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INTRODUCTION

California has the largest and most diverse Asian American, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander (AANHPI) population in the United States. In July of 2006, the U.S. Census Bureau estimated that there are more than 5.1 million AANHPIs in California, representing more than 14% of the state’s overall population. It is expected that California’s AANHPI population will continue to grow rapidly and, as a result, present significant challenges to policymakers at the national, state and local levels. These challenges may be more daunting than originally forecasted because of the shortcomings of existing data on the diverse AANHPI communities. Past practices often aggregated data from the one or two AANHPI racial groups while excluding many of the smaller ethnic groups, giving policy makers a somewhat rosy and homogeneous picture of an extraordinarily diverse population. In fact, the AANHPI population is made up of well over a dozen separate ethnic communities, each with a distinctly unique language, culture, and health profile.

“The State of Asian American, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander (AANHPI) Health in California Report” has been commissioned by the California Asian Pacific Islander (API) Joint Legislative Caucus. The purpose of the report is to provide a more accurate snapshot of the social context and health status of AANHPIs in relation to other racial groups in California, as well as in relation to the many AANHPI subgroups. This report is intended to provide the information needed by elected officials, primary and secondary care providers, for-profit and non-profit organizations, school districts, the faith community, law enforcement, and state and local governments in order to provide effective health and mental health services to this emerging and diverse population. The report will hopefully mark the beginning of the development of a body of information whose time has come.

Given the AANHPI community’s ethnic and socioeconomic diversity against the backdrop of rising costs of health care, the growing rates of uninsured and underinsured, and the widening chasm of health care disparities, it is imperative that policymakers at all levels of government have access to current data in order to formulate new and relevant health care policies. However, historically, published journal articles and research briefs have broadly documented AANHPIs as a homogeneous community – oftentimes failing to recognize the immense variations between AANHPI subgroups. In addition, very few studies have highlighted the AANHPI community’s health status and those that do exist often undergo significant lapses between revisions or updates. It is imperative to develop broader knowledge of the specific illnesses and diseases across new and emerging AANHPI subgroups such as the Cambodians, Laotians, Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders and their differences and similarities with other racial/ethnic groups.

It is for these reasons that my office, on behalf of the API Joint Legislative Caucus, and the University of California Asian American and Pacific Islander Policy Multi-Campus Research Program (MRP) decided to collaborate on the development and publication of a report that will fill this void. Specifically, MRP has assembled a multi-disciplinary faculty team with state and national AANHPI health research expertise from the University of California (UC) to gather and carefully assess, through a disaggregated lens, the most recent data available pertaining to the health status of AANHPIs in California.
It is my hope that this report will result in:

1) Legislation to mandate the ongoing collection of disaggregated data on AANHPIs and other emerging communities;
2) Increased funding for research, especially concerning communities whose needs have been overlooked;
3) More effective methods of providing health and mental health education to the myriad AANHPI communities; and, most importantly
4) Heightened public awareness to bury the myth of the Asian American “model minority” which masks the serious health needs and concerns of many diverse AANHPI ethnic communities.

Following the release of the report, the API Joint Legislative Caucus will conduct informational hearings to discuss the findings of the report and develop legislative and non legislative solutions and recommendations for implementation.

On behalf of the Caucus, I would like to express my utmost gratitude to the MRP team, sponsors, and everyone’s contributions that have made this important study possible. I would like to send a special thank you to Paul Ong, Ninez Ponce and Winston Tseng for all their efforts to lead this cause. I would especially like to thank the funders of this project without whose support this work could not have been completed: the California Program on Access to Care, California Program on Opportunity and Equity, University of California Center Sacramento, and Kaiser Permanente.

Sincerely,

MIKE ENG
49th Assembly District
Member, California Asian Pacific Islander Joint Legislative Caucus
Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders (AANHPIs) in California now number over five million and comprise over 14% of the population, making this minority group one of the fastest growing racial populations in the state, as well as in the nation. Because AANHPIs are usually combined into one or two large racial categories, the simplistic image of the “model minority” inevitably emerges, ignoring many of the barriers that may significantly impact the more than a dozen ethnic subgroups that are under the broad “AANHPI” umbrella.

This report examines the health of AANHPIs in California. We examine this diverse population through the lens of socioeconomic factors that differentiate the various AANHPI ethnic subgroups, as well as the social circumstances and health system conditions that may predispose or influence AANHPIs in their health behaviors. Understanding these population characteristics, socioeconomic determinants and health system factors contextualizes the state of AANHPI health in California and thus more effectively guides policy formulation addressing both systemic and specific causes of AANHPI health disparities.

With the diversity of experiences and background characteristics of the AANHPI population, there is a range of ability to access and navigate the health care system. Language, cultural beliefs about health care, and cultural sensitivity of services and providers are some examples of the barriers to health care access and utilization, particularly for recent immigrants who constitute a high proportion of the Asian American population. Other financial and legal barriers include poverty, low-wage employment and citizenship status.

When examining socioeconomic determinants of health access and health status – such as level of education, occupation, income, poverty status, home ownership, use of public programs, and labor force participation – there are marked disparities across Asian ethnic groups. In particular, Asian immigrants in low-wage jobs tend to have the least access to health care partly because of the lack of health insurance, inability to cover the high cost of health care, and the overwhelming demand on their time for work and family obligations.

By far, the most critical element within our health care system is the extent to which people can obtain affordable and effective health care coverage. In California, 6.6 million residents are uninsured, the largest number of uninsured in the nation. Contrary to some beliefs, AANHPIs in California are not only just as susceptible to being uninsured as other populations, but for some groups, even more so. Koreans, Vietnamese, and Native Hawaiians/Pacific Islanders (NHPIs) all have uninsured rates that are higher than the state average.

Differences across AANHPI ethnic subgroups in health behaviors and in the prevalence of cancer, infectious diseases, and chronic conditions support the need to examine health data not only holistically, but by gender and ethnic subgroup as well. For example, cancer is the leading cause of death for Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders as a racial group, but Native Hawaiians have the highest rate of stomach and uterine cancer while liver cancer disproportionately strikes the Vietnamese, Korean, and Chinese populations.
Marked differences in behavioral risk factors also exist across AANHPI subgroups. Some AANHPI ethnic groups disproportionately exhibit negative health behaviors – such as smoking, physical inactivity, and obesity – that raise concerns over the well-being not only of adults, but also of children in these subgroups. For example, Samoan and other Pacific Islander children have the two highest rates among all California children who are overweight or obese, putting these children at heightened risk for cardiovascular disease, Type 2 diabetes, and some types of cancers.

The following are some key findings of our analysis on the health status and health care needs of the AANHPI population by ethnic subgroup:

- AANHPIs are the only racial group for whom the leading cause of death is cancer, with higher rates among Asian Americans (27.7%) and NHPIs (25.4%) than for White (23.3%), Latino (20.4%), Black (22.4%) and American Indian/Alaska Native (20.3%) populations in California in 2004.
- Liver cancer disproportionately strikes the AANHPI population at such alarmingly high rates that the cancer burden levied on AANHPIs is unmatched by other racial/ethnic health disparities in the U.S.
- Asian American women have nearly double the rate of non-compliance with cervical cancer screening guidelines as the overall state average, with the lowest rates among Koreans, Vietnamese, Chinese and Other Asians.
- Asian American men also have higher rates of non-compliance with prostate cancer screening guidelines, with Vietnamese and Koreans posting the poorest screening rates among all ethnic groups, and Chinese, Filipinos, and Other Asians also screening at rates worse than the state average.
- AANHPIs account for the largest proportion of all tuberculosis and chronic hepatitis B cases in California, with the majority of cases among the foreign-born population.
- There is an alarming epidemic of overweight and obese children in California’s NHPI communities. In particular, Samoan children have the largest percentage (54%) of all children in the state whose body mass index (BMI) is not within the Healthy Fitness Zone.
- 46% and 70%, respectively, of Filipino and NHPI adults are overweight or obese, compared to the state average of 34%.
- Compared to other racial/ethnic groups, NHPIs have some of the highest rates of diabetes; Filipinos, Vietnamese, and South Asians also have diabetes rates higher than the California average, despite having a generally younger population.
- 25% of adult Filipino males are current smokers, exceeding the state average for adult males of 19%.
- Koreans have the highest uninsured rate (33%) of any racial/ethnic group in the state – far outpacing the state average of uninsured adults (15%).
- Vietnamese and NHPIs experience frequent mental distress at higher rates than other AANHPI groups, yet the Vietnamese represent the highest proportion of insured Californians who are without mental health coverage.
Given the available data for each AANHPI subgroup, this report provides a detailed picture of the AANHPI population, including social and health indicators that are not available in most published reports. However, further data collection and disaggregation efforts are needed, particularly for NHPIs who are typically presented as an aggregate category or for whom estimates are unstable or unavailable because of their small numbers. Further data is also needed to generate estimates for other Southeast Asian groups besides Vietnamese and to disaggregate the South Asian category into Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi and Sri Lankan.

