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A search for neutron-antineutron ($n - \bar{n}$) oscillation was undertaken in Super-Kamiokande using the 1489 live-day or $2.45 \times 10^{14}$ neutron-year exposure data. This process violates both baryon and baryon minus lepton numbers by an absolute value of two units and is predicted by a large class of hypothetical models where the seesaw mechanism is incorporated to explain the observed tiny neutrino masses and the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the Universe. No evidence for $n - \bar{n}$ oscillation was found, the lower limit of the lifetime for neutrons bound in $^{16}$O, in an analysis that included all of the significant sources of experimental uncertainties, was determined to be $1.9 \times 10^{32}$ years at the 90% confidence level. The corresponding lower limit for the oscillation time of free neutrons was calculated to be $2.7 \times 10^{8}$ s using a theoretical value of the nuclear suppression factor of $0.517 \times 10^{23}$ s$^{-1}$ and its uncertainty.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Fs, 12.10.Dm, 14.20.Dh, 29.40.Ka

I. INTRODUCTION

Searches for baryon number ($B$) violating processes are motivated by various grand unification theories (GUTs) and by the observation first put forward by A. Sakharov in 1967 that $B$, $C$, $CP$ violation, and nonequilibrium thermodynamics are needed to explain the observed matter-antimatter or baryon number asymmetry of the Universe. However recent experimental limits on matter-antimatter or baryon number asymmetry of the thermodynamics are needed to explain the observed baryon number asymmetry of the Universe.

Neutron-antineutron ($n - \bar{n}$) oscillation, a process that violates $B$ and $B - L$ by two units ($|\Delta B| = 2$ and $|\Delta (B - L)| = 2$), was first discussed by V. Kuzmin in 1970. The discovery of neutrino oscillations has renewed interest in theories with Majorana spinors which yield $B$ and $L$ symmetry breaking by allowing $|\Delta B - L| = 2$, with $|\Delta L| = 2$ as in neutrinoless double beta decay and $|\Delta B| = 2$ for $n - \bar{n}$ oscillation. Neutron-antineutron oscillation has also been predicted by GUTs with large or small extra space-time dimensions.

An important property of $n - \bar{n}$ oscillation is its dependence on a six quark operator, with a mass scaling of $M^{-5}$ instead of $M^{-2}$ as in the charged $X$ and $Y$ bosons that mediate nucleon decay in minimal $SU(5)$. Therefore, the observation of a significant $n - \bar{n}$ oscillation signal at Super-Kamiokande would imply new physics at a scale of approximately 100 TeV, a factor of 10 larger than the maximum energies scheduled for study at the Large Hadron Collider.

The previous best 90% confidence level (C.L.) lifetime limits for bound neutrons are from IMB with 1.7 and $2.4 \times 10^{31}$ year in two different analyses, Kamiokande with 4.3 and $10^{31}$ year in oxygen, Soudan 2 with $7.2 \times 10^{31}$ year in iron, and Frejus with $6.5 \times 10^{31}$ year in iron. The current best limit on the oscillation time of unbound neutrons is given by ILL (Grenoble) as $0.86 \times 10^{8}$ seconds. The lifetime limit for bound neutrons can be converted to the $n - \bar{n}$ oscillation time for free neutrons by the relationship

$$T_{n-\bar{n}} = R \cdot \tau_{n-\bar{n}}^2$$

where $\tau_{n-\bar{n}}$ and $T_{n-\bar{n}}$ are the oscillation time of a free neutron and the lifetime of a bound neutron, and $R$ is the nuclear suppression factor, for which theoretical estimates are given in the literature.

In this paper, we describe the results of our neutron-antineutron oscillation search in Super-Kamiokande. No positive signal was observed, but from our data we obtained a lower limit on the lifetime of a neutron in oxygen of $1.9 \times 10^{32}$ year (90% C.L.), which is about four times larger than the previous highest experimental limits.

