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Abstract

Diverse fungi live all or part of their life cycle inside plants as asymptomatic endophytes. While endophytic fungi are increasingly recognized as significant components of plant fitness, it is unclear how they interact with plant cells; why they occur throughout the fungal kingdom; and why they are associated with most fungal lifestyles. Here we evaluate the diversity of endophytic fungi that are able to form novel protoplasts called mycosomes. We found that mycosomes cultured from plants and phylogenetically diverse endophytic fungi have common morphological characteristics, express similar developmental patterns, and can revert back to the free-living walled state. Observed with electron microscopy, mycosome ontogeny within *Aureobasidium pullulans* may involve two organelles: double membrane-bounded promycosome organelles (PMOs) that form mycosomes, and multivesicular bodies that may form plastid-infecting vesicles. Cultured mycosomes also contain a double membrane-bounded organelle, which may be homologous to the *A. pullulans* PMO. The mycosome PMO is often expressed as a vacuole-like organelle, which alternatively may contain a lipid body or a starch grain. Mycosome reversion to walled cells occurs within the PMO, and by budding from lipid or starch-containing mycosomes. Mycosomes discovered in chicken egg yolk provided a plant-independent source for analysis: they formed typical protoplast stages, contained fungal ITS sequences and reverted to walled cells, suggesting mycosome symbiosis with animals as well as plants. Our results suggest that diverse endophytic fungi express a novel protoplast phase that can explain their hidden existence, lifestyle switching, and diversity within the plant kingdom. Importantly, our findings outline “what, where, when and how”, opening the way for cell and organelle-specific tests using in situ DNA hybridization and fluorescent labels. We discuss developmental, ecological and evolutionary contexts that provide a robust framework for continued tests of the mycosome phase hypothesis.

Introduction

Ancient fungi evolved an unprecedented ability to live all or part of their life cycle inside plants, joining these two lineages in an extraordinary example of coevolutionary radiation. Endophytic fungi are present in the Zygomycota (Mucoromycotina [1,2]), Basidiomycota and Ascomycota. The majority of endophytic fungi are introduced into plants by horizontally transmitted spores, reside symptomatically within plant tissues, and emerge during host tissue senescence. While some endophytes are easily observed within and between plant cells, the largest group (Class 3; [3]) form imperceptible infections that are apparently localized, i.e., their internal hyphal phase is limited or seemingly non-existent. These cryptic endophytes are typically discovered by DNA sequencing or internal hyphal phase upon cell or tissue death. This concept derives from the phylogenetic diversity of fungi hidden within all plants. Perhaps most perplexing, what evolutionary history would allow so many distantly related, nutritionally diverse fungi to subvert plant defense mechanisms and switch to an endophytic lifestyle? Even when internal hyphae are clearly present, there is a dearth of information about how these fungi interact with plant cells [14]. The mystery is compounded because cryptic endophytes lack a clear physical presence, yet emerge as walled cells from cultured plant tissues. The assumption that these fungi express an internal walled state is generally untested and has encouraged the default hypothesis that many endophytes persist as one or a few latent cells until they emerge and sporulate during host-tissue senescence. Yet somehow these ‘quiescent’ endophytes are biochemically co-evolved [15,16] and sufficiently active to benefit their hosts in multiple ways [3,8,17–21].

Gerald Bills [13] first enumerated the many types of fungi that have endophytic forms: *plant pathogens*, *secondary wound invaders*, epiphytic saprobes, wood decay basidiomycetes, *soft rot fungi*, soil saprobes, *coprophilous fungi*, *insect pathogens* and *aquatic hyphomycetes*, and asked, “what fungi are not endophytic”? This question has become increasingly prophetic as molecular probing continues to catalog the phylogenetic diversity of fungi hidden within all plants. Perhaps most perplexing, what evolutionary history would allow so many distantly related, nutritionally diverse fungi to subvert plant defense mechanisms and switch to an endophytic lifestyle? Even when internal hyphae are clearly present, there is a dearth of information about how these fungi interact with plant cells [14]. The mystery is compounded because cryptic endophytes lack a clear physical presence, yet emerge as walled cells from cultured plant tissues. The assumption that these fungi express an internal walled state is generally untested and has encouraged the default hypothesis that many endophytes persist as one or a few latent cells until they emerge and sporulate during host-tissue senescence. Yet somehow these ‘quiescent’ endophytes are biochemically co-evolved [15,16] and sufficiently active to benefit their hosts in multiple ways [3,8,17–21].

Here we develop a new paradigm by testing the hypothesis that endophytic fungi live within plant cells by transitioning to an endosymbiotic protoplast phase, which reverts back to the walled phase upon cell or tissue death. This concept derives from the
discovery that plant cell extract contains minute chloroplast-associated bodies called mycosomes, which give rise to fungus cells [22]. When cultured in liquid media, mycosomes from Aureobasidium pullulans develop as filamentous and/or spheroid forms capable reverting to walled cells (Fig. 1a). Spheroid mycosomes express a central vacuole-like organelle (Fig. 1b) that forms a narrow budding protoplast (b1). A walled cell potentially develops within the central vacuole (c1, arrows). Mycosome developmental states do not stain with Cellfluor, indicating absence of cell wall beta-linked polysaccharides such as chitin or cellulose. The hypothesis that endophytic fungi can switch to an unwalled endobiotic feeding stage is supported in theory by the emerging concept [23] that Cryptomycota (diverse endoparasites with an unwalled feeding stage [24]) are related to Microsporidia and algal parasites known as aphelids. The unification of these groups establishes a new hyperdiverse clade of endoparasitic fungi near the base of the fungal tree that feed internally as unwalled protoplasts, and form a chitinous coat for reproduction and invasion [23].

Given the ambiguity of endophyte life history within plants, our goal was to evaluate whether endophytic fungi other than A. pullulans produce a mycosome phase. We began our study of the mycosome cycle by isolating endophytic fungi from plant anthers, fruit and stems (Fig. 1, steps 1–2). Most step 1 observations (mycosome culture from plants) will be published separately, except for an example involving Psilotum chloroplasts. Our experimental objective was to test pure cultures of endophytic fungi for mycosome phase formation (steps 2–3), and importantly, reversion back to the walled state (steps 3–4). A second objective was to describe mycosome phase characteristics with light microscopy. Our nascent descriptions provide a testable mycosome phase concept, and allow morphological comparisons across diverse fungal taxa. The experimental results demonstrate that diverse endophytic fungi produce a morphologically similar mycosome phase, and that some mycosome states are capable of reverting to the walled phase.

Chloroplasts apparently play a fundamental role in plant mycosome biology [22]. Identifying the functional ‘threads’ that connect chloroplasts and endophytic fungi may be key to understanding why diverse fungi live inside plants. Specifically, why would endophytic fungi, skilled at absorptive nutrition, evolve a specialized capacity to reproduce inside host chloroplasts? Do they acquire more than just nutrients? As deduced from electron micrographs [22], and further suggested here, the A. pullulans mycosome phase apparently reproduces within acquired host chloroplasts, and forms three thylakoid-associated phenotypes: electron dense bodies that can differentiate as fungus cells, single-membrane bounded protoplasts, and novel asymmetrically dividing ‘vacuolate’ units that differentiate as plastid-like organelles (Fig. 1 in [22]). Previously called “plastids that contain mycosomes (pcm)”, these plastid-like organelles develop within fungal protoplasts, and may originate from small vesicles, which are also present within the organelle envelope. Similar vesicles are present in A. pullulans, and considered to be a key piece of the mycosome puzzle.

