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Background: Schizophrenia is associated with increased physical morbidity and early mortality, suggesting that the aging process may be accelerated in schizophrenia. However, the biological underpinnings of these alterations in aging in schizophrenia are unclear. Method: We conducted a detailed search of peer-reviewed empirical studies to evaluate evidence for accelerated biological aging in schizophrenia based on systemic, age-related biomarkers. We included studies that investigated differences between persons with schizophrenia and healthy comparison subjects in levels of biomarkers known to be associated with aging and examined the relationship of these biomarkers to age in the 2 groups. Results: Forty-two articles that met our selection criteria were reviewed. Nearly 75% reported abnormal biomarker levels among individuals with schizophrenia, including indices of inflammation, cytotoxicity, oxidative stress, metabolic health, gene expression, and receptor/synaptic function, with medium to large effect sizes reported in many studies. Twenty-nine percent of the studies observed differential age-related decline in schizophrenia. Markers of receptor/synaptic function and gene expression were most frequently differentially related to age in schizophrenia. Schizophrenia patients with greater disease severity and longer illness duration exhibited higher levels of inflammatory and oxidative stress biomarkers and shorter telomere length. Conclusions: Most studies show biomarker abnormalities in schizophrenia, and there is some suggestion for accelerated aging. Although definitive interpretation is limited by cross-sectional design of the published reports, findings in the area of gene expression and synaptic function are promising and pave the way for future longitudinal studies needed to fully test this hypothesis.
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Introduction

"Besides the psychic disorders, there are also in the physical domain a series of morbid phenomena to record... The obscurity... has been a frequent motive for an examination of the blood picture and of metabolism, but the findings up to now are not very satisfactory."

—Emil Kraepelin, 1913

It has been known for more than a century that schizophrenia is associated with markedly increased physical comorbidity and mortality. Physiological changes seen throughout the body with normal aging occur at an earlier age in people with schizophrenia than in healthy comparison subjects (HCs). Younger adults with schizophrenia are prone to diseases associated with aging such as diabetes and cardiovascular disorders. The average life span of a person with schizophrenia is 15–20 years shorter than that of an unaffected person, and patients with schizophrenia have 2–12 times higher mortality rate than age-comparable general population. Given that lifespans are generally increasing for the population as a whole, understanding alterations in the aging process within schizophrenia is clearly imperative.

Two-thirds of the excess deaths in schizophrenia are not from suicide, but due to “natural causes” such as cardiac and metabolic disorders. This has led to a provocative suggestion that schizophrenia is not only a brain disease but rather, a disease of the whole body. Prior attempts to address systemic biological defects in schizophrenia failed, at least in part, because of a lack of specific and sensitive systemic biomarkers of aging. Thus, one way to test the hypothesis of accelerated biological aging would be through research on systemic biomarkers of aging such as those associated with immune function, oxidative stress, metabolic function, or cytotoxicity.
Several prior reviews have examined accelerated aging in schizophrenia from various perspectives, including metabolic disease,2,13 cognition,2,13 brain structure,14–16 telomere length (TL),17,19 and oxidative stress.20 However, no publication, to our knowledge, has provided a comprehensive review of multiple biomarkers relevant to the hypothesis of accelerated biological aging in schizophrenia. Although many comparisons and similarities have been drawn between schizophrenia and normal aging, we have a limited understanding as to which biomarkers are most altered with age in schizophrenia. The identification of biological factors that underlie alterations in the aging process may permit identification of individuals at high risk for accelerated aging, and potentially open up consideration of new avenues for intervention.

The present review sought to evaluate evidence for accelerated biological aging in schizophrenia using systemic markers of biological aging. Though the recent literature has frequently used the term “accelerated biological aging,” no review has synthesized evidence for this claim at system level. Our goal was to conceptualize the issue and determine whether studies have appropriately addressed it. This hypothesis is most aptly addressed through longitudinal studies. However, in our search of the literature, we did not find any longitudinal investigations of systemic biomarkers in schizophrenia, although there are a few longitudinal studies of brain aging in schizophrenia.14,21 Nevertheless, we critically examined available cross-sectional studies to attempt to address 3 questions:

1. Are aging-related biomarkers abnormal in schizophrenia? We summarize the strength of the evidence for accelerated aging by examining how many reports found abnormal biomarker levels in individuals with schizophrenia compared to similarly aged HCs.