II. THE SUPER-KAMIOKANDE DETECTOR

Super-Kamiokande is a ring imaging water Cherenkov detector containing 50 ktons of ultrapure water, located in Kamioka-town in Gifu prefecture, Japan. The detector is composed of the inner detector, which contains 22.5 kton fiducial volume, and the outer detector for tagging cosmic ray muons entering the detector. Descriptive overviews of Super-Kamiokande and technical details are given in the literature. The $n - \bar{n}$ oscillation analysis described in this paper uses the complete Super-Kamiokande-I data set, which is equivalent to $2.45 \times 10^{14}$ neutron-year exposure data. This data set of 1489 live-days was taken from May 31, 1996 to July 15, 2001.

An antineutron is expected to annihilate quickly with one of the surrounding nucleons and to produce multiple...
secondary hadrons, mainly pions. The total momentum of the secondaries is nearly zero, except for the Fermi momenta of the annihilating \( \bar{n} \) and nucleon, and the total energy is nearly equal to the total mass of the two nucleons. Therefore in the case of no nuclear interactions it was expected that \( n - \bar{n} \) oscillation events in oxygen would produce mesons with a total energy of about 2 GeV, distributed isotropically.

### III. MONTE CARLO SIMULATION

A detailed Monte Carlo (MC) simulation was formulated for this study. Since the literature on \((\bar{n} + \text{nucleon})\) annihilation in nuclei is sparse, \(pp\) and \(pd\) data from hydrogen and deuterium bubble chambers\[20–22\] were used to determine the branching ratios for the annihilation final states. The branching ratios included in our simulations are displayed in Table I. The values of kinematic quantities in our MC were determined using relativistic phase-space distributions that included the Fermi momentum of the annihilating nucleons. Pions and omegas produced in the \( n\)-nucleon annihilations were propagated through the residual nucleus. This study used an algorithm originally developed for the IMB experiment to simulate meson-nucleon interactions\[13\]. The cross sections for the pion-residual nucleus interactions were based on an interpolation from measured pion-carbon and pion-aluminum cross sections to those for pion\(^{16}\)O interactions. Excitation of the \( \Delta(1232) \) resonance was the most important effect in the nuclear propagation phase. It was assumed that the pion and omega cross sections scaled linearly with matter density, a quantity that decreases as the mesons move away from the annihilation point and exit from the nucleus. The Fermi momentum of the interacting nucleon and the possibility of Pauli blocking were also included in our simulations. We found that 49% of the pions did not interact, while 24% were absorbed and 3% interacted with a nucleon to produce an additional pion or occasionally two more pions, and the rest of the pion interactions involved scattering. These interaction probabilities yielded total and charged pion multiplicities of 3.5 and 2.2, respectively, with an average charged pion momentum of 310 MeV/c and a root mean square width of 190 MeV/c. There was a probability of 0.56% that an event included an \( \omega \) that emerged from the nucleus without having decayed. The final states of the nuclear fragments were calculated using an algorithm based on a simulation from Oak Ridge National Laboratory\[23\].

### TABLE I. The branching ratios for the \( \bar{n} + \text{nucleon} \) annihilations in our simulations. These factors were derived from \( pp \) and \( pd \) bubble chamber data\[20–22\].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n+p )</th>
<th>( \bar{n}+n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \pi^+\pi^- )</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi^+\pi^0 )</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 3\pi^0 )</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 2\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 )</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 2\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0 )</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 2\pi^+\pi^-\omega )</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 3\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 )</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi^+\pi^-\omega )</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. DATA REDUCTION AND RECONSTRUCTION

The trigger threshold for our search corresponds to 5.7 MeV of electromagnetic energy; therefore signal events would trigger with 100% efficiency. The majority of triggered events in the Super-Kamiokande data are background due to inherent radioactivity or to cosmic ray muons passing in the detector. Most of the cosmic ray muon events are rejected by a requirement that the number of hit PMTs in the outer detector within 800 ns of the trigger be less than 25. We also require that the reconstructed visible energy be greater than 30 MeV to remove the remaining low energy radioactivity. This constraint is also necessary to maintain the effectiveness of the event reconstruction. The reduction algorithms are identical to those used for the atmospheric neutrino analyses and nucleon decay searches\[24\].

