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Abstract

Oligomerization of the amyloid β-protein (Aβ) is a seminal event in Alzheimer's disease. Aβ42, which is only two amino acids longer than Aβ40, is particularly pathogenic. Why this is so has not been elucidated fully. We report here results of computational and experimental studies revealing a C-terminal turn at Val36–Gly37 in Aβ42 that is not present in Aβ40. The dihedral angles of residues 36 and 37 in an Ile31–Ala42 peptide were consistent with β-turns, and a β-hairpin-like structure was indeed observed that was stabilized by hydrogen bonds and by hydrophobic interactions between residues 31–35 and residues 38–42. In contrast, Aβ(31–40) mainly existed as a statistical coil. To study the system experimentally, we chemically synthesized Aβ peptides containing amino acid substitutions designed to stabilize or destabilize the hairpin. The triple substitution Gly33Val–Val36Pro–Gly38Val (“VPV”) facilitated Aβ42 hexamer and nonamer formation, while inhibiting formation of classical amyloid-type fibrils. These assemblies were as toxic as were assemblies from wild-type Aβ42. When substituted into Aβ40, the VPV substitution caused the peptide to oligomerize similarly to Aβ42. The modified Aβ40 was significantly more toxic than Aβ40. The double substitution D-Pro36–L-Pro37 abolished hexamer and dodecamer formation by Aβ42 and produced an oligomer size distribution similar to that of Aβ40. Our data suggest that the Val36–Gly37 turn could be the sine qua non of Aβ42. If true, this structure would be an exceptionally important therapeutic target.

Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common cause of late-life dementia.¹ The predominant cerebral neuropathological features of AD are extracellular amyloid deposits formed by the amyloid β-protein (Aβ), intracellular neurofibrillary tangles formed by the protein tau, and neuron loss.² Aβ is a product of proteolytic cleavage of the Aβ precursor (AβPP).³ Two predominant species of Aβ exist in humans, Aβ40 and Aβ42, which are distinguished by the absence or presence, respectively, of an Ile–Ala dipeptide at the C-terminal end of an identical 40-amino-acid peptide.⁴ Aβ42 is the principal protein component of parenchymal plaques.⁵–⁷ An increase in the absolute amount of Aβ42, or in the Aβ42/Aβ40 concentration ratio, is associated with familial forms of AD.⁸,⁹ In humans, reduction of Aβ42 concentration correlates with a decreased risk for AD.¹⁰ In vitro studies have shown that Aβ42 displays fibril nucleation and elongation rates that are significantly higher than
those of Aβ40 \[11\] and that Aβ42 forms larger oligomers than does Aβ40. \[12-16\] Importantly, the assemblies formed by Aβ42 are more toxic than are those formed by Aβ40. \[17\]

To execute strategies for knowledge-based design of therapeutic agents, one must move from the regimes of morphology and kinetics to that of atomic structure and dynamics. In this way, specific atoms and their movements can be correlated with the biological consequences of peptide folding and assembly, providing critical information for drug targeting and design. Previously, we used the method of photo-induced cross-linking of unmodified proteins (PICUP) to determine quantitatively the oligomer size frequency distribution. \[18,18\] Aβ40 and Aβ42 oligomerized through distinct pathways. Aβ40 predominately assembled into dimeric, trimeric, and tetrameric species, whereas Aβ42 formed pentamer/hexamer units (paranuclei) that further assembled into larger oligomers (dodecamers, octadecamers). \[19\] These results were confirmed and extended using ion mobility spectrometry–mass spectrometry. \[14\] Other dodecameric structures also have been described, including Aβ-derivsed diffusible ligands \[20\] and Aβ⁵\[6]\. \[21\] In addition, many other types of assemblies, ranging in size from dimer to micrometer-sized macrostructures (bamboo balls \[22\]), have been reported (for a recent review, see Roychaudhuri et al. \[3\]).

To elucidate, at atomic resolution, the conformational dynamics of Aβ40 and Aβ42 that contribute to their distinct physical and biological behaviors, we previously performed simulations on the respective monomeric Aβ peptides. \[23\] Initial studies using discrete molecular dynamics simulations with a four-bead peptide model showed that the C-terminal region was more structured in Aβ42 than in Aβ40 and was the key region driving Aβ42 assembly, whereas the central hydrophobic cluster dominated Aβ40 assembly. \[24\] In a later study, we observed that both peptides were largely disordered but that frequent turn-like features were exhibited by residues 6–9 (Turn #1, "T1"), 14–16 (T2), and 23–27 (T3). All three regions exist in both Aβ40 and Aβ42; thus, it is reasonable to speculate that these regions cannot alone contribute significantly to the idiotypic behavior of Aβ42. However, we did observe distinct behavior of the Aβ42 C-terminus (residues 31–42). This peptide segment tended to bend, resulting in the formation of a turn-like fold, involving residues 35–38 (T4), with a significantly larger number of intramolecular contacts than observed in Aβ40. Computational and experimental studies have shown that both peptides display little regular structure, but that the Aβ42 C-terminus is more rigid than that of Aβ40. \[25-27\] Lazo et al. \[28\] showed that the Aβ42 C-terminus is resistant to proteolytic digestion. \[28\] Taken together, these data suggest the existence of a folded structure at the Aβ42 C-terminus.

