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A B S T R A C T

Background: Vitamin D is essential for proper neurodevelopment and cognitive and behavioral function. We examined associations between autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and common, functional polymorphisms in vitamin D pathways.

Methods: Children aged 24–60 months enrolled from 2003 to 2009 in the population-based CHARGE case–control study were evaluated clinically and confirmed to have ASD (n = 474) or typical development (TD, n = 281). Maternal, paternal, and child DNA samples for 384 (81%) families of children with ASD and 234 (83%) families of TD children were genotyped for: TaqI, BsmI, FokI, and Cdx2 in the vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene, and CYP27B1 rs4646536, GC rs4588, and CYP2R1 rs10741657. Case–control logistic regression, family-based log-linear, and hybrid log-linear analyses were conducted to produce risk estimates and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for each allelic variant.

Results: Paternal VDR TaqI homozogous variant genotype was significantly associated with ASD in case–control analysis (odds ratio [OR] [CI]: 6.3 [1.9–20.7]) and there was a trend towards increased risk associated with VDR BsmI– (OR [CI]: 4.7 [1.6–13.4]). Log-linear triad analyses detected parental imprinting, with greater effects of paternal-derived VDR alleles. Child GC AA-genotype/A-allele was associated with ASD in log-linear and ETDT analyses. A significant association between decreased ASD risk and child CYP2R1 AA-genotype was found in hybrid log-linear analysis. There were limitations of low statistical power for less common alleles due to missing paternal genotypes.

Conclusions: This study provides preliminary evidence that paternal and child vitamin D metabolism could play a role in the etiology of ASD; further research in larger study populations is warranted.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd.

1. Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) consists of a range of neurodevelopmental disorders characterized by the presence of social deficits, language impairments, and stereotyped or repetitive behaviors and interests. The etiology of ASD in most cases remains unclear, though combinations of multiple genetic and environmental factors are likely to play a role. Vitamin D deficiency was hypothesized to contribute to the increase in the incidence of ASD based on studies showing increased rates of autism among dark-skinned immigrants displaced into northern latitudes, and differences in autism prevalence across season and latitude [1], potentially reflecting changes in sunlight exposure and absorbed vitamin D. These findings have been attributed to a potential effect of *maternal* vitamin D status on the child’s risk for ASD. The biologic plausibility for a link between vitamin D and autism is ample, as previously reviewed [1,2]. Animal studies show long-lasting neurodevelopmental effects of transient vitamin D deficiency during gestation leading to autism-relevant structural and functional changes.
in the brain and behaviors of the offspring [3–5]. Gene variants within
the vitamin D pathway can determine uptake and utilization of vitamin
D. Genetic susceptibility to inefficient vitamin D uptake and metabolism
has yet to be explored in relation to autism. Thus, this study examined
common, functional vitamin D–relevant gene variants in maternal,
paternal and child samples in relation to risk for ASD in the child.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants, eligibility and diagnostic criteria

Individuals included in this study were participants in the CHARGE
(Cradle of Humankind Research on Autism Genetics and the Environment)
case–control study [6]. Eligibility criteria for children were: 1) age of 24 to
60 months at time of enrollment, 2) birth in California, 3) residence
with at least one biologic parent who speaks English or Spanish, and
4) residence in the catchment areas of a specified list of California Re-
gional Centers that coordinate services for persons with developmental
disabilities. Children with autism, intellectual disability, or developmen-
tal delay were identified through the California Regional Centers as hav-
ing received services for one or more of these conditions. Autism cases
were also referred from the MIND Institute and other health or service
providers, or self-referred from the CHARGE Study website. General
population controls identified from state birth files were frequency
matched to the age and catchment area distribution of the autism
cases, and a 4:1 male-to-female ratio reflective of that seen for ASD.

