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\textbf{Abstract}

Bioorganometallic chemistry, a nascent area of organometallic chemistry, has recently provided significant advancements in structural diversity and molecular recognition studies. In this review, we will show the various novel structures with bioligands of other colleagues, as well as those from our own studies. In addition, molecular recognition, the cornerstone of how biological systems operate, has now been extended to organometallic, supramolecular host molecules with biologically important guest compounds, including organometallic ionophores for selective recognition of alkali metal ions. This host-guest molecular recognition chemistry with biologically important compounds occurs by non-covalent interactions, which encompass \( \pi-\pi \), hydrophobic, and selective hydrogen bonding. The advent of organometallic pharmaceuticals has further provided unique molecular recognition/computer docking studies with hormone receptor sites that clearly delineate novel, non-covalent processes. The future looks extremely promising for bioorganometallic chemistry with regards to structural diversity and host-
guest chemistry, including non-covalent interactions of organometallic pharmaceuticals with receptor site proteins to delineate mode of action.

Introduction

Bioorganometallic chemistry has now become an important sub-topic in organometallic chemistry in a similar manner to bioinorganic chemistry as a subtopic of inorganic chemistry. However, unlike the many enzymatic inorganic complexes found necessary to sustain life on earth, bioorganometallic complexes, those with a definitive carbon-metal bond, are rarely seen in life sustaining processes here on earth. The exception being methyl-B$_{12}$ or methylcobalamin, one of the very few natural co-enzymatic organometallic complexes that has been shown to exist, which contains a discrete CH$_3$-Co bond. One of its primary roles is the biomethylation of other environmentally important metals, such as Hg$^{2+}$ and Sn$^{4+}$, which provides the toxic-to-man CH$_3$-HgX or CH$_3$-SnX$_3$ complexes. Moreover, one recent exciting find that further shows the role of carbon-metal bonded biocomplexes in enzymatic reactions is the structural findings and postulates related to the bifunctional carbon monoxide dehydrogenase/acetyl-CoA synthase. It was found that the critical Cu-Ni binuclear site was in proximity to each other, Cu-CO and CH$_3$-Ni, to favorably affect a CH$_3$ group migration to provide a postulated Cu-C(O)CH$_3$ intermediate complex, which was thought to be crucial for the acetylation of CoA-SH. Hopefully, other enzymes will be found in the near future that contain discrete carbon-metal bonds, and that provide a significant role in the enzymatic mode of action.

More importantly, in contrast to bioinorganic chemistry that has developed a robust synthetic aspect focused on biomimetic models of active enzyme sites, and their
functional chemistry, recent studies in bioorganometallic chemistry have focused more on structural aspects of organometallic complexes that contain bioligands, and that have been evaluated as pharmaceuticals for cancer therapy, radiopharmaceuticals for diagnostics and therapy, probes for biosensors, as well as novel supramolecular structures for molecular recognition studies, to name several representative examples.\textsuperscript{1,4}

Therefore, the kind invitation by the Editor of Organometallics, Dietmar Seyferth, has presented the authors with an opportunity to enlighten the community on some recent developments in this exciting area of organometallic chemistry. We also preface these comments with the fact that the first ever International Symposium on Bioorganometallic Chemistry (ISBOMC’02) was convened in Paris from July 18-20, 2002, and furthermore, will meet every two years in different global venues; 2004 in Zurich. This international symposium should further help promote bioorganometallic chemistry as a viable discipline focused on structure, reactivity, and biological applications, including the avant-garde topic, organometallic pharmaceuticals.

Thus, in this review, we want to focus on the unique structural diversity that has recently been discovered in the reactions of organometallic complexes with bioligands, and then enlighten the community to the new area of molecular recognition with bioorganometallic host complexes and biologically relevant guests, in water, that defines non-covalent $\pi-\pi$, hydrophobic, and selective hydrogen bonding regimes. A new class of organometallic ionophores that selectively recognize alkali metal ions will also be discussed. Moreover, we will present some exciting new results on computer docking experiments of the potential organometallic breast cancer drug, Ferrocifen, in addition to a ruthenocene derivative of the estradiol ligand, with proteins associated with the
estrogen receptor site, to define the non-covalent interactions that occur in this important molecular recognition process, and attempt to relate this process to biological activity.

