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ABSTRACT

Two-photon confocal microscopy and back extraction with UV/Vis-absorption spectrophotometry quantify equilibrium partition coefficients, \( k_i \), for six prototypical drugs in five soft-contact-lens-material hydrogels over a range of water contents from 40 to 92%. Partition coefficients were obtained for acetazolamide, caffeine, hydrocortisone, Oregon Green 488, sodium fluorescein, and theophylline in 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate/methacrylic acid (HEMA/MAA, \( pK_a = 5.2 \)) copolymer hydrogels as functions of composition, aqueous pH (2 and 7.4), and salinity. At pH 2, the hydrogels are nonionic, whereas at pH 7.4, hydrogels are anionic due to MAA ionization. Solute adsorption on and nonspecific electrostatic interaction with the polymer matrix are pronounced. To express deviation from ideal partitioning, we define an enhancement or exclusion factor, \( E = k_i/\phi_h \), where \( \phi_h \) is hydrogel water volume fraction. All solutes exhibit \( E > 1 \) in 100 wt % HEMA hydrogels owing to strong specific adsorption to HEMA strands. For all solutes, \( E \) significantly decreases upon incorporation of anionic MAA into the hydrogel due to lack of adsorption onto charged MAA moieties. For dianionic sodium fluorescein and Oregon Green 488, and partially ionized monoanionic acetazolamide at pH 7.4, however, the decrease in \( E \) is more severe than that for similar-sized nonionic solutes. Conversely, at pH 2, \( E \) generally increases with addition of the nonionic MAA copolymer due to strong preferential adsorption to the uncharged carboxylic-acid group of MAA. For all cases, we quantitatively predict enhancement factors for the six drugs using only independently obtained parameters. In dilute solution for solute \( i \), \( E_i \) is conveniently expressed as a product of individual enhancement factors, for size exclusion (\( E_i^{\text{ex}} \)), electrostatic interaction (\( E_i^{\text{el}} \)), and specific adsorption (\( E_i^{\text{ad}} \)). To obtain the individual enhancement factors, we employ an extended Ogston mesh-size distribution for \( E_i^{\text{ex}} \); Donnan equilibrium for \( E_i^{\text{el}} \); and Henry’s law characterizing specific adsorption to the polymer chains for \( E_i^{\text{ad}} \). Predicted enhancement factors are in excellent agreement with experiment.

1. Introduction

Hydrogels are cross-linked polymeric networks that readily imbibe water and swell without dissolving [1–7]. Because of their soft consistency, high water content, and biocompatibility, hydrogels are used in numerous biomedical and pharmaceutical applications, including: drug delivery [8,9], bioseparations [10,11], and soft-contact lenses [12–14]. The effectiveness of these applications is dictated, in large part, by the solubilities of aqueous solutes in hydrogels. Accordingly, a key hydrogel characteristic is the equilibrium partition coefficient, \( k_i \), of a dilute solute i

\[
k_i \equiv C_i^{\text{gel}}/C_i^{\text{bulk}} \tag{1}
\]

where \( C_i^{\text{gel}} \) is the concentration of solute in the hydrogel per unit volume of swollen hydrogel and \( C_i^{\text{bulk}} \) is the corresponding solute concentration in the external aqueous solution equilibrated with the hydrogel. Eq. (1) strictly applies for reversible equilibrium solute partitioning. Further, the partition coefficient is independent of bulk aqueous solute concentration only in dilute solution where solute molecules do not interact with each other [1,2].

For point solutes that do not interact with the polymer network, \( k_i \) equals the hydrogel water volume fraction, \( \phi_h \). It is, therefore, useful to define an enhancement (or exclusion) factor \( E_i \) by

\[
E_i = k_i/\phi_h
\]
\[ E_i = k_i / \phi_1. \]  

For solutes that are partially rejected from the hydrogel, \( E_i < 1 \), whereas \( E_i > 1 \) occurs only for favorable solute interaction with the internal polymer network (e.g., through specific adsorption or ion binding). \( E_i = 1 \) corresponds either to ideal partitioning or to apparent ideal partitioning arising from compensation between exclusion and enhancement. \( E_i = 0 \) indicates a solute too large to penetrate the water-filled pockets of the hydrogel network. When the aqueous solution is dilute, it is reasonable to assume additivity of the separate free energies arising from different molecular contributions. Appendix A demonstrates that the resulting enhancement factor for solute \( i \) is the product of individual enhancement factors

\[ E_i \equiv E_i^{ex} E_i^{el} E_i^{ad} \]  

where \( E_i^{ex} \) designates hard-sphere size exclusion, \( E_i^{el} \) denotes nonspecific electrostatic interaction, and \( E_i^{ad} \) indicates specific solute adsorption on polymer strands. Thus, whether \( E_i \) is greater or less than unity depends on combinations of the various solute/hydrogel enhancement factors. \( E_i < 1 \) reflects partial rejection due to size exclusion (\( E_i^{ex} < 1 \)) and/or repulsive electrostatic interactions (\( E_i^{el} < 1 \)). For nonionic (\( E_i^{el} = 1 \)) or counterion (\( E_i^{el} > 1 \)) solutes, \( E_i < 1 \) arises solely due to size exclusion. Because large solutes access only a fraction of the water-filled space, \( E_i \) approaches zero as solute size increases [1]. If solutes complex specifically with the polymer chains (\( E_i^{ad} > 1 \)), \( E_i < 1 \) results from competition between severe size exclusion and favorable adsorption. For non-adsorbing solutes, however, \( E_i^{ad} = 1 \). Coion solutes (\( E_i^{ad} < 1 \)), exhibit \( E_i < 1 \) because of both size exclusion and electrostatic repulsion. In this case, as solute charge or hydrogel charge density increases, electrostatic repulsion increases (\( E_i^{el} > < 1 \)), and \( E_i \) tends towards zero.

