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ABSTRACT

The critical current requirement for the NbTi superconductor strand was set at 2750 A/mm² (5 T, 4.2 K) in the SSC Conceptual Design, compared with a value of 1800 A/mm² which was specified for the strand used in the Tevatron dipoles. In addition, a filament diameter of 5 µm, instead of the 9 µm diameter used in the Tevatron, was chosen to reduce field distortion at injection. In order to meet the requirements for field homogeneity, the dimensional requirements for both strand and cable were also tightened. The technical solutions employed to achieve these improved properties and the resulting specifications will be discussed.

DISCUSSION

The present SSC dipole design is a descendent of a design originally developed at LBL in late 1983/early 1984 as the LBL proposal for the SSC dipole. This design drew upon earlier dipoles built at FNAL for the Tevatron and at DESY for the HERA accelerator, together with an extrapolation of key parameters, where such extrapolation appeared reasonable in light of new technical developments. An example of this process are the choices of copper to superconductor ratio and number of strands in the cable. Discussions with the strand manufacturers and actual coil winding experience at LBL indicated that a Cu/SC ratio of 1.0 was possible to fabricate, but presented problems for reliable large scale manufacturing and coil winding. On the other hand, a Cu/SC ratio of 1.8 was considered as quite conservative, since the Tevatron magnets and their successors (CBA, HERA, and UNK) had all demonstrated the relative ease in working with this ratio. Hence, a ratio of 1.3 was chosen as a value which would extend the state of the art, yet which would meet the requirements for strand fabricability and coil winding.

The choice of number of strands and strand diameter resulted from similar considerations. Fabricability of a 23-strand cable had been demonstrated by the Tevatron cable; the main change introduced into the SSC design was a larger strand diameter (.0318") as compared with .0268" diam., and the above mentioned decrease in the Cu/SC ratio. The larger strand diameter is beneficial in achieving a high overall current density and a high operating current, at a price of somewhat increased difficulty in coil winding. Another important cable parameter is keystone angle, and the choice here is a trade-off between the ideal (large) value
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that is associated with a small bore dipole and a small (or zero) value that yields minimum
critical current degradation during cabling. Trial cable was made by SSC with the ideal
keystone angle of 2.05° for the inner cable and 1.57° for the outer. Both cables showed a
large degradation as indicated by many broken filaments, so the decision was made to reduce
the angle until acceptable cable was achieved. The values found acceptable are 1.6° for the
inner cable and 1.2° for the outer. It is interesting to note that several years later, designers
of the RHIC dipoles elected to modify the SSC outer cable to produce a fully keystoned
design with a 1.6° angle. The excessive critical current degradation experienced with these
cables forced them to return to the 1.2° angle chosen for the SSC outer cable.

The most important parameter to choose in terms of the ultimate performance of the magnet
is the critical current density. The experience base (again, the Tevatron dipoles) indicated
that a minimum value of 1800 A/mm² at 5T, 4.2 K could be achieved in production.
However, new R&D results from Baoji Institute (China)(1) indicated that, with proper
processing, values in excess of 3000 A/mm² at 5T, 4.2 K are possible. Since this magnet
was being proposed for a machine to be built in the 1990's, it was reasonable to assume that
an aggressive R&D program aimed at improved critical current density could be completed
in time to provide the necessary conductor. Accordingly, a value of 2400 A/mm² was chosen
as a design value and an R&D program was initiated in order to demonstrate that this value
could be achieved in production-size billets (10" diam.). In June, 1984, this value was
exceeded in a production-scale billet(2) funded by LBL, and processed by Intermagnetics
General Corp. (IGC) following a heat-treatment schedule proposed by D. Larbalestier (U.
Wisconsin).

The selection of parameters for the outer layer cable follows logically from the inner layer
cable parameters, since we want to match the operating current and quench protection in the
two layers. Accordingly, the Cu/SC ratio can be increased (this also reduces the cost of the
cable), and the strand diameter reduced in order to increase the flexibility of the cable. The
Cu/SC ratio was increased to 2.0, and a 30-strand cable was proposed, although a 30-strand
cable had not yet been made in a long length.

These parameters remained basically unchanged during the next 18 months, while a series of
1-m models at LBL and 4.5 m magnets at BNL were being built. One minor change was in
the outer layer Cu/SC ratio, from 2.0 to 1.8, in order to more closely match the inner and
outer cable critical currents. During this period, a total of 9 billets 10" in diam. and 5 billets
12" in diam. were produced for the SSC R&D program and all met the minimum 2400
A/mm² critical current value.

