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Spin waves in zigzag graphene nanoribbons and the stability of edge ferromagnetism
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\textbf{Abstract.} We studied the low-energy spin excitations of zigzag graphene nanoribbons of varying width. We found their energy dispersion at small wave vectors to be dominated by antiferromagnetic correlations between the ribbon's edges, in accordance with previous calculations. We point out that spin wave lifetimes are very long owing to the semi-conducting nature of electrically neutral nanoribbons. However, the application of very modest gate voltages causes a discontinuous transition to a regime of finite spin wave lifetimes. On further increasing doping, the ferromagnetic alignments along the edge become unstable against transverse spin fluctuations. This makes the experimental detection of ferromagnetism in this class of systems very delicate and poses a difficult challenge to the possible use of these nanoribbons as the basis for spintronic devices.

Graphene is being hailed as highly promising for nanoelectronics and spintronics. Its unique transport properties are expected to play a fundamental role in the development of new technologies \cite{1}–\cite{3}. New physics is also emerging from the interplay between low dimensionality, a bipartite lattice and electron–electron interactions. One of the most striking properties of graphene nanoribbons is the possibility of spontaneous magnetization \cite{4}–\cite{6}. This, combined with the long spin-coherence times of electrons propagating across graphene, indicates that this system is a strong candidate for future spintronics applications.

The ground state properties of magnetic graphene nanoribbons have been extensively explored by a variety of methods. Recent works have investigated the properties of static

\textsuperscript{3} Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.
excited states based on adiabatic approximations [7, 8]. This approach has been employed for describing the lowest-lying excitations of magnetic metals with relative success. However, it is well known that it misses important features of the excited states, such as its finite lifetime. This arises due to the coupling between spin waves and Stoner excitations, a distinctive feature of itinerant magnets. Moreover, these recent investigations of excited states seem to have disregarded the antiferromagnetic coupling between the magnetizations on opposite edges of graphene nanoribbons. As we shall see, this leads to an incorrect prediction concerning the wave vector dependence of low-energy spin excitations. This was already demonstrated more than a decade ago in the seminal work by Wakabayashi et al [9]. These authors used an itinerant model to describe the π electrons in graphene nanoribbons of various widths. They showed clearly the presence of a linear term in the spin wave dispersion relation for small wave vectors.

One interesting feature of magnetic graphene nanoribbons is that the spins along each border are ferromagnetically coupled to each other, but there is an antiferromagnetic exchange coupling between the two opposite borders. This coupling is mediated by the conduction electrons and decreases as the ribbon width is increased. Thus, it may appear, at first sight, that this antiferromagnetic coupling should be unimportant in wide ribbons. It has been shown, however, that this coupling is extremely long range in graphene and other related materials [10]–[14]. Thus, even in rather wide nanoribbons this coupling asserts itself, as we shall see.

We describe the electrons in graphene using a Hubbard model,

\[ H = \sum_{ij} \sum_{\sigma} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}, \]  

where \( t_{ij} \) are hopping integrals \((i \neq j)\) and on-site energies \((i = j)\), \( U \) is the effective intraatomic Coulomb interaction and \( c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \) creates one electron at the atomic state at site \( i \) with spin \( \sigma \). Here, we only consider nearest-neighbor hoppings. We took \( U = 2 \text{eV} \approx 0.77t \), as in [15]. This model provides a good qualitative description of π-electrons in graphene, as well as the magnetic effects deriving from the screened Coulomb interaction. The magnetic ground state is described self-consistently within a mean-field approximation. We impose local charge neutrality on every atom in the ribbon and determine the magnetic moment of each atom in the unit cell individually. Imposing local charge neutrality is equivalent, in this case, to the more basic global charge neutrality condition, due to the particle–hole symmetry of the system. It is, however, easier to implement computationally. We find that the magnetic moment of the edge atoms is \( 0.24 \mu_B \) and decays rapidly towards the center of the ribbon, in close agreement with calculations based on density functional theory [7]. Note that we consider the effective Coulomb interaction to be active in every atom in the system. The fact that the magnetization is essentially localized at the edges emerges naturally from our self-consistent treatment.

The spin excitations are extracted from the properties of the transverse dynamic susceptibility,

\[ \chi_{ij}^-(t) = -i\theta(t)\{[S_i^+(t), S_j^- (0)]\}, \]

where \( S^+ = a_i^\dagger a_j^- \) and \( S^- = (S^+)^\dagger \) are the spin-raising and -lowering operators. By treating the Coulomb interaction term within a random phase approximation, we obtain a closed equation of motion for \( \chi^{+-}(\Omega) \) (the Fourier transform of \( \chi^{+-}(t) \)) in terms of the mean-field susceptibility \( \chi^{(0)+-}(\Omega) \) [16],

\[ \chi^{+-}(\Omega) = \left[ I + U \chi^{(0)+-}(\Omega) \right]^{-1} \chi^{(0)+-}(\Omega), \]
Figure 1. Schematic depiction of the zigzag nanoribbon’s geometry. The dotted lines encircle two arbitrary unit cells, labeled $m$ and $m'$. The indices $l$ and $l'$ refer to atoms inside each unit cell (as in equation (5)).

where $\chi^{+-}$ and $\chi^{(0)+-}$ are matrices comprising all magnetic sites in the system and $I$ is the identity matrix with the same dimension as $\chi^{+-}$ and $\chi^{(0)+-}$. The notation used in the above equation is schematic. A more precise statement on the form of the calculated susceptibility is given below.