Events remaining after the reduction procedure are processed by the full reconstruction program, which yields an overall event vertex, the number of Cherenkov rings, and a direction, particle identification determination, and a momentum for each ring\[24\]. For \( n + \bar{n} \) oscillation events the vertex resolution is 26 cm. Each
energy is defined as $E$, Visible energy $i$ is the mass of the \((\gamma, \mu, \pi, p)\)\) or “nonshowering” \((\mu, \pi, p)\) based upon its hit pattern and opening angle. The momentum is subsequently determined using the assigned particle type and the number of collected photoelectrons inside a cone with an opening half-angle of 70 degrees after corrections for geometric effects and light attenuation are made. Our choice of cone angle completely covers the Cherenkov cone in water, which has an opening half-angle of about 42 degrees. In multiple-Cherenkov ring events, we estimate and separate a sample of photoelectrons for each ring using an expected Cherenkov light distribution.

V. ANALYSIS

To isolate \(n - \bar{n}\) candidates we apply additional criteria to the fully contained (FC) event sample within the inner detector; this sample is used for our atmospheric neutrino analyses and nucleon decay searches [24]. In addition, we require the following:

(a) The number of Cherenkov rings \(> 1\), (b) 700 MeV < Visible energy < 1300 MeV, (c) 0 MeV/e < Total momentum < 450 MeV/c and (d) 750 MeV/c < Invariant mass < 1800 MeV/c\(^2\). The visible energy is defined as the energy of an electron that would produce the same total amount of light in the detector. The total momentum is \(P_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{i} E_{\text{rings}} \mathbf{p}_{\text{i}}\), where \(\mathbf{p}_{\text{i}}\) is the reconstructed momentum vector of the \(i\)th ring. The invariant mass is defined to be \(M_{\text{tot}} = \sqrt{E_{\text{tot}}^2 - P_{\text{tot}}^2}\), while the total energy is defined as \(E_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{i} \sqrt{\mathbf{p}_{\text{i}}^2 + m_i^2}\), where \(m_i\) is the mass of the \(i\)th ring assuming that showering and nonshowering rings are from \(\gamma\) rays and charged pions, respectively. The selection criteria given above were optimized to maximize the ratio \(\epsilon/\sqrt{b}\), where \(\epsilon\) is the signal detection efficiency and \(b\) is the number of background events.

Distributions of the four reconstructed kinematic variables are displayed in Fig. 1. Our atmospheric neutrino MC includes the effects of \(\nu_\mu\) to \(\nu_e\) neutrino oscillations, with mixing parameters of \((\sin^2 2\theta, \Delta m^2) = (1.0, 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2)\) obtained from our publication on this topic [24]. Figure 1(b) shows the visible energy distribution after the event selection criteria (a) based on the number of Cherenkov rings was imposed. The average visible energy for \(n - \bar{n}\) MC oscillation events is about 700 MeV, much lower than twice the nucleon mass. The reasons for observing lower visible energy include the mass of charged mesons, energy loss by mesons scattering off nucleons, and the absorption of mesons in nuclear reactions. The visible energy would approach twice the nucleon mass only if the annihilation resulted fully in electromagnetic showers (such as multiple \(e^0\) that decayed before interaction).

Application of the event selection criteria (a)-(d) yielded 24 candidate events, a detection efficiency of 12.1% from the remaining \(n - \bar{n}\) MC events in the final sample, and 24.1 background events as estimated for the 1489 days of Super-Kamiokande-I. Final event samples are displayed as scattered dots inside of the box-shaped scatterplots that apply criteria (c)-(d) in Fig. 2.

