}^\dagger (s)^* (\phi, \theta, -\phi) \mathcal{O}_{\mu' \lambda'} (s) (\phi, \theta, -\phi) A_{\lambda} A_{\lambda'}^* \langle \lambda |.\]

(A.8)
Using the relation
\[ \frac{D_1^{(l')}}{m_1 m_2} \frac{D_2^{(l')}}{m_1' m_2'} = \sum_j (l m_1^j l' m_1^j | j m_1 - m_1^j) \times (l m_2^j l' m_2^j | j m_2 - m_2^j) \times (-)^{l_1-m_1^j} \delta_{m_1'-m_2^j} \] 
(A.9)
and
\[ \langle l_1 m_1 l_2 m_2 | l_3 m_3 \rangle = (-)^{l_1-m_1} \frac{l_3}{l_2} \langle l_3 m_3 | l_1 - m_1 | l_2 m_2 \rangle \] 
(A.10)

One can reduce (A.8) into Eq. (10).

Appendix II.

In order to evaluate \( G(\ell m;LM) \) from experiment, one first needs to relate \( r_\ell \) to experimentally measurable quantities.

For convenience, the rest frame of \( X \) as defined in Section II may be referred to as the XRF\(_1\). In this frame, one defines a unit vector (or a pseudovector) \( \hat{n} \) to describe the decay of \( X \). Thus, in the case of \( \omega \), \( \hat{n} \) is the unit normal to the decay plane of \( \omega \), whereas for the \( p \) decay \( \hat{n} \) stands for the momentum direction for one of the decay pions.

As \( X \) decays, its helicity state \( |\lambda \rangle \) transforms into \( Y_4^\lambda (\hat{n}) \). Using (14), one may then calculate the following average in the XRF\(_1\):
\[ \langle Y_4^{m^*}(\hat{n}) \rangle = \sum_{\ell l \ell' m} \frac{\hat{n}^2}{3} \sum_{\lambda \lambda'} (1 \ell' l m | 1 \lambda) \int d \Omega \hat{n} Y_4^\lambda (\hat{n}) Y_4^{\ell' \lambda'} (\hat{n}) Y_4^{m^*} (\hat{n}) , \]
where \( d \Omega \hat{n} \) is the element of solid angle in the \( \hat{n} \) space. The integral on the right-hand side is equal to
\[ \frac{3}{(4\pi)^{1/2} \ell_2} (1010 | \ell 0)(-)^{\lambda'} (1 \lambda 1 - \lambda' | \ell m) . \]
Using (A.10), one gets finally
\[
\mathbf{r}^m_\ell = -(4\pi/3)^{1/2} \left\langle \mathbf{Y}_\ell^m \right\rangle \Omega/(10\Omega | 0), \tag{A.11}
\]
where the average is to be performed for a fixed \(\Omega\), so that \(\mathbf{r}^m_\ell\) is now a function of \(\Omega\). Note that \(\mathbf{r}^m_\ell\) can be evaluated in this way only for even \(\ell\), i.e., \(\ell = 0\) or \(\ell = 2\).

Now, one may evaluate \(G(\ell m; LM)\) from experiment by using (A.11) and (18):
\[
\begin{align*}
G(00; LM) &= (4\pi/3)^{1/2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y^M_L (\Omega_i) \\
G(20; LM) &= -(10\pi/3)^{1/2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y^m_2 (\tilde{\Omega}_i) \mathbf{A}^{(L)*}_{2Mm} (\phi_i, \theta_i, -\phi_i),
\end{align*}
\tag{A.12}
\]
where the sum is over all events in which the decay of the particle \(S\) is observed and \(N\) is the total number of these events. Note that \(Y^M_L (\Omega_i)\) and \(\mathbf{A}^{(L)*}_{2Mm} (\phi_i, \theta_i, -\phi_i)\) are evaluated in the SRF, whereas \(Y^m_2 (\tilde{\Omega}_i)\) is evaluated in the XRF.

