

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Chemoprevention of prostate cancer with the polyamine synthesis inhibitor difluoromethylornithine.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3kv0t9c4>

Journal

Recent results in cancer research. Fortschritte der Krebsforschung. Progres dans les recherches sur le cancer, 202

ISSN

0080-0015

Authors

Meyskens, FL
Simoneau, AR
Gerner, EW

Publication Date

2014

DOI

10.1007/978-3-642-45195-9_14

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Chemoprevention of Prostate Cancer with the Polyamine Synthesis Inhibitor Difluoromethylornithine

Frank L. Meyskens Jr., Anne R. Simoneau
and Eugene W. Gerner

Abstract

In vitro and in vivo preclinical results suggest that inhibition of polyamine synthesis inhibits the progression of prostate cancer. These findings has led to two clinical trials in patients at risk for invasive prostate cancer with difluoromethylornithine which specifically and irreversibly inhibits ornithine decarboxylase which catalyses the conversion of ornithine to putrescine the rate limiting step in polyamines synthesis. We have conducted a phase IIa one month and placebo randomized phase IIb 12 months trials in patients at increased risk for invasive prostate cancer. Favorable reduction in prostate polyamine levels and prostate volume was documented with no difference in clinical hearing changes. Patients with Gleason's VI lesions in a surveillance cohort would be appropriate candidates for a definitive risk reduction trial although the unavailability of validated biomarkers for invasive progression would require a large and lengthy study.

F. L. Meyskens Jr. (✉) · A. R. Simoneau
Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California Irvine,
Irvine, CA, USA
e-mail: flmeyske@uci.edu

F. L. Meyskens Jr.
Departments of Medicine, Biological Chemistry and Public Health,
University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA

A. R. Simoneau
Department of Urology, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA

E. W. Gerner
BIO5 Institute and Arizona Cancer Center, University of Arizona,
1580 E. Hanley Blvd., Tucson, AZ 85737, USA

Contents

1 Introduction.....	116
2 The Future	118
References.....	119

1 Introduction

Polyamines are small cationic molecules that have diverse roles in the cellular management of normal and malignant cells (Nowotarski et al. 2013). The biochemical pathways which intersect with polyamine metabolism are complex and a simplified version is shown in Fig. 1. Extensive discussions of the polyamine network of synthetic and catabolic enzymes and transport systems are available elsewhere (Gerner et al. 2004).

A key regulatory step involves the decarboxylation of ornithine to yield putrescine by the rate-limiting enzyme ornithine decarboxylase (ODC). This gene contains several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs); under certain circumstances, one ODC SNP at +316 from the transcription start site is associated with cancer prognosis and response to certain therapies (Zell et al. 2010). In 1976 difluoromethylornithine (DFMO), a specific irreversible inhibitor of ODC, was synthesized. It is important to note that inhibition of ODC by DFMO results in a rapid decrease in changes in the levels of putrescine, while a decrease in the Spd/Spm ratio reflects long-term alterations. Therapeutic studies of DFMO in hematopoietic malignancies and solid tumors were negative (Seiler 2003), but a series of preclinical prevention studies in the late 1980s were positive, particularly in colon and prostate cancers, which led us to explore the activity of DFMO in patients with these organ site malignancies. A summary of the early findings particularly relevant to prostate cancer are summarized in Table 1 and include *in vitro* and animal model findings (Kadmon 1992). Based on these encouraging preclinical results, we began a series of trials involving patients at significant risk for progression of low-grade (Gleason's VI) prostate cancer. Until recently selection of at-risk patients were driven by clinical parameters, Gleason scores, and prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels. We began our studies of prostate cancer chemoprevention in 1995 and have reported results from a 1-month phase IIa trial in 2001 and a 12-month phase IIb trial in 2008 (Simoneau et al. 2001, 2008).

The phase IIa trial (1 month duration) was designed as follows:

- Objective: to evaluate the effects of DFMO on polyamine levels in the prostate.
- Methodology:
 - Prospective nonrandomized study of men aged 50–85 who required prostate needle biopsy. Four additional cores were taken at time of initial contact.
 - If surgery or rebiopsy was indicated, subjects started DFMO 0.5 gm/m² orally each day for 28 days prior to a second procedure. Four additional cores were taken for analysis and analyzed for polyamine levels.