As this report shows, examining the Asian American, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander population as distinct ethnic subgroups yields a far more complex portrait than that of a successful minority, with unique problems and barriers impacting some subgroups more than others. By understanding the specific health needs of the many AANHPI ethnic subgroups, we are able to formulate more effective policies for this very diverse and rapidly growing population in California.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation/Term</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>American Community Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIS</td>
<td>California Health Interview Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUMS</td>
<td>Public Use Microsample datafile from US Census</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEER</td>
<td>Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Asian Americans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Alone</td>
<td>Includes Asians who identify as single race Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Inclusive</td>
<td>Includes Asians who identify as Asian alone or in combination with other races</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPI</td>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPI Inclusive</td>
<td>Includes NHPI who identify as NHPI alone or in combination with other races</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH White Inclusive</td>
<td>Includes Non-Hispanic Whites who identify as NH White alone or in combination with other races</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI/AN</td>
<td>American Indians and Alaska Natives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI/AN Inclusive</td>
<td>Includes AI/AN who identify as AI/AN alone or in combination with other races</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian (CHIS data)</td>
<td>Includes Southeast Asians, other than Vietnamese, and those who identify as multiracial Asian</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Asian Americans are often portrayed as being a successful minority and a number of statistical figures are consistent with this view. However, this portrait hides the complexity and diversity of this population, as well as the problems and barriers they face. Asian Americans in California — and in the nation as a whole — are one of the fastest growing racial populations, with rapid growth continuing into the future. Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders (AANHPIs) can be found throughout California, though they are largely concentrated in major metropolitan areas. Although the Census lists nine AANHPI ethnic groups on its forms, there are many more AANHPI ethnic groups that are not listed. In addition, the Pacific Islander population is categorized as Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander (NHPI) due to its relatively smaller size of the population. This is important to note since the AANHPI population differs in myriad ways, including culturally, linguistically, and religiously. Upon closer inspection, the Asian American population has a high proportion of immigrants with many of the problems related to issues faced by immigrant communities. When looking at indicators such as education and poverty, Southeast Asians are particularly disadvantaged. An examination of socioeconomic factors, particularly in the AANHPI community, becomes even more important since these factors can influence access to health care, health care utilization, health care behavior, and health outcomes.
The AANHPI population has increased dramatically in California between 1960 (2.1%) and 2006 (14%).

The California Department of Finance has projected that AANHPIs will continue to grow throughout the first half of the century but AANHPI numbers have already surpassed these projections. For example, the total projection for AANHPIs (which includes both Asians and NHPIs) was 12.4% in 2010, yet the “Asian Alone” population already reached 12.7% in 2006.
### Exhibit 3. Top 10 Counties with Asian Inclusive Population, CA 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographic Area</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>4,896,851</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Top 10 Counties by Absolute Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>1,372,493</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Santa Clara County</td>
<td>546,167</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td>512,189</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>378,955</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>San Diego County</td>
<td>245,721</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>San Francisco County</td>
<td>249,222</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sacramento County</td>
<td>210,647</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>San Mateo County</td>
<td>178,208</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Contra Costa County</td>
<td>154,604</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>San Bernardino County</td>
<td>136,569</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: American Community Survey 2006

### Exhibit 4. Top 10 CA Counties with NHPI Inclusive Population, CA 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographic Area</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>198,796</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Top 10 Counties by Absolute Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>38,638</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>San Diego County</td>
<td>23,529</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>17,027</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td>14,942</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sacramento County</td>
<td>14,380</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>San Mateo County</td>
<td>11,488</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Santa Clara County</td>
<td>10,269</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
<td>10,058</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>San Bernardino County</td>
<td>9,241</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Contra Costa County</td>
<td>6,935</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: American Community Survey 2006

### Exhibit 4. Top 10 Counties with NHPI Inclusive Population, CA 2006
The largest numbers of Asians are in Los Angeles County (1.37 million or 13.8% of the population). However, San Francisco County has the largest percentage of Asians (249,000 or 33.5% of the population).

The largest numbers of Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders (NHPIs) are in Los Angeles County (38,638 or 0.4%) and the largest percentage is in Yuba County (1,159; 1.6%).

There are significant numbers of Asians in at least nine ethnic categories, with Chinese, Filipino and Vietnamese being the three largest groups.

Asian Americans (AAs) are the 3rd largest racial group in CA.
Compared with the overall California population, Asian Americans are slightly older while NHPIs are younger.

Among AAs, Hmong have the youngest median age and Japanese the oldest median age.

A majority of adult AAs are married.

Marriage rates for NHPIs are similar to the CA population.

AANHPIs have a lower fertility rate than CA as a whole.
With the diversity of experiences and background characteristics of the AANHPI population, there is a range of ability to access and navigate the health system as well as public programs overall. Barriers to health care access and utilization include language, cultural beliefs about health care, and the cultural sensitivity of services and providers. Many of these issues are due to the large immigrant population within the Asian American community. Within the NHPI community, health care access and utilization issues may be related to other factors such as cultural norms and perceptions of health care in the U.S. or the lack of health care providers who are culturally sensitive to the needs of the population. The findings point to the necessity of having a health system that can communicate with the immigrant population, which includes having interpreter and translation services available. Unlike the other major immigrant group in California — Latinos — the Asian American population presents a greater challenge because there is no singular language, dominant culture, or dominant religion.

Exhibit 7. Foreign Born

Source: American Community Survey 2006
A majority of AAs are foreign-born but NHPIs are predominantly U.S.-born. Japanese Americans, who are predominantly U.S.-born, are a notable exception.

Among foreign-born AAs, a majority have already achieved citizenship.

There are still large numbers of AAs with limited English proficiency due in part to many being recent arrivals.

Asian Americans become naturalized citizens at higher rates than the total immigrant population in the state.

Vietnamese have the highest percentage of naturalized citizens (3 out of 4 become U.S. citizens).

Exhibit 8. Naturalization Rate

Exhibit 9. Entry into the United States

- A majority of AAs are foreign-born but NHPIs are predominantly U.S.-born. Japanese Americans, who are predominantly U.S.-born, are a notable exception.
- Among foreign-born AAs, a majority have already achieved citizenship.
- There are still large numbers of AAs with limited English proficiency due in part to many being recent arrivals.
- Asian Americans become naturalized citizens at higher rates than the total immigrant population in the state.
- Vietnamese have the highest percentage of naturalized citizens (3 out of 4 become U.S. citizens).
• Japanese have the highest percentage of immigrants entering the U.S. in the year 2000 or later.

• Japanese, Indians, NHPIs and Koreans have higher rates than Latinos entering in 2000 or later.

Exhibit 10. Limited English Proficiency

Source: American Community Survey 2006

• Many Asian American subgroups have a greater percentage of people with limited English proficiency (LEP) compared with other groups.

• Over half of the Vietnamese (54%) and Korean (50%) communities have limited English proficiency, the highest rates among Asian groups.

• A low proportion of NHPIs (12%) has limited English proficiency.

• Filipinos and Asian Indians, who originate from countries where English is one of the official languages, have fairly low LEP rates (21% and 25%).

• Japanese Americans, many who have been in the U.S. for many generations, also have relatively low LEP rates (20%).
Socioeconomic determinants on health access and health status in this report include level of educational attainment, occupation, income level, poverty status, home ownership, use of public programs, and labor force participation. At a cursory glance, AANHPIs would appear to have characteristics that would lead to normative access and health. However, upon closer analysis, variations by racial groups and Asian ethnic groups reveal disparities and barriers across socioeconomic characteristics. There are also unique occupational patterns across racial groups and AANHPI ethnic groups. In particular, Asian immigrants who are in low-wage jobs tend to have the least access to health care partly because of the lack of health insurance, inability to cover the high cost of health care, and the overwhelming demands on their time for work and family obligations.

**Exhibit 11. Educational Attainment**

Percent of Population by Educational Attainment

CA Rate: 20% less than high school and 29% Bachelors +

- Less than High School
- Bachelor’s Degree and Above

Source: American Community Survey 2006

*Exhibit 11. Educational Attainment*
A large proportion of Southeast Asians have less than a high school education.

NHPIs and Southeast Asians have proportionately fewer percentages of people who have obtained a bachelor’s degree or above.

NHPIs and Southeast Asians also have a higher representation in service occupations as compared to the overall CA population.
• The Hmong population’s per capita income is more than $18,000 below that of the total CA population.

• The NHPI population’s per capita income is more than $7,000 below that of the total CA population.

• Southeast Asian communities have a higher percentage of individuals who live below the poverty level compared to the total population.

• The Hmong community has the highest percentage of individuals (32%) living below poverty.

• Southeast Asians (Cambodians, Hmong, Laotians and Vietnamese) also have a higher percentage of people who depend on public assistance and food stamps compared to the total CA population.

• Single-race NHPIs have a higher poverty level than the more inclusive category of NHPIs (those who identify as NHPI alone or in combination with other races).
HEALTH CARE SYSTEM

The following data concentrates on indicators that are integral to the health care system such as coverage, access and utilization, screening and delivery of care. How the health care system attends to each of these factors directly impacts its ability to successfully meet the growing health care needs of our communities. Considering the health care system in this manner allows policy makers and health program administrators to more easily identify and eliminate the gaps that often lead to health care disparities and inequality. By creating a more seamless health care system, the goal to deliver high quality health care services to Californians can be realized and, ultimately, result in improved health outcomes and quality of life.

COVERAGE

By far, the most critical element within our system of health care is the extent to which individuals and their families can obtain affordable and effective health care coverage. Nationally, over 44 million Americans are without health insurance and in California, 6.6 million residents are uninsured. Additionally, California has the largest number of uninsured residents and the seventh largest proportion of uninsured in the nation.¹

Numerous studies have shown that lack of health care insurance is a huge risk factor for several preventable diseases such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and hypertension. Not having regular access to a primary care provider greatly limits one’s ability to be an active participant in one’s health and places unnecessary burden and strain on the emergency room delivery system, since the uninsured must obtain health care wherever they can in times of need. Moreover, lack of health insurance has also been linked to diseases that are more easily treatable if detected early such as cervical, breast and colorectal cancer. For the uninsured, being in the precarious position of seeking care only when one ‘feels sick’ can have detrimental consequences for their health and, in some cases, decreases opportunities for survival.

Health Insurance Coverage
Contrary to some beliefs, Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders (AANHPIs) in California are not only just as susceptible to being uninsured as other populations, but for some groups, even more so. While California’s state average of uninsured for adults (age 18 to 64 years of age) is 15%, certain groups within the AANHPI community are at or above this average rate.

¹ California Health care Foundation, “Snapshot: California’s Uninsured,” 2006
• Japanese nonelderly adults have the lowest uninsured rates (4%) among AANHPI groups.

