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Abstract To determine nitrogen (N) fate and environmental impact of applying anaerobic digestion slurry (ADS) to rice paddy (Oryza sativa L.), a field experiment was established using three treatments based on contrasting N application rate. The ADS (with ammonium-N accounting for >80 % of total N) treatment at a conventional application rate of 270 kg N ha⁻¹ was compared to a negative control (no N fertilizer) and a positive control of urea applied at 270 kg N ha⁻¹. The N budget showed the following distribution of applied N from ADS and urea: 41.3±5.1 % for ADS and 36.6±4.4 % for urea recovered by the rice plant (including straw, grain, and root), 16.4±3.7 % for ADS and 7.4±1.8 % for urea lost via ammonia volatilization, 0.26±0.15 % for ADS and 0.15±0.12 % for urea lost by direct N₂O emission, 1.9±0.5 % for ADS and 2.3±0.8 % for urea leached downward, 0.70±0.15 % for ADS and 0.67±0.12 % for urea discharged with floodwater drainage, and 39.4±8.4 % for ADS and 53.0±9.1 % for urea retained by soil or lost by N₂ emission. Compared to urea application, ADS application impacts the environment mainly through gaseous N losses rather than water N losses. ADS application had a positive impact on rice grain yield and reduced chemical fertilizer use. Considering the wide distribution of paddy fields and the ever-increasing quantities of ADS, ADS may serve as a valuable N source for rice cultivation, although mitigating ammonia and N₂O losses should be further investigated.

Keywords Anaerobically digested slurry · Ammonia volatilization · Denitrification · Nitrogen-use efficiency · Paddy field

Introduction

Excessive reactive nitrogen (N) in the environment is of increasing concern worldwide as it induces a greenhouse effect, acid rain, nitrate pollution in groundwater, and eutrophication of surface water (Galloway et al. 2004; Yan et al. 2011). Fertilization in agricultural systems has been recognized as one of the main sources of excessive reactive N in water and atmospheric environments (Galloway et al. 2004; Giles 2005). Therefore, when an alternative source of N (such as anaerobically digested slurry) is available to widely supplement or replace a common N fertilizer source (such as urea) for crop cultivation, caution should be given to the availability of N to crops as well as environmental impacts.

As a liquid by-product in anaerobic digestion of organic waste, anaerobically digested slurry (ADS), is rich in N and offers a beneficial N source for crop cultivation (Arthurson 2009). Field application of ADS may reduce greenhouse gas emissions (Amon et al. 2006; Meijide et al. 2007) and mitigate water pollution from direct discharge of ADS (Cho et al. 2000; Lu et al. 2012) to surface waters. However, application of ADS to farmland is still not widespread, and
knowledge concerning the fate of N applied as ADS over the course of a growing season is limited (Ghoneim et al. 2008; Lu et al. 2012). Due to the increasing popularity of anaerobic digestion to reduce organic waste and produce renewable energy in the form of biogas, the availability of ADS has dramatically increased in many parts of the world (Abraham et al. 2007; Arthurson 2009; Yu et al. 2010; Zhou et al. 2012). In China alone, about 600 million m³ of ADS was generated in 2010 (Yu et al. 2010; Lu et al. 2012). Therefore, increasing use of ADS for crop cultivation is a promising way for consuming the increasing quantities of ADS and preventing adverse environmental impacts (Hou et al. 2007; Palm 2008; Arthurson 2009). Paddy field is the largest irrigated farming system globally and the most important source of food in Asia (Zhao et al. 2009; Zhou et al. 2011; Lu et al. 2012); thus, it provides a broad opportunity for the beneficial use and disposal of ADS. Referring to the average total N concentration in ADS (∼650 mg l⁻¹) reported by Yu et al. (2010) and (Lu et al. 2012), currently available volume of ADS offers a potential N source that corresponds to 4% of total N applied quantity for rice cultivations in China (i.e., 1.88 million Mg, Xing and Zhu 2000). However, before ADS can be effectively and widely used for rice cultivation, there is an urgent need to determine the fate of ADS N in a paddy field system to assess the availability of ADS N to rice plant and the potential environmental impacts.

Although the general mechanisms of N transformations and losses in conventional paddy fields are well known (Galloway et al. 2004; Giles et al. 2005; Yan et al. 2011), the fate of N from ADS has not been rigorously evaluated. The special properties of ADS, such as strong reduction potential, strong alkalinity, dominance of NH₄⁺, and high content of organic matter (Hou et al. 2007; Sänger et al. 2010; Lu et al. 2012), appreciably alter N transformation pathways in ADS applied to paddy fields. Previous studies indicated that N lost by ammonia volatilization from ADS was much higher than from chemical fertilizer in paddy fields (Hou et al. 2007; Sunaga et al. 2009). A nitrogen-15-labeled experiment found that rice grain, straw, and roots had a lower uptake percentage but higher percentage of soil N retention from ADS than from chemical fertilizer (Ghoneim 2008; Ghoneim et al. 2012). For ADS applied paddy field, N₂O emission was significantly higher than for chemical fertilizer (Moeller and Stinner 2009; Senbayram et al. 2009). A chamber incubation experiment also indicated N₂O emission from a flooded soil was increased by ADS application compared with mineral N application (Sänger et al. 2011). However, other studies found that there were no significant differences in either N₂O emission or nitrate concentration in drainage water between the ADS and chemical fertilizer applied to paddy fields (Sawamoto et al. 2010; Win et al. 2010; Sasada et al. 2011). Similarly, a recent study suggested that ADS application did not increase N losses to surface water and groundwater compared with urea application (Lu et al. 2012). In general, current studies examining ADS application to paddy fields have restricted investigations to a single N cycling process. Little quantitative information is available concerning the distribution of N from ADS that is lost to water and atmospheric pathways, recovered by the rice plant, or retained in the soil.