Fig. 1 Synthesis of Polyamine: Major Pathway. The amino acid ornithine is rapidly converted by ornithine decarboxylase into the polyamine putrescine and its levels are a reliable measure of short-term changes in tissue polyamines. A series of enzymatic steps lead to formation of spermidine and the terminal polyamine spermine. Changes in the spermidine/spermine ratio reflect long-term effects. Acetylation (SSAT) of the polyamines occurs which enhances export; some NSAIDs (such as Sulindac) enhance this step

Table 1 Historical perspective of preclinical studies of polyamines and the prostate (1978–1992)^a

- ODC activity and polyamines are higher in prostatic tissue compared to other tissues
- Rats given DFMO had reduction of ODC activity to 10 % of controls by 4 h
- The prostate was more sensitive than other tissues to DFMO polyamine suppression
- DFMO can affect rat prostate weight
- ODC activity is higher in hormone unresponsive prostate cancer cell lines (G₃)
- DFMO inhibited prostate cancer cell lines in vitro and in vivo

^aAdapted from Kadmon (1992)

- Participants: 49 signed consent, 18 who did not have extra biopsies; 22 with first biopsy only; 10 who took DFMO; and 9 who completed pre- and post-biopsy.

The major features of the phase IIb trial (12 months) included:

- Objective: to evaluate the effects of DFMO on polyamine levels in the prostate, prostate volume, PSA levels, and toxicity.
- Methodology:
 - Men diagnosed with prostate cancer before the age of 70 who also had a first-degree relative with prostate cancer, or men diagnosed before the age of 55 designated as a proband. Their brothers and first cousins under 70 years were eligible for the study.
 - Participants had an AUA history, PSA determination, prostate ultrasound, and prostate biopsies.

Table 2 Major findings in phase IIa and phase IIb chemoprevention trials of DFMO for prostate cancer^a

 Phase IIa (1 month, pre–post comparison)

Marked reduction of putrescine, spermidine, and spermine levels in all nine participants and decreased Sp/Spm ratio in eight of nine patients

 Phase IIb (12 month, pre–post comparison, randomized)

- Prostate volume: DFMO (\uparrow 0.14 cm³), placebo (\uparrow 2.95 cm³); $p = 0.03$

- Prostate putrescine: DFMO (\downarrow 60.8 %), placebo (\uparrow 139 %); $p = 0.001$

The changes in volume and putrescine levels occurred in the AA and AG but not the GG ODC genotype

- Clinical ototoxicities: no difference between arms, but subclinical changes documented by audiometry in the AA/AG group (Zell et al. 2010)

^aSummarized from Simoneau (2001, 2008)

- Prostate tissue examined for histology, polyamine content, and tissue markers.
- Participants received placebo or 500 mg/day of DFMO.
- One year later repeat studies were performed. Analysis of differences before and after DFMO, each man served as his own control.
- Participants: 140 men enrolled (consented); 81 underwent an initial biopsy, 76 men were randomized; 66 completed two sets of biopsies of which 62 finished within 12 months of study drug and an end of study biopsy.

The overall results of both trials are summarized in Table 2. The results from these trials clearly demonstrated that a low nontoxic dose of DFMO suppressed putrescine levels in the prostate rapidly and long term led to a significant decrease in prostate size that was more pronounced in patients with the AA/GA ODC allele. There was also a trend in decreasing PSA doubling time.

We and others have gained extensive clinical experience with DFMO in the prevention of colorectal (Meyskens et al. 2008) and nonmelanoma skin cancers (Kreul et al. 2012). At the doses used, this drug was nontoxic, although sub-clinical changes in hearing in a few patients were detectable by audiometry (McLaren et al. 2008).

2 The Future

The results from these trials and the increasing recognition of the important role that polyamines play in cellular regulation (Agostinelli et al. 2010) have encouraged us to re-examine a potential role for DFMO in the chemoprevention of prostate cancer. Exciting new work with polyamine transport inhibitors has also refocused attention on the polyamine pathway (Samal et al. 2013). Negative results in the large PCPT and SELECT trials (Thompson et al. 2003; Algotar et al. 2013) as well as the failure of toremifene and 1 α —hydroxyvitamin D₂ (Gee et al. 2013; Taneja et al. 2013) in HGPIN has also refocused attention on DFMO. A wide

range of preclinical studies are examining the role of natural compounds in prostate cancer prevention (Horie 2012; Ozten-Kandaş and Bosland 2011; Cimino et al. 2012; Thapa and Ghosh 2012), but to date the results have been unconvincing and clinical trials have not been forthcoming (Horie 2012).

