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An in-depth look at the complex materials chemistry of multiferroics is undertaken. In the last decade, considerable attention has been focused on the search for and characterization of new multiferroic materials as scientists and researchers have been driven by the promise of exotic materials functionality (i.e., electric field control of ferromagnetism). In this manuscript we develop a picture of multiferroic materials, including details on the nature of order parameters and coupling in these materials, the scarcity of such materials, routes to create and control the properties in these materials, and we finish by investigating such effects in the model multiferroic BiFeO$_3$.

Introduction

Complex oxides represent a vast class of materials encompassing a wide range of crystal structures and functionalities. Amongst these interesting properties, the study of magnetic, ferroelectric, and, more recently, multiferroic properties in these oxide materials has driven considerable research. Specifically, in the last few years there has been a flurry of research focused on multiferroic and magnetoelectric materials.$^{1-3}$ From the investigation of bulk single crystals to novel characterization techniques that probe order parameters, coupling, spin dynamics, and more, this is truly a diverse field, rich with experimental and theoretical complexity. By definition, a single phase multiferroic is a material that simultaneously possesses two or more of the so-called “ferroic” order parameters – ferroelectricity, ferromagnetism and ferroelasticity. Magnetoelectric coupling typically refers to the linear magnetoelectric effect or the induction of magnetization by an electric field or polarization by a magnetic field.$^{4}$ The promise of coupling between magnetic and electronic order parameters and the potential to manipulate one through the other has captured the imagination of researchers worldwide. The ultimate goal for device functionality would be a single phase multiferroic with strong coupling between ferroelectric and ferromagnetic order parameters making for simple control over the magnetic nature of the material with an applied electric field at room temperature.

One aspect of fundamental interest to the study of multiferroics is the production of high quality samples of such materials for detailed study. Previous reviews have focused on both bulk and thin film synthesis of these materials (for instance, ref. 6 and 7, respectively); however, in this perspective, we will discuss the basics of and fundamental nature of order parameters in multiferroics, and how this leads to a scarcity of candidate materials. We will proceed to discuss a number of different classes of multiferroics as well as the coupling between order parameters in these materials before finally focusing on the model multiferroic BiFeO$_3$ as an illustrative example of the successes and challenges in controlling the complex chemistries in these materials.

Multiferroic materials and scarcity

Multiferroism describes materials in which two or all three of the properties ferroelectricity (spontaneous polarization that is both stable and can be switched by application of an electric field), ferromagnetism (spontaneous magnetization that is stable and can be switched by application of a magnetic field), and ferroelasticity (spontaneous deformation that is stable and can be switched by application of an electric field) occur in the same phase. The overlap required of ferroic materials to be classified as multiferroic is shown schematically in Fig. 1(a). Only a small subgroup of all magnetically and electrically polarizable materials are either ferromagnetic or ferroelectric and fewer still simultaneously exhibit both order parameters. In these select materials, however, there is the possibility that electric fields cannot only reorient the polarization but also control magnetization; similarly, a magnetic field can change electric polarization. This functionality offers an extra degree of freedom and hence we refer to such materials as magnetoelectrics [Fig. 1(b)]. Magnetoelectricity is an independent phenomenon that can arise in any material with both magnetic and...
current technology is the scarcity of multiferroics. This scarcity has kept multiferroics from making substantial inroads into the applications ranging from next generation logic and memory to sensing to tunable RF and much more. Although we will not explicitly explore these various applications, it has also been extended to include so-called ferrotoroidic order (a spontaneous order parameter that is taken to be the curl of a magnetization or polarization). This said, it should be abundantly obvious why there has been considerable renewed interest in these materials over the last decade. The prospects for these materials in applications are wide ranging and have driven a dearth of both fundamental and applied studies. Multiferroics have been proposed for use in applications ranging from next generation logic and memory to sensing to tunable RF and much more. Although we will not explicitly explore these various applications, it is important to frame the following discussion in the context of the actual applications that are envisioned for these materials.

With this as a background, the single largest limiting factor that has kept multiferroics from making substantial in-roads into the current technology is the scarcity of multiferroics. This scarcity has been astutely demonstrated by Khomskii. Taking as an example the perovskite (ABO₃) compounds, one can obtain a detailed list of magnetic perovskites in the tables compiled by Goodenough and Longo and further investigation will reveal similar tables of ferroelectric perovskites compiled by Mitsui, et al. What becomes apparent after investigating these tables is that there is no overlap between these lists—magnetism and ferroelectricity in the perovskites are seemingly incompatible. Key insights into this scarcity of multiferroic phases can be understood by investigating a number of factors including symmetry, electronic properties, and chemistry.

To begin, it should be noted that there are only 13 point groups that can give rise to multiferroic behavior. Strong magnetism in itinerant ferromagnets requires the presence of conduction electrons in partially filled inner shells (d- or f-shells); even in double exchange ferromagnets such as the manganites, magnetism is mediated by incompletely filled 3d shells. The situation in ferroelectrics, however, is somewhat more complicated as there exist many different mechanisms for ferroelectric ordering and a number of different types of ferroelectrics. Generally it is observed, however, that ferroelectrics (which are by definition insulators) typically possess, for instance in transition metal oxides, cations that have a formal d⁰ electronic state. This d⁰ state is thought to be required to drive the formation of strong covalency with the surrounding oxygen, thereby, shifting the transition metal ion from the center of the unit cell and inducing a spontaneous polarization (this is the so-called second-order Jahn–Teller effect). The second-order Jahn–Teller effect describes the structural changes resulting from a nondegenerate ground-state interacting with a low-lying excited state and it occurs when the energy gap between the highest occupied (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied (LUMO) molecular orbital is small and there is a symmetry allowed distortion permitting the mixing of the HOMO and LUMO states. Mathematically, the second-order Jahn–Teller effect can be understood through the use of second-order perturbation and group theory, but this is beyond the scope of this article. Thus, in the end, it becomes clear that there exists a seeming contradiction between the conventional mechanism of off-centering in a ferroelectric and the formation of magnetic order which helps explain the scarcity of ferromagnetic-ferroelectric multiferroics. The focus of many researchers, therefore, has been in designing and identifying new mechanisms that lead to magnetoelectric coupling and multiferroic behavior. In the following section we will investigate a number of these pathways.