Aureobasidium yeast cells form putative mycosomes within a double-membrane bounded organelle with internal membrane lamellae [22]; now called the promycosome organelle (PMO) to denote its central role in mycosome ontology. In the present work, we find that mycosomes contain a similar double membrane-bounded organelle, often vacuole-like in expression, which produces lipids or a starch grain within an inner membrane. This

Figure 1. In vitro mycosome-phase culture. (a) Protoplast filaments from Psilotum nudum cell extract contain condensed-Ms (3 small arrows) that enlarge as spheroid protoplasts (short arrow) and Aureobasidium pullulans conidia (long arrow). (b and c) A. pullulans hyphae cultured 14 months in distilled water + erythromycin (Ms longevity test) produced condensed-Ms that divide symmetrically or by budding, and enlarge as spheroid forms that express a central vacuole. (b1) and (c1) are enlargements of (b) and the boxed area of (c); the enlargements are artificially colored with a Photoshop filter sensitive to differences in stain density. The Ms-boundary, otherwise seen as an AB-staining wall-like structure (b, arrow), is actually a narrow protoplast bounded by vacuole and plasmalemma membranes (b1, arrows). A walled cell potentially develops within the central vacuole-like organelle (c1, arrows). Bars = 2.0 μm. Right: In vitro mycosome cycle. (1) Cultured in liquid media, cell extract from macerated plant tissue yields walled fungus cells that develop from the mycosome (Ms) phase. (2) Fungus pure cultures are isolated on nutrient agar. (3) Induced in liquid media, fungus cells produce Ms. (4) Ms separated from parent cells (filtered 0.8 μm) are capable of reverting to walled cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095266.g001
suggests that the PMO might represent a vacuole-like fungal symbiosome that contains a plastid vesicle. Because this organelle appears fundamental to mycosome biology, we begin the Results section with further EM illustration of the A. pullulans PMO, and introduce mycosome vesicles before moving to our experimental findings.

Methods

Experimental culture media

Because fungal mycosomes are not formed using standard growth media, we tested four non-traditional low carbohydrate liquid media (CAN, MsM, 2xT864 and Yolk-HCM) for their ability to promote mycosome formation, reproduction, and reversion to the walled state. Specific media components are given in Table S1 in File S1. Composed of citrate and ammonium nitrate, CAN was used as a non-buffered acidic medium (pH 3.6) to induce mycosome formation by fungus cells. Mycosome Medium (MsM) is a glycerol-modified fungal medium with mannitol and magnesium sulfate added as osmotic stabilizers. In some experiments, Bacto-Tryptic Soy Broth without Dextrose was prepared to manufactures specifications, autoclaved separately, and a 10 or 20 percent volume added to autoclaved MsM. After testing several PhytoTechnology plant growth media, a 2x concentration of T864 was selected. MsM and 2xT864 functioned as all-purpose media that would support mycosome formation, development and sometimes reversion. Kinetin and/or IAA (Phytotechlab.com) were added to all three media in some experiments. Yolk-HCM medium is discussed in the section describing mycosome culture from egg yolks.

Isolation of endophytic fungi from anthers, fruit, and stems

The anthers of native parasitic plants (Indian Paint Brush, Dodder) garden plants (Natal Plum, Morning Glory, Day Lily), as well as commercially purchased fruit (Apple, Kumquat, Kiwi) were sampled for endophytic fungi (Table 1). Indian Paint Brush flower buds were sampled at Laguna Beach Moulton Meadows Park, with permission from LB Public Works Director Steve May; Dodder flower buds were sampled in the UC Natural Reserve System’s San Joaquin Marsh Reserve, with permission from Faculty Manager Dr. Peter Bowler. The garden plants were sampled on the UC Irvine campus with permission of Grounds Superintendent Alfredo Mendez. Protected or endangered species were not used.

Plant anthers and fruit were selected because their tissues undergo natural senescence during pollen and seed development. Freshly picked tightly closed young flower buds were sequentially submerged in 70% ethanol (1 min), 0.5% NaOCl (1 min), and 70% ethanol (1 min) and allowed to dry under aseptic conditions. Using sterile forceps, two young anthers were dissected from their petal chamber, placed in 0.5 ml CAN or MsM, and macerated with a flat-tip glass rod to express pollen grains and tapetum tissues. The anther sacs were removed before adding 20 ml additional medium. Fruits purchased from commercial cold storage were surface sterilized as above (except 2 minutes in NaOCl), washed in sterile water and dried. To expose inner fruit tissue, the skin or rind was scored with a sterile scalpel and twisted into two halves. Three tissue blocks about 5 mm2 were macerated in 2 ml medium and larger tissue remnants removed before adding 20 ml additional media to the cell extract. To control for fungal spore presence, 4 ml of extract-containing medium was immediately transferred to a yeast-maltose (YM) plate, allowed to settle and any remaining liquid removed. Because mycosomes do not develop on high carbohydrate ‘dry’ agar surfaces, fungus development would indicate the presence of walled spores. To document mycosome stages through time, liquid cultures were sampled immediately and at two-day intervals with bright field or phase contrast light microscopy. Efficiency was increased by covering a small pipette sample with a square cover slip, then slanting a second cover slip against the first to pull and capture excess liquid (and mycosomes) before pressing the two covers flat with paper towels. Stains were then added at cover slip margins, and pressed out again if necessary. Fungal isolates were transferred to yeast-maltose (YM) or potato dextrose agar, and experimental cell lines derived from streaked yeast cells or conidia.

Endophytes selected as experimental fungi

Cell extract cultured from eight flowering plants and one fern (Table 1) yielded three genera of basidiomycete yeast (Cryptococcus, Filobasidium, Rhodotorula), and nine ascomycete genera (Aureobasidium, Cladosporium, Fusarium, Mycosphaerella, Penicillium and Tricho- derma, including three yeasts, Taphrina, Dehayomyces and Wick- erhamomyces (syn. Pichia)). Both Taphrina and Rhodotorula are early diverging Dikarya [25]. Rhodotorula pinoula is described from the xylem of pine twigs [26] and wild rabbit feces [27]. Dehayomyces hananiai consists of a small species complex [28] closely related to Candida guilliermondii [29]. Wickerhamomyces anomalus is frequently isolated from plants, fruit, animals and soil [30]. Trichoderma (teleomorph Hypocrea) and Mycosphaerella (anamorph Ramularia) developed from mycosomes associated with Staphylococcus warneri, co-cultured from Day Lily anthers.

To confirm prior results in the light of new culture media, A. pullulans was re-isolated from the leafless fern, Poliotom nudum [22]. Young meristematic and older post-reproductive stems were surface sterilized (as for fruit above), washed in sterile water, cut into 1–2 cm segments, sealed moist for 7 days at 6°C (to encourage senescence and in planta development of the mycosome phase), and macerated in MsM. Mycosome phase development from Poliotom was documented, and the emerging yeast cells were transferred to YM agar for identification and experimental use. To extend our fungal sample, we briefly tested a laboratory strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the lichen fungus Ramalina condrician [31], as well as an unidentified species of Muco and Mortierella (Mucoromycotina). In the latter four genera we observed mycosome stages in culture, but did not attempt to document mycosome reversion to the walled state. Our endophyte sample is phylogenetically diverse (Mucoromyotina, Taphrinomyotina, Saccharomyotina, Pezizomyotina and Puccinomyotina), and includes cosmopolitan genera (Aureobasidium, Cladosporium, Penicillium, Fusarium) with well-known endophytic members. Seven experimental genera (Aureobasidium, Cladosporium, Mycosphaerella, Taphrina, Cryptococcus, Rhodotorula, Filobasidium) are associated with the leaves of a single Oak species [32]. Reference to experimental fungi (Table 1) will be generic, unless more than one species is discussed.