We discuss here the results of computational and experimental studies seeking to test the hypothesis that the C-terminal turn element is the sine qua non of Aβ42, the structural feature that imparts on Aβ42 its unique assembly properties and biological activity relative to Aβ40.

Results

Simulation of Aβ C-terminal conformational dynamics

We used replica-exchange molecular dynamics (REMD) simulations for a total of 3.2 μs to generate 20,000 conformations for Aβ(31–40/42). To determine whether the simulation had converged, we divided the conformational ensemble into two equal parts. Each part was subjected to secondary-structure analysis using the DSSP program. \[29\] The highly overlapped curves shown in (Fig. 1) suggest that the two conformational ensembles are similar, which in turn indicates convergence of the simulations. Demonstrating convergence was important because it showed that our simulation sampled sufficient volumes of the total conformational space to produce a representative subset of that space, from which meaningful data could be obtained.

---

**Fig. 1.** The conformational ensemble collected from the REMD simulation of Aβ42 was divided into two equal populations of 10,000 conformers each. Each population was then subjected to secondary-structure analysis using the DSSP program to determine the percentages of turn (upper panel) and antiparallel β-sheet (lower panel). The highly overlapped curves suggest that the two conformational ensembles are similar, indicating convergence of the simulations.
We then clustered the collected conformations with an RMSD threshold of 2 Å (Fig. 2). Though the Aβ42 C-terminus appears to be disordered overall, its most populated structure is a well-folded β-hairpin with residues 36 and 37 located at the i+1 and i+2 positions of the β-turn (Fig. 3, red arrows). This β-hairpin structure is stabilized by hydrogen bond interactions between Ile31:Ala42, Ile32:Ile41, Gly33:Val40, Leu34:Val39, and Met35:Gly38 and hydrophobic interactions between Ile31:Ile41, Leu34:Val39, and Val40:Met35. The second most populated C-terminus structure is also compact and contains a well-defined β-turn at residues 35–38 (Fig. 4). The occurrence frequency for these two structures combined is ≈10 times greater than the third most populated structure (Fig. 2). For this reason, we do not discuss the third most frequent conformational clusters or clusters of even smaller occurrence frequency. We also calculated the dihedral angles of residues 36 and 37 to quantify β-turn content, as the propensity of residues 36 and 37 to exist in a β-turn is closely related to the stability of the β-hairpin. The turn type adopted by residues 36 and 37 is not unique, as type I, type II, and ββIIb turns were observed at frequencies of 10%, 7.5%, and 7%, respectively (Table 1). We refer to these turns collectively as “β-turns.” In contrast to these data from Aβ42, the most populated Aβ40 C-terminus conformer displayed no regular secondary structure, and β-turn population by residues 35–38 (8%) was <1/3 that of Aβ42 (Table 1).

**Conformational dynamics of designed C-terminal peptide analogues**

If the β-hairpin structure determined were a relevant structural feature of holo-Aβ, we hypothesized that it should be possible to design de novo Aβ analogues containing amino acid substitutions that would stabilize the β-hairpin. To test this hypothesis, we first used MD simulations to determine whether specific amino acids would indeed stabilize the β-hairpin (Table 1). The first Aβ(31–42) peptide we designed contained D-Pro36–L-Pro37, as this sequence has been shown to stabilize β-hairpin structure significantly.30 This peptide is designated [pP]Aβ42. Unexpectedly, the simulation revealed that though significantly more (50%) β-turn structure was observed for residues 35–38, the most populated structure was actually a statistical coil (SC) and the overall conformational diversity was higher than that of wild-type Aβ(31–42) (Figs. 2 and 3). This peptide thus was studied to determine how destabilizing substitutions affected peptide dynamics.

We then designed a second Aβ42 analogue, but with an L-Pro36–L-Gly37 sequence that was reported to stabilize β-hairpin structure.31,32 In this peptide, we also replaced Gly33 and Gly38 with Val to reduce the flexibility of the peptide backbone and to strengthen putative hydrophobic interactions between the two predicted β-strands. We designate this peptide [VPV]Aβ42. With these modifications, β-hairpin content increased from 5.5% to 12.5%, and the β-turn population increased to 65%, as revealed by MD simulation (Figs. 2 and 3).

Because the [pP] substitution in Aβ42 did not stabilize its turn, we did not incorporate it into Aβ40 (see Fig. 3 for wild-type Aβ40 conformers). Instead, we focused on [VPV]Aβ40. We observed that this substitution did not produce a β-hairpin structure (Figs. 2 and 3), though higher β-turn content (35%) was observed for residues 35–38 (Table 1).

**Peptide secondary-structure dynamics**

To determine the temporal dynamics of peptide secondary structure, we monitored peptide assembly using CD (Figs. 5 and 6). Wild-type Aβ42 and Aβ40 initially displayed SC structures (Fig. 5a and b, respectively), which underwent rapid SC→β-sheet transitions to produce maximal β-sheet levels of
Relative to Aβ42, and to all the other peptides, [VPV] Aβ42 displayed significantly more β-structure initially (∼30%) and showed maximal β-structure at day 5 (Figs. 5 and 6). [VPV]Aβ40 displayed slower kinetics, not displaying maximal β-sheet structure until day 8 (Figs. 5d and 6). In contrast to the structural transitions observed for the other peptides, [pP]Aβ42 remained as an SC throughout the experiment (Figs. 5e and 6).

