Field trials show the fertilizer value of nitrogen in irrigation water
Increased regulatory activity designed to protect groundwater from degradation by nitrate-nitrogen (NO$_3$-N) is focusing attention on the efficiency of agricultural use of nitrogen (N). One area drawing scrutiny is the way in which growers consider the NO$_3$-N concentration of irrigation water when determining N fertilizer rates. Four drip-irrigated field studies were conducted in the Salinas Valley evaluating the impact of irrigation water NO$_3$-N concentration and irrigation efficiency on the N uptake efficiency of lettuce and broccoli crops. Irrigation with water NO$_3$-N concentrations from 2 to 45 milligrams per liter were compared with periodic fertigation of N fertilizer. The effect of irrigation efficiency was determined by comparing an efficient (110% to 120% of crop evapotranspiration, ET$_c$) and an inefficient (160% to 200% of ET$_c$) irrigation treatment. Across these trials, NO$_3$-N from irrigation water was at least as efficiently used as fertilizer N; the uptake efficiency of irrigation water NO$_3$-N averaged approximately 80%, and it was not affected by NO$_3$-N concentration or irrigation efficiency.

California agriculture faces increasing regulatory pressure to improve nitrogen (N) management to protect groundwater quality. Groundwater in agricultural regions, such as the Salinas Valley and the Tulare Lake Basin, has been adversely impacted by agricultural practices, with nitrate-N (NO$_3$-N) in many wells exceeding the federal drinking water standard of 10 mg/L (Harter et al. 2012). The threat to groundwater is particularly acute in the Salinas Valley, where the intensive production of vegetable crops has resulted in an estimated net loading (fertilizer N application − N removal with crop harvest) of > 100 lb/ac (> 112 kg/ha) of N annually (Rosenstock et al. 2014).

Levels of NO$_3$-N in irrigation wells in the Salinas Valley commonly range from 10 to 40 mg/L. Given the typical volume of irrigation water applied to vegetable fields, NO$_3$-N in irrigation water could represent a substantial fraction of crop N requirements, provided that crops can efficiently use this N source. Indeed, the concept of “pump and fertilize” (substituting irrigation water NO$_3$-N for fertilizer N) has been suggested as a remediation technique to improve groundwater quality in agricultural regions (Harter et al. 2012).

Cooperative Extension publications from around the country (Bauder et al. 2011; DeLaune and Trostle 2012; Hopkins et al. 2007) agree that the fertilizer value of irrigation water NO$_3$-N can be significant, but they differ as to what fraction of water NO$_3$-N should be credited against the fertilizer N recommendation. There is a paucity of field data documenting the efficiency of crop utilization of irrigation water N. Francis and Schepers (1994) documented that corn could use irrigation water NO$_3$-N, but in their study N uptake efficiency from irrigation water was low, which they attributed to the timing of irrigation relative to crop N demand and the availability of N from other sources. Martin et al. (1982) suggested that uptake efficiency of irrigation water NO$_3$-N could actually be higher than from fertilizer N, but their conclusion was based on a computer simulation, not on field trials.

With this near total lack of relevant field data, California growers have legitimate concerns about the degree to
which irrigation water NO₃-N can substitute for fertilizer N. Two questions commonly asked by growers are whether plants can effectively use N at the low concentrations common in irrigation water, and to what degree irrigation inefficiency reduces water NO₃-N availability. We undertook this study to document the agronomic value of irrigation water NO₃-N in the production of vegetable crops under field conditions representative of the Salinas Valley.

Irrigation water NO₃-N trials

Four field trials were conducted at the U.S. Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) facility near Salinas between 2013 and 2015. The soil was a Chualar sandy loam. Before planting, fields were sprinkler-irrigated to leach residual soil NO₃-N so that all trials were conducted with low background soil N availability. The well water used for pre-plant leaching as well as for all in-season irrigation ranged between 2 and 4 mg/L NO₃-N over the course of this study. The experimental design for each trial was a randomized complete block, with four replications. Individual plots consisted of four beds, each 40 inches (1 meter) wide and 40 feet (12.2 meters) long, with all data collected from the middle two beds.