Mycosome formation and reversion to walled cells

Experimental fungi were induced to form mycosomes by transferring cells from YM agar (for yeast, 2 colonies approximately 5 mm each) to 100 ml liquid media (CAN, MsM or 2xT864) for 24 hr to 2 months. Mycosome development and reversion to walled cells was evaluated in three environments: (1) within cultured plant cell extract, (2) within media containing fungal pure cultures, and (3) following mycosome filtration through a 0.8 μm Gelman cellulose filter to remove parent fungal cells. Mycosomes separated by filtration were added to various liquid media and to corresponding YM agar control plates receiving 2 ml mycosome filtrate absorbed into the agar. Walled
cells never developed on these control plates, confirming successful mycosome separation. Two criteria demonstrate reversion of filtered mycosomes to the walled state: formation of yeast, conidia or hyphae in association with the mycosome phase, and fungus reproduction when transferred to standard YM agar plates. Experimental conditions for each individual micrograph are given in Table S2 in File S1.

**Mycosome culture from chicken egg yolks**
To create a lipid-rich medium for in vitro mycosome culture, fresh chicken egg yolk was added to various media. However, after discovering mycosomes within uninoculated-yolk controls, we cultured yolk-endemic mycosomes in Yolk-HCM (Yolk + High Calcium/Magnesium plant fertilizer). Each yolk was added to 300 ml sterile deionized water and homogenized, followed by transfer of a 5 ml sample to 100 ml autoclaved Technigro 15-0-15 liquid fertilizer (Sun Gro Horticulture) adjusted to 200 ppm N. In some experiments the dilution water contained 10^5 to 10^6 M ethylene, or 2 mg/L IAA. Mycosomes sampled for fungal DNA sequencing were grown four weeks in Yolk-HCM medium at 100 ppm N, a level at which mycosome reversion does not occur.

**Fungal identification**
Two yeasts, *Debaryomyces hansenii* and *Wickerhamomyces anomalus* (syn. *Pichia anomala*) and the bacteria isolate *Staphylococcus warneri* were identified by Accugenix (Newark, DE). The remaining yeasts (Table 1) were sequenced and identified by Kyria Boundy-Mills, Curator of the Phaff Yeast Culture Collection, University of California Davis. Two *Trichoderma* ITS sequences (Genbank GU830968 and GU830969) amplified and cloned by M. Lucero (USDA Jornada Experimental Range, Las Cruces NM) showed 100% identity to *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* (EU280099). The *Mycosphaerella* isolate is 99% identical to two *M. fragariae* strains (Genbank GU167605 and GU214691) and *Ramularia grevilleana* (GU214578), the anamorph of *M. fragariae* [33]. Our isolate belongs to *Mycosphaerella s. str.*, limited to taxa with *M. fragariae* anamorphs [34], and is closely related to the endophytic *M. pacifica*ns species complex [33]. Other filamentous fungi were morphologically identified to genus [35] or to species by ITS sequencing in our laboratory.

**DNA extraction and PCR amplification**
DNA from fungal isolates and egg yolk mycosomes was extracted using a Qagen DNeasy minikit (Qagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. We amplified ~600 bp fragments from the ITS and 28S ribosomal genes with the universal fungal primers ITS1F and TW13. All fragments were amplified in 30 µl reactions using a final concentration of 1.25 μM MgCl2, 0.1 μM BSA, 0.5 μM dNTP each primer, and 0.5 U Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA). PCR was carried out with a hot start at 94°C for 3 min followed by 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 52°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min with a final extension at 72°C for 13 min.

**Cloning and sequencing egg yolk mycosomes**
The egg yolk PCR sample was diluted to a final concentration of 200 ng/ul, cloned into the 2.1-TOPO vector (Invitrogen), and transformed following manufacturer’s protocols. We PCR-verified the insert size of the positive clones using universal M13 primers. All of the positive clones with inserts of the correct size and direct

---

**Table 1. Endophytic fungi isolated from plant anthers (An) fruit (Fr) and stems (St).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Host Plant</th>
<th>Endophytic Fungi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An</td>
<td>Castilleja affinis Hook &amp; Arn. Paint Brush</td>
<td>Filobasidium floriforme (S.L. Olive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An</td>
<td>Cuscuta subinclusa Dur. &amp; Hilig. Dodder</td>
<td>Cladosporium cladosporioides (Fresen.) G.A. deVries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An</td>
<td>Ipomea acuminata (Vahl) Roem.&amp; Shult. Morning Glory</td>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum Schltld.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An</td>
<td>Hemerocallis flava L. Day Lily</td>
<td>Trichoderma longibrachiatum Rifai.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>Malus sp. Gala Apple.</td>
<td>Penicillium sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>Fortunella crassifolia Swingle. Kumquat</td>
<td>Penicillium solitum Westling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>Actinidia delicosa A. Chev. Kiwi from Chile</td>
<td>Cryptococcus stepposus Golubev &amp; J.P. Samp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>Actinidia delicosa A. Chev. Kiwi from California</td>
<td>Rhodotorula pinicola F.Y. Bai, L.D. Guo &amp; J.H. Zhao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St</td>
<td>Psilotum nudum L. Whisk Fern</td>
<td>Debaryomyces hansenii (Zopf) Lodder &amp; Kreger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wickerhamomyces anomalus (E.C. Hansen) Kurtzman, Robnett &amp; Basehoar-Powers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All experimental fungi (bold type) produced the mycosome phase.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095266.t001
PCR products from mycosome cultures were sequenced (ABI 3730xl DNA sequencer, Agencourt), auto-aligned, and hand-edited to remove ambiguous bases (Geneious Pro 4.7.5). Unknown fungal clones were identified using the BLAST tool against an internal reference tree of both ITS and 28S regions separately.

Stains and microscopy

Three stains, Melzer’s reagent (MR), aniline blue in lactophenol (AB) and Sudan IV (SIV) allowed excellent color differentiation of mycosome states with bright field transmission light microscopy (Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope, plus Sony Digital Camera DPC5000). Images were saved at 300 dpi, and backgrounds adjusted to a neutral gray without affecting object color. AB stains fungal cytoplasmic blue; when photographed with Zeiss Axioshot phase contrast (Fig. 1), areas of AB stain appear red. MR is iodine-based, and stains fungal cytoplasm yellow, short chain polysaccharides reddish brown (dextrinoid reaction), and starch purple to deep blue. SIV is a fat-soluble dye that stains lipids, triglycerides and lipoproteins red. Fungi-Fluor (Polysciences, Inc.) contains Cellufluor, which binds nonspecifically to cell wall beta-linked polysaccharides such as chitin and cellulose. Mycosomes were stained for nucleic acids with LIVE/DEAD BacLight Bacterial Viability Kit L13152 (Molecular Probes, Inc). The kit contains two nucleic acid stains, SYTO 9 and propidium iodide (PI) that act as a Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) pair. SYTO 9 is membrane-permeable, while cells with compromised membranes stain with PI. An epi-fluorescent Nikon Eclipse E400 microscope was used for phase contrast and fluorescence microscopy. Images were recorded with a Lumenera Infinity Series 1–3 digital camera and Infinity Analyze software. electron micrographs are unpublished data from [22].

Results and Discussion

Mycosome ontogeny within A. pullulans: electron microscopy

Aureobasidium yeast cells that develop from the mycosome phase continue to express stages of mycosome-forming activity. Sectioned for EM, these first generation walled cells show two distinctive organelles; double membrane-bounded promycosome organelles (PMOs) that form mycosomes (Fig. 2), and multivesicular bodies (MVB) that release Ms-vesicles (Fig. 3).