The spectral density

$$A_i(\Omega) = -\Im \chi_{ii}(\Omega),$$

where $\Im$ denotes the imaginary part, may be interpreted as the density of states of magnons in the system. The dynamic susceptibility just described is the response of the system to an externally applied field of frequency $\Omega$ transverse to the ground state magnetization direction; spin waves appear as peaks in the spectral density.

The graphene nanoribbons we study have translation symmetry along the ribbon length (denoted here by $x$), but not along the ribbon width ($y$). It is convenient to define a mixed Bloch–Wannier basis to describe the electronic states,

$$c_l(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_m e^{imka} c(x_m, y_l),$$

where $c(x_m, y_l)$ is the annihilation operator for a Wannier state at a site $l$ in unit cell $m$, $a = \sqrt{3}a_0$ is the distance between unit cells along the ribbon length and $a_0 \approx 1.42$ Å is the carbon–carbon distance. In this representation, the transverse dynamical susceptibility $\chi^{+-}_{ll'}(\Omega; k)$ is a matrix, where $l$ and $l'$ label sites within a unit cell; each element of such a matrix is a function of the wave vector $k$ along the length of the ribbon, as well as of the energy $\Omega$. The unit cell is depicted in figure 1.

We start by discussing the spectral density $A_i(k; \Omega)$ for a nanoribbon of fixed width. In figure 2, we plot the spectral density as a function of $\Omega$ for fixed values of the wave vector $k$, for a ribbon with 8 atoms in the cross section. The main contribution to the excitations should come from the edges, where most of the magnetic moment of the system is concentrated. Thus, we only need to plot the spectral density projected on the ‘up’ edge, which we label as $i = 1$.
Figure 2. (a) Spectral density associated with spin waves, projected on the ‘up’ edge, for a neutral ribbon eight atoms wide, for selected wave vectors (indicated in the figure); (b) the linewidth as a function of wave vector; (c) the spin wave dispersion relation deduced from the peaks of the spectral density (squares). The dashed curve is a plot of the quadratic dispersion relation found in adiabatic calculations [7].

(the spectral density at the ‘down’ edge has similar behavior). Spin wave energies increase with wave vector, as usual, but the $k$ dependence is not quadratic, as would be expected from a simple ferromagnet.

A plot of the dispersion relation deduced from the peak positions (figure 2(c)) shows that the dispersion is linear quite far out in the Brillouin zone (20% of the zone boundary) and in fact is quasi-linear at large wave vectors as well. This may be understood if we map the spin degrees of freedom of this system onto a simple effective spin model, as illustrated in [9].

Calculations of spin excitation energies based on an adiabatic approach have been reported recently [7]. They found a quadratic energy–wave vector dispersion relation with a spin wave exchange stiffness of 320 meV Å$^{-2}$. We plot this dispersion relation in figure 2(c) for comparison. Although the energies found using the adiabatic approach are of the same order as those obtained via dynamical calculations, the discrepancy between the dependences on wave vector is remarkable.

In figure 2(a), we see that the spin wave peaks are extremely narrow for small wave vectors, indicating a very large spin wave lifetime. This is compatible with the existence of a threshold for Stoner excitations that equals the gap between spin subbands in these ribbons. Only spin waves with energies equal to or larger than this gap are damped. This means that the low-energy spin dynamics (represented by long-wavelength spin waves) may be well described by effective localized spin Hamiltonians, but as the wavelength of the excitation becomes smaller the itinerant nature of the system reveals itself. Thus, a simple ferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian is clearly not the appropriate model to describe the spin degrees of freedom of this fascinating system.
One very attractive feature of graphene is the possibility of controlling its carrier density by electrostatic gating. In the present case this feature opens up a very exciting possibility: by controlling the electron density we may be able to tune the relaxation time of spin excitations in graphene. As shown above, long-wavelength spin waves are essentially undamped in electrically neutral graphene ribbons. In figure 3, we show that very modest changes in the electron density can induce rather large damping, reducing considerably the relaxation time for spin excitations and shifting their energies. The origin of this damping is simple to grasp: the density of Stoner modes is very small at small energies in undoped graphene ribbons due to the fact that the density of states $\rho$ near the Fermi level $E_F$ is zero (the antiferromagnetic, undoped nanoribbon is semiconducting). As the density of states is increased by the gate voltage, $\rho$ is increased for energies close to $E_F$, giving rise to significant enhancement of the density of Stoner modes. As is well known $^{[16]}$–$^{[19]}$, spin wave damping in itinerant systems occurs through the decaying of magnons into Stoner modes, a mechanism very similar to the Landau damping of plasmons in metals. In figure 3(b), we show how the density of Stoner modes at the spin wave energies (given by the spectral function $A^0$ associated with the non-interacting susceptibility $\chi(0++)$) is enhanced by increasing electron density.