2. Which biomarkers are specifically involved in aging in schizophrenia? We summarize evidence suggesting a potentially altered pattern of age-related changes among patients with schizophrenia. Studies that explicitly compared the age-relationship between schizophrenia and HCs may provide the strongest available evidence for this hypothesis (supplementary table 1), although many did not perform such comparisons. As the next best option, studies that explored the age relationship individually within groups (without statistically comparing the relationships) were described.

3. What clinical characteristics in schizophrenia are risk vs protective factors for accelerated biological aging? We gathered information on which factors were associated with biomarkers in these studies and if they explained variance in biomarker levels over and above that due to chronological age.

Methods

We performed a search of PubMed, PsycINFO, and Embase with no year restrictions, for articles published before October 7, 2016 using the following search string: (schizophrenia OR schizoaffective OR psychosis[Title]) AND (aging OR ageing OR senescence OR “accelerated aging” OR “accelerated decline” OR “successful aging” OR premature OR elderly[Title]) AND (biomarker OR blood OR serum OR plasma OR urine OR cerebrospinal OR sweat OR inflammation OR immune OR oxidative OR telomere OR vascular OR metabolic OR insulin OR pulmonary) NOT (children[Title] OR childhood[Title] OR adolescence[Title] OR adolescent[Title] OR “first-episode”[Title] OR rodent [Title]). We examined the titles and abstracts of all citations and selected empirical reports based on our inclusion/exclusion criteria.

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

For inclusion in the review, studies had to: (1) include an age range of at least 30 years for mixed-age samples and 15 years for samples over age 60, (2) quantify levels of relevant biomarkers of systemic aging, and (3) evaluate the association between biomarker levels and chronological age in individuals with schizophrenia and comparison group(s). For the third criterion, studies must have reported results of age analyses such that a differential relationship between the schizophrenia and comparison group could be ascertained. Targeted outcomes for the present review included (but were not limited to) biomarkers of senescence, such as TL, pro-inflammatory indices, oxidative stress, and metabolic changes. As the focus of the paper was on biological indicators of systemic aging, we were interested in circulating biomarkers that would indicate overall physical/biological aging, are not dependent on a particular organ, could be relatively easily measured in blood, and are not influenced by motivation, interest, or learning/memory (thus, we excluded studies of neurocognitive or neuroimaging measures). The only exception made to this rule was for biomarkers measured in homogenized postmortem brain tissue, as these markers could have counterparts in and reflect changes across the rest of the body and are not restricted to the brain; these markers are currently measurable systemically or would be measurable in the near future. The rationale for our focus on systemic biomarkers was that they are accessible, practical to collect, particularly over repeated measurements to assess ongoing processes. Inclusion was restricted to studies published in English and of clinical populations with a diagnosis of schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. We excluded review papers and meta-analyses, reports with duplicate data, case reports, intervention trials, studies including children, adolescents, or first-episode patients, and studies using animal models.
Review Process

Our database search yielded 895 articles, once duplicates were removed. The titles and abstracts of all citations were screened and, of these, 59 met inclusion criteria for further review. Twenty-three additional articles were obtained from the references of identified papers. In total, 82 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility, and 41 met the above-mentioned criteria for review. One article reported on 2 experiments with different sample cohorts and biomarker samples, so this publication was counted twice as separate studies to make a total of 42 included studies. The PRISMA flow chart depicting information through different stages of the systematic review is shown in figure 1.