Observed with light microscopy, fungal PMOs are easily mistaken for lipid bodies, perhaps one factor contributing to their cryptis. For example, Figure 2a compares a light micrograph of presumptive A. pullulans PMOs to A. pullulans PMOs observed with EM (Figs. 2b–h). Cultured in acidic CAN medium, hyphae derived from Prisotum contain lipid-bodies that apparently divide in short chains (Fig. 2a, left hypha box and arrow), while larger lipid-bodies show contrast between a darker inner compartment (arrow, center hypha) and the outer membrane. Endospores often develop under these conditions, and are recognizable when the lipid bodies elongate and are replaced by a developing walled cell (right hypha, see color photo, Fig. 1a in [22]). Similar electron opaque bodies observed in the EM sections (Fig. 2b) were contrast-lighten to reveal internal opaque bodies assumed to be mycosome initials (white arrows). Figure 2c illustrates a putative electron dense mycosome associated with prominent membrane lamellae, presumably formed by the inner membrane. In most sections, the two PMO membranes are separated by an electron lucent space (a vacuole formed by the outer PMO membrane), while the inner membrane encloses osmiophilic material of varying opacity (2b–c and f–g). Small vacuoles near the plasma membrane (2g arrowheads) may be incipient PMOs, two containing an opaque body (long arrows). In Figure 2h, vesicles bud from the plasmalemma (long arrow), which may also have invaginated (short arrow) as a vacuole-like organelle that contains a vesicle (arrowhead). For LM illustration of A. pullulans mycosome states, see Fig. S1 in File S1.

In aberrantly developing cells, the PMO inner membrane does not contain osmiophilic content (Fig. 2d, asterisks), rather sparse fibrillar material, and apparently forms numerous vesicles between the two membranes. PMOs that do not develop an inner compartment (double asterisks) may remain as vacuoles that contain opaque bodies. Vacuoles that contain opaque bodies are commonly observed in fungi [36]; during sporulation aging [37], during microcytic microcommodation in heat-treated Neurospora [38], in dimorphic plant parasites [Fig. 5k–n, in [39]], and particularly in dimorphic opportunistic human pathogens [40,41].

First generation yeast cells also express multivesicular bodies (Fig. 3a), which form vesicles (called Ms-vesicles) that may infect host plastids. Mature multivesicular bodies (Fig. 3c) contain numerous budding Ms-vesicles, some dividing in short chains; most apparently released into the periplasmic space (3b, d) following MVB fusion with the plasmalemma. Approximately 30–125 nm in diameter prior to release (3c), some vesicles contain a small electron opaque body, while larger forms have electronlucent centers. These budding A. pullulans Ms-vesicles (see enlarged 3d inset) are morphologically similar to vesicles observed within the envelope of infected Psilotum plastids (3e). The enlarged 3e inset shows two budding vesicles, one associated with the plastid inner membrane (arrow), presumably budding into the stroma. Note also that the outer envelope membrane is approximately twice the thickness of the inner membrane. The expanded envelope of another infected plastid (3f) contains large numbers of budding vesicles that are morphologically similar to the A. pullulans vesicles. A similar vesicle (3e–box inset), produced by the imagining membranes of plastid-like organelles cultured from Cuscuta subinclusa cell extract, is also shown (unpublished data). Within the expanding Prisotum plastid envelope (3i), the vesicles apparently enlarge as two forms: electron-dense bodies (white arrow), and vacuole-like organelles (asterisk) that form small buds (3 arrows), and may also contain a dense body (double-ended arrow). Note that most of the plastid inner membrane is missing. The remaining segment (right) is lined with small vesicles, one enlarging as an electron-lucent form (asterisk).

Extracellular release of membrane vesicles by prokaryotes and eukaryotes is a conserved and underappreciated aspect of microbial life [42]. In a significant marine cyanobacterium example, Prochlorococcus cells release lipid vesicles containing proteins, RNA and DNA; enough to encode multiple genes [43]. Fungi also release extracellular vesicles, thus far described from several genera of opportunistic human pathogens [44–47], as well as S. cerevisiae [40]. These well-characterized vesicles are released through fungal cell walls, range in size from ca 30 to 350 nm (or 400–550 nm in SEC4 mutants) and contain a rich array of macromolecules involved in diverse processes, including amino acid/protein, sugar and lipid metabolism, cell recycling, signaling and virulence. Ms-vesicles and extracellular vesicles share many features, including size, budding, heterogeneous ultrastructure [Fig. 5 in [45], 1B in [46], 1A in [48]], perhaps lipid and nucleic acid content [49]; formation within multivesicular bodies, release via budding from the plasma membrane and trans-cell wall transport [50]. While Ms-vesicles clearly require detailed analysis, their apparent presence within infected chloroplasts suggests they might function as shuttles that transport a reduced plastid cargo between chloroplasts and the walled phase; perhaps explaining our
finding that a lipoid body or a starch grain is expressed within the mycosome PMO.

Overview of mycosome concepts: light microscopy and theory

The Figure 4 cartoon illustrates mycosome developmental states inferred from light microscopy (LM). Condensed-mycosomes (cMs) released from parent fungal cells are hypothesized to be plasma membrane-bounded acytoplasmic protoplasts that contain a double membrane-bounded PMO, considered homologous to the *A. pullulans* PMO. Our LM descriptions are based on this three-membrane model. Because the single membrane difference between a condensed-Ms and its PMO cannot be detected with LM, some bodies released from fungus cells could be vesicles or organelles rather than protoplasts. However, we can rule out subcellular units when the condensed-bodies show expected patterns of mycosome differentiation and reach ~10–20 µm in diameter (Figs. 4–10), some double that size (Fig. S4 in File S1).

Condensed-Ms differentiate as two types, distinguished by number of PMOs: Type I Ms may be spheroid, amorphous or filamentous, and typically express many PMOs. Type II Ms contain a single large PMO, typically surrounded by a narrow AB-staining cytoplasm. Thus mycosomes have a filamentous phase and a spheroid yeast-like phase (Type II). The PMO may appear vacuolate, or contain a lipid compartment, a starch grain, or a walled parent-type cell. Type II-Ms develop directly from condensed-Ms, or from Type I spheroid or filamentous protoplasts that bud or pinch-off an organelle-containing protoplast. Type II Ms are referred to as vacuolate-Ms, lipoid-Ms, or starch grain-Ms.

Lipid expression within the mycosome PMO is exceedingly common; some examples are given in Figure 4, right panel. Prolific budding (a) is a characteristic mycosome trait, often accompanied by nucleic acid (a) or DAPI-staining (f). Mycosome states cultured from plant cell extract often contain pigmented lipids that match the color of host plastid pigments: (a) yellow *Cuscuta* carotenoids, or (f), orange autofluorescing chlorophyll within *Psilotum* plastoglobule-like bodies. Type II lipid-Ms are clearly identified when a red (SIV-staining) lipoid body is present within the non-staining vacuole-like PMO (b, left), enclosed by a narrow or crescent-shaped budding protoplast (b, right). Some refractive lipid bodies cultured from fungi did not show Type II morphology. These units are enclosed by a SYTO-9 staining.
boundary (c–e), and may represent PMOs released into the medium. Some are apparently nucleated (c–e); however most did not contain a fluorescing body. The large Filobasidium Type II-Ms (g) may show mycosome transition to budding yeast-like cells within the lipoid PMO. The full breadth of mycosome phenotypic variation includes giant protoplasts that develop in clusters, and apparently form a walled compartment within each protoplast envelope (Fig. S4 in File S1). As judged by DAPI staining in the Figure S4j culture, less than half of the condensed-Ms were nucleated.

