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Impact of workplace smoking restrictions on smoking behaviour and attitudes toward quitting in Japan

EDITOR,—Smoke free workplaces have been found to reduce cigarette consumption among smokers and smoking prevalence. In countries with a high smoking prevalence such as Japan (men, 55%);3,4 a total ban is unusual but policies such as limiting smoking to designated smoking areas are common. However, the impact of such restrictions on smoking has been weak.5-7 Furthermore, evidence linking restrictive policies to smoker motivation to quit is limited.8,9 The present study therefore examined the relation between common workplace restrictions on smoking, and smoking behaviour and attitudes toward quitting in Japan. A health survey using self administered questionnaires was conducted among a random sample of employees of a municipal office in Japan.10 A response rate of 89% was obtained. The present study analysed data from 1040 male indoor workers subject to one of three policies: a workroom ban; a work area ban with a smoking area inside the workroom; and time limits on smoking and prohibition of smoking during meetings (minimum restrictions). Smoking status, cigarette consumption, and desire to change smoking were measured in this study. The proportion of smokers with a desire to quit did not differ according to the type of smoking restriction. Contrary to expectation, a lower proportion of smokers subject to a workroom ban wanted to reduce cigarette consumption and a higher proportion intended to maintain their current smoking status, compared with individuals subject to minimum restrictions. The present finding of reduced cigarette consumption among smokers subject to a workroom ban is consistent with the results of studies of comprehensive restrictions allowing smoking only in limited areas.10-12 A low prevalence of heavy smoking associated with a workroom ban is also consistent with a previous report.13 Furthermore, a workroom ban was associated with less likelihood of becoming irritated when unable to smoke. These findings support the hypothesis that a workroom ban reduces cigarette consumption and levels of addiction to smoking, and thus helps smokers to quit. In contrast, our study suggests that a work area ban with designated smoking areas inside the workroom, another common policy in Japanese offices, has little influence on smoking behaviour. Such an incomplete work area ban may not constitute a physical and psychological barrier against reducing smoking.

Some studies have indicated that smoking restrictions increase motivation to quit.10-13 Our study, however, indicated that desire to quit among continuing smokers did not increase as smoking restrictions became tighter; conversely, a workroom ban was associated with somewhat low motivation to change smoking status. Further study is required to confirm whether smoking restrictions enhance motivation to quit.

From a public health perspective, the favourable effects of workplace smoking restrictions on smoking behaviour should be stressed because, if many businesses in Japan adopt such a stringent smoking policy, smoking intensity or prevalence in Japan, currently the highest among developed countries,1 will be reduced at an accelerated rate.
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Table 1 Smoking behaviour characteristics and desire to change smoking habit according to type of workplace smoking restriction, adjusted for age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking status</th>
<th>Minimum restrictions</th>
<th>Work area ban</th>
<th>P Value*</th>
<th>Workroom ban</th>
<th>P Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td>n=578</td>
<td>n=152</td>
<td>n=310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit smoker</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoked</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>0.40†</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>0.23†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers</td>
<td>n=249</td>
<td>n=64</td>
<td>n=117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette consumption (cigarettes/day)</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>0.56‡</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-14</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35+</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>0.92§</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.01§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past quit attempt</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>0.70†</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritated when unable to smoke for 30 minutes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>0.68‡</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire to change</td>
<td>Yes, quite smoking</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, reduce consumption</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>0.42§</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>0.54§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to answer</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Compared with minimum restrictions.
†Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel χ² test.
‡Analysis of variance.
§Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel χ² test for trend.
*Individuals unable to answer were excluded.
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Making the cigar news

After a long period of decline, cigar consumption in the USA began to increase in 1994. Between 1993 and 1997, consumption of large cigars rose 68%. In 1994, there were over 125 000 new cigar smokers in the USA, and there appears to be a trend toward younger consumers (ages 18–24 years). The US cigar trend was propelled by print media advocacy, framing cigars as a trend and failing to cover health effects. In the USA, daily newspapers provided considerable coverage. Although media advocacy is an increasingly important part of tobacco control efforts, and studies have analysed tobacco...
Table 1  Sources of information for articles on cigar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of mentions</th>
<th>Cigar industry*</th>
<th>Cigar smokers (individuals)</th>
<th>Health authorities†</th>
<th>Other media accounts</th>
<th>Survey results</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes the Cigar Association of America, cigar manufacturers, cigar merchant clubs, and individuals affiliated with the cigar industry.
†Includes volunteer health organisations, health industry.

coverage,13 we could locate no studies of journalists’ perspectives on tobacco. We asked how journalists came to write articles about cigars and what sources they used.

Between February 1999 and January 2000 we attempted to contact 23 journalists who wrote at least one cigar focused newspaper article appearing from 1995 to 1997 in one of five national newspapers (Los Angeles Times, New York Times, USA Today, Washington Post, and Wall Street Journal) or eight California newspapers (Daily News of Los Angeles, Fresno Bee, Investors’ Business Daily, Orange County Register, Press Enterprise, Sacramento Bee, San Francisco Chronicle, and San Diego Union-Tribune). Of these, 44 were no longer working at the newspapers and left no forwarding information, or did not return persistent calls, one had died, and 14 declined participation. We conducted semi-structured telephone interviews with 21 journalists who wrote cigar focused articles.