**Time evolution of β-sheet structure**

Thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence was used to monitor the time dependence of β-sheet formation during Aβ incubation (Fig. 7). Aβ40 and Aβ42 displayed rapid rises in fluorescence that peaked at days 4 and ∼2, respectively. These peaks were followed by declines, an observation that is typical for Aβ assembly. We did not observe a lag phase because relatively high peptide concentrations were used (∼35–40 μM). [VPV]Aβ40 displayed a monotonic increase in fluorescence that started at day 1 and peaked at day 6 at a level somewhat higher than that produced by Aβ40. [VPV]Aβ42, in contrast, produced substantial fluorescence immediately. The fluorescence intensity was ∼40% that of the maximal level displayed by Aβ42. The fluorescence remained relatively constant, or trended slightly downward, during the observation period. [pP]Aβ42 showed a very modest monotonic increase in fluorescence over time, producing a final fluorescence intensity that was <5% of the maximum levels of Aβ40 or Aβ42.

**Peptide oligomerization**

To determine the effects of the designed amino acid substitutions on peptide oligomerization, we
used the technique of PICUP. PICUP enables quantitative determination of the oligomer size frequency distribution. Cross-linking Aβ40 and Aβ42 produced typical distributions; namely, Aβ40 formed oligomers predominately of orders 2–4 (Fig. 8, lane 5) and Aβ42 formed oligomers of orders 2–6 (Fig. 8, lane 3). Un-cross-linked Aβ40 displayed only a monomer band, whereas un-cross-linked Aβ42 displayed monomer and trimer bands (results not shown), as has been reported previously. The [VPV]Aβ42 peptide oligomerized distinctly from its wild-type homologue (Fig. 8, lane 2). Prominent bands were observed with molecular masses of \( \approx 4.5 \) kDa, \( \approx 23 \) kDa, and \( \approx 28 \) kDa, corresponding to monomer, pentamer, and hexamer, respectively. Relatively faint bands with molecular masses of \( \approx 9 \) kDa and \( \approx 18 \) kDa, corresponding to dimer and tetramer, were observed. No trimer band was observed. Bands of molecular mass \( \approx 42–56 \) kDa also were seen. These bands may correspond to nonamer–dodecamer. In contrast, the oligomer distribution of [pP]Aβ42 (Fig. 8, lane 1) was very similar to that of Aβ40, with the exception that the apparent molecular masses of each band were slightly higher due to the increased mass of this substituted Aβ42 peptide. The oligomer distribution of [VPV]Aβ40 (Fig. 8, lane 4) was distinct from that of wild-type Aβ40 (Fig. 8, lane 5). The [VPV]Aβ40 distribution was characterized by four prominent bands, monomer, dimer, a band between trimer and tetramer, and a band between tetramer and pentamer. This distribution displayed similarities to the distribution of wild-type Aβ42 in its relative paucity of trimer and greater abundance of higher-order oligomers.

Temporal changes in peptide assembly size

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) was used to monitor time-dependent changes in the distribution of oligomer sizes (Fig. S1). No significant time-dependent changes in the oligomer distributions of Aβ40 or [VPV]Aβ40 peptides were observed over a time period of 1 month. Both peptides formed small oligomers (\( R_H \approx 2 \) nm) and a broad distribution of larger assemblies. In Aβ40, assemblies of \( R_H \approx 10 \) nm and large aggregates with \( R_H \approx 60–80 \) nm were observed. In addition, occasional contributions to the scattering intensity from very large (many hundreds of nanometers) were observed. These contributions increased over time, as reflected by the decreasing scattering intensity noted for the shaded oligomer peaks. Additionally, numerous intensity spikes appeared after a few days (data not shown). Such intensity spikes indicate formation of very large aggregates that drift in and out of the scattering volume.

Table 1. Amino acid substitutions engineered into the Aβ sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aβ</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Structurea</th>
<th>β-Turnb (%)</th>
<th>Oligomers formedc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aβ42</td>
<td>31IIGLMVGGVIA</td>
<td>β-Hairpin</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[VPV]Aβ42</td>
<td>31IIVLMPGV/VIA</td>
<td>β-Hairpin</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1, 5, 6, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[pP]Aβ42</td>
<td>31IIIGLMpPGVIA</td>
<td>Statistical coil</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aβ40</td>
<td>31IIGLVMGGEV</td>
<td>Statistical coil</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[VPV]Aβ40</td>
<td>31IIIVMPGVV</td>
<td>Statistical coil</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1, 3, 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The substituted positions are highlighted in bold italics. Lowercase p signifies p-Pro.
a Structure of the predominant full-length conformer in the population.
b Structure of residues 35–38 defined by dihedral angle.
c Numbers indicate the assembly “order,” that is, the number of monomers per oligomer, observed by SDS-PAGE. Monomers are signified by “1.”
In comparison to the Aβ40 system, Aβ42 and [VPV]Aβ42 displayed more prominent contributions from oligomers. This means that many fewer 60- to 80-nm aggregates were present. The oligomer fraction remained stable over a month of observation. In addition, oligomers of [VPV]Aβ42 had...
R_H \approx 8 \text{ nm}. This size was less than the 10-nm size typically observed in A\beta_{42} experiments\textsuperscript{19,37} and may reflect a difference in oligomer structure of A\beta_{42} and [VPV]A\beta_{42}. Interestingly, [pP]A\beta_{42} behaved much more like A\beta_{40}. It predominantly formed small oligomers with R_H \approx 2 \text{ nm}, and no significant increase in size occurred. Some larger aggregates were present that had R_H \approx 20–30 \text{ nm}. These aggregates were much smaller than those in the A\beta_{40} samples and scattered much less light. As a consequence, the relative contribution of the 2-nm oligomer fraction in [pP]A\beta_{42} was very prominent.

