

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Out-of-School Time and Adolescent Substance Use

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/26w3p6mx>

Journal

Journal of Adolescent Health, 57(5)

ISSN

1054-139X

Authors

Lee, Kenneth TH
Vandell, Deborah Lowe

Publication Date

2015-11-01

DOI

10.1016/j.jadohealth.2015.07.003

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed



Original article

Out-of-School Time and Adolescent Substance Use

Kenneth T. H. Lee, M.A.^{*}, and Deborah Lowe Vandell, Ph.D.

School of Education, University of California, Irvine, California

Article history: Received April 8, 2015; Accepted July 16, 2015

Keywords: Adolescence; Substance use; Out-of-school time; Adolescent development



ABSTRACT

Purpose: High levels of adolescent substance use are linked to lower academic achievement, reduced schooling, and delinquency. We assess four types of out-of-school time (OST) contexts—unsupervised time with peers, sports, organized activities, and paid employment—in relation to tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana use at the end of high school. Other research has examined these OST contexts in isolation, limiting efforts to disentangle potentially confounded relations.

Methods: Longitudinal data from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Study of Early Child Care and Youth Development (N = 766) examined associations between different OST contexts during high school and substance use at the end of high school.

Results: Unsupervised time with peers increased the odds of tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana use, whereas sports increased the odds of alcohol use and decreased the odds of marijuana use. Paid employment increased the odds of tobacco and alcohol use. Unsupervised time with peers predicted increased amounts of tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana use, whereas sports predicted decreased amounts of tobacco and marijuana use and increased amounts of alcohol use at the end of high school.

Conclusions: Although unsupervised time with peers, sports, and paid employment were differentially linked to the odds of substance use, only unsupervised time with peers and sports were significantly associated with the amounts of tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana use at the end of high school. These findings underscore the value of considering OST contexts in relation to strategies to promote adolescent health. Reducing unsupervised time with peers and increasing sports participation may have positive impacts on reducing substance use.

© 2015 Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine. All rights reserved.

IMPLICATIONS AND CONTRIBUTION

This study examines relations between four different out-of-school time contexts and adolescent substance use. When considered in the same analytic model, unsupervised time with peers and sports were the best predictors for substance use, underscoring the potential importance of out-of-school contexts as sources of risk and protection for substance abuse.

For adolescents, drug and alcohol use is related to decreases in motivation and academic achievement, reductions in cognitive processes, and increases in school misbehavior [1]. Furthermore, substance use in adolescence is a strong predictor for subsequent substance abuse, health problems, educational failure, mental health services, and needs for drug and alcohol treatment [2].

The purpose of this article is to examine links between adolescents' out-of-school time (OST) contexts and substance use at the end of high school. Four common OST contexts are

considered as follows: (1) unsupervised time with peers; (2) sports; (3) other organized activities such as band, speech, and student government; and (4) paid employment. These OST contexts constitute much of adolescents' discretionary time outside the school day [3]. For the most part, the effects of these contexts on adolescent developmental outcomes have been studied in separate research literature [3].

Unsupervised time with peers has been viewed as a problematic setting that promotes youth deviance [4] including substance use [5–7]. Osgood's extension of Routine Activity Theory [6] posits that unsupervised time with peers places youth at risk for misbehavior and deviant behaviors because of a convergence of three factors, such as the lack of adult

^{*} Address correspondence to: Kenneth T. H. Lee, M.A., School of Education, University of California, 3200 Education, Irvine, CA 92697-5500.

E-mail address: ktleee2@uci.edu (K.T.H. Lee).

supervision, the lack of structure, and the presence of peers who may encourage the risky acts [6]. Consistent with Routine Activity Theory, prior empirical research has found unsupervised time with peers to be linked to increased drug and alcohol use [5–7]. This research did not, however, take into account other OST contexts, such as organized activities and paid employment. Perhaps, it is not unsupervised time with peers, per se, but the lack of organized activities that is linked to substance use.

Organized activities, in contrast, is an OST context that theorists [8,9] have identified as promoting positive youth development. Critical aspects of organized activities such as sports, arts, and community service clubs are opportunities for enrichment and challenge, supportive relationships with adult leaders, positive peer networks, and a chance for choice and voice [3]. Empirical research has found participation in community service clubs and sports to be related to higher graduation rates and less alcohol and marijuana use, although effects of sports participation vary in response to peer cultures in the high school [10]. The positive relationships from adults and peers gained in these organized activities may provide protection from the societal pressures of adolescent substance use.