All children were assessed for cognitive function using the Mullen
Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) [7] and for adaptive function using
the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales (VABS) [8]. For children with autism,
the primary caregiver completed the Autism Diagnostic Interview—
Revised (ADI-R) [9], and children were assessed using the Autism
Diagnostic Observation Schedule—Generic (ADOS) [10] to confirm
the child’s diagnosis. The children of families recruited from the general
population or with developmental delay/intellectual disability were
screened for evidence of ASD using the Social Communication Question-
naire (SCQ) and if they scored above 15, were evaluated for autism. Final
ASD case status was defined as 1) scoring at least 7 on ADOS Module 1 or
at least 8 on ADOS Module 2; 2) meeting the cutoff value for section A
or B and scoring above or within 2 points of the cutoff value on A or B
(whichever did not meet cutoff value) in ADI-R; and 3) meeting the
cutoff value on section D in ADI-R. Typical development (TD) required
being recruited from the general population, screening negative for ev-
idence of ASD on the SCQ, and scoring 70 or above on both the MSHEL and
VABS. These analyses included only the first child per family recruited
into the study. The University of California—Davis Institutional Review
Board and the State of California Committee for the Protection of
Human Subjects approved this study and the CHARGE Study protocols.
Neither data nor specimens were collected until written informed
consent was obtained from the parents.

2.2. Genotyping methods

Genomic DNA was isolated using standard procedures (Puregene
kit; Gentra Inc.) from peripheral blood plasma leukocytes collected as
part of CHARGE protocol. Genotyping was conducted blinded to case
status using TaqMan Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) Genoty-
ping Assays (Applied Biosystems) for the following variants: VDR
Tagl (rs731236), Bsm1 (rs1544410), Cdx2 (rs11568820), and Fok1
(rs10735810), CYP27B1 T2838C (rs464536), GC (VBP, DBP) G716A
(rs4588), and CYPIA1 rs13471657. Variants were chosen from key reg-
gulatory genes for the pathway of interest with priority given to common
variants that altered gene function and/or were associated with altered
vitamin D status.

Ancestry Informative Markers (AIMs) were also genotyped for a
subset of participants, including 281 (73%) families of children with
ASD, and 161 (69%) families of children with TD. We identified 100
SNPs based on inherited allele frequencies determined from four paren-
tal populations (African, European, American Indian, and East Asian) to
empirically estimate the proportion of ancestry attributable to a
particular founding population for each individual using the program
Structure. In our analyses, the proportion of variance from the
European group was used as a reference with the additional three
variables reflecting ancestral heritage included as covariates.

2.3. Statistical analysis

2.3.1. Case–control logistic regression models

Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated
for associations between the gene variants and ASD, adjusted for con-
founders, using logistic regression analysis applied to a case–control de-
sign using SAS 9.4. Potential confounders included: maternal, paternal,
and child race and ethnicity (self-reported by parents, derived for
child from parental information), private insurance vs. public payment
for delivery, maternal and paternal age, maternal birthplace (US,
Mexico, other), education, pre-pregnancy body mass index, and child
sex and birth year. Ancestral heritage derived from the AIMs was also
examined as potential confounders on the subset of participants with
this data available (earlier participants). We began by fitting a full
model containing potential confounders identified in the bivariate anal-
yses as being broadly associated (P < 0.2) with both ASD and each genet-
ic variant. Variables were then excluded using backward selection,
retaining in the model variables that caused ≥ 10% change in the param-
eter estimates for the gene variants of interest. Because biologic samples
were not available for some participants and many fathers, sensitivity
analyses assessed the impact of missing data, using multiple imputation
via the Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm [11]. To account for the
multiplicity of hypotheses being assessed, we controlled the false
discovery rate (FDR) at 5% [12].

Interaction effects were examined between gene variants and race
and ethnicity, parental age, maternal birthplace, pre-pregnancy body
mass index, and child sex. In addition, because nutrient data from vita-
mins, supplements and cereals for the three months before and during
pregnancy was available for these study participants, we conducted
exploratory analyses examining multiplicative interactions between
maternal supplemental vitamin D intake above and below the mean/
median for the TD group (400 IU) and child gene variants that were
associated with increased risk for ASD in the case–control or hybrid
log-linear analyses, and that were not vulnerable to population
structure bias.