It is also clear from figure 3 that the extra damping is accompanied by a shift in the spin wave energy. Once again, this is related to the enhancement of the density of Stoner modes, via the Kramers–Krönig relation. The non-interacting susceptibility $\chi(0+-)$ enters the denominator...
Figure 4. The zero-frequency mean-field spectral density $A_0^0(k)$ for different doping levels and two values of the effective Coulomb interaction, $U = 2$ eV (a) and $U = 1.5$ eV (b). The curves have been displaced vertically for the sake of clarity. Doping levels are 0, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 10 milli-electrons atom$^{-1}$ and are indicated by the labels in the graph.

of the dynamic susceptibility $\chi^{+-}$, as indicated in equation (3). Its imaginary part is responsible for the finite lifetime of spin waves in itinerant magnets; its real part produces a shift in the spin wave frequencies, in much the same way as dissipative forces shift the natural frequency of mechanical oscillators. Thus, the enhancement of damping also implies a larger frequency shift.

There is another facet to the onset of strong spin wave damping in graphene nanoribbons. Spin excitations with infinite (or extremely long) lifetimes are associated with strongly localized spins, whereas strongly damped spin waves are found in systems where magnetism is itinerant in nature. It is very rare that one system can be tuned to be either a localized or an itinerant magnet with the change of a single parameter, easily accessible experimentally. It is an extremely exciting prospect that this kind of control is available in graphene nanoribbons.

The lifetime of spin waves in zigzag graphene nanoribbons can be dramatically reduced, as we just saw, by very modest doping (as small as $10^{-3}$ electron atom$^{-1}$). By further increasing doping we note that the ferromagnetic alignment along the borders becomes unstable. A sign of this instability is the appearance of a very soft spin wave mode as doping increases, as can be seen in figure 3(c).

The instability of the ferromagnetic alignment can be confirmed by the behavior of the mean-field transverse susceptibility at zero frequency, as a function of wave vector, $A_0^0(k) \equiv \chi^{(0)\mid\mid}(k, \Omega = 0)$ [20]. In a stable ferromagnetic system, $A_0^0(k)$ has a single maximum at $k = 0$, as illustrated by the zero doping curve in figure 4(a). As doping increases, a peak develops close to $k = 0$, until at large enough doping (in this case 0.01 electron atom$^{-1}$), a pronounced maximum appears at a finite value of $k$. The existence of peaks in $A_0^0(k)$ at finite values of $k$ means that the true ground state of this system is not ferromagnetic along the edges, but most probably a spin density wave characterized by those finite wave vectors.

One virtue of our simple model is that we can tune parameters and explore various behavior. On changing the strength $U$ of the Coulomb interaction we noted that the doping level at which
the instability appears changes. This is illustrated in figure 4 for two different values of $U$; for both values of $U$ the ferromagnetic alignment becomes unstable at $\sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$ electron atom$^{-1}$. It would be interesting to build a $U \times \delta n$ phase diagram, but our intention here is to point out the dependence and the general trend.

The stability analysis we performed is complementary to that presented in [15, 21, 22], where energy differences between collinear and non-collinear configurations in the direction transverse to the ribbon were analyzed. The stability of ferromagnetic ordering along the interface between graphene and graphane has been studied in [23]. They find that ferromagnetic order can be switched on or off by tuning voltages. To the best of our knowledge, ours is the first analysis that take into account the possibility of non-collinear ordering along the ribbon’s edges.

We have investigated wider nanoribbons (up to 32 atoms wide, although this is only limited by computer time). The most important effects of increasing the ribbon width are: (i) enhancement of a quadratic contribution to the spin-wave dispersion relation (due to the partial suppression of antiferromagnetic coupling between edges) and (ii) reduction of the doping range (for fixed $U$) within which ferromagnetism along the borders is stable.

In conclusion, we have shown that the lifetimes of spin excitations in zigzag graphene nanoribbons can be tuned by the application of modest gate voltages. This allows, at least in principle, electrical control of the magnetic relaxation rate. We have also demonstrated that there is a sharp transition between the character of the spin excitations in neutral and doped nanoribbons: while in neutral ribbons the long-wavelength excitations have essentially infinite lifetimes (a feature shared with magnetic insulators), any amount of doping, however small, leads to finite lifetimes (as in magnetic metals). Finally, we showed that further increasing doping makes the ferromagnetic alignment unstable against transverse spin fluctuations, a fact that should be carefully investigated if these systems are to be used in technological applications. We are confident that our results open very exciting possibilities both in spintronics technology and for a fundamental understanding of magnetism at the nanoscale.
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