Paid employment is a third out-of-school context that has been posited to have both negative and positive implications [11]. Paid employment has been linked to increased substance use for youth with high work intensity [12–16] but at the same time has also been linked to lower rates of substance use when work quality is high [17]. Paid work may expose adolescents to more adult-like situations for which they are unprepared. For example, adolescents may spend time with older coworkers, increasing the chances of engaging in different substances.

Because prior research has examined OST contexts in separate studies, it has not been possible to disentangle potentially confounding relations. It is not clear, for example, if positive effects of organized activities are an artifact of less unsupervised time with peers or vice versa. Another limitation is that much of the prior research linking OST contexts to substance use has used a simple (yes/no) indicator of substance use instead of looking at amount of substance use [18]. High levels of substance use represent greater risk [19], so both are considered in this article. Finally, prior research has typically measured participation in OST contexts at a single point in time rather than cumulative participation over time [4,6,7,10,12,14,20]. We expect OST participation across the high school years to be a more robust predictor.

In summary, the present article examines the four different OST activities (unsupervised time with peers, sports, organized activities, and paid employment) measured early and late in the high school career in relation to both the odds and amounts of three different types of substance use (tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana), while controlling family and child factors and for prior substance use.

Methods

Participants were part of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Study of Early Child Care and Youth Development (NICHD SECCYD), a prospective longitudinal study conducted at 10 research sites (Pittsburg, PA; Seattle, WA; Philadelphia, PA; Little Rock, AR; Boston, MA; Lawrence, KS; Chapel Hill, NC; Charlottesville, VA; Madison, WI; and Irvine, CA) across the United States. Children ($n = 1,352$) were studied from birth

until the end of high school. At birth, 26% of the mothers in the recruited sample had no more than a high school education at recruitment, 20% had incomes not greater than 200% of the poverty level, and 22% were of color [21].

The present study focuses on four OST contexts and substance use. These were measured at age 15 and the end of high school and substance use at age 15 ($n = 955$) and at the end of high school ($n = 766$). Because the analyses are based on secondary analysis of deidentified data, it was considered exempt from human subjects' consideration from the institutional review board.

Measures

Measures of the OST contexts at 15 years and end of high school are presented first, followed by measures of substance use collected at 15 years and end of high school. Variables used as covariates are then described.

Out-of-school time contexts

Unsupervised time with peers. At age 15 and at the end of high school, adolescents reported how many weekdays and how many weekend hours they spend at least 30 minutes in the afternoon or evening after school with other kids such as friends or neighbors, not including brothers or sisters, without an adult. The scores for weekdays ranged from 0 to 5 weekdays and weekend hours ranged from 0 to 8 hours. At the two ages, the unsupervised time with peers variable was constructed by averaging the standardized value of weekdays and weekend hours at age 15 and end of high school. Values at these two time points were then averaged to create the average intensity of unsupervised time with peers during high school. Higher values indicate more unsupervised time with peers.

Sports participation. At age 15 and end of high school, adolescents reported the number of days of sports-related activities during a typical week, ranging from 0 to 7 days. Participation at age 15 and at the end of high school was averaged.

Other organized activities. Adolescents also reported participation in each of the five other forms of organized activities during the past year as follows: (1) arts (music, dance, drama, or art); (2) academic clubs (Spanish, computer, etc); (3) nonacademic clubs or groups; (4) religious groups; and (5) volunteer or community service work. For these activities, adolescents indicated the number of days of participation during a typical week, ranging 0 to 7 days. Participation was calculated by taking the sum of all nonsports activities participated by subjects, ranging from 0 to a possible 7 days of participation. Participation at age 15 and at the end of high school was averaged to create a composite of structured activity participation throughout adolescence.

Paid employment. Adolescents reported whether they had a paying job at age 15 and at the end of high school. If an adolescent reported having a paying job during the school year, he or she also indicated the number of hours per week typically worked using five categories (more than 20 hours, 16–20 hours, 11–15 hours, 6–10 hours, and 1–5 hours). If adolescents reported that they were not employed, work hours per week were coded as 0 hours. If participants indicated that they worked during the school year, the work hours per week was coded as the midpoint of each categorical variable. Values at age 15 and at the end of

high school were averaged to create a variable: intensity of paid employment hours during high school.

