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Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASDs) are highly prevalent developmental disorders that affect 1 in every 68 individuals. Due to the high phenotypic heterogeneity in ASDs, both the identification of causative factors and the development of successful therapeutic interventions has been challenging. Thus, understanding convergent pathophysiological mechanisms by which defined etiologies result in ASDs can serve as an invaluable starting point towards the development of successful therapeutic treatments. Here, I investigate how alterations in CNTNAP2, an autism-susceptibility gene, can lead to ASD-related deficits in neuronal function. Recessive truncating mutations in CNTNAP2 cause Cortical Dysplasia Focal Epilepsy (CDFE), a syndromic form of ASD in humans. Remarkably, Cntnap2 knock-out (KO) mice, which have been genetically engineered to
lack *Cntnap2* expression, recapitulate the core behavioral deficits of the disorder, including impairments in social interactions and communication, repetitive and restrictive behaviors, seizures, decreased neuronal synchrony, and neuronal migration deficits. Here, I take advantage of this invaluable research tool to dissect the synaptic, cellular, and neuronal microcircuit activity changes associated with loss of *Cntnap2* in the KO mouse. I use electrophysiology and histological studies to assess these alterations within the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), an area associated with social behavior. I observe that although there are no significant alterations in the intrinsic excitability of pyramidal neurons or parvalbumin-positive interneurons in the KO, excitatory cells show a significant decrease in both excitatory and inhibitory inputs. These changes are accompanied by a decrease in dendritic spine density, and suggest a reduction in the total number of functional synapses within mPFC. These in vitro findings are concurrent with a notable reduction in local field potential (LFP) power in vivo, and likely reflect an overall decrease in excitatory drive, likely to be underlie some of the behavioral deficits observed in the mouse. Finally, I describe the collaborative development of a novel social interaction task that can be used to assess changes in neuronal activity in vivo, both at baseline, in a social behavioral context, and in response to potential pharmacological interventions. The findings delineated here serve as a roadmap for neurophysiological characterization of a rodent model of ASD, and provide initial mechanistic insights into how loss of *Cntnap2* alters mPFC microcircuitry. This work therefore serves as a starting point to finding convergent molecular, biological and physiological pathways that can contribute to our understanding and treatment of the ever so complex ASDs.
The dissertation of María Teresa Lázaro is approved.

Peyman Golshani

Kelsey Martin

Thomas Otis

Daniel H. Geschwind, Committee Chair

University of California, Los Angeles

2016
Dedication

To my parents, Goguie and Tuti

and to my siblings, Jorge and Chabe.

Thank you for being the light of my universe

and illuminating my thoughts and actions

with righteousness, joy, and love.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: Introduction to autism spectrum disorders and the utility of mouse models… 1

1.1: History of Autism Spectrum Disorders ................................................................. 2
1.2: ASD etiology ............................................................................................................. 3
1.3: Utility of mouse models of ASD ......................................................................... 4
1.4: What are mouse models and why should we use them? ................................. 5
1.5: Search for convergence: molecular pathways ....................................................... 9
1.6: Search for convergence: macro and microcircuits .............................................. 11
1.7: A new generation of treatments ......................................................................... 17
1.8: The CNTNAP2 autism susceptibility gene .......................................................... 20
1.9: The Cntnap2 mouse model of autism ................................................................. 21
1.10: Conclusions ......................................................................................................... 22

Chapter 2: In vitro assessment of intrinsic neuronal excitability, synaptic transmission, cortical inputs, and microcircuit connectivity in the mPFC of Cntnap2 KO mice … 23

2.1: Abstract ................................................................................................................... 24
2.2: Background .............................................................................................................. 25
2.3: Intrinsic excitability of L2/3 pyramidal (Pyr) neurons and parvalbumin-positive (PV+) inhibitory neurons in Cntnap2 WT and KO mice ........................... 26
2.4: Quantification of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs ................................. 29
2.5: Synaptic vesicle release and AMPA/NMDA ratios ............................................. 33
2.6: Cortical mapping of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs using local scanning photostimulation (LSPS) and glutamate uncaging…………………….36

2.7: Assessment of microcircuit connectivity of pyramidal neurons and parvalbumin-positive interneurons using paired recordings……………………………41

2.8: Discussion…………………………………………………………………...45

2.9: Materials and methods……………………………………………………….47
   2.9.a: Animals…………………………………………………………………47
   2.9.b: Slice preparation………………………………………………………47
   2.9.c: Electrophysiology……………………………………………………48
   2.9.d: Current-clamp recordings…………………………………………..48
   2.9.e: Voltage-clamp recordings…………………………………………49
   2.9.f: External stimulating electrode………………………………………51
   2.9.g: Laser-scanning photostimulation…………………………………51
   2.9.h: Paired recordings……………………………………………………56

Chapter 3: Dendritic morphology, spine density, and synaptic markers in Cntnap2 KO mice……………………………………………………………………………….58

3.1: Abstract………………………………………………………………………59

3.2: Background…………………………………………………………………..61

3.3: Dendritic morphology and spine density in Cntnap2 KO mice……………65

3.4: KCC2 dysregulation as a putative mechanism for spine density alterations..66

3.5: Discussion………………………………………………………………….69

3.6: Methods……………………………………………………………………70
3.6.a: Assessment of dendritic morphology........................................70
3.6.b: Assessment of dendritic spine density.................................70
3.6.c: Quantification of KCC2 protein...........................................71

Chapter 4: Network activity changes in the mPFC of Cntnap2 KO mice and Development of a novel method for assessment of brain network activity and dynamics in a social behavioral context.................................................................74
  4.1: Abstract..............................................................................75
  4.2: Background........................................................................76
  4.3: In vivo mPFC network activity............................................77
  4.4: A novel social behavior paradigm for performing in vivo electrophysiology in head-fixed mice........................................78
  4.5: Discussion..........................................................................80
  4.6: Methods............................................................................81
      4.6.a: Surgery, behavioral habituation, and in vivo electrophysiology....81
      4.6.b: A novel method for social stimulus presentation in head-fixed mice during in vivo electrophysiological recordings...............83

Chapter 5: Conclusions, limitations, and future directions..................85

References.................................................................................89
LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter 1

Figure 1.1: Cntnap2 KO mice recapitulate ASD phenotypes..........................21

Chapter 2

Figure 2.1: Intrinsic excitability of L2/3 pyramidal (Pyr) neurons and parvalbumin-positive (PV+) inhibitory neurons .................................................27
Figure 2.2: Cntnap2 KO pyramidal neurons display two-fold decrease in the frequency of excitatory postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs) .........................30
Figure 2.3: Excitatory/inhibitory ratio in pyramidal neurons .........................32
Figure 2.4: Evoked synaptic responses reveal decreased long-range excitatory inputs in Cntnap2 KO mice .................................................................35
Figure 2.5: Example of cortical input map data for Cntnap2 WT and KO L2/3 mPFC excitatory neurons .................................................................38
Figure 2.6: Excitatory neurons in the mPFC of Cntnap2 KO mice show reduced local synaptic inputs .................................................................39
Figure 2.7: Assessment of mPFC microcircuit connectivity of pyramidal neurons and parvalbumin-positive interneurons .............................................44

Chapter 3

Figure 3.1: Loss of Cntnap2 decreases spine density in vivo .........................62
Figure 3.2: Decreased spine density in Cntnap2 KO mice .........................65
Figure 3.3: KCC2 dysregulation in Cntnap2 KO mice .............................67
Chapter 4

Figure 4.1: In vivo mPFC activity in Cntnap2 KO mice and controls……………77

Figure 4.2: Schematic of novel social behavior paradigm for head-fixed mice…79

Chapter 5

None
LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 1

Table 1.1: Summary of most recent advances in the study of mouse models of Autism Spectrum Disorder..............................................................19

Chapter 2

Table 2.1: Passive membrane properties and action potential features for pyramidal neurons in Cntnap2 WT and KO mice........................................28

Table 2.2: Passive membrane properties and action potential features for parvalbumin-positive inhibitory neurons in Cntnap2 WT and KO mice.........28

Chapter 3

Table 3.1: List of putative Caspr2 interactor proteins........................................63

Table 3.2: Summary of KCC2 quantification in Cntnap2 KO and WT mice.....68

Chapter 4

None

Chapter 5

None
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Chapter 1 is a version of “The utility of rodent models of autism spectrum disorders”, published April 2015 in Current Opinion in Neurology, Volume 28, Issue 2 p 103-109. DOI: 10.1097/WCO.0000000000000183. Wolters Kluwer Health Lippincott Williams & Wilkins©. This work was co-authored by Peyman Golshani, principal investigator, co-advisor, and committee member, who has agreed to the publication of this review in this dissertation.

Chapters 2-4 contains work co-authored by María T. Lazaro, Olga Peñagarikano, Iris Bachmutsky, Taruna Ikrar, Rommel Santos, Tristan Shuman, Apoorva Myalavarapu, Swasty Chandra, Hongmei Dong, Xiangmin Xu, Daniel H. Geschwind, and Peyman Golshani. Results obtained from these investigations are part of a manuscript in preparation, titled “Synaptic and network abnormalities in theCntnap2 mouse model of autism.” María T. Lázaro, Olga Peñagarikano, Daniel H. Geschwind, and Peyman Golshani conceived of experimental design and contributed to data analysis, interpretation of the study, and manuscript text. María T. Lázaro performed all in vitro electrophysiology experiments, including data acquisition, analysis, and interpretation. Additional analysis tools were contributed by Peyman Golshani, Jiannis Taxidis, Tristan Shuman, and Michael Einstein. Taruna Ikrar, Rommel Santos, and Xiangmin Xu contributed to the design, data acquisition, analysis, and manuscript text of the cortical input mapping experiments. Iris Bachmutsky, Apoorva Myalavarapu and Swasty Chandra contributed to data acquisition and analysis for histological and anatomical studies of dendritic morphology, spine density, and immunolabeling of synaptic markers.
Tristan Shuman and Iris Bachmutsky contributed to experimental design, data acquisition, and analysis of all in vitro electrophysiology experiments and design of the novel social behavior task. Hongmei Dong helped with mouse colony management and genotyping. All co-authors have agreed to the publication of this study on this dissertation.

Chapter 3 contains a version of “The autism related protein contactin-associated protein-like 2 (CNTNAP2) stabilizes new spines: an in vivo mouse study”, published May 7, 2015 in PLoS ONE, Volume 10, Issue 5, p 1-7. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125633. This work was co-authored by Amos Gdalyahu, Maria Lazaro, Olga Penagarikano, Peyman Golshani, Joshua T. Trachtenberg, and Daniel H. Geschwind. Experiments were conceived and designed by Amos Gdalyahu, Olga Peñagarikano, and Daniel H. Geschwind. Experiments were performed by Amos Gdalyahu and Olga Peñagarikano. Data was analyzed by Amos Gdalyahu. Joshua T. Trachtenberg contributed with reagents, materials, and analysis tools. The manuscript was written by Amos Gdalyahu, Maria Lazaro, Olga Penagarikano, Peyman Golshani, Joshua T. Trachtenberg, and Daniel H. Geschwind. All co-authors have agreed to the re-publication of this study on this dissertation.

Chapter 4 is based on content derived from “Exogenous and evoked oxytocin restores social behavior in the Cntnap2 mouse model of autism”, published January 21, 2015 in Science translational medicine, Volume 7, Issue 271, p 271-8. DOI: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3010257. This work was co-authored by Olga Peñagarikano, María T. Lázaro, Xiao-Hong Lu, Aaron Gordon, Hongmei Dong, Hoa A. Lam, Elior Peles, Nigel T. Maidment, Niall P. Murphy, X. William Yang, Peyman Golshani, and Daniel H.
Geschwind. Olga Peñagarikano and Daniel H. Geschwind designed the overall study. The portions of this paper displayed in this dissertation include histological and behavioral experiments performed by Olga Peñagarikano. Hongmei Dong engineered DREADD construct and helped with mouse histological analysis, as well as behavioral testing. Peyman Golshani designed and María T. Lázaro performed electrophysiology experiments. This publication also includes work not presented in this dissertation with work performed by the following: X. William Yang and Xiao-Hong Lu designed stereology experiments. Nigel T. Maidment and Niall P. Murphy designed and Hoa A. Lam performed radioimmunoassay experiments. Elior Peles designed and Aaron Gordon performed LacZ staining experiments. All co-authors have agreed to the re-publication of this study in this dissertation.

I also gratefully acknowledge the sources of financial support for this work. Studies presented in this dissertation were supported by NIH/National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) R01 MH081754-02R, NIH Autism Centers of Excellence (ACE) 1P50-HD055784-01 (Project II), network grant 5R01-MH081754-04, and the Simons Foundation Autism Research Initiative, granted to Daniel H. Geschwind and NIMHRO1MH101198–1 and Circuit Dynamics Grant from the Simons Foundation, granted to Peyman Golshani. María T. Lázaro was funded by the UCLA Eugene Cota-Robles Fellowship, NSF-GRFP DGE-0707424, the NIMH T32MH073526 UCLA Neurobehavioral Genetics Training Grant, and the UCLA Dissertation Year Fellowship.
VITA

María Teresa Lázaro

Education:

Bachelor of Science in Biology, 2010

University of Puerto Rico, Río Piedras Campus, San Juan, PR

Selected publications:


Grants awarded:

2014-2016  Pre-doctoral Training Program in Neurobehavioral Genetics from NIMH,  
T32MH073526 (University of California, Los Angeles)

2015-2016  Dissertation Year Fellowship (University of California, Los Angeles)

2011-2014  Graduate Research Fellowship Program from the National Science Foundation, DGE-0707424 (University of California, Los Angeles)

2010-2011  Eugene Cota-Robles Fellowship (University of California, Los Angeles)
Chapter 1:

An introduction to autism spectrum disorders

and

the utility of mouse models
1.1: History of Autism Spectrum Disorders

The first clinical description of Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASDs) dates back to 1943, when Leo Kanner described it as an “autistic disturbance of affective contact” (Kanner, 1943). Since then, our conception of autism and criteria used for diagnosis has been sporadically modified in order to obtain a sharper definition out of such a heterogeneous spectrum (Baker, 2013). The DSMV now defines autism as disorders characterized by deficits in social communication and language, as well as repetitive or restrictive behaviors (APA, 2013). It also now includes four disorders from the previous manual, including: autistic disorder, Asperger’s disorder, childhood disintegrative disorder, and pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified (APA, 2013). In addition to these traits, ASDs are often accompanied by detrimental comorbidities, including intellectual disability, sensorimotor abnormalities, hyperactivity, gastrointestinal issues, and epileptic seizures (Geschwind, 2009; de la Torre-Ubieta et al., 2016). Thus, autism is clearly not a single condition, and is often a devastating and debilitating disorder, that not only affects patients, but also their families.

Current estimates reveal that ASDs affect 1 in every 68 individuals, thus making it a highly prevalent developmental disorder (Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 2014). To this date, no successful cure for autism has been developed. Pharmacological treatments often target the comorbid symptoms, such as hyperactivity and epilepsy, but none of them has proven completely effective in ameliorating the deficits as a whole (Mehta and Golshani, 2013; Jacquemont et al., 2014). Likewise, non-pharmacological therapies have also been developed, including behavioral interventions, language therapy, and sensorimotor therapies (Ospina et al., 2008; Schreibman et al.,
Recent advances in our ability to diagnose autism at an early age have made early intervention possible, often providing best results in the long term (Pickles et al., 2016). Yet, the great heterogeneity and interpatient variability have made the development of successful interventions quite challenging (Jacquemont et al., 2014; de la Torre-Ubieta et al., 2016). It is therefore necessary to understand the cause(s) of autism and find convergent pathways that can help define etiological subgroups and fine-tune therapeutic targets.