Figure 3. A. pullulans multivesicular bodies release budding Ms-vesicles. (a) Ms-vesicles are formed within multivesicular bodies (MVB). (b) Similar vesicles are present within the periplasmic space of a dividing yeast cell (tangential section). (c) Mature MVB contain large numbers of budding vesicles, which are apparently released into the periplasmic space (d). (d) Inset: An enlarged budding vesicle. (e) Similar budding vesicles are observed within the envelope of modified Psilotum chloroplasts that may be enclosed by a fused fungal plasmalemma (note double-thickness of the outer membrane in the enlarged inset (e), and the ‘eruptions’ from the outer membrane). An opaque vesicle associated with the plastid inner membrane (inset e, arrow) may be budding into the plastid stroma. (f) An infected plastid containing large numbers of dividing vesicles within the expanded envelope. Most of the plastid inner membrane is missing; a short segment (visible right) is lined with vesicles, two with electron lucent centers (asterisk). The vesicles enlarge as electron dense bodies (white arrow), or as vacuole-like forms (asterisks). Note budding from the ‘vacuole’ margin (3 arrows) and presence of internal electron-dense bodies (double-ended arrow). The enlarged vesicle (3f) box) was cultured from modified Cuscuta subinclusa plastids. Bars = (a, b) 5.0 μm; (c) 100 nm; (d) 0.5 μm, inset 100 nm; (e) 5.0 μm, inset 100 nm; (f) 1.0 μm; boxed vesicle is 175 nm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095266.g003

Mycosome phase from endophytic fungi

All experimental endophytic fungi (Table 1) produced the mycosome phase in one or more liquid media, particularly MsM and 2xT864. Condensed-Ms may exit through fungal cell walls (Fig. 5a–d), as do extracellular transport vesicles [48]. However, in most treatments the fungal cell wall was highly thinned (5b, e) or degraded (5s); or release occurred in membrane sacs, presumably through cell wall openings (5l, and see Fig. S1f in File S1). Cultured in CAN, mycosomes (or PMOs) from Penicillium conidia apparently remained within modified cell walls (5g–h); whereas in 2xT864 medium the Penicillium wall appears to expand into AB-staining segments that seemingly pinch off as mycosomes (5k). The condensed-bodies released from fungus cells show characteristic
mycosome developmental states. They reproduce in chain-like filaments (5i), wherein PMOs presumably divide within a close-sheathing plasmalemma. Alternatively, they enlarge as plasma membrane-bounded spheroid protoplasts (5j) that show a dark punctate body within an internal membrane, presumably the PMO. Within enlarging Taphrina protoplasts (5m), PMOs apparently bud to form numerous reddish-brown staining organelles (dextrinoid reaction to iodine-containing MR stain). Significantly, as realized during data analysis, the single AB-staining mycosome formed by Taphrina cells (5e, arrow) occurred in MsM containing 2 mg/L IAA. Similarly, cultured in CAN +1 mg/L IAA, many acytoplasmic Rhodotorula cells contained a single AB-staining mycosome (5f), AB-staining condensed mycosomes were not otherwise observed in direct association with parent cells.

Cultured in MsM +20% Soy, A. pullulans yeast cells (Fig. 5n) released SYTO 9 staining mycosomes (for detailed response to another medium, see Fig. S1 in File S1). Vacuoles hypothesized to represent the PMO outer membrane were often observed within parent cells (5o–r), some containing a punctate body (5p, r). In Rhodotorula, lipoid-PMOs surround a parent vacuole (5o); in Saccharomyces grown from glycerol-frozen stock in CAN-Ms-Soy, budding vacuolate-organelles show a SYTO 9-staining boundary and apparently release condensed-Ms through the cell wall (5q–r). Cultured in MsM, Taphrina cells released typical Type II Ms that contain an AB-staining body within the SIV-staining lipoid compartment (5s, arrow). In MsM without glycerol, Taphrina cells produced Type I Ms that apparently contain many dividing PMOs (5t). The presumptive PMOs develop refractive lipids (5u) and may be incorporated into buds that form Type II lipid-Ms (5v).

Lipid bodies within protoplast filaments

Figure 6 illustrates the prodigious number of SIV-staining lipid bodies observed within Ms-filaments, presumably within PMOs. Rhodotorula parent cells cultured in CAN + IAA formed mycosomes (separated by 0.8 μm filtration) that developed three filament morphologies in different media (see Table S2 in File S1). Figure 6a shows a small sample of narrow filaments (~0.5 μm in diameter) that expressed hundreds of lipid PMOs, many of which pinch off and may develop as Type II spheroid-Ms (recall Fig. 4b). In a second medium, the mycosomes developed as sheet-like fenestrated protoplasts with variable sized lipid-bodies (6b). Filtered into rich media, the Rhodotorula mycosomes formed cytoplasmic (AB-staining) filaments that expressed large PMOs with an AB-staining boundary, some with a vacuole-like inclusion (6c). Mycosphaerella conidia cultured in MsM +20% Soy (Fig. 6e), produced thin membranous filaments containing numerous SYTO 9-staining PMOs. When transferred to fresh media over YM agar for 24 hr, these acytoplasmic filaments produced prolific numbers of lipid-PMOs (6d), associated with an AB-staining filament mass. Direct protoplast filament formation by Mycosphaerella conidia suggests one pathway for mycosome phase colonization of plant cells.

Starch grains in mycosomes from fungi and plants

In the 2003 study, starch grains were consistently associated with yeast cells cultured from Psilotum cell extract (Figs. 3–4 in [22]), and were presumed to originate from host plastids incorporated into fungal protoplasts. However, a more complex ‘plastid-within-mycosome’ hypothesis (Fig. 4e–j in [22]) was supported here when semi-crystalline starch grains surprisingly developed inside mycosomes filtered 0.8 μm from pure cultures of endophytic fungi. Indeed, starch grains sporadically developed within the PMO of mycosomes filtered 0.8 μm from all routinely
Figure 7 illustrates Ms-starch grains from Taphrina and Rhodotorula, and for comparison, from kiwifruit cell extract. Starch grains were often present in fungal protoplasts (Fig. 7a), which also contained static, non-budding yeast cells (7b). Rhodotorula cells cultured in 2xT864 +IAA produced starch-Ms within and protruding from the margins of AB-staining protoplasts (7c–d). At median focus, the narrow protoplast and PMO vacuole are apparent (7d). PMO morphology is also illustrated by a single mycosome photographed after protoplast staining with AB (7e), and again after staining for starch (7f). Individual grains were numerous, and produced SIV-staining lipid-Ms from unapparent starch clusters within the vacuolate PMO (8l–n). In sum, these in vitro images of individual chloroplasts releasing greenish tips (cold-treated and cultured in MsM) may contain chlorophyll (see Fig. 4 in [22]) and are morphologically similar to Type II SIV-staining lipid-Ms commonly isolated from Bothriochloa [22].

Yeast pre-reproductive stems sampled below the meristematic tips (cold-treated and cultured in MsM +20% Soy), produced remarkable images of individual chloroplasts releasing green mycosomes (arrows) from a layer outside the dark punctate plastid envelope (Fig. 8f, red arrow). Similar mycosome stages were associated with unbounded, tightly clustered green (thylakoid-like) membranes (8g, h). The larger lipid-Ms (8h) may contain chlorophyll and another image of isolated Ms-vesicles (8i–j) and endophytic fungi (Fig. 4b). Filaments cultured from older post-reproductive (cold treated) stems contained numerous vacuolate PMOs (8k–n): some expressing an SIV-staining lipid-body (8k), others forming an A. pullulans yeast cell within the vacuolate PMO (8l–n). In sum, these in vitro images of individual chloroplasts releasing greenish tips (cold-treated and cultured in MsM) may contain chlorophyll (see Fig. 4 in [22]) and are morphologically similar to Type II SIV-staining lipid-Ms commonly isolated from Bothriochloa [22]. Mycosome developmental stages were observed in all cultured plant extracts that produced endophytic fungi (Table 1). With appropriate media and tissue sampling, several stages of the Psilotum mycosome phase can be observed in vitro (Fig. 8). Cultured in MsM, extract from young meristematic stem tips (without cold treatment) produced fungal filaments that contained host chloroplasts (Fig. 8a–e). Viewed at high magnification, portions of each chloroplast envelope apparently contain dark punctate bodies (8a, b, f, red arrows), which could represent Ms-vesicles (recall Fig. 3e–f). If Ms-vesicles enlarge as vacuolate-PMOs (vPMOs), this may explain vPMO development at the chloroplast margin (8d), and within protoplast filaments (8a, k).
Figure 6. Protoplast filaments develop from filtered-Ms and conidia. (a–c) Three filament types developed from 0.8 μm-filtered Rhodotorula mycosomes (AB/SIV stain): (a) Narrow reticulate filaments (<0.5 μm in diameter) produced large numbers of lipoid bodies, many pinching-off into individual spheroid-Ms. (b) Sheet-like fenestrated protoplasts may form by expansion of the PMO outer membrane. (c) Rhodotorula mycosomes filtered into rich media produced an AB-staining cytoplasm containing large lipoid-PMOs, some with a prominent inclusion. (d–e) Protoplast filaments from cultured Mycosphaerella conidia. (e) The conidia filaments presumably contain STYO 9-staining PMOs. (d) Filaments from (e) transferred to MsM-Soy over YM agar. Large numbers of SIV-staining PMOs developed within acytoplasmic (non-AB-staining) filaments, associated with an AB-staining filament mass. Bars = 5 μm.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095266.g006

