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MICRO-MECHANICAL APPROACH TO STRESS CORROSION CRACKING IN TITANIUM ALLOYS

Yosef Katz

Inorganic Materials Research Division, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Department of Materials Science and Engineering of the College of Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California

ABSTRACT

SCC in Ti-aqueous 3-1/2% NaCl systems were investigated on pre-cracked specimens under constant load. Materials tested were commercially pure Ti-75A, alpha-beta Ti-8Al-1Mo-1V and Ti-5Al-2.5Sn, and all beta Ti-13V-11Cr-3Al alloys. Contoured specimens, with stress intensity (K) independent of crack length, allowed a determination of the crack propagation rate dependence on K. Such a relationship was utilized for evaluating the total time to failure in a single-edge notch specimen since K=f(c) was available.

An acoustic emission technique was designed for investigating the micro-mechanical nature of SCC. Acoustic emission results as well as fractographic evidence show the process to be discontinuous slow crack growth. Correlation between stress wave emission activity and crack velocity was shown with a higher velocity being associated with more frequent activity and larger wave amplitudes. Transgranular cleavage dominated the fracture surface under active environmental conditions. In the Ti-13-1j-3 the cleavage was on the [100] planes.

Tests performed at different temperature show SCC to be a thermally activated process. The apparent activation energy was about 9.5 kcal/mole for the Ti-75A, 4.5 kcal/mole for the Ti-5-2.5 and ranged from 2.3 to 3.5 kcal/mole for the Ti-8-1-1. In the latter the apparent activation
energy was affected by the applied stress intensity factor. Consequently a thermally activated triggering mechanism, combined with a mechanically controlled crack jump was proposed. The initiation and reinitiation of the crack step was attributed to a diffusion controlled process while the crack step was attributed to a mechanical driving force. Introducing a mechanical term in the rate equation both the low apparent activation energy observed as well as the high crack velocity could be explained. Hydrogen embrittlement was hypothesized to be a possible mechanism for the thermally activated process.
I. INTRODUCTION

Stress corrosion cracking (SCC) is a complex phenomenon involving a combination of electrochemical as well as mechanical processes (i.e. two major interacting influences). SCC refers to the cooperative influence of a chemically active environment and some stress system (static, dynamic, or residual) on the mechanical properties of a material, and specifically on the materials resistance to crack initiation and propagation. SCC is generally characterized by a relatively slow rate propagation which can be reduced or stopped by cathodic polarization. In contrast, hydrogen embrittlement is enhanced by cathodic polarization because of the increased production of hydrogen which enters and embrittles the metal.

It is obvious from information gathered to date that no single mechanism can account for all of the phenomena observed. Many variables, both macroscopic and microscopic, affect SCC.

The present work is oriented toward the mechanical aspects of SCC. It takes into consideration the importance of a well defined stress system, an essential requirement for a systematic investigation of a SCC phenomenon. Linear fracture mechanics concepts\textsuperscript{1,2} were used, which provided a quantitative background for the local state of stress, quantitative estimates of plastic zone size, geometry effects, catastrophic crack instability, and to a lesser extent slow crack growth. The latter is a form of steady state behavior in which the critical stress intensity factor $K_{\text{Crit}}$ (or the elastic strain energy release rate $G_{\text{Crit}}$) is alternately slightly greater than slightly less than the materials resistance to crack propagation. Fatigue pre-cracked notch specimens were used to enhance SCC.
The parameter considered was the stress intensity factor defined in the neighborhood of a crack by:

\[ \sigma_{ij} = \frac{K}{(2\pi r)^{1/2}} f_{ij}(\theta) \]  

where \( r, \theta \) are polar coordinates centered at the crack tip, \( f_{ij}(\theta) \) is dependent upon the particular stress component but not on the specimen geometry or applied load. \( K \) is called the stress intensity factor which is the same for all stress components.

The applied stress intensity factor was called \( K_i \) and was used to define the stress state in the region around the crack tip when SCC is involved. Two particular limits for the stress intensity factor should be defined. First \( K_{ISCC} \) which is the upper limit of the applied stress intensity factor that will not cause the material to fail in a finite time. Secondly \( K_{Crit} \) which is the lower limit of the stress intensity factor which will cause the material to fail in the absence of an active chemical environment. \( K_{Crit} \) therefore is dependent on specimen geometry, which might apply also to \( K_{ISCC} \) in case of a mechanism controlled by the plastic zone developed at the crack tip.

The fracture mechanics approach is useful for determining the relationships between "time to failure" or crack growth rate and the stress intensity factor. This approach was used by McEvily and Bond\(^3\) for cold rolled brass, by Weber and McEvily for Al alloys,\(^4\) and by Johnson and Willner\(^5\) for H-11 steels.

Since plastic strain is involved in the nucleation of cracks, \( K_i \) can be considered to be a parameter related to the plastic behavior at the crack tip.