VI. SYSTEMATIC ERRORS

Uncertainties in the detection efficiency, exposure, and background rates are given in Table 1. The major sources of errors in the detection efficiency are the results of uncertainties in the models for propagation of pions and omega mesons through the residual nucleus. In particular, the error due to uncertainties in the \(\pi\)-nucleon cross section is 20.0%, as estimated from the \(\pi^{-}\alpha\) scattering data shown in [13] and by comparing results from two independent nuclear interaction programs originally developed by IMB [13] and by Kamiokande [14] (6.1%). The uncertainty from the \((\bar{n} + \text{nucleon})\) annihilation branching ratios is estimated to be 4.6% by comparing different MC results based on variations in the assumed branching ratios [28, 29]. The 0.6% asymmetry in the detector gain and the 2.0% difference in the energy scale between the data and the MC would affect the momentum cut and contribute 1.7% and 0.4% uncertainties, respectively. By comparing real data with MC events we estimate that the uncertainty in the Cherenkov ring finding procedure is 2.2%. The detection efficiency is estimated to have a total uncertainty of 22.9% while the exposure uncertainty was found to be 3.0% through an estimate of the error in the calculation of the effective fiducial volume.

The uncertainties in the atmospheric neutrino flux, the atmospheric neutrino energy spectrum, and the components of the atmospheric neutrino flux contribute 7.8% to the systematic uncertainty of the background rate. The DIS cross section uncertainty in the low \(q^2\) region yields a large contribution to the uncertainty in the background rate, which is estimated to be 14.1% by comparing the results from two different parametrizations of the parton distribution functions [30, 31]. The uncertainty in the energy scale, the lack of perfect uniformity in the PMT gain, and the uncertainty in the Cherenkov ring finding contribute a total of 14.9% to the systematic errors. The total systematic uncertainty in the background rate is estimated to be 23.7%.

VII. RESULTS

No significant excess was found in our full 1489 day Super-Kamiokande-I data set. The lower limit on the life-


FIG. 1. (color online) The distributions of the kinematic variables subject to additional criteria at each of the reduction steps described in the text including: (a) number of rings, (b) visible energy, (c) total momentum, and (d) invariant mass. Circles indicate data points with statistical error bars; solid blue lines and dashed red lines represent the atmospheric neutrino MC and the n–n̅ MC, respectively. Light vertical lines with arrows indicate the event selection criteria.

The time of a neutron bound inside an oxygen nucleus due to n–n̅ oscillation was calculated from the 24 observed candidate and 24.1 expected background events. All of the systematic uncertainties were included in the limit calculation by employing the Bayesian statistical method

\[
P(\Gamma|n_{\text{obs}}) = A \int \int e^{-\left(\Gamma \lambda \epsilon + b\right)\left(\Gamma \lambda \epsilon + b\right)^n_{\text{obs}}} \frac{1}{n_{\text{obs}}!} \
\times P(\Gamma) P(\lambda) P(\epsilon) P(b) d\lambda d\epsilon db.
\]

as follows:

\[
P(\Gamma|n_{\text{obs}}) = A \int \int e^{-\left(\Gamma \lambda \epsilon + b\right)\left(\Gamma \lambda \epsilon + b\right)^n_{\text{obs}}} \frac{1}{n_{\text{obs}}!} \
\times P(\Gamma) P(\lambda) P(\epsilon) P(b) d\lambda d\epsilon db.
\]