An investigation of these various pathways to multiferroism is aided by separation of all multiferroic materials into one of two types. Type I multiferroics are materials in which ferroelectricity and magnetism have different sources and appear largely independent of one another. One can create a Type I multiferroic, for instance, by engineering the functionality on a site-by-site basis in model systems such as the perovskites (ABO₃), materials where one can make use of the stereochemical activity of an A-site cation with a lone pair (i.e., 6s electrons in Bi or Pb) to induce a structural distortion and ferroelectricity while inducing magnetism with the B-site cation. This is the case in the multiferroics BiFeO₃, BiMnO₃, and PbVO₃. From the microscopic view, it can be understood that the orientation of the lone-pairs in the materials can give rise to local dipoles that can order thereby creating a net polarization as has been demonstrated with ab initio models (Fig. 2). Much like the polarization observed in the classic ferroelectrics (i.e., BaTiO₃), materials such as BiFeO₃ and BiMnO₃ are referred to as proper ferroelectrics. In a proper ferroelectric, structural instability towards a polar state, associated with the electronic pairing, is the main driving force for the transition. If, on the other hand, polarization is only a part of a more complex lattice distortion or if it appears as an accidental by-product of some other ordering, the ferroelectricity is called improper. One pathway by which one can obtain an improper ferroelectric, Type I multiferroic is through geometrically driven effects where long-range dipole–dipole interactions and anion rotations drive the system towards a stable ferroelectric state. This is thought to drive multiferroism in materials such as the hexagonal manganites (e.g., YMnO₃) (Fig. 3). Again, in these materials ferroelectricity is achieved despite violating the requirement of having a d⁰ electron configuration on the B-site cation. Despite this fact, the resulting ferroelectric transition temperatures for these hexagonal manganites are typically quite high (~900–1000 K) – suggesting a robustness to the order parameter. Recent results also suggest that...
magnetite ($Fe_2O_4$), which undergoes a metal–insulator transition at $\sim 125\, K$ (the Verwey transition) with a rather complex pattern of ordered charges of iron ions.\textsuperscript{29} This charge ordering (which occurs in a non-symmetric manner) induces an electric polarization. More recently it has been suggested that the coexistence of bond-centered and site-centered charge ordering in Pr$_{1-x}$Ca$_x$MnO$_3$ leads to a non-centrosymmetric charge distribution and a net electric polarization [Fig. 4(a)].\textsuperscript{30} In the case of LuFe$_2$O$_4$, the charge ordering results in a bilayer structure and appears to induce electric polarization. The average valence of Fe ions in LuFe$_2$O$_4$ is 2.5+, and in each layer these ions form a triangular lattice. Below $\sim 350\, K$, it is thought that alternating layers with $Fe^{2+}:Fe^{3+}$ ratios of 2:1 and 1:2 are produced, which result in a net polarization [Fig. 4(b)].\textsuperscript{28}

So far we have investigated Type I multiferroics, where magnetism and ferroelectricity result from two unrelated mechanisms. In these systems because the ordering results from very different mechanisms, one would not, a priori, expect there to be strong magnetoelectric coupling in these materials. On the other hand, Type II multiferroics are materials in which magnetism causes ferroelectricity – implying a strong coupling between the two order parameters. The prototypical examples of this sort of behavior are TbMnO$_3$\textsuperscript{31} and TbMn$_2$O$_5$\textsuperscript{32} where ferroelectricity is induced by the formation of a symmetry-lowering magnetic ground state that lacks inversion symmetry. For instance, in TbMnO$_3$, the onset of ferroelectricity is directly correlated with the onset of spiral magnetic order at $\sim 28\, K$.\textsuperscript{33} The intimate connection between magnetic and ferroelectric order results in extraordinary coupling – including the ability to change the direction of electric polarization with an applied magnetic field in TbMnO$_3$\textsuperscript{31} and switching from positive to negative polarization in TbMn$_2$O$_5$ with a magnetic field.\textsuperscript{32} The true nature of the mechanism for ferroelectric ordering
in these materials is still under debate. Current theories have noted that for most of these materials the ferroelectric state is observed only when there is a spiral or helicoidal magnetic structure. The idea is that via some mechanism, for instance the Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya antisymmetric exchange interaction which is a relativistic correction to the usual superexchange with strength proportional to the spin–orbit coupling constant, the magnetic spiral can exert an influence on the charge and lattice subsystems, thereby creating ferroelectric order. Similar effects have also been observed in Ni$_3$V$_2$O$_8$.