The major question then about expanding studies with DFMO is: Which group of patients should be the targeted population? Only about 20 % of patients with Gleason's 6 tumors progress to aggressive cancers. Until biomarkers are developed that can identify these patients with considerable accuracy, large definitive trials are unlikely to be undertaken. Alternatively, one might consider a trial in patients with Gleason's 7 or even 8/9 tumors, but ethical considerations might limit such a trial. Overall, definitive trials of DFMO as a chemopreventive agent await more accurate classification of risk based on a better understanding of the natural history of low-grade prostate cancer (e.g., see Earnshaw et al. 2013; Pan et al. 2012).

References

- Agostinelli E, Marques MP, Calheiros R, Gil FP, Tempera G, Viceconte N, Battaglia V, Grancara S, Toninello A (2010) Polyamines: fundamental characters in chemistry and biology. *Amino Acids* 38(2):393–403. doi:10.1007/s00726-009-0396-7. Epub 2009 Dec 15. Review. PubMed PMID: 20013011
- Algotar AM, Stratton MS, Ahmann FR, Ranger-Moore J, Nagle RB, Thompson PA, Slate E, Hsu CH, Dalkin BL, Sindhvani P, Holmes MA, Tuckey JA, Graham DL, Parnes HL, Clark LC, Stratton SP (2013) Phase 3 clinical trial investigating the effect of selenium supplementation in men at high-risk for prostate cancer. *Prostate* 73(3):328–335. doi: 10.1002/pros.22573. Epub 2012 Aug 10. PubMed PMID:22887343
- Cimino S, Sortino G, Favilla V, Castelli T, Madonia M, Sansalone S, Russo GI, Morgia G (2012) Polyphenols: key issues involved in chemoprevention of prostate cancer. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* 2012:632959. doi:10.1155/2012/632959. Epub 2012 May 28. Review. PubMed PMID: 22690272; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3368543
- Earnshaw SR, Brogan AP, McDade CL (2013) Model-based cost-effectiveness analyses for prostate cancer chemoprevention : a review and summary of challenges. *Pharmacoeconomics* 31(4):289–304. doi:10.1007/s40273-013-0037-6. PubMed PMID: 23519744
- Gee J, Bailey H, Kim K, Kolesar J, Havighurst T, Tutsch KD, See W, Cohen MB, Street N, Levan L, Jarrard D, Wilding G (2013) Phase II open label, multi-center clinical trial of modulation of intermediate endpoint biomarkers by 1 α -hydroxyvitamin D2 in patients with clinically localized prostate cancer and high grade pin. *Prostate*. Jan 17. doi: 10.1002/pros.22644. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 23335089
- Gerner EW, Meyskens FL Jr. (2004) Polyamines and cancer: old molecules, new understanding. *Nat Rev Cancer* 4(10):781–792. Review. PubMed PMID:15510159
- Horie S (2012) Chemoprevention of prostate cancer: soy isoflavones and curcumin. *Korean J Urol* 53(10):665–672. doi:10.4111/kju.2012.53.10.665. Epub 2012 Oct 19. PubMed PMID: 23136625; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3490085
- Kadmon D (1992) Chemoprevention in prostate cancer: the role of difluoromethylornithine (DFMO). *J Cell Biochem Suppl* 16H:122–127. Review. PubMed PMID: 1289667
- Kreul SM, Havighurst T, Kim K, Mendonça EA, Wood GS, Snow S, Borich A, Verma A, Bailey HH (2012) A phase III skin cancer chemoprevention study of DFMO: long-term follow-up of skin cancer events and toxicity. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 5(12):1368–1374. doi: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-12-0233. Epub 2012 Oct 11. PubMed PMID: 23060038; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3518692