• 8% of Filipinos and 8% of South Asians were uninsured all year, a rate comparable to Whites.

• 15% of Native Hawaiians/Pacific Islanders (NHPIs) and 17% of both Vietnamese and other Asian groups are without health insurance, comparable to American Indian and Alaska Native uninsured rates.

• Most concerning is that 33% of Koreans in California are uninsured, constituting the highest rate of uninsured within any racial/ethnic group in the entire state. This may be driven by high self-employment among Koreans.

Exhibit 15. Dental Coverage

• Asian
• Chinese
• Filipino
• Vietnamese
• South Asian
• Korean
• Japanese
• Other Asian
• NHPI
• White
• Latino
• Black
• AI/AN
• Other

Source: CHIS 2003

"Other" is other single/multiracial individuals

Exhibit 15. Dental Coverage
• 4 in 10 California adults have no dental coverage.
• On average, 36% of Asians and 37% of NHPIs have no dental coverage — rates that are slightly lower than the state average.
• As in the case for general health care coverage in the state, Koreans have the highest proportion of uninsured for dental care.
• The higher dental coverage rates among Filipinos and South Asians are comparable to the rate for Blacks.

Exhibit 16. Mental Health Coverage

No Mental Health Coverage, Insured Adults Who Needed Help for Emotional/Mental Problems or Have Seen Someone for Emotional/Mental Problems within the Past Year, Age 18 and Older

CA Rate: 20%

Source: CHIS 2005
Statistically unstable estimates for Filipinos, South Asians, Japanese, Other Asians & NHPIs
"Other" is other single/multiracial individuals

Exhibit 16. Mental Health Coverage

In California, 20% of insured adults (age 18 to 64 years of age) do not have mental health care coverage when seeking help for emotional/mental health care concerns. Accessing mental health care treatment is crucial to overcoming mental health care issues such as depression and anxiety. Another prime concern are the effects of co-morbidity, since other health conditions such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease often exist concurrently with mental health syndromes. For the AANHPI community, mental health care coverage is also an issue:

• 28% of the insured Chinese population and 34% of the insured Vietnamese population are without mental health care coverage.
• Vietnamese represent the highest proportion of insured Californians who are without mental health coverage.
• Mental health non-coverage rates for Vietnamese are similar to rates for Latinos.
ACCESS & UTILIZATION OF PREVENTIVE SERVICES

Having a regular source of medical care – a Healthy People 2010 leading health indicator – and reporting at least one annual visit to a health care provider are two fundamental measures of an individual’s basic connection to the U.S. health care system. Having a basic association with the health care system increases the likelihood of an individual to: 1) Seek timely access to medical care during periods of illness; 2) Receive appropriate and timely preventive care and health screenings for protection against and early detection of disease; and 3) Have some level of continuity of care, which is particularly important for those with chronic health conditions. In addition, having some form of a medical home goes beyond access to primary care by opening pathways to specialty care and other ancillary health services. Several Asian American subgroups report relatively low rates of having a usual source of care and having at least one annual doctor visit as compared to the overall California population. Barriers to having a usual source of health care and/or having a doctor visit in the previous year include limited English proficiency, insurance status, and citizenship status and may be particularly relevant for many Asian subgroup populations.

Exhibit 17. Usual Source of Care

No Usual Source of Care, Adults, Age 18 and older,

CA Rate: 15%

Along with Latinos, Koreans have the highest proportion of adults age 18 - 64 without a usual source of care (25%) in the state.

18% of the NHPI and 17% of the Other Asian populations lack having a usual source of health care – rates that exceed the state average.

Chinese, Vietnamese and South Asians each have 12% of their population without a usual source of care, a rate that is 2 percentage points above the rate for Whites (10%).
Koreans and Other Asian populations have the highest rates (29%) of any adult population (age 18 - 64) who did not visit a doctor within the past year. This is 11 percentage points higher than the state average (18%).

With the exception of two groups, AANHPI populations have the highest percentage of subgroups who went without a doctor’s visit last year. Four of these rates exceed the state average.

Cancer Screening

Cancer is the second leading cause of death in the United States, accounting for nearly 23% of all deaths in 2005. For Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders, cancer is the leading cause of death. Improvements in both the diagnosis and treatment of cancer have pushed 5-year survival rates to 66% between 1996 and 2003, according to the American Cancer Society (ACS). Cancer screening, through tests such as the mammogram, not only increases the likelihood that cancer is detected earlier when it is more treatable, but, in some cases such as cervical cancer, can prevent the disease entirely. In fact, for breast, colon and cervical cancers, early detection through screening has been shown to reduce mortality rates. Despite the importance of cancer screenings in detecting and preventing cancer, Asian Americans often report screening rates far below that of other racial/ethnic groups. For example, according to the ACS, one of the most treatable cancers, cervical cancer, has a 92% 5-year survival rate if the cancer is detected in its earliest stages. And yet, many Asian American ethnic groups have nearly double the rate of non-compliance with Pap test recommendations as the overall state average, leaving much work to be done in improving rates among these vulnerable populations.
The proportion of Asian women not meeting cervical cancer screening guidelines is much higher than all other racial/ethnic groups.

Exhibit 19. Cervical Cancer Screening

Exhibit 20. Breast Cancer Screening

- The proportion of Asian women not meeting cervical cancer screening guidelines is much higher than all other racial/ethnic groups.
Lowest cervical cancer screening rates are among Koreans, Vietnamese, Chinese and Other Asians.

Chinese, South Asians, Vietnamese and NHPIs are also above state average rates in not getting mammograms at recommended time intervals.

Koreans and the “Other Asian” group have the highest rates of non-compliance with recommended breast cancer screening in the state.

Most AANHPI groups have poor colorectal cancer screening rates with the poorest compliance among Koreans.

NHPIs, Filipinos and South Asians also have poor compliance rates for colorectal cancer screening.

Although Japanese have the highest colorectal cancer screening rates among AANHPIs, their rate is still lower than that of the overall CA population.

DELIVERY OF CARE

A wealth of literature shows that racial/ethnic disparities persist in all aspects of health care, from basic levels of access to utilization and quality (Unequal Treatment, Institute of Medicine, 2002). Many believe that increased numbers and availability of minority physicians provide one avenue through which to decrease racial/ethnic disparities in care. Racial/ethnic and language concordance be-
between physicians and patients may provide minority patients in particular with improved outcomes through enhanced cultural competence and sensitivity, better communication between patient and provider, higher patient satisfaction, and decreased perceptions of discrimination. And yet, currently, the composition of the physician population in California does not reflect the diversity of the patient population. This is particularly true for many Asian ethnic groups such as Southeast Asians, whose population numbers far outweigh the availability of racially/ethnically concordant physicians and nurses. Considering that the Census projects that the California and U.S. populations will become significantly more diverse by 2050, the shortage in the number of minority physicians will only be exacerbated in upcoming years. In addition, many Asian ethnic subgroups report high rates of unequal treatment in the receipt of health care which may levy additional barriers to accessing quality, culturally-appropriate health care.

Exhibit 22. Perceived unequal treatment

- 4% to almost 11% of all AANHPIs perceive race-based discrimination in medical care.
- Koreans and Other Asians believe they receive unequal treatment at rates comparable with Latinos and American Indians /Alaska Natives.
- South Asians report low rates of unequal treatment in receiving medical care, possibly due to the relatively high proportion of South Asian medical providers in California, which provides more opportunity for South Asians to seek South Asian providers. (see next chart)
The value in examining the health care workforce within the AANHPI community is in identifying the probability of service providers who are co-ethnic and likely to demonstrate a common language and cultural sensitivity. Although some Asian American ethnic groups are well represented in the health professions, there is a severe dearth of physicians and nurses for other ethnic groups. NHPIs and Southeast Asians, in particular, are not adequately represented in the health professions. The findings are not surprising when compared to the educational attainment in both communities.

- Japanese have 11.6 physicians per 1,000 of the population compared to 2.3 per 1,000 for CA.
- Filipinos have 27.6 nurses per 1,000 compared to 5.9 per 1,000 for CA.
- There are 0 physicians per 1,000 in the Laotian community and 0.4 nurses per 1,000 in the Hmong community.
BEHAVIORAL RISK FACTORS

Negative health behaviors such as smoking, physical inactivity, and obesity have been linked to all aspects of health from increased medical expenditures to the development and progression of many types of cancer. Although smoking rates have held steady in recent years, rates of other harmful behaviors such as obesity have risen dramatically in recent decades and have created great concern for the health and well-being of not only adults, but also children who are increasingly at risk for obesity and physical inactivity. Current national figures show that 66.3% of adults age 20 and older are classified as overweight or obese, 21% of all adults smoke, and only 31% and 39.5% of adults engage in regular leisure and non-leisure physical activities respectively.2

Exhibit 24. Current Smokers

Current Smokers, Adults, Age 18 and older,

CA Rate: 19% (men), 12% (women)

Source: CHIS 2003 & 2005
Statistically unstable estimates for Vietnamese men and women, Korean men, Other Asian women, NHPI men and AI/AN men.
"Other" is other single/multiracial individuals

2 CDC: National Center for Health Statistics, Health, United States, 2007
• There are dramatic gender differences in smoking across almost all AANHPI ethnic groups.
• Chinese, Filipino and South Asian women are among the least likely to be current smokers.
• While the state average is 12% for adult women (age 18 and older), 16% of NHPI and 13% of Japanese women are current smokers.
• 25% of adult Filipino males (age 18 and older) are current smokers. This exceeds the state average for adult males by 6 percentage points (19%).

Exhibit 25. Physical Activity: Walking

Higher proportions of Vietnamese, Chinese, and NHPI do not participate in walking for fun.

Japanese have the greatest spread between leisure and non-leisure walking activity.