The objective of this study was to explore the N fate and environmental impacts associated with ADS fertilized paddy fields over the course of a rice growing season under field conditions. The N inputs from irrigation water, precipitation, residual load in paddy field before rice seeding transplanting and fertilizer (ADS or urea), as well as N outputs by floodwater drainage, leaching, rice plant uptake, NH₃ volatilization, and N₂O emission were quantified. In addition, the N input through biological N fixation was considered since biological N₂ fixation accounts for a considerable rate of N input to paddy fields during the rice growing season (Xue et al. 2011). The different impacts of ADS and urea on water and atmospheric N pathways, rice plant N recovery efficiency, and biomass production were assessed. Because there is no direct method for denitrification measurements in paddy soil (Zhao et al. 2009), N budgets were calculated to bracket N fluxes to soil retention and N₂ emission.

Material and methods

Experimental design

A paddy field experiment was conducted between March and November 2010 in Jiaxing region, Zhejiang Province, China (130° 83’ N, 120° 84’ E), which is within the catchment of Taihu Lake. The area has a subtropical monsoon climate with an average annual ambient temperature of 16.4 °C and an average annual rainfall of 1,200 mm with 550 mm during the rice growing season. The soil is a grayed paddy soil (clay loam, mesic Mollic Endoaquents) with the following characteristics in the top 20-cm layer: organic matter 2.3–2.5 %, total N 3.0–3.1 g kg⁻¹, available N by alkaline hydrolysis 124–127 mg kg⁻¹, available phosphorus by bicarbonate extraction 4.5–7.0 mg kg⁻¹, total potassium 1,010–1,141 mg kg⁻¹, and soil bulk density 1.2 g cm⁻³. The anaerobically digested slurry (ADS) originated from a biogas production plant at a pig breeding farm. The ADS was stored in a pond for 7 to 10 days near the experimental site before application. The ADS contained relatively high concentrations of nutrients and oxidizable organic matter as measured by manganese oxidation (Table 1).

Nine plots, each 4×5 m, were separated by 1 m deep concrete board, and all plots were bordered by a 0.40 m
Table 1 Properties of the applied anaerobically digested slurry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Eh (mv)</th>
<th>EC (μSm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total N X (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>NH₄-N (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>NO₃-N (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total K (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>TP (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>CODₘ₀ (mg l⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First application</td>
<td>-318.0</td>
<td>7,668</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second application</td>
<td>-288.7</td>
<td>6,991</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third application</td>
<td>-295.0</td>
<td>5,441</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1,189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deep trench (Fig. 1). The plots were installed 3 months prior to commencing the experiment—this was to ensure soil stabilization and minimize disturbance effects. To be comparable among all plots, the same water amount was irrigated to each plot during the rice growing season according to local agronomic practices. All plots received the same quantity of irrigated water by water meters (Fig. 1). Consistent with local agronomic practices, all plots were drained 42 days after rice transplanting and allowed to dry for 10 days to control non-productive tillering. This was followed by surface drainage 120 days after rice transplanting to prepare for harvest.

The experiment had three treatments based on input N loadings from chemical fertilizer or ADS for the period of one growing season (Table 2). The treatments were conducted in triplicate, and plots were selected in a randomized complete block design. All treatments were dosed to a level of 39.3 kg P ha⁻¹ with combinations of superphosphate or P from ADS (Table 2). The positive control (NF) had urea and KCl added such that they equaled the concentration of the ADS. The ADS treatment (NADS) contained 35.1 kg K ha⁻¹ and 270 kg N ha⁻¹. Consistent with local agronomic practices, the nutrient supply from ADS or chemical fertilizers was applied in three increments at 10-day intervals (2 July, 12 July, and 22 July) to each plot at an applied quantity ratio of 5:2:3. All chemical fertilizers were evenly dispersed by hand, and all ADS were applied by irrigation with flexible pipes in each plot. Rice (Oryza sativa L.) seedlings (25 days old) were transplanted at 200 × 200 mm spacing (480 plants per plot) on 2 July 2010. The rice was harvested on 3 November 2010.

Water quality and quantity monitoring

Floodwater from each plot was collected as a composite of seven to ten subsamples. Composite samples were collected at 1–3-day intervals after each N application. Thereafter, samples were collected at 10- to 28-day intervals. The pH was measured at the time of collection using a HYDROLab Multi-Parameter Data Sonde 5 (Hach Company, USA). Two water meters were installed to measure irrigation water volume and the water volume discharged after 42 and

---

**Fig. 1** Experimental setup for nitrogen balance in a paddy field fertilized with anaerobically digested slurry (ADS). IM is an inlet with a water meter for calculating the ADS or water irrigation quantity in each plot, OM is an outlet with a water meter for calculating the floodwater discharge quantity in each plot, WLR is a floodwater level recorder, and PPS is a pipe with a ceramic cup for percolation water sampling.
120 days (Fig. 1). Nine 1-m-length plastic rulers were set in each plot for measuring floodwater level at 3- to 15-day intervals. Percolating water samples were collected at 3–10-day intervals using modified PVC pipes (3 cm diameter) that had a soft plug sealing the top and a ceramic cup at the base (Fig. 1). Each plot had three separate monitoring points, and a manual vacuum pump was used to remove percolating water from each cup. To obtain the percolating water quantity during the rice growing season, a regression model for predicting daily water percolation ($P_n$, millimeters) for paddy fields with clay loam soil in the Taihu Lake region was adopted (Shi et al. 2010):

$$P_n = 0.05h_i + 0.153 R^2 0.93, \text{n} 0.76$$

where $h_i$ is the floodwater level at the $i$th day (millimeters). During the drying period, daily percolation rates were predicted as:

$$P_n = \frac{1,000H}{H + 175t_i} R^2 0.94, \text{n} 0.44$$

where $H$ is the rice main root length (meters) and $t_i$ is the duration day number after floodwater drainage. The average percolation rates were calculated from average rates for the entire rice growing season with daily values interpolated for intervals between measurements. Daily rainfall (provided by local weather bureau), irrigation inputs, floodwater level, and percolation rates for each treatment are shown in Fig. 2.