2.3.2. Linear regression models

Linear regression models were fit for associations between
alleles and continuous assessment scores in secondary (post-hoc)
alyses. The multiple linear regression coefficients represent adjusted
mean differences in continuous scores across genotype. The continuous
assessment scores included: age-standardized MSHEL composite score,
VABS composite score, SCQ total score, and the ADOS-2 comparison
(severity) score [13]. T-tests were also performed to compare mean
assessment scores by genotype.

2.3.3. Log-linear models

Log-linear analysis was applied to both a case–parent triad design
[14] and, when population structures allowed, a hybrid design that
combined the case–parent triad and case–control designs [15].

2.3.3.1. Case–parent triad log-linear

The case–parent log-linear approach was used to assess the association between ASD and both maternal and infant genotypes with the case–parent triad as the unit of analysis [14]. This approach provided likelihood-ratio tests (LRTs) and maximum-
likelihood estimators of the genetic effects, allowing for different
relatives risk (RRs) corresponding to carrying one and carrying two
copies of a susceptibility-related allele, relative to no copies [14]. The

Given the complexity of the analysis, it is crucial to ensure that
the statistical methods used are appropriate for the study design and
sample size. The authors used a combination of case–control and
family-based approaches to account for potential confounders and
ancestral heritage. Sensitivity analyses were conducted to assess the
impact of missing data and to control for multiplicity of hypotheses.

The results of this study could have implications for understanding
the genetic basis of ASD and potentially identify new targets for
intervention. Further research is needed to validate these findings
and understand the underlying mechanisms.
expectation–maximization (EM) algorithm was applied to allow the use of families with a missing parental genotype [16]. In simulation studies, the log-linear approach is more powerful than other transmission/disease tests under a dominant or a recessive model [17]. An extension of the original 2 × 2 transmission/disease test (ETDT) for multi-allele marker loci in case–parent triads [18] was also used to verify the results of the log-linear analyses.

2.3.3.2. Hybrid log-linear. In the hybrid design, genotype information for case infants and their parents was supplemented with genetic information for the parents of the control infants for additional power [15]. Relative risk parameters were estimated through log-linear, likelihood-based analysis that allowed stratification by parental mating types. Asymmetry in parental mating and population structure bias were tested as described by Weinberg and Umbach [15]. If P-values were low (<0.10) for either a 5 degree-of-freedom LRT or a more sensitive 1 degree-of-freedom trend test for population stratification bias, indicating vulnerability to bias due to population structure for the case–control analyses, results were confined to the case–parent triad study design. Hybrid analyses were performed using the LEM (log-linear and event history analysis with missing data using the EM algorithm) software [19].

3. Results

DNA samples were provided and genotyped for 384 (81%) eligible families of children with ASD and 234 (83%) families of TD children. Within genotyped families, sociodemographic characteristics of families of children with ASD differed from those of TD children based on maternal and paternal age at the child’s birth, paternal education, and home ownership (eTable 1).

Mother, father, and child genotype and allele frequencies for each gene variant are presented in eTable 2. Genotype success rates ranged from 95.3% to 99.6% (eTable 2). The observed genotype proportions for each gene variant in controls were significantly different from Hardy–Weinberg expectations for VDR FokI and Cdx2, with more heterozygotes than expected, and the plots for VDR Cdx2 did not have good separation between GA and AA, so results for these variants are not shown. Allele frequencies of the minor alleles for non-Hispanic white parents of TD children in our study (VDR TaqI, 0.37; VDR BsmI, 0.37; CYP27B1 rs4646536, 0.31; GC rs4588, 0.27; CYP2R1 rs10741657, 0.43) were similar to those published for similar Caucasian populations [20,21]. Both CYP27B1 rs4646536 (P = 0.06) and GC rs4588 (P = 0.06) showed evidence for vulnerability to population structure bias using the more sensitive 1-df test.