**Structural Diversity in Reactions of Organometallic Complexes with Bioligands**

Wolfgang Beck, the first recipient of the Lavoisier Metal for seminal studies in Bioorganometallic Chemistry (instituted at ISBOMC’02, July, 2002), and his co-workers in Munich were amongst the first to study the reactions of organometallic complexes with bioligands.\(^5\) They worked in methanol in most of their reactions with organometallic complexes and bioligands, which initially provided mononuclear complexes. For example, the reaction of [Cp*Rh(µ-Cl)\(\text{C}\text{l}_2\)] with L-phenylalanine in methanol gave, after reaction of the initial mononuclear chloride complex with Ag\(^+\) ions, a cyclic trimer, which was identified by single crystal X-ray analysis, complex 1, as one of several possible diastereomers with \(\text{S}_\text{C} \text{S}_\text{C} \text{S}_\text{Rh} \text{S}_\text{Rh} \text{S}_\text{Rh}\) stereochemistry. This is a pertinent example of self-assembly and chiral self-recognition providing a unique, thermodynamically favored cyclic trimer structure.\(^{5a}\)
1. $\text{SCS}_3\text{CSR}_3\text{Rh}_3\text{Rh}_3\text{Rh}_3$

Figure 1: X-ray structures of one diastereomer of the cyclic trimer $[(\text{Cp*Rh})(\mu-$
$\eta^1(\text{OCO})\eta^2-(\text{N,OCO})\text{-L-phenylalanine})]_3^{3+}$, 1

Marks and co-workers were one of the pioneers in the reactions of organometallic
anti-tumor agents with nucleobases, conducted in water, for structural identity, including
selective bonding modes. For example, $\text{Cp}_2\text{MoCl}_2$ with the nucleobase, 9-
methyladenine, provided, at the time, the unusual bonding mode of a 4-membered ring
with NH6 and N1 (ORTEP shows incorrect numbering of the nucleobase) in the
coordination sphere, complex 2. The nucleobase, 1-methylcytosine, also provided an
unusual binding mode with an N3 and NH4 bonding to the Mo metal ion center also
making a 4-membered ring, complex 3. Clearly, the NH₂ group of both nucleobases studied dictates the bonding mode to the ring N atoms, which does not necessarily mean the most basic N site available; but rather, the plausible thermodynamic effect of forming the 4-membered ring.⁶a

Figure 2: X-ray structures of bonding modes of [$\eta^2$(N1, N6)-9-methyladenyl]dicyclopentadienylmolybdenum (HFP), 2, and [$\eta^2$(N3, N4)-1-methylcytosyl]dicyclopentadienylmolybdenum(HFP), 3.

Cp*Rh Complexes of DNA/RNA Nucleobases

Thus, with the initial bonding studies of Beck and Marks and there co-workers, with nucleobases and other relevant biomolecules, such as L-amino acids, as a starting point, Fish and co-workers, who were attempting to use the [Cp*Rh(H₂O)₃](OTf)₂ synthon to bind large DNA molecules (λ-DNA, 50,000 base pairs) to glass surfaces for a novel mapping and sequencing technique, decided to study individual nucleobases to
further the structural/bonding studies of Beck and Marks just mentioned, as a function of pH. The Chart provides the name and structures of many of the DNA/RNA bases Fish and co-workers utilized in their studies, as well as nicotnamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD$^+$), an important co-factor in biological redox reactions containing an adenine nucleus.

