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Background. Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1) mutations have been linked to favorable outcomes in patients with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). Recent in vitro experiments suggest that IDH1 mutation sensitizes tumors to radiation damage. We hypothesized that radiographic treatment response would be significantly different between IDH1 mutant versus wild-type GBMs after radiotherapy (RT) and concurrent temozolomide (TMZ).

Methods. A total of 39 newly diagnosed GBM patients with known IDH1 mutational status (10 IDH1 mutants), who followed standard therapy and had regular post-contrast T1W (T1+C) and T2W/ fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images in the 6-month period after starting RT, were enrolled. The volume of contrast-enhancing and FLAIR hyperintensity were calculated from each scan. Linear and polynomial regression techniques were used to estimate the rate of change and temporal patterns in tumor volumes.

Results. IDH1 mutant GBMs demonstrated a favorable response to RT/TMZ in the study period, as demonstrated by 10 of 10 mutants showing radiographic response (decreasing $V_{T1+C}$, compared with 13 of 29 wild-types ($p < .001$). During the study period, $V_{T1+C}$ and $V_{FLAIR}$ changed at $-3.6\%$ per week and $+0.6\%$ per week in IDH1 mutant tumors, respectively, as compared with $+0.8\%$ per week and $+5.2\%$ per week in IDH1 wild-type tumors ($p = .0076$ and $p = .0118$, respectively). Amongst the radiographic responders, IDH1 mutant GBMs still demonstrated significant progression-free and overall survival benefit. Aggregated tumor kinetics by group showed significant lower rate in IDH1 mutant GBMs in specific periods: $>105$ days for $V_{FLAIR}$ and $95–120$ and $>150$ days for $V_{T1+C}$ from starting RT/TMZ.

Conclusions. The current study supports the hypothesis that IDH1 mutant GBMs are more sensitive to radiochemotherapy than IDH1 wild-type GBMs.
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significantly longer progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS).\textsuperscript{1,2,6}

The precise mechanism behind the improved survival of IDH1 mutant GBMs remains unclear; however, recent studies have suggested that increased sensitivity to oxidative damage may play a pivotal role. The IDH1 enzyme catalyzes the conversion of isocitrate to α-ketoglutarate, thereby reducing NADP to NADPH. Zhao et al\textsuperscript{7} recently showed that mutation of IDH1 can impair the enzyme’s activity, which in turn reduces the amount of NADP available for protection from oxidative damage. Alternatively, Dang et al\textsuperscript{8} demonstrated that the IDH1R132H protein may increase enzyme activity, leading to the reduction of α-ketoglutarate to 2-hydroxylutarate and the oxidation of NADPH to NADP. Further reducing the levels of NADPH and making the cell even more susceptible to oxidative damage. Regardless of change in enzyme activity, both of these studies suggest that the downstream effects result in increased susceptibility to oxidative damage. Consistent with this hypothesis, Li et al\textsuperscript{9} recently showed that overexpression of the IDH1R132H protein in glioma cell lines increased their sensitivity to radiation damage since radiation exposure is thought to induce cell death through perturbations in intracellular metabolic oxidation/reduction reactions.\textsuperscript{10}

The purpose of the current study was to compare differences in tumor growth rates between human IDH1 mutant to IDH1 wild-type glioblastomas after the start of standard radiochemotherapy using serial volumetric analysis ofatomic magnetic resonance images.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patients**

All patients participating in this study signed an institutional review board-approved informed consent. In this study, a total of 29 participants (IDH1 mutants, n = 10; IDH1 wild-type, n = 29) were selected from previously published cohorts of nearly 400 patients,\textsuperscript{4,11} with the following criteria: (i) newly diagnosed GBM, (ii) surgery followed by radiotherapy (RT) (6000 cGy) and concurrent temozolomide (TMZ), (iii) had IDH1 mutation status sequenced from frozen sample samples at initial diagnosis, (iv) had contrast-enhancing tumor in postcontrast T1-weighted images (T1+C), and (v) had regular post-treatment MR images (T1+C and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery [FLAIR] or T2-weighted images) within 6 months after starting RT. To the best of our knowledge, participants were on a constant level of steroids during imaging evaluation. In order to assess the response to radiochemotherapy exclusively, participants who were clinically diagnosed with tumor progression within the study period were removed from the study at the time of progression due to the subsequent change in their treatment plan (eg, additional resection, bevacizumab, or enrollment in a clinical trial). A higher proportion of IDH1 mutant glioblastomas was selected from our database to increase statistical power, since IDH1 mutation only occurs in a small subset of primary glioblastoma (5%–8%).\textsuperscript{2,3,6} Post-surgical, posttreatment scans obtained ~2–4 weeks after completion of RT were used as the baseline for subsequent analyses. Postsurgical, pre-RT scans were not included due to possible edema, swelling, and blood products after surgery that may contaminate estimates of initial tumor burden. All participants were diagnosed between November 2004 and October 2011. Table 1 outlines additional characteristics in our participation.