Fig. 6. Kinetics of \beta\text{-strand formation. Data from the experiments shown in Fig. 5 were deconvolved using DichroWeb\textsuperscript{33} to yield percent \beta\text{-strand content for each peptide on each day of observation. These data then were combined to produce the figure shown.}

Fig. 7. Kinetics of \beta\text{-sheet formation. Peptides were incubated in 10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, for 7 days at 37 °C with slow inversion. Aliquots were removed every 24 h to determine the level of ThT fluorescence. Error bars show standard error, which, in some cases, are smaller than the figure symbols.

Fig. 8. Analysis of A\beta oligomerization. Each peptide was solvated freshly from the lyophilized state and then immediately subjected to PICUP, followed by SDS-PAGE and silver staining. Lane 1, [pP]A\beta_{42}; lane 2, [VPV]A\beta_{42}; lane 3, A\beta_{42}; lane 4, [VPV]A\beta_{40}; and lane 5, A\beta_{40}. “MWM” is molecular weight marker. The data in the experiment shown are essentially identical with those observed in two other independent experiments performed on different days.

A\beta assembly morphology

To determine if assembly stage-specific differences in morphology existed among the different A\beta peptides, we examined aliquots of the assembly reactions using electron microscopy (EM). The kinetics of assembly differs among the peptides. For this reason, examination of different peptide samples at the same times would not allow morphologic comparisons of each peptide at the same stage of assembly. To control for this variance, we used a temporal normalization procedure. In an independent set of experiments, we monitored the time-dependent evolution of \beta\text{-sheet structure. We determined \beta\text{-sheet content at the initiation of peptide incubation (t_0 = 0\%) and at the time at which \beta\text{-sheet content was maximal (t_0 = 100\%). We then determined the half-time (t_0 = 50\%) for this process. Within experimental error, this kinetics was reproducible, which allowed us in subsequent experiments to remove aliquots of each peptide for EM analysis at equivalent assembly stages. However, in addition, CD monitoring was done on the actual samples used for EM to ensure that aliquot removal was done at equivalent stages. Each aliquot was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C until analysis. Importantly, the thawed samples were used concurrently for EM and cytotoxicity assays (see below) to ensure that rigorous structure–activity correlations could be accomplished.

Initially, small (10–30 nm diameter) circular or irregular structures were observed in the A\beta_{40}
(Fig. 9, panel $t_\beta=0$) and Aβ42 (Fig. 9, panel $t_\beta=0$) samples. [VPV]Aβ40 formed structures that were larger than those of Aβ40, ≈20–30 nm in diameter compared with ≈10–20 nm. Each of the [VPV]Aβ mutants produced structures that were larger in size than their wild-type Aβ42 counterparts and often were found clumped into larger superstructures. [VPV]Aβ42 formed a mixture of spherical oligomers ranging in size from 13 to 20 nm and worm-like aggregates that were ≥100 nm in size. [pP]Aβ42 formed comparatively smaller structures than did [VPV]Aβ42.

At $t_\beta=50\%$ point in assembly, Aβ40 and [VPV]Aβ40 formed aggregates containing globular units of 5–10 nm diameter and 10–40 nm diameter, respectively. Aβ42 formed globular species with diameter ranging from 20 to 30 nm. [VPV]Aβ40 formed globular structures ranging in diameter from 50 to 100 nm. Small numbers of fibrils, with diameters of 10–20 nm, also were observed. [pP]Aβ42 formed comparatively smaller structures than did [VPV]Aβ42. Some irregular structures had diameters of 20 nm. Others appeared to cluster in aggregates with sizes ranging from 40 to 100 nm. Each respective Aβ assembly formed at $t_\beta=50\%$ was larger than that observed at $t_\beta=0\%$.

Cursory examination of the [VPV]Aβ42 assemblies suggested that the distribution of sizes might not be continuous. For this reason, we determined quantitatively the size frequency distribution of the assemblies (Fig. 10). The distribution showed that the predominant assembly diameter was 50 nm. Substantial numbers of structures with diameters of 36 nm, 43 nm, and 57 nm also were observed. At $t_\beta=100\%$, Aβ40 and [VPV]Aβ40 formed fibrils that ranged in diameter from 5 to 10 nm and from 8 to 10 nm, respectively. Aβ42 produced a dense meshwork of fibrils with diameters of 10–15 nm. Many of the fibrils appeared helical with a pitch of ≈40 nm. [VPV]Aβ42 displayed quasicrystalline structures (Fig. 9, white arrow), along with fibrils. The quasicrystalline structures were 20–60 nm in length and 40–80 nm in diameter and resembled railroad tracks and ties (Fig. 9, inset). Needle-like fibrils also were observed (Fig. 9, yellow arrow), and these had diameters of 5 nm, thinner than those of Aβ42. In contrast to the other four peptides, [pP]Aβ42 did not form fibrils but rather remained in a relatively amorphous state characterized by masses of assemblies dispersed throughout the grid.