1.2: ASD etiology

The cause of ASDs is complex and has been attributed to genetic factors as well as to poorly understood non-genetic or environmental causes (Mehta and Golshani, 2013; Chen et al., 2015). Large-scale next-generation sequencing studies from large cohorts of ASD patients and controls have found highly validated de-novo and inherited genetic alterations. These have identified dozens of susceptibility genes contributing to the manifestation of the disorders, and have stressed the contribution of rare variants to its etiology (Zhao et al., 2007; Bodmer and Bonilla, 2008; O’Roak et al., 2012; De Rubeis et al., 2014; Iossifov et al. 2014; Krimm et al., 2014). Over time, the size and depth of these sequencing studies has increased, as has the list of validated causative gene mutations, which is expected to include at least several hundred additional genes (Rubeis et al., 2014). Indeed, ASDs are highly heritable disorders, as we have learned from twin, sibling, and family studies, which have found large concordance rates that correlate with genetic similarity (Rosenberg et al., 2009; Ozonoff et al., 2011; Hallmayer et al., 2011). However, identification of causative genetic alterations is only the first essential step for understanding how each one of these etiologies alters downstream molecular cascades,
perturbs brain development and function, and ultimately leads to autism-related phenotypes and behaviors.

Recent advances in genetics have increased our understanding of ASDs, both by promoting the discovery of autism susceptibility genes and by elucidating convergent molecular pathways that are impacted by gene mutations. This knowledge is incredibly important, as it can eventually be used to cluster specific ASD subtypes and lead to the development of targeted therapeutics. Deleterious genetic mutations, such as rare genetic disruptions in the form of copy number variants (CNVs) and single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) account for as many as 60% of reported ASD cases (de la Torre-Ubieta et al., 2016). Such alterations are often predicted to result in truncation, nullification, or disruption of gene protein products, and can interfere with important biological functions, such as brain development and function (Berg and Geschwind, 2012). Indeed, the ontology many of these identified genes has been repeatedly associated with synaptic function, neuron-glia signaling, and immune responses (Voineagu et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2015). More recently, chromatin structure processes have also been implicated in ASD (De Rubeis et al., 2014). The study of these genes and their function, as well as the impact of ASD-associated alterations on molecular pathways, cellular function, brain microcircuit activity, and then behavior, therefore provide a comprehensive venue for understanding and treating ASDs.

1.3: Utility of mouse models of ASD

Until recently, our study of psychiatric disorders, including autism, solely relied on clinical, behavioral, psychophysical, and low resolution (mostly non-invasive) human
electrophysiology or functional studies. The sequencing of both the human and mouse genome, was the first step towards delving into deeper mechanistic studies of therapeutic value (Austin et al., 2004). Technological advances, nonetheless, have allowed us to delve deeper into the biological and physiological mechanisms that lead to ASD. In this current age and time, rodent models incorporating this growing list of genetic changes are one of the prime methods used to dissect the effects of mutations on neuronal anatomy, connectivity, physiology, and behavior. Below I describe some of the more recent advances made in the field using mouse models of ASDs and discuss ways these models could be used to study ASD pathogenesis and find new treatments (Table 1.1).

1.4: What are mouse models and why should we use them?

“We are unlikely to ever know everything about every organism. Therefore, we should agree on some convenient organism(s) to study in great depth, so that we can use the experience of the past (in that organism) to build on in the future. This will lead to a body of knowledge in that 'model system' that allows us to design appropriate studies of non-model systems to answer important questions about their biology.”

-Thomas Henry Huxley (1869)

Model systems have long been used to provide mechanistic insights into biological processes and the cause of disease. Model organisms are typically species that are easily maintained in a laboratory setting and can be easily experimentally manipulated with the goal of uncovering the mechanistic foundation of a given biological question or function (Nestler and Hyman, 2010). Our main goal in both the basic and the
biomedical sciences, is to be able to dissect a biological process or cellular component, and understand the mechanism leading to the resulting consequences. Even though model systems such as rodents are fundamentally different from humans, as they are 60 million years apart in evolution, shared similarities can provide substantial information about our own biology. For example, Miller et al., 2010 showed that, despite interspecies divergence in expression of cell type-specific genes, global gene transcription patterns are conserved between mice and humans. These overlapping similarities provide a substrate for performing research in models in a way that can be directly translated to humans, with the caveat of species-specific differences, which could also be informative with regards to human-specific disease pathogenesis (Miller et al., 2010). In light of this, we as researchers must set the goal of achieving predictive validity, taking advantage of robust interspecific similarities in a way that allows for reliable prediction of drug effectiveness in humans, from testing them in rodents, for example. Rodents and other model animals thus provide an effective route for generating treatments as quickly as possible.

Although there are indeed some disadvantages that accompany the use of these model systems, one if which is that they are often too simple and reductionist, they have indeed been pivotal in the creation of knowledge. These invaluable research tools, have allowed us to dissect biological organs and systems in a way that would otherwise be impossible or otherwise too harmful to humans (Austin et al., 2004). Model systems allow us to break down the discovery of molecular targets and pharmacologic therapies for human illness and, as described below, have elucidated invaluable clues into the pathological mechanisms that lead to disorders such as ASD. I must state, nonetheless, that it is immensely crucial for us scientists to never let go of the notion that these model
organisms too are sentient creatures, and should be therefore always treated with measure and utmost respect. Although it may be hard sometimes to experiment with animals, we do so with the hope and intention of benefiting society and bettering humanity. I am forever thankful to the universe and all the lives of mice that were sacrificed in the formation of this thesis and I hope that the findings that I here describe can be useful in solving the autism puzzle.

Because of their close evolutionary relationship, mice and humans share great preservation of genes, biological processes, brain circuits and, to some extent, behaviors (Austin et al., 2004) Although uniquely human disorders, many of the core deficits of autism can be paralleled in mice through close behavioral investigation (Peñagarikano et al., 2011; Kas et al., 2014). This evolutionary conservation, together with our ability to employ experimental manipulations through genetic engineering and other cutting-edge technologies like CRISPR and optogenetics (Boyden et al., 2005; Platt et al., 2014), have not only helped us probe the underlying mechanism of the disorder, but have also paved the way towards the development of targeted and effective therapeutic approaches.

Rodent models of ASDs have been useful in a number of mutually reinforcing ways. First, they present a relevant and tractable biological system for understanding the complex relationship between a specific genetic mutation and the resultant downstream consequences, especially with regards to molecular and biological pathways. Second, these models can be used to define the anatomical and physiological changes in precisely defined microcircuits. Our ability to examine these alterations across multiple models, each one representing specific genetic mutations in distinct molecular pathways, can then help identify fundamental or overarching changes in neuronal circuitry and their effects
on relevant behavioral phenotypes. Third, these discrete alterations can, in some cases, be interpreted as biomarkers which can be paralleled in humans, and therefore have the potential of being used to gauge the effect of treatments and predict therapeutic outcomes. These models are therefore useful for screening therapeutic effects of behavioral and pharmacological interventions. With this intention, a battery of behavioral tests have been developed in order to provide careful assessment of relevant deficits in these models (Nestler and Hyman, 2010; Silverman et al., 2010; Kas et al., 2014).

In addition, increasing knowledge of genetics and the recent technological advances have amplified our ability to easily manipulate specific genes in a temporally-precise and even cell type-specific manner; what we refer to as conditional “knock-ins” or “knock-outs”. These elegant experimental manipulations have helped us define critical developmental windows, brain regions, and functionally-distinct neuronal types and their contribution to specific ASD-related traits (Guy et al., 2007; Cobb et al., 2010; Robinson et al., 2012; Rabeneda et al., 2014). Moreover, many of these genetic changes may not only alter brain development but could also directly and indirectly affect the function of fully mature brain circuits (Enhinger et al., 2008). Thus, these conditional genetic manipulation techniques hold the potential for effective dissection of involved molecular pathways and circuits, which will be crucial for effective treatment of both children and adults with certain forms of ASD. Finally, the use of these genetically-defined models will help us, sometime in the future, assess and understand the complex interplay of gene-environment interactions and their role in the development of ASDs and associated comorbidities.
1.5: Search for convergence: molecular pathways

To better understand the core pathological mechanisms that lead to ASD, it is critical for us to comprehend the function and ontology of any given implicated gene, its protein product, its cellular purpose, and its role in molecular cascades. Moreover, uncovering convergent pathways that connect the already identified autism susceptibility genes can bring us one step closer to understanding the underpinnings of such an etiologically complex disorder.

One of the most well-known and well-characterized signaling pathways implicated in ASD is the PI3K-mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) molecular cascade (Wetmore et al., 2010). Over the years, many genes that have been strongly linked to syndromic forms of ASD, including mutations in Tuberous Sclerosis Complex 1 and 2 (TSC2, TSC2), and Neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) and PTEN, have been found to be involved in the mTOR pathway (Chow et al., 2009; Ehninger et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2009; Tsai et al., 2012; Sato et al., 2012; Cambiaghi et al., 2013). The study of these genetic alterations in rodents has been crucial for obtaining useful convergent clues and treatment development. Multiple studies in these mouse models have shown the beneficial effects of the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin, for instance, in ameliorating anatomical, physiological, and behavioral deficits in these mice. Moreover, parallel studies in mice and humans have shown that other seemingly unrelated ASD-associated proteins are in fact connected to the canonical mTOR pathway. One such example is loss of FMR1, which causes Fragile X syndrome; lack of FMR1 increases mTOR activation, and leads to elevated cap-dependent translation and impaired plasticity in Fragile X mice (Sharma et al., 2010). Additional genes, such as CYFIP1 and JAKMIP1, also play an
important role in protein translation and participate in the \textit{mTOR} pathway, have also been associated with ASD (Oguro-Ando et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015; Berg et al., 2015). These findings suggest that \textit{mTOR}-dependent translation could act as a unifying common pathway for a number of ASD-related molecular cascades. Thus, pharmacological downregulation of \textit{mTOR} using rapamycin could be beneficial not only for the traditional \textit{mTOR}-related disorders but also for a wide range of other ASD syndromes. Mouse models can help us determine which syndromes are likely to respond to particular treatments, such as therapies targeting the \textit{mTOR} pathway. Instances like these can therefore serve as guides for treatment of specific clinical subpopulations and can help tailor clinical trials towards identified patients that are more likely to respond and benefit from specific therapies.

To this date, unfortunately, potential drug treatments that have been thoroughly studied and proven to improve a variety of autism-related phenotypes in mice, have failed to function as successful therapeutic interventions in humans. Such is the case of treatment with \textit{mGlu5} antagonists, which had shown a promising reversal of ASD-related molecular, cellular, and behavioral impairments in both \textit{Fmr1} knock-out flies and mice, revealed no significant benefit in human clinical trials (Berry-Kravis et al., 2016). This highlights how the aforementioned evolutionary divergence between humans and model systems can often be a limiting, as parallel biological processes do not always translate according to what is predicted. This thus warrants incredibly high scrutiny when selecting molecules and pathways as potential drug targets and stresses the importance of fine-tuning points of translational convergence.
1.6 Search for convergence: macro and microcircuits

Mouse models have also been extremely useful in helping us discover autism-related changes in cortical circuit connectivity during brain development (Table 1). Tang et al., 2014 made an important advance in our understanding of cortical connectivity by showing that the developmental pruning of cortical dendritic spines is defective in TSC2 knockout mice. In addition, they were able to further dissect the cause of this pruning impairment by mating their knockout animals to mice with impaired autophagy, including social impairments, in these animals. Interestingly, rapamycin could no longer rescue the pruning deficits in the TSC2 knockout mice when they were bred with mice with impaired autophagy. Together, these findings suggest that blockade of autophagy mediates the observed phenotypes in the TSC2 model. This discovery pathway, downstream of mTOR, which links changes in cortical connectivity with impaired social behavior, highlights the benefit of creatively using genetic mouse models to uncover novel and otherwise overlooked molecular mechanism (Tang et al., 2014).

Similar to findings in the TSC2 model, electrophysiological studies in the FMR1 model of Fragile X syndrome show a developmental deficit in the pruning of connections between L5A cortical neurons, suggesting that deficits in developmental pruning may extend across multiple models of ASD (Patel et al., 2014). Similar deficits in pruning caused by loss of chemokine receptor Cx3cr1 in microglia also induce deficits in social interactions and an increase in repetitive behaviors, providing convergent evidence that indeed these deficits are likely contribute to abnormal autism-related behaviors (Zhan et al., 2014). In support of this notion, excessive dendritic spine pruning in adult cortical neurons also strongly correlates with onset of behavioral abnormalities, as seen in the
MECP2 duplication syndrome mouse (Jiang et al., 2013). This therefore indicates that spine density needs to be precisely controlled for proper motor, cognitive, and social function. Furthermore, this impaired developmental pruning is not limited to cortical pyramidal neurons in autism models, as it has also been observed at the climbing fiber-Purkinje cell synapse in the 15q11 – 13 duplication model, suggesting that impaired developmental pruning of connections may extend to other circuits across the brain and that genetic mutations could result in such global deficits (Piochon et al., 2014). It is important to keep in mind, nonetheless, that any disruption in the mGluR pathway is likely to result in spine alterations, as has been observed in numerous mouse models, both knock-outs and knock-ins of related genes (Wang et al., 2015; Peter et al., 2016; Piochon et al., 2016). Therefore, searching and finding specificity within these pathways should be of primary importance when modeling ASD.

In addition to identifying novel molecular mechanisms leading to changes in neuronal connectivity, mouse models of ASD have also been effective in mapping of precise circuits involved in specific behavioral alterations. A prime example of this was a recent study by Rothwell et al. (2014), who showed that cell type-specific deletions of the autism-related NLGN3 gene in dopamine (D1) receptor-positive nucleus accumbens (NAc) neurons (Drd1+ neurons) [but not in D2 receptor positive neurons (Drd2+ neurons) or cerebellar neurons] was sufficient to induce a motor phenotype (Rothwell et al., 2014). Furthermore, this study showed that such deletion led to impaired inhibition in Drd1+ medium spiny neurons (MSNs) of NAc, further suggesting that ASD-related genetic changes can result in highly specific alterations, that vary based on distinct microcircuit elements within specific subcortical structures. Another study in the mouse
model of 16p11.2 deletion syndrome used single-cell transcriptomics to discover an increase in the number of striatal Drd2þ neurons, and a decrease in the number of Drd1þ neurons in the deep cortical layers. In this model, excitatory input onto striatal MSN in the NAc showed decreased NMDA/AMPA ratios and decreased probability of synaptic vesicle release, as assayed by paired pulse ratios. Behaviorally, these mice showed hyperactivity, circling, deficits in movement control, and a lack of habituation (Portmann et al., 2014). Together, both studies highlight the importance of striatal reward circuits in understanding repetitive behaviors and motor control in autism.

Interestingly, these findings are somewhat at odds with the tuberosclerosis literature, as studies here have found that cerebellar dysfunction plays a central role in all ASD-related behaviors in the TSC1 rodent model. Specifically, deletion of TSC1 in cerebellar Purkinje cells results in abnormal social interactions, repetitive behaviors, and abnormal vocalizations (Ehninger et al., 2008; Tsai et al., 2012). This evidence supports earlier histological work implicating the cerebellum in autism (Courchesne et al., 1994; Jones et al., 2002; Fatemi et al., 2002). In support of this, one of the mouse models for 15q11–13 duplication syndrome, which in humans causes autism, intellectual disability, and seizures, also shows profound changes in cerebellar physiology, as well as both motor and social behaviors, providing convergent evidence of cerebellar disorder in autism (Piochon et al., 2014). This evidence highlights the importance of unbiased screens in searching for ASD-relevant circuits. Consequently, further studies in multiple models are needed to understand the complex interactions and disruptions within the multiple cortical, cerebellar, and subcortical regions and their influence on abnormal motor and non-motor behaviors (Tsai et al., 2012).
In addition to the contingent glutamatergic, cortical, and subcortical changes, loss of inhibition seems to be a prevalent and convergent theme among many ASD models. There is a specific loss of inhibition in the hippocampus of TSC1 mice (Bateup et al., 2013), and a massive loss of parvalbumin neuron-specific inhibition in the hippocampus of Nlgn3 R451C mice (Földy et al., 2013). Inhibition by cholecystokinin-positive (CCKþ) basket cells was strongly increased, which was mediated by loss of tonic endocannabinoid signaling. This example demonstrated how highly discrete and cell-specific changes in perisomatic inhibition causes some of the major ASD-related phenotypes in the Nlgn3 R451C model (Földy et al., 2013). In contrast, in the FMR1 knockout mouse, there is a delayed developmental switch of chloride reversal potential, which naturally drives GABAergic neurotransmission from excitatory to inhibitory (He et al., 2014); this was also concurrent with a reduction in overall excitation onto fast-spiking cortical interneurons, which ultimately reduced their inhibitory output (Patel et al., 2013). These alterations correlate with delayed developmental desynchronization of network activity in the Fragile X mouse model, suggesting that alterations in synaptic connectivity directly impact network synchrony and potentially activity-dependent circuit development (Gonçalves et al, 2013). Moreover, in the BTBR model of autism, an inbred mouse strain with severe social deficits and repetitive behaviors, loss of inhibition leads to abnormal multisensory integration in the insular cortex (Gogolla et al., 2014). Remarkably, in both the BTBR and Fragile X models, there is diminished oxytocin-dependent decrease of intracellular chloride at birth, leading to aberrant excitatory GABAergic responses. Treatment of pregnant females with bumetanide, a blocker of the Na-Cl-K co-transporter NKCC1, prevented these pathological changes and improved
autism-related behaviors by normalizing intracellular chloride concentrations and therefore the driving force for GABAergic transmission (Tyzio et al., 2014). This study highlights the long-lasting effects of altered inhibition in early development. In support of these findings, bumetanide administration to a small group of children with autism resulted in some improvements in autism-related behaviors; these findings will need to be replicated in larger studies (Lemonnier et al., 2012).