**Table 1.** Participant characteristics and follow-up periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IDH1 Mutant (n = 10)</th>
<th>IDH1 Wild-type (n = 29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>1 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross total</td>
<td>6 (60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>3 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age in years (range)</td>
<td>48.5 (31–61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Days from surgery to RT</td>
<td>37 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of scans</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of days followed up (range)</td>
<td>151.7 days (92–184)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative volume</td>
<td>V1+C</td>
<td>8.8 ± 3.4 cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VFLAIR</td>
<td>78.9 ± 21.9 cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT methylation status</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>5 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>3 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No result</td>
<td>2 (20%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Anatomic MR Imaging**

Anatomic MR imaging consisted of clinical T2-weighted fast spin-echo or FLAIR images and gadolinium-diethylenetriamine penta-acetate acid (Magnevist; Berlex; 0.1 mmol/kg) or gadobenate dimeglumine (MultiHance; Bracco; 0.1 mmol/kg) enhanced T1-weighted images (ie, T1+C). All images were 3- to 5-mm thick with a 0 to 1 mm intersection gap. Each participant received consistent MR data acquisition protocols to baseline scans during subsequent follow-ups in order to reduce variability that may have been due to differences in MR acquisition parameters.

**MR Volumetry**

Regions of interest were segmented using a semiautomated procedure coded in AFNI (http://afni.nimh.nih.gov/afni). Briefly, the general regions of tumor on T2/FLAIR and T1+C images were first defined manually. Then, T2/FLAIR and T1+C images were thresholded by using an empirical threshold. Finally, the resulting masks were edited manually to exclude obvious errors. Only tumor near the original lesion site was contoured to better estimate the response to treatment since satellite lesions may have grown outside the original RT field. Contrast-enhancing tumor volume, V1+C, and T2/FLAIR hyperintense volume, VFLAIR, were calculated for each time point using the respective image resolution. All tumor contours were verified by an expert, board-certified neuroradiologist (W.B.P.).

**Temporal Trends Using Local Polynomial Regression Fitting**

To visualize population-based growth rate of the tumor adjusted to baseline volumes, we performed a local polynomial regression fitting on the percentage change in volume as a function of time with respect to baseline for both IDH1 mutant and wild-type cases. Data analysis was performed using R version 2.15.2 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing) using the ggplot2 package.

**Genetic and Methylation Analysis**

IDH1 mutation and MGMT methylation statuses were determined from previously published studies.\textsuperscript{4,11} Briefly, for determination of sequence at IDH1
residue 132, both Sanger and Sequenom were utilized. All IDH1R132H/M27 were confirmed with both platforms. MGMT methylation status was determined via methylation-specific PCR as described in (cite PMID 23328811).

**Definition of Tumor Progression and Survival**

Disease progression was defined by modified Levin criteria as described previously. Briefly, recurrent recurrence was confirmed using either direct pathological confirmation, 3,4-dihydroxy-8-[18F]-fluoro-L-phenylalanine (18F-FDGPA) PET or unequivocal evidence on MRI as indicated by a board-certified neuroradiologist (W.B.P). Unequivocal evidence on MRI was determined by >7 sequential months of increasing contrast enhancement on post-contrast T1-weighted images along with evidence of increasing mass effect. PFS was defined from the time of initial tissue diagnosis to the first posttreatment scan that showed disease progression. OS was recorded from the time of initial diagnosis until death. Survival comparisons were performed by log rank analysis on Kaplan–Meier data. All statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 5 for Mac (GraphPad Software).