South Asians have the highest rate of engaging in leisure physical activities among AANHPIs, and this rate is comparable to Whites and AI/ANs.
Fruit and vegetable consumption rates are fairly comparable across AANHPI adults and other racial/ethnic groups.

- Exhibit 26. Adults: Servings of Fruits & Vegetables
  - Percent of Adults Who Consume Less than 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables, Age 18 and older,
    - CA Rate 51%

- Exhibit 27. Adolescents: Servings of Fruits & Vegetables
  - Percent of Adolescents Consuming Less than 5 Fruits or Vegetables, Age 12-17,
    - CA Rate 76%

Source: CHIS 2003 & 2005
"Other" is other single/multiracial individuals
With the exception of South Asian and NHPI populations, all AANHPI groups perform worse than the state average of 51% in not meeting the “5-a-day” nutritional goal.

With more than 60% of Filipino adults consuming less than 5 fruits and vegetables a day, Filipinos are on the low end of meeting the “5-a-day” nutritional goal.

Next to Filipinos, Chinese adults are the second largest proportion in the state consuming less than five servings of fruits and vegetables per day.

Among adolescents, NHPIs, Filipinos, South Asians and Other Asians are more likely to consume less than five servings of fruits and vegetables a day than the state average for adolescents.

More than 1 in 3 Filipinos and NHPIs are overweight.

Among Asians, Filipinos have the highest proportion (46%) of overweight or obese adults.

7 in 10 NHPIs are overweight or obese.

Vietnamese and Chinese have the lowest proportion of adults who are overweight.

CHILDHOOD OBESITY

There is an alarming epidemic of overweight and obese children and adolescents in the United States. Results from the 2003-2004 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), indicate that an estimated 17% of children and adolescents ages 2-19 years are overweight. Overweight increased from 7.2% to 13.9% among 2-5 year olds and from 11% to 19% among 6-11 year olds.
between 1988-94 and 2003-2004. Among adolescents aged 12-19, overweight increased from 11% to 17% during the same period.\(^3\)

Overweight and obesity is associated with an increased risk of premature morbidity and mortality. Excess weight is associated with a variety of illnesses including cardiovascular disease, Type 2 diabetes, and some types of cancers. Compared to children and adolescents of healthy weight, risk factors for cardiovascular disease (such as high blood pressure and cholesterol) occur with increased frequency in overweight and obese children and adolescents. Moreover, Type 2 diabetes, once thought only to afflict adults, has dramatically increased in children and adolescents. Excess weight can also affect mental health as overweight and obese children and adolescents are more likely to have poor self-esteem and depression (Goodman, 2003).

California schools use Healthy Fitness Zones (HFZ) to evaluate whether a student meets the HFZ goal of physical activity and body composition that offers protection against diseases associated with sedentary living. The problems of overweight and obesity extend into California’s AANHPI children, especially among NHPs.

- Samoan children have the largest percentage (54%) of all children in the state whose body mass index (BMI) is not within the Healthy Fitness Zone.
- Tahitians, Native Hawaiians and Guamanians have BMI percentages higher than the state average of 32%.
- Other Pacific Islanders have the second largest percentage (42%) of all children in the state whose BMIs are not within the Healthy Fitness Zone.

---

HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS

VITAL STATISTICS

With the AANHPI population increasing dramatically and faster than the general California population over the past few decades, the vital statistics and disease registries that track the health of these populations — and the state’s capacity to adequately do so — are of growing importance and interest. Vital statistics track births and deaths and represent key indicators that impact the state’s population growth, as well as highlight the critical health/disease outcomes that most impact our diverse populations. Disease registries track health care, health condition/disease, and health professional data and represent vital indicators for assessing the state’s health care system.

Among AANHPIs, the number of live births over the past decade has been increasing at a dramatic pace similar to Latinos, while the number of live births among White and Black populations has been declining. Asian American health challenges are also unique, as Asians are the only racial group with cancer as the leading cause of death. In addition, infectious diseases such as tuberculosis and hepatitis B are of critical concern among AANHPIs.

There are some limitations to the vital statistics and disease registries data on AANHPIs. For example, mortality and natality events for some AANHPI subgroups are too few to provide accurate statistics. Not fully capturing these health statistics among all of California’s language and cultural groups are of increasing concern.

NATALITY

Exhibit 30. Number of Live Births

Exhibit 30. Number of Live Births By Race/Ethnic Group of Mother, California, 2000-2004

**HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS**

California Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics.

**Live Birth Rate (Crude Birth Rate)** = \(\frac{\text{number of live births}}{\text{estimated total population}} \times 1,000\)

- The number of live births for Asian Americans and NHPIs from 2000 to 2004 increased by 5.5% and 7%, growing faster than the total number of live births for California (2.5%) during the same period.
- The percentage of total live births by Asian American and NHPI mothers in California increased from 2000 (11.5%) to 2004 (11.9%), while the percentage of live births by White and Black mothers declined during the same period.
- Among Asian American subgroups, Filipino (2.7%), Chinese (2.4%), Vietnamese (1.6%), and Indian American (1.5%) mothers had the highest number of live births in California in 2004.
- Filipino, Chinese, Vietnamese, and Indian American mothers together accounted for 73.1% of all live births by Asian American mothers in California in 2004.

**MORTALITY**

*Exhibit 31. Infant Mortality Rate by Race/Ethnic Group, California, 2004*

(Rates are per 1,000 live births in specified group. Age-adjusted rates are calculated using Year 2000 U.S. standard population.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RACE/ETHNIC GROUP OF CHILD</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPI</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI/AN</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Infant deaths are under one year of age.)
(Infant mortality rates are not calculated for fewer than 5 events.)


Infant Mortality Rate = \(\frac{\text{number of deaths under 1 year of age}}{\text{total number of live births}} \times 1,000\)

- NHPIs have a higher infant mortality rate than Asian, White, Latino, and AI/AN Americans in California in 2004.
Exhibit 32. Age-Adjusted Death Rates by Race/Ethnic Group, California, 2001-2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RACE AND YEAR</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>491.6</td>
<td>467.0</td>
<td>456.8</td>
<td>436.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPI</td>
<td>864.1</td>
<td>805.1</td>
<td>776.3</td>
<td>729.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White/Other/Unknown</td>
<td>803.8</td>
<td>777.7</td>
<td>769.7</td>
<td>743.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>614.8</td>
<td>579.6</td>
<td>584.5</td>
<td>557.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>1,065.3</td>
<td>1,045.7</td>
<td>1,015.6</td>
<td>971.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI/AN</td>
<td>575.7</td>
<td>505.9</td>
<td>470.9</td>
<td>438.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>752.7</td>
<td>723.4</td>
<td>717.2</td>
<td>686.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Rates are per 100,000 population in specified groups. Age-adjusted rates are calculated using the direct method and the Year 2000 U.S. standard population.)


- Asian American and NHPI age-adjusted death rates from 2000 to 2004 declined by 12.2% and 15.5%.
- NHPIs have a higher age-adjusted death rate than Asian Americans and the total California population.
- Asian Americans have the lowest age-adjusted death rate across all other racial/ethnic groups.

Exhibit 33. Leading Causes of Death by Race/Ethnic Group, California, 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RACE AND YEAR</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>NHPI</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Latino</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>AI/AN</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Causes</td>
<td>15,886</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>162,083</td>
<td>33,376</td>
<td>18,289</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>232,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Disease</td>
<td>3,944</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>47,767</td>
<td>7,583</td>
<td>5,049</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>65,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>4,402</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>37,809</td>
<td>6,816</td>
<td>4,091</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>53,708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>1,571</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11,705</td>
<td>2,139</td>
<td>1,303</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>16,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung Disease (CLRD)</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10,317</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>12,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidents</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6,348</td>
<td>2,622</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>10,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza &amp; Pneumonia</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5,192</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3,764</td>
<td>1,817</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>7,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer's Disease</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5,868</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis</td>
<td>3,686</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,355</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3,364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1,868</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>1,089</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephritis</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,371</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


- Asian Americans are the only racial/ethnic group for whom the leading cause of death is cancer.
- The percentage of total deaths caused by cancer is higher among Asian Americans (27.7%) and NHPIs (25.4%) than for White (23.3%), Latino (20.4%), Black (22.4%), and AI/AN (20.3%) populations in California in 2004.
• Cancer, Heart Disease, and Stroke combined account for 62.4% and 60.7% of all deaths among Asian Americans and NHPIs, rates that are higher than those for White (60%), Latino (49.6%), Black (57.1%), and AI/AN (48.7%) populations in California in 2004.

• Diabetes, hypertension, and Alzheimer’s disease as leading causes of death are growing concerns among Asian Americans and NHPIs.

Exhibit 34. Leading Causes of Death by Asian Ethnic Groups, California, 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Chinese</th>
<th>Filipino</th>
<th>Japanese</th>
<th>Korean</th>
<th>Vietnamese</th>
<th>Indian</th>
<th>NHPI</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Causes</td>
<td>15,886</td>
<td>4,699</td>
<td>4,384</td>
<td>2,271</td>
<td>1,340</td>
<td>1,297</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>232,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Disease</td>
<td>3,944</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>1,270</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>65,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>4,402</td>
<td>1,455</td>
<td>1,120</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>53,708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>1,571</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>16,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung Disease (CLRD)</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidents</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza &amp; Pneumonia</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>7,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s Disease</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3,364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2,489</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


• Cancer is the leading cause of death among Chinese, Korean, and Vietnamese Americans in California in 2004.

• Heart disease is the leading cause of death among Filipino, Japanese, Indian, and NHPI Americans in California in 2004.

• Accidents as a leading cause of death are of particular concern among Chinese, Vietnamese, Indian, Korean, and NHPIs in California in 2004.

• Influenza/Pneumonia as a leading cause of death is of particular concern among Japanese, Korean, Chinese, Vietnamese, and Indian Americans in California in 2004.

