Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Recent Work

Title
UNITARY SYMMETRY AND NONLEPTONIC DECAYS

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3z1333jx

Author
Cabibbo, Nicola.

Publication Date
1963-11-11
UNITARY SYMMETRY AND NONLEPTONIC DECAYS

Berkeley, California
DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.
UNITARY SYMMETRY AND NONLEPTONIC DECAYS

Nicola Cabibbo

November 11, 1963
UNITARY SYMMETRY AND NONLEPTONIC DECAYS

Nicola Cabibbo

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

November 11, 1963

In a preceding paper the author has shown that the assumption of $SU_3$ invariance for strong interactions allows a general understanding of weak leptonic processes. In this letter we extend to nonleptonic processes the concepts presented in Ref. 1.

An interesting result of this extension is a qualitative understanding of the ratio between the rates of $K^0 \to 2\pi$ and $K^+ \to 2\pi$ without the introduction of $\Delta I = 3/2$ interactions of nonelectromagnetic origin.

The weak current responsible for leptonic processes is assumed to be a member of an octet \(^4\)

$$J_\mu = \cos \theta J_\mu^{(0)} + g_\mu^{(0)} + \sin \theta J_\mu^{(1)} + g_\mu^{(1)}. \quad (1)$$

In addition to the usual frame of reference, $F$, in the octet space, it is interesting to consider a new frame, $F'$. This frame is obtained from $F$ through a rotation $U$, belonging to $SU_3$, such that it transforms $J_\mu$ into the $\Delta S = 0, \Delta Q = 1$ member of the octet

$$U J_\mu U^{-1} = J_\mu^{0} + g_\mu^{0}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$U = \exp \left( 2i \theta \gamma^7 \right). \quad (3)$$
The basic members of the octet in the new frame are linear combinations of the old ones; in the case of pseudoscalar mesons, for example, we have

\[ \pi^i = \cos \theta \pi^0 + \sin \theta \, K^0 \]
\[ K^i = -\sin \theta \pi^0 + \cos \theta \, K^0 \]

\[ K^{i0} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \cos(2\theta) \left( \bar{K}^0 + K^0 \right) - (\bar{K}^0 - K^0) + \sin(2\theta) \left( \pi^0 - \beta \eta \right) \right\} \] (4)

\[ \eta^{i0} = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \pi^0 \left[ 3 + \cos(2\theta) \right] + \sqrt{3} \eta \left[ 1 - \cos(2\theta) \right] + \sqrt{2} \sin(2\theta) \left( K^0 + \bar{K}^0 \right) \right\} \]

and

\[ \eta^i = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \eta \left[ 1 + 3 \cos(2\theta) \right] + \sqrt{3} \pi^0 \left[ 1 - \cos(2\theta) \right] + (6)^{1/2} \sin(2\theta)(K^0 + \bar{K}^0) \right\} \]

In frame \( F' \), we have a strangeness quantum number, \( S' \), which is conserved in leptonic processes. If SU3 were exact (all baryons degenerate, and similarly for the other multiplets), \( F' \) would be the natural frame of reference—the one in which leptonic decays conserve strangeness. Apparently strangeness violation is more to be blamed on SU3 breaking than on weak interactions.

It seems desirable to extend this property to nonleptonic processes, and require also that

(a) nonleptonic processes conserve \( S' \), the strangeness in frame \( F' \).

We can therefore build the Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L} \) as strangeness-conserving in frame \( F' \), and obtain the Lagrangian for the physical processes by substitution (4). 5

How does the Lagrangian transform under SU3? A priori, any representation that appears in the decomposition of \( \delta \otimes \delta \otimes \delta \) will do, i.e., 1, 8, 10, 10, 27, 35, 35, 64. 6 Now the singlet does not accommodate any \( \delta S \neq 0 \), while
the higher representations contribute $\Delta S \geq 2$ and (or) $\Delta I \geq 3/2$ when we go from frame $F'$ to the physical frame $F$. Therefore, if we require
\[(b) \text{ no } \Delta S > 2 \text{ or } \Delta I > 3/2 \text{ in the physical frame } F,\]
we must conclude that
\[(c) \text{ the Lagrangian for nonleptonic processes behaves as a member of an 'octet'}.7\]
We have then two possibilities: in frame $F'$ in which, according to (a), $\mathcal{L}'$ conserves strangeness, it can behave either as the hypercharge $Y'$, or the third component of the isotopic spin, $I'_3$. For physical processes with $\Delta S = 1$, there is no difference between the two possibilities.

Hypotheses (a) and (c) have a very interesting implication on the $K \rightarrow 2\pi$ interaction, if combined with CP invariance. These combined conditions forbid the $2\pi$ decay of a neutral $K$. This could appear shocking, but is in fact extremely gratifying. In fact one of the more serious pieces of evidence against the validity of the $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule is the value of the ratio of the rates for $K^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$ and $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$
\[
R = \frac{(K^0 \rightarrow 2\pi)}{(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0)} \approx 700 \text{ (experimental)}. \tag{5}
\]
If $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$ (a $\Delta I = 3/2$ transition) is of electromagnetic origin, we expect it to be depressed by a larger factor, say $(137)^2$. However, if $K^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$ is forbidden by SU3, we expect it to be suppressed too, by some factor of the order of 10 to 20, and the experimental value of $R$ can be qualitatively understood without the introduction of $\Delta I = 3/2$ interactions of nonelectromagnetic origin.

The easiest way to see that $K^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$ is forbidden is to exploit hypothesis (a) and try to build a pseudoscalar, strangeness-conserving interaction among three pseudoscalar mesons in frame $F'$. We can build the following isoscalars
they all have \( CP = -1 \). So we cannot build a \( K \pi \pi \) interaction that transforms like \( Y' \) and does not violate \( CP \). Clearly, we also cannot build an interaction that transforms like \( I_3' \) (and therefore belongs to an octet) and conserves \( CP \), because this should have a unitary partner that transforms like \( Y' \).

Since the experimental rate for \( K^0 \rightarrow 2\pi \) is quite high, it might seem difficult to conceive of this mode as suppressed. In fact it is very difficult to say what this rate should be. If we introduce a phenomenological coupling

\[
M^3 \mathcal{G} K^0 \ 3 (\pi \pi),
\]

(7)

where \( \mathcal{G} \) is the Fermi coupling constant and \( M \), an adjustable mass parameter. The rate depends on the sixth power of \( M \). This makes any prediction completely unreliable. However, if we try to extract \( M \) from the experimental value for the lifetime of \( K^0 \), we get \( M \sim 1.5 \ m_\pi \) which is a rather low value.

As has been discussed by Lee, not much can be said on hyperon decays without resorting to further restrictions, such as \( R \) invariance. However, we feel that the success of the theory in explaining, at least qualitatively, the \( (K^0 \rightarrow 2\pi)(K^+ \rightarrow 2\pi) \) puzzle is very encouraging.
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4. We remember that the superscripts in Eq. (1) refer to the change of strangeness. In the usual notation (that of Ref. 3.) we have
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5. We speak of Lagrangians in a phenomenological way, and do not enter the question of possible intermediate bosons.
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