9-Substitued Adenine Cyclic Trimers Complexes

They initiated the reactivity of the various nucleobases with 9-methyladenine and [Cp*Rh(H$_2$O)$_3$](OTf)$_2$ in D$_2$O at pD 7.2 that provided, by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy, evidence for the formation of a new complex with dramatic chemical shifts for H2 and H8 in comparison to free 9-methyladenine at 8.83 and 7.67 ppm, respectively. They later found that these dramatic $^1$H NMR chemical shifts for H8 and H2 were a diagnostic characteristic for all Cp*Rh cyclic trimer structures with 9-substituted adenine derivatives, and was verified by X-ray crystallography of the Cp*Rh-9-methyladenine cyclic trimer.
Chart
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The single-crystal X-ray structure of an enantiomer, [Cp*Rh(µ-η¹(N1):η²(N6, N7)-9-methyladenenyl]₃(OTf)₃, 4, was shown to have a triangular dome-like supramolecular structure, with three Cp* groups stretching out from the top of the dome, three Me groups pointing to the bottom, three adenine planes forming the surrounding shell, and three Rh atoms embedded in the top of the dome. This molecule also possesses a C₃ axis, which passes from the top of the dome to the bottom. The distance between the adjacent methyl groups at the bottom of the dome; i.e., at the opening of this potential molecular receptor, is about 7.5 Å, while the cavity depth is a consequence of the substituent on N9 of the nucleobase, nucleoside, or nucleotide and was in the range of ~4 Å (Figure 3). As well, both adenosine and the phosphate methyl ester of 5'-AMP, as further examples, also formed the cyclic trimer structures, [Cp*Rh(µ-η¹(N1): η²(N6, N7)-Ado/methyl-5'-AMP)]₃. 8

Figure 3: X-Ray Structure of [Cp*Rh(µ-η¹(N1):η²N6, N7)-9-methyladenenyl]₃(OTf)₃ , 4
More recently, it became apparent that no organometallic complexes of an important co-factor, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD\(^+\)), containing the adenosine monophosphate group, had been assigned a definitive structure. Therefore, the reinvestigation of this interesting reaction with co-factor NAD\(^+\) and 
\([\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh(H}_2\text{O})]_3(\text{OTf})\) using \(^1\text{H NMR spectroscopy from pH 3-9.5 showed the presence of the definitive diastereomeric, cyclic trimer complex, [Cp}^*\text{Rh(μ-η}^1\text{(N1): η}^2\text{(N6, N7 NAD)})]_3(\text{OTf})_3, 5, with an extremely narrow range of stability; i. e., pH 6.0. Again, the \(^1\text{H NMR spectrum provided clear evidence for the cyclic trimer structure with the dramatic downfield shift for H8 (in comparison to free NAD\(^+\)) of }\Delta\delta = 0.35 \text{ ppm and the upfield shifted H2 of }\Delta\delta = 0.48 \text{ ppm (Figure 4).}\) 

Figure 4: [Cp}^*\text{Rh(μ-η}^1\text{(N1): η}^2\text{(N6, N7 NAD)})]_3(\text{OTf})_3, 5

---

Figure 4: [Cp}^*\text{Rh(μ-η}^1\text{(N1): η}^2\text{(N6, N7 NAD)})]_3(\text{OTf})_3, 5
Guanine and Hypoxanthine Complexes

In contrast to cyclic trimer formation for 9-substituted adenine compounds, Fish and co-workers found that the nucleoside, guanosine, reacted with \([\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh(H}_2\text{O})]_3(\text{OTf})_2\) at pH 5.4 to provide an isolated product that by elemental analysis and FAB-MS (m/z = 670.1, \([\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh(Guo)(OTf)}]\); 556.1, \([\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh(Guo)(OH)}]\)), was a monomer with the formula \([\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh(µ-}\eta^1(\text{N1, O6)-Guo})(\text{OH})](\text{OTf})\), 6. The tentative structure was elucidated by 500 MHz \(^1\text{H NMR spectroscopy in DMSO-d}_6\) to show a substantial downfield shift for H8 at 8.93 ppm (\(\Delta\delta = 1.01\) ppm), which is consistent with N7 binding to the guanine nucleus. The NH1 group was also shifted downfield (\(\Delta\delta = 0.53\) ppm) and this may be indicative of the 6-C=O group interacting with the \(\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}\) metal center as shown (Figure 5).\(^{7,10a}\)

![Figure 5: \([\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh(µ-}\eta^1(\text{N1, O6)-Guo})(\text{OH})]\), 6](image)