Since \(m \leq 2\), \(\mathbf{A}^{(L)*}_{Mm}\) can be easily related to simpler functions. For convenience, we list a few useful formulas involving \(\mathbf{A}^{(L)}_{Mm}\):
\[
\mathbf{A}^{(L)}_{Mm} (\phi, \theta, -\phi) = e^{-i(M-m)\phi} d^{(L)}_{Mm} (\theta), \tag{A.13}
\]
\[
2[(L+2)(L+1)]^{1/2} d^{(L)}_{M2} (\theta) = [(L+M)(L+M-1)]^{1/2} (1 + \cos\theta) d^{(L-1)}_{M-1, 1} (\theta) + 2(L^2 - M^2)^{1/2} \sin\theta d^{(L-1)}_{M1} (\theta) + [(L-M)(L-M-1)]^{1/2} (1 - \cos\theta) d^{(L-1)}_{M+1, 1} (\theta), \tag{A.14}
\]
\[
d^{(L)}_{M1} (\theta) = -[L(L+1)]^{-1/2} \left\{ M(\csc \theta + \cot \theta) d^{(L)}_{M0}(\theta) + [(L-M)(L+M+1)]^{1/2} d^{(L)}_{M+1, 0} \right\}, \tag{A.15}
\]
\[
d^{(L)}_{M0} (\theta) = (-)^M \left[ \frac{(L-M)!}{(L+M)!} \right]^{1/2} P^M_L (\cos\theta), \tag{A.16}
\]
where \( P_L^M(\cos \theta) \) is the associated Legendre polynomial.

There exists an alternative method of evaluating \( G(\ell m;LM) \). It involves defining multipole parameters of \( X \) in a different coordinate system. Let \( \text{XRF}_2 \) be the rest frame of \( X \) obtained by pure timelike Lorentz transformation directly from the SRF (no intermediate spatial rotation). Then the \( \text{XRF}_1 \) and the \( \text{XRF}_2 \) are related by Euler angles \((\phi, \theta, -\phi)\) with respect to each other. Since \( r_{\ell}^m \) transforms in the same way as spherical harmonics \( Y_{\ell}^m \) under spatial rotations, one has

\[
\tilde{r}_{\ell}^m = \sum_{m'} \sum_{m''} \tilde{r}_{\ell}^{m'} \mathcal{O}_{m'm''}^{(\ell)} (\phi, \theta, -\phi),
\]

(A.17)

where \( \tilde{r}_{\ell}^m \) is the multipole parameter of \( X \) in the \( \text{XRF}_2 \). In this frame, \( \tilde{r}_{\ell}^m \) is again given by (A.11).

Now, one substitutes (A.17) into (18) and then uses formulas (A.9), (A.10) and (12) to get:

\[
G(\ell m;LM) = (4\pi/3)^{1/2} \frac{\ell}{L} \sum_{\ell' m'} \mathcal{O}_{\ell' m' \ell m} (\ell' 0 \ell m | LM)(\ell' m' \ell M - m' | LM) \langle \tilde{r}_{\ell}^{(M-m')} Y_{\ell'}^{m'} \rangle,
\]

(A.18)

where \( \ell = 0 \) or 2. Using (20a), one sees that \( \ell' \) has only even values. The average appearing in (A.18) can be determined from experiment [in analogy to (A.12)] by

\[
\left\langle \tilde{r}_{\ell}^{0} Y_{\ell'}^{m'} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{\ell'}^{m'} (\Omega_i),
\]

(A.19)

\[
\left\langle \tilde{r}_{\ell}^{m} Y_{\ell'}^{m'} \right\rangle = \frac{-(2\pi)^{1/2}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{\ell}^{m} (\tilde{\Omega}_1) Y_{\ell'}^{m'} (\Omega_i).
\]

Note that \( Y_{\ell'}^{m'} (\Omega_i) \) is evaluated in the SRF, while \( Y_{\ell}^{m} (\tilde{\Omega}_1) \) is evaluated in the \( \text{XRF}_2 \).
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7. A slightly different approach to fermion resonances has been made by M. Ademollo and R. Gatto, Phys. Rev. 133, B531 (1964).


10. For similar relation for fermion resonances, see reference 7; also J. B. Shafer in reference 8.

11. See Eq. (20), reference 5.


13. For the definition of \( \hat{\alpha}^{(L)}_{MM} \), see reference 9.


17. See Eqs. (23) and (24), reference 5.


20. See, for instance Eq. (A.4) and Table I, reference 9.

21. This is related to the "test functions" A (l' l; LM) proposed in reference 3:

\[ G(\ell m; LM) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum \ell' \langle \ell' 0 | \ell m \rangle A(\ell' \ell; LM). \]
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