Substance use

Substance use at age 15. At age 15, adolescents were asked whether they ever used marijuana, drank alcohol, or smoked cigarettes.

Substance use at the end of high school. Students completed an online survey that asked (1) if they had ever used marijuana; (2) if they had ever drank alcohol; or (3) if they had ever smoked cigarettes at the end of high school.

To indicate the amounts of substance use, adolescents reported how often they used (1) marijuana; (2) alcohol; and (3) cigarettes in the past 30 days using six categorical responses: more than once a day; once a day; more than once a week; once a week; once every two weeks; or none over the past 30 days. Responses to these categorical variables were converted to a continuous scale ranging from 0 to 45 times over the past 30 days. If adolescents indicated that they never used marijuana, drank alcohol, or smoked cigarettes, number of times a participant used each substance in the past 30 days was coded as zero times, respectively.

Covariates. Measures of family and adolescent characteristics were collected and used as covariates. Demographic characteristics reported by mothers at the child's birth were the study child's gender, race (white, black, Hispanic, other), maternal age, and maternal education in years. At age 15, mothers reported family income and family size, which were used to calculate income-to-needs ratio. Site-fixed effects were also included to account for time invariant characteristics shared between subjects who were recruited at the same research site.

Two measures of the quality of the home environment were collected at age 15. The home observation for measurement of the environment [22] assesses physical and emotional aspects of the home environment. Maternal support and sensitivity were assessed during a semistructured mother–child interaction [23].

Adolescents self-reported their impulsivity at age 15 and end of high school, using the impulsivity subscale of the Weinberger Adjustment Inventory [24]. Impulsivity has been found to be an individual characteristic related to substance use [25–27].

Analysis

To examine effects of the unique contribution of the four OST contexts on substance use at the end of high school, multivariate logistic and ordinary least squares (OLS) regressions were conducted using robust standard errors [28], controlling for the full list of aforementioned covariates. Three forms of substance use (cigarette use, alcohol use, and marijuana use) were tested in separate models.

Following recommended analytic practices [29,30], multiple imputation techniques were used to account for missing values in our main predictors and various covariates. For each analysis, 50 data sets were created using chained equations using predictive mean matching to impute continuous variables, multinomial logistic regression for categorical variables, and logistic regression for binary variables. Cases with a missing dependent variable were used during the imputation process but deleted

before the analysis following the multiple impute and then delete method [30].

Results

Descriptive statistics

Table 1 provides descriptive statistics for the four OST contexts averaged between age 15 and end of high school and the three types of substance use, and the covariates used in the analyses. The sample was 51% female, and in terms of race/ethnicity, the sample was 81% white, 8% black, 6% Hispanic, and 5% Asian/other at age 15. Mothers reported, on average, 14.69 years of schooling (standard deviation = 2.42) at childbirth. Prior cigarette, alcohol, and marijuana use at age 15 occurred in 10%, 24%, and 9% of the target sample, respectively.

Table 2 provides the correlations among the four OST contexts and among the three types of substance use. More unsupervised time with peers during high school was related to higher amounts of paid employment ($r = .16$) and less involvement in

Table 1
Summary statistics (N = 766)

	Mean or %	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Substance use				
Age 15 (yes/no)	% Yes			
Cigarette	9.93%			
Alcohol	24.33%			
Marijuana	8.59%			
End of high school (yes/no)	% Yes			
Cigarette	26.68%			
Alcohol	59.97%			
Marijuana	25.07%			
Amount at end of high school (times per month)				
Cigarette	5.61	13.67	.00	45.00
Alcohol	4.00	7.21	.00	45.00
Marijuana	3.94	10.77	.00	45.00
Predictor variables				
Out-of-school contexts				
Unsupervised time with peers	.00	1.00	–2.11	1.99
Sports	.00	1.00	–.94	1.73
Organized activities	.00	1.00	–1.33	4.46
Paid employment	.00	1.00	–.96	3.50
Covariates				
Female	51.27%			
Race/ethnicity				
White	80.86%			
Black	8.43%			
Hispanic	5.62%			
Asian/other	5.09%			
Birth				
Maternal age	29.24	5.34	18.00	46.00
Maternal education	14.69	2.42	7.00	21.00
Age 15				
Income-to-needs ratio	5.40	5.39	.08	42.92
Parenting composite (standardized)	–.00	.81	–4.10	1.78
Adolescent impulsivity	2.38	.75	1.00	4.71
N	766			