Moreover, an \(^1\text{H NMR study was performed with 9-methylhypoxanthine and the ethyl analogue, since it would also allow determination of the steric role, if any, of the NH2 group at C2 of the guanine nucleus (9-ethylguanine) and the bonding mode of NH1. The pH profile of Cp*Rh complex of 9-methylhypoxanthine was studied by}
$^1$H NMR in D$_2$O. At pD 2.45 to 5.13, the downfield chemical shifts for both H8 (8.48 ppm, $\Delta\delta = 0.44$ ppm) and H2 (8.35 ppm, $\Delta\delta = 0.17$ ppm) compared to free 9-methylhypoxanthine and (H8, 8.04 ppm and H2, 8.18 ppm) are consistent with exclusive N7 binding. However, at pD 6.45, the Cp*Rh-9-methylhypoxanthine complex provides the dramatic chemical shifts we have found to be diagnostic for cyclic trimer formation, especially for H8 (downfield shift) and H2 (upfield shift) at 8.60 (H8, $\Delta\delta = 0.56$ ppm) and 7.78 ppm (H2, $\Delta\delta = 0.40$ ppm) as well as at 3.73 ppm (9-CH$_3$, $\Delta\delta = 0.09$ ppm), and 1.84 ppm (Cp*) and strongly suggested the presence, at that time, of the unusual and unprecedented structure, [Cp*Rh-(µ-η$^1$(N1):(η$^2$(O6, N7)-9-methylhypoxanthyl)]$_3^{3+}$, as unequivocally determined by single crystal X-ray analysis of the ethyl analogue, (Figure 6).$^{10b}$

Two structural features of the ethyl analogue, [Cp*Rh-(µ-η$^1$(N1):(η$^2$(O6, N7)-9-ethylhypoxanthyl)]$_3^{3+}$, merit comment. First, the cationic portion had the similar triangular dome-like cavity, with three Cp* groups stretching out from the top of the dome, three ethyl groups pointing to the bottom, three hypoxanthine planes forming the surrounding shell, and three Rh atoms embedded on the top of the dome. The cation also possesses a C3 axis, which passes from the top of the dome to the bottom. Secondly, the C6-O6 bond distance of 1.296(24) Å falls between the single bond distance of 1.42 Å, found in an alcohol, and the double bond distance of 1.233(4) and 1.230(7) Å, which were observed in inosine. This result suggests that a significant amount of multiple bond character still exists in the C6-O6 bond. It is important to note that when 9-ethylguanine was also studied from pD 2.45 to 6.45, only N7 binding was evident, with no diagnostic chemical shifts for a cyclic trimer structure.

Interestingly, at pD 10.50, a new Cp*Rh complex of 9-methylhypoxanthine was formed with significant upfield shifts of H8 and H2 that were reminiscent of a [Cp*Rh (µ-OH)(L)]$_2$ dimer complex, where L = 1-methylcytosine.$^{11}$ The single crystal X-ray structure of the orange dimer, [(Cp*Rh)(η$^1$(N1)-9-methylhypoxanthinyl)(µ-OH)]$_2$, 9,
isolated from its aqueous reaction mixture at pH 10.2, is shown in Figure 7.\textsuperscript{10b} The main structural features of interest are the unique $\eta^1$(N1), rather than $\eta^1$(N7) or $\eta^2$(N7,O6) binding mode of 9-methylhypoxanthine, and the intramolecular H-bonding between the $\mu$-OH groups and the O6 of this nucleobase.

Figure 6: $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\mu-\eta^1(\text{N1})_2(\eta^2(\text{O6, N7)-9-ethylhypoxanthyl}))^3+, 8$

Figure 7: $[(\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh})(\eta^1(\text{N1})-9-\text{MH})(\mu-\text{OH})]^2, 9$
The above-mentioned result suggests that the NH$_2$ group at C2 on the guanine nucleus (9-ethylguanine), whose steric and electronic effects had not been previously well defined during the metal coordination process, *plays a significant steric role*, in this instance, in preventing cyclic trimer formation at pD 6.45; steric rather than electronic due to NH1 pKa similarities for 9-ethylguanine and 9-methylhypoxanthine.

**Cytosine Complex**

In order to further establish the reactivity of an exocyclic NH$_2$ group (in comparison to C6-NH$_2$-adenine derivatives), Fish and co-workers studied the reaction of [Cp*Rh(H$_2$O)]$_3$(OTf)$_2$ with 1-methylcytosine at pH 5.4 and was found to provide by $^1$H NMR, FAB/MS, elemental analysis, and single-crystal X-ray crystallography, a *trans- µ*-hydroxy dimer, with the formula *trans*-[Cp*Rh(η$_1$(N3)-1-methylcytosine)(µ-OH)]$_2$(OTf)$_2$, 10 (Figure 8).$^{11}$ The 1-methylcytosine binds at N3 and forms intramolecular hydrogen bonds to the µ-OH with the NH$_2$ group and the µ-OH with the C=O group, but does not form a 4-membered chelate with N3/NH$_2$; clearly the extensive intramolecular hydrogen bonding of the donor and acceptor µ-OH groups with the NH$_2$ groups and the C=O groups overrides any 4-membered chelate that may form.