Figure 7. Starch grains develop within mycosomes from fungi and plants. (a–i) Starch grains from Taphrina and Rhodotorula mycosomes filtered 0.8 μm. (a–b) A Taphrina protoplast containing numerous starch grains and static yeast cells (MR stain). (b) An enlarged portion of (a) showing the yeast cells, some of which develop as division products of starch-Ms. (c–i) Rhodotorula; AB/SIV stain; (c) Cytoplasmic fungal protoplasts contain starch granules that (d) develop within the vacuole-like PMO. (e–f) A starch-Ms stained with AB (e), then with MR (f). (g) Starch-Ms often form lipoid-Ms from their boundary. (h–i) Large granules show the bounding Ms-membrane (arrows) and typical growth rings (arrowheads). (j) A fungal protoplast cultured from kiwifruit cell extract is packed with large and small Ms-starch grains (AB/SIV stain). (k) An enlargement of boxed area (j), showing chain-like division of Type II Ms-starch grains. (Inset l): A dividing Type II starch-Ms, photographed inside a kiwifruit cell (MR stain). Bars = 10 μm. Use bar (b) for c through i.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095266.g007
develop from the margin of *Psilotum* chloroplasts; note that portions of the plastid envelope contain dark punctate bodies (also f, red arrows). (a) Vacuolate-PMOs (vPMO) enlarge within the filaments, and (b) pinch-off as spheroid vacuolate-Ms (vMs). (b) Filaments radiating from chloroplasts also containing filaments (AB stain). Walled cells (wc) occasionally develop within the ‘open’ areas. (d) vPMOs apparently develop from the AB-staining chloroplast margin (red arrow). (f–h) *Psilotum* chloroplasts release green Ms (black arrows) from outside a dark chloroplast envelope (f, red arrow), and from green unbounded membranes (g–h). (h) This Type II Ms contains a greenish body, similar to Vacuolate-PMOs (vPMO) enlarge within the filaments, and (b) pinch-off as spheroid vacuolate-Ms (vMs). (b) Filaments radiating from chloroplasts also containing filaments (AB stain). Walled cells (wc) occasionally develop within the ‘open’ areas. (d) vPMOs apparently develop from the AB-staining chloroplast margin (red arrow). (f–h) *Psilotum* chloroplasts release green Ms (black arrows) from outside a dark chloroplast envelope (f, red arrow), and from green unbounded membranes (g–h). (h) This Type II Ms contains a greenish body, similar to *Psilotum* lipid-Ms that stain red (i) with SIV. (j) SYTO-9 staining bodies are present within the Type II protoplast. (k–n) Filaments from post-reproductive stems contain numerous vPMOs (k), some containing filaments (AB stain). Walled cells (wc) develop within the PMO vacuole. (n) Note presence of condensed-Ms, enlarging vacuolate-PMOs and yeast cell release from a vacuolate-PMO. Bars = 5.0 μm.

Figure 8. Protoplast filaments from *Psilotum* contain chloroplasts and form A. pullulans cells within PMOs. (a–b) Protoplast filaments develop from the margin of *Psilotum* chloroplasts; note that portions of the plastid envelope contain dark punctate bodies (also f, red arrows). (a) Vacuolate-PMOs (vPMO) enlarge within the filaments, and (b) pinch-off as spheroid vacuolate-Ms (vMs). (b) Filaments radiating from chloroplasts also contain small chloroplasts. (c) The filaments mature as a dense chloroplast-containing matrix; or (e), expand as fenestrated, chloroplast (cp)-containing filaments (AB stain). Walled cells (wc) occasionally develop within the ‘open’ areas. (d) vPMOs apparently develop from the AB-staining chloroplast margin (red arrow). (f–h) *Psilotum* chloroplasts release green Ms (black arrows) from outside a dark chloroplast envelope (f, red arrow), and from green unbounded membranes (g–h). (h) This Type II Ms contains a greenish body, similar to *Psilotum* lipid-Ms that stain red (i) with SIV. (j) SYTO-9 staining bodies are present within the Type II protoplast. (k–n) Filaments from post-reproductive stems contain numerous vPMOs (k), some containing filaments (AB stain). Walled cells (wc) develop within the PMO vacuole. (n) Note presence of condensed-Ms, enlarging vacuolate-PMOs and yeast cell release from a vacuolate-PMO. Bars = 5.0 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095266.g008

Mycosome reversion to walled cells

Mycosomes from both plants and fungi reverted to walled cells (Fig. 9). However, plant mycosomes reverted most consistently, presumably because this transition naturally occurs during plant senescence, and required stimuli were present. In addition to *Psilotum* stem extract, mycosome transition to walled cells was easily observed in senescing fruit, particularly within cultured apple cells (*Penicillium*, Fig. 9m), and kiwifruit cells (*Wickerhamomyces*, Fig. 9n, and *Cryptococcus*, not shown). Anther tapetum cultures also produced walled cells, both yeast and filamentous forms (Table 1). Day Lily anther tapetum cultures contained mycosome protoplasts that co-cultured with *Staphylococcus aureus*. This bacteria-mycosome consortium gave rise to both *Mycosphaerella* and *Trichoderma*, the latter originating from AB-staining conidia that developed within red SIV-staining mycosomes (Fig. 9k; the *Staphylococcus* cells are not present in this particular photo). In general, Figure 9 illustrates two pathways of mycosome reversion to the walled state; endogenous development within the PMO, and budding from the margin of lipid- or starch grain-Ms.

In contrast to plants, mycosomes produced by fungi and separated by 0.8 μm filtration usually failed to revert to viable walled cells. Lipoid-Ms were often observed, and while some contained budding yeast-like forms (Fig. 9a–d), these developmental states were not culturable when transferred to YM agar. In two experiments, fungal mycosomes filtered into *Psilotum* chloroplast-rich cell extract reverted to walled cells (e.g., *Filobasidium* Fig. 9h, and *Taphrina*, Fig. 9d), whereas *Psilotum* control extracts without mycosome filtrate were negative for fungi. This suggests that plant extract contains chemical stimuli that may trigger reversion of nucleated mycosomes.