These changes in inhibition will likely not only impact basal synaptic transmission, but will also alter synaptic plasticity. For example, the TSC1 (Bateup et al., 2013) and PTEN models (Takeuchi et al., 2013) show a loss of metabotropic receptor-dependent long-term depression (LTD) in CA1 and dentate gyrus of the hippocampus, respectively, whereas the FMR1 model of Fragile X and the Ube3a knockout model of Angelman syndrome both show an enhancement of mGluR-dependent LTD (Huber et al., 2002; Bear et al., 2004; Pignatelli et al., 2014). In the FMR1 model, mGluR-dependent LTD pathologically persists in absence of protein synthesis (Nosyreva et al., 2006). This suggests that either diminished or excessive plasticity could result in abnormal circuit function. Such findings posited the hypothesis that many of the physiological and behavioral deficits in Fragile X could arise from increased mGluR signaling (Bear et al., 2004). This provocative notion inspired several studies that indeed demonstrated how blocking mGluR5 rescued many of the Fragile X-associated phenotypes, including cognitive deficits, auditory hypersensitivity, aberrant dendritic spine density, overactive ERK, and mTOR signaling (Michalon et al., 2012; Michalon et al., 2014) or social behavioral deficits (Gantois et al., 2013) in FMR1 knockout mice. Unfortunately, these findings have not translated successfully to treatment of individuals with Fragile X in
clinical trials (Berry-Kravis et al., 2016). This highlights the unique complexity of the human phenotype, even with highly defined genetic classifiers, and warrants more careful selection of treatments, patients, and outcome measures (Jacquemont et al., 2014; Jeste and Geschwind, 2016).

Many of these genetically-defined ASD etiologies have been linked to changes in brain functional connectivity, which is often a manifestation of the inability of neurons to adequately communicate, process and transfer information (Chen et al., 2015). Such phenotypes can be attributed to synaptic disruptions, as described above, as well as to alterations in neuronal excitability. In the PTEN model of autism, for instance, a single copy deletion in adulthood results in diminished intrinsic excitability of L2/3 visual cortical neurons in mice (García-Junco-Clemente et al., 2013). It was shown that these changes directly resulted from up-regulated calcium-activated small conductance (SK-type) potassium channels and were associated with decreased cortical responses to visual stimuli in visual cortex (García-Junco-Clemente et al., 2013). Conversely, in the FMR1 model of Fragile X, there is decreased expression of dendritic BK-type calcium-activated potassium channels, which in turn increases dendritic excitability and heightens sensitivity to incoming somatosensory inputs (Zhang et al., 2014). Therefore, autism-related mutations can lead to intrinsic excitability changes that can dramatically alter the processing of sensory information, either by increasing or decreasing sensitivity to external stimuli. Indeed, understanding how specific genetic etiologies alter processing of the various sensory modalities can give us insights into which specific treatments should be catered to autistic individuals (García-Junco-Clemente et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014).
A more profound understanding of the specific circuit elements that drive social and nonsocial behaviors has come to life in virtue of innovative tools such as optogenetics and Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs (DREADDs) (Lee et al., 2013; Deisseroth et al., 2015). Technologies such as these have allowed us to manipulate brain circuits in wild-type mice in order to produce behaviors reminiscent of autistic phenotypes, thus giving us clues about which functional alterations might be involved in human ASDs. For instance, increasing excitatory activity in the medial amygdala inhibits aggression and mating behaviors, whereas increasing inhibition promotes social interactions and decreases repetitive self-grooming (Hong et al., 2014). Similar effects have been observed when activating or inhibiting amygdala projections within the ventral hippocampus, thus highlighting the potential contribution of this structure to autism-related phenotypes (Felix-Ortiz et al., 2014). Future studies can therefore make use of these findings and focus on dissecting microcircuit changes in such brain regions, or determine whether pharmacological or cell-specific treatments and manipulations can be used to treat social impairments or other behavioral dysfunctions associated with ASD.

1.7: A new generation of treatments

Mouse models are also an invaluable vessel for testing an entirely new generation of rationally designed treatments. One such remarkable example is comes from a recent study in a Ube3a overexpression mouse, which models Angelman syndrome, a condition that is highly comorbid with ASD (Williams et al., 2007). In this study, researchers used antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) to silence the overexpressed and nuclear-localized
long noncoding RNA, *UBE3A* antisense transcript (*UBE3A*-ATS), which typically inhibits expression of the paternal copy of *UBE3A* itself. This treatment astonishingly resulted in sustained unsilencing of paternal *UBE3A*, both in vitro and in vivo, and improved cognitive deficits in the mouse model of the disorder (Meng et al., 2015). Although many details remain to be worked out in terms of timing treatment and mode of delivery, experiments such as these provide hope towards novel human therapeutics and prove rodent models as an essential intermediate step for the development of novel human therapeutics.
Table 1.1: Summary of most recent advances in the study of mouse models of ASD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>ASD-related behaviors</th>
<th>Functional disruptions</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15q11-13dup</td>
<td>Decreased sociability</td>
<td>Spine pruning deficits in Purkinje neurons</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Piochon et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased vocalizations in pups</td>
<td>Enhanced LTD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced vocalizations in adults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Behavioral inflexibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased grooming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hyperactivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16p11.2 del</td>
<td>Reduced sociability</td>
<td>Alterations in dopaminergic pathways in MSNs</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Portmann et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Repetitive behaviors</td>
<td>Decreased striatal and nucleus accumbens volume</td>
<td>Decreased sensitivity to risperidone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hyperactivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR</td>
<td>Reduced sociability</td>
<td>Decreased inhibition</td>
<td>Diazepam</td>
<td>Gogolla et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Repetitive grooming</td>
<td>Alterations in multisensory integration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased vocalizations in pups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Altered vocalizations in adults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMR1</td>
<td>Altered social behavior</td>
<td>Spine pruning deficits</td>
<td>mGluR antagonists</td>
<td>Bear et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased vocalizations</td>
<td>Delayed inhibitory maturation and inhibitory BK-Ca channel openers function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stereotypies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Repetitive behaviors</td>
<td>Altered developmental synchrony</td>
<td>Prenatal bumetanide</td>
<td>Patel et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>Enhanced LTD</td>
<td>Goncalves et al., 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hyperactivity</td>
<td>Increased dendritic and cortical excitability (BK channels)</td>
<td>Tyzio et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cognitive defects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeCP2</td>
<td>Altered sociability</td>
<td>Excessive spine pruning</td>
<td>Levodopa</td>
<td>Jiang et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased vocalizations</td>
<td>Altered inhibition</td>
<td>Dopamine-decarboxylase inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased grooming</td>
<td></td>
<td>IGF1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nlgn3</td>
<td>Altered sociability</td>
<td>Alterations in dopaminergic pathways (Nucleus accumbens)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Rothwell et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Repetitive behaviors</td>
<td>Impaired inhibition onto MSNs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inflexibility</td>
<td>Decreased perisomatic inhibition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>Decreased sociability</td>
<td>Decreased LTD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Takeuchi et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased grooming</td>
<td>Decreased intrinsic excitability (SK channels)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Garcia-Junco-Clemente et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSC1</td>
<td>Decreased sociability</td>
<td>Cerebellar abnormalities</td>
<td>Rapamycin/mTOR</td>
<td>Batteup et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inflexibility</td>
<td>Decreased inhibition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased grooming</td>
<td>Decreased LTD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased pup calls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSC2</td>
<td>Decreased sociability</td>
<td>Deficits in developmental spine pruning and autophagy</td>
<td>Rapamycin/mTOR</td>
<td>Tang et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased grooming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ube3a</td>
<td>Decreased sociability</td>
<td>Decreased synaptic pruning</td>
<td>ASOs</td>
<td>Meng et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased vocalizations</td>
<td>Decreased excitatory neurotransmission</td>
<td></td>
<td>Piochon et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Repetitive behaviors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.8: The CNTNAP2 autism susceptibility gene

Recessive, truncating mutations in the CNTNAP2 gene cause cortical dysplasia focal epilepsy (CDFE), a syndromic form of autism. It has been reported that 70% of homozygous mutations carriers display autism-related deficits, in addition to the characteristic language and cognitive impairments, hyperactivity, and epilepsy observed in CDFE (Strauss et al., 2006). Other variants, including point mutations in CNTNAP2, have also been shown to correlate with ASD-related alterations, including non-specific language impairments, attention deficit disorders, schizophrenia, and disruptions in frontal lobe connectivity (Scott Van-Zeeland et al., 2010; Peñagarikano and Geschwind, 2012; Rodenas-Cuadrado et al., 2014).

CNTNAP2 encodes a neuronal transmembrane protein, contactin-associated-like protein 2 (Caspr2), which is a member of the neurexin superfamily (Poliak et al., 1999). It mediates neuron-glia interactions by binding to Tag-1 (CNTN2), a neuroligin, and is crucial for the clustering of Kv1 potassium channels in the juxtaparanodal region of myelinated axons (Poliak et al., 2003). More recently, it has been demonstrated that Caspr2 in fact localizes at the synapse and has a role in the formation and stabilization of excitatory synapses (Anderson et al., 2012; Gdalyahu et al., 2015; Varea et al. 2015). The protein is also expressed in multiple sensory systems in rodents, thus suggesting that alterations in its normal function could underlie some of the sensory processing manifestations observed in ASD (Gordon et al., 2016).

Importantly, Caspr2 is also strongly brain-expressed in embryonic stages, enriched in areas such as the medial ganglionic eminence, well before the onset of myelination and is thought to play an important role for proper neuronal migration
(Abrahams et al., 2007). This fact is of high importance when considering pathological mechanisms of ASDs, as they are indeed developmental disorders. The role of Caspr2 in development has not only been evidenced by altered cortical lamination in brain samples from epileptic of CDFE patients (Strauss et al., 2006), it has also been supported by the neuronal migration abnormalities observed in Cntnap2 KO mouse, as described in the next section (Peñagarikano et al., 2011).

1.9: The **CNTNAP2** mouse model of autism

In this current era of research, mouse models have become a critical tool for understanding physiological and pathological deficits involved in neurological disease. Our lab published the initial characterization of the Cntnap2 KO mouse (Peñagarikano et al., 2011). Remarkably, these mice recapitulated core features of autism, including reduced vocalizations, impaired social interactions, and repetitive or restrictive behaviors (Figure 1.1). In addition, Cntnap2 KO mice showed CDFE traits, such as neuronal migration abnormalities, reduced number of inhibitory neurons, decreased neural synchronization, and epilepsy. When treated with Risperidone, an atypical antipsychotic, and the only FDA-approved drug for treatment of irritability and aggression in ASD, the repetitive and hyperactive behaviors in Cntnap2 KO mice were ameliorated. More recently, we showed that both acute and early postnatal treatment of Cntnap2 KO mice with oxytocin improved its social behavior (Peñagarikano et al., 2015). These studies highlighted the
value of our model as an accurate research tool, as it fulfilled the main standard criteria of construct validity, face validity, and predictive validity (Chadman et al., 2009; Nestler and Hyman, 2010).

1.10: Conclusions

The utility of rodent models of autism will increase nonlinearly with improvements in genetic engineering and genome editing, high-throughput electrophysiology and cellular functional imaging, and activity modulation techniques (Prakash et al., 2012; Platt et al., 2014; Rickgauer et al., 2014). By genetically manipulating specific neuronal subpopulations and modulating specific activity patterns, we will finally be able to understand the functional changes that cause but also rescue the deficits characteristic of ASD (Ziv et al., 2013). Mouse models will also continue to complement results obtained in other in-vitro models such as those from induced pluripotent stem cells (Shcheglovitov et al., 2013). Most importantly, understanding of basic neuron circuit function at the most fundamental level will likely yield the most impact for understanding ASDs in the long run. Rodent models serve as a starting point for assessing adequate therapeutic interventions for ASD, keeping in mind that it could necessitate further validation in closer evolutionary relatives (like primates) and humans.
Chapter 2:

*In vitro* assessment of intrinsic neuronal excitability, synaptic neurotransmission, cortical inputs, and microcircuit connectivity in the mPFC of Cntnap2 KO mice
2.1: Abstract

Recessive truncating mutations in \textit{CNTNAP2} cause Cortical Dysplasia Focal Epilepsy (CDFE), a syndromic form of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). \textit{CNTNAP2} encodes for contactin-associated protein-like 2 (Caspr2), a neurexin family protein that mediates neuron-glia interactions and the clustering of K$^+$ channels in axons. \textit{Cntnap2} knock-out (KO) mice recapitulate core deficits of ASD, including impairments in social interactions and communication, repetitive/restrictive behaviors, seizures, decreased neuronal synchrony, and neuronal migration deficits. Here, I investigate whether loss of Caspr2 alters intrinsic excitability, synaptic neurotransmission, or synaptic connectivity between identified excitatory and inhibitory neurons. I perform \textit{in vitro} whole-cell patch-clamp recordings in acute slices of medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), an area that is implicated in social behavior and autism. By performing these whole-cell recordings and laser scanning photostimulation mapping via glutamate uncaging, in \textit{Cntnap2} KO mice and wild-type (WT) controls, I find that our autism model displays a dramatic decrease in both excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs to L2/3 mPFC excitatory neurons. Miniature excitatory postsynaptic current (mEPSC) frequency and amplitude, as well as evoked EPSCs, were decreased in excitatory neurons, but short term plasticity and intrinsic excitability were not altered. These findings support emerging evidence suggesting that Caspr2 has a role in synaptic neurotransmission and that loss of \textit{Cntnap2} results in the reduction of the total number of functional synapses.
2.2: Background

Previous studies have shown that adequate membrane localization of Caspr2 is crucial for clustering of potassium channels in the juxtaparanodes of axons (Poliak et al., 2001; Poliak and Peles 2003; Poliak et al., 2003). Such biological function could be important for efficient action potential firing via modulation of intrinsic neuronal properties (Aranciba and Atwell, 2014). These alterations in E/I ratio can emerge in many ways, including disturbances in tonic GABAergic and glutamatergic neurotransmission, changes in microcircuit connectivity and neural weights, or disruptions in the firing patterns or excitability of excitatory and inhibitory cells (Gogolla et al., 2009; Yizhar et al., 2011; Berg and Geschwind, 2012; D’amour and Froemke, 2015; Nelson and Valakh, 2015).