Results

Characteristics of Reviewed Studies

Study characteristics and summary statistics for the 42 reviewed studies are presented in table 1. Summary information for each study is provided in supplementary table 2. Thirty-nine studies included individuals with schizophrenia and/or schizoaffective disorder, while 3 included patients with psychotic disorders, more generally. Seventy-one percent of reports were clinical investigations involving patient populations, whereas 29% examined systemic markers in postmortem brain tissue. Most studies investigated a mixed-age sample of adults, 2 included only older participants. All reviewed studies had at least one comparison group: 34 compared schizophrenia patients to a nonpsychiatric HC group; 4 involved at least one psychiatric comparison group in addition to an HC group. One included only psychiatric comparison groups; one compared subtypes of patients with schizophrenia. The reviewed articles examined a variety of different biomarkers, including markers of inflammation (26%), TL (24%), indices of oxidative stress (17%), gene expression/regulation (10%), metabolic/vascular function (10%), receptor/synaptic function (10%), testosterone (2%), and brain-derived neurotropic factor (BDNF) (2%). In terms of analysis strategies, age was most often correlated with biomarker levels within each group separately (31%) or used as a covariate or predictor variable in a general linear model without specifying an interactive effect (31%). Only 24% of studies specifically explored an age × group interaction or statistically compared correlations/regression slopes between groups. Finally, 14% of studies compared subjects within or across age groups (eg, younger vs older subjects). Thirteen studies (31%) provided measures of effect sizes (or enough information to compute them).

Below we summarize results for each set of biomarkers. Within each category, we first present data regarding group differences between schizophrenia and HCs (question 1). Next, we evaluate data examining differential age relationships between groups (question 2). Finally, we present associations of biomarkers with other factors in schizophrenia, such as clinical characteristics (question 3).

Inflammation. Human aging is characterized by a chronic, low-grade inflammation, known as “inflamm-aging,” an important risk factor for both morbidity and mortality in older adults, which can be potentially prevented and even cured. Consistent with previous reviews and meta-analyses, 73% of these reports observed elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in schizophrenia, including interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1β, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), Eotaxin-1, Eotaxin-2, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, macrophage inflammatory protein-1β, thymus- and activation-regulated chemokine, macrophage-derived chemokine, and lower levels of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-2. For TNF-α and IL-6, group differences were reduced and in some cases nonsignificant after controlling for potential confounds. Elevations were observed for other biomarkers related to inflammation, including markers of astrocyte and microglial activation (eg, S100B, glial fibrillary acid protein, CD11b), which can further induce cytokine production, as well as enzymes and transcription factors for the arachidonic acid and nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) signaling pathways. Of the 11 articles, 3 reported effect sizes ranging from small to medium in size, for elevated levels in schizophrenia. Other studies found no differences for C-reactive protein (CRP) or intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1); however, a more inclusive review and meta-analysis of all studies of CRP (without the specific study inclusion criteria that we used) has shown consistent elevations in CRP in schizophrenia.

Age Relationships Thirty-six percent of articles reported differential relationships between inflammation and age in schizophrenia and HCs. Three observed correlations between older age and increased IL-6, S100B, and scores on a chemokine index, which included MDC and Eotaxin-1, in schizophrenia but not in HCs. The strengths of correlation coefficients suggest medium effects; however, these investigations did not statistically compare the age-relationship between groups. Prostaglandin-related genes were different between older schizophrenia patients and age-comparable HCs, which were not observed between younger schizophrenia patients and their HCs, suggestive of differential aging trajectories between the groups. Only one study investigated an age × group interaction, and did not observe a
Fig. 1. PRISMA flow diagram for selection of published articles for review.