The materials selected for investigation were commercial pure Ti and three Ti-alloys. The work on Ti alloys dates back to the early
1960s. The phenomenon of SCC was observed in commercially pure Ti,\textsuperscript{6} but not in an aqueous environment. Within the past three years it has become evident that SCC in titanium alloys is a potentially serious problem. The environment used in the recent work was aqueous (3-1/2\% NaCl) which, as shown by Brown,\textsuperscript{7} can cause SCC in Ti-alloys.

The role of microstructure can be studied with titanium alloys. The important phases include 100\% \(\alpha\), \(\alpha + \beta\), \(\alpha + \) less \(\beta\) and 100\% \(\beta\). The microstructural investigation is interesting because of the possibility of evaluating the relative susceptibilities of the two phases to SCC and the results of the investigation have the potential for being useful in developing alloys that are less susceptible to SCC.

The experimental program did not include electrochemical studies; information of this type was taken from the literature.\textsuperscript{8-10} Data on time to failure and on crack propagation rates were obtained with edge notch specimens and crack line-loaded edge crack specimens of the types shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Information has been accumulated on the fracture characteristic and modes. Examinations were made by means of light microscopy, electron microscopy, and scanning electron microscopy. The experiments also included determination of the temperature dependence of crack growth rates and measurements of apparent activation energies. A technique was devised to differentiate between the electrochemical mechanism and the brittle-crack stage mechanism of crack growth. It involved the use of stress wave emission measurements. The experimental results obtained in this investigation were evaluated in terms of the various mechanisms proposed by Logan,\textsuperscript{10} West,\textsuperscript{11} Uhlig,\textsuperscript{12} and Pugh\textsuperscript{13} as well as others.\textsuperscript{3,14,15}
II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Material

The work was carried out on Ti-systems comprising four different commercial materials as described below.

1. Ti-75A (unallloyed), annealed at 700°C for 2 hr - AC
2. Ti-8Al-1Mo-1V, annealed at 780°C for 8 hr - FC
3. Ti-5Al-2.5Sn, annealed at 760°C for 2 hr - AC
4. Ti-13V-11Cr-3Al, annealed for 10 min at 780°C - AC

All materials were in sheet form; they were 0.125 in. thick. Alloys 2 and 3 contained α mixed with β phase. The chemical compositions are given in Table I. Pure elements are not generally considered to be susceptible to SCC. However, commercially pure Ti could be because of impurity elements like oxygen. The Ti-75A alloy served as a basis for comparison with the two phase alloys, as did the single phase β alloy.

B. Tensile and Fracture Toughness Tests

Uniaxial tensile tests were performed at room temperature on sheet specimens with 2 in. gage lengths and 0.250 in. widths. The test for all of the materials was made in a 5000 kg Instron machine using a crosshead speed of 0.08 in./min. Elastic modulus and plastic elongation data were obtained with a standard Instron extensometer. Fracture toughness values were measured on fatigued precracked specimens. The geometry selected was the compact crack line loaded type of specimen used by Manjoine and Ripling. This geometry has the mechanical advantage of good ratios of applied load to stress intensity factors, both c/W and H/W (c is specimen crack length and H is half of the specimen length, and W is the specimen width) were about 0.6 as recommended by Brown and Srawley.

The specimen configuration is shown in Figs. 2-4. The pre-fatigue
cracking was done by tension-tension fatigue, controlled to a minimum of 20,000 cycles for about 0.070 in. crack extension, which corresponds to more than half the specimen thickness. The fracture tests were made on an Instron using a crosshead speed of 0.04 in/min, and $K_{\text{Crit}}$ was determined from the relationship:

$$K_{\text{Crit}} = \frac{P_{\text{Crit}}}{B^{1/2}} f\left(\frac{c}{W}\right)$$

(2)

where $P$ is the applied load, $B$ is specimen thickness and $f(c/W)$ is a correction function due to the finite specimen width. These correction function values were taken from the literature. Fracture toughness tests were made on relatively thin specimens. The stress wave emission (SWE) technique was utilized to assist in determining $K_{\text{IC}}$ values. This technique can indicate bursts of stress waves associated with local instabilities even before catastrophic failure. This is a more sensitive way to determine the macroscopic "pop-in," which is used for establishing plane strain values in relative thin specimens. More details on the SWE technique are given in the next paragraph.