Pyramidal (Pyr) excitatory neurons in the mPFC primarily function in assemblies that carry information to and from connected brain regions (Buzsáki and Watson, 2012). In doing so, they contribute to modulation of executive functions, emotions, and indeed social behaviors (Yizhar et al., 2016). Parvalbumin-positive (PV) inhibitory neurons, on the other hand, are responsible for pacing and entraining Pyr neurons in synchronous activity, contribute to gain modulation, and promote transmission of a coherent message that results in a cohesive and appropriate behavioral output (Einstein et al., 2016). Alterations in the excitability of Pyr and/or PV neurons might explain some of the previously observed pathologies of Cntnap2 KO mice, including seizures and decreased neuronal synchrony (Peñagarikano et al., 2011).
2.3: Intrinsic excitability of L2/3 pyramidal (Pyr) neurons and parvalbumin-positive (PV+) inhibitory neurons in Cntnap2 WT and KO mice.

Caspr2 has a known role in the clustering of potassium channels in the juxtaparanodes of axons (Poliak et al., 2001; Poliak and Peles 2003; Poliak et al., 2003). This, together with the fact that Cntnap2 KO mice display epileptic seizures and decreased neuronal synchrony in vivo, suggests that alterations in neuronal excitability might be at play in producing these phenotypes. I therefore hypothesized that Cntnap2 KO mice would display alterations in the excitability and membrane properties of Pyr neurons and PV inhibitory neurons of the mPFC. I expected either an increase in pyramidal neuron excitability or a decrease in inhibitory neuron excitability, consistent with our previous pathological findings.

To test these hypotheses, we performed whole-cell current-clamp recordings on L2/3 Pyr neurons and PV inhibitory neurons of mPFC in Cntnap2 KO and wild-type (WT) controls. Input-output curves showing average number of action potentials elicited by increasing current injections for pyramidal neurons (WT n = 28, KO n = 21; p = 0.7057, Two-way ANOVA) and parvalbumin-positive inhibitory neurons (WT n = 27, KO n = 42; p = 0.30, Two-way ANOVA) revealed no statistically significant alterations in action potential firing rate (Figure 2.1). Action potential (AP) features, such as threshold, amplitude, half-width, afterhyperpolarization (AHP) potential, or time from peak to AHP were also not significantly different between WT and KO. Similarly, passive membrane properties such as resting membrane potential (RMP), input resistance (Rin), cell membrane capacitance (Cm), or membrane time constant (Tau) were also not significantly different (Table 2.1 and 2.2). This suggests that loss of Cntnap2 likely does
not materially affect the intrinsic excitability of L2/3 neurons of mFPC, contrary to what was expected.

Figure 2.1 Intrinsic excitability of L2/3 pyramidal (Pyr) neurons and parvalbumin-positive (PV+) inhibitory neurons in Cntnap2 WT and KO mice. (A, D)

Representative action potential traces from L2/3 WT and KO pyramidal and PV+ neurons, showing responses to various current injections and (B, E) corresponding average action potential waveforms. (C, F) Input-output curves showing average number of action potentials elicited by increasing current injections for pyramidal neurons (WT n = 28, KO n = 21; p = 0.71, Two-way ANOVA) and parvalbumin-positive inhibitory neurons (WT n = 27, KO n = 42; p = 0.30, Two-way ANOVA). Data obtained from
current-clamp recordings of neuronal spikes elicited by stimulating with 50 pA step increments, cells clamped at -70mV.

Table 2.1 and 2.2. Summary of passive membrane properties and action potential features for pyramidal neurons and parvalbumin-positive inhibitory neurons in Cntnap2 WT and KO mice.

### Table 2.1 Pyramidal neurons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Passive Membrane Properties</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>KO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMP (mV)</td>
<td>-73.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>-68.7 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rin (mOhms)</td>
<td>174.1 ± 18.7</td>
<td>173.5 ± 21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm (pF)</td>
<td>96.1 ± 8.1</td>
<td>106.8 ± 12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tau (ms)</td>
<td>14.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>16.6 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Potential Features</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>84.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>82.1 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-width (ms)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHP Amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>-4.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-4.0 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak to AHP (ms)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold (mV)</td>
<td>-39.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>-37.0 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2.2 Parvalbumin-positive inhibitory neurons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Passive Membrane Properties</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>KO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMP (mV)</td>
<td>-80.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-78.0 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rin (mOhms)</td>
<td>93.2 ± 6.3</td>
<td>85.1 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm (pF)</td>
<td>69.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td>80.5 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tau (ms)</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Potential Features</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>62.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td>64.5 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-width (ms)</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHP Amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>-24.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-22.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak to AHP (ms)</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold (mV)</td>
<td>-39.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>-41.0 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4: Quantification of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs

Given that no major excitability changes in Pyr or PV neurons were observed, I next tested whether alterations in synaptic transmission could underlie some of the previously reported physiological deficits in Cntnap2 KO mice (Peñagarikano et al., 2011). This was especially salient given recent data that Cntnap2 plays an important role in the formation and stabilization of synapses (Anderson et al., 2012; Gdalyahu et al., 2015). I hypothesized that functional excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmission would be altered in Cntnap2 KO mice. To test this hypothesis, I performed in vitro whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings of L2/3 mPFC Pyr and PV neurons and recorded miniature excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs and mIPSCs, respectively) in acute slices.

Remarkably, I observed a two-fold decrease in the frequency of mEPSCs (Figure 2.2 B) and a nominal, non-statistically significant decrease in mIPSCs (Figure 2.2 E) on Pyr neurons. I also observed a small, but significant decrease in the average amplitude of mEPSCs in Cntnap2 KO pyramidal neurons (Figure 2.2 C), but not mIPSCs (Figure 2 F). These findings point towards a reduction in both excitation and inhibition in pyramidal neurons in the mPFC of Cntnap2 KO mice. Thus, rather than a shift in the balance of excitation/inhibition in L2/3 of mPFC (Figure 2.3), Cntnap2 KO mice display an overall reduction in the total number of functional synapses.

I also performed the same analyses of excitatory and inhibitory inputs on PV+ inhibitory neurons. In contrast to my observations in pyramidal cells, I observed no statistically significant alterations in frequency or amplitude of mEPSCs (Figure 2.1 G-I) or mIPSCs (Figure 2 J-L) in PV neurons. This indicates that loss of Cntnap2 results in
reduced excitatory synaptic transmission that primarily affects pyramidal neurons in the mPFC.
Figure 2.2: *Cntnap2* KO pyramidal neurons display a two-fold decrease in the frequency of miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs). (A,D)

Representative traces from recorded mEPSCs and mIPSCs in *Cntnap2* WT and KO pyramidal cells, voltage-clamped at -70 mV, with corresponding average unitary events. (B,E) Frequency of mEPSCs (WT 2.42 ± 0.45 Hz, n = 24 cells; KO 0.89 ± 0.1 Hz, n = 24 cells; p = 0.002, Unpaired t test with Welch’s correction) is decreased in KO mice, while mIPSCs show a non-statistically significant decrease in frequency, compared to WT (WT 5.8 ± 0.8 Hz, n = 28; KO 3.9 ± 0.4 Hz, n = 27; p = 0.141, Mann-Whitney test). (C,F) Amplitude of mEPSCs (WT 15.7 ± 0.6 pA, n = 24; KO 13.9 ± 0.5 pA, n = 24; p = 0.017, Mann-Whitney test), but not mIPSCs (WT 24.4 ± 1.3 pA, n = 28; KO 27.2 ± 2.5 pA, n = 27; p = 0.8740, Mann-Whitney test) is decreased in KO mice. (G-L) Frequency (WT 4.5 ± 0.8 Hz, KO 4.3 ± 0.5 Hz; p = 0.990, Unpaired t test) and amplitude (WT, 24.5 ± 0.9 pA, KO 24.6 pA ± 1.0; p = 0.597, Mann-Whitney test) of mEPSCs (WT n = 17, KO n = 15) and frequency (WT 4.7 ± 0.5 Hz, KO 3.6 ± 0.4 Hz; p = 0.407, Mann-Whitney test) and amplitude (WT 36.0 ± 1.9 pA, KO 37.6 ± 2.5 pA; p = 0.824, Mann-Whitney test) of mIPSCs (WT n = 28, KO n = 25) recorded from parvalbumin-positive (PV) inhibitory neurons are not statistically different between *Cntnap2* KO and WT mice. Distribution of data is represented as box and whiskers plots with mean ± SEM. Individual cells are represented as open circles. Statistical significance is represented by * for Mann Whitney test p<0.05.
Figure 2.3: Excitatory/inhibitory ratio in pyramidal neurons of Cntnap2 KO mice.

(A) Representative traces from recorded spontaneous excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs and sIPSCs), respectively. Both of these were recorded from the same cell by clamping at -70 mV for sEPSCs were recorded by clamping the cells at -70 mV and at +10 mV for sIPSCs. (B) Average excitatory/inhibitory ratios calculated by dividing the average charge, calculated as the area under the curve in picocoulombs (pC) of sEPSCs and sIPSCs per cell (WT 0.3 ± 0.0, n = 23, KO 0.3 ± 0.0, n = 28; p = 0.153, Mann-Whitney test). (C-H) Average area (WT 54.9 ± 4.0 pC, KO 48.2 ± 4.0 pC; p = 0.169, Mann-Whitney test), amplitude (WT 14.6 ± 0.7 pA, KO 13.3 ± 0.2 pA; p = 0.164, Mann-Whitney test) and frequency (WT 1.6 ± 0.3 Hz, KO 1.3 ± 0.2 Hz; p = 0.760, Mann-Whitney test) of sEPSCs (WT n = 21, KO n = 24) and average area (WT 186.7 ± 7.2 pC, KO 193.3 ± 6.8 pC; p = 0.510), amplitude (WT 21.87 ± 0.4 pA, KO 23.0 ± 0.5 pA; p = 0.189, Mann-Whitney test), and frequency (WT 2.6 ± 0.3 Hz, KO 2.8 ± 0.3 Hz; p = 0.657, Unpaired t test) for sIPSCs (WT n = 29, KO n = 27). Distribution of data is represented as box and whiskers plots with mean ± SEM. Individual cells are represented as open circles. No statistically significant differences were observed between genotypes for any of these measures.

2.5: Synaptic vesicle release and AMPA/NMDA ratios

The observed decrease in mEPSC frequency on pyramidal neurons could be caused by either a disruption in 1) the probability of synaptic vesicle release and/or 2) a reduction in the total number of functional or mature synapses (Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Burrone et al., 2002; Golshani et al., 2005). To address this first possibility, I
stimulated long-range axonal projections to mPFC in slices, and measured evoked excitatory currents elicited in L2/3 pyramidal cells (Figure 2.4 A). I found no significant difference in paired-pulse ratios of evoked currents between WT and KO mice (Figure 3B-C). Thus, the observed decrease in mEPSC frequency in the KO is likely not due to alterations short-term plasticity or deficits in synaptic vesicle release probability.

Using the same experimental approach, I tested whether the reduction in mEPSCs was due to an increase in the proportion of immature or silent synapses, characterized by a decreased the ratio of AMPA/NMDA receptors (Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Golshani et al., 2005; González-Burgos et al., 2008). I recorded evoked AMPA and NMDA currents by holding the cells at -70 mV and at +40 mV in voltage-clamp, respectively, and found no significant difference in the ratio when comparing Cntnap2 WT and KO mice (Figure 2.4 F). Interestingly, input-output curves revealed a decrease in the amplitude of current responses in the KO at higher stimulation intensities (Figure 2.4 B). This finding supports the notion that indeed there is a decrease in excitatory neurotransmission in the mPFC of Cntnap2 KO mice. Thus, although loss of Caspr2 does not seem to affect synaptic vesicle release and maturation of already stabilized synapses, we find an overall decreased excitatory inputs in the KO’s local mPFC microcircuit.
Figure 2.4: Evoked synaptic responses reveal decreased long range excitatory inputs in Cntnap2 KO mice. (A) Monopolar tungsten electrode was used to stimulate long-range axons (purple), which extend from the anterior forceps of the corpus callosum and project onto a patched excitatory neuron in L2/3 mPFC. (B) Input-output curves of unitary excitatory responses resulting from a range of increasing stimulus intensities in Cntnap2 WT and KO mice (WT n = 7 cells, KO n = 9 cells; p < 0.0001, Two-way ANOVA). (C) Representative current responses from paired-pulses given at various inter-stimulus intervals (ISIs) in WT and KO mice. (D) Ratio of 2nd/1st evoked synaptic...
response to paired-pulse stimulation at increasing ISIs suggests no significant deficits in the probability of synaptic vesicle release in Cntnap2 KO mice (WT n = 10 cells, KO n = 8 cells; p = 0.893, Two-way ANOVA). (E) Evoked AMPA (cells voltage-clamped at -70mV) and NMDA (cells voltage-clamped at +40mV) currents in WT and KO mice. Stimulus artifact was blanked for clarity. (F) AMPA/NMDA ratios of Cntnap2 KO mice were not significantly altered, compared to WT mice, suggesting no significant changes in synaptic maturity (WT 0.7 ± 0.1, n = 11 cells; KO 0.5 ± 0.1, n = 8 cells; p = 0.347, Unpaired t test).

2.6: Cortical mapping of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs using local scanning photostimulation (LSPS) and glutamate uncaging

To garner further evidence for the decrease in synaptic inputs suggested by the physiological data, I next used laser scanning photostimulation (LSPS) via glutamate uncaging to map local circuit inputs of patched pyramidal neurons, in collaboration with the laboratory of Dr. Xiangmin Xu at UC Irvine. We reasoned that if synaptic inputs were in fact reduced, as suggested by our initial findings, the input currents would be weaker in the KO (Callaway and Katz, 1993; Xu and Callaway, 2009; Xu et al., 2010; Ikrar et al., 2011). In order to test this, we quantified and mapped input strengths on patched L2/3 Pyr neurons (Figure 2.5). We quantified and mapped excitatory and inhibitory input strengths by holding the pyramidal neuron near the reversal potential for inhibitory (-70 mV) and excitatory (+10 mV) conductances in voltage clamp mode, while uncaging glutamate and activating small clusters of surrounding neurons (Figure 2.6) and observed that similar to WT neurons, KO neurons receive most of their excitatory and inhibitory
synaptic inputs from L2/3 and L5 in mPFC (Figure 5B-C). We observed that, similar to WT neurons, KO neurons receive most of their circuit inputs from L2/3 and L5 in mPFC (Figure 2.6 B-C). However, compared to WT, we found that L2/3 excitatory neurons in Cntnap2 KO mice display a dramatic reduction in both excitatory and inhibitory local synaptic inputs (Figure 2.6 E-I). This decrease in synaptic connections does not appear to be due to lower neuronal responsiveness to glutamate uncaging in KO mice, because both KO and WT neurons show large responses to glutamate uncaging in perisomatic regions (Figure 2.5). Whereas KO neurons have decreased EPSC and IPSC inputs from local mPFC circuits, the balance of the total IPSC/total EPSC inputs to individual neurons is not significantly altered, as the average ratio of IPSC/EPSC is not significantly different between genotypes (Figure 2.6 G). Thus, this more refined experimental approach extends and confirms the mEPSCs and stimulating electrode findings, demonstrating that there is a robust reduction of both excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs on layer 2/3 pyramidal cells in the mPFC of KO mice.
Figure 2.5: Example cortical input map data for Cntnap2 WT and KO L2/3 mPFC excitatory neurons. (A,H) Differential interference contrast (DIC) image of mPFC, superimposed with photostimulation sites (cyan dots), spaced at 100 µm x 60 µm, for WT and KO mice. The tip of the patch pipette (recording electrode) and the cell body location of a recorded L2/3 neuron is indicated by a red circle. (B, C, I, J) Photostimulation-
evoked response traces plotted according to their corresponding photostimulation sites, as shown in (A,H). Traces depict currents recorded 250 ms after stimulation (1.5 ms, 15 mW) onset. Cells were voltage-clamped at −70 mV to detect inward excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs), depicted in (B) and (I), and at +5 mV to detect inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs), depicted in (C and J). Excitatory (D, K) and inhibitory (F, M) input maps of average integrated stimulation responses for datasets shown in (B, I) and (C, J), respectively. Somatic location of the recorded neuron is represented by a white triangle. (E, L) and (G, N) show enlarged insets of selected responses in (B, I) and (C, J), respectively. Green overlays mark over-riding synaptic responses. Average input amplitudes were calculated as mean integrated amplitudes of EPSCs or IPSCs elicited within the 250 ms post-stimulus onset timeframe. White scale bars represent 250 µm.