Table 1. Summary of Study Characteristics for Reports in Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Characteristics</th>
<th>Schizophrenia</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Participants</td>
<td>112.3</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum age (years)</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum age (years)</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>65.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender ratio (M/F)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean education (years)</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (% Caucasian)</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age of onset (years)</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of illness (years)</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean anti-psychotic dose (CPZE mg)</td>
<td>538.7</td>
<td>512.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomarker categories (no. of studies)</td>
<td>11 inflammation, 10 telomere length, 7 oxidative stress, 4 gene expression, 4 metabolic/vascular, 4 receptor/neurotransmitter systems, 1 testosterone, 1 BDNF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; CPZE, chlorpromazine equivalent; F, females; M, males.
group-specific age association for any chemokine. The remaining 64% of studies did not observe significant relationships between age and levels of cytokines (eg, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, TNFα), chemokines, CRP, ICAM-1, or brain mRNA levels of IL-1 receptor protein, markers of astrocyte and microglial activation, NF-κB transcription factor subunits, or arachidonic cascade enzymes.

**Association With Other Factors** Illness duration was reportedly associated with inflammation in 3 studies, suggesting that elevated levels may be related to disease progression. Longer illness duration was associated with higher IL-6 levels and chemokine index; the strengths of these relationships were small to moderate in size, respectively. Additionally, Eotaxin-1 levels were significantly higher in chronic patients compared to HCs. No relationships were observed between IL-2, TNFα, IL-6, IL-1β, S100B, or ICAM-1 and illness duration, antipsychotic medication use or dose, or cigarette smoking.

**Cytotoxicity. Group Differences** Ten studies of TL met our inclusion criteria. Consistent with previous meta-analyses, findings were mixed. Five reports found that TL and telomerase activity were decreased in schizophrenia, 3 observed no difference in TL between schizophrenia and HC groups, and one reported increased TL in schizophrenia. Wolkowitz et al found a group × gender interaction, such that HC women had longer TL than women with schizophrenia. Two articles reported effect sizes, which were small in magnitude, with one study finding longer TL in schizophrenia and the other reporting shorter TL in women with schizophrenia.

**Age Relationships** None of the TL studies reported differential age relationships between schizophrenia and HC groups. Five reports found no relationship between age and TL or telomerase activity, while 4 observed negative correlations between age and TL in both schizophrenia and comparison groups. None of these studies statistically examined group differences in age-relationships. Two studies investigated an age × group interaction. Wolkowitz et al failed to observe a significant effect. Yu et al found that TL seemed to decrease with age in HCs but not in persons with chronic schizophrenia, with small effect sizes, despite also finding that poor responders to treatment exhibited shorter TL.

**Association With Other Factors** Chronic patients with longer disease duration had significantly shorter TL than early-onset patients, with a large effect size. Greater number of psychotic episodes and hospital admissions were also related to shorter TL. Conversely, no associations were observed between TL and age of onset, disease course, or antipsychotic dose.

**Oxidative Stress. Group Differences** Seven studies of oxidative stress markers met review criteria. In line with previous studies and reviews, 88% of these studies observed abnormalities in levels of markers of oxidative stress in schizophrenia, including elevated plasma F2-isoprostanes, increased 8-hydroxy-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) and Ki-67 protein, reduced glutathione, and decreased plasma albumin and bilirubin. Two studies reported on an index of total antioxidant status, measured as the net activity derived from various antioxidants in plasma, and both found a lower total antioxidant response in schizophrenia compared to HCs, as well as higher levels of total plasma peroxide levels. The only marker for which no group differences were found was thioredoxin. Effect sizes were reported in 2 investigations, and they were medium in size; both studies indicated higher oxidative stress in schizophrenia.

**Age Relationships** Two studies provided strong support for accelerated aging. Antioxidant protein bilirubin was significantly and more strongly related to age in HCs, suggesting that schizophrenia patients may lack a normal increase in antioxidants with age. Additionally, total antioxidant capacity was significantly lower in older patients with schizophrenia compared to young and middle-aged patients as well as older HCs. The remaining 5 studies did not find significant age relationships in either schizophrenia or comparison groups. Only one investigation tested age × group interactions and did not find any differential effects.

**Association With Other Factors** Four studies examined which disease-related factors may contribute to oxidative stress in schizophrenia. Total plasma antioxidant status was lower in individuals with longer duration of illness and antipsychotic medication use, with small-to-medium effect sizes. Otherwise, no other associations were observed between oxidative stress levels and age of onset, illness duration, antipsychotic medication dose or duration, smoking, or alcohol/drug abuse.