3.1. Case–control logistic regression models

Table 1 presents ORs for associations between each variant and ASD from case–control analyses. Paternal homozygous variant genotypes for the TaqI and BsmI polymorphisms on the VDR gene, and CYP27B1 rs4646536 were associated with increased risk for ASD when compared to the combination of homozygous wild type and heterozygous genotypes (OR = 6.3, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.5–20.7; OR = 4.7, 95% CI: 1.6–13.4; and OR = 2.2, 95% CI: 1.0–4.9, respectively), though CYP27B1 rs4646536 was no longer significant and VDR BsmI was only a trend after correcting for multiple comparisons (Table 3). There were more families of ASD children than TD families with fathers who were homozygous for both VDR TaqI and BsmI variants (eTable 3); this combination of VDR TaqI CC and BsmI AA paternal genotype was associated with increased ASD (OR = 5.4, 95% CI: 1.6–18.3) (eTable 4). Effect estimates using multiple imputation for missing values via the Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm demonstrated similar findings (eTable 5) and sensitivity analyses with imputed values for missing genotypes showed the paternal TaqI association was robust and held even when missings were intentionally assigned such that no association was present in this group (eTable 5). Results were similar when analyses were limited to non-Hispanic white participants (eTable 6). There was no confounding by self-reported race, or other demographic variables. There was also no significant confounding by ‘biologic’ race determined by the AIMs (eTables 7 and 8), although no fathers of TD children had the paternal TaqI CC or BsmI AA genotypes in the subgroup with AIMs, so confounding by AIMs could not be assessed for these variants.

Mean standardized composite scores on the MSEL and the VABS differed by paternal VDR TaqI and BsmI genotypes, and CYP27B1 genotype, all with significantly lower (poorer) cognitive functioning and lower (poorer) (only a trend for CYP27B1 genotype) adaptive behavior scores associated with the homozygous variant genotype (eTables 9–13). The child CYP2R1 AA-genotype tended to be associated with lower MSEL composite score. Among children with ASD diagnoses, those with the VDR TaqI CC variant genotype had lower (less severe) ADOS-2 comparison scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene variant</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Maternal OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Paternal OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Child (proband) OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VDR TaqI (rs731236)</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7–1.4)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6–1.4)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.4 (0.95–1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7–1.9)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>6.0 (1.8–20.2)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.2 (0.7–2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC vs. TT+CT</td>
<td>1.2 (0.7–1.9)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>6.3 (1.9–20.8)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6–1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6–1.4)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6–1.5)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.2 (0.8–1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7–1.9)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>4.61 (1.6–13.4)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6–1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA vs. GG+GA</td>
<td>1.2 (0.7–1.9)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>4.71 (1.6–13.4)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6–1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR BsmI (rs1544410)</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7–1.4)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6–1.5)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7–1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8–2.3)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.2 (0.97–5.0)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8–2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC vs. TT+CT</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8–2.3)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>2.3 (1.03–5.0)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8–2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7–1.3)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8–2.1)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.3 (0.9–1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.6 (0.3–1.1)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.9 (0.4–2.2)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.95 (0.5–1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA vs. CC+CA</td>
<td>0.6 (0.3–1.1)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.8 (0.3–1.9)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.9 (0.5–1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>1.2 (0.8–1.7)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6–1.5)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7–1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6–1.5)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.7 (0.4–1.4)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5–1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA vs. GC+GA</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6–1.3)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.8 (0.4–1.4)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5–1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P-value adjusted for multiple comparisons (15) using False discovery rate.

Vulnerable to population structure bias; these estimates may not be valid.
3.2. Log-linear models

3.2.1. Case–parent triad

Log-linear case–parent triad results are presented in Table 2 in order to show a) the SNPs that demonstrated significant or near-significant parental imprinting effects, since these were not accounted for in the hybrid analysis, and b) to show the results for the SNPs in GC and CYP27B1 that were vulnerable to population structure bias and for which the case–control analysis and hybrid approach may not be appropriate.