Cytotoxicity assays

To establish structure–activity relationships, we performed two types of cytotoxicity assays, MTS (3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-5-[3-carboxymethoxyphenyl]-2-[4-sulfophenyl]-2H-tetrazolium) and LDH (lactate dehydrogenase). The MTS assay was employed to evaluate the effects of the assemblies on cellular metabolism, including MTS reduction and exocytosis, and the LDH assay was performed to evaluate cell viability (plasma membrane integrity). Aβ samples were prepared as they were for EM studies and then the samples were added to rat primary hippocampal and cortical neurons.
Samples assayed immediately after preparation (t₀ = 0%) had no significant effect on MTS metabolism (Fig. 11). However, at t₀ = 50%, all the peptides except Aβ40 were toxic (p < 0.01). The toxicity of [VPV]Aβ40 trended lower than those of the Aβ42 peptides, but this difference was not statistically significant. At t₀ = 100%, [VPV]Aβ42, [VPV]Aβ42, and [VPV]Aβ40 remained as toxic as they were at t₀ = 50%. The toxicity of Aβ42 trended toward greater toxicity than the control, but the difference was insignificant statistically. Aβ40 remained nontoxic.

Results for the LDH assay were consistent with those of the MTS assay (Fig. 12). At t₀ = 0%, no significant toxicity was observed for any of the peptides. At t₀ = 50%, large, statistically significant (p < 0.01) increases in LDH activity were seen for all the Aβ42 peptides and for [VPV]Aβ40. Aβ40 toxicity was significantly higher than that of the control (p < 0.05), but the absolute increase was small. The
Discussion

Aβ40 and Aβ42 have been found to oligomerize in two distinct manners. Aβ40 forms primarily dimers, trimers, and tetramers, whereas Aβ42 assembles into pentamer/hexamer units (paranuclei) that can self-associate to produce dodecamers and hexadecamers. Interestingly, experimental and in silico studies suggest that the overall conformational dynamics of the two peptides are similar, with the exception of their C-termini. The C-terminus of Aβ42 is more rigid, an observation likely due to the stabilization of the C-terminus as a β-hairpin. DLS experiments also revealed that [VPV]Aβ42 formed oligomers that were more stable than those of Aβ42 (Fig. S1).

To investigate how the stabilized and destabilized β-turn affected oligomerization, we used PICUP and SDS-PAGE (Fig. 8). Stabilization of the β-turn, in the form of the [VPV]Aβ42 peptide, produced a tri-nodal distribution involving primarily monomer, pentamer/hexamer, and nonamer. The decreased dispersity of this distribution combined with the appearance of higher-order oligomers is consistent with the behavior of a peptide that could be characterized as a "super Aβ42." Such a peptide explores a much more restricted volume of conformational space than does its wild-type homologue, a volume comprising oligomeric conformational states of lower overall free energies or relatively high transitional activation energies. In the mirror-image experiment involving turn destabilization, the oligomer distribution of [pP]Aβ42 was indistinguishable, within experimental error, from that of Aβ40. This demonstrates that destabilization of the C-terminal β-turn converts Aβ42 into Aβ40.

Unlike wild-type or [VPV]Aβ42, [VPV]Aβ40 formed β-rich fibrillar structures, though at slower pace than Aβ42 and Aβ40. This was not surprising considering the decreased number of hydrogen bond donors/acceptors and the decreased hydrophobic interaction potential at the C-terminus of the Aβ40 peptides compared with the Aβ42 peptides. Only three residues exist after the turn proper in the Aβ40 system, as opposed to five in the Aβ42 system. This means that although the VPV substitution enables formation of a relatively stable turn, the overall stability is lower due to the lack of the other two amino acids. Nevertheless, the VPV substitutions alone are sufficient to support β-turn formation at residues 36 and 37 of Aβ40 and thus produce a C-terminal structure engendering Aβ42-like behavior in [VPV]Aβ40.

Aβ42 formed assemblies resembling strings of spherical oligomers at f1 = 50% (Fig. 9), the midpoint...
of the assembly process. These structures also were observed with [VPV]Aβ42, but they remained dispersed and did not coalesce into protofibrils, suggesting that the [VPV]Aβ42 oligomers were more stable than those formed by Aβ42. Assemblies formed by both peptides exhibited significant and similar levels of neurotoxic activity (Fig. 12). After 5 days of incubation, Aβ42 formed amyloid fibrils and [VPV]Aβ42 formed quasicrystalline structures. Interestingly, at this time point, Aβ42 toxicity declined. This might have been due to the formation of macromolecular aggregates that had decreased intrinsic toxicity or decreased ability to diffuse to and interact with cell membranes. In contrast, the toxicity of [VPV]Aβ42, which remained in oligomeric form, remained undiminished. [pP]Aβ42 also was toxic and its toxicity remained high both at $t_0 = 50\%$ and at $t_0 = 100\%$. For each mutant Aβ42 peptide, substantially less higher-order assembly was observed relative to the wild-type peptide form, consistent with an enhanced toxic potency of the oligomeric assemblies.\textsuperscript{16,17} Consistent with a potential relationship between oligomer content and toxicity, [VPV]Aβ40 was quite toxic in MTS and LDH assays, unlike its wild-type homologue. In addition, after incubation for 5 days, [VPV]Aβ40 toxicity was equivalent to that of wild-type Aβ42 (Fig. 12), as would be predicted for an Aβ42-like peptide.