Figure 2.6: Excitatory neurons in the mPFC of Cntnap2 KO mice show reduced local synaptic inputs. (A) Schematic of laser scanning photostimulation (LSPS) via
glutamate uncaging in acute slices of the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), combined with whole cell recordings to map of local synaptic connections to individually recorded excitatory pyramidal neurons. (B) Differential interference contrast (DIC) visualization of mPFC slice with superimposed photostimulation sites (cyan dots), spaced within a 100 µm x 60 µm grid. Red circle indicates the location of recorded glutamatergic neuron in L2/3, approached by the patch pipette (electrode). Scale bar represents 250 µm. (C) Group-averaged, excitatory input maps of L2/3 excitatory cells for WT (n = 20 cells) and KO (n = 9 cells). Neurons were clamped at -70 mV for detection of excitatory inputs. White triangles represent location of individually-recorded neurons. The color scale represents excitatory input strength (blue = low, red = high). Scale bar is 200 µm. (D) Group-averaged, inhibitory input maps of L2/3 excitatory cells for WT and KO. Neurons were clamped at +5 mV for detection of inhibitory synaptic inputs. Black triangles represent individual recorded neurons. The color scale represents inhibitory input strength (black = low, white = high). (E) Average total synaptic excitatory and inhibitory input strength (log) measured for L2/3 excitatory cells depicting a robust decrease in the KO (EPSC 1.7 ± 0.2, n = 9 cells; IPSC 2.5 ± 0.1, n = 13 cells) compared to WT (EPSC 2.5 ± 0.2, n = 20 cells; IPSC 2.8 ± 0.2, n = 11 cells). Data represent mean ± SEM * and ** indicate significance of p < 0.05 and p < 0.005, respectively, for Mann–Whitney U tests and Student’s t test. (F) Average ratios of total excitatory inputs (excitatory postsynaptic currents, EPSC) versus total inhibitory inputs (inhibitory postsynaptic currents, IPSC) from individual cells (WT n = 17 cells; KO, n = 8 cells). There is no significant difference in E/I ratio between WT and KO (p = 0.43).
2.7: Assessment of microcircuit connectivity of pyramidal neurons and parvalbumin-positive interneurons using paired recordings

The observed disruptions in synaptic neurotransmission and the reduction in local cortical synaptic currents suggest that there might be disruptions in local microcircuit connectivity within the mPFC of Cntnap2 KO mice. To further dissect local disruptions in neuronal communication within the mPFC circuit, I used whole-cell quadruple patch-clamp recording. This method is ideal for the evaluation of local synaptic contacts and assessment of the rate of connections between nearby cells (Debanne et al., 2008). Using this method, I stimulated presynaptic neurons by eliciting action potentials by injecting current in a patched cell, while simultaneously recording from one to three nearby neurons, located no further than three cell bodies away, within L2/3 of mPFC. I took advantage of the PVCre x Ai9 x Cntnap2 mouse line, which allowed for simultaneous recording of both PV and Pyr neurons by labeling PV cells with TdTomato, a red fluorescent marker. This enabled visualization of PV cells under the fluorescent microscope (exciting the fluorophore with green light, 555nm wavelength), such that they could be targeted with the patch pipette. Excitatory neurons were in turn patched based on their pyramidal morphology (Figure 2.7 A,B). Cell-type classification was further verified based on action potential firing properties, as PV cells have a unique, easily-discernible, high firing rate (see Figure 2.1).

I first performed a power analysis to calculate the number of pairs required per group in order to detect an estimated 20% decrease in connectivity. Previous literature estimates that 50% of neighboring PV-Pyr neurons are directly connected and form direct synapses onto each other (Wallace et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2014). Based on these
estimates, I calculated that I needed between 50-60 recorded pairs per group in order to test my hypothesis with reliable (80%) statistical power. Nonetheless, the actual collected data in controls reflected a 22-24% connectivity rate between PV-Pyr pairs in controls. Based on these results, I re-ran the power analysis and found that I would need an approximated 200 pairs per group in order to observe a statistically-significant 20% minimum decrease in PV-Pyr connection probability in the KO, compared to WT, with 80% statistical power. Therefore, as the data collected thus far did not suggest robust alterations in PV-Pyr neuron connection probability in the KO, we decided to withdraw from additional data collection. I conclude then that the probability of connection between presynaptic Pyr and postsynaptic PV, presynaptic PV and postsynaptic PV, and presynaptic PV and postsynaptic PV neurons, is not substantially different between WT and KO mice (Figure 2.7 C).

Interestingly, preliminary data from PV-PV paired recordings suggest that there might be a somewhat robust (17%) decrease in connectivity between PV-PV pairs, which warrants additional recordings as a future direction. Moreover, out of the Pyr-Pyr pairs recorded, none were connected in WT (0/14) or KO (0/12). In addition, I also measured the amplitude of the first elicited IPSC or EPSC and my preliminary findings suggest that indeed there might be a decrease in synaptic drive within connected PV-Pyr pairs (Figure 2.5 D), concurrent with our previous findings from the mEPSC and LSPS experiments. Nonetheless, the available dataset for assessment of these measures is currently underpowered and therefore these differences, although robust, are not statistically different. My estimates indicate that, given the current average and standard deviation in WT (x bar = 72.95 pA, σ = 61.92 pA, n = 6 connected pairs) and KO (x bar
= 23.0 pA, \( \sigma = 18.81 \) pA, \( n = 5 \) connected pairs) for the amplitude of the 1\textsuperscript{st} IPSC and the 1\textsuperscript{st} EPSC (WT \( \mu \) bar = 59.66 pA, \( \sigma = 12.9 \) pA, \( n = 3 \) connected pairs; KO \( \mu \) bar = 12.9 pA, \( \sigma = 8.18 \) pA, \( n = 3 \) connected pairs, alterations in the amplitude of the first postsynaptic response warrants further investigation as a future direction.
Figure 2.7. Assessment of mPFC microcircuit connectivity of pyramidal neurons and parvalbumin-positive interneurons. (A) Recording configuration represented by a confocal image of four simultaneously patched cells that were filled with Biocytin and visualized with Alexa 488-conjugated Streptavidin. Scale bar represents 100 µm. (B) Representative recordings of presynaptic action potentials evoked and postsynaptic current responses. Average of 10 trials. (C) Connection probability of Pyr neurons to PV neurons (p = 0.435, Chi-square test), PV neurons to Pyr neurons (p = 0.954, Chi-square test), and PV neurons to PV neurons (p = 0.299, Chi-square test). Percent connected and numbers connected/total pairs written above bar columns for each genotype. No Pyr neuron to Pyr neuron connections were observed for either WT or KO mouse (data not shown). (D) Average unitary amplitude for first elicited inhibitory (WT 73.0 ± 25.3 pA, n = 6 pairs; KO 23.0 ± 8.9 pA, n = 5 pairs; p = 0.120, Unpaired t test) and excitatory (WT 59.7 ± 27.9 pA, n = 3; KO 12.9 ± 5.0 pA, n = 3; p = 0.174, Unpaired t test) postsynaptic current (IPSC and EPSC, respectively).
2.8: Discussion

Multiple studies have shown that a number of mouse models of ASD display various degrees of disrupted excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission (Gogolla et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2016) and that a shift in the balance of excitation/inhibition, especially in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), underlie some of the pathologies associated with autism and other psychiatric disorders (Yizhar et al., 2011). Here, I observed a decrease in the frequency and amplitude of mEPSCs on pyramidal neurons of L2/3 mPFC in the KO are in line with previous studies, which found similar phenotypes both in cultured neurons and in vivo (Anderson et al., 2012; Gdalyahu et al., 2015). Indeed, Caspr2 localizes in spines and promotes trafficking of glutamatergic receptors along the dendritic shaft (Varea et al., 2015). Thus, these results strengthen the notion that Caspr2’s the biological function expands beyond that of mediating neuron-glia interactions and clustering of potassium channels in the juxtaparanodes of axons (Poliak et al., 1999; Poliak et al., 2003) and has a role in the formation and stabilization of synapses in mPFC.

That we did not observe any statistically significant alterations in the firing properties of either pyramidal neurons or parvalbumin-positive inhibitory neurons, further suggests that Caspr2 likely does not play a role in direct modulation of intrinsic neuronal excitability. This is consistent with previous studies demonstrating that, although absence of Caspr2 does alter clustering of potassium channels along the axon, this does directly impact axon conductance properties (Poliak et al., 2003). This warrants additional research on the mechanistic processes that involve Caspr2 at the excitatory synapse and how it affects neuronal function both in early development and in the mature brain.
Moreover, the role of Caspr2 in inhibition remains unclear. Previous studies have indicated that *Cntnap2* KO mice have decreased number of inhibitory neurons (Peñagarikano et al., 2011) and a recent study found that inhibition is impaired in the hippocampus of *Cntnap2* KO mice (Jurgensen and Castillo, 2015). Here, we show that there is indeed a decrease in inhibitory synaptic inputs onto L2/3 pyramidal neurons in the KO, although this difference is clearly of smaller magnitude, relative to the observed reduction in excitatory inputs. This smaller reduction was not detected by the less-sensitive method of mIPSC quantification, yet was clearly apparent with the use of LSPS and glutamate uncaging. Interestingly, PV+ neurons seem to be spared of significant alterations in either excitatory or inhibitory synaptic currents; again, with the caveat that this is a less sensitive method. Nonetheless, these findings in PV+ neurons are supported by a recent study by Varea et al., (2015), which demonstrated that Caspr2 localizes less closely to inhibitory molecules than excitatory ones in cultured neurons. It is possible the role of Caspr2 in modulating inhibition is restricted to early developmental stages that mediate interneuron migration and differentiation (Peñagarikano et al., 2011). Thus, formation of inhibitory synapses may rely more on other neurexin superfamily proteins, such as *Cntnap4* (Karayannis et al., 2014). These data stress the notion that Caspr2 has cell-type specific roles and is not indispensable for the formation of inhibitory synapses. This therefore requires further study of cell-type specific biological roles of *Cntnap2*, both in early development and in mature neuronal microcircuits. Such studies could elucidate circuit-specific mechanisms that can parse out the cluster of behavioral pathologies in ASD (Geschwind 2008; Banerjee et al., 2012).
2.9: Materials and methods

2.9.a: Animals

Cntnap2 null mice were obtained from E. Peles and backcrossed to the C57BL/6J background for over 12 generations. For targeted electrophysiological recordings of parvalbumin-positive interneurons, Cntnap2 heterozygous mice were backcrossed to PVCre (Jackson labs number 008069) x Ai9 (Jackson labs number 007909) mice. For spine density analysis, Cntnap2 heterozygous mice were backcrossed with Thy1GFP (Jackson labs x 007788) mice. Experimental mice were obtained from heterozygous crossings and born with the expected Mendelian frequencies; both genders were used. The date of birth was designated at P0 and the three obtained genotypes (wild-type, heterozygous, homozygous knock-out) were house together with three to four mice per same-sex cage. Mice were kept in a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle and had ad libitum access to food and water. All procedures involving animals were performed in accordance with the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) and the Weizmann Institute of Science animal research committee, and the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Use and Care of Laboratory Animals.

2.9.b: Slice preparation

Acute coronal slices (300um thickness) containing the medial prefrontal cortex were prepared from 4 to 6-wk-old Cntnap2 knock-out mice and wild-type littermates. Mice were anaesthetized with Isofluorane gas and beheaded after disappearance of toe-pincher reflex. The brain was removed and placed in ice-cold cutting solution consisting of (mM): 222 sucrose, 11 D-glucose, 26 NaHCO₃, 1 NaH₂PO₄, 3 KCl, 7 MgCl₂, 0.5 CaCl₂,
aerated with 95% O$_2$, 5% CO$_2$. The brain was cut in a Leica VT1000S Vibratome. Slices were allowed to recover for 30 minutes at 37 °C in standard artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF, in mM): 124 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 26 NaHCO$_3$, 1.25 NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 10 D-glucose, 4 sucrose, 2.5 CaCl$_2$, 2 MgCl$_2$, aerated with 95% O$_2$, 5% CO$_2$, and kept at room temperature for at least 40 min until time of recording.

2.9.c: Electrophysiology

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings of L2/3 neurons were obtained under visual guidance using infrared DIC videomicroscopy and water-immersion 40x objective, with patch pipettes (3-5 MOhms) pulled from borosilicate capillary glass (Sutter) with a Sutter puller. All electrophysiological recordings were performed using Multiclamp 700B (Molecular Devices) patch clamp amplifiers and ACSF was maintained at 33–35 °C. Signals were filtered at 4 kHz using Bessel filter and digitized at 10 kHz with WinWCP and WinEDR electrophysiology software interface (Strathclyde). Series/access resistance was monitored and recordings were discarded if it changed significantly (>20%) or exceeded 25 MOhms.

2.9.d: Current-clamp recordings

For intrinsic excitability experiments, the internal pipette solution contained (in mM): 115 KGluc, 20 KCl, 10 HEPES, 10 phosphocreatine, 4 ATP–Mg$^{2+}$, 0.3 GTP–Na$^+$ (pH 7.2, 270-290 mOsm); in some recordings, 0.2% biocytin was added to the solution. Patched pyramidal excitatory neurons were identified and included in the analysis based on their action potential firing characteristics. Parvalbumin-positive interneurons were
identified based on their expression of fluorescent marker TdTomato (from *Cntnap2* x PVCreAi9 mice) and action potential firing properties. Resting membrane potential ($V_m$) was measured after breaking in to the cell (rupturing the patch) and applying zero current, without taking the junction potential into account. Input resistance ($R_{in}$) was calculated as the slope of the linear fit of the voltage-current plot, generated from a family of negative and positive 500 ms current injections (-60 pA to +60 pA at 20 pA intervals, for pyramidal cells; -150 pA to +150 pA at 50 pA intervals, for parvalbumin-positive interneurons). The membrane decay constant ($\tau$) was calculated by fitting a single exponential curve to the current-voltage plot that resulted from a -20 pA current injection. Cell membrane capacitance ($C_m$) was given by $C_m = \tau / R_{in}$. For assessment of intrinsic excitability, cells were clamped at -70 mV and injected a series of increasing current steps at 50 pA intervals. Action potential properties were determined from the first action potential elicited by minimum current injection. The spike adaptation ratio was calculated by dividing the last inter-spike interval to the first inter-spike interval in an action potential train elicited by a 500 ms pulse of 200 pA. All data was analyzed using MATLAB software.

