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This work discusses the fabrication of two-dimensional photonic crystal microcavities (PCMs) in a thin GaAs membrane. We have developed a fabrication process for square-lattice, single-hole-defect devices, a class of PCMs that is critically sensitive to fabrication accuracy, demonstrated coupling of InAs quantum dots to the cavity modes, and shown the sensitivity of the emission to the quality of the fabrication process. Reactive ion etching conditions were optimized to produce photonic crystal holes with smooth, straight sidewalls. To achieve uniform hole sizes throughout the device, we developed a method to correct the proximity effect introduced while defining the photonic crystal holes using electron-beam lithography. Resulting cavities have resonances with quality factors as high as 4000, which proves the quality of our fabrication. © 2003 American Vacuum Society. [DOI: 10.1116/1.1629298]

I. INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional photonic crystal microcavities (PCMs) formed in a thin semiconductor membrane are well suited for future nanoscale optoelectronic systems since they exhibit three-dimensional control of light while remaining compatible with planar nanofabrication techniques. In addition to classical communication applications, PCMs have attracted attention as possible laboratories to observe cavity quantum electrodynamic (CQED) phenomena. Techniques to suppress coupling to radiative modes have been used in PCM design to identify several PCMs based on a triangular lattice that are conducive to CQED experiments.1–5 Cavities based on a square lattice have received relatively little attention since the photonic band gap in this geometry is comparatively small. Despite the reduced photonic gap, the existence of a high quality factor ($Q$) whispering-gallery-like mode (WGM) has been identified in a single-hole-defect PCM based on a square-lattice geometry (S1). The properties of this mode have been studied theoretically6 and experimentally characterized at communication wavelengths.7 Unlike many of the triangular-lattice PCMs designed for atomic experiments,1–4 the antinode of the S1 WGM is located in the high-index region of the device, which is conducive to CQED with semiconductor quantum dots (QDs). We have previously shown high $Q$ resonances in triangular-lattice PCMs internally excited by self-assembled InAs QDs.8 Our current work aims to develop a fabrication process in the same material system for S1 PCMs, which are more critically dependent upon fabrication accuracy. Analyses of loss mechanisms in photonic crystal membranes suggest that fabrication accuracy is essential to achieve desired device performance.9,10 Therefore, we prove the accuracy of our process by demonstrating high $Q$ optical results.

II. DEVICE FABRICATION

The starting material is a heterostructure of (Al)GaAs embedded with five layers of self-assembled InAs QDs ($2 \times 10^{10} \text{cm}^{-2}$ QDs per layer) that constitute the active layer of our device with a spectral bandwidth from 870 to 970 nm. Simulations indicate the range of values of radius $r$ and lattice constant $a$ for photonic crystals supporting cavity modes in the QD emission spectrum. The photonic crystal pattern confines the light in the plane of the QD material and the formation of a membrane suspended in air provides strong vertical optical confinement. The membrane is chosen to be 180 nm thick enough to fully confine the mode and thin enough to prevent the membrane from supporting higher-order modes. We have reported previously on the fabrication of membrane PCMs.5 We have modified this process for square-lattice structures which are more critically dependent upon fabrication accuracy. A schematic of the process, incorporating these changes, is shown in Fig. 1. The photonic crystal pattern is defined in ZEP-520 A from Zeon Chemicals L.P. using a 50 kV JEOL JBX-5DII(U) electron-beam lithography (EBL) system and is then transferred into a SiN layer using CHF$_3$ reactive ion etching (RIE) to provide a more durable mask for the final pattern transfer into GaAs. Defining the photonic crystal holes by dry etching exhibits some
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degree of inaccuracy and it has been shown that even slight sidewall inclination can result in substantial losses for guided modes. Motivated to fabricate low-loss cavities, we have optimized the CHF$_3$ dry etching process to achieve optimal pattern transfer and straight sidewalls. More accurate pattern transfer occurs if the SiN is etched at very low CHF$_3$ pressure. The optimal CHF$_3$ etching conditions are: 3 sccm, 1 mTorr, and 250 V into 750 Å of nitride. During this RIE step a significant amount of polymer is sputtered onto the SiN surface that inhibits subsequent pattern transfer into the GaAs layer. We use a SiO$_2$ sacrificial layer to correct this problem. A 200 Å film of PECVD SiO$_2$ is deposited between the SiN and ZEP-520 A layers. After EBL and the CHF$_3$ RIE step, the sputtered polymer is released from the SiN surface by immersing the sample in a 5:1 HBF$_2$:H$_2$O solution for 20 s. The selectivity between SiO$_2$ and SiN in this wet etch is 16:1, ensuring that negligible etching of the SiN occurs. The resulting clean SiN etch mask provides accurate pattern transfer into the GaAs layer. We use a SiO$_2$ sacrificial layer to correct this problem. A 200 Å film of PECVD SiO$_2$ is deposited between the SiN and ZEP-520 A layers. After EBL and the CHF$_3$ RIE step, the sputtered polymer is released from the SiN surface by immersing the sample in a 5:1 HBF$_2$:H$_2$O solution for 20 s. The selectivity between SiO$_2$ and SiN in this wet etch is 16:1, ensuring that negligible etching of the SiN occurs. The resulting clean SiN etch mask provides accurate pattern transfer into the GaAs layer using SiCl$_4$ RIE. Immersion in a 5:1 H$_2$O:HF solution undercut etches an Al$_{0.7}$Ga$_{0.3}$As layer to form the freestanding membrane structure. The final photonic crystal holes are very circular, as shown in Fig. 2 (left) and have straight, smooth sidewalls as shown in Fig. 2 (right).