**Results**

Of the 39 participants evaluated in the current study, 2 (1 IDH1 wild-type and 1 IDH1 mutant) had an additional therapy prior to their first progression and were censored at the time of starting new therapy (2 and 4 months after completion of radiation, respectively). IDH1 mutant glioblastoma participants tended to be younger compared with wild-type glioblastoma participants (average age 68.5y vs 72y), while the extent of surgical resection was comparable between the 2 groups (60%–62% gross total, 30%–28% subtotal). The average follow-up periods from the start of RT were ~5.1 months for IDH1 mutant and 4.8 months for IDH1 wild-type glioblastomas. At the time of diagnosis, average contrast-enhancing tumor volume (V1cc) was 8.8 cc for IDH1 mutant and 20 cc for IDH1 wild-type tumors (t-test, P < .0001), while the average T2/FLAIR hyperintense volume (VFLAIR) was 78.9 cc for IDH1 mutant and 99.5 cc for IDH1 wild-type tumors (t-test, P = .0005).

**IDH1 Mutant Tumors Decrease in Volume After Radiochemotherapy**

Fig. 1 shows the typical radiographic response of an IDH1 mutant and wild-type tumors during the period of evaluation. A significantly larger proportion of IDH1 mutant GBMs showed a favorable response after RT (100%, 10 of 10) as indicated by a decrease in both contrast-enhancing and T2/FLAIR hyperintense volume compared with IDH1 wild-type GBMs (44.8%, 13 of 29; binomial test, P < .001). Linear regression of temporal trends in volume measurements after completion of radiochemotherapy indicated that contrast-enhancing IDH1 mutant GBMs decreased in volume at ~3.6% per week, which was significantly different than IDH1 wild-type GBMs that increased at a rate of 0.8% per week (Fig. 3, Mann–Whitney test, P = .0076). T2/FLAIR hyperintense lesion growth rates showed similar trends, indicating an average increase in volume after RT of 0.6% per week in IDH1 mutant glioblastoma and an increase in volume of 5.2% per week in IDH1 wild-type tumors (Fig. 3, Mann–Whitney test, P = .0118). Further characterization of differences in tumor growth kinetics between IDH1 mutant and wild-type tumors was performed using a local polynomial regression model (Fig. 2). Differences in tumor growth kinetics were observed in both contrast-enhancing and T2/FLAIR hyperintense lesions for IDH1 mutant and wild-type tumors. Specifically, we observed a consistent overall decrease in tumor volume in IDH1 mutant tumors after completion of radiochemotherapy, whereas population-based growth kinetic trends for IDH1 wild-type tumors suggested a general increase in lesion volume after therapy. T2/FLAIR hyperintense tumor growth kinetic trends were significantly different after day 105 (period a) from starting RT. Interestingly, the aggregated trend in tumor growth kinetics for contrast-enhancing lesions was significantly different between IDH1 mutant and wild-type tumors at 2 specific periods after completion of radiochemotherapy: between days 95–120 (period b) and beyond 150 (period c) days posttherapy.

**IDH1 Mutant Glioblastomas Show a Progression-free Survival Benefit from Radiochemotherapy**

Since our criteria for treatment response in this study were based on radiographic contrast-enhancing volumes at the site of original tumor, 9 of 16 nonresponders and 3 of 23 responders (all IDH1 wild-type) progressed clinically during the study duration. To test whether IDH1 mutant glioblastomas show a PFS benefit from RT and concurrent TMZ, we performed log-rank analysis on Kaplan–Meier data. Results suggested that IDH1 mutant glioblastomas had a significantly longer PFS compared with IDH1 wild-type tumors (Fig. 4A; IDH1 mutant median PFS = 72.1 months vs IDH1 wild-type median PFS = 8.1 months; log-rank test, P = .0004). This trend was also evident when comparing IDH1 mutant and wild-type tumors (log-rank test, P = .006); however, variability in treatment paradigms after recurrence may have further influenced these results.