Unsupervised time with peers is the standardized value of the average of standardized days per week and standardized hours per weekend. Paid employment is the standardized value of hours per week. Sports and organized activities is the standardized value of days per week. Parenting composite is the average of age 15 standardized HOME and maternal sensitivity scores.

HOME = home observation for measurement of the environment.

Table 2

Correlations between substance use at the end of high school and main predictor variables (N = 747)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Amount of substance use							
Cigarette	1						
Alcohol	.13***	1					
Marijuana	.31***	.27***	1				
OST contexts							
Unsupervised time with peers	.19***	.23***	.24***	1			
Sports	-.17***	.10**	-.08*	.00	1		
Organized activities	-.14***	-.11**	-.15***	-.10**	-.04	1	
Paid employment	.10**	.08*	.02	.16***	-.13***	.00	1

OST = out-of-school time.

* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.

organized activities in high school ($r = -.10$). More time in paid employment was related to less time in sports ($r = -.13$). The three types of substance use at the end of high school were significantly correlated, whether looking at dichotomous (yes/no) usage or amount of substance use. Correlations ranged from $r = .13$ to $.31$.

OST contexts and substance use were also correlated. More unsupervised time was related to higher amounts of cigarette ($r = .19$), alcohol ($r = .23$), and marijuana use ($r = .24$). More sports were related to lower amounts of cigarette ($r = -.17$) and marijuana use ($r = -.08$) but more alcohol use ($r = .10$). More involvement in organized activities was related to lesser amounts of cigarette ($r = -.14$), alcohol ($r = -.11$), and marijuana use ($r = -.15$). More time in paid employment was related to higher amounts of cigarette ($r = .10$) and alcohol use ($r = .08$).

Predicting the odds of substance use. Table 3 provides the results for three logistic regressions using robust standard errors to test the unique associations between four OST contexts on ever using cigarette, alcohol, or marijuana at the end of high school, while controlling for family and child factors and for prior substance use at age 15. These logistic regressions predict the increase or decrease in the odds of substance use at the end of high school. Robust standard errors were used to deal with issues concerning heterogeneity and lack of normality. The point estimates using these estimators are the same as an ordinary OLS but change the standard errors to deal with minor concerns such as those regarding normality, heteroskedasticity, or some observations with large residuals [28].

As reported in Table 3, more unsupervised time with peers during high school significantly increased the odds of using cigarettes, using alcohol, and using marijuana at the end of high school. More time in paid employment significantly increased the odds of cigarette and alcohol use but not marijuana use at the end of high school. More time in sports increased the odds of alcohol use but decreased the odds of marijuana use. More time in other organized activities during high school was not related to the odds of cigarette, alcohol, or marijuana use at the end of high school.

Predicting amount of substance use (number of times per month) at the end of high school. Table 4 provides the results of the OLS regressions predicting the effects of OST contexts on (1) amount of tobacco use; (2) amount of alcohol use; and (3) amount of marijuana use at the end of high school, controlling for family and child factors and prior substance use at age 15.

Table 3

Links between out-of-school time contexts and substance use at the end of high school (N = 766)—odds ratio

	Substance use (yes/no) at the end of high school		
	Cigarette use	Alcohol use	Marijuana use
Predictor variables (standardized)			
Unsupervised time with peers	1.39** (.15)	1.47*** (.14)	1.71*** (.18)
Sports	.89 (.09)	1.19* (.10)	.75** (.08)
Organized activities	.82 (.09)	.93 (.08)	.82 (.10)
Paid employment	1.46*** (.15)	1.28** (.12)	1.10 (.11)
Covariates			
Prior substance use at age 15 (yes/no) ^a	3.89*** (1.26)	3.38*** (.83)	3.26*** (1.06)
Female	.59** (.11)	.69* (.12)	.32*** (.07)
Race/ethnicity (reference category: white)			
Black	.57 (.25)	.52 (.19)	1.04 (.44)
Hispanic	.61 (.26)	1.25 (.48)	1.14 (.48)
Asian/other	1.17 (.50)	.72 (.29)	.43 (.27)
Birth			
Maternal age	.99 (.02)	1.03 (.02)	1.04* (.02)
Maternal education	1.00 (.05)	1.08 (.05)	1.07 (.06)
Age 15			
Income-to-needs ratio	.97 (.02)	1.04 (.02)	1.02 (.02)
Parenting composite	1.07 (.15)	1.13 (.15)	1.22 (.19)
Adolescent impulsivity	1.71*** (.18)	1.45*** (.14)	1.74*** (.19)
Observations	765	766	764