For counterion solutes, \( E_i > 1 \) diagnoses favorable electrostatic interaction and possibly specific adsorption offsetting partial rejection from size exclusion. Similarly, for nonionic and coion solutes, \( E_i > 1 \) arises only when adsorption overcomes size exclusion and/or electrostatic repulsion. When solutes are large (relative to the average mesh size) or for strong electrostatic repulsion, \( E_i > 1 \) results only from strong complexation with polymer strands. Solutes may adsorb reversibly (\( E_i^{ad} > 1 \)) or irreversibly (\( E_i^{ad} > > 1 \)) on the interior hydrogel network, and in some cases, also adsorb to the hydrogel exterior surface [13]. Because of the wide variety of applications and because observed enhancement factors vary widely [1,5,7,12–31], significant effort has been expended toward obtaining solute equilibrium partition coefficients, often by back extraction [12,15,16,24,27] using UV/Vis-absorption spectrophotometry [18,22,24,25].

Published work falls primarily into three classes: (1) \( E_i < 1 \) solely due to size exclusion [1,5,7,12,13,20,21,23,28–30]; (2) \( E_i < 1 \) resulting from size exclusion and Donnan electrostatic repulsion [12,17–19]; and (3) \( E_i > 1 \) where solutes interact specifically with the polymer chains [2,13–18,22,24–27]. The first class consists of small nonionic solutes, such as small sugars and non-adsorbing drugs, and larger nonionic solutes, including polymers and proteins. Solutes in the second class are typically coions, both small (e.g., salts and fluorescent dyes) and large (e.g., proteins and polymeric surfactants). The third class includes counterion solutes (e.g., polymeric surfactants and proteins) and specifically adsorbing nonionic solutes, such as drugs and polymers. Most systems studied [1,2,5,7,12–18,20–30] fell into the first or third class. For systems where prediction of \( k_i \) is attempted, however, \( k_i > 1 \) nearly all fall into the first class (i.e., \( E_i < 1 \)) [1,5,7,17–21,23]. \( E_i > 1 \) is often exhibited by polymers, polymeric surfactants, and proteins in soft-contact-lens materials [1,2,13,16–20] and by ionic/nonionic drugs and vitamins in drug-delivery hydrogels [14,15,21–30]. Quantifying the effects of specific adsorption and nonspecific electrostatic interaction on equilibrium solute partitioning is critical for data interpretation.

This work reports experimental and theoretically predicted solute enhancement factors in hydrogels where specific adsorption is pronounced. Attention is given to hydrogels representative of soft-contact-lens materials that have relatively high polymer content and are sometimes partially ionic [1,2,12–14]. The hydrogels studied are copolymers of 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA) and anionic (for \( pH > 5.2 \)) methacrylic acid (MAA) over a large range of water content. We employ two-photon laser-scanning confocal microscopy and back extraction with UV/Vis spectrophotometry. Partition coefficients are obtained for small ionic and nonionic water-soluble drugs as functions of \( pH \), hydrogel composition, and aqueous salinity. Solute sizes are determined from independent measurement of bulk aqueous diffusion coefficients in a restricted diffusion cell and Stokes–Einstein theory. Enhancement factors are predicted for six solutes (acetazolamide, caffeine, hydrocortisone, Oregon Green 488, sodium fluorescein, and theophylline) in five different water-content hydrogels accounting for hard-sphere size exclusion, Donnan electrostatic repulsion, and specific adsorption. Predictions are based on independently measured parameters, and not on correlation of the experimental partition coefficient values.
respectively. Initial loading concentrations for sodium solutions (i.e., volume ratio of solution to hydrogel was 250) at pH 7.4 and 2, 2.4. Solute loading because hydration of hydrophilic uncharged MAA moieties overcomes interchain bonding between uncharged MAA and HEMA monomers[33]. Further addition of containing 1 and 100 wt % MAA, respectively. At pH 2 (HCl), addition of MAA initially groups swells the MAA-containing hydrogels from 55 to 90% water, for gels con-

desorb even after 1 month of release. To quantify the amount of irreversibly adsorbed sodium fluorescein and Oregon Green 488 at pH 2, partition coefficients measured by fluorescence confocal microscopy in the loading direction were compared to those measured in release direction by back extraction. For 30 wt % MAA copolymer, where irreversible adsorption is most prevalent, $k_i$ measured by back extraction was 35% lower, suggesting a maximum of 35% irreversible adsorption after 1 d of continued extraction. Partition coefficients reported here for sodium fluorescein and Oregon Green 488 are those obtained in the uptake direction.

2.6. Back extraction with UV/Vis-absorption spectrophotometry

Theophylline, caffeine, acetazolamide, and hydrocortisone equilibrium partition coefficients were obtained using back extraction or desorption[12,15,16,24,27] with UV/Vis-absorption spectrophotometry[18,22,24,25]. Solute–equilibrated hydrogels were removed from the loading solution, lightly blotted with Fisherbrand® weighing paper (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA), and weighed ($m_{	ext{wet}}$). Hydrogels were then placed in an oven at 70 °C overnight and ambient-temperature dry-hydrogel mass ($m_{	ext{dry}}$) was used to calculate water content by

$$\phi_1 = \frac{\rho_{\text{wet}}}{\rho_1} \left[ \frac{m_{\text{wet}} - m_{\text{dry}}}{m_{\text{wet}}} \right]. \quad (4)$$

where $\rho$ is mass density and subscripts 1, wet, and dry denote water, swollen hydrogel, and dry hydrogel, respectively. Since $\phi_1 = \rho_{\text{wet}} / (\rho_{\text{wet}} \pm 5\%)$, $\phi_1$ in Eq. (4) is approximately weight fraction. Table 1 reports measured $\phi_1$ for the HEMA/MAA hydrogels equilibrated in either PBS (pH 7.4) or HCl (pH 2), where hydrogel composition varied from 0 to 100 wt % MAA. Each water-content measurement was repeated at least three times. At pH 7.4 (PBS), hydration of charged carboxylic groups swells the MAA-containing hydrogels from 55 to 90% water, for gels containing 1 and 100 wt % MAA, respectively. At pH 2 (HCl), addition of MAA initially (1–50 wt %) results in hydrogel deswelling likely due to interstrand hydrogen bonding between uncharged MAA and HEMA monomers [33]. Further addition of MAA (beyond 50 wt %), however, significantly increases hydrogel water content, because hydration of hydrophilic uncharged MAA moieties overcomes interchain hydrogen bonding.