**Magnetoelectricity**

From an applications standpoint, the real interest in multiferroic materials lies in the possibility of strong magnetoelectric coupling and the possibility to create new functionalities in materials. The magnetoelectric effect was proposed as early as 1894 by P. Curie, but experimental confirmation of the effect remained elusive until work on Cr$_2$O$_3$ in the 1960s. As early as the 1970s a wide range of devices, including devices for the modulation of amplitudes, polarizations, and phases of optical waves, magnetoelectric data storage and switching, optical diodes, spin-wave generation, amplification, and frequency conversion had been proposed that would take advantage of magnetoelectric materials. The magnetoelectric effect in its most general definition delineates the coupling between electric and magnetic fields in matter. Magnetoelectric coupling may exist regardless of the nature of the magnetic and electrical order parameters and can arise from direct coupling between two order parameters or indirectly via the lattice or strain. A better understanding of magnetoelectric coupling arises from expansion of the free energy of a material, i.e.

\[
F(\vec{E}, \vec{H}) = F_0 - P_0^i E_i - M_0^j H_j - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} E_i E_j H_k - \frac{1}{2} \mu_{ijk} H_i H_j H_k - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ijk} H_i E_j E_k - \ldots
\]

with \( \vec{E} \) and \( \vec{H} \) as the electric field and magnetic field, respectively. Differentiation leads to the constitutive order parameters polarization

\[
P_i(\vec{E}, \vec{H}) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial E_i} = P_i^0 + \epsilon_{ijk} E_j H_k + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{ijk} H_j H_k + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ijk} H_j E_k + \ldots
\]

and magnetization

\[
M_i(\vec{E}, \vec{H}) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial H_i} = M_i^0 + \mu_{ijk} H_j H_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{ijk} E_j H_k + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ijk} H_j E_k + \ldots
\]

where \( \epsilon \) and \( \mu \) are the electric and magnetic susceptibilities, respectively, and \( \alpha \) represents the induction of polarization by a magnetic field or magnetization by electric field and is designated the linear magnetoelectric effect. It should be noted that higher order magnetoelectric effects like \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are possible, however, they are often much smaller in magnitude than the lowest order terms. Furthermore, it can be shown that the magnetoelectric response is limited by the relation \( \alpha_{ij}^2 < \epsilon_i \mu_j \) or more rigorously \( \alpha_{ij}^2 < \chi_e \chi_m \) where \( \chi_e \) and \( \chi_m \) are the electric and magnetic susceptibilities. This means that the magnetoelectric effect can only be large in ferroelectric and/or ferromagnetic materials. To date the largest magnetoelectric responses have been identified in composite materials where the magnetoelectric effect is the product property of a magnetostriuctive and a piezoelectric material and in multiferroic materials.

Symmetry also has a key role to play in magnetoelectricity. In fact, Curie’s early work had already pointed to the fact that symmetry was a key issue in the search for magnetoelectric materials, but it was not until much later that researchers realized magnetoelectric responses could only occur in time-asymmetric materials. Detailed symmetry analyses have produced lists of magnetoelectric point groups and tensor elements. By definition the magnetoelectric effect involves both magnetic and electric fields, thereby ruling out materials with either time reversal or inversion symmetry. In the end there are only 58 magnetic point groups that allow the magnetoelectric effect. These symmetry concerns have lead to a strict set of criteria that must be met for a material to exhibit magnetoelectric behavior.

**Modern-Day multiferroics**

Multiferroics have a storied history dating back to the 1950s. At that time, Soviet scientists attempted to replace partially diamagnetic ions with paramagnetic ones on the B-site of oxy-octahedral perovskites making the phases Pb(Fe$_{1/2}$Nb$_{1/2}$)O$_3$ and Pb(Fe$_{1/2}$Ta$_{1/2}$)O$_3$ which were found to be both ferroelectric and antiferromagnetic. This sparked the birth of the field of multiferroics. Following this initial period of interest throughout the 1960s and 1970s, these materials were relegated to the realms of physics novelty as the complex nature of these materials made it quite difficult to produce high quality materials that possessed the desired combination of properties. The so-called “Renaissance of Magnetoelectric Multiferroics” came in the early 2000s as combined advances in the production of high quality thin films and bulk single crystals were augmented by significant advances in materials characterization (especially scanning probe, optical, neutron, and synchrotron-based techniques) made it, for the first time, possible to synthesize high quality samples and characterize multiple order parameters in these materials.

Today there are roughly four major classes of multiferroic mate-
high-temperature cubic phase ($\bar{4}3m$ symmetry) at high temperatures to an orthorhombic structure ($mmn2$ symmetry). Note that some phases also possess subsequent phase transitions to $m$ and $3m$ symmetry at lower temperatures. The third common class of multiferroic materials are those possessing hexagonal structure and general chemical formula $ABO_3$, or $A_xB_yB'_xO_{3y}$. Of these materials, the best known and studied are the hexagonal ferroelectric, antiferromagnetic manganites ($RMnO_3$, $R = \text{Sc, Y, In, Ho, Er, Tb, Yb, Lu}$) which were first discovered in the late 1950s. These materials are defined by $6mm$ symmetry and up to four long-range ordered subsystems, including the ferroelectric lattice with Curie temperatures typically between 570–990 K. The antiferromagnetic Mn$^{3+}$ lattice with Néel temperatures typically between 70–130 K, and two rare-earth sublattices with magnetic order temperatures below ~5 K. This brings us to the oldest and best known class of multiferroic materials that are based on the perovskite structure with general chemical formula $ABO_3$, $A_xB_yB'_xO_{3y}$, or a large variety of doped or chemically substituted phases. Generally, multiferroic perovskites do not possess ideal cubic symmetry ($m3m$), but have some slight deformation (i.e., a rhombohedral distortion as is the case in BiFeO$_3$ which has $3m$ symmetry). There are a large number of multiferroic perovskites (for a nice listing see ref. 51), but by far the most widely studied material has been BiFeO$_3$. Bismuth ferrite or BiFeO$_3$ is a ferroelastic ferroelectric, antiferromagnet with high ordering temperatures and can be chemically alloyed to tune the properties. Because of the versatility of this material, the high ordering temperatures, and the robust order parameters, it has attracted unprecedented attention since the first half of the 2000s. Thus, for the remainder of this manuscript, we will focus in on the evolution and properties of this exciting material.