Crisphead lettuce ‘Telluride’ was seeded on May 16, 2013, in two rows per bed and germinated using sprinklers. A soil antirustant solution containing 17 lb/ac (19 kg/ha) of N was applied to all treatments at planting to improve germination. After plants were thinned to a final in-row spacing of approximately 12 inches (30 centimeters), drip tape was installed on top of the beds and the field was drip-irrigated for the rest of the season.

Crop growth and N uptake were compared across a range of treatments simulating different irrigation water NO₃-N concentrations during the drip-irrigated phase of the crop. The different NO₃-N concentrations were achieved by using water-powered proportional injectors to enrich all drip-applied water to 12, 25 or 45 mg/L NO₃-N. Injected NO₃-N was a blend of Ca(NO₃)₂ and NaNO₃ to maintain a cation balance similar to groundwater (Ca:Na milliequivalent ratio of 1.0). A water sample was collected from each treatment during each irrigation to confirm that the target NO₃-N concentrations were achieved. Additionally, an unfertilized control and a fertilized control treatment were included; both were irrigated using water containing only 2 mg/L NO₃-N. The fertilized control received five fertigations of ammonium nitrate solution (AN-20) totaling 150 lb/ac (168 kg/ha) of N. Also, all treatments were fertilized with potassium thiosulfate (KTS) in two fertigations of 30 lb/ac (34 kg/ha) of K each.

Each N treatment was evaluated at two levels of irrigation to observe the interaction between irrigation efficiency and crop uptake of irrigation water NO₃-N. The lower level of irrigation, 110% of crop evapotranspiration (ETc), was chosen to represent efficient management with minimal leaching. The higher level of irrigation, 160% of ETc, was chosen to represent less efficient irrigation management; we have observed a number of Salinas Valley vegetable fields in which irrigation reached as high as 200% of ETc (Smith et

Calculating the N in irrigation water

Calculation of the amount of nitrogen in irrigation water requires knowledge of both the N concentration and the volume of water applied. Laboratory analysis for nitrate in water is commonly reported as milligrams per liter (mg/L) or parts per million (ppm); these units are numerically the same: 1 mg/L equals 1 ppm. Labs may report concentration either as nitrate (NO₃⁻) or nitrate-N (NO₃-N), the conversion between the two is

\[
\text{NO}_3^- = 4.43 \times \text{NO}_3-N
\]

To convert NO₃-N concentration to mass of N applied, this equation can be used:

\[
\text{mg/L } \text{NO}_3-N \times 0.227 = \text{lb of N/ac-in of water}
\]

Nitrate is usually the only form of N present in irrigation water in an agronomically significant amount, so it is the only N form reported on the typical water test. However, recycled municipal wastewater, which is increasingly being used for irrigation in California, can contain more ammonium N (NH₄-N) than NO₃-N, as well as some organic forms of N that become relatively quickly available in soil. Wastewater treatment plants routinely test for these other N sources in addition to NO₃-N, and this information is publicly available. One should consider all forms of N when estimating the amount of plant-available N in recycled water.
Applying 160% of ETc, generated an estimated leaching fraction of 37% (Cahn and Bali 2015). ETc was estimated by multiplying reference evapotranspiration (ET₀) values obtained from the CIMIS weather station located on the USDA-ARS facility by crop coefficients calculated by the method described by Johnson et al. (2016). Irrigation was applied twice weekly. Data on ETc and irrigation volume are given in table 1. Precipitation was an insignificant factor, with < 0.2 inches (< 0.5 cm) received in any trial.

A second trial of the same structure was conducted in 2014. Broccoli ‘Patron’ was seeded on Aug. 18 in two rows per bed and germinated with sprinkler irrigation following an anticrustant application containing 23 lb/ac (26 kg/ha) of N. After crop establishment and bed cultivation, the trial was converted to surface drip irrigation. The irrigation levels evaluated were 110% and 190% of ETc. The fertilized control treatment received three fertigations of AN-20 totaling 220 lb/ac (246 kg/ha) of N. All treatments were also fertigated with KTS in two applications of 25 lb/ac (28 kg/ha) of K.