The mean number of articles written was 2.43 (range 1–6). Most journalists got their story idea from personal experience (14 mentions) or seeing a similar story elsewhere (10 mentions). The most often cited source of story information was the cigar industry (26 mentions), followed by cigar smokers (9 mentions). The cigar industry was mentioned as a source more than five times as often as health related sources (table 1).

Fifty seven per cent (12/79) of the journalists had received unsolicited information from cigar related groups/individuals, including Cigar Aficionado magazine (5 mentions) and the Cigar Association of America (4 mentions). This included press releases (9 mentions), and cigar events invitations (2 mentions). Thirty-eight per cent (8/21) of journalists had sought information from the Cigar Association.

Seventy-six per cent (16/21) of the journalists had ever smoked cigars; however, at the time of interview, only 31% (5/21) were current cigar smokers, and all smoked one cigar or less per week. Sixty two per cent (13/21) had used other tobacco products. Over 84% (16/19) rated smoking one cigar a day as “a little risky” or “very risky” to health. “I think . . . there was this unspoken understanding that ‘this is the ‘safe’ tobacco’, and the Cancer Society [US National Cancer Institute monograph] did a pretty good job of dispelling that,” commented one journalist.

Most said they thought the cigar trend was waning. One journalist said, “Coverage of the cigar trend has been uncertain . . . That’s how trends are . . . they go through a life cycle in the public consciousness which the media reflect.”

However, media not only reflect but shape social trends. This small study is not general-
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8 Kennedy GE, Bero LA. Print media coverage of research on passive smoking. Tobacco Control 1999;8:254–60.

We assessed the prevalence of smoking among these adult men who were older. This study shows that almost eight out of 10 minors in Mexico City and almost all in Ciudad Juárez, Chihuahua, reported smoking cigarettes directly from a vendor, despite the sale of tobacco products being prohibited by law. Most vendors did not ask the minors to identify themselves, even the few outlets with warning signs did not show a reduction in tobacco sales to minors. This shows a need to improve vendor compliance, particularly in the formal tobacco outlets, if a reduction in adolescent tobacco use and smoking initiation is to be achieved.
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Civil warriors


Long time tobacco control advocates and newcomers alike are likely to benefit from Dan Zegart’s book, Civil warriors: the legal siege on the tobacco industry. The tobacco industry’s assault on mankind is arguably the biggest story of corporate greed and wrongdoing of all time, yet the number of books on it is astonishingly few.

Zegart spent five years personally shadowing the key figures for this comprehensive legal saga. He employs his considerable skills as an investigative journalist to weave the page turning saga about how the tobacco industry was finally dragged, kicking and screaming, into a long overdue era of megalbuck settlements and stunning courtroom losses.

The story opens with a compelling scene: product liability attorney Ron Motley is sitting by his mother’s hospital bed, watching her endure a slow, suffocating death from cigarette induced heart and lung deterioration. As he looks down upon her emaciated body, enshrined in tubes and plastic and hooked up to a ventilator, he vows vengeance against the cigarette makers: “I’m going to get ’em, Mom. I swear, if it’s the last thing I’ll do, I’ll get ’em.” This somewhat melodramatic but gripping scene makes the broad and gripping scene makes the broad
do, I’ll get ‘em. This somewhat melodramatic but gripping scene makes the broad
do, I’ll get ‘em. This somewhat melodramatic but gripping scene makes the broad
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The publication of Zegart’s book comes on the heels of the largest punitive damage award ever levelled against the tobacco industry and a wave of anticorporate protests at World Trade Organization gatherings in Seattle and Washington, DC. Both events set the stage for a book about the start of Big Tobacco’s downfall to be very timely if not downright appealing. Yet what is missing from the book is the paradoxical fact that the much lauded, multibillion dollar Master Settlement Agreement to which Motley’s work led depends almost wholly on the continued sale of cigarettes to perpetuate it. Absurdly, Motley’s greatest achievement actually made it far less likely that makers of the product that killed his mother would be reined in any time soon. Mentioning this fact would have brought the book full circle, but would also have tarnished its main character just a little too much for good storytelling.

Of particular interest was the book’s description of David Hardy, of Shook, Hardy and Bacon, the industry’s law firm until Hardy’s death in 1976. Portrayed as a tough, scrappy mid-westerner who made good, Hardy was described as the architect of the industry’s long successful courtroom strategies. The influential relationship Hardy had over Gary Huber, a Harvard researcher who conducted research on behalf of the tobacco industry for many years, was key to providing the industry with the credibility it needed to carry out its deception. Among tobacco control advocates, Huber is both cheered as a whistleblower and reviled as a turncoat. For those with less knowledge about Huber’s overall part in the industry’s schemes, the book describes why.

While the story centres primarily on Motley, most tobacco control advocates undoubtedly realise that it wasn’t the efforts of just one person, but of many passionate and driven people, that brought the industry to the legal threshold where it finds itself today. To his credit, Zegart brings many of these other important characters into the story. Keeping track of all of them, and the role each played, however, can be somewhat of a challenge for the reader, especially those new to this topic.

Civil warriors is a particularly enjoyable read for those obsessed with tobacco control, but I wondered if it would hold as much appeal for those with less interest in the subject? To find out, I introduced the book to two relatives, my sister and brother-in-law (she a 50-ish high school teacher from New York and he a retired dentist who claims to hold stock in Philip Morris). Both declared the book fascinating and one they might buy for themselves, showing that it can indeed appeal to people who are not involved in tobacco control on a daily basis.
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