Floodwater and percolating water samples were collected in 1.5-l and 0.1-l polyethylene bottles, respectively, and then acidified with 1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution (15 ml H$_2$SO$_4$ per floodwater sample and 2 ml H$_2$SO$_4$ per percolating water sample). Samples were stored on ice, and chemical analyses were performed within 4 h after sampling. Total nitrogen (TN) concentration was determined spectrophotometrically after persulfate digestion (Ferree and Shannon 2001). Samples were made alkaline with 0.5 M NaOH and digested at 120 to 124 C with 0.15 M persulfate for 30 min. Samples were measured at 220 and 275 nm using a spectrophotometer (Shanghai Spectrum Instruments Co., Ltd, China). Samples for NH$_4^+$-N and NO$_3^-$-N analyses were all filtered through a prerinsed, nylon membrane filter (0.45 µm). NH$_4^+$-N concentration was determined using an Astoria Analyzer System (AAS, Brown Rupe CO. Ltd., Germany). Nitrate concentration was determined using a colorimetric assay measured at 220 and 275 nm (Shanghai Spectrum Instruments Co., Ltd, China) (Ferree and Shannon 2001). The average TN, NH$_4^+$-N, and NO$_3^-$-N concentrations in percolating waters were calculated from averaging daily concentrations throughout the rice growing season with daily concentrations interpolated for intervals between measurements.

**Gas emission sampling and analysis**

Ammonia volatilization was measured in triplicate using modified capture chambers (Fig. 3) as described by Wang et al. (2004). The chamber was made of a PVC tube (15 cm internal diameter and 30 cm high). Two pieces of round sponge (15 cm in diameter and 2 or 3 cm in thickness) were put into each chamber after they were moistened with 15 or 20 ml of phosphate-glycerol solution (dilute 50 ml analytical phosphate and 40 ml glycerol to 1,000 ml with pure water). Since the volume of the solution accounted for <5% of the sponge volume, the sponge was still ventilative after being moistened. The sponge inside the chamber absorbs NH$_3$ volatilized from soil, and the top sponge was for absorbing NH$_3$ from the ambient air. Glycerol was used to absorb moisture from the air in or outside the chamber and to prevent the sponges from drying. To prevent interferences from rainfall and other factors, a plastic frame with a sealed bottom and hollowed round was reversely covered on the PVC tube with a distance of 8 cm between the plastic frame bottom and the top sponge (Fig. 3). Ammonia in the phosphate-glycerol solution in each sponge inside the vented chamber was extracted with 1,000 ml 1 M KCl after 60 min of oscillation. Ammonium concentration (C, milligrams per liter) in the KCl extract solution was measured as per water quality analysis. Ammonia volatilization flux (AV, grams N per square meter per day) from the soil was estimated by the following formula:

$$AV = \frac{C}{1,000A}$$

where $A$ is the cross-section area (square meters) of the round chamber. To avoid the suppressive effect of the chamber on NH$_3$ volatilization, each capture chamber was relocated to a different site within each plot for replicate sampling. The capture duration for each sampling was 24 h commencing between 11:00 and 12:00 AM and with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADS</td>
<td>Superphosphate</td>
<td>ADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Table 2 Nutrient loadings from fertilizer or anaerobically digested slurry (ADS) during the rice growing season under different treatments (kilograms per hectare)
1–15-day intervals between measurements. The average NH$_3$ volatilization fluxes were calculated from averaging daily NH$_3$ fluxes throughout the period of rice growing with daily fluxes interpolated for intervals between measurements.

Using a static chamber (40×40×110 cm dimensions) for each plot, gas samples for N$_2$O emission were collected between 07:00 and 11:00 AM with 2–20-day intervals (Cai et al. 1997; Xing et al. 2002; Sunaga et al. 2009; Zhou et al. 2009; Win et al. 2010; Sänger et al. 2011). An electric fan was fixed below the ceiling of the chamber. When gas samples were collected, the chamber was placed 5–10 cm into the soil, and the electric fan was used to mix the gas. The gas inside the chamber was withdrawn with a 50-ml syringe at 1, 11, 21, and 31 min and immediately transferred into an evacuated vial with a volume of 10 ml (Lab-pha-pack GmbH, Germany). The vial was sealed with a butyl rubber septum and covered by a protective plastic cap. The N$_2$O concentration was analyzed by a gas chromatograph (GC-12A Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a $^{65}$Ni electron capture detector. The interfering O$_2$ contained in the injected air sample (1.0 ml) was separated by a pre-column (Porapac N, 1.0 m) and vented by using a ten-port valve. The pre-column and the main column (Porapac Q, 3.0 m) were flushed with 30 ml min$^{-1}$ of 5 % CH$_4$ in Ar carrier gas at 85 °C. N$_2$O flux ($F$, micrograms N per square meter per hour) was calculated according to (Xing et al. 2002):

$$ F = \frac{1}{4} \rho \frac{V}{A} \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} \frac{273}{T} \frac{273}{T_0} $$

where $\rho$ is the density of N$_2$O at standard state, $V$ is the effective volume of chamber (cubic meters), $A$ indicates the area from which N$_2$O was emitted into the chamber (square meters), $\frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t}$ represents the rate of accumulation in parts per billion by volume per hour, and $T$ is temperature in °C. The average N$_2$O fluxes were calculated by averaging daily N$_2$O fluxes throughout the rice growing season with daily concentrations interpolated for intervals between measurements.