**BiFeO$_3$**

The perovskite BiFeO$_3$ was first produced in the late 1950s and many of the early studies were focused on the same concepts important today – the potential for magnetoelectric coupling. Throughout the 1960s and 1970s much controversy surrounded the true physical and structural properties of BiFeO$_3$, but as early as the 1960s BiFeO$_3$ was suspected to be an antiferromagnetic, ferroelectric multiferroic. The true ferroelectric nature of BiFeO$_3$, however, remained somewhat in question until ferroelectric measurements made at 77 K in 1970 revealed a spontaneous polarization of ~6.1 $\mu$C cm$^{-2}$ along the 111-direction which were found to be consistent with the rhombohedral polar space group $R3c$ determined from single-crystal X-ray diffraction and neutron diffraction studies. These findings were at last confirmed by detailed structural characterization of ferroelectric/ferroelastic monodomain single crystal samples of BiFeO$_3$ in the late 1980s. Chemical etching experiments on ferroelastic single domains later proved without a doubt that the BiFeO$_3$ was indeed polar, putting to rest the hypothesis that BiFeO$_3$ might be antiferroelectric, and proved that the ferroelectric/ferroelastic phase was stable from 4 K to ~1103 K. The structure of BiFeO$_3$ can be characterized by two distorted perovskite blocks connected along their body diagonal or the pseudocubic <111> direction, to build a rhombohedral unit cell [Fig. 5(a)]. In this structure the two oxygen octahedra of the cells connected along the <111> are rotated clockwise and counterclockwise around the <111> by ±13.8(3)° and the Fe-ion is shifted by 0.135 Å along the same axis away from the oxygen octahedron center position. The ferroelectric state is realized by a large displacement of the Bi-ions relative to the FeO$_6$ octahedra [Fig. 5(a), (b) and (c)].

![Structure of BiFeO$_3$](image)

**Fig. 5** Structure of BiFeO$_3$ shown looking (a) down the pseudocubic-[110], (b) down the pseudocubic-[111]-polarization direction, and (c) a general three-dimensional view of the structure (adapted from ref. 66). (d) The magnetic structure of BiFeO$_3$ is shown including G-type antiferromagnetic ordering and the formation of the weak ferromagnetic moment (adapted from ref. 7).

In 2003 a paper focusing on the growth and properties of thin films of BiFeO$_3$ spawned a hailstorm of research into thin films of BiFeO$_3$ that continues to the present day. The paper reported enhancements of polarization and related properties in heteroepitaxially constrained thin films of BiFeO$_3$. Structural analysis of the films suggested differences between films (with a monoclinic structure) and bulk single crystals (with a rhombohedral structure) as well as enhancement of the polarization up to ~90 $\mu$C cm$^{-2}$ at room temperature and enhanced thickness-dependent magnetism compared to bulk samples (Fig. 6). In reality, the high values of polarization observed actually represented the intrinsic polarization of BiFeO$_3$. Limitations in the quality of bulk crystals had kept researchers from observing such high polarization values until much later in bulk samples. More importantly this report indicated a magnetoelectric coupling coefficient as high as 3 V cm$^{-1}$ Oe at zero applied field. A series of detailed first principles calculations utilizing the local spin-density approximation (LSDA) and LSDA+U methods helped shed light on the findings in this paper. Calculations of the spontaneous polarization in BiFeO$_3$ suggested a value between 90–100 $\mu$C cm$^{-2}$ (consistent with those measured in 2003) which have since been confirmed by many other experimental reports. Other theoretical treatments attempted to understand the nature of magnetism and
coupling between order parameters in BiFeO₃. Such calculations confirmed the possibility of weak ferromagnetism arising from a canting of the antiferromagnetic moments in BiFeO₃. The canting angle was calculated to be ~1° and would result in a small, but measurable, magnetization of ~0.05 μ₀ per unit cell. It was also found that the magnetization should be confined to an energetically degenerate easy {111} perpendicular to the polarization direction in BiFeO₃. These same calculations further discussed the connection of the weak ferromagnetism and the structure (and therefore ferroelectric nature) of BiFeO₃. This allowed the authors to extract three conditions necessary to achieve electric-field-induced magnetization reversal: (i) the rotational and polar distortions must be coupled; (ii) the degeneracy between different configurations of polarization and magnetization alignment must be broken; (iii) there must be only one easy magnetization axis in the (111) which could be easily achieved by straining the material.²⁷

Nonetheless, the true nature of magnetism in thin film BiFeO₃ continues to be a contentious subject. The original work of Wang et al. presented an anomalously large value of magnetic moment (of the order of 70 emu cm⁻³),¹⁷ which is significantly higher than the expected canted moment of ~8 emu cm⁻³. There have been several studies aimed at clarifying the origins of this anomalous magnetism. Eerenstein et al.²⁷ proposed that the excess magnetism was associated with magnetic second phases (such as γ-Fe₂O₃); this was supported by the studies of Béa et al.²⁹ who showed that BiFeO₃ films, when grown under reducing conditions (for example under oxygen pressures lower than 1×10⁻³ Torr) showed enhanced magnetism as a consequence of the formation of magnetic second phases. It is, however, important to note that low oxygen pressure during growth is not the cause for the enhanced moment in the 2003 report by Wang et al. where films were grown in oxygen pressures between 100–200 mTorr and cooled in 760 Torr rendering formation of such secondary magnetic phases thermodynamically unlikely and there was no evidence (despite extensive study of samples with X-ray diffraction and transmission electron microscopy techniques) for such second phases. Furthermore, subsequent X-ray magnetic circular dichroism studies supported the assertion that this magnetism is not from a magnetic γ-Fe₂O₃ impurity phase.³⁴ To date, additional mixed reports – including reports of enhanced magnetism in nanoparticles of BiFeO₃,⁷⁸ as well as the observation of samples exhibiting no such enhancement – have been presented. It is thus fair to say that this one issue that remains unresolved in a rigorous sense.

