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ABSTRACT

The Bevatron provided beam for 86.8% of the scheduled operating time this quarter. There was a 4-day shutdown period, including a 24-h vacuum shutdown. The studies of the beam loss just after injection continued with no conclusive results. A new primary experiment started this quarter, using the external proton beam.

There were some changes made in the main ac power distribution for the Laboratory, to try to correct for line fluctuations caused by pulsed equipment at the Bevatron.
I. OPERATION

The Bevatron operation record is shown in Fig. 1. Beam was on for 86.8% of the scheduled operating time. Of the scheduled operating time, the beam was off 11.8% of the time because of equipment failure, and 1.4% of the time for experimental setup.

II. SHUTDOWN

The Bevatron was shut down from 8 am, September 3 to 5 pm, September 6. The vacuum shutdown lasted from 8:30 am, September 3 until 6:30 am, September 4. The primary job during shutdown was to change the external-proton-beam collimator. There was some misalignment of the beam in the initial installation. This was corrected, and a positioning control was installed that provided an angular adjustment of 1 deg in the angle between the collimator and the nominal 6-BeV external-beam line.

The tracks on the external-beam plunging magnets M4 Q4 again showed damage. This was again repaired by honing the tracks. A new guide was ordered and should be ready for installation at the next vacuum shutdown. This should correct the problem of damaged tracks.

General maintenance was done on the magnet power supply and auxiliary equipment.

A 30-min vacuum shutdown occurred on September 5 to repair a cracked glass vacuum port.

III. IMPROVEMENTS

A. Beam Loss

1. rf Acceleration Voltage

Calvin F. Hansen

The beam loss reported in the preceding quarterly report is still under study. One phase of this study involves the rf system. Further tests were made by changing the shape of the rf voltage at the start of the acceleration cycle. The accelerated beam was increased to $3 \times 10^{12}$ protons per pulse (ppp) from the previous maximum of $2.4 \times 10^{12}$ ppp. However, when the test equipment was removed and normal operating rf wave shape was restored, the accelerated beam was still $3 \times 10^{12}$ ppp.

Increased rf "dee" voltage indicated that even higher dee voltage was desirable. The rf automatic gain control (AGC) system was modified to allow higher dee voltage. Operation indicated that the normal operating conditions allowed the rf voltage to peak out for maximum accelerated beam.

* Preceding quarterly reports: UCRL-11278, UCRL-10863.
Fig. 1. Bevatron operating schedule, July through September 1963.
2. Beam Capture and Survival Studies

A second phase of study of the beam loss at high injected currents is the initial capture and survival under various conditions of Bevatron magnetic field shape and aperture. The aperture studies were made by clipping the beam at injection with either vertical or radial clippers. The beam intensity was then studied as a function of time for various vertical and radial apertures. These studies showed an apparent growth of vertical beam size in time. This is shown in Fig. 2.

It was thought that the higher injected beam currents might be causing an apparent lowering of the $n$ value and therefore be going through a resonant point. The $n$ value was therefore lowered by changing the pole-face-winding currents to give an $n$ value below the resonant point. No change was observed in the high current beam loss.

3. Self-Excited Pole-Face-Winding Test

When the Bevatron resumed operation in February 1963 the pole-face windings were driven by a dc supply. The currents in these windings provide the necessary correction to the radial-magnetic-field gradient for Bevatron operation. Previous to the 7-months shutdown, the pole-face windings had been driven by a five-turn loop around the inner magnet yoke of the Bevatron. There are some dynamic differences in the gradient correction as a function of time between the two methods of driving the pole-face winding currents. To check if this difference had any effect on the beam loss during the first 100 msec of acceleration, we reconnected the pole-face windings to the five-turn loop. There was no appreciable difference in beam capture and loss. The pole-face windings were therefore reconnected to the dc power supply.

B. Multiple-Target Compatibility Studies

With external proton beam (EPB) as a new mode of operation, it was necessary to conduct some compatibility studies to determine operating conditions for simultaneous operation of targets for EPB and targets for normal secondary beams. The external proton beam is brought out by the following method. The beam is spilled on an energy-loss target. The energy loss causes a betatron oscillation about a smaller-radius orbit. The minimum-radius point occurs about three-quarters of a turn around the Bevatron. At this point the beam goes through a deflection magnet $M_1$, which deflects the beam to a still-smaller radius. A quarter of a turn later it enters the second deflection magnet $M_2$, where it is deflected outward. It leaves the Bevatron a quarter of a turn after $M_2$, at the west outside straight section.