• Diabetes as a leading cause of death is of particular concern among Filipino, NHPI, Japanese, Chinese, and Indian Americans in California in 2004.

• Suicide as a leading cause of death among Asian Americans is of particular concern among Koreans in California in 2004.
INFECTIOUS DISEASE

TUBERCULOSIS & HEPATITIS B

Infectious diseases overall have been decreasing and are a declining priority for the general California population over the past few decades, but among AANHPIs, infectious diseases such as tuberculosis and hepatitis B are an increasing burden. AANHPIs account for the largest proportion of all tuberculosis and chronic hepatitis B cases in California. The AANHPI burden for tuberculosis has increased over the past decade to almost half of all tuberculosis cases in 2007, with the majority of the AANHPI cases among the foreign-born population. In terms of hepatitis B, AANHPIs account for a majority of all cases of chronic hepatitis B in California. In particular, chronic hepatitis B accounts for a majority of liver cancer incidence and mortality. However, the state and county-level data available on tuberculosis, hepatitis B, and liver cancer among AANHPIs is very limited, due to too few counts to produce accurate statistics on AANHPIs and AANHPI subgroups.

Exhibit 35. Tuberculosis Cases by Race/Ethnicity, California, 1998-2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR AND RACE</th>
<th>Asian/NHPI</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Latino</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>AI/AN</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1,527</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>1,401</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3,855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>1,340</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>1,198</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1,399</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>1,252</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1,285</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>1,273</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1,351</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>1,281</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1,287</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>1,173</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1,274</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>1,126</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1,223</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>1,068</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>-17.4</td>
<td>-54.2</td>
<td>-29.1</td>
<td>-43.2</td>
<td>-76.0</td>
<td>-29.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


- Asian Americans and NHPIs combined accounted for 42% of all tuberculosis cases in California from 1998 to 2007.
- Tuberculosis cases among Asian Americans and NHPIs combined from 1998 to 2007 declined by 17.4%, while tuberculosis cases among White, Latino, and the total California population declined by 54.2%, 29.1%, and 29.3% during the same period.
- The percentage of tuberculosis cases by Asian Americans and NHPIs combined in California increased from 1998 (39.6%) to 2007 (46.3%), while the percentage of tuberculosis cases by White, Black, and AI/AN Americans declined and Latino Americans stayed the same during the same period.
**Exhibit 36. Tuberculosis Case Rates per 100,000 Population by Race/Ethnicity, California, 1998-2007**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>Asian/NHPI</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Latino</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>AI/AN</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% Change 1998-2007: \(-35.8\) \(-56.3\) \(-46.3\) \(-46.7\) \(-83.3\) \(-38.5\)


- Tuberculosis case rates for Asian Americans and NHPIs combined is substantially higher than for White, Latino, Black, and AI/AN Americans in California from 1998 to 2007.
- Tuberculosis case rates for Asian Americans and NHPIs combined are 18.2 and 3.5 times higher than the tuberculosis case rates among White and total California populations in 2007.
- Tuberculosis case rates among Asian Americans and NHPIs combined declined from 1998 to 2007 by 35.8%, while tuberculosis case rates among White, Latino, and total California populations declined by 56.3%, 46.3%, and 38.5% during the same period.

**Exhibit 37. Tuberculosis Cases by U.S.-Born/Foreign Born Status and Country of Origin, California, 2007**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of Origin</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US Born</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Born</td>
<td>2,113</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Mexico            | 603   | 27%
| Philippines       | 477   | 22.6%
| Vietnam           | 257   | 12%
| China             | 130   | 6%
| India             | 102   | 5%
| Unknown           | 22    | 0.8%
| TOTAL             | 2,725 | 100.0%


- Foreign born California residents account for 77.5% of tuberculosis cases in California in 2007.
- The primary Asian countries of origin for foreign born tuberculosis cases include the Philippines, Vietnam, China, and India.
- Foreign born tuberculosis cases from the Philippines (22.6%), Vietnam (12.2%), China (6.2%), and India (4.8%) together account for 45.7% of all foreign born tuberculosis cases in California in 2007.
HEPATITIS B


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th># Tested for HBsAg</th>
<th># HBsAg-Positive (Chronically Infected)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th># Tested for Anti-HBs</th>
<th># HBsAg-Negative &amp; Anti-HBs-Negative (Unprotected)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 59</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th># Tested for HBsAg</th>
<th># HBsAg-Positive (Chronically Infected)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th># Tested for Anti-HBs</th>
<th># HBsAg-Negative &amp; Anti-HBs-Negative (Unprotected)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1,833</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1,205</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of Birth</th>
<th># Tested for HBsAg</th>
<th># HBsAg-Positive (Chronically Infected)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th># Tested for Anti-HBs</th>
<th># HBsAg-Negative &amp; Anti-HBs-Negative (Unprotected)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>1,016</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Asia, except</td>
<td>1,072</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asia/Pacific Islands</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>46.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3163</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1523</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regions of the San Francisco Bay Area where the study sample was recruited and interviewed from August 2001 to August 2006 included San Francisco, San Jose, Cupertino, Millbrae, Milpitas, and Sunnyvale as well as at Stanford Hospital.

- 8.9% of Asian adults were infected with chronic hepatitis B in the San Francisco Bay Area from 2001-2006.
- The chronic hepatitis B incidence rate for Asian adult men is almost twice as high as for Asian adult women in the San Francisco Bay Area from 2001-2006.
- Asian adults born in the U.S. had a higher risk of being unprotected from hepatitis B than Asian adults born in East Asia in the San Francisco Bay Area from 2001-2006.

- Asian adults 30 years old or older were three times more likely to be unprotected from hepatitis B than Asian adults under 30 years old in the San Francisco Bay Area from 2001-2006.
- Asian men were twice as likely to be infected with chronic hepatitis B as Asian women in the San Francisco Bay Area from 2001-2006.
- Asian adults born in East Asia, Southeast Asia, and the Pacific Islands were 20 times more likely to be infected with chronic hepatitis B than Asian adults born in the U.S. in the San Francisco Bay Area from 2001-2006.

*** Age < 30, female, and China served as the reference groups for the calculation of relative risk for age, sex, and country of birth.


CANCER

As noted in the previous sections, for Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders, cancer is the leading cause of death. Nationally, Native Hawaiians have the highest rate of stomach and uterine cancer and the second highest mortality rates for all cancers (Inter-cultural Cancer Council). Because of its small population size in California (less than 200,000), establishing a baseline of information on cancer incidence and mortality per 1,000 population is difficult for the aggregate NHPI category, let alone for the NHPI subgroups. The cancer surveillance data is better for Asian Americans. For example, we know that Asian women in California are the least likely to be diagnosed with cancer – perhaps attributed to the low cancer screening rates we presented earlier in the report. However, in the aggregate and across all sites, compared with other racial/ethnic groups, Asian Americans generally have lower cancer rates. For example, compared to non-Latino whites, Asian men are less likely to have prostate cancer and Asian women are less likely to have breast cancer. However, one study that disaggregated data on five Asian subgroups by cancer site underscores that cancer incidence and mortality rates vary widely across Asian subgroups. Based on five-year average, annual, age-adjusted incidence and mortality rates in California (from 1997–2001), the authors found:

- Chinese
  - Cancer incidence rates were consistently among the lowest.
  - Highest mortality rates for lung and bronchial cancer (34.3 per 100,000).
• Filipinos
  ✧ Highest incidence rate for prostate cancer (109.9 per 100,000) and thyroid cancer (10.8 per 100,000).
  ✧ Highest mortality rates for breast cancer (17.3 per 100,000), prostate cancer (17.5 per 100,000), and thyroid cancer (1.3 per 100,000).

• Japanese
  ✧ Highest incidence rates for colorectal cancer (57.5 per 100,000), female breast cancer (105.5 per 100,000), and uterine cancer (16.7 per 100,000).
  ✧ Highest mortality rates for colorectal cancer (20.5 per 100,000) and uterine cancer (2.5 per 100,000).

• Koreans
  ✧ Highest stomach cancer incidence rate at 37.4 per 100,000.
  ✧ Highest stomach cancer mortality rate (22.4 per 100,000).
  ✧ Lowest rate for breast cancer (49.1 per 100,000).

• Vietnamese
  ✧ Highest rates for liver cancer (33.3 per 100,000) and for lung and bronchial cancers (51.6 per 100,000).
  ✧ Highest mortality rate for liver cancer (20.8 per 100,000).


National and state data provide evidence that liver cancer disproportionately strikes the AANHPI population at such alarmingly high rates that the cancer burden levied on AANHPis is said to be unmatched by other racial/ethnic health disparities in the U.S. In this next section, we focus on liver cancer statistics in California and in the United States.

LIVER CANCER

Exhibit 40. Age-Adjusted Liver Cancer Incidence & Mortality Rates by Race/Ethnicity and Sex, California, 1997-2000
(Rates are per 100,000 population in specified groups.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Total Count</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Male Count</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Female Count</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th>Total Count</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Male Count</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Female Count</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among all Asian Americans in California from 1997-2001, Vietnamese (33.3), Korean (20.2), and Chinese (14.1) had the highest liver cancer incidence rates.

Among all Asian Americans in California from 1997-2001, Vietnamese (20.8), Korean (15.6), and Chinese (12.4) had the highest liver cancer mortality rates.

**Men:**

- Excluding Japanese, Asian American men have substantially higher liver cancer incidence rates than Asian American women, ranging from 2.4 times to 3.4 times higher.
- Excluding Japanese, Asian American men have substantially higher liver cancer mortality rates than Asian American women, ranging from 2.0 times to 3.7 times higher.

**Women:**

- Among Asian American women in California, Vietnamese (15.6) and Korean (12.7) women have the highest liver cancer incidence rates.
- Among Asian American women in California, Vietnamese (11.4) and Korean (10.9) women have the highest liver cancer mortality rates.