**Synthesis of BiFeO₃**

Great strides have been made in the production of high quality bulk single-crystal and thin film versions of BiFeO₃ in the last few decades. Here will investigate the advances in each arena. Let us begin by investigating the development of bulk-single crystal synthesis. The creation of top-notch BiFeO₃ single crystals really finds its start in the mid 1980s in the group of Schmid at the University of Geneva and the use of the flux growth method to produce crystals.⁷⁶,⁷⁷ Additional details concerning these growth techniques can be found in ref. 78 and 79. Briefly, the process generally uses Bi₂O₃/Fe₂O₃ flux with some additives to either promote single domain growth or lower liquidus temperatures (i.e., B₂O₃, NaCl, etc.). Regardless, much of the early work fought the struggle against “parasitic” phases such as Bi₂Fe₄O₁₁ and others. The process requires careful control of the molar ratios of the starting materials, cooling rates, and gaseous environment. In the end the crystals must be separated from the flux via a number of different dissolution procedures (i.e., using acetic, nitric or other acids). More recently the flux process has been evolved and currently very high quality single crystals of BiFeO₃ can
be produced using the flux process. Processes today still utilize the same basic $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ flux in a Pt-crucible at temperatures between 675–870 $^\circ\text{C}$, with various additions to promote single domain growth, and a better understanding of the common impurity phases and routes to avoid them are known. It is not uncommon for researchers today to achieve single crystal samples a few millimetres on a side (Fig. 7).

![Fig. 7](image)

**Fig. 7** (a) SEM image of a BiFeO$_3$ single crystal platelet grown from the flux method described. The crystal size is $1.4 \times 1.6 \times 0.04$ mm$^3$. (b) Imaged from a polarizing light microscope with a polychromatic light source showing the presence of different ferroelectric domains in the sample. (c) Similar images from another BiFeO$_3$ single crystal revealing the presence of only a single ferroelectric domain (adapted from ref. 69).

Although great strides have been made in the production of high quality single crystals of BiFeO$_3$, much of the research on this material has been driven by progress in understanding the structure, properties, and growth of thin films of BiFeO$_3$. High quality epitaxial BiFeO$_3$ films have been grown via molecular beam epitaxy (MBE),$^{81,84}$ pulsed laser deposition,$^{17,85}$ radio-frequency (RF) sputtering,$^{86,87}$ metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD),$^{88,89}$ and chemical solution deposition (CSD)$^{90}$ on a wide range of substrates including traditional oxide substrates as well as Si$^{85,91}$ and GaN.$^{92}$ This work has shown that high quality films, like those shown in Fig. 8 can be produced. Typical XRD $\theta$–$2\theta$ measurements [Fig. 8(a)] show the ability of researchers to produce high quality, fully epitaxial, single-phase films of BiFeO$_3$ (data here is for a BiFeO$_3$/SrRuO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ (001) heterostructure). Detailed XRD analysis has shown that films possess a monoclinic distortion of the bulk rhombohedral structure over a wide range of thicknesses, but the true structure of very thin films (<15 nm) remains unclear.$^{93}$ The quality of such heterostructures as produced by pulsed laser deposition can be probed further by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) [Fig. 8(b)]. TEM imaging reveals films that are uniform over large areas and with the use of high-resolution TEM we can examine the atomically abrupt, smooth, and coherent interface between BiFeO$_3$ and a commonly used bottom electrode material SrRuO$_3$.

Epitaxy has allowed researchers to gain control over the complex domain structures common to BiFeO$_3$, which is especially important if one would like to control and influence the physical properties of these materials. A complete discussion of controlling domain structures in BiFeO$_3$ is given in ref. 3, but here are a few important findings. Researchers have been able to produce one-dimensional nanoscale arrays of domain walls in epitaxial BFO films by utilizing anisotropic in-plane strains,$^{94}$ reduce the structural variants from 8, to 4, to 2, and even a single variant through the use of vicinally cut substrates,$^{95}$ and by controlling the electrostatic boundary conditions researchers have been able to produce the equilibrium domain structures predicted nearly 10 years ago.$^{96,97}$ These advances in controlling the ferroelectric domain structure of BiFeO$_3$ show one way to improve the performance of such complex materials in device settings that could range from memories, to photonic devices, to nanolithography, and more. Such fine control of the domain structures (and, in turn, the properties) and the ability to create extremely high quality thin films of these materials make it possible to probe a number of important questions related to this material.

![Fig. 8](image)

**Fig. 8** (a) X-Ray diffraction results from a fully epitaxial, single phase BiFeO$_3$/SrRuO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ (001) heterostructure. (b) Low- and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy images of this same heterostructure (adapted from ref. 7).
Doped BiFeO₃

In addition to improvements in the synthesis of BiFeO₃, thin films, other researchers have attempted to take head-on the common challenges that have traditionally limited the widespread usage of such materials in devices. These challenges have included high leakage currents, small remnant polarizations, high coercive fields, ferroelectric reliability, and inhomogeneous magnetic spin structures. Specifically, in the last few years, attention has been given to studying doped BiFeO₃ thin films (both A-site and B-site doping) in an attempt to improve these various areas of concern. In this section we will describe the work done to date on chemical routes to control properties in BiFeO₃.

Following the rejuvenation of interest in BiFeO₃ in the early 2000s, a number of studies came forth aimed at understanding how to enhance properties in this exciting material. One of the earliest studies looked at alloying the B-site of BiFeO₃ with the transition metal ions Ti⁴⁺ and Ni²⁺ which are similar in size to the Fe³⁺ ion. The idea was that the addition of 4+ ions into the BiFeO₃ would require charge compensation which would be achieved either by filling of oxygen vacancies, decreasing the valence of the Fe-ions, or creation of cation vacancies. On the other hand, addition of 2+ ions would likely create anion vacancies or change the Fe-ion valence. In the end the hope was that Ti⁴⁺ alloying would help to eliminate oxygen vacancies and Ni²⁺ alloying would introduce more oxygen vacancies. This study, in turn, showed that alloying with Ti⁴⁺ led to an increase in film resistivity by over three orders of magnitude while doping with Ni²⁺ resulted in a decrease in resistivity by over two orders of magnitude (Fig. 9). Additionally, the study suggested that the current–voltage behavior was effected by the alloying and that increased densities of oxygen vacancies lead to higher levels of free carriers and higher conductivity. Over the next few years numerous other reports of the effect of alloying on the properties of BiFeO₃ were published. Other studies also focused on B-site alloying, including alloying with Nd which helped to enhance piezoelectricity in the films and improve electric properties, doping with Cr which was shown to greatly reduce leakage currents in BiFeO₃ films, and others.