Two SNPs were subject to parental imprinting effects, with significant maternal imprinting (paternally derived copy is associated with a greater change in risk for ASD than a maternally derived copy) identified for TaqI and BsmI, and a trend towards maternal imprinting in CYP27B1. These parental imprinting effects were accounted for in the family-based log-linear analysis results. Child CYP27B1 greater change in risk for ASD than a maternally derived copy) indicated maternal imprinting (paternally derived copy is associated with a smaller change in risk than a paternally derived copy).

3.2.2. Hybrid log-linear

Significant associations between decreased risk for ASD, and child CYP2R1 rs10741657 and VDR BsmI homozygous genotypes were found in the more powerful hybrid log-linear analysis (Table 4).

3.2.3. Interaction effects

A significant interaction for child CYP2R1 AA genotype was found (P = 0.01) such that it was associated with significantly decreased risk for ASD only when the mother reported intake of less than 400 IU average vitamin D per day during pregnancy (Table 5). No interaction was observed for child VDR BsmI and ASD diagnosis. When we examined these interaction effects in relation to continuous measures of social communication, autism severity, cognitive ability, and adaptive functioning (eTables 14–15), we found similar significant interaction effects between child CYP2R1 AA genotype and maternal vitamin D intake, such that the AA genotype was associated with different outcomes when the mother consumed less than 400 IU vitamin D compared to when she consumed 400 IU or more.

4. Discussion

This is one of the first studies to date examining vitamin D-specific gene variants and risk for ASD, and the first to consider parental gene effects. Findings for significantly increased risk for ASD associated with the child inheriting the AA genotype of the GC gene in the case–parent triad log-linear and ETDT analyses, and a trend in the hybrid log-linear analysis, suggest a role for the child’s vitamin D binding protein (VDBP). This genotype has been associated with significantly lower plasma 25(OH)D concentration [20]. VDBP is the serum transport protein of vitamin D and its metabolites, and most 25(OH)D is bound to VDBP [20]. VDBP concentrations are significantly elevated during pregnancy [20] and in females compared to males [22], which appears congruent with a role for VDBP in ASD etiology given ASD is likely to have prenatal origins and affects males 4–5 times more often than females [23].

Child CYP2R1 showed no relation with ASD in the logistic regression model, a trend in the triad log-linear model, and significance in the hybrid model. The CYP2R1 enzyme catalyzes the transformation of vitamin D3 to 25(OH)D3, the main circulating vitamin D metabolite. The CYP2R1 GG genotype associated with higher risk for ASD in this study is also associated with lower circulating 25(OH)D3 concentrations and with type 1 diabetes in Caucasians [24]. Interestingly, the AA genotype was only associated with reduced ASD risk when maternal vitamin D intake from cereal, vitamins, and supplements (typically cholecalciferol, vitamin D3) was below 400 IU, suggesting that the risk associated with the child’s CYP2R1 GG genotype could be counteracted by increasing maternal vitamin D intake. However, this finding needs replication in a larger study, given the possibility of a chance finding.

Case–control and hybrid log-linear models showed that parental and child variants in the vitamin D receptor gene, specifically VDR TaqI and BsmI, might be associated with risk for ASD. Yan et al. [25] previously examined vitamin D receptor gene variant frequencies among a small group of individuals with autism in addition to those with schizophrenia and other psychiatric diseases. Though they did not find evidence for an association, their study included only 24 cases of autism, and was thus underpowered for detection of an association [25].