2.9.e: Voltage-clamp recordings

Miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs) were isolated by applying (in mM): 0.5 tetrodotoxin (TTX) and 10 picrotoxin to ACSF (described above). Pipette internal solution contained (in mM): 20 KCl, 10 Na-phosphocreatinine, 100 cesium methyl sulfonate, 3 QX-314, 10 HEPES, 4 ATP–Mg$^{2+}$ and 0.3 GTP–Na$^+$ (pH 7.2, 270-290 mOsm). Recordings were performed with cells clamped at -70 mV. Miniature
inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) were isolated by applying (in mM): 0.5 tetrodotoxin (TTX), 10 CNQX, and 50 APV to ACSF. A high-chloride pipette internal solution was used, which contained (in mM): 120 KCl, 10 HEPES, 4 ATP-Mg$^{2+}$, 0.3 GTP–Na$^+$ and 10 Na-phosphocreatinine (pH 7.2, 270-290 mOsm). Recordings were performed with cells clamped at -50 mV. Miniature and spontaneous events were recorded for 2 min. Recordings of spontaneous excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs and sIPSCs, respectively) were performed using standard ACSF conditions and the aforementioned cesium-based pipette internal solution. sEPSCs were recorded by clamping the cell at -70 mV and sIPSCs were recorded by clamping the same cell at +10 mV. MiniAnalysis software (Synaptosoft) was used to automatically identify synaptic events, based on template parameters. Events were then manually examined to exclude false positives. Cell identity was manually verified based on EPSC decay, where cells with decay \( \leq 2 \) ms were considered inhibitory and cells with decay >2ms were considered excitatory (assessed from averages of three individual events per cell. For all cells, events with a decay >1.25 ms were excluded from the analysis, given that we wanted to focus on local (perisomatic inputs) rather than distal inputs, which are of longer decay time, due to the low-pass filtering that occurs as currents travel through dendrites. Events were individually inspected and included in the analysis based on their rise times (the time between 10 and 90% of the maximum amplitude); excluded if it was >1.5ms, since this this temporal dynamic is characteristic of inhibitory interneurons (data not shown). Interevent intervals (event frequency), amplitude, decay, area, rise 10-90, and half-width, were analyzed and comparison between groups was analyzed by Student’s T-test. Grouped data are expressed as mean ± SEM, unless otherwise specified.
2.9.e: External stimulating electrode

A tungsten bipolar stimulating electrode was placed in L5 region of acute sections, to stimulate axon fibers emerging from the anterior forceps of the corpus callosum, which project onto a simultaneously-patched L2/3 pyramidal neuron in PL-mPFC, voltage-clamped at -70 mV. Input-output curves were derived by injecting current steps of increasing stimulus duration (0.01 ms increments) and recording current responses in the patched postsynaptic neurons. Short-term plasticity was assessed by measuring paired-pulse ratios, calculated as the peak amplitudes of 10 averaged episodes at various inter-stimulus intervals. AMPA/NMDA ratios were measured by voltage-clamping the cells at a holding potential of -70 mV for AMPA currents and +40 mV for NMDA currents. Peak amplitude current responses were averaged over 10 episodes. Peak NMDA currents were measured after the offset of AMPA currents within the same cell. Data was analyzed manually using WinEDR software and plotted in MATLAB.

2.9.g: Laser-scanning photostimulation

Coronal sections of medial prefrontal cortex were cut 400 µm thick with a vibratome (VT1200S, Leica Systems) in sucrose-containing artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) (in mM: 85 NaCl, 75 sucrose, 2.5 KCl, 25 glucose, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 4 MgCl2, 0.5 CaCl2, and 24 NaHCO3). Slices were first incubated in sucrose-containing ACSF for 30 min to 1 h at 32°C, and then transferred to recording ACSF (in mM: 126 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 26 NaHCO3, 2 CaCl2, 2 MgCl2, 1.25 NaH2PO4, and 10 glucose) at room temperature. Throughout incubation and recording, the slices were continuously bubbled with 95% O2-5% CO2.
The design of our laser scanning photostimulation system has been described previously (Xu et al., 2009). A laser unit (model 3501, DPSS Lasers, Santa Clara, CA) was used to generate a 355 nm UV laser for glutamate uncaging. Various laser stimulation positions were achieved through galvanometer-driven X-Y scanning mirrors (Cambridge Technology, Cambridge, MA), as the mirrors and the back aperture of the objective were in conjugate planes, thereby translating mirror positions into different scanning locations at the objective lens focal plane. Data were acquired with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), data acquisition boards (models PCI MIO 16E-4 and 6713, National Instruments, Austin, TX), and custom-modified version of Ephus software (Ephus, available at https://www.ephus.org/). Data were low-pass filtered at 2 kHz using a Bessel filter, digitized at 10 kHz, and stored on a computer.

Cortical slices were visualized with an upright microscope (BW51X, Olympus) with infrared differential interference contrast optics. Electrophysiological recordings, photostimulation, and imaging of the slice preparations were done in a slice perfusion chamber mounted on a motorized stage of the microscope at room temperature. An aliquot of MNI-caged-L-glutamate (4-methoxy-7-nitroindolinyl-caged L-glutamate, Tocris Bioscience, Ellisville, MO) was added to 20–25 ml of circulating ACSF for a concentration of 0.2 mM caged glutamate. To perform whole cell recording, cells were visualized at high magnification (60× objective, 0.9 NA; LUMPlanFl/IR, Olympus). Excitatory neurons were selected based upon their pyramidal somata detected under differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. For experiments to assess photo-stimulation evoked spiking profiles of excitatory in mPFC, similar previously
published studies (Olivas et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2016), the patch pipettes (4–6 MΩ resistance) were filled with an K+ internal solution containing (in mM) 126 K-gluconate, 4 KCl, 10 HEPES, 4 ATP-Mg, 0.3 GTP-Na, and 10 phosphocreatine (pH 7.2, 300 mOsm). For the photostimulation experiments to map synaptic inputs, we used a Cs+ internal solution containing (in mM) 6 CsCl, 130 CsOH, 130 D-Gluconic acid, 2 MgCl2, 0.2 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 2.5 ATP-Na, 0.5 GTP-Na, and 10 phosphocreatine-Na2 (pH 7.2, 300 mOsm). Because glutamate uncaging agnostically activates both excitatory and inhibitory neurons, we empirically determined the excitatory and inhibitory reversal potentials in L2/3 pyramidal cells to properly isolate EPSCs and IPSCs. Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were made from the recorded postsynaptic neurons with LSPS-evoked EPSCs and IPSCs measured at the holding potential of −70 mV and 5 mV, respectively, across photostimulation sites. The internal solution also contained 0.1% biocytin for cell labeling and morphological identification. The morphology of recorded pyramidal neuron was determined using post-hoc staining with Cy3-conjugated streptavidin (1:500 dilution; Jackson ImmunoResearch). Once stable whole cell recordings were achieved with good access resistance (usually <30 MΩ), the microscope objective was switched from 60× to 4×; laser scanning photostimulation (LSPS) was performed through the 4x objective lens. At low magnification (4× objective lens, 0.16 NA; UplanApo, Olympus), the slice images were acquired by a high-resolution digital CCD camera (Retiga 2000, Q-imaging, Austin, TX) and used for guiding and registering photostimulation sites in cortical slices.

Photostimulation (1.5 ms duration, 15 mW pulses) from a 350nm UV laser generator (DPSS Lasers, Santa Clara, CA) was delivered to the sample, controlled via an
electro-optical modulator and a mechanical shutter. Focal laser spots approximated a Gaussian profile with a diameter of ~50-100 µm. Under our experimental conditions, LSPS evoked action potentials were recorded from stimulation locations within 100 µm of targeted somata of excitatory neurons and occurred within 150 ms post photostimulation. Our calibration analysis indicates that LSPS allows for mapping direct synaptic inputs to recorded neurons. Synaptic currents in patched neurons were detected under voltage clamp. By systematically surveying synaptic inputs from hundreds of different sites across a large cortical region, aggregate synaptic input maps were generated for individual neurons. For our mapping experiments, a standard stimulus grid (16×16 stimulation sites, 100 x 60 µm² spacing) was used to tessellate mPFC from pia to white matter. The LSPS site spacing was empirically determined to capture the smallest predicted distance in which photostimulation differentially activates adjacent neurons. Glutamate uncaging was delivered sequentially in a nonraster, nonrandom sequence, following a “shifting-X” pattern designed to avoid revisiting the vicinity of recently stimulated sites.

Photostimulation induces two forms of excitatory responses: (1) those that result from direct activation of the recorded neuron's glutamate receptors, and (2) synaptically mediated responses (EPSCs) resulting from the suprathreshold activation of presynaptic excitatory neurons. Responses that occur within 10 ms of laser pulse onset were considered direct; these responses exhibited a distinct waveform and occurred immediately after glutamate uncaging. Synaptic currents with such short latencies are not possible because they would have to occur before the generation of action potentials in photostimulated neurons. Therefore, direct responses were excluded from local
synaptic input analysis, but they were used to assess glutamate mediated excitability/responsiveness of recorded neurons. At some locations, synaptic responses were over-riding on the relatively small direct responses, and these responses were identified and included in synaptic input analysis. The IPSC input was similarly analyzed as the EPSC input. For data map analysis, we implemented the approach for detection and extraction of photostimulation-evoked postsynaptic current responses described in reference 6. LSPS evoked EPSCs/IPSCs were quantified across the 16x16 mapping grid for each cell, and 1-2 individual maps were used per recorded cell. The PSC input from each stimulation site was the measurement of the sum of individual PSCs within the analysis window (>10 ms to 160 ms post photostimulation), with the baseline spontaneous response subtracted from the photostimulation response of the same site. The value was normalized with the duration of the analysis window (i.e., 150 ms) and expressed as average integrated amplitudes in picoamperes (pA). The analysis window was chosen because photostimulated neurons fire most of their action potentials during this time. For the color-coded map display, data were plotted as the average integrated PSCs amplitude per pixel location (stimulation site), with the color scale coding input strength. For the group maps obtained across multiple cells, the individual cell maps were first aligned by their slice images using laminar cytoarchitectonic landmarks. Then a new map grid was created to re-sample and average input strength at each site location across cell maps; a smooth version of color-coded map was presented for overall assessments. To further quantitatively compare input strength across cell groups, we measured the total PSC inputs (total synaptic currents) across all map sites (total synaptic input strength) for
individual cells. The total EPSC/IPSC input strength ratios were also measured for the cells when both EPSC and IPSC data were available from the same cells.

As virtually all layer 1 neurons are inhibitory cells, and pyramidal neurons with apical dendritic tufts in layer 1 could fire action potentials when their tufts were stimulated in layer 1 (Dantzker et al., 2000), EPSCs detected after photostimulation in layer 1 were not included for analyses. However, because layer 1 neurons can provide inhibition to layer 2/3 neurons, we did analyze IPSCs detected after photostimulation in layer 1.

All data are reported as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). When comparing two independent groups, a Mann-Whitney U test was used. Unless specified otherwise, sample size n was defined as cell number. A p value (≤ 0.05) was considered statistically significant.

2.9.h: Paired recordings

Paired recordings were performed by simultaneously patching a combination of two to four pyramidal neurons or PV+ inhibitory neurons. Patch pipettes contained the same internal solution used in the intrinsic excitability experiments and standard ACSF was used (as described above). The presynaptic neuron was held in current-clamp mode at -70 mV and postsynaptic neurons were held in voltage-clamp mode at -70 mV and +10 mV, for detection of excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic responses, respectively. Identification of inhibitory and excitatory cell types was determined by action potential firing characteristics or, in the case of PV+ neurons, expression of TdTomato fluorescence. Pairs were categorized as uni-directionally connected if there was a
consistent postsynaptic current response (averaged over 20 trials) to presynaptic neuron stimulation (three to four 5-10 ms pulses given at 30 Hz, each eliciting single action potentials). Chi-square tests were used to calculate statistical significance of connection probabilities. For quantification of 1st EPSC and 1st IPSC amplitudes, elicited responses of the first postsynaptic currents were averaged over 20 trials.
Chapter 3:

Dendritic morphology, spine density, and synaptic markers in Cntnap2 KO mice
3.1: Abstract

Alterations in brain anatomy, dendritic morphology, and spine density are common abnormalities in human autism brain, as well as in rodent models. Cntnap2 KO mouse recapitulate some of these neuroanatomical changes. The electrophysiology findings that I describe in Chapter 2 reveal that the total number of synaptic inputs, especially excitatory ones, is decreased in Cntnap2 KO mice. Here, in Chapter 3, I use immunohistochemical assays to investigate whether Cntnap2 KO mice display alterations in dendritic complexity or dendritic spine density. I find that, although there are no significant alterations in dendritic morphology of pyramidal neurons in L2/3 of mPFC, these neurons show a stark reduction in the density of both apical and basal dendritic spines. These findings are concurrent with the observed reduction in excitatory inputs and are a tangible substrate that evidences loss of functional synapses in the KO.

Furthermore, in search of a potential mechanism by which these phenotypes could arise, I take advantage of unpublished MudPIT data that was kindly shared with us by the laboratory of Elior Peles (The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovolt, Israel), which identified a list of putative Caspr2 interactor proteins. One of these, KCC2, was of notable interest given its implications in synaptic development and maturation and regulation by oxytocin, which we have previously shown is impaired in Cntnap2 KO mice. Using immunohistochemical methods, I also test the hypothesis that KCC2 localization in excitatory neurons is dysregulated in mPFC excitatory neurons of the Cntnap2 KO. I find that, in fact, KCC2 membrane localization is decreased in Cntnap2 KO mice, thus providing a potential mechanism by which loss of Cntnap2 could lead to
developmental pathologies and alterations in neuronal function and thus, consequent behavioral impairments related to ASD.
3.2: Background

Alterations in synaptic neurotransmission, both excitatory and inhibitory, have been a common theme in the study of ASDs (Nelson and Valakh, 2015). Furthermore, histological studies in human autism postmortem tissue have revealed that indeed ASD phenotypes reflect fundamental alterations, not only in cortical lamination patterns, but also in neuronal morphology and dendritic spine density (Hustler and Zhang, 2010; Casanova et al., 2014; Stoner et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2014). These findings have been corroborated in various mouse models of autism, representing multiple causes of ASD (Lazaro and Golshani, 2015; de la Torre-Ubieta et al., 2016). Nonetheless, there is currently no predominating phenotype in ASD and some discrepancies have been observed when comparing mouse models, brain regions, or rodents and humans. It is therefore crucial that we obtain a detailed understanding of how each genetic etiology is mechanistically contributing to ASD phenotypes, from physiology, to anatomy, to behavior; gathering sufficient evidence on multiple biological levels in comprehensive studies that dissect biological systems at multiple levels (systems biology approach) can perhaps help us further classify autism based on these specific traits and develop targeted therapeutics. Accordingly, understanding electrophysiological phenotypes and linking them to cellular anatomical and molecular changes can bring us a step closer into finding precise and successful treatments.

The electrophysiology findings that I describe in Chapter 2 reveal that the number of synaptic inputs, especially excitatory ones, is decreased in Cntnap2 KO mice. Moreover, I show that this decrease is likely not due to alterations in the probability of synaptic vesicle release or significant changes in AMPA/NMDA ratios. Thus, I
hypothesize that this observation is associated with decreased in dendritic complexity or spine density in pyramidal neurons. In fact, recent studies have indicated that Caspr2 likely plays a role in synapse formation and/or stabilization both in vivo (Figure 3.1; Gdalyahu et al., 2015) and in vitro (Anderson et al., 2012; Varea et al., 2015). In vitro knock-down of Cntnap2 in primary neuronal cultures leads to decreased dendritic complexity and alterations in spine morphology (Anderson et al., 2012). Thus, in this chapter I investigate the cellular anatomical and molecular consequences of loss of Cntnap2 in the mPFC of KO mice.

**Figure 3.1: Loss of Cntnap2 decreases spine density in vivo.** (A) Representative low magnification images of dendrites and spines in a WT (left) and a Cntnap2 KO mouse (right). (B) Spine density quantification per mouse (WT n = 8 mice, KO n = 10 WT mice). (C) Spine density analysis per cell (Cntnap2 WT n = 18 neurons, KO n = 23 neurons). Error bars indicate standard error (SEM). Statistical significance is indicated by * for p < 0.05 and ** for p < 0.01, Unpaired t test. (Gdalyahu et al., 2015)
Evidently, neurexins play a crucial role in the formation and stabilization of synapses; they contribute to localization of synaptic scaffolding proteins to the synapse and provide structural stability by binding to extracellular adhesion proteins (Südhof, 2008). Thus, identifying potential protein interactors can provide clues as to which molecular pathways and cellular processes are involved in producing some of the abnormal synaptic phenotypes. A preliminary screening of protein interactions using Multidimensional Protein Identification Technology (MudPIT) assays form rat brain tissue homogenates provided a preliminary list of putative Caspr2 protein interactors.

One of the genes in this list, SLC12A5, was of particular interest (Table 3.1).