Exponentiated coefficients and robust standard errors are in parentheses. Site-fixed effects included.

* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.

^a Prior use differed for each analytic model. Prior use in cigarette use model was an indicator if the adolescent ever smoked cigarettes at age 15. Prior use in alcohol use model was an indicator if the adolescent ever drank alcohol at age 15. Prior use in marijuana use model was an indicator if the adolescent ever smoked marijuana at age 15.

More unsupervised time with peers during high school predicted higher amounts of tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana use at the end of high school (effect sizes of .11, .13, and .16, respectively). More time in sports activities during high school predicted lower amounts of cigarette and marijuana use at the end of high school but higher amounts of alcohol use (effect sizes of $-.12$, $-.09$, and $.09$, respectively). Intensity of paid employment and intensity of organized activities were not related to amount of substance use at the end of high school.

Follow-up analyses. In a series of follow-up analyses, we examined alternative explanations for the relations found between OST contexts and substance use. First, we tested the links between substance use at age 15 and amount of participation in the four OST contexts at the end of high school OST, controlling for family and child characteristics and amount of OST participation at age 15 (Table 5). This analysis tested the alternative hypothesis that adolescents' substance use resulted in differential selection of subsequent out-of-school contexts. Cigarette use, alcohol use, and marijuana use at age 15 were not related to intensity of participation in the four OST contexts at the end of high school.

Next, we tested associations between participation in the four OST contexts at age 15 and amount of substance use at the end of high school, controlling for family and child factors, and age 15 substance use. This model differs from our primary models in that we focus on the OST contexts at age 15. The amount of

Table 4

Links between out-of-school time contexts and amount of substance use at the end of high school (N = 766)

	Amount of substance use at the end of high school (standardized)		
	Cigarette use	Alcohol use	Marijuana use
Predictor variables (standardized)			
Unsupervised time with peers	.11** (.04)	.13*** (.04)	.16*** (.04)
Sports	-.12*** (.03)	.09** (.03)	-.09** (.03)
Organized activities	-.04 (.03)	-.05 (.03)	-.05 (.03)
Paid employment	.04 (.04)	.07 (.04)	-.02 (.04)
Covariates			
Prior substance use at age 15 (yes/no) ^a	.89*** (.17)	.37*** (.10)	.71*** (.20)
Female	-.13* (.07)	-.17** (.06)	-.38*** (.07)
Race/ethnicity (reference category: white)			
Black	-.15 (.15)	.14 (.18)	.00 (.17)
Hispanic	-.29 (.15)	.20 (.20)	-.14 (.17)
Asian/other	.07 (.19)	-.10 (.17)	-.32** (.11)
Birth			
Maternal age	-.01 (.01)	-.01 (.01)	-.00 (.01)
Maternal education	-.01 (.02)	.05** (.02)	.02 (.02)
Age 15			
Income-to-needs ratio	-.01* (.01)	.01* (.01)	.00 (.01)
Parenting composite	-.02 (.06)	.07 (.06)	.04 (.05)
Adolescent impulsivity	.12** (.04)	.18*** (.04)	.17*** (.04)
Constant	.50 (.30)	-.70** (.27)	-.04 (.30)
Observations	765	766	764

Robust standard errors are in parentheses. Site-fixed effects included.

* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.