C. Stress Wave Instrumentation

The micromechanics of the crack growth in air and in the corrosive environment were investigated by the use of the SWE technique. When crack growing is associated with discontinuous local instabilities, as is the case of cleavage jumps, elastic stress waves are emitted which can be detected and monitored by a piezoelectric transducer attached to the specimen under test. The set-up is schematically shown in Fig. 5. Care was taken to obtain a sensitive detection system.
Preliminary work had shown that when mechanical stress waves are emitted in these systems, they are caused by the formation of very small cracks which release small amounts of energy when they form. These stress waves were of such low amplitude that they could have been masked by the background noise level, so it was necessary to devise equipment that had a very high signal gain and a low noise level. The Endevco transducer used for detecting the stress waves was a model No. 2234E with mechanical resonance of about 34 KHz and a charge sensitivity of 59.5 picocoulomb/g. The signals from the accelerometer were amplified by charge and voltage amplifiers to provide a combined gain of about 60,000. The band of frequencies after filtering were between 30 KHz and about 48 KHz. The signal was recorded on a Mincom C-100 tape recorder with frequency response of 50 Hz-60KHz at 30 in./sec. The recorded data could be played back and observed on an oscilloscope, and a strip chart record, with proper reduction of speed, could be made from the taped record.

D. Environment Tests

The SCC tests were performed in a 3%-1/2% NaCl aqueous solution on precracked fatigue specimens subjected to a constant load. All tests were made on a 300,000 lbs capacity MTS tensile machine as shown in Fig. 1. Two specimen geometries were used. The first was a single edge notched (SEN) specimen 3 in. x 10 in. with an initial c/W of about 0.2, and the second was a tapered specimen of the kind used by Ripling and Mostovoy. The SEN specimen was selected for obtaining data on total time for failure (the value being dependent on specimen geometry) as a function of the applied $K_i$. Here the emphasis was on the initial portion of the curve, i.e., the region where the delayed failure involved short times. The
assumption used to establish a value for $K_{ISCC}$ was that a delayed failure of more than 60 minutes would be defined as "no failure," for the purpose of this report. The SEN specimen provided a reasonable range for slow crack growth that could be followed before catastrophic failure occurred, at the same time the SEN specimen provides only one source for possible stress waves. The initial stress intensity factor was changed by varying the applied load. This type of specimen was also instrumented to provide data on the crack growth kinetic and stress wave emission data. The crack propagation rate was measured by using a crack opening displacement gage, with linear characteristic in the working range, which was attached to the specimen as shown in Fig. 3. The measured surface displacement could be related by means of a nondimensional calibration to the crack length so that the velocity could be determined as a function of crack length. The emitted stress waves were monitored during the experiment and were then related to the crack propagation rate and the applied $K_1$ as well as the time for failure.

The tapered specimen (See Appendix I) was used specifically to obtain precise values of crack velocities where very small changes occur in $K$ as the cracks grew. (In the SEN specimen $K$ varies considerably as the crack grows.) Stress wave emission data were also collected from these specimens.

The temperature effect on SCC was studied only on tapered specimens, and in a temperature range of about 70°C, for the purpose of establishing the apparent activation energy for the process. The influence of temperature on the nature of the stress waves was studied for several different values of $K_1$. 
E. Metallography and Fractography

Standard metallography techniques were used to determine the microstructures of the materials investigated. Primary and secondary cracks were observed after SCC tests. The relation of the cracking pattern to the microstructural background was studied to augment information about crack growth. The physical characteristics of cracking, whether intergranular or transgranular, the cracking preference of phases, and the crystallographic nature of cracking could be observed with these techniques. Additional information on crack propagation features was also determined by other methods. The relationship between secondary cracks and slip bands was examined by using etched cracked specimens of Ti-13-11-3 which had relatively coarse grains. Specimens of this alloy were plastically deformed locally by a microhardness indenter to obtain slip band patterns as well as cracks. The observations were made with a light microscope and at magnifications of up to 1000x. Most of the specimens were electropolished with Blackhorn solution and etched with a reagent containing 60% glycerine, 20% NO₃, and 20% HF. The Ti-75A alloy was anodized after electropolishing with a solution containing 60 ml ethanol, 35 ml H₂O, 5 ml phosphoric acid, 10 ml latic, 20 ml glycerin, and 2 g citric acid. A potential of 20 V was applied for 15 seconds.

The fracture surface morphology was studied by means of carbon replication using the electron microscope at magnifications between 3000 and 20,000x. A two step replication technique was employed using acetylene cellulose tape as the first layer. After stripping, the plastic was shadowed with platinum-palladium at an angle of approximately 45°. Therefore carbon was deposited for the second step. Paraffin was placed
on the replica to strengthen it during dissolution of the acetylene cellulose.

Complimentary information was obtained with a scanning electron microscopy at magnifications up to 3000x. The fracture area in the slow crack growth region (under the active media) was compared with the fracture surface in the catastrophic failure region. For the Ti-13-11-3 alloy particular emphasis was placed on studies of the crystallographic habit of cracking as well as the roll of grain boundaries or phase interfaces on the fracture characteristic.
III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

A. Materials

The microstructures of the materials investigated are shown in Fig. 6. The Ti-75A had a single phase \( \alpha \) structure with a grain size of about 25\( \mu \). The Ti-8-1-1 and the Ti-5-2.5 alloys had a multi-phase structure, consisting of an \( \alpha \) matrix containing a dispersion of \( \beta \). The grain sizes were about 10\( \mu \) and 15\( \mu \), respectively. The Ti-13-11-3 was 100 percent \( \beta \). It had relatively coarse grains of about 150\( \mu \).