2.4. Solute loading

Swollen hydrogels were soaked for a minimum of 2 d in 50 and 20–mL solute solutions (i.e., volume ratio of solution to hydrogel was 250) at pH 7.4 and 2, respectively. Initial loading concentrations for sodium fluorescein and Oregon Green 488 were $1 \times 10^{-3}$ M and $1 \times 10^{-2}$ M in PBS and HCl solutions, respectively. Initial loading concentrations for theophylline and caffeine, acetazolamide, and hydrocortisone were $6 \times 10^{-3}$ M, $2 \times 10^{-3}$ M, and $2 \times 10^{-4}$ M, respectively, in both PBS and HCl. In this concentration range, $\phi_1$ was unaffected by solute loading. To confirm that the hydrogels were fully loaded, solute uptake time was varied from 2 to 7 d, resulting in less than 4% change in solute partition coefficients.

To complement back-extraction data, sodium fluorescein and Oregon Green 488 equilibrium partition coefficients were also obtained using two-photon fluorescence confocal microscopy following Kotsmar et al. [11]. A Carl Zeiss 510 LSM META NLO AxioImager confocal microscope (Jena, Germany) equipped with a Spectra-Physics MaiTai HP DeepSee Laser (Santa Clara, CA) was used for imaging at 780 nm. Fluorescence emission was detected through a Plan-Neofluar 10x/0.30 NA objective (Carl Zeiss GmbH) using a 500–550 nm emission filter.

Prior to the partition-coefficient measurement, swollen hydrogels were soaked in the pertinent aqueous solute-containing solution under magnetic stirring for at least 2 d at 400 rpm. Subsequently, a 1-mm thick layer of the aqueous solution in a small Petri dish was placed on the microscope platform and scanned in the vertical (z) direction at 5-µm intervals to a depth of at least 250 µm. Afterward, a solute-equilibrated 4 mm × 4 mm, 250-µm thick hydrogel was placed on a microscope slide (48300-047, VWR International, West Chester, PA, USA), covered (coverslip, 12-541-B, Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, New Jersey, USA), and placed on the microscope for scanning in the z-direction at the same laser power and detector settings as were during scanning of the bulk-aqueous solute solution. During each experiment, background fluorescence intensity was recorded and subtracted from solution and hydrogel signals. To test for reversibility, hydrogel samples were placed in solute-free solvent following equilibration. Loading concentration was varied over a factor of 10 with no change in the measured partition coefficient.

Fig. 1 displays typical fluorescence-confocal-microscopy images of sodium fluorescein in 0, 1, 10, 30, and 100 wt % MAA hydrogels at pH 7.4. The top half of each micrograph corresponds to the equilibrated aqueous solution, whereas the bottom half corresponds to the first 50 µm of the hydrogel. The scale bar represents 10 µm in the vertical direction.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrogel composition (HEMA:MAA)</th>
<th>$\phi_1$ (in PBS)</th>
<th>$\phi_1$ (in HCl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100:0</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99:1</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90:10</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70:30</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:100</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Fluorescence-confocal-microscopy images of sodium fluorescein in 0, 1, 10, 30, and 100 wt % MAA hydrogels at pH 7.4. The top half of each micrograph corresponds to the equilibrated aqueous solution, whereas the bottom half corresponds to the first 50 µm of the hydrogel. The scale bar represents 10 µm in the vertical direction.
to-hydrogel volume \((V/V)\) ranged from 20 to 2000, and were adjusted to provide precise calculation of \(k_i\). Further increase of \(V/V\) resulted in no significant change in \(k_i\). To confirm constant dilute-solution partition coefficients, \(k_i\), initial-solute-loading concentrations were increased and decreased by a factor of 4 resulting in less than a 10% difference.

To evaluate reversible adsorption for these four solutes, each hydrogel was loaded in aqueous HCl (pH 2) where solute adsorption is significant. The gels were then back extracted into aqueous PBS (pH 7.4) where solute adsorption is minimal. In all cases, \(k_i\) obtained by release into aqueous PBS agreed to within 5% of those obtained by back extraction into aqueous HCl (pH 2). It is thus reasonable to assert that theophylline, caffeine, acetazolamide, and hydrocortisone exhibit reversible uptake in all hydrogels and solution pH values studied here.

### 2.7. Solute size

To obtain the hydrodynamic radius of solute \(i, a_i\), we first determined the corresponding dilute-aqueous bulk diffusion coefficient in a restricted diffusion cell \([34]\) using UV/Vis absorption following Kotsmar et al. \([1]\). From the measured bulk diffusion coefficients, \(a_i\) was calculated from the Stokes–Einstein equation \([12,34]\). Solute concentrations were \(4 \times 10^{-4}\) \(M\) in the range where light absorbance is linear with concentration. Bulk diffusion coefficients, \(D_0\), were obtained from the constant slope of absorbity versus time at later times \([12,34]\). Table 2 reports measured bulk aqueous diffusion coefficients and calculated Stokes–Einstein hydrodynamic radii, compared to available literature values. Agreement between literature and measurement is excellent.