The above normalization constant A in Eq. 2 was determined by imposing the constraint \(\int_0^\infty P(\Gamma|n_{\text{obs}})d\Gamma = 1\)
where $\Gamma$, $\lambda = NT$, and $\epsilon$ are the true values of the event rate, exposure, and detection efficiency, respectively, for $n - \bar{n}$ oscillation. $N$ and $T$ are the number of neutrons and the live-days, $n_{\text{obs}} = 24$ is the number of observed candidates, and $b$ is the true mean number of background events. $P(\Gamma), P(\lambda), P(\epsilon), P(b)$ in Eq. (2) are the prior probability density functions, which we assume are Gaussian distributions for $\lambda$, $\epsilon$, and $b$. $P(\Gamma)$ is a flat distribution for $\Gamma \geq 0$ and 0 for negative $\Gamma$. Finally, the 90% C.L. limit of the neutron lifetime for $n - \bar{n}$ oscillation in oxygen with the inclusion of systematic uncertainties is determined from Eqs. (3)-(4) as follows:

$$T_{n-\bar{n}} = 1/\Gamma_{\text{limit}},$$

$$\text{C.L.} = \int_0^{\Gamma_{\text{limit}}} P(\Gamma|n)d\Gamma$$

where C.L.$=0.9$. The calculated result is

$$T_{n-\bar{n}} > 1.9 \times 10^{32} \text{ years.}$$

This lifetime for oscillation of a bound neutron is converted to the $n - \bar{n}$ oscillation time of a free neutron using Eq. (1). The result depends on the choice of the nuclear suppression factor, $R$. A straightforward application of Eq. (1), using our result in Eq. (5) and the older suppression factor $R = 1.0 \times 10^{23} \text{ s}^{-1}$ yields a limit on the free neutron oscillation time of $> 2.4 \times 10^8 \text{ seconds}$. This may be directly compared with the deduced free neutron limits from Kamiokande and IMB, as listed in Table III which used the same nuclear suppression factor. Since the time of those experiments, Friedman and Gal have published an improved calculation of the nuclear suppression factor [18]. Their calculation uses nuclear potentials based on recent data [33], resulting in a significantly lower value of:

$$R = 0.517 \times 10^{23} \text{ s}^{-1},$$

with a theoretical uncertainty of 20–30% [18]. We note that the earlier value of $R$ is well outside this theroet-
TABLE III. A comparison of the Super-Kamiokande results with those of previous $n-\bar{n}$ experiments using bound neutrons [13–16]. The abbreviation SK, SD2, and KAM stands for Super-Kamiokande, Soudan 2, and Kamiokande, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Source of neutrons</th>
<th>Exposure ($10^{22}$ neutron-yr)</th>
<th>Efficiency(%)</th>
<th>Candidates</th>
<th>Backgrounds</th>
<th>$T_{n-\bar{n}}$ ($10^{12}$yr)</th>
<th>Suppression factor ($10^{23}$ sec$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\tau_{n-\bar{n}}$ ($10^{7}$ sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD2</td>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frejus</td>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5(2.1)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAM</td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMB</td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The abbreviation SK, SD2, and KAM stands for Super-Kamiokande, Soudan 2, and Kamiokande, respectively.

VIII. SUMMARY

We have searched for neutron-antineutron oscillation with $2.45 \times 10^{24}$ neutron-years of exposure of $^{16}$O in the Super-Kamiokande-I experiment. A small number of simple selection criteria were employed and the search window in total momentum versus invariant mass was optimized for this exposure. Twenty-four events pass the selection criteria, but the estimated background was $24.1 \pm 5.7$ events, and the distribution of events agrees with the expectation from atmospheric neutrino interactions. The signal efficiency was $12.1 \pm 2.8\%$. We performed a limit calculation that incorporates systematic uncertainties, arriving at a bound lifetime limit of $T_{n-\bar{n}} > 1.9 \times 10^{12}$ years. This is more than four times greater than the best limit from a water Cherenkov experiment, despite having nearly eighty times the exposure because these searches are background limited. Using a recent calculation of the nuclear suppression factor, the negative results of our search for $n-\bar{n}$ oscillation in $^{16}$O is equivalent to a limit for free $n-\bar{n}$ oscillation time of greater than $2.7 \times 10^{8}$ seconds. This result is three times more restrictive than the ILL/Grenoble reactor experiment. This places strict limitations on $(\Delta B = 2, \Delta L = 0)$ processes in physics beyond the standard model.
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