![Figure 8: X-ray structure of trans-[Cp*Rh(η$_1$(N3)-1-methylcytosine)(µOH)]2(OTf)$_2$, 10](image-url)
Thymine Complex

Reaction of [Cp*Rh(H2O)]3(OTf)2 and 1-methylthymine (1-MT) at pH 10 provided one of the most unusual and novel structures discovered in all of the bioorganometallic studies conducted by the Fish group. Figure 9 shows the reported X-ray crystal structure of the anionic and cationic components, and a key feature was the linear, N1-Rh4-N3 grouping ([Rh(η1, N3-1-MT)2]⁺), with a bond angle of 178.2(3)°, and a near staggered (98.8°) configuration of two thymine planes with respect to one another. Indeed, the two thymine planes are eclipsed, as required by its inversion symmetry. As well, the perpendicular geometry of the two thymine rings gave rise to an interesting stacking arrangement where the two thymine planes are π-stacked to either a Cp* ring of (Cp*Rh)(µ-OH)3]⁺ (three such interactions) or to a centrosymmetrically related thymine ring of another anion, which allows the Rh4 center to be shielded by a hydrophobic cavity generated from the five Cp* methyl hydrogens (Rh4 - H distances range from 2.93 to 3.16 Å).

Shielding by the carbonyl oxygen lone pair electrons of the 1-MT ligands may also be of some importance; however, the four carbonyl oxygen atoms are hydrogen-bonded to H2O molecules and none of these interactions are near the Rh4 atom. Moreover, the distances between the least-squared adjacent planes of the Cp* groups and the 1-MT ligands range from 3.45 to 3.58 Å, and the angles, from 0.0 to 2.9°, which agrees well with reported π-π aromatic ring molecular recognition interaction.
The plausible mechanism for formation of the unique complex, [Rh(η¹(N3)-1-MT)₂⁻], is shown in the Scheme 1. The mechanism can be tentatively rationalized by the following observations: The distillate of the reaction mixture was analyzed by GC/MS techniques and provided information that Cp*OH was formed (m/z = 151 and 135, for [M-H]+ and [M-OH]+) during the reaction; clear evidence for the loss of the Cp* ligand from Rh₃⁺. Thus, we speculate that reductive elimination, of Cp*OH from the putative mononuclear [Cp*Rh(1-MT)(OH)]⁻ complex provided [Rh(η¹(N3)-1-MT)₂⁻]. This former complex, [Cp*Rh(1-MT)(OH)]⁻, was thought to form via nucleophilic substitution of 1-MT⁻ (pKa = 9.7) on the plausible and similarly preceded intermediate, trans-[Cp*Rh(µ-OH)(η¹(N3)-1-MT)]₂, the presumed initial product from the reaction of 1-MT⁻ with [(Cp*Rh)₂(µ-OH)₃](OH).⁴
Scheme 1: Plausible Mechanism in the Formation of [Rh(η\(^1\) (N3) -1-MT)\(_2\)]^\text{+}