The single phase materials were examined with an electron beam microprobe analyzer to establish the uniformity of composition. Concentration of the alloying elements Fe, V, Cr, and Al were checked using the \( K_\alpha \) x-ray characteristic emission of these elements. The electron acceleration potential was 20 kV. Counting results did not show differences in concentration or segregation at grain boundaries. Statistically, the materials appeared to be uniform. For the multiphase materials, Mo and Sn were checked in addition to the elements mentioned above. The \( L_\alpha \) characteristic emission was used for Mo and Sn determinations.

For the Ti-8-1-1 alloy, counts were taken within the \( \alpha \) and within the \( \beta \) phases as well as across \( \alpha/\beta \) boundaries. Figure 7 represents qualitatively the result obtained. It shows the microstructural morphology besides the specimen current projection and the line profile for the scanning beam. Similar information was obtained for the Ti-5-2.5 alloy, which also showed different solubilities of the heavy elements in the \( \beta \) phase. The current projection image and the microstructure image after etching are similar in these two cases. Looking at the counting data for the Ti-8-1-1, the Al concentration appeared to be uniform so it was
evident that any Ti-Al intermetallic compound that might have formed would have had to be in the form of very fine particles. There was a consistent tendency for the Al to be in higher concentration in the α phase as compared to the β phase although the differences in solubilities are small. The Mo in the Ti-8-1-1 alloy resided wholly in the β phase with the concentration therein being about 2-1/2 wt.% The V concentration was about twice as high in the β phase as in the α. The Fe was totally contained in the β phase.

For the Ti-5-2.5 alloy, similar results were found for the Al, and Fe, while the Sn element was almost equally soluble in α and β. This information agrees in general with the expectation that α stabilizing elements like Mo, V and Fe are preferentially dissolved in the β phase.22,23 One important point that must be borne in mind is that the α and β phases in the two alloys investigated were not chemically identical. The phases are designated according to their crystal structures. The different alloying elements in solution might be an important factor affecting the behavior during SCC. In addition, the possibility of formation of finely dispersed intermetallic compounds like Ti₃Al and changes in plastic deformation mechanisms produced by alloying elements should be considered.

B. Mechanical Properties and Fracture Toughness Results

The uniaxial tension test results are summarized in Table II and Figs. 8 and 9. The table shows the engineering stress-strain data and the elastic moduli needed for the calibration of specimens to obtain values of K, and crack length. The figures show the true stress (σ) - true strain (ε) curves. They were computed by using the usual relationship of \( \tilde{\sigma} = P(1+e)/A_0 \) and \( \tilde{\varepsilon} = ln(1+e) \) (where \( P \) is the load, \( e \) is the engineering strain and \( A_0 \) is the initial cross section of the specimen), in
the uniform plastic deformation region, i.e. until necking starts. The last points of the curves were obtained from \( \ln(A_0/A_f) \) and the actual load at fracture, where \( A_f \) is the specimen cross section at fracture. In general, all the materials investigated showed high ductility under static uniaxial tension loading. As can be seen from the data, minimum values of 15% elongation and 30% reduction in area at fracture were obtained. Fracture toughness data obtained in air on compact crackline loaded specimens (2.5 in. \( \times \) 3. in.) are shown also in Table II. Except for the T-8-l-1, which fractured catastrophically, the alloys failed in a typically ductile manner characterized by a tearing fracture process. The typical load-displacement curve for the notched specimen were linear for the Ti-8-l-1 while the others had a deviation from linearity before reaching maximum load. As mentioned the last type of curve may be characteristic of a ductile rupture in which the material's resistance to crack propagation increases with increasing stress intensity factor along a limited slow crack extension. The \( K_{IC} \) values were estimated by considering the loads where bursts of stress waves were emitted because the 0.125 in. thickness was too small to provide effective plane strain fracture toughness conditions. The \( K_{Crit} \) was computed by taking the maximum load and the corresponding crack length. \( K_{Crit} \) might be in the transition range between \( K_{IC} \) and \( K_C \) values. However, to establish \( K_C \) values, more information concerning the specimen thickness dependence is needed. In the case of Ti-8-l-1 the \( K_{Crit} \) was not established due to the slow crack growth uncertainty. Nevertheless, this value should be only slightly higher than \( 55.5 \times 10^3 \) psi\( \sqrt{\text{in.}} \). In case of the Ti-5-2.5, no stress waves were detected and the process of failure appeared very quiet without local crack instabilites that could be monitored. The fracture toughness data in general seemed to be in reasonably close agreement with values given
C. Environment Tests Results

1. Fracture Criteria and Time to Failure

The top macroscopic pictures given in Figs. 10, 11, 12 and 13 demonstrate the failure stages in the SEN (3 in. x 10 in.) specimen in a corrosive environment. Starting from the prefatigue crack, a region of slow crack growth followed. The length of this zone was dependent on the initial value of $K_i$. When a critical crack length was reached, the specimens fractured catastrophically with a completely different mode of fracture that could easily be distinguished macroscopically. It was concluded that the critical crack length corresponds to the critical stress intensity factor $K_{\text{Crit}}$ characteristic of the material where crack growth instability would occur without an active chemical environment.