In later experiments, yeast cells added to 2xT864 medium plus 1–2 mg/l IAA formed mycosomes that reverted to walled cells in the conditioning medium. While it was difficult to assess mycosome-to-yeast transitions in the presence of mycosome-forming parents, directionality was clear when the walled cells developed within narrow protoplast filaments (*Taphrina*, Fig. 9g), or as multiple buds from lipoid-Ms (*Rhodotorula*, 9i). Filtered 0.8 μm into bovine serum albumin, mycosomes from *Rhodotorula* cells treated in CAN + IAA produced large numbers of yeast within ovoid protoplasts and long strand-like filaments (Fig. 9e–f). One month later, a second 0.8 μm filtrate from the same parent cells, flooded over YM agar, formed yeast that apparently developed directly from individual mycosomes, and many yeast divided to form walled filaments. While paired controls without IAA were not included in these experiments, the very large set of previous failures in similar media strongly suggest an IAA reversion effect (see also Fig. 5e, f). In retrospect, testing the specific role of IAA in mycosome formation and reversion should be rewarding.
Mycosomes from egg yolk revert to walled cells

Mycosome discovery within chicken egg yolk provided an independent system for validating the mycosome concept. Egg yolk mycosomes cultured in high N (100–200 ppm, observed for 2–3 months) never reverted to walled cells, and reverted only sporadically in low N treatments (25 or 12.5 ppm N). Ten cloned samples of PCR amplified DNA from a high N, non-reverting mycosome culture identified three fungi: Aspergillus versicolor sequences were recovered from 7 clones, Fusarium udum from 2 clones and Fusarium nygamai from one. Fungi that reverted in low N cultures included Chaetomium globosum, known as a pathogen, saprotroph and endophyte, Cladosporium cladosporioides, Penicillium toxicarium, Rhodotorula glutinis (or Rhodosporidium [52], and a probable species of Teratosphaeria with 96% similarity to T. knoxdavesii. Non-sequenced isolates included two yeasts, one white, one hyaline glossy, and 8 filamentous fungi morphologically identified to genus: Penicillium (4), Cladosporium (2), Aspergillus (1) and Fusarium.

All yolk samples (n = 18 eggs) contained abundant mycosomes. At 50–100 ppm N, the mycosomes multiplied within thin membrane sacs (Fig.10a–b), producing an easily visible, cloudy white population sustainable for several months. This typical budding morphology can be altered by media differences: in the presence of 2 mg/L IAA the membrane sacs were very large and contained numerous spheroid protoplasts, each with a prominent central body (10c); in MsM flooded over Sabouraud dextrose agar, variably-shaped lipoid-bodies contained unapparent mycosomes visible with SYTO 9 staining (10d–e). These reproductive states did not lead to the formation of walled cells. Stages of mycosome reversion were observed when a thin protoplast matrix developed, containing lipoid-Ms (10f, h). Stained for chitin with Fungi-Fluor, neither the membrane matrix nor the lipid-Ms fluoresced (10g–i), while mycosome-derived Rhodotorula glutinis yeast showed cell wall presence, even in early transparent stages (10i, arrows). Twelve days later, variable chitin fluorescence was associated with numerous small mycosomes ranging up to thick-walled yeast cells (10j–k).

Implications of a cryptic endosymbiotic phase within fungal life cycles

Current concepts of plant-fungal interactions derive from the view that fungi are “outsiders” that continually besiege the plant fortress, breach defenses and adapt in ways that decrease (parasitism), increase (mutualism) or have no observed influence (commensalism) on plant fitness. While pathogen principles are universal and well tested, this perspective may overshadow other possibilities; e.g., if the mycosome phase is ancient, broadly conserved, and present in all plants, fungi may have been “insiders” from the beginning, cycling between a broadly coevolved protoplast phase and an independent walled state. We infer that individual fungal genomes may be simultaneously adapted to remarkably different lifestyles, e.g., free-living parasite, saprobe, coprophile etc. on the one hand, and unwalled endosymbiont on the other. The protoplast and walled phase are presumably governed by different sets of regulatory genes, similar to phase-specific genes expressed in the yeast-hyphal dimorphism, in anamorphs and teleomorphs, or in plant

Figure 9. Mycosome reversion to walled cells. (a–d) Type II lipoid-Ms often contain budding yeast-like bodies that do not revert to parent cells. (a) Taphrina, MR stain. (b) Penicillium, AB stain. (c) Fusarium, AB/SIV stain. (d) Rhodotorula, AB/SIV stain. (e–f) Ms filtered from IAA-treated Rhodotorula formed walled yeast cells within spheroid (e) and filamentous (f) protoplasts. (g) IAA-treated Taphrina cells produced narrow filaments that formed lipoid-Ms and yeast cells, AB/SIV stain. (h–i) Walled cells develop from the margin of Type II lipoid-Ms; (h) Filobasidium, MR stain; (i) Rhodotorula and (j) Wickerhamomyces, AB/SIV stain. (k) Trichoderma conidia developed within lipid-Ms, AB/SIV stain. (l) Taphrina yeast cells originating within a cluster of lipoid-Ms, AB/SIV stain. (m–n) Walled cells develop as division products of starch-producing Ms, MR stain. (m) A Penicillium conidium observed within an apple cell, MR stain. (n) Multipolar budding of Wickerhamomyces yeast from starch-Ms within a kiwifruit cell. (o–q) Cladosporium conidia germinate within mycosome PMOs. Bars = 5.0 μm.
sporophytes and gametophytes [53]. Adaptations expressed by one phase would not limit the other.

Phase cycling and opportunistic fungal adaptation
The phylogenetic and ecological diversity of endophytic fungi can reasonably be explained by the ancient origin of a heritable mycosome phase, plus opportunistic adaptation that may derive from interplay of the two life history states. Plants provide ubiquitous and ever-changing niches for new mycosome phase adaptations, and also provide ‘sustained proximity’ [54] to extreme environments, both biotic and abiotic [55], creating fungal reservoirs for continuous stepwise selection of genetic variants expressed by the walled phase. Thus the independent walled phase may diverge rapidly (i.e., switch lifestyles often, with or without morphological change), while the supporting protoplast phase may evolve more conservatively in response to endosymbiotic selection pressures.

This dual level of opportunistic selection predicts that most endophytes should retain both phases; e.g., endophytes with a well-developed internal walled state may retain an abbreviated mycosome phase or vestiges thereof, and most ‘non-culturable’ fungal endophytes may in fact have a walled state. Generic relatives of known endophytes may also retain this phase. The genus *Trichoderma*, with only a few described endophytic species, has a remarkable range of lifestyles and interactions with other fungi, animals and plants [56], including molecular cross talk that underlies dramatic plant benefits [57]. Testing a diverse sample of these opportunistic species for mycosome formation (including mycoparasites) would be illuminating. The mycosome phase (or some vestige) may be indispensable for plant symbiosis.

Since mycosomes have long remained asymptomatic and undetected within plants, the same may be true for animals that ingest plants or plant detritus, as suggested by mycosome presence within chicken egg yolks. Egg yolk mycosomes reverted to common endophytic genera, many prevalent in poultry feed [58,59] and in the airborne micro flora of poultry houses [60,61]. Ingested hyphae or spores may produce the mycosome phase during digestion, or mycosomes may be ingested directly from plants or poultry feed (cereal endophytes) and then follow a path similar to *Salmonella enteritidis* into the egg yolk via the reproductive tract [62]. If plants function as ‘grand reservoirs’ for mycosome phase ingestion and dissemination by animals, rapid nutritional shifts, opportunistic adaptation, and interkingdom host jumping might be expected outcomes [54,63–65]. This cryptic transmission syndrome (probable mycosome phase presence in water, pollen, plants and animals) may explain the prevalence of opportunistic human pathogens, which occur in 11 mycosome-forming genera (*Cryptococcus*, *Rhodotorula*, *Fusarium*, *Penicillium*, *Trichoderma*, *Cladosporium*, *Wickerhamomyces* [*Pichia*], *Debaryomyces*, *Chaetomium*, *Aspergillus* and *Aureobasidium*). Of significant medical importance, this subject will be discussed separately.