### Table 2

Solute properties in aqueous PBS/HCl \(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solute</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>(pK_a)</th>
<th>(D_0 \times 10^6) (cm(^2)/s)</th>
<th>(a_i) (nm)(^b)</th>
<th>(a_i) (nm) lit.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetazolamide</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Acetazolamide" /></td>
<td>7.2, 8.8 ([32])</td>
<td>6.1/4.8</td>
<td>0.41/0.50</td>
<td>0.40 ([41,42])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeine</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Caffeine" /></td>
<td>14.0 ([38])</td>
<td>6.7/6.7</td>
<td>0.36/0.36</td>
<td>0.46 ([42])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocortisone</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hydrocortisone" /></td>
<td>12.8 ([39])</td>
<td>4.7/4.7</td>
<td>0.52/0.52</td>
<td>0.51 ([42,43])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Green 488</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Oregon Green 488" /></td>
<td>4.5, 4.8 ([31])</td>
<td>4.0/3.9</td>
<td>0.62/0.54</td>
<td>0.60 ([44])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium fluorescein</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Sodium fluorescein" /></td>
<td>4.5, 6.5 ([31])</td>
<td>4.0/3.9</td>
<td>0.62/0.56</td>
<td>0.58 ([44])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theophylline</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Theophylline" /></td>
<td>8.6 ([40])</td>
<td>6.7/6.7</td>
<td>0.37/0.37</td>
<td>0.38 ([24])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Table entries separated by a diagonal correspond to measurement in aqueous PBS (pH 7.4) or in aqueous HCl (pH 2).

\(^b\) Calculated from the Stokes–Einstein equation and measured aqueous diffusion coefficients.

3. Experimental results

Table 3 reports measured enhancement factors, \(E_i = k_i/\phi_1\), for acetazolamide, caffeine, hydrocortisone, Oregon Green 488, sodium fluorescein, and theophylline in HEMA/MAA hydrogels equilibrated in either PBS (pH 7.4) or HCl (pH 2) solutions. Hydrogel composition varies from 0 to 100 wt % MAA. At pH 7.4, all solutes exhibit \(E_i > 1\) in 0 wt % MAA hydrogels (i.e., 100 wt % HEMA) owing to strong specific adsorption to the HEMA matrix, most significantly hydrocortisone. Conversely, for the nonionic solutes at pH 7.4 in 100 wt % poly-electrolyte MAA, near-unity enhancement factors suggest no specific adsorption to anionic MAA. As a result, \(E_i\) significantly decreases with addition of solute-non-interacting anionic MAA and with a corresponding decrease in the amount of specifically interacting HEMA copolymer.

Table 3 likewise reports solute enhancement factors in the HEMA/MAA hydrogels equilibrated in HCl (pH 2) solutions. All solutes and all hydrogels are neutral at pH 2; \(E_i\) is significantly greater than unity revealing strong specific adsorption to both HEMA and uncharged MAA polymers. The increase in \(E_i\) with addition of neutral MAA to the hydrogel (despite similar water
contents from 0 to 30 wt % MAA) indicates preferential adsorption on the uncharged MAA copolymer compared to that on the HEMA copolymer for all solutes. Accordingly, solute enhancement factors are greater in MAA-containing hydrogels equilibrated at pH 2 relative to those equilibrated at pH 7.4. At pH 2, all enhancement factors for 100 wt % MAA (pKa = 4.5, 4.8 [31]) in 100 wt % MAA (pKa = 5.2 [30,35]) at pH 7.4 are a factor of six lower than those of the similar-sized neutral solutes. Thus, in addition to diminished specific solute interaction with the ionized MAA copolymer compared to neutral MAA groups, polymer-matrix charge density apparently plays a significant role in determining uptake of ionized solutes [35]. To investigate the possible effect of non-specific electrostatic interaction on $E_i$, the ionic strength of the phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.4) was varied by adding NaCl to yield concentrations ranging from 0.02 to 1 M. Fig. 2 shows $E_i$ versus $\phi_1$ on a semi-logarithmic scale for sodium fluorescein in the HEMA/MAA hydrogels with 0.02 M, 0.15 M (open triangles), 0.15 M (filled circles), and 1 M added aqueous NaCl (open squares). Lines in this figure correspond to theory described later. Despite a background-electrolyte Debye length of approximately 0.5 nm in PBS solution [1,2], we conclude that the significant rejection of dianionic sodium fluorescein reported in Table 3 for pH 7.4 results from electrostatic repulsion from anionic MAA groups. Added NaCl in Fig. 2 increases the enhancement factor of anionic sodium fluorescein because of increased screening of the negatively charged MAA copolymer.

### 4. Theory

Table 3 reports enhancement factors ranging from 0.1 to over 400 with substantial contributions from size exclusion, non-specific electrostatic repulsion, and specific adsorption. At the dilute solute concentrations studied here, the adsorbing solutes follow Henry’s law for uptake on the polymer chains [1]. Because solute concentrations are orders-of-magnitude smaller than that of the background electrolyte, there is no need to account for adsorption of the ionized-solute counterions.

Appendix A demonstrates that

$$E_i = \frac{k_i}{\phi_1} = E_i^\text{ex} E_i^\text{el} \left( 1 + \sum_j K_j \phi_j / \phi_1 \right) = E_i^\text{ex} E_i^\text{el} E_i^\text{ad},$$  

(6)

where $\phi_j$ is the volume fraction of polymer component $j$, i.e., $\phi_d = 1 - \phi_1 = \sum \phi_j$. The bracketed term in Eq. (6) represents the adsorption enhancement factor. $E_i^\text{ad} = 1 + \sum_j K_j \phi_j / \phi_1$, Eq. (6) assumes that, at large dilution, each adsorbing solute does so independently on each copolymer of the hydrogel. With no specific adsorption ($K_j = 0$), $E_i$ reduces to that of size exclusion and electrostatic interaction. $K_{ij}$ in Eq. (6) are unknown constants that are obtained here from independent experiment. Adsorption on the external surface of the hydrogel is not included because the area of external-surface polymer strands is minuscule compared to that of the internal chains.