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene variant</th>
<th>Imprinting*</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Maternal</th>
<th>Paternal</th>
<th>Child (proband)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR TaqI (rs731236)</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model with imprinting effects</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model without imprinting effects</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5, 1.1)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5, 1.1)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5, 1.1)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5, 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR BsmI (rs1544410)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model with imprinting effects</td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model without imprinting effects</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5, 1.1)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5, 1.1)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5, 1.1)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5, 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP27B1 (rs4645636)</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model with imprinting effects</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model without imprinting effects</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8, 1.5)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8, 1.5)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8, 1.5)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8, 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC (rs4588)</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model with imprinting effects</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model without imprinting effects</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7, 1.3)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7, 1.3)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7, 1.3)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7, 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model with imprinting effects</td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model without imprinting effects</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9, 1.7)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9, 1.7)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9, 1.7)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9, 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8, 2.0)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8, 2.0)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8, 2.0)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8, 2.0)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8, 2.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Imprinting RR is > 1 if a maternally derived copy is associated with a greater change in risk than a paternally derived copy and is < 1 if a maternally derived copy is associated with a smaller change in risk than a paternally derived copy.
Our study also found associations between VDR TaqI, VDR BsmI and CYP27B1 genotypes and cognitive and adaptive scores. These differences were driven primarily by the relationship between the genotypes and diagnosis. No association with autism symptom severity within cases was observed. These findings suggest threshold effects or chance findings.

4.1. Study strengths and limitations

We examined a Northern California study population using three different approaches, each having unique strengths. The case–control logistic regression analyses allowed us to compare case families to unrelated families of typical controls while controlling for a number of potential confounding factors. This is a powerful approach with straightforward interpretation of parent and child genotype effects; however, case–control comparisons of genotype effects can be biased by differences in population structure. We were able to test for these differences and focus on the family-based log-linear analysis by differences in population structure. We were able to test for these differences and focus on the family-based log-linear analysis. The increased risk associated with paternal VDR TaqI and BsmI and CYP27B1 and GC in the case–control logistic analysis as significant parent-of-origin effects, with a larger effect associated with the paternally-derived allele since the father’s genotype was associated with increased risk. The effect size for the association between the paternal VDR TaqI and BsmI and ASD is likely inflated due to the low number of controls with the homozygous variant genotypes. The percentage of control fathers with the variant genotype did not increase when we genotyped additional participants (including controls) to add to the number of controls in this cell. The lack of associations found for these paternal VDR gene variants in the hybrid log-linear analysis could have resulted from having no evaluation of parent of origin effects in this analysis, or could suggest a spurious finding in the case–control analyses.

The increased risk associated with paternal CYP27B1 rs4646536 in the case–control analysis was not significant after controlling for multiple comparisons, after limiting to non-Hispanic Whites, nor after adjustment for AIM-derived race, and was not observed in the log-linear analysis as significant parent-of-origin effects, with a larger effect associated with the paternally-derived allele since the father’s genotype was associated with increased risk. The effect size for the association between the paternal VDR TaqI and BsmI and ASD is likely inflated due to the low number of controls with the homozygous variant genotypes. The percentage of control fathers with the variant genotype did not increase when we genotyped additional participants (including controls) to add to the number of controls in this cell. The lack of associations found for these paternal VDR gene variants in the hybrid log-linear analysis could have resulted from having no evaluation of parent of origin effects in this analysis, or could suggest a spurious finding in the case–control analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene variant</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Maternal (OR (95% CI))</th>
<th>Paternal (OR (95% CI))</th>
<th>Child (proband) (OR (95% CI))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VDR TaqI (rs731236)</td>
<td>TT 1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT 1.0 (0.8, 1.4)</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8, 1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC 1.1 (0.8, 1.7)</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>0.99 (0.64, 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR BsmI (rs1544410)</td>
<td>GG 1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA 1.1 (0.8, 1.4)</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>0.8 (0.6, 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA 0.7 (0.4, 1.2)</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3, 0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP27B1 (rs4646536)</td>
<td>TT 1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT 0.99 (0.8, 1.3)</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7, 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC 1.2 (0.8, 1.8)</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6, 1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC (rs4588)</td>
<td>GG 1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA 0.96 (0.7, 1.3)</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0, 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA 0.7 (0.4, 1.1)</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9, 2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2R1 (rs10741657)</td>
<td>GG 1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA 1.3 (1.0, 1.7)</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>0.8 (0.6, 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA 1.3 (0.9, 1.9)</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4, 0.96)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Vulnerable to population structure bias; these estimates may not be valid.

Table 4
Risk ratios (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for Maternal, paternal, and child genotype effects from hybrid log-linear analysis.