**Gene Expression and Regulation. Group Differences** Four studies investigated markers of gene expression and regulation. Three reports investigated group differences in expression levels. Levels of lisa-miR-34a and lisa-miR449a microRNAs, previously identified to be potential biomarkers for schizophrenia, were higher in patients with schizophrenia compared to HCs in a human sample, with medium to large effect sizes; however, in a post-mortem sample, no differences were observed between the groups. Additionally, individuals with schizophrenia exhibited decreased expression of 5 neuronally expressed genes, HTR2C (serotonin 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 2C), TOMM70A (mitochondrial function/import), RGS4 (regulator of G protein signaling 4), PPYME (protein phosphatase, Mg2+/Mn2+ dependent, 1E), and GAD1 (GABAergic neurotransmission), compared to HCs; no
difference in histone acetylation (Ac-H3K9K14) levels in these gene promoter regions was detected.\textsuperscript{61}

**Age Relationships** Fifty percent of gene expression and regulation studies found steeper age relationships in schizophrenia. In the clinical sample cohort, hsa-miR-34a significantly interacted with age such that expression seemed to increase among patients with schizophrenia compared to an apparent age-related decline in HCs.\textsuperscript{22} Additionally, in genome-wide expression profiles from the human frontal cortex, the relative expression levels of genes most significantly correlated with age were different between younger and older HCs but not in schizophrenia patients, suggesting that patients express a higher level of age-related genes throughout their lifespan.\textsuperscript{60} Additionally, 29\%–34\% of the genes that were correlated with age in healthy subjects were dysregulated in subjects with early-stage schizophrenia. On the other hand, neither hsa-miR-34a expression\textsuperscript{22} nor histone acetylation levels\textsuperscript{61} were associated with age in schizophrenia.

**Association With Other Factors** Levels of hsa-miR-34a were higher in patients with longer than shorter duration of illness with large effect sizes, suggesting that this microRNA may increase with disease severity.\textsuperscript{22} In another study, microRNAs levels were measured at different clinical time-points (ie, during acute hospitalization and 2 months into remission); expression levels were not affected by hospitalization or significant improvement in clinical symptoms.\textsuperscript{22}

**Metabolic and Vascular Markers** Recent reviews and meta-analyses have shown that people with schizophrenia are more likely to have metabolic abnormalities, which significantly influence physical morbidity and mortality,\textsuperscript{69,70} although it is less clear whether these dysregulations are due to aging (in schizophrenia) itself, or other factors related to the disease, including use of antipsychotic medications.\textsuperscript{71–73}

**Group Differences** Four articles examined the association between age and metabolic biomarkers in schizophrenia. Three studies observed elevated levels of cardiovascular risk markers in patients with schizophrenia, compared to HCs. These included blood glucose, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), total cholesterol, and triglyceride levels,\textsuperscript{25} glucose tolerance,\textsuperscript{23} C-peptide, and leptin concentrations.\textsuperscript{29} Only a single study reported effect sizes for higher levels in schizophrenia, which were medium to large in magnitude.\textsuperscript{23} Results of insulin concentration and resistance were mixed, with one study showing higher levels of insulin resistance in schizophrenia\textsuperscript{28} and another finding no difference.\textsuperscript{23} Levels of HbA1c, adiponectin, and desacyl ghrelin were not different from HCs.

**Age Relationships** Three articles did not find age to be significantly related to glucose concentration or tolerance,\textsuperscript{23,26} insulin concentration and resistance,\textsuperscript{26} leptin,\textsuperscript{26} C-peptide,\textsuperscript{26} or desacyl ghrelin.\textsuperscript{39} Additionally, in a large survey study,\textsuperscript{25} correlations between vascular risk indicators (eg, total cholesterol, LDL, triglycerides, and glucose) and age were significantly weaker in patients with psychosis than those in a national comparator sample.