**Exhibit 41. Age-Adjusted Liver Cancer Incidence & Mortality Rates by Race/Ethnicity and Sex in the U.S., 1998-2002**

(Rates are per 100,000 population in specified groups.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RACE</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INCIDENCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Indian &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistani</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>3,689</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MORTALITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/NHPI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Indian</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The liver cancer incidence data was collected from the period January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2002 from U.S. state and regional population-based cancer registries that participate in NCI’s SEER Program. The reporting areas for this analysis included Atlanta, Detroit, Seattle/Puget Sound, and the states of California, Connecticut, Hawaii, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, New Jersey, New Mexico, and Utah. These cancer registries account for 54% of the U.S. Asian American and NHPI population. The liver cancer mortality data from 1998 to 2002 were collected from state vital record offices and consolidated by the National Vital Statistics System of the National Center for Health Statistics. The analysis was limited to the states of California, Hawaii, Illinois, New Jersey, New York, Texas and Washington. These seven states account for 68% of the U.S. Asian American and NHPI population.


---

- Asian Americans and NHPIs have substantially higher rates of liver cancer incidence than White Americans in the U.S.
Most Asian Americans have substantially higher liver cancer mortality rates than White Americans in the U.S.

Men
- Laotian (79.4), Vietnamese (55.5), Samoan (54.5), and Cambodian (49.1) men have the highest liver cancer incidence rates in the U.S.
- Among Asian American subgroups by sex, Vietnamese (33.8), Samoan (32.9), Korean (26.9), and Chinese (20.3) men have the highest liver cancer mortality rates in the U.S.
- Liver cancer incidence rates for Laotian, Vietnamese, Samoan, and Cambodian American men are 11.9, 8.3, 8.1, and 7.3 times higher than White men in the U.S.
- Liver cancer mortality rates for Vietnamese, Samoan, Korean, and Chinese American men are 3.3 to 5.5 times higher than White men in the U.S.

Women
- Asian American women also have substantially higher rates of liver cancer incidence than White women in the U.S.
- Most Asian American women also have substantially higher liver cancer mortality rates than White women in the U.S.
- Among Asian American women, Laotian (23.1), Vietnamese (16.8), Korean (14.4), and Cambodian (14.1) women have the highest liver cancer incidence rates.
- Among Asian American women, Korean (11.7), Vietnamese (10.9), Chinese (7.4), and Japanese (6.5) women have the highest liver cancer mortality rates.
- Liver cancer incidence rates for Laotian, Vietnamese, Korean, and Cambodian women are 8.9, 6.5, 5.5, and 5.4 times higher than White women in the U.S.
- Liver cancer mortality rates for Korean, Vietnamese, Chinese, and Japanese women are 2.4 to 4.3 times higher than White women in the U.S.

DISABILITY STATUS

The data gathered from ACS on disability are all self-reported indicators. There may be some underreporting in the AANHPI community because of the stigma related to certain types of disability, such as psychological disabilities. Nevertheless, the indicators reveal ethnic diversity within this measure. When examining the disability status of the AANHPI population, Southeast Asians tend to show larger percentages of those who identify as having some form of disability. This may be due to the nature of refugee experiences among the population and trauma from war. The findings about the elderly are not surprising because health problems become more prevalent with age. Another point of consideration with regards to disability is that three of the indicators used in ACS are related to work and barriers to employment.
Exhibit 42. Disability Status

Percent of Total Population with Disability Status
CA Rate: 13%

Source: American Community Survey 2006 and PUMS 2006

Exhibit 43. Disability and Mental Disability, CA Adults, Age 18-64

Percent of Population, Adults < 65
Disability Status with Mental Disability
CA Rate: 9% with Disability and 4% with Mental Disability

Source: American Community Survey 2006 and PUMS 2006

Exhibit 44. Disability and Mental Disability, CA Adults, Age 65 and Older

Percent of Population, Adults > 65
Disability Status with Mental Disability
CA Rate: 13% with Disability and 5% with Mental Disability

Source: American Community Survey 2006 and PUMS 2006

Exhibit 42, 43, & 44 Disability Status
Southeast Asians have a higher percentage of the population who have a disability compared to the total population.

Koreans have a lower rate of disability (7.4%) than the total population (12.9%).

The NHPI population has comparable disability percentages to the total population.

Over half of the disabilities reported in the Southeast Asian community included mental disability.

The Other Southeast Asian population reported the highest percentage of mental disability out of any AANHPI group.

When taking out individuals aged 65 years or older, similar patterns continue to exist although at lower percentages for each group.

CHRONIC DISEASE

Chronic disease — such as heart disease and diabetes — accounts for seven out of every ten deaths in the U.S. Nearly half of the population in 2005 lived with some type of chronic disease, resulting in major impairments to daily living for many people. In addition to the health burden, chronic disease also accounted for 75% of the nation’s tremendous health care costs. And yet, many chronic diseases are highly preventable through improvements in health behaviors such as decreased weight and smoking prevalence. As compared to other racial/ethnic groups, NHPIs have some of the highest rates of diabetes, due mainly to differences in obesity and overweight. Several other Asian ethnic subgroups also had rates of diabetes that were higher than the California average. Differences in prevalence of other chronic conditions such as heart disease and hypertension can also be seen across Asian ethnic subgroups, further supporting the need to examine the data not only holistically, but by subgroup as well.

**Exhibit 45. Diabetes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th>Age-sex adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian Chinese</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPI</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIAN</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CHIS 2003 & 2005
Statistically unstable estimates for Other Asian group
"Other" is other single/multiracial individuals
• The age-sex adjusted rates allow for comparisons across subgroups that account for the age and sex composition of a population. For example the drop in diabetes rates for Japanese after age-sex adjustment suggests that the higher rate (11.7%) largely reflects an older population.

• NHPIs have high unadjusted and age-adjusted rates of diabetes.

• The NHPI rate is comparable to the Latino rate when accounting for age and sex.

• Filipino age-adjusted rate is higher than the state average and comparable to the Black rate.

• Higher age-sex adjusted rates among Filipinos, Vietnamese and South Asians suggest that diabetes is a problem that strikes these groups, despite having a generally younger population.

• Filipinos have the highest rate of heart disease among AANHPIs, even after accounting for age and sex.

• Higher unadjusted rates of heart disease among Japanese could be attributed to the fact that the population is relatively older than the overall California population.

• South Asian rates are much higher when adjusting for the age and sex composition of the population.
Filipinos, Japanese, and NHPIs have high rates of hypertension. Even after accounting for age and sex, Filipinos and NHPIs have high rates of hypertension. Hypertension age-sex adjusted rates for Filipinos and NHPIs are comparable to the rate for Blacks.

ASTHMA

One of the most common long-term ailments in children, asthma is a chronic condition characterized by episodes of coughing, wheezing, and breathlessness. The high prevalence of asthma in both adults and children impacts not only individual health and quality of life, but the economy. Millions of health care visits, emergency room visits and hundreds of thousands of hospitalizations are attributed to asthma each year as well as millions of missed school and work days. The incredible impact of asthma on the population has prompted the creation of eight different Healthy People 2010 measures related to the control of asthma ranging from increased patient education to decreased asthma related deaths. Prevalence of asthma among Asian American adults ranges from 3.0% among Koreans to 7.5% among Filipinos – rates comparable to the overall California population. However, rates among AANHPI adolescents are relatively high. In fact, 28% of South Asian and 30% of NHPI youth between the ages of 12 and 17 have been diagnosed with asthma.
Most Asian groups are at or below the state average for current asthma.

Filipinos and NHPIs have the highest asthma rates among AANHPIs, while Koreans, Chinese and Vietnamese have the lowest rates.

Among adolescents, Filipinos, South Asians and Koreans have higher than state averages in being diagnosed with asthma.

The South Asian adolescent asthma rate of 28% and NHPI rate of 30% is comparable to the high rates for Black and American Indian/Alaska Native adolescents.

Chinese adolescents have relatively low rates of asthma diagnosis (9%).
MENTAL HEALTH

More than a quarter of the U.S. adult population suffers from a diagnosable mental health condition in any given year according to the National Institute of Mental Health. Culture and cultural differences are important not only to the prevalence and diagnosis of mental illness, but in access to mental health services and treatment of conditions. The diversity of experiences and backgrounds of the Asian American population make it difficult to construct any broad generalizations regarding the need for mental health services or the utilization of these services for this group. However, issues such as stress and trauma related to issues of immigration, language barriers, and cultural differences are particularly relevant for the Asian American population as compared to other minority groups in the United States. Overall, research has shown that the disparities in mental health care among Asian Americans are not necessarily a result of stark differences in need or prevalence but in the ability to access quality mental health services. In fact, rates of care-seeking for mental health conditions among the general U.S. population were about double that of AANHPIs. Currently, the need exists for a more diverse mental health workforce to respond to the unique needs of Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders.

Exhibit 50. Frequent Mental Distress

**14 or more days when mental health was not good**

*Adults, Age 18 and older,*

*CA Rate 11.7%*

- Vietnamese and NHPI experience frequent mental distress at higher rates than other AANHPI groups.
- Korean, Filipino and Japanese rates of frequent mental distress are also high, while rates for Chinese, South Asians and Other Asians are lower.
- AANHPIs exhibit generally lower rates of frequent mental distress than other racial/ethnic groups.
Numbering over 5 million in California, the Asian American, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander (AANHPI) population is one of the fastest growing minority groups in California and nationwide with rapid growth projected well into the future. The AANHPI population, with its relatively high proportion of immigrants, differs culturally, linguistically, and religiously from other racial/ethnic groups in California and thus faces its own unique health care needs and challenges.