^a Prior use differed for each analytic model. Prior use in cigarette use model was an indicator if the adolescent ever smoked cigarettes at age 15. Prior use in alcohol use model was an indicator if the adolescent ever drank alcohol at age 15. Prior use in marijuana use model was an indicator if the adolescent ever smoked marijuana at age 15.

unsupervised time with peers at age 15 predicted amount of cigarette use ($\beta = .08, p < .05$) and amount of marijuana use ($\beta = .07, p < .05$) at the end of high school. Intensity of organized activities at age 15 predicted amount of alcohol use at the end of high school ($\beta = .09, p < .05$). However, the size of the coefficients is smaller than those in Table 4—suggesting that looking only at age 15 was less informative.

A potential concern in our analysis was attrition. It is possible that cases who were lost between age 15 and end of high school are most likely to be substance users. We ran a frequency table between substance use at age 15 and if they were in the sample at the end of high school. We find the attrition between age 15 and end of high school to be random, as the percentage of any substance use at age 15 was effectively consistent if they were in the sample or if they were a function of attrition. A total of 219 cases were lost between age 15 and end of high school, and 66 of these cases or 30% of the sample reported substance use at age 15. This is not statistically different from the 194 (26%) of the 736 who reported substance use at age 15 and are used in the analysis.

We were also concerned about the use of a fixed effects model to account for research site instead of a random effects model. The direction and magnitude of our coefficients from a random-effects model matched those of our fixed effects models. However, the random-effects models provide a smaller standard error and a less-conservative estimate. Furthermore, the intraclass correlations of the dependent variables range between .01 and .02 and indicate little between-site variance.

Table 5

Links between age 15 OST participation and substance use at the end of high school controlling for age 15 substance use (N = 766)

	Amount of substance use at the end of high school (standardized)		
	Cigarette use	Alcohol use	Marijuana use
Age 15 predictor variables (standardized)			
Unsupervised time with peers	.08* (.04)	.03 (.04)	.07* (.04)
Sports	-.06 (.04)	.09* (.03)	-.04 (.03)
Organized activities	-.01 (.04)	-.04 (.04)	-.04 (.03)
Paid employment	-.03 (.04)	-.00 (.04)	.05 (.04)
Covariates			
Prior substance use at age 15 (yes/no) ^a	.95*** (.17)	.41*** (.11)	.78*** (.20)
Female	-.13 (.07)	-.18** (.07)	-.38*** (.07)
Race/ethnicity (reference category: white)			
Black	-.19 (.15)	.12 (.18)	.02 (.16)
Hispanic	-.27 (.15)	.19 (.21)	-.16 (.17)
Asian/other	.03 (.19)	-.15 (.17)	-.35** (.11)
Birth			
Maternal age	-.01 (.01)	-.01 (.01)	-.00 (.01)
Maternal education	-.01 (.02)	.04** (.02)	.02 (.02)
Age 15			
Income-to-needs ratio	-.01* (.01)	.01 (.01)	.00 (.01)
Parenting composite	-.03 (.06)	.06 (.06)	.02 (.05)
Adolescent impulsivity	.13** (.04)	.20*** (.05)	.18*** (.04)
Constant	.63* (.30)	-.65* (.28)	-.04 (.29)
Observations	765	766	764

Robust standard errors are in parentheses. Site-fixed effects included.

OST = out-of-school time.

* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.

^a Prior use differed for each analytic model. Prior use in cigarette use model was an indicator if the adolescent ever smoked cigarettes at age 15. Prior use in alcohol use model was an indicator if the adolescent ever drank alcohol at age 15. Prior use in marijuana use model was an indicator if the adolescent ever smoked marijuana at age 15.

Another possible threat to the general conclusion is collinearity between the predictor variables. We calculated the centered variance inflation factors for the independent variables in our preferred OLS models. The largest variance inflation factor was 1.68 across all the three OLS models, which is below the cutoff value regarded as high or indicative of collinearity [31].

Discussion

The results from our analyses both support and extend previous research examining relations between adolescent OST contexts and substance use. Consistent with predictions of Routine Activity Theory [6] and with prior empirical research [4], more unsupervised time with peers was found to increase both the odds and amount of substance use reported by adolescents at the end of high school. These effects were found for all the three forms of substance use examined in the present study—tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana. That these relations were found, even when time in other OST contexts were controlled, suggests that lack of adult supervision, the presence of peers, and minimal structure are important processes influencing substance use in adolescence.