Two trials were conducted in 2015 to directly compare the uptake efficiency of irrigation water NO₃-N to that of fertilizer N. In the spring trial, crisphead lettuce ‘Telluride’ was seeded as previously described. After converting the field to drip irrigation, four levels of fertigation (a seasonal total of 0, 20, 60 and 150 lb/ac [0, 22, 67 and 168 kg/ha] of N from AN-20, applied in three equal fertigations) were compared at each of two irrigation levels (110% and 180% of ETc). In each irrigation treatment, three concentrations of irrigation water NO₃-N (14, 25 and 45 mg/L) without any AN-20 fertigation were also evaluated. In the fall trial, broccoli ‘Patron’ was grown. The treatments were similar to the lettuce trial, with the exception that the seasonal AN-20 fertigation levels were 0, 40, 80 and 200 lb/ac (0, 45, 90 and 224 kg/ha) of N. The irrigation levels evaluated were 120% and 200% of ETc.

In all trials, plots were harvested when the highest fertilizer N rate treatment reached commercial maturity. Aboveground fresh and dry biomass and whole-plant N concentration were determined. From these data, crop N uptake was calculated. Uptake efficiency of irrigation water NO₃-N was calculated as the increase in crop N uptake above the unfertilized control divided by the amount of NO₃-N in the applied water.

### Uptake efficiency of NO₃-N

Lettuce biomass and crop N uptake increased linearly with increasing irrigation water NO₃-N concentration in the 2013 trial (fig. 1). Across the NO₃-N enrichment levels, uptake efficiency of irrigation water NO₃-N was 85%, and it was similar between the levels of irrigation (which received 7.0 and 10.1 inches [18 and 26 centimeters] of drip irrigation in the 110% and 160% ETc treatments, respectively). The amount of N applied in the 45 mg/L water treatment at 160% of ETc (91 lb/ac, or 102 kg/ha) was sufficient to maximize crop productivity, producing fresh biomass equivalent to the biomass of the fertilized control receiving 150 lb/ac (168 kg/ha) of N from AN-20.
Results of the 2014 broccoli trial were similar, with crop biomass and N uptake increasing linearly with increasing irrigation water NO$_3$-N concentration (fig. 2). Uptake efficiency of irrigation water NO$_3$-N was again high (78%) across NO$_3$-N concentrations and irrigation levels. However, given the much higher N requirement of broccoli compared to lettuce, even the 45 mg/L NO$_3$-N water treatment was insufficient to maximize crop productivity.

The 2015 trials clearly demonstrated that irrigation water NO$_3$-N was at least as effectively used by the crop as fertilizer N. The regression lines in figures 3 and 4 indicate the crop response to fertigation with AN-20 at the two levels of irrigation; all regressions were highly significant ($p < 0.001$). The fact that the irrigation water NO$_3$-N treatments generally placed above the fertilizer response line for their respective irrigation regimes suggested that a higher N uptake efficiency was achieved with irrigation water NO$_3$-N than with N from fertigated AN-20. This was most pronounced in the broccoli trial (fig. 4), where the N uptake efficiency for fertilizer was substantially lower under the high irrigation level (200% of ET$_c$).

Averaged across all field trials, the N uptake efficiency of irrigation water NO$_3$-N was remarkably high, averaging approximately 80% (fig. 5). Neither NO$_3$-N concentration nor irrigation level significantly influenced N uptake efficiency. It must be noted that the high N uptake efficiency in these trials was attributable to the fact that residual soil NO$_3$-N in these fields had been deliberately minimized by heavy preplant leaching in order to maximize the uptake efficiency of both fertilizer N and water NO$_3$-N. In typical production fields, higher levels of residual soil NO$_3$-N are common, and N uptake efficiency of applied N, whether from irrigation water or fertilizer, would likely be lower.