Other Novel Organometallic-Nucleobase Cyclic Complexes

Sheldrick and co-workers\(^{13}\) extended the nucleobase bonding studies of Fish et al. with, for example, the Cp*Ir aqua complex and reported a novel cyclic tetramer structure with either guanine, adenine, or hypoxanthine itself (no substituent at the 9 position). An example shown is the X-ray structure of the tetra nuclear [CpIr(μ-η\(^1\)(N7, N9)-guaninyl)]\(_4\)\(^{4+}\) complex, \(^{12,13a}\) while adenine afforded the μ-η\(^1\)N9: η\(^2\)(N6, N7) bonding mode.\(^{13b}\)
Moreover, Yamanari and co-workers reported that replacement of the NH$_2$ group in the adenosine nucleus with a sulfur, C=S group, provided an unprecedented cyclic hexamer, rather than the cyclic trimer found by Fish and co-workers with adenosine, and was analyzed by X-ray crystallography to provide unequivocal evidence for complex 13 (Figure 11) with $\mu$-$\eta^1$(N1):$\eta^2$(S6, N7) bonding.$^{14}$
Recently, Jaouen and co-workers have defined novel synthetic pathways to several important organometallic derivatives of the breast cancer drug, Tamoxifen, where the phenyl group was replaced by a ferrocene group.\textsuperscript{1a,b,15} The synthesis and structure of several Ferrocifen derivatives are shown below; complex 14, where $n = 2, 3, 5, 8$. In addition, ruthenocene and cyclopentadienyltungsten derivatives of estradiol provided new synthetic pathways to these organometallic pharmaceuticals.\textsuperscript{19a} The critical focus of these synthetic studies was to ascertain the biological effect of an organometallic moiety at the known hormone receptor binding site for efficacious drug therapy. This seminal finding, which appends an organometallic complex to the now modified drug structure, was successful in providing a new scenario for drug discovery, and has given credence to the new field of organometallic pharmaceuticals.\textsuperscript{1a,b}
Ferrocifen Derivatives, 14

Estradiol Ruthenocene Complex, 15

Estradiol CpW(CO)Me Complex, 16

Molecular Recognition Studies with Cyclic Trimer Bioorganometallic Hosts and Biological Guests in Water

Host [Cp*Rh(2'-deoxyadenosine)]₃(OTf)₃
When Fish and co-workers discovered the 9-substituted adenine, cyclic trimer structures, complex 4 as an example, having C3 symmetry, they found that the X-ray/computer generated molecular models conveyed a supramolecular, bowl structure to this potential host and thought about the possibilities of non-covalent π-π, hydrophobic, and subtle hydrogen bonding interactions with biologically important guest molecules. 4,7 Indeed, this was the case and; moreover, they found that the [Cp*Rh(2'-deoxyadenosine)]$_3$(OTf)$_3$ complex, 17, was the best host available (Figure 12). 16

![Figure 12: Shows on the left, the Dreiding model, while on the right, the CPK model of host, [Cp*Rh(2'-deoxyadenosine)]$_3$(OTF)$_3$, 17.](image)

Therefore, a variety of guest aromatic and aliphatic amino acids, substituted aromatic carboxylic acids, and aliphatic carboxylic acids including examples such as L-phenylalanine, L-tryptophan (L-Trp), phenylacetic and cyclohexylacetic (CAA) acids were studied by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy (association constants [K$_a$] and free energies of complexation [$\Delta$G°]) for their non-covalent interactions with host,
[Cp*Rh(2'-deoxyadenosine)]₃(OTf)₃. Apparently, the aromatic groups interact by a classical $\pi-\pi$ mechanism, while the aliphatic guests by classical hydrophobic interactions. The computer generated molecular recognition process of **L-Trp** with [Cp*Rh(2'-deoxyadenosine)]₃(OTf)₃ was shown in the energy minimized, space-filling host and the docking of **L-Trp** (Figure 13).¹⁶,¹⁷ These overall results suggest that the molecular recognition of **L-Trp** with [Cp*Rh(2'-deoxyadenosine)]₃(OTf)₃ can be described in a way that places the **L-Trp** aromatic rings inside of the host cavity with the aromatic plane, or more specifically, the line which bisects the C-H(a) and C-H(a') bonds parallel to the C3 axis of the host. Similar Dreiding and CPK models for the [Cp*Rh(2'-deoxyadenosine)]₃(OTf)₃ hydrophobic interaction with **CAA** are shown in Figure 14.

![Figure 13: Host-Guest, [Cp*Rh(2'-deoxyadenosine)]₃(OTf)₃-L-Tryptophan Docking Experiment](image-url)
A New Biorganometallic Host-Guest Process: Selective Hydrogen Bonding