In previous experiments, we produced pure, stable dimers, trimers, and tetramers of Aβ40 and showed that each species seeded growth of amyloid fibrils.\textsuperscript{16} Seeding capacity depended directly on the extent of structural order within each oligomer population, as determined by CD and ThT analyses. This suggested that these oligomers shared at least some structural features with fibrils. Pentamers and hexamers were not studied. Fibril models have suggested that the C-termini of Aβ40 and Aβ42 form parallel, in-register β-strands.\textsuperscript{40,41} However, structural diversity exists among fibril populations because differences in fibril preparation method produce fibrils of differing morphology.\textsuperscript{42} Our results provide one mechanistic interpretation for these results, namely, that differences in monomer C-terminal structure drive assembly down different pathways (Fig. 13). In the simplest case, low-order oligomers (dimers, trimers, tetramers, and certain types of pentamer or hexamer) possess C-termini that do not form β-hairpins. This state exists not only in the Aβ40 system in particular\textsuperscript{14} but also in the Aβ42 system, and it gives rise to classical amyloid-type fibrils (Fig. 13a). When stable C-terminal β-hairpins do exist, pentamers and hexamers (paranuclei) are stabilized, which simultaneously hinders the formation of dimers, trimers, and tetramers (Fig. 13b). One mechanism for this stabilization may be the increase in hydrophobic surface created by turn formation at residues 35–38, which facilitates inter- and intrapeptide interactions leading to and stabilizing oligomers. This is especially evident in the case of [VPV]Aβ42. The result of this stabilization is subsequent formation of distinct fibrillar structures with relatively small aspect ratios and a unique (“railroad tracks and ties”) morphology.

In contrast, [pP]Aβ42 cannot form paranucleus because its C-terminus cannot fold into the...
necessary β-hairpin structure. Other C-terminal turns may exist. Ahmed et al., using low-temperature and low-salt conditions to produce Aβ42 pentamers, reported that residues 37 and 38 underwent hydrogen–deuterium exchange, whereas flanking residues did not, suggesting that these two residues adopted a turn-like conformation.43 This turn position previously had been proposed in in silico modeling studies.24,44 More recently, Rajadas et al. replaced Gly37–Gly38 with Pro–Gly and found that the substitutions caused Aβ42 to form more stable oligomers, but these oligomers were relatively disordered.45 It is noteworthy that a recent study suggested that β-hairpins involving Gly–Gly are relatively unstable.29 Murakami et al. suggested that a turn is centered at residues 38–39 and that this turn may be responsible for bringing the C-terminal carboxylate anion close to an S-oxidized radical cation of Met35, thus stabilizing it.46 These other turn positions are different from that reported here but, taken together, emphasize the importance of C-terminal turn formation in controlling Aβ oligomerization and higher-order assembly.

Recently, a very interesting new structure, the “cylindrin,” was described.47 This hexamer of peptide undecamers forms a cylindrical structure that has secondary-structure, immunological (A11+), and toxicity characteristics similar to those of Aβ para-nuclei. It is possible that one or more short Aβ peptide segments could form a cylindrical core that organizes paranucleus formation. No evidence yet exists for this possibility, but the question currently is under active study.

In summary, our data suggest that the C-terminal Val36–Gly37 turn is the sine qua non of Aβ42. Facilitating its formation in Aβ40 creates a more Aβ42-like peptide. Stabilizing the turn in Aβ42 creates a “super Aβ42.” The VPV substitutions stabilized the β-hairpin and facilitated Aβ42 para-nuclei formation. [VPV]Aβ42 assemblies were neurotoxic and comprised a population with few classical amyloid-like fibrils but with substantial numbers of unusual, short, quasicrystalline structures resembling railroad tracks and ties. Destabilizing the turn in Aβ42 makes this peptide “Aβ40-like.” This makes the turn a particularly attractive and important target for therapeutic agents. In addition, our engineered mutants should be useful tools for mechanistic studies of Aβ neurotoxicity because of the relatively high stability of the oligomers formed.

Materials and Methods

Molecular dynamics simulation

We previously used the Generalized Born implicit solvent model48 and REMD for our simulations, obtaining a qualitative picture of the conformational dynamics of full-length Aβ40 and Aβ42.23 However, modeling solvent implicitly may preclude the definition of the high-resolution structure of Aβ because these models do not represent the explicit atomic interactions between water and protein molecules and they may underestimate the frictional effects of water molecules surrounding the protein.49 As a result, peptide populations may appear to possess higher conformational freedom and lower structural stability, when in fact they do not.