Table 5
Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for associations between ASD and combinations of child (proband) genotypes and maternal supplemental vitamin D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene variant</th>
<th>Child (proband) genotype</th>
<th>Maternal supplemental vitamin D (IU)b</th>
<th>Autism spectrum disorder</th>
<th>Typical development OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>PInteraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VDR BsmI (rs1544410)</td>
<td>GG 400+</td>
<td>90 (28)</td>
<td>55 (29)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>(0.6–1.8)</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA 400+</td>
<td>73 (23)</td>
<td>42 (22)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>(0.6–2.6)</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA 400+</td>
<td>26 (8)</td>
<td>13 (7)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>(0.6–1.7)</td>
<td>.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GG &lt;400</td>
<td>54 (17)</td>
<td>38 (20)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>(0.5–1.5)</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA &lt;400</td>
<td>58 (18)</td>
<td>35 (18)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>(0.6–1.7)</td>
<td>.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA &lt;400</td>
<td>15 (5)</td>
<td>10 (5)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>(0.4–2.2)</td>
<td>.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2R1 (rs10741657)</td>
<td>GG 400+</td>
<td>77 (24)</td>
<td>47 (24)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA 400+</td>
<td>89 (27)</td>
<td>51 (26)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>(0.6–1.8)</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA 400+</td>
<td>28 (9)</td>
<td>13 (7)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>(0.6–2.8)</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GG &lt;400</td>
<td>56 (17)</td>
<td>24 (12)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>(0.8–2.6)</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA &lt;400</td>
<td>67 (20)</td>
<td>45 (23)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>(0.5–1.5)</td>
<td>.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA &lt;400</td>
<td>10 (3)</td>
<td>18 (9)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>(0.1–0.8)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Gene variants selected because of their association with increased risk for autism spectrum disorders in case–control logistic regression or hybrid log-linear analyses.

b Total average vitamin D quantified from vitamins, supplements, and cereals reported to have been consumed by the mothers for the three months before and during pregnancy and breastfeeding. 400 IU vitamin D = median vitamin D reported by mothers of children with typical development and typical amount in supplements (a priori analyses).
risk for ASD observed in the hybrid log-linear analysis was not observed in either the case–control or the case–parent trio analyses which accounted for parent of origin effects, and thus offers inconsistent evidence for an effect.

This study was limited by missing data on paternal genotypes (~24% of ASD and ~51% of TD probands), and inadequate sample size for examining gene × gene and gene × exposure interactions for less common gene variants, particularly in fathers. The difference between case and control families in the percentage of fathers with missing genotypes would primarily affect the case–control analyses; however, imputation for missing genotypes yielded similar findings. In addition, though we incorporated maternal vitamin D intake from vitamins, supplements, and cereals, we did not include other dietary sources of vitamin D, nor paternal vitamin D intake. Sun exposure, a major source of vitamin D [26], was also not taken into account. Finally, we tested parental and child associations for five gene SNPs using multiple approaches, which raises issues of multiple comparisons and chance findings; however the strongest findings remained significant when we controlled the FDR at 5%.

4.2. Potential biologic mechanisms

There are several potential biological mechanisms for vitamin D to influence brain development and related outcomes. Physiological concentrations of vitamin D3 have been shown to delay cell proliferation and induce cell differentiation of embryonic hippocampal cells in mice [27]. Long-lasting effects of transient gestational vitamin D deficiency include decreased expression of many genes involved in neuronal structures and neurotransmission [28], a disrupted balance between neuronal stem cell proliferation and programmed cell death in offspring [3] and abnormal brain development leading to morphological, cellular, and molecular changes in the brain [4], including the hippocampus [5], and altered behaviors in the offspring [5]. Many of the effects of transient vitamin D deficiency during early development include changes that are relevant to autism, including enlarged lateral ventricles and dysregulation of numerous brain proteins within biological pathways implicated in autism, including redox balance, calcium homeostasis, neurotransmission, and synaptic plasticity [29].