In the SEN specimen used and under constant load, $K$ varies with crack length as a rapidly increasing monotonic function. Initially, the cracks grew slowly, but when $K$ approached $K_{\text{Crit}}$, the material then failed at a high crack velocity. Figures 14 and 15 shows data relevant to this point for the four materials investigated. This figure needs some explanation. The general formula used to express $K$ in a notched specimen was given by Brown and Srawley:

$$K = \frac{Y \sigma c}{2}$$

where $Y = f(c/W)$ is the correction factor for finite width and crack geometry. They proposed that for the SEN specimen:

$$Y = 1.99 - 0.41 \left(\frac{c}{W}\right) + 18.70 \left(\frac{c}{W}\right)^2 - 38.48 \left(\frac{c}{W}\right)^3 + 53.85 \left(\frac{c}{W}\right)^4$$

This polynomial expression computed to fit experimental data and collocation solution was estimated also by a different expression.
\[ Y = f \left( \frac{c}{W} \right) = 2 \left[ \sin^2 \left( \frac{c \pi}{W} \right) + \sec^2 \left( \frac{c \pi}{W} \right) \right] \]  

(5)

which is more of a closed form solution. Using this expression for \( Y \) and realizing the constant load condition test, one obtains

\[ \sigma = K f \left( c \right) \left/ c^{1/2} \right. \bigg| \frac{W}{W} = \text{const.} \]  

(6)

This dependence of stress vs \( f(c) \left/ c^{1/2} \right. \) at fracture was plotted for different initial conditions. The linear dependence shows that the critical stress intensity factor at fracture was constant. This observation that \( K_{\text{Crit}} \) is independent of the initial conditions or independent on time-to-failure will be used later in Appendix II. The total time-to-failure data for the SEN specimens (3 in. \( \times \) 10 in.) are shown in Figs. 16 and 17. The first observation is that all four materials showed high susceptibility to SCC. Observing the curves in more detailed way, the Ti-8-1-1 alloy seems to be the most susceptible to SCC under the environment used, considering the fracture times that are involved as well as the \( K_i \) level to be applied in order to stimulate the phenomena. The \( K_{\text{ISCC}} \) for the Ti-75A for the limited time of 1 hour was about \( K_i/K_{\text{Crit}} = 0.7 \), which is higher than \( \left( K_i/K_{\text{Crit}} \leq 0.4 \right) \) obtained for the alloys. In the case of Ti-13-11-3, the total time-to-failure was longer than that for the alpha or alpha-beta alloys for the same \( K_i \), although measurements were affected by the tendency of the major crack to branch. It is possible that the times are slightly overestimated in Fig. 17, but it was still concluded that this alloy involved a slower crack growth process. Observation and measurements of crack propagation rates supported these conclusions.

2. Slow Crack Growth Velocities

The crack velocity relation to \( K_i \) is shown in Figs. 18 and 19. The data were plotted on log-log scale to show the relationship of \( V \) to \( K_i \).
Obviously the total time-to-failure and the crack velocity are inter-related. The original idea was to measure the crack velocities on the tapered specimens so that \( \bar{V} \) could be expressed as a function of \( K_i \). Having such general information provides estimations of total time-to-failure under the same environment and test conditions of any notched specimen if \( K_i = f(c/W) \) is known. Time-to-failure data alone provide very restricted information because they depend mainly on the specimen geometry. For the Ti-75A and Ti-8-1-1 alloy, crack growth rates were measured over the total \( K_i \) range. For Ti-5-2.5 and Ti-13-11-3 alloys, this could be done only at values of \( K_i \) well below the transition to accelerated crack propagation. This restriction was caused by crack branching effects which limited the use of the tapered specimen for the last two alloys at high stress intensity levels. The values for higher \( K_i \) in these alloys were determined from experimental data on the SEN specimen. The formulation aspects of the velocity dependent of \( K_i \) is given in Appendix II.