**Soil sampling and analysis**

Composite soil samples (seven subsamples per plot) were collected from the top 20-cm soil layer prior to planting of rice seedlings and after the rice harvest. Soil samples were air-dried, milled, and passed through a 2-mm sieve. Soil organic matter content was measured by K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$–H$_2$SO$_4$ oxidation in an oil bath at 180 °C for 5 min and then titrated.
with a FeSO₄ solution (Nelson and Sommers 1982). Total N was determined by dry combustion at 960 °C (Nitrogen Analyzer and Rapid N Cube; Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Germany) (Chen and Shrestha 2012).

Plant sampling and analysis

Six rice seedlings were selected randomly on the transplanting date (2 July). From each plot, three rice plants with soil pillar (25 cm in depth and 15 cm in diameter) were randomly sampled on the 44th day after rice transplanting, and six rice plants with soil pillar (50 cm in depth and 20 cm in diameter) were randomly harvested on the 120th day after rice transplanting. The roots were immersed in purified water in the laboratory for 2 h and then rinsed with purified water to remove soil bonded to the roots. The cleaned roots for rice sampled on the 44th day were laid on a glass pane with a fixed plastic ruler to measure the main root length (H). The sampled rice seedlings and harvested grain, straw, and roots on the 120th day were dried at 70 °C to constant weight to determine their dry weight. Three clusters of dried rice seedlings and rice grain, straw, and roots were sampled for each plot, then milled with their hulls (JNMI3, Taizhou Grain Instrument, Zhejiang, China) and sieved (0.5-mm sieve) prior to N analyses. Nitrogen contents for the whole rice seedling and the harvested grain, straw, and roots were measured as described in the “Soil sampling and analysis” section.

Nitrogen budget calculation

The N budget for the rice growing season in each plot can be expressed by:

\[
\text{Balance} = \frac{1}{4} \left( N_{\text{in}} + N_{\text{f}} + N_{\text{p}} + N_{\text{r}} \right) + N_{\text{l}} - N_{\text{d}}
\]

where \( N_{\text{in}}, N_{\text{f}}, N_{\text{p}}, N_{\text{r}}, N_{\text{l}}, \) and \( N_{\text{d}} \) were TN inputs from ADS or urea application, irrigation water, precipitation, background in paddy field soil prior to planting the rice seedlings, and biological fixation, respectively. Biological N fixation rate was adopted from a summary for paddy fields at N fertilizer application rates of 0–270 kg ha⁻¹ per season in the Taihu Lake region (Xue et al. 2011). \( N_{\text{f}}, N_{\text{p}}, N_{\text{r}}, N_{\text{l}}, \) and \( N_{\text{d}} \) were TN outputs from plant uptake (including grain, straw, and roots), \( \text{NH}_3 \) volatilization, \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission, leaching, and drainage, respectively. \( N_{\text{p}} \) was cumulatively estimated by multiplying rainfall and TN concentration during the experimental period, with data provided by the local Environmental Protection Bureau. Both \( N_{\text{f}} \) and \( N_{\text{r}} \) were estimated by multiplying the average \( \text{NH}_3 \) volatilization flux by the rice growing season duration and the average \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission flux by the rice growing season duration, respectively. \( N_{\text{l}} \) was estimated by multiplying weighted-average TN concentration and weighted-average percolating water quantity for the duration of the rice growing season. The “balance,” which is the difference between total inputs and measured outputs, represents the measurement and estimation errors and the unaccounted sinks (e.g., \( \text{N}_2 \) from denitrification and change in soil storage).

To identify the fate of applied N from urea or ADS, the difference method (Zhao et al. 2009) was adopted to calculate the difference in N uptake, \( \text{NH}_3 \) volatilization, \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission, leaching, drainage, and “balance” between the plots receiving N from urea and ADS and the control (no N addition). The calculated difference in each pathway represents the contributed N quantity from urea or ADS. Correlation analysis, regression analysis, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were performed using SPSS statistical software (version 16.0, SPSS Inc. Chicago, USA).

Results

Nitrogen dynamics in floodwater and percolating water

In the 7 days after ADS application, TN and \( \text{NH}_4^+ \text{-N} \) concentrations in floodwater decreased rapidly (Fig. 4a). Ammonium-N accounted for more than 80% of TN in ADS floodwater. Due to the kinetics of the urea hydrolysis reaction, \( \text{NH}_4^+ \text{-N} \) concentrations peaked in the second day after each urea application and then decreased rapidly over the following 5–6 days. The TN and \( \text{NH}_4^+ \text{-N} \) concentrations in floodwater after the first urea or ADS application were both higher than after subsequent applications (\( P<0.05 \)) since it received the highest N application rate. Unlike TN and \( \text{NH}_4^+ \text{-N}, \text{NO}_3^- \text{-N} \) concentration in floodwaters of the NF and NADS treatments displayed random time delays after each ADS or urea application with concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 3.7 and 0.1 to 4.5 mg l⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 4a). On the 12th day after the third N application (i.e., 42 days after rice transplanting), concentrations of TN, \( \text{NH}_4^+ \text{-N}, \text{NO}_3^- \text{-N} \) in the drainage water were similar between NF and NADS treatments (\( P>0.05 \); Fig. 4a). All plots were then dried for 10 days, after which they were reflooded with surface water. Between reflooding and drainage for harvest on 3 November, concentrations of all N forms in floodwater were constant and low (<2 mg l⁻¹) and similar between NF and NADS treatments (\( P>0.05 \)).