In parallel with this effort, R&D work was begun in October, 1984, to explore the
possibility of producing a fine filament NbTi conductor. The first R&D contract(3) was
placed with Supercon, Inc. for the fabrication of a 12" diam. billet to produce a conductor
with 4000 filaments, with a minimum Jc value of 2400 A/mm². In November, 1984,
proposals were solicited from the other U.S. superconductor manufacturers and they were
asked for their recommendations with respect to filament size and critical current density
goals for the fine filament R&D program. These proposals and the scope of the fine filament
R&D program were discussed at a Superconductor Working Group meeting at LBL in
January, 1985. Following this meeting, and after additional funding had been obtained, the
fine filament program was expanded to include work at IGC and Oxford Superconducting
Technology (OST) on double extrusion approaches, and at LBL on hydrostatic extrusion.
Results on the first Supercon billet were obtained in July, 1985, and they showed a value
of Jc (5T) = 2985 A/mm² for a filament size of 4 μm.(4) Based on these results, the target
for the SSC fine filament R&D program was changed to a value of Jc = 2750 A/mm² at 5T
with a filament size of 2.5-5 μm. Progress toward this goal continued to look promising, so
that the following values were selected for the SSC Conceptual Design Report(5,6) (March,
1986): Jc (5T, 4.2 K) = 2750 A/mm²; filament diam. = 5 μm. After much discussion and a
careful study of the results for the LBL 1-m dipoles and the BNL 4.5 m dipoles, an
operating field point of 6.6 T at 4.35 K was also selected.
Using these values, a series of margins can be calculated. Three definitions of margins which are typically used are:

1) Critical current margin at a constant operating field
2) Critical current margin along the magnet load line
3) Temperature margin (operating vs. critical), at the operating field

The first two margins are calculated in SSC-MAG-160 by C. Taylor; the third margin can easily be calculated using the analytical expression from G. Morgan (BNL SSC-MD-84), and has been obtained for several cases by C. Quigg and M. Tigner.

The important point to note is that these "margins" defined in this manner include a number of real effects which will act to limit magnet performance. These are also listed in SSC-MAG-160. Two of these factors will be discussed here, since they are important in the development of a working SSC cable specification.

In the process of converting strands with a critical current density of 2750 A/mm² to cable, a certain amount of degradation occurs. In the past, this has been as high as 15-20%; however, as wire quality and cable manufacturing have improved, this has decreased. The difference in strand critical current vs. cable critical current also depends on the method of measurement and corrections applied to the measurements. Using these test methods, in which cable self-field effects are included, but wire self-field effects are not included, and for the applied field perpendicular to the wide face of the cable, the recent degradation values are typically less than 5%. In the present version of the SSC cable specification SSC-MAG-M-4142, Rev. 2, a value of 5% was used for the maximum allowable degradation in cabling, for both the inner and outer cable.

In order to specify a minimum critical current, another factor must be taken into account; during the manufacturing process, the Cu/SC ratio can vary from one end of the wire to the other. In order to maintain an acceptable yield, the Cu/SC ratio is permitted to vary ± 0.1; this is taken into account in converting the $J_c$ value into a minimum $I_c$ value for the cable.

The following is a step by step procedure used to convert from the Conceptual Design Report $J_c$ value to the present SSC cable minimum $I_c$ values:

1) Find the $J_c$ value at the high field point in the dipole:
   
   $J_c(7T, 4.2 K) = 1650$ A/mm² Inner Cable
   
   $J_c(3.6T, 4.2 K) = 2420$ A/mm² Outer Cable
   
   These values are obtained by a linear interpolation between 2750 A/mm² at 5T and 1100 A/mm² at 8T.

2) Find the minimum $I_c$ value based on these $J_c$ values and the maximum allowable Cu/SC ratio:
   
   For inner cable, nominal 1.5/1 ratio,
   
   minimum $I_c(7T, 4.2 K) = 1650$ A/mm² • minimum area of superconductor = 7479 A.
   
   For outer cable, nominal 1.8/1 ratio,
   
   minimum $I_c(5.6T, 4.2 K) = 2420$ A/mm² • minimum area of superconductor = 8249 A.

3) Find the minimum $I_c$ value with an allowance of 5% for cabling degradation:
   
   Inner cable minimum $I_c(7T, 4.2 K) = 7479 A • 0.95 = 7105 A.
   
   Outer cable minimum $I_c(5.6T, 4.2 K) = 7837 A$

An obvious question at this point is, "What are the prospects for increasing the dipole magnet margins?". With respect to critical current density, the recent production experience indicates that the wire manufacturers can meet the 2750 A/mm² value, but without a large margin; the values have been ranging from 2750 to 2950 A/mm². Indeed, the SSC spec. value is already the highest in the world, with HERA being approx. 2500 A/mm², RHIC is 2600 A/mm², and LHC is equivalent to 2450 A/mm². Also, we have observed an increase
in strand stiffness with increased $J_c$, and this in turn makes cabling more difficult. We are continuing the push toward higher critical current densities in the SSC R&D program, but it is premature to extrapolate those results to production quantities at this time. With respect to cable degradation, the values allowed for the SSC cable are again the lowest in the world; RHIC allows 10% and HERA allows 15%. Further significant improvement in this area seems unlikely at this time, unless we decide to change the degree to which the cables are keystoned. The most significant parameter for increasing the operating margin appears to be the operating temperature, but that requires a discussion of trade-offs that are beyond the scope of this paper. The most obvious change in the conductors which could add to the present margin is a return to a copper to superconductor ratio of 1.3 in the inner layer cable.
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