The size-exclusion enhancement factor in Eq. (6), $E_i^\text{ex}$, follows from an extended Ogston mesh-size distribution [1,2,3,6,36]

$$E_i^\text{ex} = \exp \left\{ -4(1 - \phi_1) \left[ \frac{a_{ij}}{a_i} + 1 + \frac{a_{ij}}{a_i} \right] \right\}.$$  

(7)

where $a_i$ and $a_j$ are solute and strand-fiber radii, respectively. Table 2 reports measured $a_i$ for the six solutes studied. Following Kotsmar et al. [1], we take $a_i = 2$ nm, typical for HEMA/MAA hydrogels. For non-adsorbing, uncharged point solutes, Eqs. (6) and (7) correctly reduce to ideal partitioning (i.e., to $k_i = \phi_1$). As $a_i$ increases, however, $E_i^\text{ex}$ tends towards zero, because large solutes can access only a portion of the water-filled spaces in the hydrogel [1,2].

As outlined in Appendix A, the electrostatic enhancement factor for ionized solutes in Eq. (6), $E_i^\text{el}$, follows from equality of solute chemical potential in the hydrogel liquid-filled voids and that in the bulk aqueous solution including the electrostatic potentials of the two phases (i.e., Donnan theory [37])

$$E_i^\text{el} = \exp \left\{ - z_i F \psi / kT \right\}.$$  

(8)

where $z_i$ is the valence of solute $i$, $F$ is Faraday’s constant, and $\psi$ is the Donnan electric potential difference between the hydrogel and

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrogel composition (HEMA:MAA)</th>
<th>Acetazolamide</th>
<th>Caffeine</th>
<th>Theophylline</th>
<th>Hydrocortisone</th>
<th>Oregon green 488 &amp; sodium fluorescein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100:0</td>
<td>5.8±1.11</td>
<td>6.5±6.5</td>
<td>6.5±7.0</td>
<td>53.5±51.5</td>
<td>5.5±258.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99:1</td>
<td>3.8±1.11</td>
<td>4.3±7.2</td>
<td>4.5±7.4</td>
<td>33.6±87.2</td>
<td>3.2±350.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90:10</td>
<td>1.7±13.1</td>
<td>2.1±10.7</td>
<td>2.4±11.0</td>
<td>12.9±103.5</td>
<td>0.9±385.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70:30</td>
<td>1.2±10.4</td>
<td>1.6±12.6</td>
<td>1.5±11.3</td>
<td>9.5±97.9</td>
<td>0.3±381.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60:40</td>
<td>0.6±2.5</td>
<td>0.7±9.2</td>
<td>0.8±9.2</td>
<td>1.0±108.5</td>
<td>0.1±187.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Partition coefficients may be obtained by multiplying table entries by the corresponding water volume fractions listed in Table 1.

Table entries separated by a diagonal correspond to solute enhancement factors measured in aqueous PBS (pH 7.4) or aqueous HCl (pH 2).
the bulk aqueous solution [37]. Eq. (8) predicts $E_i^d$ once the unknown potential difference $\psi$ is specified.

Because the concentration of solutes is dilute compared to that of the background electrolyte, $\psi$ is set by the aqueous electrolyte ionic strength and pH, and the polyelectrolyte charge density. The indifferent electrolyte is assumed to be completely dissociated NaCl. As outlined in Appendix B, electroneutrality and phase equilibria for Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ ions provide an analytical expression for $\psi$.

$$F \psi / RT = \ln \left[ \sqrt{\frac{C_{\text{MAA}}^{\text{gel}}}{C_{\text{NaCl}}^{\text{bulk}}}} / \frac{C_{\text{MAA}}}{C_{\text{NaCl}}^{\text{bulk}}} \right] \alpha + \alpha^2 - \alpha,$$

(9)

where $\alpha = \frac{C_{\text{MAA}}^{\text{gel}}}{(2C_{\text{NaCl}}^{\text{bulk}} \frac{C_{\text{NaCl}}^{\text{bulk}}}}{C_{\text{MAA}}^{\text{MAS}}}$. is the molar concentration of charged MAA per total swollen hydrogel volume, $F$ is Faraday’s constant, $R$ is the gas constant, and $T$ is absolute temperature. In Eq. (9), $C_{\text{NaCl}}^{\text{bulk}}$ is taken as the sum of the buffer (assumed a 1:1 electrolyte) and added NaCl concentrations in the bulk aqueous solution. $C_{\text{MAA}}^{\text{gel}}$ is related to the MAA copolymer weight fraction during synthesis, $w_{\text{MAA}}$, by $C_{\text{MAA}}^{\text{gel}} = w_{\text{MAA}}f_i (1 - \phi_i) \rho_{\text{dry}} / M_{\text{MAA}}$, where $M_{\text{MAA}}$ is MAA monomer molecular weight (86.1 g/mol [11]), $\rho_{\text{dry}}$ is the mass density of dry polymer, and $f_i$ is the degree of ionization given by $f_i = 10^{-p\text{Ki}} - (1 - 10^{-p\text{Ki}})$ [30]. The Donnan-based electrostatic enhancement factor does not account for specific ion binding of the solute (or background electrolyte) to the polymer strands.

Henry’s adsorption constant for specifically adsorbed solute $i$ on polymer component $j$, $K_{ij}$, includes all specific interactions with the polymer matrix. It is undetermined in Eqs. 6–9. Here subscript $j$ denotes HEMA, anionic MAA (MAA at pH 7.4), or nonionic MAA (MAA at pH 2). To obtain $K_{\text{HEMA},i}, K_{\text{MAA},i}$, and $K_{\text{MAA},i}$, Eqs. 6–9 are fit to measured solute partition coefficients in 100 wt % HEMA, 100 wt % ionized MAA, and 100 wt % unionized MAA hydrogels. Obtained values are listed in Table 4. As expected, none of the studied solutes adsorb onto the charged MAA polymer while adsorption on neutral MAA groups is larger than that on HEMA groups. Additionally, adsorption of the ionized forms of the solutes (pH 7.4) on HEMA is less than that of the corresponding neutral forms (pH 2).