Other forms of OST also appeared to be linked to substance use in adolescence, suggesting that these relations were not simply artifacts of a confounding with unsupervised time. Participation in sports appeared to be a protective factor for some forms of substance use; it was associated with reduced odds of

marijuana use and lower amounts of tobacco and marijuana use at the end of high school. At the same time, consistent with some prior research [10,32], participation in sports was also linked to more alcohol use. Consistent with the prior literature, the results suggest adult supervision and potential peer effects in sports activities are important mechanisms that influence adolescent substance use.

Paid employment in high school, in contrast, was associated with increased odds of tobacco and alcohol use. Others have hypothesized that the workplace may expose adolescents to older coworkers who may influence substance use, but the developmental consequences of paid employment depend on the individual [11]. The results show adolescent employment was linked to the odds of cigarette and alcohol use but not to the amount of marijuana use, controlling for other OST contexts. The findings suggest that older coworkers may have introduced adolescents to these substances, but other contextual or individual factors are predictive of continued substance use.

A surprising finding, or lack of findings, in the present study pertained to organized activities. Prior research has found specific organized activities in high school to serve a protective role with respect to substance use [10,20]. No significant relations were detected in the present study, although these relations “approached” significance, perhaps because of aggregation across activities.

Limitations

The biggest limitation lies with the inability to make causal claims. Future work regarding adolescent substance use can examine causal links between unsupervised time with peers on substance use through interventions designed to reduce unsupervised time with peers in adolescents. Reducing the amount of unsupervised time that adolescents spend with peers may be an effective strategy for preventing adolescent substance use and abuse.

Another limitation of this study lies with adolescent participation in various types of organized activities and paid employment. In this study, we were able to separate sports from other types of organized activities but grouped the other types of organized activities because of an insufficient number of adolescents participating in these activities to study them separately. We also looked at amount of any type of paid employment rather than looking at amount of different types of paid employment. Future research should look into amount of participation in different types of OST organized activities and paid employment.

Acknowledgments

The age 15 data collection of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) Study of Early Child Care and Youth Development was funded by a cooperative agreement (5 U10 HD027040), which calls for scientific collaboration between the grantees and NICHD staff. The end-of-high school data collection was funded by the Charles Stewart Mott Foundation. The content of this article is solely the responsibility of the named authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver, NICHD or the National Institutes of Health. The authors express their appreciation to the Early Child Care Research Network for its tireless work on this research collaboration over a 20-year period. We are also

grateful for helpful comments from Weilin Li, Anamarie Auger, Sabrina Kataoka, and Kim Pierce for this article.

