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The null hypothesis: globally steady rates of erosion, weathering fluxes and shelf sediment accumulation during Late Cenozoic mountain uplift and glaciation
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ABSTRACT

At the largest time and space scales, the pace of erosion and chemical weathering is determined by tectonic uplift rates. Deviations from equilibrium arise from the transient response of landscape denudation to climatic and tectonic perturbations. We posit that the constraint of mass balance, however, makes it unlikely that such disequilibrium persists at the global scale over millions of years, as has been proposed for late Cenozoic erosion. We synthesize weathering fluxes, global sedimentation rates, sediment yields and tectonic motions to show a remarkable constancy in the pace of Earth-surface evolution over the last 10 Ma and support the null hypothesis – that global rates of landscape change have remained constant over this time period, despite global climate change and mountain building events. This work undermines the hypothesis that increased weathering due to mountain building or climate change was the primary agent for a decrease in global temperatures.
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Introduction

The evolution of the Earth’s surface occurs through the erosion of rock and redistribution of mass. This is ultimately driven by energy from two sources: tectonics, which contributes to erosion indirectly through uplift; and climate, which influences erosion and weathering via temperature and precipitation. Global changes in tectonic motions or climate might be expected to produce global changes in the rate of landscape evolution; however, feedbacks among climate, tectonics and geomorphology may enhance or obscure the relation between ‘signal’ and ‘response’. For example, although the engine for plate tectonics is mantle convection, researchers have proposed that rates of tectonic uplift may depend and feedback on global climate through silicate weathering (which draws down CO₂ and leads to cooling) (Berner, 1991; Berner and Caldeira, 1997; Maher and Chamberlain, 2014) and physical erosion (which causes unloading that can induce a tectonic response; Montgomery, 1994; Whipple, 2009). In order to unravel these feedbacks and linkages, many researchers have turned to global datasets of the relevant parameters.

Of particular interest has been the late Cenozoic period, when a global cooling trend, of unknown origin, began at 50 Ma and culminated in continental glaciations during the last 2–3 Ma in Eurasia and North and South America (Ruddiman, 2010). Global rates of tectonic motion have been either constant over this interval, as determined from ages of crust (Fig. 1; Rowley, 2002, 2013), or decreasing, as determined from slab flux models that incorporate the geometry of the subduction zone (Van Der Meer et al., 2014). Observations also point to an apparent increase (c.f. Sadler, 1981) in landscape denudation over the same time period, as indicated by global sediment accumulation curves from the world’s oceans and sedimentary basins (Hay et al., 1988; Molnar, 2004) and, more recently, a global compilation of mountain erosion rates (Herman et al., 2013). Researchers have attempted to causally link these trends to global cooling with two related hypotheses. In the first, rapid erosion associated with Himalayan uplift acted to drive Cenozoic cooling by reducing CO₂ levels through increased silicate weathering (Raymo and Ruddiman, 1992). The second reverses the arrow of causality: in this case it is argued climatic cooling and glacial-interglacial swings acted to increase denudation rates, perhaps even inducing enhanced mountain uplift (Molnar and England, 1990; Zhang et al., 2001; Molnar, 2004).

The climatic cooling trend of the late Cenozoic is not in dispute. We challenge the premise that global rates of landscape denudation have changed, however, on both conceptual and empirical grounds. There are numerous possibilities for feedbacks and linkages among climate, tectonics, erosion and weathering. However, mass balance places a constraint on the magnitude and persistence of denudation rates. Enhanced weathering and erosion reduces slopes and grows valleys, which act to decrease denudation back towards being in balance with tectonic uplift.
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Fig. 1 Rates of tectonic forcing over the last 10 Ma are steady. Figure adapted from Rowley (2002, 2013) and data digitized from Rowley (2002; Fig. 4).

As with many problems, it is an issue of scale. Large regions of the globe may sustain denudation rates that are far from being in equilibrium with tectonics, but for limited periods of time. Alternatively, at-a-point rates of denudation may be much larger than tectonic rates over long periods of time, but are compensated by lower rates elsewhere. To make the case for sustained and enhanced denudation rates globally over several millions of years, one needs to demonstrate that: (1) erosion and weathering rates are somehow decoupled from tectonics at the largest scales; and (2) there is a convincing and causal relation between climate and denudation rates at the global scale. Regarding (1), while numerous studies have reported disequilibrium between erosion and tectonics over shorter timescales, models and data show a convergence of the two over timescales greater than 10⁶ years (Koppes and Montgomery, 2009; Whipple, 2009), although transience may also persist for millions of years (Willenbring et al., 2013). Further, for studies that infer denudation from basin-integrating (i.e. spatially averaging) sediment yields, global rates do not show any significant trend over timescales longer than 10⁶ years (Koppes and Montgomery, 2009; Sadler and Jerolmack, 2014). As for (2), global compilations using cosmogenic radionuclides fail to reveal any relation between denudation rates and temperature or precipitation (Portenga and Bierman, 2011). Moreover, a landscape evolution model that can realistically perturb a landscape via climate has not yet been produced. This topic is an active research area, and the not-simply question of how climate impacts landscape probably has as many answers as there are landscapes.