Unlike N in floodwater, TN, \( \text{NH}_4^+ \text{-N}, \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \text{-N} \) concentrations in percolating water displayed random in peak concentrations with time delays after each ADS or urea application (Fig. 4b). The TN, \( \text{NH}_4^+ \text{-N}, \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \text{-N} \) concentrations in NADS treatments ranged between 2.1 and 5.5 mg l⁻¹, 0.34 and 1.34 mg l⁻¹, and between 0.17 and 1.69 mg l⁻¹, respectively. In NF treatment plots, the TN, \( \text{NH}_4^+ \text{-N}, \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \text{-N} \) concentrations ranged between 1.9
Fig. 4 Variations in total N (TN), NH₄⁺-N, and NO₃⁻-N concentrations in floodwater (a) and percolation water (b) during a rice growing season under different treatments. 0 kg Nha⁻¹ from urea or anaerobically digested slurry (ADS) (CK), 270 kg Nha⁻¹ from urea (NF), and ADS (NADS). Arrows indicate ADS or fertilizer application. Vertical bars above and below each point are standard errors. Shadow zone denotes the 10-day drying period.

and 6.6 mg l⁻¹, 0.17 and 1.16 mg l⁻¹, and between 0.25 and 1.78 mg l⁻¹, respectively. There were no significant differences in average N concentrations between NF and NADS treatments, but there were significant differences (P<0.05) between N concentrations in NF (mean±SD; TN 4.01±1.47 mg l⁻¹, NH₄⁺-N 0.53±0.22 mg l⁻¹, NO₃⁻-N 0.83±0.28 mg l⁻¹) and CK (TN 2.23±1.13 mg l⁻¹, NH₄⁺-N 0.49±0.11 mg l⁻¹, NO₃⁻-N 0.58±0.17 mg l⁻¹) and between NADS (TN 3.62±1.29 mg l⁻¹, NH₄⁺-N 0.79±0.22 mg l⁻¹, NO₃⁻-N 0.73±0.33 mg l⁻¹) and CK treatments.

Ammonia volatilization and N₂O emission

After each ADS application, NH₃ volatilization fluxes increased, peaked, and then decreased rapidly (Fig. 5a). After

3 days, NH₃ volatilization fluxes approached background values (i.e., similar to CK plots). In contrast, NH₃ volatilization fluxes in NF treatments approached peak values in the second day after each urea application and then decreased rapidly. Ammonia emission was affected primarily by the timing of N application. There were strong correlations between daily average NH₃ volatilization fluxes (g N per square meter per day) and NH₄⁺-N concentrations (x, milligrams per liter) in floodwater of NF (⁰ 0.18 ± 0.04; R² 0.67, n 23, P < 0.01) and NADS (⁰ 0.12 ± 1.62; R² 0.085, n 23, P < 0.01) treatments. During the rice growing season, average NH₃ volatilization fluxes followed: NADS (3.98 ± 0.46 g N m⁻² d⁻¹) > NF (2.03 ± 0.35 g N m⁻² d⁻¹) > CK (0.44 ± 0.15 g N m⁻² d⁻¹; P < 0.05). However, there were no significant correlations between NH₃ volatilization and pH value in floodwaters for ADS and urea treatments (P < 0.05), with average pH value of 8.6 ± 1.2 in NADS, 8.5 ± 1.3 in NF, and 8.4 ± 1.0 in CK.

Patterns for temporal variations of N₂O emission fluxes were quite different from those of NH₃ volatilization fluxes (Fig. 5b). The emission of N₂O was sporadic and pulse-like and showed no spikes following each urea or ADS application. There were no significant correlations between N₂O emission fluxes and NO₃⁻-N concentrations in floodwater for each treatment (P > 0.05). In contrast, peak N₂O fluxes were observed at the beginning of the disappearance of the floodwater layer from each treatment. There were also large variations in average N₂O fluxes among replicates (indicated
as high standard deviations in Fig. 5b) for each treatment. Statistical analysis showed significant differences in average N\textsubscript{2}O flux among the three treatments during the growing season: NADS (50.2±28.9 \mu \text{g Nm}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1})>\text{NF} (40.1±26.4 \mu \text{g Nm}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1})>\text{CK} (26.7±15.3 \mu \text{g Nm}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}; P<0.05).

Rice plant biomass production and nitrogen uptake

Among rice biomass components, roots contained substantially lower biomass than straw and grain for each treatment (Fig. 6). Applying urea or ADS significantly increased the rice straw, grain, and root biomass relative to the control (P<0.05). Although there were no significant differences (P>0.05) in straw and root biomass between the urea and ADS treatments, there was a significant difference (P<0.05) in the grain biomass between them. The grain yield from the ADS treatment was 12.4\% higher than the urea treatment on average.

Similar to biomass measurements, the content of N in roots was lower than that in straw and grain components for each treatment (Table 3). Applying urea or ADS significantly increased N content in rice straw, grain, and roots relative to the control treatment (P<0.05). Although there were no significant differences (P>0.05) in N content by straw and roots between the urea and ADS treatments, there was a significant difference (P<0.05) in N content by grain between them. Nitrogen content in grain was 15.3\% higher in the ADS treatment compared to the urea treatment.