The velocity is strongly dependent on \( K_i \) above about \( K_i = 95 \times 10^3 \) psi\( \cdot \)in. for the Ti-75A. This result will be discussed later in terms of crack acceleration due to excess mechanical energy. This non-alloyed material is less susceptible to SCC than the others. The \( K_i \) needed to stimulate cracking was high, and the initial crack velocity was about \( 2 \times 10^{-4} \) in/sec. The following example illustrates the nature of the velocity profile in the SEN specimen. During slow crack growth with \( K_i \approx 90 \times 10^3 \) ksi\( \cdot \)in., the total time for failure was 82 sec; 80 sec of this time involved an average velocity of \( 5 \times 10^{-4} \) in/sec while the crack grew 0.175 in. in length. The remaining 0.135 in. growth occurred at an average velocity of about \( 0.07 \) in/sec. The Ti-8-1-1 alloy seemed to be the most susceptible to
SCC. The initial conditions were $K_i/K_{Crit} = 0.32$, with a velocity of about $1 \times 10^{-3}$ in/sec at $K_i = 30 \times 10^3$ psi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$. The velocity was strongly dependent of $K_i$. Also, a mechanically dominated portion of the curve can be seen in Fig. 18 starting at about $58 \times 10^3$ psi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$. The Ti-5-2.5 alloy had similar characteristics, with the exception that the velocity below $K_i = 120$ psi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$. had a very small dependence on $K_i$. This case will be described assuming $V = \text{constant}$ later on. The crack propagation rate for the Ti-13-11-3 alloy is given in Fig. 19 for a range of $K_i$ from 50 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$. to 95 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$. The velocities in this range were slower than those of the other materials, even though the mechanically dominated part was still very high. This caused a rapid failure in the high $K_i$ range but slower growth as the ratio $K_i/K_{Crit}$ decreases, (Fig. 17).

3. SWE - Observations and Results

The SCC phenomenon in all of the materials tested was associated with stress wave activity. Figures 20 and 21 shows some representative illustrations of the monitored waves, which vary in amplitude and frequency of occurrences. Most of them were recorded from SEN specimens. At the beginning they were small in amplitude and less frequent and then they increased later on as the stress intensity factor became higher. The average stress waves amplitudes were about 0.001g with a noise level of about 0.0001g. Although the signal-to-noise ratio of 10:1 can be considered reasonably good, some small amplitude waves might still have been masked. This was a particular limitation in the Ti-8-1-1 alloy where the observed stress waves were small in amplitude. Figures 22 and 23 shows the relationship between SWE counts per unit time vs. $K_i$ for Ti-75A and Ti-8-1-1 and Ti-5-2.5 alloys. From observing these data and the crack velocity data in Figs. 18 and 19 it can be concluded that the velocity and SWE/sec
are well correlated; the higher crack velocities were associated with
greater numbers of waves per unit time. In general, the curves of velo-
city and SWE/sec vs. $K_1$ have similar tendencies. The Ti-13-11-3 data were
plotted differently in Fig. 23 i.e. SWE/sec vs. time, because crack branch-
ing prevented calculation of large $K$ values. Here, the same characteristic
of increasing numbers of SWE/sec as the crack growth rate increases was
observed. The SWE counting taken from data stored on recording tape and
analyzed on an oscilloscope screen is only of major waves of about $3.4 \times 10^{-4}$ g.
The real SWE activity might have been much higher involving many more low
energy stress waves. The major waves were records of major incremental crack
formation so their importance is of primary concern.

Beside a qualitative picture of micromechanical behavior during the
slow crack propagation region, some quantitative estimations and remarks
can be made. First, qualitatively, the SWE observations show longer
incubation times between subcritical microcrack coalescence in the SEN
at low stress intensity factors. At the same time small crack growth
increments were involved. As the critical stress intensity factor was
approached, incubation times decreased and crack increments became larger.
The significant increase of the stress wave amplitudes as mechanical in-
stability was approached support this conclusion. The amplitude increase
was well above the value attributable to an increase in stress intensity
factor. In the tapered specimen the stress wave activity was statistically
much more uniform, but the frequency and magnitude changed markedly after
significant crack propagation because of the stress intensity increase
produced by the specimen edge effect. The magnitude of the waves was
higher in the Ti-75A and Ti-13-11-3 than in the Ti-8-1-1 and Ti-5-2.5
alloys.
For quantitative estimations of the discontinuous crack growth, Gerberich and Hartbower's relationship between incremental crack growth and stress wave activity was utilized. According to experimental work which included also 6Al-4V titanium alloy, they suggest a semi-empirical relationship of the form:

\[
\Delta A \sim \frac{g^2 E}{K^2}
\]  

(7)

where \( \Delta A \) is the incremental area swept out by the crack, \( g \) is the stress wave amplitude, \( E \) is the elastic modulus, and \( K \) the applied stress intensity factor. Applying this relationship to smaller incremental areas by extrapolation it is believed that the SWE results from average sources of the grain size magnitudes in area. Obviously the amplitude variations in the SEN suggest that at low \( K_1 \) the growth increments were smaller in size than the grains, but increased to larger values of a few grains as \( K_1 \) approached \( K_{\text{Crit}} \). An average SWE in the Ti-13-11-3 corresponded to an amplitude of 0.0026 \( g \) at \( K \approx 90 \text{ ksi} \sqrt{\text{in}} \). This resulted in a theoretically determined increment of about \( 9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in.}^2 \) compared to \( 21 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in.}^2 \) for circular grain size cross section of 150\( \mu \).