The initial tumor growth kinetics after completion of radiochemotherapy were then used to predict PFS and OS in IDH1 mutant and wild-type tumors (Fig. 4B). Results suggest tumors demonstrating a negative rate of change in V1cc after completion of radiochemotherapy, indicative of a positive response to therapy, had a longer PFS (Fig. 4B: radiographic responders median PFS = 18.6 months vs radiographic nonresponders median PFS = 6.7 months; log-rank test, P < .0001) and OS (Fig. 4E: radiographic responders median OS = 72.1 months vs radiographic nonresponders median OS = 17.2 months; log-rank test, P = .0056). When we only looked at the V1cc responders, IDH1 mutation status still conferred a significant benefit (Fig. 4C: log-rank test, P = .014) and OS (Fig. 4F: log-rank, P = .045) benefit. Additionally, rates of change in T2/FLAIR hyperintense lesions were not predictive of PFS (log-rank test, P = .1210) or OS (log-rank test, P = .3230) (not shown).

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the treatment response in human IDH1 mutant glioblastomas to the standard treatment regime. Consistent with recent in vitro results from Li et al and clinical data from van den Bent et al, results from the current study support the hypothesis that IDH1 mutant gliomas are more sensitive to radiochemotherapy. In particular, results clearly demonstrate that the contrast-enhancing lesion continues to decrease in volume after completion of RT while on concurrent chemotherapy in 100% of IDH1 mutant glioblastomas examined, whereas only around
45% of IDH1 wild-type tumors responded favorably in the same period.

**IDH1 Mutant GBMs Demonstrated a Distinct Response Profile to Radiochemotherapy**

The IDH1 mutant cases showed stable T2/FLAIR lesions and steadily decreasing contrast-enhancing tumors (Fig. 2). The difference is apparent when compared with the rapidly increasing trend of both T2/FLAIR lesions and contrast-enhancing tumors in IDH1 wild-type cases (Fig. 2). Analysis of individual tumor kinetics revealed that IDH1 mutant tumors had very similar responses in both T2/FLAIR and contrast-enhancing volumes, while IDH1 wild-type demonstrated large variable responses (Fig. 2 and 3). These observed effects are likely caused by the irradiation damage and initial response to TMZ. In fact, survival analysis showed a strong correlation between PFS and the initial response in contrast-enhancing lesions (Fig. 4B, log-rank test, \( P < .001 \)) as expected. The presence of IDH1\( R132H \) mutation is associated with better PFS and OS even amongst the responders (Fig. 4A–F). This result supports our hypothesis that IDH1\( R132H \) mutation correlates with better response to radiochemotherapy.

The mechanism for increased sensitivity to cytotoxic therapies in IDH1 mutant glioblastoma during this period (immediate post-RT with concurrent chemotherapy) is likely related to the increased radiation sensitivity. IDH1\( R132H \) mutation was shown to correlate with diminished NADPH production in situ in glioblastoma by a recent metabolic mapping study.\(^{16}\) Depletion in NADPH levels can cause the cells to have decreased buffering of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and sensitize them to radiation damage. IDH1 enzyme plays an important role in the cells in maintaining the NADPH levels to buffer against ROS. In recent studies, an increase in radiation sensitivity was observed when mitochondrial IDH1 activity was suppressed via small interfering RNA\(^{15}\) or feedback
Fig. 2. Temporal trends of (A) T2/FLAIR hyperintense volume and (B) contrast-enhancing volume in IDH1 mutant versus wild-type glioblastoma. Time series of relative change in \( V_{\text{FLAIR}} \) and \( V_{\text{T1+C}} \) volume with regard to baseline scans of each participant were presented. Local polynomial regression trend lines were fitted to IDH1 mutant and wild-type groups separately, and presented with 95% standard error bands. The cross marks on the trend line denoted a participant who had progressed (\( n = 13 \) IDH1 wild-type). Periods which IDH1 wild-type had significantly higher growth rate compared with IDH1 mutant are (a) \( V_{\text{FLAIR}} \) rate from days 105−180, (b) \( V_{\text{T1+C}} \) rate from days 95−120 and (c) day 150 onwards.