Nitrogen budget and fate of applied nitrogen

The N budget for each treatment is shown in Table 3. Among all the determined sink items, assimilation by plants was the most important, accounting for 61.2±3.4\% of total input N in NF and 64.8±4.1\% in NADS. Of total N inputs, 7.2±1.2\% (NF) and 14.1±1.8\% (NADS) were lost via ammonia volatilization; 0.34±0.24\% (NF) and 0.42±0.26\% (NADS) were lost via direct N\textsubscript{2}O emission; 3.6±1.4\% (NF) and 3.3±1.7\% (NADS) were leached downward; and 0.9±0.1\% (NF) and 0.9±0.1\% (NADS) were lost via drainage. Finally, the “balance” for N was calculated to be 26.9±6.1\% in NF and 16.4±5.2\% in NADS. In contrast, the “balance” for the control was negative, indicating that the CK plots consumed N from soil N and organic matter reserves to produce the rice plant biomass and measured N losses. This result was consistent with the decrease of total N and organic matter levels in the 0–20-cm soil depth of the CK plots (Fig. 7). Although the differences were not significant, soil TN and organic matter levels in CK plots displayed a decreasing trend when comparing post-harvest to pre-harvest levels. In contrast, the positive N balances for NF and NADS treatments indicated that the 270 kg Nha\textsuperscript{-1} application rate was higher than rice plant demand. Therefore, soil total N and organic matter levels both in NF and NADS treatments displayed an increasing trend (Fig. 7), although there were no significant differences (P>0.05).

Differences in N uptake and environmental losses between the treatments receiving N and the control (no N addition) were calculated to address the fates of applied N (Table 4). Of the N added as urea or ADS, rice plant (including straw, grain, and roots) N uptake accounted for 36.6±4.4 and 41.3±5.1\%, respectively. The percentages of N lost through gaseous and water pathways were: NH\textsubscript{3} volatilization 16.4±3.7\% (NADS) vs 7.4±1.8\% (NF); direct N\textsubscript{2}O emission 0.15±0.12\% (NADS) vs 0.26±0.15\% (NF); nitrogen leaching 2.3±0.8\% (NF) vs 1.9±0.5\% (NADS); and N discharged with floodwater drainage 0.67±0.12\% (NF) vs 0.70±0.15\% (NADS). Finally, 53.0±9.1 and 39.4±8.4\% of applied N was associated with unaccounted sinks (e.g., soil pool and N\textsubscript{2} denitrification losses) for the NF and NADS treatments, respectively.

Discussion

Impacts of urea and ADS on nitrogen lost through water pathways

During the 7 days after each urea or ADS application, the N concentrations in the floodwater were relatively high, especially for TN and NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}-N (Fig. 4a). This creates a potential for higher surface water pollution resulting from the discharge of this floodwater from the paddy field. However, N concentrations rapidly decreased through NH\textsubscript{3} volatilization (Fig. 5a), denitrification (Fig. 5b), soil adsorption (Fig. 7), and rice plant uptake (Table 3). Nitrogen concentrations were similar between ADS and urea treatments 9 to 10 days after each N application. Thus, the discharged floodwaters 42 and 120 days after rice transplanting resulted in similar N loss loads between ADS and urea applications (Table 4), indicating that there was no elevated risk of N loss to surface
water from ADS application. To avoid surface water pollution resulting from ADS application, it is necessary to prevent floodwater overflow from the plot during the 7 days after each ADS application, which can be achieved by maintaining enough height of plot bunds to fully contain the floodwaters.

In the percolating water, there were no increasing trends in N concentrations following each urea or ADS application (Fig. 4b), suggesting that N in percolating water is not directly related to increased floodwater N concentrations following N application to the paddy field (Zhu et al. 2003). Moreover, there was no significant difference in TN leaching loads between the urea and ADS-fertilized paddy fields (Tables 3 and 4). The percentages of TN leaching load of the total applied N from urea and ADS obtained in this study are both comparable with those estimated by $^{15}$N isotopic technique in the available literature (Table 4). Application of ADS created no significant elevated risk to groundwater quality compared with urea-fertilized paddy fields. The low losses of TN (3–4% of applied N) were related to soil hydrologic conditions affecting the site. These conditions included: (1) the presence of a hard pan at the bottom of the topsoil (about 20 cm depth) limited floodwater N infiltration into the subsoil (Lu et al. 2012), (2) NO$_3^-$-N was transformed into nitrogen gas (N$_2$) or N$_2$O by denitrifying bacteria under saturated paddy conditions (Chanigny et al. 2008), and (3) the limited mobility of NH$_4^+$-N within the soil profile prevented N loss from percolating waters (Zhou et al. 2009) since NH$_4^+$-N is the dominant N form in floodwater (Fig. 4a).

Impacts of urea and ADS on nitrogen lost through gaseous pathways

During the rice growing season, loss via NH$_3$ volatilization represented 16.4±3.7% of applied ADS N, and it was much higher than that of applied urea N (7.4±1.8%), which is comparable with that reported in the available literature using $^{15}$N isotopic technique for ADS and urea-fertilized paddy fields, respectively (Table 4). The observed significant differences in NH$_3$ volatilization proportion appear to be mainly related to the higher NH$_4^+$-N concentration in floodwaters treated with ADS (6.0±3.1 mg L$^{-1}$) as compared to those treated with urea (3.2±4.0 mg L$^{-1}$; Fig. 4a). Although other factors, such as pH values in floodwater and air temperature, also influence NH$_3$ volatilization (Zhou et al. 2009; Sommer and Hutchings 2001), results suggest that the NH$_4^+$-N concentration in the floodwater is a dominant factor in our experimental field conditions, i.e., the floodwater keeping at alkaline and little change in the temperature.