Fig. 1. Schematic of the fabrication process used to make photonic crystal membrane structures.

III. PROXIMITY EFFECT CORRECTION

Due to their square geometry, photonic crystals based on a square lattice and fabricated using EBL are susceptible to a variation in photonic crystal hole size over distances of many lattice periods. Our S1 PCMs are fabricated with ten lattice periods surrounding the defect and substantial variation in hole size can be seen in the last several periods in Fig. 3(b) where we have reproduced only the upper right quadrant of the device to allow closer inspection of the individual photonic crystal holes. The variation is attributed to inadvertent exposure in the resist due to the forward- and backscattering of electrons in the resist and substrate, respectively, often referred to as the proximity effect. Small variation in hole size in 2D photonic crystals should not substantially affect device performance, but it has been computed that substantial size fluctuation, such as shown in Fig. 3(b), will greatly reduce the size of the photonic gap. As previously mentioned, the square lattice exhibits a relatively small band gap, making it especially critical that the proximity effect should be corrected. To perform this correction using computational methods, it is essential to first determine the point spread function (PSF) of the electron beam in this material system. This function characterizes all forward- and backscattering of electrons in the system and it has been shown that the PSF on GaAs can be approximated by the following equation:

$$ f(r) = \frac{1}{\pi(1 + \eta + \nu)} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^2} e^{-r^2/\alpha^2} + \frac{\eta}{\beta^2} e^{-r^2/\beta^2} + \frac{\nu}{2\gamma^2} e^{-r/\gamma} \right). $$

We determined the numerical values of the parameters in Eq. (1) by systematically varying each one and noting its effect on the final electron dose distribution in the resist layer. This distribution was calculated by convolving $f(r)$ with the electron beam profile function (assumed to be a Gaussian distribution of width 15 nm) and convolving the result with a

Fig. 2. Top view (left) and 45° (right) scanning electron micrographs of a single-defect photonic crystal microcavity with a 300 nm lattice constant and 180 nm membrane thickness.

Fig. 3. Moderate variation of hole size in one quadrant of a square-lattice photonic crystal with a lattice constant $a$ of 400 nm (a) agrees well with the predicted variation (d). More variation occurs in a smaller device ($a = 300$ nm) experimentally (b) and in simulation (c). Very strong variation is seen in an underexposed microcavity of lattice constant 300 nm (c) and agrees with simulations (f) predicting that the dose in the outermost holes does not clear the resist.
function representing a PCM that has a value of one in the photonic crystal holes and zero otherwise. By defining a clearing dose that results in photonic crystal holes of the intended size at the center of the device, we can see, for a given $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\eta$, $\gamma$, and $\nu$, how the hole size varies from the center to the edge of the device. The parameters in Eq. (1) were varied until predicted devices matched SEM micrographs of processed devices of varying $r$, $a$, and electron dose. Our computational predictions agree best with fabricated devices when the parameters are: $\alpha=0.035 \ \mu m$, $\beta=3.78 \ \mu m$, $\gamma=0.38 \ \mu m$, $\eta=0.75$, and $\nu=0.54$. Using this PSF, we predict hole size variation in S1 devices as shown in Fig. 3. In this figure, the images on the top row are SEM micrographs and the corresponding simulation results for the same device geometry and electron dose are shown in the bottom row. We predict modest variation of hole size for PCMs with large lattice constant ($a=400 \ nm$) as shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(d) for experiment and simulation, respectively. Significant variation occurs in PCMs with smaller $a=300 \ nm$ as shown in Figs. 3(b) and 3(e). The effects of under/over exposure were also modeled and, as an example, a device resulting from 5% less electron dose is shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(f) for experiment and simulation, respectively. Since this PSF can be used to predict the final dose distribution of many PCMs of varying $a$, $r$, and electron dose, it must be representative of the forward/backscattering in our material system and can be used to correct the proximity effect.