Normal external beam requires operation of the energy-loss target and deflection magnets $M_1$ and $M_2$. There are additional bending and focusing magnets outside of the Bevatron vacuum system to transport the EPB to the experimental area. We found, during the compatibility studies, that we could get beam down the EPB channel without the energy-loss target or $M_1$ and $M_2$ operating. The rest of the beam transport system was in operation. This beam was not of good optical quality. It had not gone through the two internal focusing quadrupoles located with $M_1$ and $M_2$. Because the beam was scattered out in some fraction of a turn around the Bevatron it
Fig. 2. Apparent vertical growth of beam in Bevatron after injection.
also had rf structure. This spurious EPB came from three different sources. Just having $10^{12}$ protons circulating in the Bevatron gave between $5 \times 10^6$ and $10^7$ protons down the EPB channel. If the string spiller was in use for the production of long beam spills, we got $3 \times 10^7$ protons down the EPB channel for $5 \times 10^{11}$ protons onto the strings. If the internal proton beam is lost after being accelerated past peak magnetic field, it goes to the outer radius. Beam lost in this manner puts about $3 \times 10^8$ protons down the EPB channel for $10^{12}$ protons circulating.

The Segré-Chamberlain group, using the EPB, required low beam intensity of the order of $10^7$ ppp. It is apparent from the above numbers for spurious EPB that low-intensity EPB experiments are not compatible with any high-intensity runs.

This spurious EPB required a change in the safety-chain operation for access to the EPB cave. The EPB cave access gate was placed in the control chain for the external deflection magnet M3 as well as the internal deflection magnet M2. The turning off of M2 and M3 allows operation of internal beam in the Bevatron while maintaining a safe background level for occupancy in the EPB cave.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

A summary of the Bevatron experimental research program is shown in Table I. One new primary experiment was started this quarter by the Chamberlain group. It is a study of proton-proton interactions at energies of 1.7, 2.85, 3.99, 5.05, and 6.19 BeV. This experiment uses a polarized liquid-hydrogen target in the EPB. The runs were made with beams of from $5 \times 10^7$ to $10^9$ ppp. Normal operation was at a level of $(1 - 3) \times 10^8$ ppp in the EPB.

V. MAGNET POWER SUPPLY

A. Magnet Pulsing

The magnet pulsing record is shown in Table II.

B. Power-Distribution Voltage Disturbance

Harold W. Vogel

The 12-kV ac electrical power-distribution system for the Laboratory is illustrated in a simplified form in Fig. 3. Prior to March 1963 the 88-inch cyclotron experienced difficulty in maintaining a beam of consistent intensity because of the voltage variations associated with the ac power distributed to their building (Fig. 4). The voltage disturbance was correlated with the operation of the Bevatron magnet power supply, and specifically, the step control of the two 3600-hp wound-rotor motors. The 12-kV ac regulated line was transferred to a spare feeder line from the campus to ameliorate the transient line voltage variation on the feeder to building 88.