---

**Table 3** Nitrogen balance (mean±SD, n=3) in paddy field during a rice growing season under different treatments (kilograms N per hectare)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>CK</th>
<th>NF</th>
<th>NADS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input Biological fixation</td>
<td>26.2±a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice seedling</td>
<td>4.6±0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilized N</td>
<td>270.0 a</td>
<td>270.0 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>0.8±0.1 a</td>
<td>0.8±0.1 a</td>
<td>0.8±0.1 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>36.7±1.3 a</td>
<td>36.7±1.5 a</td>
<td>36.5±1.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Plant uptake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>54.2±5.4 b</td>
<td>101.6±7.7 a</td>
<td>94.8±7.5 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>57.1±2.9 c</td>
<td>100.9±3.3 b</td>
<td>119.1±5.4 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>6.6±0.6 b</td>
<td>14.1±0.6 a</td>
<td>15.6±0.7 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_3$ volatilization</td>
<td>5.5±3.5 c</td>
<td>25.4±3.5 b</td>
<td>49.8±5.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$O emission</td>
<td>0.8±0.5 c</td>
<td>1.2±0.8 b</td>
<td>1.5±0.9 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage load</td>
<td>1.3±0.1 b</td>
<td>3.1±0.2 a</td>
<td>3.2±0.1 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percolation load</td>
<td>6.5±2.1 b</td>
<td>12.7±2.5 a</td>
<td>11.7±2.1 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>−47.9±7.6</td>
<td>95.1±6.8</td>
<td>58.2±7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Xue et al. (2011)*

---

Fig. 7 Paddy soil total nitrogen (TN) and organic matter contents before rice seedling transplanting and after rice harvesting. The same capital (between before rice seedling transplanting and after rice harvesting) and small (among the treatments) letters are not significantly different using ANOVA ($P>0.05$). Error bars are standard errors.
Table 4 Nitrogen fluxes (mean±SD, n=3) for major pathways following fertilizer N from urea or anaerobically digested slurry (ADS) (kilograms N per hectare) in this study compared to the available literature results using 15N isotopic technique in paddy fields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>This study</th>
<th>Other studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>ADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant uptake</td>
<td>111.6±8.4</td>
<td>12.7±3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; volatilization</td>
<td>44.3±3.7</td>
<td>0.5±3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O emission</td>
<td>0.7±0.3</td>
<td>0.12±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage load</td>
<td>1.9±0.3</td>
<td>0.3±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percolation load</td>
<td>5.2±1.8</td>
<td>0.12±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>143.0±10.5</td>
<td>6.2±22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O emission</td>
<td>106.3±9.8</td>
<td>0.4±25.9&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Drainage load            | 5.2±1.8    | 2.3±0.8       | 4.0±26.0

Values within the same line followed by the same letter are not significantly different using ANOVA (P>0.05). The percentages denote the proportions to applied N quantities (i.e., 270 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>)

<sup>a</sup>Literature sources: Fan et al. (2006); Ghoneim (2008); Ghoneim et al. (2008, 2012); Zhao et al. (2009, 2012); Zhang et al. (2012); Nguyen et al. (2012)

<sup>b</sup>Literature sources: Ghoneim (2008); Ghoneim et al. (2008, 2012); Zhou et al. (2011, 2012)

Regression analysis indicated that 67.3% and 85.3% of the variance in NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization fluxes could be explained by the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration in floodwater for the urea and ADS treatments, respectively. As a result, ADS application dramatically increases the NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization loss to the atmosphere compared to urea application. Therefore, in practice, mitigating NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization is necessary to limit N losses from paddy fields following ADS application. Previous studies have indicated that adding wood vinegar or increasing floodwater levels can reduce NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization after ADS application in a cost-efficient manner (Win et al. 2009, 2010). However, it should be pointed out that high NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization flux will increase atmospheric N deposition rate since NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization is an important source of atmospheric N (Hou et al. 2007). Therefore, the proportion of N loss through NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization is speculated to decrease when ADS is widely applied in paddy fields for a region.

There were both no apparent temporal trend in N<sub>2</sub>O emission fluxes after each urea or ADS application (Fig. 5b) and no significant correlation between N<sub>2</sub>O emission fluxes and N concentrations in floodwater, indicating that there was no significant effect of N form or concentration in floodwater (Breitenbeck et al. 1980; Granli and Bøckman 1994), but there might have significant effect of soil temperature and redox potential (Xu et al. 1997; Xing et al. 2002) on N<sub>2</sub>O emission. Distinct emission peaks appeared for each treatment during the dry down periods (from 42 to 52 days after rice seedling transplanting) may be ascribed to the release of trapped N<sub>2</sub>O in the soil solution and/or optimum conditions for the production of N<sub>2</sub>O and its release at that time (Cai et al. 1997; Xing et al. 2002). However, there might be no significant N<sub>2</sub>O emissions after final drainage prior to harvest due to the lack of available N for nitrifiers and denitrifiers (Xu et al. 1997; Xing et al. 2002; Ding et al. 2011). Future studies may improve the results by increasing N<sub>2</sub>O emission observations at the rice late growth stage, considering the sparse observations obtained during this period in this study (Fig. 5b). Direct N<sub>2</sub>O emission accounted for about 0.26±0.15 % of applied ADS N, and it was significantly higher than that for urea treatments (0.15±0.12 %, which falls within the reported ranges in the available literature using 15N isotopic technique, Table 4). Due to the strong reducing potential and high organic matter content of ADS (Table 1), it is speculated that N<sub>2</sub>O emission was increased due to higher microbial activity that provided electrons for the denitrification reaction (Zhou et al. 2009 and 2012; Sänger et al. 2011). Considering the global warming potential of N<sub>2</sub>O, mitigating N<sub>2</sub>O emission must be addressed to minimize the greenhouse gas impact of widespread application of ADS to paddy fields. Increasing floodwater level (Cai et al. 1997) or adding nitrification inhibitor to floodwaters (Xu et al. 2002; Ding et al. 2011) may suppress N<sub>2</sub>O emission following ADS application.
Impacts of urea and ADS on rice plant nitrogen uptake