The simplest, that is, null, hypothesis is that global rates of erosion, weathering and sediment accumulation have been constant over the time interval in question. This constancy is expected from the mass conservation constraint, given that global rates of tectonic motion have not varied over the late Cenozoic (Rowley, 2002, 2013). Ultimately, the long time-scales needed to affect global heat production, and the correlation of mantle viscosity to mantle temperature, preclude any global changes in tectonic rates. We do not have sufficient knowledge of the mechanisms of weathering, erosion, geodynamics and climate to address competing hypotheses from a theoretical/modelling perspective. Rather, we must rely on empirical findings, which fall into three main categories. The first approach is finding a geochemical proxy that indirectly records processes such as the flux of erosion or weathering products to the oceans and integrates over large areas (continents, ocean basins or global). The second approach is measuring erosion or weathering rates (or total denudation rate) in as many places as possible and trying to extrapolate to unmeasured areas. The third approach is to use the sedimentary record as a proxy for erosion and weathering loads. Below, we show how converging lines of evidence from these three approaches provide strong support for steady rates of global landscape evolution over the Cenozoic, and find that observed rates are remarkably constant over the last 10 million years. The significance and implications of these results for understanding feedbacks between climate and geomorphology are discussed.

Evidence from geochemical proxies

Recent proxies suggest stabilization of atmospheric CO₂ at near-modern levels since the late Oligocene, despite continued global cooling (Beierling and Royer, 2011; Fig. 2A). This stationary greenhouse gas composition implies nearly constant chemical weathering over the last 10–20 Ma, unless there were significant changes in the organic carbon cycle (Pagani et al., 2009) that somehow compensated for changes in weathering. Several recent hypotheses attempting to relate global cooling to the Northern Hemisphere glaciation have therefore abandoned increased weathering fluxes as a cause (Knie et al., 2014; Woodard et al., 2014). Other proxies brought to bear on this issue have ambiguities that limit our interpretive ability. In the past, the rising late Cenozoic ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr record was thought to be an indicator of increased continental weathering (Raymo et al., 1988; Capo and DePaolo, 1990). However, this record has been shown to be sensitive to the isotopic ratio of the sedimentary source area (Richter et al., 1992) and to the proportion of physical to chemical weathering (Derry and France-Lanord, 1996). Similarly, Nd and Pb isotopes indicate only a change in weathering style or source, not a total weathering flux (Willenbring and von Blanckenburg, 2010a).

Two proxies that are thought to describe the total weathering flux are the ¹⁰Be/⁹Be ratio and the ³⁷Cl/C ratio. ¹⁰Be/⁹Be systematics are relatively simple, thus may finally constrain the flux of weathering products to the ocean. This ratio is a combination of ⁹Be that is released from weathering and cosmogenic ¹⁰Be, which acts as a constant flux tracer over time periods long enough to average several geomagnetic field cycles. Over the last 10 Ma, this ratio is invariant from ocean basin (Atlantic) (Fig. 2B) to ocean basin (Pacific) (Fig. 2C; Willenbring and von Blanckenburg, 2010a), which precludes the 2–4-fold increase in weathering flux inferred from records.
of sediment accumulation (Zhang et al., 2001; Molnar, 2004). However, the scatter in the plot and uncertainties in the behaviour of beryllium at the neutral pH of the oceans (Boschi and Willenbring, 2013) would allow for a modest (20%) increase in the weathering flux. Moreover, since $^9$Be does not directly measure erosion, it is indeterminate regarding an erosion rate increase. The $^9$Be weathering proxy is also not sensitive to basalt or carbonate weathering, and the $^{10}$Be flux is assumed to be quasi-constant but also depends on the ocean basin where the samples are taken because of latitudinal variations in the delivery of $^{10}$Be from the atmosphere (Willenbring and von Blanckenburg, 2010b). The $\delta^7$Li values over the last 10 Ma are invariant within the range of variability and uncertainty in the measurements (Fig. 2D). Interpreting how the $\delta^7$Li record relates to chemical weathering fluxes is more complex (Misra and Froelich, 2012; Torres et al., 2014). Nonetheless, the $^{10}$Be/$^9$Be ratio (Willenbring and von Blanckenburg, 2010a) and the original interpretation of the $\delta^7$Li record (Misra and Froelich, 2012) support the view from palaeo-CO$_2$ proxies that there has been no large change in the silicate weathering flux from the continents over the last 10 Ma.