- McLaren CE, Fujikawa-Brooks S, Chen WP, Gillen DL, Pelot D, Gerner EW, Meyskens FL Jr (2008) Longitudinal assessment of air conduction audiograms in a phase III clinical trial of difluoromethylornithine and sulindac for prevention of sporadic colorectal adenomas. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 1(7):514–521. doi:[10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-08-0074](https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-08-0074). PubMed PMID: 19139001; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2702261
- Meyskens FL Jr, McLaren CE, Pelot D, Fujikawa-Brooks S, Carpenter PM, Hawk E, Kelloff G, Lawson MJ, Kidao J, McCracken J, Albers CG, Ahnen DJ, Turgeon DK, Goldschmid S, Lance P, Hagedorn CH, Gillen DL, Gerner EW (2008) Difluoromethylornithine plus sulindac for the prevention of sporadic colorectal adenomas: a randomized placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 1(1):32–38. doi: [10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-08-0042](https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-08-0042). PubMed PMID: 18841250; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2562024
- Nowotarski SL, Woster PM, Casero RA Jr (2013) Polyamines and cancer: implications for chemotherapy and chemoprevention. *Expert Rev Mol Med* 15:e3. doi:[10.1017/erm.2013.3](https://doi.org/10.1017/erm.2013.3). PubMed PMID: 23432971
- Ozten-Kandag N, Bosland MC (2011) Chemoprevention of prostate cancer: Natural compounds, antiandrogens, and antioxidants - In vivo evidence. *J Carcinog* 10:27. doi: [10.4103/1477-3163.90438](https://doi.org/10.4103/1477-3163.90438). Epub 2011 Nov 30. PubMed PMID: 22190869; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3243088
- Pan DL, Samavedi S, Eldefrawy A, Manoharan M (2012) The current status of active surveillance for prostate cancer. *Postgrad Med* 124(3):50–58. doi:[10.3810/pgm.2012.05.2548](https://doi.org/10.3810/pgm.2012.05.2548). Review. PubMed PMID: 22691899
- Samal K, Zhao P, Kendzicky A, Yco LP, McClung H, Gerner E, Burns M, Bachmann AS, Sholler G (2013) AMXT-1501, a novel polyamine transport inhibitor, synergizes with DFMO in inhibiting neuroblastoma cell proliferation by targeting both ornithine decarboxylase and polyamine transport. *Int J Cancer*. Mar 2. doi:[10.1002/ijc.28139](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.28139). [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 23457004
- Seiler N (2003) Thirty years of polyamine-related approaches to cancer therapy. Retrospect and prospect. Part 1. Selective enzyme inhibitors. *Curr Drug Targets* 4(7):537–564. Review. PubMed PMID: 14535654
- Simoneau AR, Gerner EW, Phung M, McLaren CE, Meyskens FL Jr (2001) Alpha-difluoromethylornithine and polyamine levels in the human prostate: results of a phase IIa trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 93(1):57–59. PubMed PMID: 11136843
- Simoneau AR, Gerner EW, Nagle R, Ziogas A, Fujikawa-Brooks S, Yerushalmi H, Ahlering TE, Lieberman R, McLaren CE, Anton-Culver H, Meyskens FL Jr (2008) The effect of difluoromethylornithine on decreasing prostate size and polyamines in men: results of a year-long phase IIb randomized placebo-controlled chemoprevention trial. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 17(2):292–299. doi:[10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-0658](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-0658). PubMed PMID: 18268112; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2515594
- Taneja SS, Morton R, Barnette G, Sieber P, Hancock ML, Steiner M (2013) Prostate cancer diagnosis among men with isolated high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia enrolled onto a 3-year prospective phase III clinical trial of oral toremifene. *J Clin Oncol* 31(5):523–529. doi: [10.1200/JCO.2012.41.7634](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2012.41.7634). Epub 2013 Jan 7. PubMed PMID: 23295793
- Thapa D, Ghosh R (2012) Antioxidants for prostate cancer chemoprevention: challenges and opportunities. *Biochem Pharmacol* 83(10):1319–1330. doi:[10.1016/j.bcp.2011.12.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2011.12.027). Epub 2012 Jan 11. Review. PubMed PMID: 22248733
- Thompson IM, Goodman PJ, Tangen CM, Lucia MS, Miller GJ, Ford LG, Lieber MM, Cespedes RD, Atkins JN, Lippman SM, Carlin SM, Ryan A, Szczepanek CM, Crowley JJ, Coltman CA Jr (2003) The influence of finasteride on the development of prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med* 349(3):215–224. Epub 2003 Jun 24. PubMed PMID: 12824459
- Zell JA, McLaren CE, Chen WP, Thompson PA, Gerner EW, Meyskens FL (2010) Ornithine decarboxylase-1 polymorphism, chemoprevention with eflornithine and sulindac, and outcomes among colorectal adenoma patients. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 102(19):1513–1516. doi:[10.1093/jnci/djq325](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djq325). Epub 2010 Aug 26. PubMed PMID: 20798393; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2950167