**Association With Other Factors** None of these investigations examined association between metabolic factors and disease-related factors in schizophrenia.

**Receptor and Synaptic Function. Group Differences** Four studies of receptor function met the review criteria. Two articles investigated group differences. Nicotinic α7 acetylcholine receptor expression,\textsuperscript{54} which via the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway may modulate cytokine production,\textsuperscript{74} and plasma levels of D-serine\textsuperscript{75} were reduced in schizophrenia, the latter with a medium effect size.

**Age Relationships** Levels of mRNAs encoding for synaptic proteins in the left middle and superior temporal gyri were negatively related to age in schizophrenia; the magnitude of regression slopes was significantly different from HCs, in whom no age relationship was detected.\textsuperscript{58,62} Observed effect sizes were large, and findings provided strong evidence of faster-than-normal aging in schizophrenia. Neither D-serine\textsuperscript{27} nor any nicotinic subunit expression\textsuperscript{54} was correlated with age in schizophrenia.

**Association With Other Factors** None of the receptor/synaptic biomarkers was related to disease variables, including duration of illness or length of time off antipsychotic medications prior to death.\textsuperscript{27,54,58,62}

**Other Biomarkers** Two studies investigated the role of testosterone and BDNF. Stress and/or inflammation can alter the circulating levels of BDNF,\textsuperscript{75} and levels of BDNF decrease with impaired glucose metabolism,\textsuperscript{76} suggesting that it may be a pathogenetic factor involved in systemic age-related disorders, including diabetes and atherosclerosis.

**Group Differences** Free androgen index (FAI), an indicator of androgen activity, was significantly lower in individuals with psychosis, with a medium effect size.\textsuperscript{77} Brain levels of BDNF did not differ between schizophrenia and HCs,\textsuperscript{56} although other reviews suggest that BDNF is reduced in schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{78}

**Age Relationships** Age was negatively correlated with FAI in patients with psychosis, with a medium-to-large effect size, but not in HCs. A trend for a steady, linear decrease in prefrontal gray matter BDNF from age 20 to 80 years was observed in HCs, consistent with findings in normal aging.\textsuperscript{79} Among the patient group, however, there was a linear decline in younger patients (20–50 years) followed by a leveling off in older patients (50–80 years); statistically, the slopes were similar in the younger cohort but different in the older cohort. The investigators concluded that the observed patterns might be due to a healthy survivor bias and speculated that this finding suggests an accelerated relationship with age and that BDNF is a protective biomarker.
Association With Other Factors  Neither report examined associations between testosterone or BDNF and disease-related factors in schizophrenia.

Discussion

“Accelerated” biological aging is a term commonly used to describe the aging process in schizophrenia. While several lines of evidence provide a basis for this hypothesis (eg, increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality), these alone do not completely prove this postulate. Currently, existing research has inadequately tested this hypothesis; the major limitation is study design. Longitudinal studies are needed to characterize developmental trajectories across the lifespan and directly answer the question of accelerated aging. Unfortunately, the current literature on systemic biomarkers of aging contains only cross-sectional studies, which confound within- and between-subject sources of variation.60 Although the gold standard, longitudinal designs have drawbacks that have likely contributed to their dearth in the schizophrenia and aging literature, including large required sample sizes, costly time/resources necessary to follow participants, and participant attrition. Given the limitations of the existing literature, the term “anticipated” rather than “accelerated” aging may be appropriate. In the absence of longitudinal data to fully evaluate the accelerated aging hypothesis, we reviewed the available cross-sectional studies, with a critical eye and the caveat that they cannot entirely address the question at hand, in order to highlight trends and generate suggestions for future research.