The tendency of much smaller amplitudes for the Ti-8-1-1 and Ti-5-2.5 alloys (compared with the T-13-11-3 and Ti-75A alloys at similar \( K_1 \)) can be explained by assuming that the crack jumps are of the order of the grain size. A reduction in grain size will result in a decrease in amplitude because \( \Delta A \) is proportional to \( g^2 \).

D. Cracking Observations and Fracture Surface Investigations

Figures 10, 11, 12 and 13 shows the fracture modes associated with SCC phenomena for the four materials. Following the prefatigued region (A) the slow crack growth region (B) appears as a cleavage mode for all the materials. The fracture surface changes completely in region C, where rapid fracture occurred because of the mechanical instability.
The Ti-75A showed less cleavage in the rapid growth region. This area had more long dimples. (Figs. 10 and 24). The Ti-8-1-1 and Ti-5-2.5 alloys showed low energy small dimples indicating more ductile fracture behavior. In the Ti-13-11-3 the change in mode of fracture from region (B) to (C) was very great. Relatively high energy dimples dominated, with almost 100% dimpled fracture mode in (C). Region (B) did not reveal the formation of any chemical products due to the environment. The fracture surface of the Ti-8-1-1, Ti-5-2.5 alloys, which had multiphase structure, of $\alpha/\beta$ did not clearly show a fracture pattern that could be related to either the $\alpha$ or the $\beta$ phases.

Figures 25 and 26 are pictures showing the nature of the major crack path and the cracking behavior in region (B). In the Ti-75A no micro-secondary cracks formed, while secondary cracks were observed in the other materials. From this series of pictures, together with electron micrographs, it was concluded that in the slow crack growth region transgranular fracture occurred. In the Ti-8-1-1 and Ti-5-2.5 alloys, no preferential fracture path could be established that indicated a higher susceptibility of one particular phase to attack.

For the Ti-8-1-1 alloy, Figs. 27 and 28 illustrates a typical observation of striations in the (B) region. The striations are very finely spaced and are in localized regions on the fracture surface. The number and size of these regions could not be related to locations of $\alpha$ or $\beta$ phases. These observations will be discussed further in a later section.

Figure 29 shows an optical micrograph with secondary cracks in the Ti-13-11-2.5 alloy. Figure 30 shows a light micrograph and a scanning electron fractograph of secondary cracks around a grain boundary. These pictures illustrate the crystallographic nature of the environmentally
induced cracks in the β alloy. Because of its relatively large grain size, this alloy yielded some observations of the crystallographic aspect of fracture. However, the grain size was not large enough for easy x-ray investigation by the back-reflection Laue technique and so that alternative of trace analysis was used. Figures 31 and 32 show more of the morphology of the fracture surface and the clear crystallographic nature of the microcracks. The chevron traces in Fig. 13B are well defined in Fig. 31B and they have a clear relationship to the cracking direction. Figure 33 shows a light micrograph with traces of slip bands around a microcrack, these traces were developed by local microplastic deformation near the existing crack. The fact that a maximum of 3 cracking directions could be observed in one grain and the nature of the 3 planes intersecting at 90°, as shown in Fig. 31A led to the assumption that the cracking planes were of the (100) type. In order to verify this assumption a single trace analysis was made, as illustrated on the stereographic projection in Fig. 34. The angles between cracks in a single grain were measured and listed in Table III. All of these angles are allowed, assuming that cracking is on the cube planes.

E. Temperature Effects

Figure 35 shows the results of temperature effects on the crack propagation rates. The tests were performed on tapered specimen in order to eliminate the stress intensity factor as a parameter. The data were plotted as the log of the crack velocity vs 1/T so that an "apparent activation energy" for the process could be determined. Further discussion of these tests will be considered later. The main point was to provide some information on role of the chemical action. The mechanical contribution was not expected to be affected by changing the temperature by only 65°C. (The tests were carried on in 5°C, RT, and about 70°C.)
The apparent activation energy was higher for the Ti-75A (9.5 kcal/mole) and Ti-5-2.5 (4.5 kcal/mole) alloy than for the Ti-8-1-1-alloy. In case of the Ti-8-1-1, two levels of stress intensity factors were used and the activation energy changed from 3.5 kcal/mole to 2.3 kcal/mole when $K_i$ was raised from 38 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$ to 45.5 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$. It seems that an increase of mechanical components of fracture causes the temperature dependence to decrease. The comparison of activation energies for the various alloys was not particularly meaningful because different values of $K_i$ were involved in the testing. SWE data associated with these tests showed the tendency for an increased number of stress waves with an increased crack velocity during the higher temperature tests.
IV DISCUSSION