Simulation using full-length Aβ in explicit water remains impractical, as it requires enormous computational resources.29 For this reason, we study the representative C-terminal folding units of Aβ40 and Aβ42, Aβ(31–40), and Aβ(31–42), respectively. Aβ23 and many other proteins49 comprise autonomous or semiautonomous folding units (“foldons”).50 The study of the conformational dynamics of these foldons can provide information relevant to the segmental folding of the holoprotein.49,50 For Aβ, a large body of computational work has been done successfully on the Aβ(21–30) segment that comprises a peptide monomer folding nucleus.57,58,59,60 These computational studies confirmed and extended prior experimental studies of the decapptide and of the full-length Aβ peptide.57,58

Simulations were performed with the SANDER module of the Amber simulation package (version 10).56 The peptides were modeled by PARM99SB, a recently improved all-atom force field.57 An extended copy of the peptide was heated to 300 K and subjected to a 20-ps MD run. The final conformation was then used as the starting conformation for the production runs. The starting conformers were desolvated in an octahedral TIP3P water box.58 The minimum distance of a protein atom to the edge of the box was 12 Å. A single Na+ ion was added to the system to maintain system neutrality. This system models a very dilute aqueous peptide solution at neutral pH. The system was minimized by 1000 steps of energy minimization to release geometry collision before being subjected to 500 ps of equilibration at NTP (1 bar and 298 K). REMD simulations then were performed. Sixty-four replicas that exponentially spanned the temperature range 270–600 K were created. The temperature of the system was regulated using the Langevin dynamics algorithm59 with a collision frequency of 3.0 ps⁻¹. The particle mesh Ewald summation method60 was used to treat the long-range electrostatic interaction. During the simulation, hydrogen atoms were constrained using the SHAKE algorithm.61 The integration time step was 2 fs. Exchange between replicas was attempted every 2 ps. Other relevant parameters were set by default. For each replica, the simulation length was 50 ns and 50,000 conformations were collected. The first 30 ns was treated as equilibration and the last 20 ns was used for data analysis.

In our studies here, each peptide was subjected to 50 ns of REMD simulations at 298 K. The first 30 ns was used to equilibrate the system. The production run comprised 20,000 conformations collected from the last 20 ns. To determine if the simulation had converged, we divided the last 20 ns of data into two equal parts and then subjected each to secondary-structure analysis using DSSP.28 The extent of overlap of the curves suggests that the two conformational ensembles are highly similar, indicating convergence (Fig. S1).
Peptide synthesis

Aβ40, Aβ42, and their analogues were synthesized using 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl chemistry and purified by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography, essentially as previously described. The identity and purity (usually >97%) of the peptides were confirmed by amino acid analysis followed by mass spectrometry and reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography.

Preparation of low-molecular-weight Aβ42

Two hundred micrograms of each peptide lyophilizate was dissolved in 10% (v/v) 60 mM NaOH, followed by 45% (v/v) MilliQ water. The pH was adjusted to 7.5 by addition of 45% (v/v) 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.5, yielding final nominal concentrations of 25–80 μM (depending on the experiment) in 4.5 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5. The peptide solution was then sonicated for 1 min in a Branson ultrasonic water bath (Branson Ultrasonics Corp., Danbury CT) and then centrifuged at 16,000g at room temperature (RT; usually 22 °C) for 10 min. The supernatant fluid was filtered using a 0.2-μm Anotop filter and placed on ice. The filtrate is defined as "low-molecular-weight" (LMW) Aβ and comprises an equilibrium mixture of monomer and low-order oligomers. Protein concentrations of these and other preparations were determined by quantitative amino acid analysis, unless otherwise indicated.

Photo-induced chemical cross-linking of Aβ

Aβ oligomerization was studied using PICUP, essentially as previously described. Briefly, LMW Aβ was prepared at a concentration of 25–35 μM in 4.5 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.5, at RT. Cross-linking was performed by adding 18 μL of sample to a 0.2-ml volume PCR tube. One microliter of 2 mM Tris (2,2'-bipyridyl) dichlororuthenium (II)hexahydrate [Ru (bpy)₂Cl₂] and 1 sodium phosphate, pH 7.5, at RT. Cross-linking was performed by adding 18 μL of sample to a 0.2-ml volume PCR tube. One microliter of 2 mM Tris (2,2'-bipyridyl) dichlororuthenium (II)hexahydrate [Ru (bpy)₂Cl₂] and 1 μL of 40 mM ammonium persulfate were then added, after which the tube was irradiated for 1 s with visible light. The reaction was quenched immediately with 1 μL of 1 M dithiothreitol and the sample was then placed on ice. The filtrate is defined as “low-molecular-weight” (LMW) Aβ and comprises an equilibrium mixture of monomer and low-order oligomers. Protein concentrations of these and other preparations were determined by quantitative amino acid analysis, unless otherwise indicated.

Circular dichroism spectroscopy

LMW Aβ solutions were prepared at a concentration of 60–80 μM. After sonication, the peptide samples were incubated at 37 °C with slow inversion on a MiniLabroller (Edison, NJ). CD spectroscopy was then performed every 24 h using a JASCO J-810 spectropolarimeter (Tokyo, Japan). The CD parameters were as follows: wavelength range of 190–260 nm, data pitch of 0.2 nm, continuous scan mode, scan speed of 100 nm/min, 1 s response, bandwidth of 2 nm, and an accumulation of 10 scans per sample. The spectra were smoothed using the different adaptive smoothing parameters within the data acquisition software (Spectra Manager). The data subsequently were deconvoluted using DichroWeb.

ThT fluorescence

ThT is a fluorescent dye that has been used to measure the time-dependent acquisition of β-sheet structure associated with fibrillar assemblies. ThT fluorescence does not measure fibril concentration per se (some fibrils do not possess the β-sheet structures to which ThT binds), but fluorescence intensities do correlate with Aβ fibril content. LMW Aβ peptides were prepared at nominal concentrations of 35–40 μM. The samples were incubated with slow end-over-end mixing (inversion) on a MiniLabroller. At 24-h intervals, 10 μL of each sample was removed and added to 190 μL of 20 μM ThT dissolved in the same buffer. The solution was vortexed gently and incubated for 5 min at RT, and then fluorescence was determined using a Hitachi 4500 fluorimeter (Tokyo, Japan). Readings were obtained at an excitation wavelength of 450 nm and an emission wavelength of 482 nm. The slit widths were 5 nm and 10 nm, respectively. The readings were repeated three times at intervals of 30 s and the mean of the blank-corrected three readings was calculated. “Blanks” contained 20 μM ThT in buffer.