Complicating this image of AANHPIs in the state is the fact that, although usually combined into one or two large racial categories, many ethnic subgroups exist within the broader population that differ significantly from one another in terms of demographics, socioeconomic status, health status, and health care needs. Thus, the simplistic image of the “model minority” ignores many of the unique and significant barriers faced not only by the broader AANHPI population but, more specifically, by certain ethnic subgroups. This report highlights these concerns by profiling the demographic, socioeconomic, health status, and health behavior differences among Asian American, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander ethnic subgroups and by showing these differences in context of the broader California population.

The report’s approach is to examine both the social circumstances as well as health system conditions that may predispose or influence AANHPIs in their health behaviors and that may contribute to disparities that we observe in infectious and chronic diseases (Exhibit 51). Understanding these important population characteristics, socioeconomic determinants and health system factors contextualizes the state of AANHPI health in California and thus more effectively guides policy formulation that addresses both systemic and specific causes of AANHPI health disparities. These policies, in turn, may ultimately reduce the burden of disease and associated health care costs incurred by the state.

**Exhibit 51. Conceptual Framework to Guide Policies for Improving AANHPI Health**
Population Characteristics & Socioeconomic Determinants
The population characteristics and socioeconomic determinants of health presented in this report—education, occupation, income level, poverty status, home ownership, use of public programs and labor force participation—underscore that certain AANHPI ethnic groups face significant barriers to accessing and utilizing quality health care. Poverty, low-wage employment, citizenship status and limited English proficiency impose financial, legal and linguistic barriers to care. For example, NHPIs and Southeast Asians have a higher representation in the service occupations as compared to the overall CA population. Low-wage workers tend to have the least access to health care partly due to a lack of health insurance because they often work for employers who do not offer employment-based coverage. Even in cases where the firm offers health coverage, the employee contribution may be prohibitively expensive. As shown in the coverage section, several Asian subgroups lack coverage, particularly Koreans, who have the highest uninsured rates among all other racial/ethnic groups in the state. In addition, many Asian Americans have a greater percentage of people with limited English proficiency (LEP) compared to most other groups, and a number of studies have established that LEP status among Asians is associated with lower use of preventive services, particularly cancer screening. Finally, permanent residency and citizenship status confer eligibility for some state public coverage and public health programs. California as a state has supported Medicaid and the State Children’s Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) for legal permanent residents in the absence of federal support. With large segments of immigrants who are new entrants and not yet naturalized citizens, California’s AANHPI population would greatly benefit from policies that continue to support access to health care for immigrants.

Recommendations that address Socioeconomic Determinants

- Support expansion of employment-based coverage through mechanisms that incentivize employers to offer health insurance to their workers. Such policies would benefit a sizable portion of the AANHPI population, particularly those who work in the low-wage sector.

- Support policies that increase linguistic access to health care. These include policies that monitor linguistic and cultural competency of both public and private health providers and that reimburse providers for language assistance services, including translation of materials, use of qualified interpreters, and development of multi-language hotlines and websites that facilitate appointment-making and information dissemination.

- Support policies that include permanent residents in their eligibility for public coverage programs of Medicaid and SCHIP.

- Support policies that include all California residents, including immigrants, in access to public health programs.

Recommendations that address the Health care System

- Support expansion of health care coverage to uninsured Californians and ensure that health care coverage includes mental health and dental coverage.
  
  ◆ Nearly one-third of the Korean population had no health insurance for the entire year, the highest rate of uninsurance in the California population. Korean rates were also the highest in having no dental coverage.

  ◆ Among the insured, Vietnamese represent the highest proportion of insured Californians who are without mental health coverage, yet they also post the highest proportions who report frequent mental health distress among AANHPI groups.

- Support continued and expanded funding for community health centers, providers of basic health care for many vulnerable segments of the California population.
Support culturally-appropriate patient education programs that emphasize the importance of having a regular source of care, getting an annual physical exam and getting screened for certain cancers. While the importance of continuity of care and an annual exam is known among the general population, patient education can be targeted to those at highest risk of non-compliance for these preventive services. Targeted resources aimed at increasing screening rates for cervical cancer, breast cancer and colorectal cancer among AANHPIs have the potential to reduce cancer mortality in this population.

- Koreans and NHPIs have higher proportions of individuals without a regular source of care compared to the state average.
- Most Asian groups, and Koreans in particular, did not have a doctor visit in the past year.
- AANHPI women in general, and across subgroups (except Filipinos), have higher rates of noncompliance in Pap tests than all other racial and ethnic groups. Mammogram noncompliance rates are also particularly high among Koreans.
- All Asian and NHPI groups have colorectal cancer screening rates that are much lower than the state average. Asian men also have the lowest prostate cancer screening rates than all other racial/ethnic groups, with non-compliance screening rates exceeding the state average of 57% among Chinese, Filipino, Vietnamese, Korean and Japanese.

Policies aimed at increasing the diversity of medical graduates and placement of minority physicians in high need areas will likely result in care for minority patients that is more culturally and linguistically concordant.

- Currently, the supply of racial/ethnic minority physicians in the state does not reflect the incredible diversity of the patient population. This is particularly true for groups such as Southeast Asians whose numbers in the population far outnumber the availability of Southeast Asian health care providers.

**Recommendations that address Behavioral Risk Factors**

Risk factors for poor health outcomes — such as smoking and obesity/overweight — vary dramatically between AANHPI ethnic groups. For example, smoking rates among Asian American men are particularly alarming, with a quarter of all Filipino men being smokers. Efforts to reduce risky health behaviors among specific groups may improve health outcomes and reduce the prevalence and incidence of preventable diseases such as diabetes.

- Policies that support patient/consumer education in the following areas and that target the most vulnerable AANHPI groups.
  - Smoking cessation programs targeting Asian males, particularly Filipinos.
  - Healthy eating and food choices, especially among Filipino, Vietnamese, Chinese, and Korean adults.

- Policies that support nutritional education programs that promote healthy food choices in schools would benefit a majority of AANHPI groups.

- Supporting studies that examine the economic factors (e.g. prices of fresh fruit and vegetables and availability of grocery stores in areas) that influence healthy food choices, especially among adolescents.

- Policies targeting the obesity epidemic among Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander adolescents.
Recommendations that address Health Status and Specific Disease Areas

The data presented in this report provide an initial look at the health status and health care needs of the AANHPI population, by ethnic subgroups. With this picture we see a wide range of experiences within the AANHPI population and the stark differences in each group’s health status, ability to access health care and health behaviors. Priority areas for policy formulation are:

- Reducing infant mortality rates for NHPIs through programs that promote improved access to prenatal and newborn care, including Healthy Start, Medicaid and the State Children’s Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) and public health campaigns to prevent child malnutrition.

- Reducing tuberculosis (TB) rates among Asian immigrant groups, targeting immigrants from the Philippines and Vietnam by supporting county efforts in health education, surveillance and prevention and control programs.

- Reducing hepatitis B rates in the Asian American population by increased screening and vaccination among adolescents and adults. Since July 1, 1999, all California students entering the 7th grade are required to be immunized against hepatitis B. Outreach, screening and vaccination to Asian Americans who do not fall under this policy must continue to ensure protection of California’s population against this infectious disease.

- Continuing support to counties, community and provider organizations that undertake education and awareness campaigns that reduce the stigma of hepatitis B and TB, and that promote culturally-competent prevention and control efforts.

- Legislation that supports the health care system in the prevention and control of diabetes should have a special emphasis on targeting NHPI and Filipino adults, who have diabetes rates that are markedly higher than the state average.

- Legislation that supports the health care system in the prevention and control of heart disease and hypertension should have a special emphasis on targeting Filipino adults, who have markedly higher age-sex adjusted rates than all other AANHPI groups, and Japanese American older adults.

- Legislation that supports the health care system in the prevention and control of childhood asthma should target South Asian adolescents. Over a quarter of South Asian adolescents have been diagnosed with asthma, and this very high rate is comparable to Black and American Indian/Alaska Native adolescents.

- Policies should address diversification of the mental health workforce to respond to the unique mental health needs and mental health access issues of AANHPIs, with special attention to Vietnamese and NHPI adults who report high rates of frequent mental distress.

Recommendations for Data Development for the AANHPI Population

This report compiles and analyzes various datasets depicting the AANHPI population in California. As best possible, given the available data and the stability of estimates for each AANHPI subgroup, the report provides a detailed picture of the AANHPI population, including social and health indicators that typically are not available in most published reports. Below we highlight the subgroup data available for each of the datasets used in this report and highlight priorities for data needs of the population. (Exhibit 52)

Most of the datasets utilized in this report comply with the Federal Office of Management and Budget Revisions to the Standards for the Classification of Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity
(1997) to separate Asian from NHPI data. Aggregation exists in the TB registry data, but this
heeds confidentiality and data privacy reasons. The California Department of Education data in-
cludes a 100% count of 5th graders taking a physical fitness exam and therefore reflects the
racial/ethnic detail of California 5th graders. The National Cancer Institute Surveillance Epidemi-
ology and End Results (NCI SEER) program also has a number of racial/ethnic categories, owing
to a much larger national population, compared to a state population. This allows for cancer inci-
dence and mortality estimates without violating patient confidentiality. For the California Cancer
Registry, five-year averages increased the stability of estimates for five Asian subgroups, but no
data is available for NHPI subgroups or even NHPIs as an aggregate category. Representation in
these disease registries, however, also reflects a population’s risk, so that some AANHPI sub-
group estimates may not be presented in reports because the disease incidence is very rare for
these groups.

For the California Health Interview Survey, a population-representative sample of households in
California, six Asian groups are represented, but the NHPI group estimate is aggregated. In addi-
tion, for some indicators, estimates were unstable and were not reported. Combining several
years of data increased the number of observations per subgroup, but for some indicators and for
some groups, unstable estimates still did not permit reporting for every group, as noted in Ex-
hibit 52. Providing continued support for current data sources such as the California Health In-
terview Survey and the California Cancer Registry – and initiating new disease registry programs
for important diseases such as diabetes and heart disease – ensures continued progress in data
collection, research and understanding of the health status and health care needs of this popula-
tion. Finally, the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey, averaged over three years,
provides a sociodemographic picture of nine Asian subgroups, but the NHPI group is also aggre-
gated.