After the transfer of the 12-kV ac regulated feeders to the campus line, the operation schedules for the Bevatron included more time for the EPB...
### Table I. Summary of Bevatron experimental research program, July through September 1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Start of experiment</th>
<th>End of experiment</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>This quarter 12-hour periods Hours</th>
<th>Start of run through Sept. 1963 12-hour periods Hours</th>
<th>Pulse schedule</th>
<th>Primary or secondary experiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alvarez</td>
<td>3-23-63</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Study of ( \pi^+ ) interactions in the 72-inch hydrogen bubble chamber.</td>
<td>30 303</td>
<td>104 1071</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell-Birge</td>
<td>3-23-63</td>
<td>5-27-63</td>
<td>Study of the decay of stopping ( K^0 ) mesons in a freon bubble chamber (750-MeV/c ( K^0 )).</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>3 41</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lofgren</td>
<td>3-25-63</td>
<td>5-26-63</td>
<td>Study of the related ( \Sigma - \Lambda ) Parity (1.1- to 1.3-BeV/c ( \pi^- )).</td>
<td>7 44</td>
<td>62 625</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alvares</td>
<td>4-26-63</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Study of ( K^- )-p interactions in the 72-inch hydrogen bubble chamber.</td>
<td>34 366</td>
<td>55 588</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barkas</td>
<td>5-27-63</td>
<td>5-27-63</td>
<td>Emulsion exposure (750-MeV/c ( K^0 )).</td>
<td>3 43</td>
<td></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moyer</td>
<td>6-7-63</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Study of inelastic ( \pi^- )-p scattering in the range 500 to 1000 MeV/c, and elastic 70 charge-exchange scattering in the range 500 to 1600 MeV/c.</td>
<td>752 91</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segref-Chamberlain</td>
<td>8-6-63</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Study of polarization of protons in high-energy p-p scattering as a function of momentum and angle.</td>
<td>19 214</td>
<td>19 214</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution and experimenter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argonne Marcowitz</td>
<td>4-1-63</td>
<td>5-16-63</td>
<td>Counter tests</td>
<td>41 425</td>
<td></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univ. Washington Mazeck</td>
<td>4-10-63</td>
<td>Continuing</td>
<td>Spark-chamber and counter tests.</td>
<td>7 83</td>
<td>10 106</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philco Space Div. Rinehart</td>
<td>5-24-63</td>
<td>Continuing</td>
<td>Test of solid-state counters and spark chambers.</td>
<td>7 3</td>
<td>33 33</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table II. Bevatron motor-generator set monthly fault report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month (1963)</th>
<th>4 to 6 pulses per minute</th>
<th>7 to 9 pulses per minute</th>
<th>10 to 17 pulses per minute</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>P/F</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1500 to 6900 A</td>
<td>7000 to 9000 A</td>
<td>1500 to 6900 A</td>
<td>7000 to 9000 A</td>
<td>1500 to 6900 A</td>
<td>7000 to 9000 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan.</td>
<td>5055 16 1684 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6739 21 21 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>2550 1152 2 4 4030 3 5 7385 5 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73437 40 57 97 757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td></td>
<td>11279 1 177283 49 55</td>
<td></td>
<td>188562 49 56 105 1796</td>
<td>Shutdowns: 1, 3, 7, 10, 17, 24, 31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>504 12178 6 17982 5 4 279492 32 87</td>
<td></td>
<td>280872 37 88 125 2247</td>
<td>Shutdowns: 7, 14, 21, 28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>1291 153 191 3859 2 6130 276222 15 36</td>
<td>307846 16 38 54 5701</td>
<td>Shutdowns: 5, 12, 19, 31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>63896 5 4 179044 21 47</td>
<td></td>
<td>242940 31 26 57 4262</td>
<td>Repairs: 1-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>792 34</td>
<td>17020 2 174076 7 1 120461 3 22</td>
<td>312383 10 35 45 6941</td>
<td>Shutdowns: 4, 14, 21, 28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>18200 1 280775 15 47</td>
<td>298975 16 47 61 4745</td>
<td>Shutdowns: 4, 11, 18, 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept.</td>
<td>28982 5 3 67950 5 12 104862 4 4 16776 4</td>
<td>218570 14 23 37 3834</td>
<td>Shutdowns: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 15, 22, 29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 14 indicates an arc-back, 26 indicates an arc-through.*
Fig. 3. Simplified Laboratory power distribution.
Fig. 4. Wave forms of regulated and unregulated 12-kv lines (March 1963); no EPB magnets.
program. The EPB magnets require 800 kW of pulsed power from SCR phase-controlled rectifiers supplied from the 12-kV ac regulated line (Fig. 5). The operation of the 88-inch cyclotron again was affected, as their beam intensity varied between 10 and 90% of normal, thus making the accelerator unusable. The Bevatron 12-kV regulated line was returned to the Grizzly Substation feeder while the 88-inch cyclotron remained on the campus feeder.

An investigation is now under way to determine a long-term solution to line disturbances and system stability as related to present and future loads.

VI. BUILDING RADIATION SURVEYS

William L. Everette

The shield for the Bevatron proper was finished in April 1963. Once the 10-ft wall and the 7-ft roof shields were in place, neutrons constituted the only residual flux contributing significantly to biological dose. The areas of most concern were adjacent to targets stationed at, or slightly upstream from, tangent tanks. Considerable shielding advantage is lost here since the magnet iron is absent. The wall shielding has proved to be adequate, and these areas at floor level are left open for continual occupancy. However, the roof shield is a bit thin for target operation near tangent tanks, and generally these regions are excluded from normal public occupancy. These conditions were illustrated in Fig. 8 of the second quarterly report of 1963.

After a specific mode of operation is established, involving fixed target arrangements and procedures for spilling beam onto these targets, the residual neutron flux exterior to the shield is constant. For the major portion of the third-quarter period, the mode of operation established in the month of June was continued. Thus, the building radiation intensities reported in the second quarterly report are generally valid for this period. Some changes were made involving the extraction—and some experimentation with—the external proton beam. These results are reported here.