Although there are a number of studies on B-site alloyed BiFeO₃, greater attention has been given to A-site alloyed phases. The most widely studied dopants are materials from the lanthanide series – especially La, Dy, Gd, etc. As early as 1991 work on these materials was undertaken, but again it was not until after 2003 that the number of studies on these alloyed systems really took off. Early studies probed the effect of La-alloying on the magnetic structure of BiFeO₃ and showed that the spin-modulated structure disappeared in single crystals with only 20% addition of La. Soon after, studies on La-alloyed thin films showed that the structure of the films was greatly affected, and that the ferroelectric fatigue life was seemingly enhanced. Later studies showed that careful control of La-doping could be used to control domain structures, switching, and produce robust ferroelectric properties in films on Si substrates. Other studies have also investigated Ba-, Sr-, Ca- and Pb-doping, and many others. It should be noted that there are numerous studies of A-site alloying, too many to be covered thoroughly here.

Another exciting discovery occurred when researchers doped rare-earth elements into BiFeO₃. Upon doping BiFeO₃ with Sm (at ~14% Sm), a lead-free morphotropic phase boundary was discovered. The researchers found a rhombohedral to pseudo-orthorhombic structural transition (and an associated ferroelectric to antiferroelectric transition) that produced an out-of-plane piezoelectric coefficient comparable to PbZr,TiO₃ materials near the chemically derived morphotropic phase boundary in that material. Further investigations of this morphotropic phase boundary have investigated the effects of Sm doping and have shown that the Sm⁺³ first creates antiparallel cation displacements in local packets and, with additional Sm, a series of phase transitions and superstructural phases are formed. This work has recently culminated in the observation of a universal behavior in such rare-earth substituted versions of BiFeO₃. By combining careful experimental and first-principles approaches to the study of complex phase development in this system, researchers have discovered that the structural transition between a rhombohedral ferroelectric phase and an orthorhombic phase with a double-polarization hysteresis loop and significantly enhanced electromechanical response is found to occur independent of the rare-earth dopant species as long as the average ionic radius of the A-site cation is controlled. Despite the somewhat complicated phase space related to such doped versions of BiFeO₃, researchers have been able to identify and manipulate dopants to greatly enhance the properties of this material. It should also be noted at this point, that a recent report has suggested that thin film strain can be used to induce a similar morphotropic-like (or isosymmetric) phase boundary in BiFeO₃. In this case a strain-driven boundary between a super-tetragonal-like and rhombohedral-like phase is observed. By utilizing epitaxial thin film strain, the authors of this report noted that growth of thin films on LaAlO₃ (001) and YAlO₃ (001) substrates resulted in the formation of BiFeO₃ exhibiting a nearly tetragonal polymorph of the typically rhombohedral material and the presence of mixed phase (possessing both rhombohedral- and tetragonal-like versions of BiFeO₃). Detailed transmission electron microscopy imaging of the interface between these two structural polymorphs showed that the c-axis lattice parameter changes by nearly 13% in only about 10 unit cells without the presence of misfit dislocations or other defects. Furthermore, initial findings suggest similar large piezoelectric...
ferroelectric switching in the tetragonal-like phase was observed. Symmetry and, through the use of piezoresponse force microscopy, slightly monoclinically distorted structure (111) rather than P4mm symmetry and, through the use of piezoresponse force microscopy, ferroelectric switching in the tetragonal-like phase was observed. 4 What becomes clear is that there are a number of routes by which one can achieve exotic new properties and functionality in systems such as BiFeO3. From the use of rare-earth dopants to careful control of epitaxial strain, tweaking and tuning the structure and properties of these materials can result in unexpected findings.

The work in alloyed BiFeO3 materials was undertaken with the expectation that this would present an exciting pathway to unprecedented control and properties in this material. The findings, although useful and insightful, had failed to produce a ground breaking discovery until very recently. In 2009, Yang et al., building off of the prior observation of the development of interesting materials phenomena such as high-Tc superconductivity in the cuprates and colossal magnetoresistance in the manganites arise out of a doping-driven competition between energetically similar ground states, investigated doped multiferroics as a new example of this generic concept of phase competition. The results were the observation of an electronic conductor–insulator transition by control of band-filling in Ca-doped BiFeO3. Application of electric fields enabled researchers to control and manipulate this electronic transition to the extent that a p–n junction can be created, erased and inverted in this material. A ‘dome-like’ feature in the doping dependence of the ferroelectric transition is observed around a Ca concentration of 1/8, where a new pseudo-tetragonal phase appears and the electric modulation of conduction is optimized [Fig. 10(a)]. c-AFM images [Fig. 10(b)] reveal that upon application of an electric field the material becomes conducting and that subsequent application of electric fields can reversibly turn the effect on and off. It has been proposed that this observation could open the door to merging magnetoelectrics and magnetoelectronics at room temperature by combining electronic conduction with electric and magnetic degrees of freedom already present in the multiferroic BiFeO3. Fig. 10(c) shows the quasi-non-volatile and reversible modulation of electric conduction accompanied by the modulation of the ferroelectric state. The mechanism of this modulation in Ca-doped BiFeO3 is based on electronic conduction as a consequence of the naturally produced oxygen vacancies that act as donor impurities to compensate Ca acceptors and maintain a highly stable Fe3+ valence state. Soon after this report, Schiemer et al.177 provided a detailed structural study of the full Ca-doped BiFeO3 system. This study sheds light on the evolution of properties and structure in this complex series – including the development of new phases and the impact of this on ferroelectricity and magnetism.