References

- [1] Bryant AL, Schulenberg JE, O'Malley PM, et al. How academic achievement, attitudes, and behaviors relate to the course of substance use during adolescence: A 6-year, multiwave national longitudinal study. *J Res Adolescence* 2003;13:361–97.
- [2] Hawkins JD, Catalano RF, Miller JY. Risk and protective factors for alcohol and other drug problems in adolescence and early adulthood: Implications for substance abuse prevention. *Psychol Bull* 1992;112:64–105.
- [3] Vandell DL, Larson RW, Mahoney JL, Watts TW. Children's organized activities. In: Lerner RM, ed. *Handbook of Child Psychology and Developmental Science. Ecological Settings and Processes, Vol. 4*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2015.
- [4] Osgood DW, Anderson AL, Shaffer JN. Unstructured leisure in the after-school hours. In: Mahoney JL, Larson RW, Eccles JS, eds. *Organized Activities as Contexts of Development: Extracurricular Activities, After-school and Community Programs*. New Jersey: Psychology Press; 2005:45–64.
- [5] Flannery DJ, Williams LL, Vazsonyi AT. Who are they with and what are they doing? Delinquent behavior, substance use, and early adolescents' after-school time. *Am J Orthopsychiatry* 1999;69:247–53.
- [6] Osgood DW, Wilson JK, O'Malley PM, et al. Routine activities and individual deviant behavior. *Am Sociol Rev* 1996;61:635–55.
- [7] Richardson JL, Radziszewska B, Dent CW, Flay BR. Relationship between after-school care of adolescents and substance use, risk-taking, depressed mood, and academic-achievement. *Pediatrics* 1993;92:32–8.
- [8] Larson RW. Toward a psychology of positive youth development. *Am Psychol* 2000;55:170–83.
- [9] Durlak JA, Weissberg RP, Pachan M. A meta-analysis of after-school programs that seek to promote personal and social skills in children and adolescents. *Am J Community Psychol* 2010;45:294–309.
- [10] Eccles JS, Barber BL. Student council, volunteering, basketball, or marching band: What kind of extracurricular involvement matters? *J Adolesc Res* 1999;14:10–43.
- [11] Staff J, Mont'Alvao A, Mortimer JT. Children at work. In: Lerner RM, ed. *Handbook of Child Psychology and Developmental Science. Ecological Settings and Processes, Vol. 4*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2015.
- [12] Monahan KC, Lee JM, Steinberg L. Revisiting the impact of part-time work on adolescent adjustment: Distinguishing between selection and socialization using propensity score matching. *Child Development* 2011;82:96–112.
- [13] Resnick MD, Bearman PS, Blum RW, et al. Protecting adolescents from harm. Findings from the National Longitudinal Study on Adolescent Health. *JAMA* 1997;278:823–32.
- [14] Bachman JG, Staff J, O'Malley PM, et al. Twelfth-grade student work intensity linked to later educational attainment and substance use: New longitudinal evidence. *Developmental Psychol* 2011;47:344–63.
- [15] Paschall MJ, Flewelling RL, Russell T. Why is work intensity associated with heavy alcohol use among adolescents? *J Adolesc Health* 2004;34:79–87.
- [16] Mortimer JT, Finch MD, Ryu S, et al. The effects of work intensity on adolescent mental health, achievement, and behavioral adjustment: New evidence from a prospective study. *Child Development* 1996;67:1243–61.
- [17] Staff J, Uggen C. The fruits of good work: Early work experiences and adolescent deviance. *J Res Crime Delinq* 2003;40:263–90.
- [18] Moore MJ, Werch CE. Sport and physical activity participation and substance use among adolescents. *J Adolesc Health* 2005;36:486–93.
- [19] Grant JD, Scherrer JF, Lynskey MT, et al. Adolescent alcohol use is a risk factor for adult alcohol and drug dependence: Evidence from a twin design. *Psychol Med* 2006;36:109–18.
- [20] Fredricks JA, Eccles JS. Is extracurricular participation associated with beneficial outcomes? Concurrent and longitudinal relations. *Developmental Psychol* 2006;42:698.
- [21] NICHD Early Child Care Research Network. Nonmaternal care and family factors in early development: An overview of the NICHD Study of Early Child Care. *J Appl Dev Psychol* 2001;22:457–92.
- [22] Caldwell BM, Bradley RH. *Home observation for measurement of the environment*. Little Rock, AR: University of Arkansas at Little Rock; 1984.
- [23] Vandell DL, Belsky J, Burchinal M, et al, Network NECCR. Do effects of early child care extend to age 15 years? Results from the NICHD Study of Early Child Care and Youth Development. *Child Development* 2010;81:737–56.
- [24] Weinberger DA, Schwartz GE. Distress and restraint as superordinate dimensions of self-reported adjustment—A typological perspective. *J Pers* 1990;58:381–417.
- [25] Verdejo-Garcia A, Lawrence AJ, Clark L. Impulsivity as a vulnerability marker for substance-use disorders: Review of findings from high-risk research, problem gamblers and genetic association studies. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2008;32:777–810.

- [26] Dawe S, Loxton NJ. The role of impulsivity in the development of substance use and eating disorders. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2004;28:343–51.
- [27] Vangsness L, Bry BH, LaBouvie EW. Impulsivity, negative expectancies, and marijuana use: A test of the acquired preparedness model. *Addict Behav* 2005;30:1071–6.
- [28] White H. A heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroskedasticity. *Econometrica* 1980;48:817–38.
- [29] Allison PD. *Missing data*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2001.
- [30] von Hippel PT. Regression with missing Ys: An improved strategy for analyzing multiply imputed data. *Sociol Methodol* 2007;37:83–117.
- [31] Gordon RA. *Regression analysis for the social sciences*. New York, NY: Taylor & Francis; 2010.
- [32] Mays D, Thompson NJ. Alcohol-related risk behaviors and sports participation among adolescents: An analysis of 2005 youth risk behavior survey data. *J Adolesc Health* 2009;44:87–9.