**Table 3.1: List of putative Caspr2 interactor proteins from MudPIT experiments.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACADVL</th>
<th>CLTC</th>
<th>HSPD1</th>
<th>PLP1</th>
<th>SLC2A13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACAT1</td>
<td>CNP</td>
<td>IDH3B</td>
<td>RAB10</td>
<td>SLC3A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTB</td>
<td>DCD</td>
<td>IDH3G</td>
<td>RAB35</td>
<td>SLC6A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGPAT3</td>
<td>DHCR7</td>
<td>IMMT</td>
<td>RAB3B</td>
<td>SLC6A11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKAP5</td>
<td>DLG1</td>
<td>ITPR1</td>
<td>RAB3C</td>
<td>STXBP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARF5</td>
<td>DNM1</td>
<td>LAMCL1</td>
<td>RER1</td>
<td>SV2A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS1</td>
<td>DNM1L</td>
<td>MPP2</td>
<td>RPN1</td>
<td>TECR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP1A1</td>
<td>DNM3</td>
<td>MPP5</td>
<td>RTCD1</td>
<td>TMEM33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP1A2</td>
<td>EEF1A1</td>
<td>MPP6</td>
<td>RTN1</td>
<td>TMEM38A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP1A3</td>
<td>EEF1A2</td>
<td>MTHFD1</td>
<td>SFXN3</td>
<td>TOMM70A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP2A2</td>
<td>EHD3</td>
<td>NDUF51</td>
<td>SLC12A5</td>
<td>TRAP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP2B2</td>
<td>EPB41L3</td>
<td>NORBIN</td>
<td>SLC13A3</td>
<td>TUBA1C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP2B3</td>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>NSF</td>
<td>SLC16A1</td>
<td>TUBB2A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP2B4</td>
<td>GNA13</td>
<td>OPA1</td>
<td>SLC1A3</td>
<td>TUBB2C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP5A1</td>
<td>GNAO1</td>
<td>PCBP1</td>
<td>SLC1A4</td>
<td>TUFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP5B</td>
<td>GPRIN1</td>
<td>PCX</td>
<td>SLC25A12</td>
<td>UQRC2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP6V1H</td>
<td>HSP90AB1</td>
<td>PDE2A</td>
<td>SLC25A22</td>
<td>ZG16B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAND1</td>
<td>HSPA12A</td>
<td>PFKM</td>
<td>SLC25A25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDIPT</td>
<td>HSPA5</td>
<td>PFKP</td>
<td>SLC25A3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDS2</td>
<td>HSPA9</td>
<td>PIGS</td>
<td>SLC25A6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In collaboration with the laboratory of Elior Peles*
The *SLC12A5* gene, which is highlighted in Table 3.1, encodes for KCC2, a potassium-chloride co-transporter (Blaesse and Schmidt, 2015). This protein is of particular interest, as it has been shown to play a crucial role in the developmental regulation of both excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission (Tyzio et al., 2006). Importantly, KCC2 has been shown to be dysregulated in several models of ASD, including the Fragile X model and the valproic acid (VPA) model (Tyzio et al., 2014). Moreover, Tyzio et al., 2014 showed that maternal oxytocin regulates KCC2 expression at birth, which in turn regulates the shift of GABA from excitatory to inhibitory after birth. Moreover, KCC2 expression becomes dysregulated in epilepsy, whereby the amount of membrane-bound (active) protein decreases, relative to the intracellular amount (Kahle et al., 2015). Interestingly, recent studies found that KCC2 also regulates glutamatergic synapses and that disruptions in KCC2 expression and function hinders the formation of mature spines (Li et al., 2007; Gauvain et al., 2011; Blaesee and Schmidt, 2015).

Thus, considering the notion that *Cntnap2* KO mice develop seizures and have disruptions in the oxytocin system (Peñagarikano et al., 2011; Peñagarikano et al., 2015), point towards the possibility that dysregulated KCC2, a putative Caspr2 interactor, could implicated in some of the observed synaptic pathologies. Therefore, I here test whether membrane localization of KCC2 is decreased in Cntnap2 KO mice using immunohistochemistry. This preliminary evidence could point towards a molecular target that can be used as a potential therapeutic avenue.
3.3: Spine density and dendritic morphology in Cntnap2 KO mice

To assess dendritic morphology in Cntnap2 KO mice, cells were filled with biocytin during in vitro slice recording experiments, imaged, and 3D reconstructed (Methods). Surprisingly, sholl analysis did not reveal any significant changes in total dendritic length or total number of dendritic branches (Figure 4A-B). We then tested whether Cntnap2 KO neurons display a decrease in dendritic spine density. To do this, we crossed Cntnap2 heterozygous mice with Thy1-GFP mice, which express GFP in a subset of pyramidal neurons, including L2/3 of mPFC (Figure 3.2 B). Quantification of dendritic spines of Thy1-GFP-positive pyramidal neurons in L2/3 of mPFC revealed a significant decrease in the density of spines in both basal (26%; Welch’s t test, p<0.001) and apical (33%; Student’s t test, p<0.05) dendritic branches (Figure 3.2 C,D).

Figure 3.2: Decreased dendritic spine density in Cntnap2 KO mice. (A) Representative z-stack projection of biocytin-filled L2/3 neuron, visualized with a Streptavidin-Alexa 488
antibody. Scale bar indicates 100 um length. (B) Sholl analysis showing number of intersections (left; p = 0.0632, Two-way ANOVA) and length (right; p = 0.932, Two-way ANOVA) of dendrites is comparable between Cntnap2 WT (n = 8 cells) and KO (n = 9 cells). (C) Confocal image of L2/3 Thy1-GFP-positive pyramidal neuron in mPFC, demonstrating representative apical and basal dendrites. (D) Summary graphs showing quantification of average spine density in apical (WT 0.9 ± 0.1 spines/um, n = 21 dendrites; KO 0.6 ± 0.1 spines/um, n = 15 dendrites) and basal (WT 0.7 ± 0.0 spines/um, n = 34 dendrites; KO 0.5 ± n = 24 dendrites) branches. Statistical significance is represented by * for Unpaired t test p<0.05 and **** for Unpaired t test with Welch’s corrections p<0.0001.

3.4: KCC2 dysregulation as a putative mechanism for synaptic deficits

Understanding the proteome of Caspr2 could provide useful insights into the pathological mechanisms that implicate it in ASD. The potassium-chloride cotransporter KCC2 was found to be a putative Caspr2 interactor protein from MudPIT assays (unpublished data, Table 3.1). KCC2 dysregulation and cellular mislocalization has been implicated in epilepsy, synaptic disruptions, and autism (Blaesse and Schmidt, 2015). Using immunohistochemistry and confocal imaging, I labeled and quantified KCC2 protein in Cntnap2 KO and WT mice to test whether in fact this protein was dysregulated in our autism model. My results show that KCC2 is downregulated in Cntnap2 KO mouse mPFC tissue, relative to WT controls (Figure 3.3, Table 3.2). These findings suggest that disrupted Caspr2-KCC2 interactions might underlie some of the synaptic phenotypes observed in Cntnap2 KO mice.
**Figure 3.3: KCC2 protein is decreased in Cntnap2 KO mice.** (A, B) Localization of KCC2 immunoreactivity in the mPFC region of control and Cntnap2 KO mice. (A1, B1) High magnification (63x) confocal images show KCC2 is strongly expressed in both genotypes. Zoomed images depicting cells in control (A2) and KO (B2) neurons. Labeling is concentrated near the cell membrane (arrows) and the cytoplasm is almost devoid of labeling in both genotypes. The line drawn between points “a” (membrane) and “b” (cytoplasm) represent area probed for fluorescence intensity values. Note that both membrane and cytoplasmic labeling are slightly lower in the KO. (C) Graph representing the distribution and quantification of the intensity of fluorescence in mPFC cells (n = 2 mice per genotype, n = 20 cells per animal). Table 3.2 shows intensity and p-values (Student’s unpaired T-test) depicted per distance between “a” and “b” in graph. Data presented as mean ± S.E.M. Significance of p<0.05 indicated by *. 
Table 3.2: Summary of KCC2 quantification in Cntnap2 KO mice and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance (um)</th>
<th>WT Mean ± SEM</th>
<th>KO Mean ± SEM</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.47 ± 2.58</td>
<td>25.79 ± 2.42</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>31.88 ± 2.39</td>
<td>27.37 ± 2.70</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>31.02 ± 2.70</td>
<td>25.66 ± 2.86</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>26.95 ± 2.33</td>
<td>20.31 ± 2.33</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>24.05 ± 2.62</td>
<td>15.70 ± 1.77</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>19.20 ± 2.09</td>
<td>10.25 ± 1.54</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>14.13 ± 1.77</td>
<td>7.58 ± 1.28</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>11.07 ± 1.63</td>
<td>6.71 ± 1.38</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>7.88 ± 0.98</td>
<td>3.74 ± 1.01</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>5.95 ± 0.96</td>
<td>3.32 ± 0.69</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>5.23 ± 1.02</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.72</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>5.33 ± 0.98</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.62</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>4.47 ± 0.80</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.63</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.44 ± 0.79</td>
<td>2.64 ± 0.96</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.79</td>
<td>3.65 ± 0.90</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.61</td>
<td>3.73 ± 0.85</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.62</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.85</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.43</td>
<td>3.36 ± 0.78</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.49</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.87</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.45</td>
<td>2.43 ± 0.62</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.47</td>
<td>2.69 ± 0.70</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.37</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.45</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.45</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.64</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.14</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.50</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.18</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.60</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.5: Discussion

The anatomical results described in this chapter point towards a preferential depletion of excitatory synapses. These findings are consistent with the observed decrease in Pyr mEPSCs and are in line with our recent work, in which we found decreased density and stability of dendritic spines in L5 Pyr neurons of somatosensory cortex in the KO (Gdalyahu et al., 2015). This is in agreement with the notion that Caspr2 plays an important role in the formation and/or stabilization of synapses, much like other neurexins (Südhof et al., 2008). Such phenotypes have been observed in other models of ASD and associated syndromes and are therefore not unique to Cntnap2 (Bear et al., 2004; Dani et al., 2005; Arons et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2014). Several other models based on ASD risk genes show phenotypes preferentially involving inhibition rather than excitatory neurotransmission (Wallace et al., 2012; Rothwell et al., 2014; Gogolla et al., 2014; Karayannis et al., 2014; Jurgensen and Castillo, 2015). This suggests that perhaps we can delineate sub-clusters within ASD models (Luongo et al., 2016) that will inform our understanding the contributions of genetic factors to the development of ASD. Finding convergence will facilitate the creation of useful therapeutic interventions and approaches, perhaps even preventative mechanisms (Geschwind 2008; Berg and Geschwind, 2012; Mehta and Golshani, 2013).

Loss of Cntnap2 thus results in decreased excitatory inputs that are concurrent with decreased dendritic spine density in pyramidal neurons. This strengthens the growing notion that Caspr2 has a role at the synapse and is important for synapse formation, maturation or stabilization. Furthermore, KCC2, a potassium-chloride cotransporter and putative Caspr2 interactor, is mislocalized or decreased in Cntnap2 KO mice. This provides
a promising molecular target for therapeutic interventions for ASDs. Additional work should be done in order to validate these findings and determine whether drugs that target this pathway in fact rescue some of the behavioral and physiological pathologies in the Cntnap2 KO.

3.6: Methods

3.6.a: Assessment of dendritic morphology

For assessment of dendritic morphology and complexity, cells were during electrophysiological recordings via passive diffusion of internal pipette solution containing 0.2% biocytin. After recording for at least 10 min, slices were transferred to a 4% PFA solution for overnight fixation, washed for 10 min (x3) in 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS), blocked with 10% normal goat serum (NGS) containing 0.3% Triton-X in 0.1 M PBS for 1.5 hrs, and incubated overnight with an Alexa 555 or Alexa 488-conjugated Streptavidin antibody (1:500, Invitrogen) in 0.1M PBS. Sections were finally washed 3x 10 min in 0.1M PBS and mounted on slides using DAPI Fluoromount-G (Invitrogen) for visualization. We assessed dendritic complexity of biocytin-filled cells by imaging at 20x magnification in an LSM520 confocal microscope. Z-stacks of optical sections (1 um) were compiled and images were processed in Neurolucida 10 (MFB Biosciences) for Sholl analysis.

3.6.b: Assessment of dendritic spine density

For quantification of spine density, Cntnap2 WT and KO mice were crossed with a Thy1-GFP mouse line, which sparsely labels pyramidal neurons, including their
dendritic projections and spines. Mice were perfused intracardially with 25 mL 0.1 M PBS, followed by 25 mL of 4% PFA in 0.1 M PBS (at 2 mL/min). The brains were dissected and fixed for at least 24 hrs in the same solution. Brains were then sectioned at a thickness of 100 um, using a Leica vibratome. Sections containing the mPFC were mounted in slides using DAPI Fluoromount-G media. Apical and basal dendrites of GFP-expressing L2/3 mPFC neurons were imaged at high resolution using a 63x oil magnification objective in an LSM-780 confocal microscope. Optical sections of 0.32 um were acquired and maximum intensity projections of dendritic arbors were created in ImageJ. Dendritic segments were chosen using consistent criteria and spines were manually counted. Dendritic density was calculated by dividing the total number of spines over a given length of dendrite (spines/um). Student’s t test was used for statistical comparison between the two groups.

3.6.c: Quantification of KCC2 protein

Adult (>P30)Cntnap2 WT and KO mice were perfused intracardially with 4% paraformaldehyde after deep anesthesia with Pentobarbitol. The brains were cryprotected in sucrose, embedded and frozen in OCT compound and serially cut with a Cryostat (thickness 50 μ m). Selected sections containing the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) from control and KO mice were processed, in parallel, for immunohistochemistry under identical conditions. First, sections were washed in Washing Buffer (1X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS), 0.1% Triton-X 100), followed by permeabilization in Permeabilization Buffer (1X PBS, 0.3% Triton-X 100). Sections were then incubated for 1 h 30 min at room temperature in Blocking Buffer (10% normal goat serum (NGS) in Washing Buffer). This was followed
by an overnight incubation at 4°C with the rabbit anti-KCC2 antibody (dilution 1:400 in Blocking Buffer; Millipore). The next day, sections were washed in Washing Buffer and incubated with a fluorescent-labeled secondary antibody (1:1000; Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit, Invitrogen) for 1 h 30 min at room temperature, protected from light. The sections were washed again with Washing Buffer at room temperature and sections were mounted on slides using DAPI-Fluoromount G and stored at 4°C until further processing.

Adult (>P30) Cntnap2 WT and KO mice were perfused intracardially with 4% paraformaldehyde after deep anesthesia with Pentobarbitol. The brains were cryoprotected in sucrose, embedded and frozen in OCT compound and serially cut with a Cryostat (thickness 50 μm). Selected sections containing the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) from control and KO mice were processed, in parallel, for immunohistochemistry under identical conditions. First, sections were washed in Washing Buffer (1X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS), 0.1% Triton-X 100), followed by permeabilization in Permeabilization Buffer (1X PBS, 0.3% Triton-X 100). Sections were then incubated for 1 h 30 min at room temperature in Blocking Buffer (10% normal goat serum (NGS) in Washing Buffer). This was followed by an overnight incubation at 4°C with the rabbit anti-KCC2 antibody (dilution 1:400 in Blocking Buffer; Millipore). The next day, sections were washed in Washing Buffer and incubated with a fluorescent-labeled secondary antibody (1:1000; Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit, Invitrogen) for 1 h 30 min at room temperature, protected from light. The sections were washed again with Washing Buffer at room temperature and sections were mounted on slides using DAPI-Fluoromount G and stored at 4°C until further processing.

Images were acquired with a Zeiss LSM-780 laser-scanning confocal microscope. Four sections per mouse for each genotype (KO and WT) were selected for imaging using
an oil-immersed 63x objective (1.4 NA). Images were obtained using identical settings of objective lens, objective aperture, laser power and photomultiplier gain/offset, from areas of fixed size in L2/3 of the mPFC (boundaries of the different cortical layers determined by counterstaining with DAPI).