Magnetism and magnetoelectricity in BiFeO3

So far we have focused mostly on electronic properties in BiFeO3, in this section we will focus on the recent advances in understanding magnetic order in this material. As discussed previously, the structure of BiFeO3 can be characterized by two distorted perovskite blocks connected along their body diagonal or the pseudocubic <111> to build a rhombohedral unit cell, is a G-type antiferromagnet with the moments confined to a plane perpendicular to the <111>-polarization directions, and possesses symmetry that permits a small canting of the moments in the structure resulting in a weak ferromagnetic moment of the Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya type. Also recall that Ederer and Spaldin suggested that only one easy magnetization axis in the energetically degenerate 111-plane might be selected when one was to strain the material.71 Thus, one critical question concerning magnetism in
multiferroics such as BiFeO$_3$ that is of both fundamental and technological importance, is how this order parameter develops with strain and size effects?

Using angle and temperature dependent dichroic measurements and photoemission spectromicroscopy (Fig. 11), Holcomb et al. have discovered that the antiferromagnetic order in BiFeO$_3$ evolves and changes systematically as a function of thickness and strain. Lattice mismatch induced strain is found to break the easy-plane magnetic symmetry of the bulk and leads to an easy axis of magnetization which can be controlled via the sign of the strain – 110-type for tensile strain and 112-type for compressive strain. This understanding of the evolution of magnetic structure and the ability to manipulate the magnetism in this model multiferroic has significant implications for eventual utilization of such magnetoelectric materials in applications.
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(a) Schematic illustrating the experimental geometries used to probe the angle dependent linear dichroism in BiFeO$_3$. Photoemission electron microscopy images of BiFeO$_3$ at several angles of the electric vector of incident linear polarization $\alpha$. The outlined arrows show the in-plane projection of the four ferroelectric directions. Images of domain structures taken at (b) $\alpha = 90^\circ$, (c) $\alpha = 70^\circ$, (d) $\alpha = 40^\circ$, and (e) $\alpha = 0^\circ$ (adapted from ref. 118).

At the same time, a number of recent findings are poised to definitively answer the questions surrounding the wide array of magnetic properties observed in BiFeO$_3$ thin films. There is now a growing consensus that epitaxial films (with a thickness less than ~100 nm) are highly strained and thus the crystal structure is more akin to a monoclinic phase rather than the bulk rhombohedral structure. Furthermore, a systematic dependence of the ferroelectric domain structure in the film as a function of the growth rate has been observed. Films grown very slowly (for example by MBE, laser-MBE, or off-axis sputtering) exhibit a classical stripe-like domain structure that is similar to ferroelastic domains in tetragonal Pb(Zr$_{1-x}$Ti$_x$)$_3$O$_y$ films. Due to symmetry considerations, two sets of such twins are observed. These twins are made up of 71$^\circ$ ferroelastic walls, that form on the $\{001\}$-type planes (which is a symmetry plane). In contrast, if the films are grown rapidly (as was done in the original work of Wang et al.) the domain structure is dramatically different. It now resembles a mosaic-like ensemble that consists of a dense distribution of 71$^\circ$, 109$^\circ$ and 180$^\circ$ domain walls. It should be noted that 109$^\circ$ domain walls form on $\{001\}$-type planes (which is not a symmetry plane for this structure). Preliminary measurements reveal a systematic difference in magnetic moment between samples possessing different types and distributions of domain walls. The work of Martin et al. suggests that such domain walls could play a key role in the many observations of enhanced magnetic moment in BiFeO$_3$ thin films.

This suggestion builds off of the work of Přívratská and Janovec, where detailed symmetry analyzes were used to make the conclusion that magnetoelectric coupling could lead to the appearance of a net magnetization in the middle of antiferromagnetic domain walls. Specifically, they showed that this effect is allowed for materials with the $R3c$ space group (i.e., that observed for BiFeO$_3$). Although such analysis raises the possibility of such an effect, the group-symmetry arguments do not allow for any quantitative estimate of that moment. The idea that novel properties could occur at domain walls in materials presented by Přívratská and Janovec is part of a larger field of study of the morphology and properties of domains and their walls that has taken place over the last 50 years with increasing recent attention given to the study novel functionality at domain walls. For instance, recent work has demonstrated that spin rotations across ferromagnetic domain walls in insulating ferromagnets can induce a local polarization in the walls of otherwise non-polar materials, 2,122 preferential doping along domain walls has been reported to induce 2D superconductivity in WO$_{3n}$, and enhanced resistivity in phosphates, while in paraelectric (non-polar) SrTiO$_3$ the ferroelastic domain walls appear to be ferroelectrically polarized. Taking this idea one step further, Darakchiev et al. have proposed a thermodynamic (Landau-type) model with the aim of quantitatively estimating whether the walls of BiFeO$_3$ can be magnetic and, if so, to what extent they might contribute to the observed enhancement of magnetization in ultrathin films. One can develop a simple thermodynamic potential incorporating two order parameters expanded up to $P^2$ and $M^2$ terms (the transitions in BiFeO$_3$ are found experimentally to be first order, and the low-symmetry ($\pm P_0$, 0) phase is described here) with biquadratic coupling between the two order parameters (biquadratic coupling is always allowed by symmetry, and therefore always present in any system with two order parameters). Because biquadratic free energy terms such as $P^2 M^2$ are scalars in any symmetry group, this potential can be written thus:
\[
G_{\text{app}} = G_0 + \frac{\kappa}{2} (\nabla P)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\nabla M)^2 + L_{\text{app}}(P, M) \\
= G_0 + \frac{\kappa}{2} (\nabla P)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\nabla M)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} P^2 + \frac{\beta}{4} P^4 + \frac{\gamma}{6} P^6
\] (4)