Calculating “fertilizer credits”

These field trials unequivocally demonstrated that vegetable crops can effectively use NO$_3$-N from irrigation water, even at relatively low concentration. The important question is how can growers safely estimate an appropriate fertilizer credit for irrigation water NO$_3$-N. In answering that question, it is important to distinguish between N uptake efficiency and a...
fertilizer credit. N uptake efficiency refers to the fraction of applied N taken up by the crop. N uptake efficiency from either fertilizer or irrigation water is affected by overall soil N availability (all sources, including residual soil NO$_3$-N and soil N mineralization); as total N availability increases, N uptake efficiency from either fertilizer or irrigation water will decline. A fertilizer credit is the comparison of the relative availability of N from irrigation water and from fertilizer N.

Several factors need to be considered in calculating a fertilizer credit. First, the stability of the irrigation water NO$_3$-N concentration over time is important. In general, surface water sources have reasonably low but stable NO$_3$-N, typically < 5 mg/L. Water districts usually have historical records that provide good estimates of NO$_3$-N concentration for the current season. Nitrate concentration in irrigation wells may be more variable, so periodic monitoring within a growing season may be appropriate. Growers who use several wells of differing NO$_3$-N concentration to irrigate a field would need to monitor the NO$_3$-N concentration of the blended water. This can be accomplished by collecting water in a covered bucket using a drip emitter connected to the irrigation main line; this sample can be tested using nitrate-sensitive colorimetric test strips.

Drip irrigation increases irrigation efficiency and simplifies the determination of the “fertilizer credit” for irrigation water NO$_3$-N.

---

**Fig. 3.** Comparison of lettuce response to N fertilizer (solid and dashed lines) with crop response to irrigation water NO$_3$-N, 2015 trial; water NO$_3$-N concentrations were 14, 25 and 45 mg/L.

**Fig. 4.** Comparison of broccoli response to N fertilizer (solid and dashed lines) with crop response to irrigation water NO$_3$-N, 2015 trial; water NO$_3$-N concentrations were 14, 25 and 45 mg/L.
Second, it may be necessary to consider irrigation inefficiency when calculating a fertilizer credit, depending on the details of the irrigation management. In this study, drip irrigation was used, with frequent irrigation at relatively low volume, typically < 0.6 inches (1.5 centimeters) per application; even in the high irrigation treatment (160% to 200% of ETc), the volume of leachate from individual irrigations was small. Under these conditions, N uptake efficiency was similar in the high and low irrigation regimes, indicating that the crops were able to remove a substantial amount of NO3-N even from the fraction of applied water that eventually leached. This phenomenon may relate to the residence time of applied water within the active root zone. With low volume leaching events, it may take several irrigation cycles before water moves below the root zone, giving the crop the opportunity to take up applied NO3-N.

In a fertigation trial with bell pepper, Scholberg et al. (2009) found that increasing fertilizer retention time from 1 to just 3 days quadrupled fertilizer N uptake efficiency.

Conversely, when irrigation management features large leaching events, particularly early in the season when crop N uptake is slow and before a substantial root system has developed, crop access to uptake is slow and before a substantial root system has developed, crop access to uptake is slow and before a substantial root system has developed, crop access to uptake is slow and before a substantial root system has developed, crop access to uptake is slow and before a substantial root system has developed, crop access to uptake is slow and before a substantial root system has developed, crop access to uptake is slow and before a substantial root system has developed, crop access to uptake is slow and before a substantial root system has developed. Growers can confidently capture any N contribution from irrigation water still in the root zone following establishment. From that point forward, crediting 100% of irrigation water NO3-N against the assumed fertilizer N requirement would be a reasonable practice if in-season irrigation was managed efficiently. Where in-season irrigation results in large leaching events, a smaller fertilizer credit could be justified. However, it should be acknowledged that large leaching events may similarly restrict crop recovery of fertilizer N.

These field trials documented that NO3-N in irrigation water is effectively used by crops. Growers can confidently adjust their fertilization practices to reflect the agronomic value of this N source. In doing so they will reduce the potential for N loading to groundwater.

Fig. 5. Influence of irrigation water NO3-N concentration (mg/L, across irrigation levels) and irrigation level (across water NO3-N concentrations) on the mean N uptake efficiency of irrigation water NO3-N across the four field trials. Bars represent the 95% confidence interval of the measurement.
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