Clearly the data development priority for the AANHPI group is to increase the information for
NHPIs. Data development to generate estimates for other Southeast Asian groups besides Viet-
namese is also needed. Additionally, data development efforts are needed to disaggregate the
South Asian category into Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi and Sri Lankan.

Precisely understanding specific AANHPI subpopulation health needs provides a base of evi-
dence to more effectively formulate policies for this very diverse and rapidly growing population
in California.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of Origin</th>
<th>American Community Survey (ACS)</th>
<th>California Health Interview Survey (CHIS)</th>
<th>Vital Statistics</th>
<th>Tuberculosis Disease Registry</th>
<th>Hepatitis B Disease Registry</th>
<th>California Cancer Registry</th>
<th>National Cancer Institute Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (NCI SEER)</th>
<th>California Department of Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian NHPI</td>
<td>Asian NHPI</td>
<td>Asian NHPI</td>
<td>Asian NHPI</td>
<td>Asian NHPI</td>
<td>Asian NHPI</td>
<td>Asian NHPI</td>
<td>Asian NHPI</td>
<td>Asian NHPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>Filipino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>Cambodian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>Laotian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>Other Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian</td>
<td>Guamanian</td>
<td>Tahitian</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>Tahitian</td>
<td>Guamanian</td>
<td>Tahitian</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>Tahitian</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methodology

This report is a product of secondary data analyses of several data sources, as well as a compilation of information from published administrative reports and peer-reviewed articles. When published data is reported, data sources and research articles are noted directly with the exhibit. This methodology section focuses on the secondary data analyses component of the report, specifically on the use of the Census Bureau’s American Community Survey (ACS), the Decennial Census and Public Use Microdata Samples (PUMS) and the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research’s California Health Interview Survey (CHIS). We highlight the general approach used for each exhibit. Information on the details of each analysis can be obtained from the authors by request. SAS 9.1.3 was used for the Census data analyses (ACS, PUMS) and Stata 10 was used for the CHIS analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit Title</th>
<th>Data Source(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960 PUMS</td>
<td>1970 Asian population numbers include other races. 1960 and 1970 NHPI numbers are estimates using PUMS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970 PUMS</td>
<td>1960s and 1970s Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders The race variable was dummy-coded for Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders using PUMS 1960 and the Long Form for 1970. In the 1960 dataset, Native Hawaiian was determined by race defined as other and the state of birth as Hawaii. In the 1970 dataset, Native Hawaiian was listed as a distinct code within the race variable. Other Pacific Islander, for both 1960 and 1970, was determined by race defined as other and state of birth as Guam, American Samoa, Pacific Trust Territories, and other Pacific Islands. A separate dataset and variables were created to indicate households as Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. The datasets were then merged to identify households and individual records, which were Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. The merged dataset was then examined to identify any records that would be problematic. For example in the 1960 dataset, records that were listed as “other race” but did not fit under Native Hawaiian, Other Pacific Islander, or NHOPI households were excluded in the findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980 – 2000</td>
<td>1980 – 1990 Census Data The 1980 and 1990 numbers were taken from their respective Census profiles for the state of California.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Census</td>
<td>2000 Census Data The 2000 Census was the first time individuals were allowed to identify as one race alone or in combination with other races. In this table, both numbers are reported. Asian and NHOPI alone figures were taken from Table P3. Asian Inclusive figures were taken from Table PCT7 and NHOPI figures form Table PCT10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006 ACS</td>
<td>2006 Data from the American Community Survey. The ACS utilizes a sample of the population from all counties in the United States.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 Census –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alone (Table P3), Inclusive (Tables PCT7 and PCT10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of</td>
<td>The racial categories used in the projections are mutually exclusive and do not incorporate the new inclusive categorization utilized by the Census. Possible reasons for the underestimation in the AANHOPI projections for California include conservative approaches to calculating the projections, as well as the mutually exclusive categorization of race.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 3 | 2006 ACS | The percentage of each subgroup was calculated by taking the number of Asian Americans or NHOPI and divided by the total population in each county. Please note that the data for the NHOPI population is based on a small sample size and therefore, may not be an accurate indicator of the NHOPI population in each county. |

Exhibit 5 | 2006 ACS 2006 PUMS | The 2006 ACS was the principal data source. South Asian, Southeast Asian, and Other Asian data was derived from the 2006 PUMS. The South Asian category was comprised of Indian, Bangladeshi, Pakistani, and Sri Lankan ethnic groups. The Southeast Asian category was comprised of Vietnamese, Cambodian, Hmong, and Laotian ethnic groups due in part to the shared refugee experience. The Other Asian category was comprised of individuals who identified with ethnic groups other than the ones listed in the table. The inclusive numbers for the AANHOPI population reflect duplicate records due to the ability of individuals to select multiple options in the race category. Also, the Chinese category is inclusive of the Taiwanese population in the published data. The ethnic category, Indian, comprise 95% of the South Asian alone category and 98% of the South Asian inclusive category. Due to these findings, published information on Indians rather than South Asian was used in the estimates using ACS or PUMS. |

Exhibit 6 | 2006 ACS | Percentages were calculated for individuals under 18 years of age, 65 years of age and older, and marital status. The fertility rate was calculated by taking the number of women 15 to 50 years of age who had a birth in the past 12 months, divided by the total number of women 15 to 50 years of age, then multiplied by 100. The fertility rate represents the number of births per 100 women, aged 15 to 50, for each population. |

Exhibit 7-10 | 2006 ACS | The percent of foreign-born people by ethnic groups was calculated by taking the number of foreign-born people divided by the total population within that ethnic group. The naturalization rate was calculated by taking the number of naturalized people divided by the number of foreign-born. Limited English proficiency was classified as those who speak English less than “very well.” Data for racial and ethnic categories (including non-AANHOPI categories) are inclusive, unless otherwise stated. |

Exhibits 11-12 | 2006 ACS | Educational attainment is based on the population age 25 years and over who have received less than a high school diploma. The percent of those who received a bachelor’s degree or higher was calculated by combining the |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Source/Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 13</td>
<td>2006 ACS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 23</td>
<td>Census 2000 Summary File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibits 42-44</td>
<td>2006 ACS 2006 PUMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibits 14-22 24-28 Exhibit 49</td>
<td>2003 &amp; 2005 CHIS Adult &amp; Adolescent Files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibits 45-48 Exhibit 50</td>
<td>2003 &amp; 2005 CHIS Adult Files</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
California Asian Pacific Islander Joint Legislative Caucus

The California Asian Pacific Islander Joint Legislative Caucus represents and advocates for the interests of the diverse API communities throughout California. It seeks to increase Asian Pacific Islander participation and representation in all levels of government. Additional information can be found at: http://democrats.assembly.ca.gov/apilegcaucus/.
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Assemblymember Ted Lieu  
Co-Chair of Asian Pacific Islander Joint Legislative Caucus  
Chair of Assembly Rules Committee  
53rd Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0053  
Tel: (916) 319-2053  
**District Office**  
El Segundo Office  
500 Center Street  
El Segundo, CA 90245  
Tel: (310) 615-3515  
Website: http://democrats.assembly.ca.gov/members/a53/
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Vice Chair of Assembly Judiciary Committee  
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**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0068  
Tel: (916) 319-2068  
**District Office**  
1503 South Coast Dr. #205  
Costa Mesa, CA 92626  
Tel: (714) 668-2100  
Website: http://arc.asm.ca.gov/member/68/
Assemblymember Mike Eng  
Chair of Assembly Transportation Committee  
49th Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0049  
Tel: (916) 319-2049  
**District Office**  
9420 Telstar Avenue, Suite 103  
El Monte, CA 91731  
Tel: (626) 450-6116  
Website: [http://democrats.assembly.ca.gov/members/a49/](http://democrats.assembly.ca.gov/members/a49/)

Assemblymember Paul Fong  
Chair of Assembly Elections and Redistricting Committee  
22nd Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0022  
Tel: (916) 319-2022  
**District Office**  
274 Castro Street, Suite 202  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Tel: (408) 277-2003  
Website: [http://democrats.assembly.ca.gov/members/a22/](http://democrats.assembly.ca.gov/members/a22/)

Assemblymember Warren Furutani  
Vice Chair of Assembly Public Employees, Retirement, and Social Security Committee  
55th Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0055  
Tel: (916) 319-2055  
**District Office**  
4201 Long Beach Blvd., Suite 327  
Long Beach, CA 90807  
Tel: (562) 989-2919  
Website: [http://democrats.assembly.ca.gov/members/a55/](http://democrats.assembly.ca.gov/members/a55/)
Assemblymember Mariko Yamada
Member of Assembly Aging and Long-Term Care
8th Assembly District
Capitol Office
State Capitol
P.O. Box 94249-0008
Tel: (916) 319-2008
District Office
555 Mason Street, Suite 275
Vacaville, CA 95688
Tel: (707) 455-8025
Website: http://democrats.assembly.ca.gov/members/a08/

Senator Carol Liu
Chair of Senate Human Services
21st Senate District
Capitol Office
State Capitol
Sacramento, CA 95814
District Office
710 S. Central Ave, #310
Glendale, CA 91204
Tel: (818) 409-0400
Website: http://dist21.casen.govoffice.com/

Senator Leland Yee, Ph.D.
Assistant President pro Tempore
8th Senate District
Capitol Office
State Capitol
Sacramento, CA 95814
San Francisco Office
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 14200
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel: (415) 557-7857
Website: http://dist08.casen.govoffice.com/
Honorary Member, John Chiang  
California State Controller  
Sacramento Office  
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