Furthermore, the X-ray structure of potential host 10 (Figure 8) clearly shows the unique intramolecular H-bonding aspects of the ligand, 1-methylcytosine, with the Rh₂(µ-OH)₂ core that were previously reported.¹¹ Thus, the µ-OH groups act as both H-donor and acceptor with the 2-carbonyl (OH--O=C, 1.96 (1) Å) and NH₂ groups (HO--HNH, 1.93 (1) Å), respectively. Moreover, we thought that an intermolecular recognition process also based on H-bonding to the µ-OH groups and the cytosine NH₂ and C=O functionalities might be possible with the aromatic amino acid NH₃⁺ and COO⁻ groups, without disrupting the intramolecular hydrogen bonding regime shown in Figure 8.
By using complexation-induced $^1$H NMR chemical shifts (CICS), we were able to discern a new molecular recognition process based on selective hydrogen bonding between host 10 and guests, L-tryptophan and L-phenylalanine. Thus, it appeared plausible that the primary host-guest interaction of 10 with L-tryptophan was from a H-bonding process of the NH$_3^+$ and COO$^-$ groups with the 1-methylcytosine ligand.

In order to better understand these H-bonding and non-covalent interactions between host and guest, we conducted computer docking experiments to provide the energy minimized, space-filling/ball and stick model of 10 with a ball and stick model of guest L-tryptophan, as shown in Figure 15. The top view in Figure 15 demonstrates the H-bonding of the NH$_3^+$ group to one μ-O and to the C=O group of one of the 1-methylcytosine ligands, while the COO$^-$ group H-bonds to a NH$_2$ group of the other 1-methylcytosine ligand. This H-bonding scheme then provides that the remaining structure of the guest is fixed in relation to the host, as shown in the top and bottom views of Figure 15.$^{18a}$ This represents a new molecular recognition process for a bioorganometallic host-aromatic amino acid guest interaction and is reminiscent of similar interactions of biologically significant compounds with metalloenzymes or DNA/RNA oligimers.$^{18b}$
Figure 15: Top view: Host 10 with L-tryptophan showing selective H-bonding of amino acid CO$_2$ to NH$_2$ of one 1-methylcytosine ligand and NH$_3^+$ of amino acid to Rh-µ-OH and C=O of the other 1-methylcytosine ligand. Bottom view: Same as top view turned 90°
Computer Docking Experiments of Organometallic Pharmaceuticals at Estrogen Receptor Binding Sites: Selective, Non-Covalent Interactions with Hormone Proteins

The recent exciting find, as elaborated on earlier in the synthetic aspects of this review, by Jaouen and co-workers, that an organometallic derivative of the known breast cancer drug, Tamoxifen; namely, Ferrocifen and its derivatives, were potentially candidates for breast cancer therapy, as well as other cancers, has created a new paradigm; namely, the field of organometallic pharmaceuticals. Since the X-ray structure of the estradiol hormone receptor site has been accomplished, which is thought to be the major receptor protein implicated in hormone-dependant breast cancers, then it is now possible to conduct computer docking/energy minimization experiments at the receptor site to discern the conformation and non-covalent interactions of Ferrocifen, and other organometallic drug derivatives, with the surrounding simplified protein structure.

Moreover, the identification of novel targets of estrogen action provides an increasing degree of complexity to the understanding of mechanisms by which this hormone elicits many of its normal, as well as pathological effects. Estradiol, the archetype of estrogens, has been implicated in a number of problems from fertility questions to several types of cancer, including frequent diseases, such as oestroporosis, cardiovascular, and metabolic disorders. It is well known that the effect of estradiol, is mediated through its ability to bind to the estradiol hormone receptor site.
Estradiol, 18

A molecular view of the binding modes existing both with an agonist (18) as well as an antagonist (19, 20; TAM, OH-TAM, blocks estrogen from the receptor site) with similar nanometer distances, based upon these X-ray determinations, can now be utilized to examine the consequences of the attachment of an organometallic moiety, for example, compound 14, where \( n = 3 \), to a modified drug structure; i.e., drug 20 modified to 14, with respect to the receptor binding site. Since we have two groups of organometallic drug derivatives based on an estrogenic, complex 15, or an anti-estrogenic, complex 14, structural effect, we will illustrate the different non-covalent binding regimes with an example of each type of behavior.
Figures 16 defines the anti-estrogenic, organometallic complex, 14, n=3, as to its conformation in computer docking/energy minimization experiments with the estrogen receptor site proteins, and demonstrates important non-covalent interactions with the amino acids depicted in the Figure. Thus, several hydrogen bonding regimes are discernable, for example, between aspartic acid carboxylate 353 (1.868 Å) and one of the N-CH₃ groups of the ether side chain, O(CH₂)₃N(CH₃)₂, the carboxylate of glutamic acid 351 and the phenolic hydrogen (1.577 Å), and the arginine 394 NH with the phenolic oxygen (2.061 Å). Moreover, one of the Cp ligands of the ferrocene group has a non-covalent CH-π interaction with the histidine 524 imidazole ring.
Figure 16: Ferrocifen derivative (Z isomer), 14, n=3, docked at the estrogen protein receptor site and clearly shows the organometallic complex inside the antagonist binding site of the estrogen receptor.