When one goes from \( +P \) to \( -P \), it is energetically more favorable for the domain wall energy trajectory not to go through the centre of the landscape \( (P = 0, M = 0) \), but to take a diversion through the saddle points at \( M \neq 0 \), thus giving rise to a finite magnetization [Fig. 12]. The absolute values of the magnetic moment at the domain wall will depend on the values of the Landau coefficients as well as the boundary conditions imposed on the system, namely whether the material is magnetically ordered or not. Analysis of the phase space of this thermodynamic potential shows that it is possible for net magnetization to appear in the middle of ferroelectric walls even when the domains themselves are not ferromagnetic [Fig. 12(b)]. The authors of this model note, however, that it is presently only a “toy model” which does not take into account the exact symmetry of BiFeO\(_3\), so it cannot yet quantitatively estimate how much domain walls can contribute to the magnetization. The exact theory of magnetostrictive coupling at the domain walls of BFO also remains to be formulated.

Recently, a holistic picture of the connection between processing, structure and properties has brought to light the role of magnetism at ferroelectric domain walls in determining the magnetic properties in BiFeO\(_3\) thin films. By controlling domain structures through epitaxial growth constraints and probing these domain walls with exchange bias studies, X-ray magnetic dichroism based spectromicroscopy, and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy He et al.\(^{128}\) have demonstrated that the formation of certain types of ferroelectric domain walls (i.e., 109° walls) can lead to enhanced magnetic moments in BiFeO\(_3\). Building off the work of Martin et al.\(^{119}\) the authors of this study were able to demonstrate that samples possessing 109° domain walls show significantly enhanced circular dichroism that is consistent with collective magnetic correlations, while samples with only 71° domain walls show no circular dichroism. In summary, it appears certain domain walls can give rise to enhanced magnetic behavior in BiFeO\(_3\) thin films.

It is also important to note that Seidel et al.\(^{131}\) motivated by the desire to understand similar magnetic properties at domain walls in BiFeO\(_3\), undertook a detailed scanning probe-based study of these materials and discovered a new and previously unanticipated finding: the observation of room temperature electronic conductivity at certain ferroelectric domain walls. The origin of the observed conductivity was explored using high resolution transmission electron microscopy and first-principles density functional computations. The results showed that domain walls in a multiferroic ferroelectric such as BiFeO\(_3\), can exhibit unusual electronic transport behavior on a local scale that is quite different from that in the bulk of the material. Using a model (110)-oriented BiFeO\(_3\)/SrRuO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\) heterostructure with a smooth surface [Fig. 13(a)], the researchers were able to switch the BiFeO\(_3\)
material is such a way that enabled them to create all the different types of domain walls possible in BiFeO₃ (i.e., 71°, 109° and 180° domain walls) in a local region [Fig. 13(b) and (c)]. Conducting-atomic force microscopy (c-AFM) measurements [Fig. 13(d)] revealed conduction at 109° and 180° domain walls. Detailed high-resolution transmission electron microscopy studies [Fig. 13(e)] revealed this conductivity was, in part, structurally induced and can be activated and controlled on the scale of the domain wall width – about 2 nm in BiFeO₃. From the combined study of conductivity measurements, electron microscopy analysis, and density functional theory calculations, two possible mechanisms for the observed conductivity at the domain walls have been suggested: (1) an increased carrier density as a consequence of the formation of an electrostatic potential step at the wall; and/or (2) a decrease in the band gap within the wall and corresponding reduction in band offset with the c-AFM tip. It was noted that both possibilities are the result of structural changes at the wall and both may, in principle, be acting simultaneously, since they are not mutually exclusive.

Although many researchers anticipated strong magnetoelectric coupling in BiFeO₃, until the first evidence for this coupling in 2003 there was no definitive proof. Two years after this first evidence, a detailed report was published in which researchers observed the first visual evidence for electrical control of antiferromagnetic domain structures in a single phase multiferroic at room temperature. By combining X-ray photoemission electron microscopy (PEEM) imaging of antiferromagnetic domains [Fig. 14(a) and (b)] and piezoresponse force microscopy (PFM) imaging of ferroelectric domains [Fig. 14(c) and (d)] the researchers were able to observe direct changes in the nature of the antiferromagnetic domain structure in BiFeO₃ with application of an applied electric field [Fig. 14(e)]. This research showed that the ferroelastic switching events (i.e., 71° and 109°) resulted in a corresponding rotation of the magnetization plane in BiFeO₃ [Fig. 14(f)] and has paved the way for further study of this material in attempts to gain room temperature control of ferromagnetism (to be discussed in detail later). This work has since been confirmed by neutron diffraction experiments in single-crystal BiFeO₃ as well.

In this manuscript we have introduced the reader to the complex world of multiferroic materials. These exciting materials – that simultaneously possess multiple order parameters – have grabbed the attention of the materials science community over the last decade. The final impact of these materials on devices remains to be seen, but the possibilities are vast. In the meantime scientists and researchers are exploring the fundamental chemistry and physics of these materials. From the search for new multiferroic phases to the study of order parameter coupling to the design of systems that make use of the exotic functionalities of these materials the future
of these materials is rich with possibility. Whether researchers are working to gain electric field control of ferromagnetism or searching for the next candidate multiferroic material it is essential to understand and control the basic chemical nature of these materials. We have focused in this manuscript predominantly on searching for the next candidate multiferroic material it is essential that materials chemistry can achieve the results we set out to obtain.
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