5. Discussion

At pH 7.4, all solutes in Table 3 exhibit $E_i > 1$ for 0 wt % MAA hydrogels (i.e., 100 wt % HEMA) arising from strong specific adsorption to aqueous HEMA strands ($K_{\text{HEMA},i} > 0$ in Table 4). Except for hydrocortisone, Henry’s adsorption constants for all solutes in Table 4 are similar in value (i.e., 6.5 < $K_{\text{HEMA},i}$ < 9.2) due to analogous hydrogen bonding between the solutes and the HEMA hydroxyl groups. For hydrocortisone, however, stronger adsorption to HEMA ($K_{\text{HEMA},i} = 83$) originates from a larger number of hydrogen-bond donors compared to the five other solutes. Conversely, at pH 7.4, the solutes display $E_i \sim 1$ in 100 wt % MAA–resulting from lack of solute adsorption to anionic MAA moieties (i.e., $K_{\text{MAA},i} = 0$).

At pH 2, however, all solutes exhibit $E_i > 1$ indicating strong specific adsorption to both HEMA and uncharged MAA copolymers ($K_{\text{HEMA},i} > 0$ and $K_{\text{MAA},i} > 0$ in Table 4). For nearly all solutes, $K_{\text{MAA},i} > K_{\text{HEMA},i}$ consistent with the lower $p\text{Ki}$ of a carboxylic acid (MAA) compared to that of an alcohol (HEMA) [30]. For those solutes in Table 2 with $p\text{Ki} < 7.4$ (acetazolamide, sodium fluorescein, and Oregon Green 488), $K_{\text{HEMA},i}$ is larger when the solutes are neutral compared to their ionized states. $K_{\text{HEMA},i}$ and $K_{\text{MAA},i}$ for uncharged sodium fluorescein and Oregon Green 488 are an order of magnitude larger than those for the other solutes, commensurate with the observation of partial irreversibility [2].

With Henry’s adsorption constants specified, Eqs. 6–9 predict $E_i$ as a function of hydrogen ionic strength, aqueous pH, and salinity.
rather than $\phi_1$, because addition of MAA at pH 2 yields a non-monotonic increase in $\phi_1$ (see Table 1). Lines are drawn from Eqs. 6–9 with no adjustable parameters. The uncharged-MAA volume fraction is $\phi_{w,MAA} = w_{MAA}(1 - \phi_1)$. All solutes exhibit moderate Henry’s adsorption constants in both 100 wt % HEMA and 100 wt % MAA at pH 2 (Table 4). Consequently, $E_{ad,MAA} > 1$. Despite the very large loading partition coefficients of neutral sodium fluorescein and Oregon Green 488 and their partial irreversible adsorption, agreement between theory and experiment is excellent for all solutes.

The six studied solutes are neutral at pH 2; therefore, $E_i$ is determined by a balance between size exclusion ($E_{ex} < 1$) and specific adsorption ($E_{ad} > 1$). Lines in Fig. 7 predict $E_{ad}$ (dashed), $E_{ex}$ (dotted), $E_{fl}$ (dash-dotted), and $E_i$ (solid) for neutral sodium fluorescein as a function of MAA-copolymer content for HEMA/MAA hydrogels equilibrated in aqueous HCl (pH 2). In 100 wt % HEMA (i.e., 0 wt % MAA) at pH 2, $E_{ad} > 1$ arises from significant specific adsorption offsetting rejection due to size exclusion (i.e., $E_{fl} >> E_{ex}$ in Fig. 7). Thus in Fig. 7 (and Fig. 6), $E_i$ initially rises with incorporation of uncharged MAA into the hydrogel (corresponding to decreasing $\phi_1$ in Table 1) due to an increase in $E_{ad}$ that follows from an increase in the total polymer volume fraction $(1 - \phi_1)$. $E_i$ decreases with further addition of uncharged MAA (corresponding to increasing $\phi_1$). The increased specific adsorption of solutes with increasing MAA content in Fig. 6 is offset by the overall increase in water content, similar to the decrease seen with MAA addition at pH 7.4. A slight maximum appears near 30 wt % MAA content.

The proposed model well predicts partitioning of drugs in copolymer hydrogels as a function of hydrogel composition, and aqueous pH and salinity. Theory assumes that in dilute solution the free energy of a dilute-solute-equilibrated hydrogel is additive in potential-of-mean-force molecular contributions or equivalently: $E_i = E_{ad} E_{ex}$. Several physical parameters are necessary to quantify the various individual enhancement factors. To establish $E_{ad}$ in Eq. (7), required parameters are the hydrogel water content, $\phi_w$, solute hydrodynamic radius, $a_s$, and fiber radius, $a_f$. As discussed elsewhere [1,2], $\phi_w$, $a_{sf}$, and $a_f$ are conveniently obtained gravimetrically, from dilute bulk diffusion coefficients, and from oscillatory linear shear rheology, respectively. To obtain the Donnan electric potential needed in Eq. (9), and, therefore, $E_{ex}$ in Eq. (8), required hydrogel properties are the concentration of charged MAA carboxylate groups, $c_{MAA}^{MAA}$, calculated from the MAA-copolymer weight fraction during synthesis and the degree of ionization, $f_i$. Finally, $E_{fl}$ in Eq. (6) is established from $\phi_w$, $c_{MAA}^{MAA}$, and the Henry’s adsorption constants, $K_{fi,fl}$, is obtained from fits of Eqs. 6–9 to measured solute partition coefficients in the corresponding homopolymer hydrogels. A more complete predictive theory demands a priori prediction of $K_{fi,fl}$ and $\phi_1$. I