Fig. 3. Tumor growth rate (in percentage per week) for T2/FLAIR hyperintense region \( V_{\text{FLAIR}} \) and contrast-enhancing volume \( V_{\text{T1+C}} \). Results suggest a significant higher growth rate of \( V_{\text{FLAIR}} \) (Mann-Whitney test, \( P = .0118 \)) and \( V_{\text{T1+C}} \) (Mann-Whitney test, \( P = .0076 \)) in IDH1 wild-type glioblastomas as compared with IDH1 mutant glioblastomas. Medians of the rates are presented.

inhibition from oxalomalate.\(^{16}\) The presence of IDH\(^{1 R132H}\) protein was shown to inhibit the function of normal IDH1 catalytic activity.\(^2\) IDH\(^{1 R132H}\) was also found to catalyze the reaction to convert \( \alpha \)-ketoglutarate to 2-hydroxyglutarate, resulting in increased ROS levels.\(^6\) In vitro studies showed that overexpression of IDH\(^{1 R132H}\) protein in U87MG glioblastoma cell lines were also found to increase radiation sensitivity.\(^9\) Additionally, recent metabolomic data using high-resolution magic spinning angle MR spectroscopy applied to ex vivo IDH1 mutant tumor tissue has also measured an increase in glutathione,\(^{17}\) which may further influence sensitivity to IDH1 mutants. Although evidence from various studies suggests that IDH\(^{1 R132H}\) radiation sensitizing effect is responsible for the observed therapeutic response in vivo, we cannot definitively exclude the effects from the concurrent TMZ treatment. Definitive clinical evidence on the role of IDH\(^{1 R132H}\) in RT or TMZ response may be derived from the results of an ongoing randomized prospective trial that compares RT-only with TMZ-only treatments (the EORTC 22033−26033).\(^{18}\)

**IDH1 Wild-type GBMs Exhibited Pseudoprogression Growth Pattern During the Period of 3−4 Months Postradiotherapy**

Interestingly, the growth rate of wild-type tumors increased initially and then decreased roughly at about day 140 (3−4 months after starting radiation, Fig. 2), which appears to coincide with the commonly reported period of “pseudoprogression,” a phenomenon where a temporary increase in contrast-enhancement is observed that mimics tumor progression but actually relates to a favorable response to therapy. Although this observation could have been artifactual from participants being censored after early progression, closer examination of the data suggested this was not the case. Specifically, the censor points on the plot in Fig. 2 clearly
Fig. 4. Kaplan–Meier plots of progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) analysis. (A and D) PFS and OS analysis stratified by IDH1 mutation status showed a significant PFS benefit (mutant median = 72.1 m; wild-type median = 8.1 m; log-rank test, \(P = .0004\)) and OS benefit (mutant median = 72.1 m; wild-type median = 18.6 m; log-rank test, \(P < .0001\)) of IDH1 mutant GBMs. (B and E) PFS and OS analysis stratified by treatment response via \(V_{T1+C}\) (using serial MR volumetry analysis) showed significant difference in PFS response (responders median PFS = 18.6 m; nonresponders median PFS = 6.7 m; log-rank test, \(P < .0001\)) and OS response (responders median OS = 17.2 m; nonresponders median OS = 72.1 m; log-rank test, \(P = .0056\)). (C, F) PFS and OS analysis of \(V_{T1+C}\) responders stratified by IDH1 mutation status showed that even among the responders, IDH1 mutant GBMs still had significant PFS (mutant median = 72.1 m; wild-type median = 14.2 m; log-rank test, \(P = .0141\)) and OS (mutant median = 72.1 m; wild-type median = 21.1 m; log-rank test, \(P = .0451\)) advantage. Survival analysis stratified by \(V_{T1+C}\) treatment responses were not significant and not shown here.

show that subjects were censored relatively early after therapy. Of the 12 participants who were diagnosed to have progression in our study period, all of them had IDH1 wild-type genotype. Their MGMT promoter methylation statuses were as follows: 5 methylated, 5 unmethylated, and 2 unconfirmed. Four of 6 participants who were diagnosed with progression within the first 6 months post-surgery (roughly 4–5 months since starting RT) had methylated MGMT promoter region. Only one of these 6 participants had confirmed unmethylated MGMT promoter region. This result is consistent with the association of methylated MGMT tumors with pseudoprogression phenomenon in the literature.\(^{19,20}\) Further investigation into the roles of other molecular or genetic alterations (e.g., MGMT promoter methylation) and resulting population-based response to RT are warranted.

**Conclusion**

The current study suggests IDH1 mutant glioblastomas are more sensitive to standard radiochemotherapy compared with IDH1 wild-type glioblastomas, as demonstrated by serial MR volumetry following therapy.
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