Comparing rice plant biomass among all treatments clearly demonstrates that artificial N application was necessary to obtain a high rice plant biomass, especially for grain yield (Fig. 6). Applying ADS to the paddy fields increased total rice plant biomass, especially for the grain yield, which corroborated previous studies where ADS was applied as the primary N source for paddy fields (Zhou et al. 2009; Lu et al. 2012). Total N uptake by rice plants in ADS-treated plots was greater than in the urea-treated plots (Tables 3, 4), indicating that the combination of inorganic and organic nutrients may improve rice grain yield by improving plant nutrient-use efficiency (Li et al. 2009). Rice plant recovery of applied N from ADS (41.3±5.1 %) and urea (36.6±4.4 %) is comparable with that reported in the available literature using 15N isotopic technique for rice treated with ADS and urea, respectively (Table 4). Fertilizing with ADS notably improved the agricultural economics of rice grain production by lowering the need for commercial fertilizer (Fig. 6). In this study, ADS application reduced the chemical application of N (100 %), K (25 %), and P (44 %) compared to conventional rice cultivation practices (Table 2)—and this was achieved with an increase in grain yields. These lead to higher economic return for farmer, supporting increasing use of ADS for rice cultivation.

Impacts of urea and ADS on other nitrogen sinks

The calculated difference in each N pathway between plots receiving N and the control (no N addition) estimated that unaccounted for sinks represented 53.0±9.1 and 39.4±8.4 % of applied N from urea and ADS, respectively (Table 4). The primary unaccounted sinks include soil retention and N2 emission (Zhou et al. 2009, 2012). The role of soil retention as a sink for applied N from urea and ADS is supported by the increase in soil total N content after rice harvest (Fig. 7). As products of denitrification, higher N2O emission would also suggest higher N2 emission from ADS versus urea-amended paddy fields, which is supported by the results derived from 15N isotopic studies (Table 4). Previous studies relied on 15N isotopic technique reported that N loss via denitrification (i.e., N2+N2O) in paddy fields accounted for 9.9–38.9 % of applied N from ADS (Zhou et al. 2012; Ghoneim et al. 2012), which is comparable to the percentage of N residual in soil of applied N from ADS after rice harvest (i.e., 13.2–34 %, Ghoneim 2008; Ghoneim et al. 2012; Zhou et al. 2011, 2012). Further study is required to address the contributions of soil N retention and N2 emissions to the N balance following ADS application to paddy fields. Referring to the previously reported results using 15N isotopic technique from the available literature (Table 4), it also appears that the contributions of soil retention and N2 emission to the unaccounted N balance (53.0±9.1 %) associated with urea fertilizer application are roughly comparable to each other. Certainly, the measurement and estimation errors associated with the N balance contribute to the unaccounted proportions of applied N from urea and ADS as well as the unknown term indicated in Table 4 from the available literature.

It should be pointed out that N distributions in different pathways mentioned above are strongly related to soil microorganisms associated with N cycle, such as ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, nitrite-oxidizing bacteria, N fixation bacteria, and denitrifying microorganisms (Abubaker 2012). These soil microorganisms are easily altered in activities and diversities by changing ambient properties, such as pH, heavy metal, and chloric compounds (Nannipieri et al. 2003; Abubaker 2012). Therefore, high pH value (>8.0) (Hou et al. 2007; Lu et al. 2012), low heavy metal contents (Sänger et al. 2010; Lu et al. 2012), and possibly contained chloric compounds in ADS (Arthursen 2009) or from other chemical fertilizer (such as KCl) are speculated to have a potential for further altering N fates in paddy fields, requiring long-term monitoring to determine if there were negative effects on soil microorganisms.

Conclusion

There were distinct differences in the fate of applied N from urea (NF) and anaerobically digested slurry (NADS) to paddy fields. At the conventional application rate of 270 kg N ha−1 during the rice growing season, rice plant (including straw, grain, and roots) recovery was 36.6±4.4 and 41.3±5.1 % for urea and ADS, respectively. Of the applied N, N loss through NH3 volatilization in NADS was 16.4±3.7 %, which was higher than that in NF (7.4±1.8 %). Direct N2O emission was 0.26±0.15 % in NADS, which was also higher than in NF (0.15±0.12 %). Nitrogen leaching contributed 2.3±0.8 % in NF and 1.9±0.5 % in NADS, while N discharged with floodwater drainage only contributed 0.67±0.12 % in NF and 0.70±0.15 % in NADS. Soil retention and N2 emission of applied N were estimated to account for 53.0±9.1 % in NF and 39.4±8.4 % in NADS. Compared to urea application, the rice plant N recovery efficiency, ammonia volatilization, and N2O emission were higher in the paddy fields fertilized with ADS. ADS application impacts the environment mainly through gaseous losses rather than water losses. ADS application had a positive impact on rice grain yield and also reduced chemical fertilizer use leading to higher economic returns. Considering the wide distribution of paddy fields and the ever increasing quantities of available ADS, application of ADS to paddy fields appears to provide an environmental acceptable means for ADS disposal and rice cultivation, although
mitigating NH₃ and N₂O gaseous losses requires further investigation.
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