Measuring erosion, weathering and denudation

The apparent increase in global denudation rates is coincident with the onset of northern hemispheric glaciation. Enhanced glacial erosion in response to global cooling has thus been invoked as the major process responsible, fuelled by a much-cited view that glaciers can be more effective erosive agents than rivers (Hallet et al., 1996), even limiting the height of mountains regardless of tectonics (the glacial buzzsaw hypothesis; Brückl/čič et al., 1997; Mitchell and Montgomery, 2006). This climate-driven erosion hypothesis has the potential to feed back to long-term climate: increased denudation and glacial grinding of debris creates fresh mineral surfaces, accelerating weathering rates and thus causing the sequestration of atmospheric CO$_2$, which further decreases temperatures. Data show, however, that while glacial cover may induce a rapid pulse of erosion, it is not sustained (Koppes and Montgomery, 2009). Further, only some climates produce high rates of glacial erosion (Yanites and Ehlers, 2002).
rates. Naylor et al. (2015) have demonstrated the effect of this bias for detrital thermochronologic datasets. The essence of the argument is that slower rates of exhumation are progressively clipped from the measured age distribution of rocks as one approaches the modern because of the precision of the technique. The reason is that thermochronometers measure the time since achieving an associated closure depth; rate is simply the measured time divided by this depth. If erosion rates have not been high enough to bring rocks to the surface, the rates associated with those buried rocks cannot be measured (Fig. 3). While the full distribution of exhumation rates can be measured for rocks older than, say, 20 Ma, slower rates are progressively clipped from the measurable distribution for younger and younger ages. Based on the Naylor et al. (2015) model, Sinclair et al. (in review) found that, in the western Alps, the effect becomes pronounced for rocks in the range of 3–5 Ma. If the mean of measured exhumation rates is assumed to represent the true mean erosion rate, then any thermochronologic dataset could (mistakenly) suggest an acceleration in erosion rate that is actually an artefact of the technique. In Fig. 3, we plot a variation of an idea of Anders et al. (1987) that describes two clipped distributions of sedimentation rate data both in the maximum depth of a population sampled and in the precision possible for the youngest ages. We view this near-reproduction of the Anders et al. (1987) figure (intended for sedimentation rates) applied to exhumation rates as a thought experiment. Although that was not the original intent of the figure, the conceptual analogy is useful. The reader is referred to Naylor et al. (2015) for a rigorous discussion of bias in thermochronologic systems.

Finally, the data compilation from Koppes and Montgomery (2009) shows that overall rates of glacial erosion are comparable to fluvial values over long timescales, and that both converge with tectonic uplift rates over millennial timescales. Their conclusion was that tectonics, and not climate, is the ultimate driver of landscape erosion rates over long timescales.

**Sediment generation and deposition**

The primary piece of evidence that past researchers have employed to infer a global late Cenozoic increase in erosion and weathering is the piles of sediment accumulated in the oceans (Hay et al., 1988; Métivier et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2001; Molnar, 2004) (and, to a lesser extent, on land (Kuhlemann, 2000)). As pointed out by Schumer and Jerolmack (2009), however, the apparent accumulation rates determined from sediment piles do not reflect true sediment accumulation rates and cannot be used as direct evidence for increasing global denudation rates over the late Cenozoic. The confusion in interpreting sediment accumulation arises from the now notorious ‘Sadler effect’: due to the unsteady nature of sediment deposition, rates of accumulation appear to decrease with increasing measurement interval (Sadler, 1981, 1994). This effect arises from a bias that is not unlike the clipping effect described above for thermochronologic data. Sediment accumulation rates in the modern are only measured where sediment is accumulating, and therefore preclude negative or zero values from being incorporated; as one averages over longer and longer time intervals, measured rates incorporate intervals of non-deposition and erosion. Because measurement interval and age are inseparable (Sadler, 1981), the upshot is an apparent increase in accumulation rates on approach to the present. For every type of accumulation or erosion one could measure, one sees the same kind of apparent increase (Gardner et al., 1987; Sadler, 1994; Schumer and Jerolmack, 2009; Finnegan et al., 2014). Theory shows us that these apparent trends are an inevitable consequence of stochastic variations in erosion and deposition, and likely do not reflect any real change in the mean rate through time (Strauss and Sadler, 1989; Pelletier and Turcotte, 1997; Jerolmack and Sadler, 2007; Schumer and Jerolmack, 2009; Schumer et al., 2011; Finnegan et al., 2014).
The ‘Sadler effect’ bias arises due to the primarily one-dimensional (1D) nature of accumulation and erosion measurements (Sadler and Jerolmack, 2014). If we knew the volumes of sediment deposited and eroded in a given time interval, no measurement bias would exist. This body of work is often ignored in favour of the more ‘straightforward’ interpretation of a positive trend in curves of measured rates.