In contrast to the anti-estrogenic, 14, n=3 (Z isomer), binding mode to the estrogen protein receptor site, the ligand binding domain for estrogenic 15 was similar to estradiol, 18, with the exception of the ruthenocene Cp ligand, attached to a rigid acetylenic linkage. Clearly, Figure17 shows the dramatic conformational and non-covalent bonding differences with the estrogen protein binding site between the two organometallic modified drugs, 14 and 15. Significantly, one of the Cp rings of the ruthenocene group is now in a non-covalent π-π interaction (3.211Å), with the histidine 524 imidazole group, while the imidazole ring NH group is hydrogen bonding(2.722 Å),
to the 17 α OH group. Other pertinent hydrogen bonds are with the A ring phenolic OH with both the glutamic acid carboxylate 353 (2.722 Å), and the arginine 394 NH (3.101 Å).

Therefore, the exciting finding of possibly why organometallic pharmaceutical 14, n=3, is a potential anti-cancer agent, while the organometallic modified estradiol, 15, is not, could be related to the conformational changes in the estrogen receptor protein upon binding of the drug. This can be depicted in the more complex receptor protein site with 14, n=3, Figure 18, where the apparent steric effects of the O(CH2)3N(CH3)2 side-chain appears to cause Helix 4 and Helix 12 to leave a gap between them. This factor is opposite to that of complex 15, where there is no gap (similar to a mouse trap) between Helix 4 and Helix 12, and this plausible reason, among others, may explain why 14 is a potential cancer drug for breast cancer, and 15 is not. Another important aspect is the fact that 14, with a ferrocene ligand can be readily oxidized to a ferrocenium ion, and in the process of degradation to Cp and Fe(III), can generate an oxygen radical species that can provide the cytotoxic effect, by possibly reacting with DNA in proximity to the binding domain at the estrogen receptor site.20
Figure 17  17α–ruthenocylethynylestradiol, 15, docked at the estrogen protein receptor site. The ethynyruthenocenyl group is also bordered in its lower side by two hydrophobic amino acid residues Met 343 and Met 421. A shrinkage, which is well adapted to accommodate the rigid ethynyl group, can be clearly seen in front of the 17α-position of the hormone. This allows the ruthenocenyl group to avoid steric constraints inside the cavity.
Figure 18: Ligand binding domain at the estrogen receptor site of potential organometallic pharmaceutical, 14.
Organometallic Ionophores: Selectivity for Li$^+$ Ions

In the quest for more selective ionophores, biomolecular metallomacrocycles that selectively sequester alkali metal ions, several groups have used the self-assembly approach to these synthetic targets that are useful for medical and analytical applications. Taking a similar synthetic approach to the self-assembled Cp*Rh cyclic trimer structures, such as 4, that were used as hosts for biomolecules, Severin and co-workers developed a novel organometallic ionophore that is highly selective to Li$^+$ ions over the more highly concentrated Na$^+$ ions, by reaction of 3-hydroxypyridone with [(C$_6$H$_5$CO$_2$Et)RuCl$_2$]$_2$.$^{21}$ This is significant, since Li$^+$ ion concentrations are strictly monitored for a variety of medical applications related to mental disorders. The CPK model of the organometallic ionophore, 21, and that of the Li complex, 22 (Cl omitted for clarity) is shown in Figure 19.

Figure 19: Organometallic Ionophore, 21, left, and Li complex, 22, right
Conclusions

In this mini-review of the Bioorganometallic Chemistry discipline, focused on structural diversity and molecular recognition, we hope to have enlighten the organometallic community to these new directions, and to envision the exciting possibilities for future directions. Clearly, as organometallic chemists, we have a vital role at the interface of chemistry and biology to create new paradigms for basic research and, for example, medical applications, for the betterment of the global society.
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