With regard to our first aim, the cross-sectional data revealed strong evidence of abnormal physiological biomarkers in schizophrenia. A majority of studies (74%; n = 28 of 38) reported at least one comparison for which biomarker levels were abnormal in patients with schizophrenia compared to HCs. A total of 98 comparisons were performed across all investigations, and 66 of them were positive (67.3%). Effect sizes were reported in 13 studies, which indicated medium to large effects on average. This observation was seen across most biomarker types, including indices of inflammation, TL, oxidative stress, metabolic health, as well as gene expression, synaptic function, and testosterone, suggesting that schizophrenia is associated with aging-related biochemical abnormalities.

When we evaluated the relationship of biomarkers to age, only a minority of investigations (29%; n = 12 of 42) revealed a differential pattern of age-related decline in schizophrenia compared to HCs. Of the different biomarkers, the greatest proportion (50%) of studies demonstrating relationships with age in schizophrenia involved synaptic function and gene expression. These were followed in frequency by investigations of inflammation, oxidative stress, testosterone, and BDNF, respectively. Of note, the quality of the evidence for accelerated aging was strongest for studies focused on oxidative stress, gene expression, and synaptic function, as these studies more often statistically compared age trends between schizophrenia and HCs to suggest faster-than-normal decline in patients. There is growing evidence that oxidative stress plays an important role in the aging process,11,12 and age appears to be an important factor modifying antioxidant defense system in schizophrenia.41 Additionally, schizophrenia may anticipate the aging process as patients express a higher level of age-related genes throughout their lifespan and share common molecular signatures with normal aging subjects at earlier ages,60 and normal cortical development may be altered or delayed, with irregularities in programmed synaptic elimination in schizophrenia.58,62

Studies of TL and metabolic indices were least likely to show results consistent with a faster rate of aging in schizophrenia. None of these investigations revealed differential age-related decline in schizophrenia; in fact, studies in these categories showed the opposite finding—a decelerated trend in TL with age in schizophrenia42 and a stronger relationship between metabolic risk factors and age in HCs.52 Results among publications investigating markers of inflammation and oxidative stress were mixed, with 36% and 29% of reports, respectively, observing relationships between biological markers and age in schizophrenia.

Just as the observation of a cross-sectional correlation between biomarker level and age does not confirm the presence of accelerated aging in schizophrenia, its absence does not rule out the possibility. Potential reasons for null correlations are: (1) cohort effects (eg, younger patients may be more ill, less stable on medications), (2) sampling effects such as the “healthy survivor” bias,83 (3) nonlinear aging trajectories, especially after age 60, (4) narrow age ranges studied, and (5) slower progression compared to other classic aging disorders (eg, Alzheimer’s disease). Moreover, the lack of observed age relationships raises other issues, including (6) whether the lack of correlation disputes accelerated aging per se or suggests the particular biomarker’s nonrelevance to aging in schizophrenia, (7) whether the relationship to duration of illness is more important than to age, and (8) the possibility that subtypes of patients are at higher risk for accelerated aging.

In considering the results of this review, focused on biomarkers measured during adulthood, it is important to realize that there are several possible models of biological aging in schizophrenia (figure 2), distinguished by when and whether there is a differential rate of age-related change during different age ranges. If no differential age-related change is noted during a particular part of the lifespan, this does not mean that the rate of change has been the same or will continue to parallel the trajectory of healthy individuals. Similarly, if aging is found to be accelerated during a given period that does not imply that it was always so or will continue to accelerate.
An important question, not addressed by the studies in the current review, is: does the accelerated aging process begin before or after the onset of clinical symptoms of the disorder? For example, ongoing research on prodromal samples may be able to address the timing of alterations in the aging process.

The current biomarker literature suggests that aging is altered in schizophrenia, and the mechanisms are likely to be multifactorial. The observed aging patterns may be a result of the pathophysiology of schizophrenia or, given the oft-observed correlations with duration of illness, due to the wear and tear on the body from factors associated with the illness, such as medication effects, the stress of psychotic episodes, stress of lower functional status, weak social support, poor healthcare, childhood abuse/trauma, lower socioeconomic status, and lifestyle factors such as unhealthy diet, sedentary behavior, and heavy smoking. From the available literature, aging processes may begin early in the lifespan through abnormalities in genetic factors and altered synaptic function, which may then be exacerbated by inflammation and oxidative stress associated with illness-related factors.