Full proximity effect correction can involve a detailed, pattern-dependent, point-by-point dose correction. In our case, we had a simple, repetitive pattern where the major feature size change involved the photonic crystal holes at the periphery of the pattern. In order to achieve a fairly rapid, sufficiently simple compensation of dose to those peripheral holes, we adopted the method of writing extra features near the corner of the pattern. This elevates the electron dose there, increasing the size of the outermost holes. By using the method described above to calculate the total electron dose distribution in the resist, we simulated the effect of writing extra features around the corners of S1 PCMs. By varying the size, location, and electron dose of these extra features by trial-and-error, and then analyzing the resulting predicted devices, we designed a pattern that limits variation in hole size across the device to under a few percent. Optical measurements, presented in a later section, were used to establish that this was adequate correction to achieve desired device performance. The corrected pattern is shown in Fig. 4(a) for one quadrant of the device. The extra features are given a relatively high electron dose compared to the photonic crystal holes, up to 12 times as much in certain areas. Since the device is a suspended membrane, we designed the extra features so that they did not completely surround the PCM. Such a pattern would cause the device to collapse. The calculated electron dose distribution for the corrected devices is shown in Fig. 4(b) and, at a specific clearing dose, produces the device shown in Fig. 4(d). Real devices were fabricated with the extra corner features predicted by our simulations. A corrected device is shown in Fig. 4(c) and it is apparent that the extra features around the PCM produce holes with negligible variation in size while preserving the mechanical integrity of the membrane. The size variation is quantified in the inset of Fig. 5 where $r/a$ is plotted as a function of lattice period along the diagonal for two devices with $a=300 \ nm$, one without correction and one incorporating the extra features. The range of application of this form of proximity correction includes all S1 PCMs resonant in our QD emission range ($\lambda$ from 290 to 310 nm). We do not report on its applicability for patterns outside this regime.
The spectrum in Fig. 6 corresponds to a PCM of single-mode spectra is taken from devices with uniform hole emission spectrum. We measured the side the range 290–310 nm were resonant outside of the QD defect modes resulting from aperiodic hole 5, where the many, closely spaced resonances correspond to vice. A spectrum from an uncorrected device is shown in Fig. 6(a), and 6(b) fit to Lorentzians of Q = 4000 and 3600, respectively.

IV. OPTICAL CHARACTERIZATION

Spatially resolved photoluminescence (PL) measurements were performed on S1 cavities of varying a and r using an external-cavity diode laser operating at 780 nm and exciting the sample surface with 130 μW of power in an estimated 3 μm spot size. The samples were mounted in a He-flow cryostat and cooled to 4 K. Further details of the experimental method have been described previously. The self-assembled InAs QDs produce a continuous emission spectrum from 870 to 970 nm due to size variations. Using the QDs to decorate the cavity modes, we observed a difference in the spectra from devices with significant variation in hole size throughout this device is negligible. High-resolution PL is displayed in the insets for a slightly different geometry (a = 300 nm and r/a = 0.38), showing the high Q values of (a) 4000 and (b) 3600 in two separate, but nominally identical, devices.

V. CONCLUSION

We have optimized a fabrication process for defining photonic crystals in a thin GaAs membrane. By using very low pressure RIE to define the SiN etch mask and removing sputtered polymer from the surface of the mask prior to pattern transfer into the GaAs, we have achieved circular photonic crystal holes with smooth, straight sidewalls. We have proposed a simple technique for correcting hole size fluctuations resulting from the proximity effect. This correction is critical in the fabrication of square-lattice PCMs due to their relatively small photonic band gap and geometry that is susceptible to errors produced by the proximity effect. To test our fabrication process, S1 PCMs of varying a and r/a, exhibiting negligible hole size variation, were optically characterized and shown to support high quality resonances with Q as high as 4000. The observation of emission within the narrow bandwidth defined by the S1 band gap into high Q modes is only possible with much attention paid to the accuracy of the device fabrication. We have achieved this with the fabrication process reported in this article.
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