6. Conclusions

We report measured and predicted equilibrium partition coefficients for six prototypical drugs in five soft-contact-lens...
material hydrogels over a range of water contents. Partition coefficients were obtained using two-photon confocal microscopy and back extraction with UV/Vis-absorption spectrophotometry for acetazolamide, caffeine, hydrocortisone, Oregon Green 488, sodium fluorescein, and theophylline in HEMA/MAA (pKa \( \approx 5.2 \)) copolymer hydrogels as functions of composition, aqueous pH (2 and 7.4), and salinity. Size exclusion, specific adsorption, and nonspecific electrostatic interaction control solute partitioning. To express deviation from ideal partitioning, we define an enhancement (or exclusion) factor, \( E_i = k_i / \phi_1 \), where \( \phi_1 \) is hydrogel water volume fraction [1]. At pH 7.4, all solutes exhibit \( E_i > 1 \) in 100 wt % HEMA hydrogels owing to strong specific adsorption to HEMA strands. As a result, \( E_i \) significantly decreases with addition of anionic MAA to the hydrogel due to non-interaction with the charged MAA. \( E_i \) for anionic sodium fluorescein, Oregon Green 488, and acetazolamide at pH 7.4, however, decreases more than those for similar-sized nonionic solutes. For divalent anionic sodium fluorescein, \( E_i \) increases significantly with rising NaCl concentration in phosphate buffer (from 0.15 to 1.0 m) due to screening of the dissociated carboxylic groups on the MAA copolymer. By assuming that the free energy of a solute-equilibrated hydrogel is additive in molecular contributions, we express the enhancement factor as a product of individual enhancement factors for size-exclusion (\( E_{\text{ex}}^{\text{gel}} \)), nonspecific electrostatic interaction (\( E_{\text{ex}}^{\text{f}} \)), and specific adsorption (\( E_{\text{ads}}^{\text{gel}} \)) leading to \( E_i = E_{\text{ex}}^{\text{gel}} E_{\text{ex}}^{\text{f}} E_{\text{ads}}^{\text{gel}} \). To obtain the individual enhancement factors, we employ an extended Ogston mesh-size distribution for \( E_{\text{ex}}^{\text{gel}} \), Donnan equilibrium for \( E_{\text{ex}}^{\text{f}} \), and Henry’s law characterizing specific adsorption to the polymer chains for \( E_{\text{ads}}^{\text{gel}} \). In all cases, predicted enhancement factors demonstrate excellent agreement with experiment.

### Nomenclature

- \( a_0 \) hydrodynamic radius of solute \( i \) (nm)
- \( a_f \) strand-fiber radius (nm)
- \( C_i \) molar concentration of solute \( i \) (mol/L)
- \( C_{eq} \) equilibrium loading-solution concentration (mol/L)
- \( C_{\text{MAA}}^{\text{gel}} \) concentration of charged MAA per total swollen hydrogel volume (mol/L)
- \( C_{\text{buffer}}^{\text{NaCl}} + C_{\text{added NaCl}} \) sum of the buffer and added NaCl concentrations in the bulk aqueous solution (mol/L)
- \( D_{\text{aq}} \) bulk aqueous diffusion coefficient of solute (cm²/s)
- \( E_i \) enhancement (or exclusion) factor
- \( f_i \) degree of ionization
- \( F \) Faraday’s constant (C/mol)
- \( k_i \) equilibrium partition coefficient
- \( K_i \) acid dissociation constant
- \( K_{ij} \) Henry’s constant for adsorption of solute \( i \) on copolymer strands \( j \)
- \( m \) mass (mg)
- \( M_{\text{MAA}} \) MAA monomer molecular weight (g/mol)
- \( n_i \) total solute adsorption density on the polymer matrix (moles of solute per volume of swollen polymer) (mol/L)
- \( R \) ideal gas constant (J/mol/K)
- \( T \) temperature (K)
- \( V \) back-extraction-solution volume (mL)
- \( \omega_{\text{MAA}} \) MAA copolymer weight fraction in synthesis
- \( z_i \) valence of solute \( i \)

### Greek letters

- \( \alpha \)
- \( C_{\text{MAA}}^{\text{gel}} / (2C_{\text{buffer}}^{\text{NaCl}} + C_{\text{added NaCl}}) \phi_1 \) in Eq. (9)
- \( \phi \) volume fraction (based on volume of swollen hydrogel)
- \( \mu_i \) chemical potential of solute \( i \) (J/mol)
- \( \Delta \mu_i^{\text{gel}} \) increment of ideal-dilute solute chemical potential in a polyelectrolyte hydrogel due to solute charge (J/mol)
- \( \Delta \mu_i^{\text{gel}} \) increment of ideal-dilute solute chemical potential in a hydrogel due to finite size (J/mol)
- \( \rho_{\text{dry}} \) mass density of dry polymer (g/cm³)
- \( \psi \) Donnan electric potential (V)

### Subscripts

- 1 water
- 2 polymer
- \( i \) solute
- \( j \) copolymer component

---

**Fig. 6.** Measured solute enhancement factors as functions of MAA copolymer content for hydrocortisone (filled diamonds), sodium fluorescein (open circles), Oregon Green 488 (filled triangles), caffeine (open squares), theophylline (filled circles), and acetazolamide (open diamonds) in HEMA/MAA hydrogels equilibrated in aqueous HCl (pH 2). Semi-logarithmic lines are drawn according to theory. Solid and dashed theory lines correspond to filled and open symbols, respectively. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

**Fig. 7.** Sodium-fluorescein enhancement factor as a function of MAA copolymer content in HEMA/MAA hydrogels equilibrated in aqueous HCl (pH 2). Semi-logarithmic lines are drawn according to theory. Solid, dashed, dotted, and dash-dotted lines correspond to \( E_i, E_{\text{ex}}^{\text{f}}, E_{\text{ads}}^{\text{gel}}, \) and \( E_{\text{ex}}^{\text{gel}} \). Symbols denote measured \( E_i \) in Table 3.
Consider a dilute aqueous weak-electrolyte solute of molar concentration, $C_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{gel}}$, equilibrated with a copolymer hydrogel at solute concentration, $C_{\text{gel}}^{\text{gel}}$, giving a partition coefficient $k_i = C_{\text{gel}}^{\text{gel}}/C_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{gel}}$. Solute i resides in the liquid-filled spaces of the hydrogel and adsorbs onto the internal polymer strands so that \[ f_i = C_{\text{gel}}^{\text{gel}} + f_{i\text{ads}} n_i, \] (A1)

where $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2 = 1 - \phi_1$ are the volume fractions of the liquid and polymer in the hydrogel, respectively, $C_i^L$ is the liquid-space molar concentration (moles per liquid volume), and $n_i$ is the total solute adsorption density on the polymer matrix (moles per polymer volume). Phase equilibrium demands that the solute chemical potential in the bulk aqueous phase equals that in the liquid-inertics of the hydrogel which, in turn, equals that of the solute adsorbed on the polymer matrix \[ \mu_i^{\text{bulk}} = \mu_i^L = \mu_i^{\text{ad}}, \] (A2)

When a weak-electrolyte solute is ionized, chemical potentials in Eq. (A2) are replaced by electrochemical potentials [45].