Table III is a summary of the more pertinent area radiation measurements made by Health Physics personnel. Figure 6 is a plan view of the building showing the current shielding arrangement for the Bevatron and EPB. Figure 7 is a presentation of general area flux values; Fig. 8 shows positions of measurement and the neutron flux around the EPB shield for the stated conditions of operation and shielding.

One must realize that the flux values given in area surveys indicate only the possible exposure dose rate existing at the indicated locations. True biological, or absorbed dose is dependent on rate of exposure and time of occupancy. Significant knowledge of biological dose was acquired from neutron and gamma-ray dosimeter films carried by all personnel. Figure 9 is a compilation of these readings summed over the 3-months period. Each point along the abscissa represents a person. The left- and right-hand columns indicate gamma-ray and neutron dose readings, respectively. The ordinate is the integrated dose in rem units.
Fig. 5. Wave forms of regulated and unregulated 12-kv lines (August 1963); with pulsed EPB magnets.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>Beam intensity (p/pulse)</th>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>Shielding</th>
<th>Information reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/1</td>
<td>Linac injector blockhouse roof</td>
<td>BF$_3$- fast neutrons</td>
<td>Linac: 16 mA; two 500-μsec pulses/sec</td>
<td>4J4 Catcher</td>
<td>Downstream end of blockhouse 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/2 - 7/3</td>
<td>Building floor</td>
<td>BF$_3$- fast neutrons</td>
<td>5X10$^{11}$</td>
<td>Moyer Loigren Alvarez</td>
<td>76°, QIII 80°, QIII 17°, QIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24 Building floor</td>
<td>Ionization chamber (gamma ray)</td>
<td>1.5X10$^{11}$</td>
<td>Moyer Alvarez</td>
<td>80°, QIII 20°, QIII</td>
<td>75 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/17 and 9/24 Building floor</td>
<td>BF$_3$- fast neutrons</td>
<td>5X10$^{10}$</td>
<td>Chamberlain</td>
<td>EPB channel</td>
<td>-- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/22 Building floor around EPB blockhouse</td>
<td>Ag(n,γ)- fast neutrons</td>
<td>10$^{12}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPB extraction studies</td>
<td>EPB backstop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/24 Building floor</td>
<td>Ag(n,γ)- fast neutrons</td>
<td>8X10$^{11}$</td>
<td>EPB extraction studies</td>
<td>EPB backstop</td>
<td>100% of internal beam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Measured in protons per pulse; normal pulse rate is 11 pulses per minute.

*b* South inside west (EPB energy-loss target).
Fig. 6. Plan view of Bevatron building.
Fig. 7. Building radiation surveys, neutron and gamma rays.
Fig. 8. External-proton-beam blockhouse in building bay-3 for Chamberlain Group p⁺ polarization run.
Fig. 9. Integral dose in rem for personnel carrying neutron (left-hand column) and gamma (right-hand column) dosimeter film in the Bevatron building, July through September 1963.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Edward J. Lofgren is the Bevatron Group Leader; William A. Wenzel is the Alternate Group Leader. Walter D. Hartsough, with Glen R. Lambertson and Wendell Olson assisting, is in charge of Bevatron Operation. Members of the Operating Crew are: G. Stanley Boyle, Robert W. Brokloff, Ashton H. Brown, Duward Cagle, Norris D. Cash, Frank W. Correll, Ferdinand Dagenais, John R. Ellisen, Robert Gisser, William Kendall, William Lee, Kenneth Morgan, Martin E. Scolnick. The following members of the Operation Group are carrying out support and development projects: Robert W. Allison, Robert Anderson, Trancuilo Canton, Warren Chupp, Charles Coad, Bruce Cork, Kenneth Crebbin, Walter Hartsough, Rudin Johnson, Leroy Kerth, Glen Lambertson, Fred Lothrop, Ross Nemetz, Douglas Pounds, Robert Pratt, Robert Richter, Joseph Smith, William A. Wenzel, Glen White, Emery Zajec. Engineering Groups were headed by Edward Hartwig, Electrical Engineering; Clarence Harris, Electrical Coordination; Harold Vogel and Gordon Harding, the Motor Generator Group; and William Salsig, Mechanical Engineering. Donald Milberger was in charge of the Electrical Maintenance Group.

REFERENCES


This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.