Host chloroplasts: key to the mycosome puzzle
While a plastid-like organelle within the PMO remains to be demonstrated, the congruence of lipids and water-insoluble granular starch within this organelle is difficult to explain without assuming some form of plastid presence. Fungi produce amylase, but not amyllopectin, the semi-crystalline branched glucan polymer that provides about 75% of the granule mass. In green algae and plants, crystalline amyllopectin synthesis occurs in plastids and requires the concerted action of ADP-glucose...
pyrophosphorylases, starch synthases, branching enzymes and starch-debranching enzymes [66,67]. Like an elephant in the room, starch grain presence within the fungal PMO demands explanation. Discovery of a new chloroplast-derived organelle, the “tannosome” [68], provides a functional model for exploration. Tannin-producing vesicles derive from thylakoid membranes that pear into 30 nm spheres, which are then encapsulated into chloroplast shuttles formed by fusion of both envelope membranes. The plastid shuttles move into invaginating plant vacuoles, forming a double membrane-bounded organelle [Figs. 5, 7 in [68]]. It seems unlikely that tannin production could be the sole selection pressure for thylakoid segmentation into vesicles that are transported into vacuoles by plastid shuttles. This elaborate plastid deconstruction mechanism might also form minimal plastid units that are transferred into Ms-vesicles, which in turn may differentiate as plastid-like organelles (Fig. 6 in [22]). Now enclosed by a fungal Ms-vesicle membrane, these “mycoplasts” (fungus-plastids) may have immediately increased plant fitness by functioning in specialized cells, tissues or starch producing storage organs (e.g., fruits, storage roots, tubers, rhizomes, seed cotyledons etc. [69]). Maintained by the plant genome, biochemically primed mycoplasts might also function as double membrane-bounded PMOs during mycosome reproduction, and would thus be transferred into the walled phase during reversion. In theory, this plastid-centric mutualism could be the basis of an enduring plant-fungal partnership. Clearly, an in-depth understanding of mycosome life history within plants is crucial to interpreting their biology and evolution.

A zoosporic context for mycosome evolution

How did mycosomes evolve? Notably, the zoosporic antecedents of terrestrial fungi [70,71] express most traits necessary to explain mycosome evolution. In short, mycosomes and zoospores lack a cell wall and require water, are similar sized propagules (small zoospores are ca 0.5–1.0 μm [72]) formed within a sporangium-like sac, and given appropriate chemical signals, switch from protoplasts to walled cells. Both also depend upon lipid reserves, in zoospores often a single large lipid body, perhaps evolutionary replaced by a plastid-derived lipid body within the PMO.

Which zoosporic lineage might have led to an unwalled phase within terrestrial fungal life cycles? Early endoparasitic fungi (Cryptomycota) will have much to tell, particularly lineages such as Amoeboaphelidium [73] and Rosella [70,74], where walled parasites shift to an endobiotic protoplast phase that develops in direct contact with the host cytoplasm; and in Rosella, may incorporate host cytoplasm and organelles via phagocytosis. While the genetic roots of the mycosome-phase are presumably ancient, we focus here on Olpidium, a relatively recent clade of zoosporic plant parasites whose plasma membrane is also in direct contact with the host cytoplasm, presumably increasing plant ability to regulate the parasite [70].

Surprisingly placed within the polyphyletic Zygomycetes in 2006 [25,68] and recently confirmed by Sekimoto et al. [75], Olpidium is now seen as the closest living flagellated relative of terrestrial fungi, leaving open the possibility that it may be sister to Dictyomyces, or to one of the basal clades within Zygomycota. Significantly, Olpidium is an asymptomatic nonpathogenic “parasite” [76]; in effect, a flagellated endophyte of terrestrial plants. In their description of Olpidium sporogenesis, Temminck and Campbell [76] recognized three morphologically distinct units: vacuole, multivesicular body and osmiophilic body, which they considered to be variations of a single pleomorphic organelle, referred to as vacuoles when empty, or as multivesicular bodies if they contained small vesicles and/or osmiophilic deposits, presumably lipid. The Olpidium multivesicular bodies both accumulate and release lipid material, and prior to sporangial cleavage these “empty” organelles widen and fuse to form the cleavage vacuoles that separate zoospore protoplasts. Notably, this organelle occurs throughout the vegetative growth of Olpidium, obscured by electron dense deposits that hide the internal vesicles or the vacuole membrane. Does Olpidium contain a precursor to the mycosome PMO? Perhaps a member of the Olpidium clade underwent host-regulated developmental changes (delayed sporangium wall development, intracellular zoospore release, and flagella loss) to yield the mycosome prototype: an asymptomatic protoplast phase within plant cells, preadapted to cycle back to walled cells capable of regenerating the endophytic phase.

Testing mycosome antiquity

Irrespective of how mycosomes evolved, if this cryptic life history state is ancient, then most fungi symbiotic with phototrophs (algal endophytes, lichen fungi, plant endophytes and endo-ectomycorrhizal forms) may express this phase or retain vestige states. Quite possibly, the mycosome phase may have evolved within green algae, or earlier within cyanobacteria that gave rise to chloroplasts. If lichen-forming fungi evolved from endophytic ancestors, their algal symbionts may vertically transmit the mycosome phase of the lichen mycobiont. Three observations support this hypothesis: mycosome formation by the lichen mycobiont Ramalina conduplicans (Figure S2 in File S1); the isolation of a Cladonia cristatella-like mycobiont from a curated lineage of the lichen alga Trebouxia erici; and culture of putative mycosome states from cyanobacteria and green algae [22]. Armed with new questions and predictions, fungal presence indicated by DNA sequencing can now be followed by cell or organelle-specific localization using in situ DNA hybridization or fluorescent labels.

Testing both Mucoromycotina and Glomeromycota for this phase may begin to unravel mycosome evolution in terrestrial plants, and perhaps broaden the developing Mucoromycotina perspective [1,2] regarding the earliest fungal architects of the land plant symbiosis. In addition to Glomeromycota symbionts, basal liverworts and hornworts contain diverse Mucoromycotina, including Endogone-like endophytes with intracellular coils and thin-walled ‘swellings’. In both liverworts and hornworts, published electron micrographs contain unidentified structures. For example, small electron opaque vacuolate forms, some associated with lipid bodies (Fig. 3a arrows, in [77]), and electron dense bodies (Fig. 1e–f in [2]), might be considered as possible candidates for mycosome states. Mucoromycotina endophytes are increasingly reported in vascular plant roots (e.g., Absidia, Disophora, Umbilopsis and Zygorhynchium), including Macor and Mortierella, which await testing for mycosome reversion capability (Fig. S3 in File S1).

Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi presumably evolved from endophytic forms [78,79]. Indeed, Glomeromycotan fungi often grow as endophytes (i.e., without a localized interface of specialized hyphae) and produce lipid vesicles only [80,81]. Lipoid vesicles formed by symbiotic fungi may represent evolutionary vestiges of mycosome ancestry. Dark septate root endophytes contain STV-staining ‘vacuoles’ that we assume to be lipid-PMOs, as well as large terminal vesicles (Fig. 7 in [82]) that may contain Type II lipid-mycosomes, one showing a division plane. Best known as a soil saprobe, Endogone pisiformis produces lipid-filled hyphal vesicles when growing in and on plant surfaces [83], and appears to release mycosome-like bodies (Fig. S5 in File S1). The unusual ‘swellings’ formed by Endogone-like endophytes germinate as thin-walled hyphae, suggesting to Duckett et al. [77]
that they are perennating structures functionally equivalent to vesicles produced by Glomeromycota. Long ignored, Glomerales intraradical vesicles clearly function as propagules [84], explaining why these fungi can survive as endophytes in living roots for up to 10 years after arbuscules collapse [81]. Thus homology between the lipoid-mycosomes and the lipoid vesicles of Mucoromycotina and Glomeromycota may be a reasonable expectation.

In conclusion, we describe mycosome life history states derived from plants and diverse endophytic fungi, show that these unique propagules revert to walled cells, and can be cultured to further reveal their morphology, genetic structure and biological roles within plants, fungi and animals. Future tests of mycosome concepts, particularly PMO origin and function, will benefit from precise methodologies that regulate in vitro mycosome formation and reversion to the walled state. With these skills in hand, endophytic fungi should reveal the details of a highly regulated, intracellular life history phase that may significantly alter our views of plant and fungal evolution.
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