Mechanisms behind these neurodevelopmental effects are still being explored. An impaired synaptic network might result from mitochondrial dysfunction [29] or altered expression of nerve growth factors [4]. Altered dopamine turnover in neonatal rat forebrain induced by vitamin D deficiency [30] could also play a role, given dopamine’s importance during neurodevelopment and potential role in ASD. Vitamin D insufficiency during pregnancy is also potentially associated with increased risk of preeclampsia, insulin resistance and gestational diabetes mellitus [31], which are themselves associated with increased risk for neurodevelopmental effects including autism [32].

The effects of paternal homozgyous VDR TaqI and BsmI variants were not expected, but have some evidence for a biologic basis. The vitamin D receptor and vitamin D metabolizing enzymes are expressed in the human male reproductive tract [33], and animal studies demonstrate a role of VDR in the production and transport of sperm [34,35]. Vitamin D is also positively associated with sperm motility and increased intra-cellular calcium in human spermatozoa [36]. During the late stages of spermatogenesis, which, in man, typically starts about 64 days prior to conception, vitamin D receptor and vitamin D metabolizing enzymes are co-expressed in the neck of mature spermatozoa [33]. Poor sperm motility has been significantly associated with higher numbers of aneuploid sperm and sperm with other chromosomal and DNA abnormalities [37], which then may negatively affect embryo development [37]. Some hypothesize that the role of VDR in the production and transport of sperm overlaps the role of VDR as a member of the steroid receptor superfamily, which has high-affinity binding to the nuclear matrix and subsequently plays a critical role in the organization of DNA, transcription, and replication [34]. Interestingly, recent work suggests that de novo genetic variation contributes greatly to the development of ASD [38] and that in sporadic (non-familial) cases of autism, de novo point mutations in protein-coding regions are overwhelmingly paternal in origin with a 4:1 paternal bias [39]. The potential role of vitamin D and the VDR in DNA repair [40] and sperm quality, both of which can affect embryogenesis from a very early stage, could potentially lead to longer-term developmental consequences.

5. Conclusions

This study provides preliminary evidence that paternal and child vitamin D metabolism could play a role in the etiology of ASD. Independent replication of this study’s findings in larger study samples is needed to determine whether certain paternal and/or child vitamin D gene variants influencing vitamin D update and metabolism can increase risk for ASD, and provide additional information on specific characteristics affected. In addition, studies of populations with more complete data on vitamin D status, including sun exposure, should assess the contribution of vitamin D as it interacts with genetic susceptibility.

Abbreviations

ASD  autism spectrum disorder
CHARGE  Childhood Autism Risks from Genetics and the Environment
MSEL  Mullen Scales of Early Learning
VABS  Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales
ADI-R  Autism Diagnostic Interview – Revised
ADOS  Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule – Generic
SCQ  Social Communication Questionnaire
TD  typical development
DNA  deoxyribonucleic acid
SNP  single nucleotide polymorphism
VDR  vitamin D receptor
CYPI27B1  cytochrome P450, family 27, subfamily B, polypeptide 1
VDBP  vitamin D binding protein
CYPI2R1  cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily R, polypeptide 1
OR  odds ratio
CI  95% confidence intervals
FDR  false discovery rate
ETDT  extension of the original 2 × 2 transmission/disequilibrium test
EM  expectation–maximization
LRT  likelihood-ratio tests
LEM  log-linear and event history analysis with missing data using the EM algorithm

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2015.05.008.

Funding support

The National Institutes of Health (NIH); grant numbers: R01-ES015359, P01-11269, and 2K12HD051958-06.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency STAR program; grant numbers: R-829388 & R833292.

The University of California Davis MIND (Medical Investigations of Neurodevelopmental Disorders) Institute.

Autism Speaks; Environmental Sciences Pilot Grant 4933.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Authors’ contributions

RJS conceived of and designed the study, helped secure funding, performed statistical analyses, and drafted the manuscript; RLH provided clinical oversight for the study and contributed clinical diagnoses; JS helped quantify maternal vitamin D intake; JH, HA, LCS, and FT were
References