The second expression in Eq. (A2) is evaluated by Henry’s law for dilute-solute adsorption \[ \mu_i^L + RT \ln C_i^L = n_i^{\text{ad}} + RT \ln n_i, \] (A3)

where $n_j$ is the adsorption density of solute i on copolymer j (i.e., moles of i per swelled volume of copolymer j), $\mu_i^j$ is the ideal dilute-solution standard-state chemical potential for uncharged, point particles i at unit concentration, and $\mu_i^{\text{ad}}$ is the standard-state chemical potential for ideal adsorbed solute i at unit adsorption density on copolymer strands j. Henry’s law for solute adsorption is rewritten from Eq. (A3) as \[ \phi_2 n_i = \sum_j n_j \phi_{2j} = C_i^{\text{gel}} \sum_j K_{ij} \phi_{2j}, \] (A4)

where $\phi_{2j}$ is the volume fraction of copolymer j. Individual copolymer Henry’s adsorption constants for solute i are defined by $K_{ij} = \exp[-(\mu_i^{\text{ad}} - \mu_i^j)/RT]$.

The concentration of equilibrated solute in the liquid-filled spaces of the hydrogel, $C_i^L$, follows from the first equality of Eq. (A3) \[ \mu_i^L + RT \ln C_i^{\text{bulk}} = \mu_i^L + \Delta \mu_i^{\text{ex}} + \Delta \mu_i^{\text{el}} + RT \ln C_i^L, \] (A5)

where $\Delta \mu_i^{\text{ex}}$ is the increment of ideal-dilute solute chemical potential in the hydrogel due to finite size, and $\Delta \mu_i^{\text{el}}$ is the increment of ideal-dilute solute chemical potential in a polyelectrolyte hydrogel due to solute charge. Similar to Eq. (A3), Eq. (A5) is rewritten as \[ C_i^L = E_i^{\text{ex}} E_i^{\text{el}} C_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{gel}}, \] (A6)

where $E_i^{\text{ex}} = \exp(-\Delta \mu_i^{\text{ex}}/RT)$ is the finite-sized solute exclusion factor and $E_i^{\text{el}} = \exp(-\Delta \mu_i^{\text{el}}/RT)$ is the finite-charge solute exclusion/enhancement factor. From Eq. (A5), the solute enhancement factors may be considered also as inverse activity coefficients in the gel.

Eqs. (1), (A1), (A4), and (A6) lead to Eq. (6) of the text. The size-exclusion enhancement factor $E_i^{\text{ex}}$ is estimated from Eq. (7) while the electrical enhancement/exclusion factor $E_i^{\text{el}}$ is obtained from Donnan exclusion in Eq. (8) [37]. Calculation of the Donnan potential is outlined in Appendix B.

### Appendix B. Donnan potential

Since the aqueous solute concentration is much lower than that of the background electrolyte, the Donnan potential of the gel relative to the bulk solution, $\psi$, is set by the aqueous electrolyte ionic strength and pH, and the polyelectrolyte charge density. We approximate the buffer and acid electrolyte as completely dissociated, indifferent NaCl with bulk aqueous molar concentration $C_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{NaCl}}$. Phase equilibrium demands that chloride (Cl$^-$) and sodium (Na$^+$) ion chemical potentials in the bulk aqueous phase equal those in the liquid-filled domains of the hydrogel. Because Donnan theory gives $\Delta E_{i}^{\text{ex}} = z_i F \psi$ [37], Eqs. (A5) and (A6) for Cl$^-$ reveal that \[ \frac{E_i^{\text{ex}}}{RT} = \ln \frac{C_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{ex}} C_{\text{gel}}^{\text{Cl}^-}}{C_{\text{gel}}^{\text{Cl}^-}}, \] (B1)

where $C_{\text{gel}}^{\text{Cl}^-}$ is the liquid-region chloride-ion molar concentration (moles of Cl$^-$ per liquid volume) and $\psi$ is the electric potential difference between the hydrogel and the bulk aqueous solution [37]. Eq. (B1) neglects specific adsorption of the background electrolyte to the polymer matrix. Consequently, addition of Eq. (A5) for Cl$^-$ and Na$^+$ ions gives [37] \[ C_{\text{NaCl}}^{\text{gel}} / C_{\text{NaCl}}^{\text{gel}} - C_{\text{NaCl}}^{\text{bulk}} = 0. \] (B3)

Due to their very low concentrations compared to that of the background electrolyte, Eq. (B3) neglects the presence of H$^+$, OH$^-$, and dissociated solute i. Upon solving Eqs. (B2) and (B3) for $C_{\text{gel}}^{\text{Cl}^-}$ and dividing by $C_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{NaCl}}$, we establish that \[ \frac{C_{\text{gel}}^{\text{Cl}^-}}{C_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{NaCl}}} = \sqrt{(E_i^{\text{ex}} E_i^{\text{el}})^2 + (\alpha E_i^{\text{el}})^2 - \alpha E_i^{\text{ex}}}, \] (B4)

where $\alpha_i = C_{\text{gel}}^{\text{NaCl}} / (C_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{NaCl}} E_i^{\text{ex}} \phi_i)$. Finally, Eq. (9) of the text follows using Eq. (B1) to eliminate $C_{\text{gel}}^{\text{Cl}^-}$ from Eq. (B4). Possible spatial non-uniformity of the electrostatic potential in the hydrogel is negligible at the background ionic strengths pertinent to this work [46].
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