Dynamic light scattering spectroscopy

DLS complements PICUP. It requires no chemical stabilization of oligomers and its sensitivity increases with increasing oligomer molecular weight. PICUP, in contrast, is particularly useful for quantitation of low-order oligomer frequency distributions, but because cross-linking efficiency is <100%, it becomes increasingly inaccurate as molecular weight rises. Figure S1 shows the temporal evolution of the size distributions of the wild-type and modified Aβ peptides, Aβ40, Aβ42, and their respective mutants were dissolved at a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, briefly vortexed, sonicated for 20 s, and filtered using a 20-μm Anotop filter. Samples were subjected to DLS spectroscopy at RT for 7–10 days. Measurements were done using a custom optical setup comprising a 40-mW He–Ne laser (λ = 633 nm) (Coherent, Santa Clara, CA) and a PD2000DLS detector/correlator unit (Precision Detectors, Bellingham, MA). Light scattering was measured at an angle of 90°. The intensity correlation function and the diffusion constant (D) frequency distribution were determined using Precision Deconvolve software (Precision Detectors). Hydrodynamic radius (R_h) values were obtained from those for D using the Stokes–Einstein relationship. D = kT/6πηR_h, allowing inferences to be made about the distribution of scatterer sizes.

Electron microscopy

Formvar 400-mesh grids were glow discharged on a MED 010 EM glow discharge apparatus containing a
cylindrical discharge compartment and an adjacent discharge control and timer unit. Peptide samples were mixed thoroughly and 8 μL of sample was layered carefully on the grid. The grid was incubated for 20 min under cover to prevent dust accumulation. After incubation, the solution was carefully drained using a filter paper wick by gently touching the tip of the filter paper to the edge of the grid. Five microliters of 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde was added to the grid, which was then incubated for 3 min in the absence of light. The glutaraldehyde solution was removed after incubation using a filter paper wick. Five microliters of 1% (w/v) uranyl acetate was applied to the grid, which was incubated for 3 min in the dark. The solution was blotted away and the grids were air dried and examined on a JEOL 1200 EX transmission electron microscope.

Primary neuronal cultures

Rat cortical cultures were established from embryonic day 17 fetuses, as described previously. Briefly, the brain tissue was dissociated into a single-cell suspension by incubation with 0.25% trypsin/phosphate-buffered saline at 37 °C for 30 min and mechanical dissociation using a fire-polished glass Pasteur pipette. Cells were plated at a density of 20,000 cells/cm² on glass coverslips in 35- and 100-mm culture dishes. Two hours after plating, the medium was changed to Neurobasal plus N2 and B27 supplements (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY). Cells were maintained at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ with 50% of the medium changed every 5 days. Cells were treated with various preparations of Aβ and B27 supplements (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY). Cells were maintained at 37 °C for 30 min and mechanical dissociation using a fire-polished glass Pasteur pipette. Cells were plated at a density of 20,000 cells/cm² on glass coverslips in 35- and 100-mm culture dishes. Two hours after plating, the medium was changed to Neurobasal plus N2 and B27 supplements (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY). Cells were maintained at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ with 50% of the medium changed every 5 days. Cells were treated with various preparations of Aβ at 14 days in vitro for 12 and 24 h.

Neurotoxicity assays

Cell death was assessed by quantifying LDH release using the CytoTox 96 kit (Promega, Madison, WI). Cells were treated with Aβ peptides removed at different time points from the CD reaction mixtures. Each aliquot was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at −85 °C until assay. LDH released into the culture supernate due to Aβ-induced cell lysis was measured with a 30-min coupled enzymatic assay that resulted in the conversion of a tetrazolium salt (INT) into a red formazan product. The amount of color formed is proportional to the number of living cells.

Mitochondrial oxidoreductase activity was determined by analyzing the conversion of a tetrazolium compound to formazan. The reagent MTS is reduced by mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase in complex II (succinate/ubiquinone oxidoreductase complex) and possibly other complexes of the electron transport chain (CellTiter 96 AQueous; Promega). The quantity of formazan product measured by analyzing the conversion of a tetrazolium salt (INT) into a red formazan product. The amount of color formed is proportional to the number of living cells.

Protein concentrations were determined using the BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, CA), using the microtiter plate protocol. The concentrations of the Aβ40 and Aβ42 peptides were adjusted with 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.5, to maintain uniformity. The final peptide concentration used in both assays was 2.5 μM.
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‡ Throughout this publication, we refer to both the “turn” and the “hairpin.” The former term is restricted to Val36 and Gly37 and its neighboring residues, Met35 and Gly38, which are involved in H-bond formation that stabilizes the turn. The term “hairpin” refers to the global structure of the Aβ(31–42) peptide, a structure that must possess a turn to exist.
§ In the context of oligomerization experiments, the term “order” refers to the number of Aβ monomers comprising the assembly.
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