Recent work and summaries of the literature (Romans, in press) state there is little expectation that sediment simply records changes in forcing. Even if the climatic or tectonic regime has changed, the sedimentary record might remain constant. Diffusive processes on hillslopes and in other sedimentary bodies are very efficient at filtering climatic oscillations because of slow signal propagationPropagating (latitudinal migration) rates in continental shelf settings mirrors the compilation of aggradation (vertical deposition) rates. The data shown in Fig. 4 are derived from means of thousands of individual measurements from all over the globe. Based on mass balance, the product of these two curves represents the global sediment flux deposited on shelves, and overcomes many of the problems of 1D measurements. The data show that this flux is constant over timescales from years to tens of millions of years (Fig. 4). This study also presented the most extensive compilation yet of upland denudation rates determined from sediment yields – which, importantly, are spatially integrated measurements – and these data also indicate constant rates over a similar time span. In sum, when denudation and accumulation rate measurements incorporate appropriate spatial averaging, they do not support any significant increase in the pace of landscape evolution over the late Cenozoic. Instead, global denudation

---

**Fig. 3** Figures (thickness – top; rate – bottom) adapted from Anders et al. (1987). Illustration of a potential bias in thermochronometers by filtering of exhumation histories (idea by Sinclair et al., in review; Naylor et al., 2015) by the thickness limit from the maximum closure depth and the limit of precision of the method. For the precision limit, in order to resolve an exhumation rate using a given thermochronometer, there must have been sufficient exhumation since the passage of the rock through the depth of effective mineral closure. Therefore, the younger the time period of interest, the higher the exhumation rate necessary to exhume the rock to the surface to be measured. Due to the vagaries of thermochronometry, the only rates that are recordable during the last few millions of years are those with higher values. Slow rates with insufficient time to reach the surface are clipped for recent times but not clipped for long time periods. The ‘limit of precision’ denotes a zone of unmeasurable rates. The variance in the dataset is either zero as in the case of ‘constant exhumation rate’ or increased to 2σ and 2σ’ are the variability around the measurements (see top panel). The running median value is the ‘observed exhumation rate.’ All rates should be constant, but because of the selective inclusion of only fast rates for recent times and a limit on the thickness possibly exhumed ‘thickness limit’, the observed exhumation rate increases (black dots) in the lower figure. If these clipped values are assumed to represent the rates for the range as a whole, then it would lead to an observed acceleration in rates for the last 2 Ma.
Fig. 4 Sediment flux, expressed as volume discharge per unit width of the transport system (i.e. cross-sectional growth rate, m$^2$ a$^{-1}$), does not vary systematically from timescales of years to one hundred million years (Sadler and Jerolmack, 2014). Large rectangles plot growth rates for shelf systems; small rectangles plot growth rates for the shore zone and floodplain systems. These growth rates are products of aggradation and progradation components determined separately from the mean of thousands of empirical values from a global database (described in more detail and adapted from fig. 10 in Sadler and Jerolmack, 2014).

rates have been remarkably constant for tens of millions of years.

Conclusions
A suite of geochemical proxies indicate that global weathering rates have not changed significantly over the last 10 Ma (Willenbring and von Blanckenburg, 2010a; Beuling and Royer, 2011; Misra and Froelich, 2012). Compilations of global denudation rates determined from global sediment accumulation rates determined from 2D data also indicate no significant changes over this time interval. In fact, given the uncertainties and noise in the measurements, the data ‘curves’ are remarkably flat. In addition, global compilations of cosmogenic radionuclide data fail to show any clear relation between climate and denudation (Portenga and Bierman, 2011). Importantly, these results are robust empirical trends and are not inferred from any model, and are consistent with expectations from mass balance. Application of Occam’s razor suggests that the null hypothesis is the most viable.

A steady rate of global denudation provides an important baseline for regional studies, and implies that changes in global climate are accommodated at the largest scales by changes in landscape form rather than the rate of landscape change. At appropriately averaged time and space scales, it appears that rates of landscape change are tied most strongly to tectonics. The constancy of denudation rates does not diminish the importance of feedbacks among climate, tectonics, erosion and weathering; indeed, these feedbacks are likely responsible for returning denudation rates to be in balance with tectonic forcing following a disturbance. In the future, careful attention to how measured rates converge to constant values as a function of spatial and/or temporal averaging may reveal new information regarding the nature of these feedbacks, and provide important constraints for geodynamic models.
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