Quantification of KCC2 intensity was performed blind using ImageJ software (NIH). Regions of Interest (ROIs) were selected for membrane or cytosolic regions and fluorescence intensity was measured for each. Then, Plot Profile values were analyzed by normalizing the fluorescence intensity to the highest intensity of the control condition and calculating the mean for each condition represented on the two curves. Fluorescence intensity values (and distance along neuronal soma) for each cell of both groups (WT and KO) was uploaded into GraphPad Prism Statistical Analysis Software. Mean fluorescence intensities were calculated per group. Differences between WT and KO were then assessed for statistical significance using an unpaired Student’s t-test.
Chapter 4:

Network activity changes in the mPFC of Cntnap2 KO mice

and

Development of a novel method

for assessment of brain network activity and dynamics during social interactions
4.1: Abstract

Here, I use *in vivo* multichannel nanosilicon probes to record local field potentials (LFP) in mPFC. I find a notable reduction in 12-100 Hz local field potential (LFP) oscillations *in vivo*, which likely reflects an overall decrease in excitatory and inhibitory drive and is concurrent with my findings *in vitro*. These results provide initial mechanistic insights into how loss of *Cntnap2* alters mPFC microcircuit connectivity and function. Furthermore, I develop a novel method that provides a way to detect changes in brain network activity and dynamics in head-fixed mice, during social interactions. This method will be invaluable for increasing our understanding of how brain activity is modulated in a social context or in response to a social stimulus, both in autism mouse models and controls. Furthermore, it is a readily accessible method to for testing the effect of potential therapeutics and their modulation of brain activity biomarkers.
4.2: Background

Functional studies have shown that children with autism have alterations in gamma synchrony (30-90 Hz), which normally contribute to states that involve increased attention and alertness (Wilson et al., 2007; Gandal et al., 2010). *Cntnap2* KO mice showed decreased synchronization at the slow timescales assayed by calcium imaging (~4 Hz) in somatosensory cortex, as well as a decrease in the total number of GABAergic interneurons (Peñagarikano et al., 2011). This phenotype, combined with alterations in neuronal migration, a reduction in the total number of inhibitory neurons, and a decrease in excitatory and inhibitory cortical inputs in vitro, is bound to produce alterations in the normal function of local neuronal networks. Understanding how changes in microcircuit connectivity affects brain network dynamics is crucial for revealing the functional consequences of autism. Importantly, this allows for the identification of ASD biomarkers that can easily be used to test the effect of drug treatments or therapeutic interventions (Peñagarikano, 2015; de la Torre-Ubieta et al., 2016).

Therefore, in this chapter I determine whether *Cntnap2* KO mice show a decrease in gamma power at baseline during wakefulness. In order to further expand on these findings, I develop a novel task that will allow for the assessment of brain synchronization changes in a social behavioral context. These experiments hold great promise, as gamma oscillation deficits could be used as a biomarker that can be directly tested for gauging therapeutic treatments that can be translated for human use.
4.3: *In vivo* mPFC network activity

The *in vitro* synaptic pathologies observed in *Cntnap2* KO mice are likely to have significant consequences *in vivo*. Here, I used multi-channel nanosilicon microprobes to record in vivo network activity in the mPFC of *Cntnap2* WT and KO mice (Figure 4.1). This approach allowed for recording of local field potentials (LFPs) in head-fixed, awake-behaving mice. Power spectrum analyses revealed a substantial reduction in LFP power across the entire frequency spectrum in *Cntnap2* KO mice. Such disruptions are indicative of evident perturbations in the ability of the mPFC neuronal network to entrain in synchronous activity and could underlie some of the behavioral impairments observed in our autism mouse model.

Figure 4.1: *In vivo* brain network activity in *Cntnap2* KO mice and controls. (A) Representative image of multichannel nanosilicon probe placement in mPFC. DiI (red) was used to verify placement in prelimbic (PL) prefrontal cortex. Brain tissue was counterstained with DAPI (Blue). (B) Representative traces of raw (unfiltered) LFP data, and data filtered at different LFP frequency cutoffs (theta 5-12 Hz, beta 15-30 Hz, gamma 30-80 Hz) for WT (blue) and KO (red). (C) Spectrogram of average LFP power along a broad frequency spectrum ranging from 0-200 Hz (WT, blue; KO, red). Inset
demonstrates average power (mean ± SEM) for each of the indicated spectra for WT (theta 0.72 ± 0.01, beta 0.69 ± 0.01, gamma 0.94 ± 0.01; n = 4 mice) and KO (theta 0.69 ± 0.01, beta 0.64 ± 0.01, gamma 0.92 ± 0.01; n = 5 mice). Statistical significance is indicated by ** for p<0.005 and *** for p<0.0005 for Mann Whitney U-test, and * for p<0.05, Unpaired t test.

4.4: A novel social behavior paradigm for in vivo electrophysiology in head-fixed, awake-behaving mice.

The observed in vitro and in vivo physiological pathologies observed in Cntnap2 KO mice are likely to be implicated in the manifestation of autism-related behavioral deficits, such as social impairments. Moreover, understanding the relationship between disrupted brain network activity during social interactions can be invaluable when gauging the effect of potential therapeutic interventions. Thus, implementation of in vivo electrophysiology in awake-behaving mice is certainly a promising avenue for translational research.

Multichannel nanosilicon probes are an incredible tool that allow for high through-put measurement of brain network activity in vivo (see section 4.3). Nonetheless, these electrodes are limited by the fact that they require experimental mice to be head-fixed for electrophysiological recordings, which further limit our ability to assess brain network dynamics during behavior, especially during social interactions. In order to get around this issue, I designed an experimental paradigm that allows the experimental mouse to come in contact with another mouse, while still head-fixed (Figure 4.2). This new tool consists of a circular platform that has two barred cups on either side. The
platform has a Matlab-driven motor, which rotates the platform and presents either cup at any given interval. In this way, one of the cups can be filled with a live mouse, that can be presented as a social stimulus. The dimensions are such that, once the stimulus mouse is presented, both rodents can come in close contact and interact through sniffing or whisker-touching). Both experimental and stimulus mice can be easily and individually habituated to this context. Preliminary testing of this paradigm has demonstrated feasibility of this approach, which is likely to yield promising information with regards to brain network activity dynamics during these types of social interactions.

Figure 4.2. Schematic of novel social behavior paradigm for head-fixed mice. See methods section.
4.4: Discussion

Here, I observe a decrease in the total band power of LFPs in Cntnap2 WT and KO mice, which is likely a direct effect of the overall decrease in synaptic inputs within mPFC. The ability of both inhibitory and excitatory cells to fire in a temporally-precise manner is crucial for processing and integration of information, both at the local and long-range circuit level (Chance et al., 2002; Buzsáki and Watson, 2012). Failure to do so often affects psychophysical representations of sensory information and can lead to some of the behavioral disturbances associated with both neurological and psychiatric disorders (Buzsáki and Watson, 2012). Social impairments associated with autism, for example, have often been connected to disturbances in excitatory/inhibitory balance, especially in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) (Nelson and Valakh, 2015). These alterations in E/I ratio can emerge in many ways, including disturbances in tonic GABAergic and glutamatergic neurotransmission, changes in microcircuit connectivity and neural weights, or disruptions in the firing patterns or excitability of excitatory and inhibitory cells (Gogolla et al., 2009; Yizhar et al., 2011; Berg and Geschwind, 2012; D’amour and Froemke, 2015; Nelson and Valakh, 2015). In the future, assessment of behavioral repertoires (such as running, non-running epochs, or grooming periods), should be assessed in order to further correlate brain activity patterns with more specific behavioral states. Moreover, as the magnitude of the observed differences in LFP power was not particularly large (less than 10%), it will be important to replicate these studies and further validate these findings.

From these experiments, I conclude that Cntnap2 plays an important role in the establishment and function of mPFC microcircuits and that loss of this protein results in
decreased excitatory drive and reduced power of synchronous oscillatory activity. These findings shed light on how functional microcircuit alterations could underlie some of the deficits associated with ASD and provide a starting point for future investigation and interrogation of its direct association to behavioral pathologies and therapy.

4.5: Methods

4.5.a: Surgery, behavioral habituation, and in vivo electrophysiology

Adult male and female Cntnap2 mutant and wild-type mice (2-5 months old) underwent an initial surgery for implantation of a stainless steel head restraint bar on their skull in preparation for in vivo electrophysiological recordings. All surgical procedures were performed under isofluorane anesthesia (3–5% induction, 1.5% maintenance) in a stereotaxic apparatus. Mouse body temperature was monitored and kept at 37°C during surgery using a Harvard Apparatus feedback-controlled heating pad and were administered an intracutaneous injection of carprofen (5 mg/kg of body weight) for systemic analgesia. Mice were allowed to recover for 5 days, during which they were given antibiotic treatment (amoxicillin, 0.25 mg/mL in drinking water). After recovery period, mice were habituated for at least three days per each of the following stages: human handling (5 min) headbar attachment (10 min), and head fixation on a spherical treadmill (10 min). The treadmill consisted of an 8-inch Styrofoam ball, tethered with a metal rod which trespassed it through the middle, resting a hollow Styrofoam half-sphere (Graham Sweet). Compressed air was blown, allowing the ball to float and the mouse to spin the ball and run in place and on top of it. After habituation, and one day prior to electrophysiological recordings, the mouse underwent a second surgery (as described above), this time with the objective of drilling a
circular craniotomy (diameter 2 mm) above the medial prefrontal cortex on the right hemisphere (coordinates). The dura above the exposed brain area was carefully removed in order to facilitate electrode insertion. The exposed skull and brain were covered and sealed with a silicone elastomer sealant (Kwik-Cast, WPI). An additional craniotomy was performed over the posterior cerebellum for placement of a silver chloride electrical reference wire, which was glued into place with dental cement. The mouse was allowed to recover overnight. If necessary, mice were given a dose of carprofen on day of recording, to ameliorate any pain associated with the craniotomy surgery.

On the day of the recording, the mouse was head-fixed by attaching the headbar to a post, as had been done during habituation, and placed on the spherical treadmill. The quickseal was removed and cortex buffer (135 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 5 mM HEPES, 1.8 mM CaCl$_2$ and 1 mM MgCl$_2$) was immediately placed on top of the craniotomy in order to keep the exposed brain moist. The mouse skull was then stereotaxically aligned and the silicon microprobe which previously had been previously coated with a fluorescent dye (DiI, Invitrogen), was slowly stereotaxically lowered using a micromanipulator into the mPFC (relative to bregma: anterior 1.8 mm, lateral 0.5 mm, ventral 2.5 mm). This process was monitored using a surgical microscope (Zeiss OPMI pico). The microprobes contained a total of 128 electrode recording sites that were densely distributed (hexagonal array geometry with 25 μm vertical spacing and 16-20 μm horizontal spacing) on two prongs (placed 0.4 μm apart), spanning L2/3 and L5 of the prelimbic (PL) and infralimbic (IL) medial prefrontal cortex. Once inserted, the probe was allowed to settle among the brain tissue for 1 hr. Recording of brain network activity was done for a total duration of 1 hr after that. After the recording session, mice were anaesthetized with isofluorane and
sacrificed. The brain was extracted, sectioned (100 um) on a vibratome (Leica) and mounted on slides with DAPI Fluoromount-G (vendor) mounting media. Confocal tiled images were taken to verify microprobe location (Zeiss LSM 800). Anatomical landmarks were used to determine anterior-posterior coordinates relative to bregma. Each of the 128 recording sites was then assigned a coordinate in 3D Cartesian space and classified as belonging to prelimbic (PL) or infralimbic (IL) prefrontal cortex (Allen Brain Atlas). Local field potential power was subsequently quantified for each channel and averaged over a period of 10 min after the recording. Data was analyzed using custom-written Matlab code.

4.5.b: A novel method for social stimulus presentation in head-fixed mice during in vivo electrophysiological recordings

A motorized rotating table was built for the presentation of a mouse inside a cup or an empty cup, very much like a modified “passive” three-chamber social interaction test (Silverman et al., 2010). This allows for the presentation of either stimulus in a precisely time-locked manner, that can be synchronized with electrophysiological data. The installed motor is precisely started and locked to stop for 30 sec every quarter rotation and is driven by custom-written code in Matlab. A sensor, which consists of four bolts hitting a switch, gets triggered at each stimulus presentation, for each one of the quarter rotations, consisting of two “no-stimulus” conditions, empty cup, and cup with mouse inside. As the rotating table reaches each one of the stimulus points, the triggers have been wired to send an electrical signal, indicating when the stimulus has arrived at any given position. This is sent to the data acquisition (DAQ) board and recorded in Matlab, such that it can be synchronized with electrophysiological recording of brain activity in the experimental
mouse. This signal also serves to trigger either a halt in the rotation, or a rotation “go” signal. The two behavior cups are attached to either side for the stimuli, and contain customized barriers that prevent the animal from seeing which stimulus is in the cups before the experience. The rotating platform is placed onto a breadboard that readily attaches to the electrophysiology air table for ease of mounting and dismounting at any given time or for flexibility of use within the experimental setup.
Chapter 5:

Conclusions, limitations, and future directions
Here, I have shown that loss of Cntnap2 leads to decreased excitatory and, to a lesser degree, inhibitory synaptic inputs in L2/3 pyramidal neurons of the mPFC, concurrent with an overall reduction in the power of neuronal network oscillations in vivo. From these findings, I conclude that (1) Caspr2 likely plays a role in the formation and stabilization of excitatory synapses, that (2) this reduction in cortical synapses results in weaker cortical input maps; and that (3) this weakened mPFC microcircuit is associated with a decrease in LFP power in vivo. At a broader scale, I demonstrate how alterations in a single autism-associated gene, Cntnap2, can disrupt cortical synapse number, decrease microcircuit connectivity and impair the ability of neuronal populations to engage in synchronous network activity.

Other potentially relevant mechanisms underlying our observations may be secondary to or occurring downstream of disruptions in Cntnap2. Further research is needed in order to assess the interplay between defined genetic etiologies and homeostatic responses of glia at specific developmental time points in the manifestation of ASD. It is possible, for example, that some of the aberrant phenotypes observed in ASD derive from developmental abnormalities or intrinsic changes that affect neuron-glia interactions. The opposite could also be true, whereby disruptions in neuron-glia interactions could result in developmental abnormalities and intrinsic alterations in brain function. Thus, understanding these disruptions from a developmental perspective, such as by assessing changes during embryonic development and in early postnatal periods, could provide incredibly useful mechanistic information. As ASDs are developmental disorders, obtaining a clearer picture of what is occurring in early life periods can likely
yield some of the best options for therapeutic treatments and even provide the possibility for preventative measures.

We also observed a loss of excitatory synapses and cortical inputs in pyramidal neurons of L2/3 of mPFC that is associated with a decrease in LFP power in Cntnap2 KO mice. In addition to further validating these findings, it will be crucial to understand how a decrease in LFP power affects processing and encoding of relevant information, especially in a behavioral context. Moreover, understanding how discrete neuronal cell types partake in network brain activity changes and how this affects both local and global connections will be crucial for defining a biomarker that can then be used to gauge the effect of therapeutic interventions. However, this in vivo data is consistent with overall lower excitatory and inhibitory drive. In the KO, due to fewer functional excitatory connections. The next step will be to identify how this relates to patients and determine whether this decrease in LFP power is a viable biomarker.

Furthermore, it is important to keep in mind that the physiological alterations that were observed in Cntnap2 KO mice are representative of a single developmental time point, one at which neuronal circuit maturation is concluding. It will be therefore crucial to test the extent to which these changes manifest at earlier developmental time points. This is particularly important in the context of ASD, given that it is discretely defined as a developmental disorder. Hence, it is possible that assessing these differences early in development will reveal even more robust alterations. Researching and understanding these changes could then provide incredibly useful insights on the molecular and physiological phenomena that prime for alterations observed in young adult mice.
This work should be expanded with the incorporation of novel technologies to further assess how these local changes in mPFC affect long-range connectivity and functional communication with connected brain regions. The novel social task described here could be paired with electrophysiological techniques to simultaneously record the activity of hundreds of neurons and underlying gamma oscillatory activity during a socially-relevant task. With the use of state of the art and innovative techniques that range from in vitro and high throughput in vivo electrophysiology, to biochemistry and genetics, we will be able to dissect key information about how autistic-like social behaviors arise in our model. Furthermore, treating the mice with oxytocin and assessing how it modulates brain activity to rescue social behavior will take us one step closer to developing targeted therapeutic approaches for treating at least some of the core autism symptoms, not only for individuals with CNTNAP2 mutations, but also for individuals within a broader range of known and unknown autism etiologies.
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