Further exploration of aging patterns and their mechanisms is critical as they have important implications for treatment. Better understanding of inflection points when biomarker levels begin to decline (figure 2) may impact the timing and/or goal of intervention programs. For instance, if decline occurs around the time of disease onset (Point C), prevention efforts might be implemented during the prodromal period. Conversely, if decline begins from birth (Point B), interventions might be aimed at slowing the rate of decline. If individuals with schizophrenia are born with abnormalities in aging-related biomarkers (Point A), these biological factors would be important trait markers that can help identify at-risk individuals who may benefit most from preventative strategies to prevent further decline.

Additional limitations of the present review should be acknowledged. Studies in this area are weakened by a failure to match comparison groups on relevant variables that include, but are not limited to, gender, ethnicity, smoking, substance use, diet, body mass index, socioeconomic status, and stress. For instance, differences in gender ratios across groups may impact findings across investigations. Few studies matched groups on all of these variables (or at least a subset beyond age and gender) or considered antipsychotic exposure.

Moreover, none of the reports mentioned whether patients with late-onset schizophrenia were included in their samples so it is unclear if a different age relationship might be seen in such patients. Despite our best efforts and thorough literature search, it is possible that we may have failed to include some relevant papers. Also, as with any review, publication biases might have influenced a predominance of positive results. Relatedly, because this review focused on a subset of studies that specifically examined the relationship of biomarkers to age, studies that investigated differences in schizophrenia on these measures but did not report any age-related analyses were not included. Despite these limitations, this review offers a novel perspective on the existing literature and debate regarding aging in schizophrenia.

The clinical implications of accelerated biological aging are substantial. People with schizophrenia develop medical comorbidity at earlier ages and die younger. A focus on understanding the mechanisms of these changes, seeking to remediate or prevent decline, is needed. Biomarkers provide insights into candidate mechanisms that may underlie deleterious aging processes. Future studies to test the hypothesis of accelerated biological aging should include the following:

1. Longitudinal study designs with a wide range of baseline ages: Structured multi-cohort longitudinal designs are particularly well-suited to address this question. With cohort age spans that overlap, age cohort effects can be statistically tested against developmental effects.

2. Longer studies: Schizophrenia is unlike other disorders of aging (eg, Alzheimer’s disease) in which individuals decline rapidly after diagnosis. Trajectories are likely to be considerably slower in schizophrenia and may not be demonstrable with truncated longitudinal follow-up.
3. Larger sample sizes: Given considerable inter-individual variations in biomarker levels, large samples are needed to detect inter-individual variability.

4. Frequent assessments to detect inflection points.

5. Exploration of schizophrenia-specific covariates, such as age of onset, duration of illness, antipsychotic dose, lifetime medication burden, etc.

6. Emphasis on systemic markers of neuronally-relevant gene expression, synaptic function, and antioxidants, as these have the strongest evidence for differential age relationships in cross-sectional studies. An area of particular potential interest and importance is epigenetics, which change with increasing age and contribute to age-related disease.\textsuperscript{88} DNA methylation has been shown to be a systemic biomarker of biological age, and differences in methylation patterns between an individual’s estimated biological age and chronological age have been shown to be clinically meaningful in various populations.\textsuperscript{88,89} Only one study has addressed the accelerated biological aging hypothesis in schizophrenia using this approach.\textsuperscript{89} However, additional studies are needed.

In conclusion, the jury is still out on the hypothesis of accelerated biological aging in schizophrenia. There is some suggestion for accelerated aging but no clear demonstration. However, current findings in the areas of oxidative stress, gene expression, and synaptic function are promising and pave the way for future research. Confirmation of the hypothesis of accelerated biological aging and identification of relevant biomarkers could help identify high-risk patients and contribute to the development of potentially life-prolonging interventions.
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