A. General

In order to analyze the result and to link the information together, it seems advisable to indicate the major factors influencing SCC. Later on ideas about proposed mechanisms will be discussed, considering macroscopic and microscopic aspects. Summarizing the experimental results in a general way, the delayed failures on the SEN specimens involved short times because of relatively high crack velocities. There were two distinct fracture regions, a slow crack growth region and a fast one when mechanical instability occurred when the stress intensity factor reached $K_{\text{Crit}}$. In the slow crack growth region, the velocity was dependent on $K_i$ and on temperature, but $K_{\text{Crit}}$ was independent of the test conditions. There was also a change of fracture mode, from a brittle transgranular cleavage in the slow growth region to a dimpled mode in the mechanical instability region (typical of the fracture mode under "dry" conditions). Also found was evidence of a distinct crystallographic fracture process. Striations were found in the case of the Ti-8-1-1; the fracture planes were not necessarily associated with the slip planes. SWE activity correlated with the crack propagation velocities and relatively low apparent activation energies were shown to be dependent on the $K_i$ level.

Considering the discontinuous nature of the process, the transgranular mode of fracture following crystallographic habit planes, the role of mechanical parameters like $K_i$ on the crack growth rate, particular attention to the mechanical aspects of the fracture process is warranted. Furthermore, it is believed that with alloys investigated, the chemical factors predominate in the nucleation stage of the microcracking and the
crack propagation is dominated by a mechanical driving force. Obviously, a well distinguished role of each of the factors, namely the chemical and mechanical factors is difficult to describe because of their interactions. It is clear that the stress field in the vicinity of the crack tip might affect crack nucleation. At the same time, the active environment could affect the surface energy so that the mechanical energy balance for crack instability would be altered. Purely chemical considerations could not account for the growth rates observed.

B. Mechanics Aspects

1. Energy Balance Considerations

The experimental result can be interpreted according to macro-mechanics concepts. A model can provide a qualitative explanation of the slow crack growth phenomena in a notched specimen subjected to a stress field and in a chemically active environment. Rice, in a general examination of the fracture mechanics energy balance equations, and Rice and Drucker, in an investigation on changes in stressed bodies due to void and crack growth, treated the mechanical aspects of SCC. Their treatments resulted in a general formulation of the Griffith fracture criteria in extended form in which elastic-plastic systems (small scale plastic zone) are included. Here, the fracture criteria considers the plastic energy dissipation due to deformation away from the crack surfaces as a separate term and not as part of the modified surface energy term in the Orowan-Irwin sense.

Two important points should be mentioned concerning the active environment problem. First, the total potential energy of a stressed elastic body, $U_p$, is changed by creation of new traction free surfaces due to material removal, assuming the same load and displacement condition.
If we denote the potential energy after void or crack growth due to fracture of material removal, \( U^b_p \), Rice's analysis shows,

\[
\frac{U^a_p}{p} > \frac{U^b_p}{p} \tag{8}
\]

which means that potential energy is released in a process which introduces an extension of discontinuities in the loaded segment. The important application from this energetical comparison will be that mechanical energy surplus is available and work can be done in formation of new surfaces. The usual energy balance equation in incremental form in an elastic body is actually not more than:

\[
\frac{U^a_p}{p} - \frac{U^b_p}{p} = 2\gamma (A^a - A^b) \tag{9}
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the modified surface energy and \( A^a - A^b \) the incremental area extension. Until now the active environment released mechanical energy due to void or crack extension like in the case where dissolution process takes place and the effect of the active environment on \( \gamma \) was not considered. This leads to the second point. Obviously, a drastic change in \( \gamma \) can lead to fracture, the point being illustrated in Fig. 36A. This schematic figure is based on Rice's formulation for the energy balance equation in elastic-plastic material which has the form of:

\[
G = \frac{\partial U_p}{\partial A} = p + 2\gamma \tag{10}
\]

where \( G \) is the elastic strain energy release rate per unit crack extension and \( p \) the plastic energy dissipation rate, a term due to irreversible energy dissipated by plastic flow during a unit of crack propagation.

The plot shows the dependence of \( G \) and \( p \) on the applied load. If \( L^m \) is the critical load needed for instability in the "dry" material in order to balance \( \gamma_m \), only \( L^0 \) will be needed in the active medium which is a
lower load providing $\gamma_m$ is changed to the lower value of $\gamma_e$ in the active environment case. The assumption made is that the plastic flow behavior is not affected strongly by the environment. The dashed areas show the surplus energy which is increasing as the applied load is exceeding the value of $L_e$ and approaching $L_m$.

Up to this point, the reasons for low stress intensity initiation of crack growth and the role of mechanical energy as a driving force for SCC have been considered. The approach for explaining the slow crack growth region will be similar to the one originated by Irwin$^{30}$ and discussed in detail by Krafft et al.,$^{31}$ for explaining pop-in mode compared to the stable slow crack propagation. Assuming the discontinuous nature of the SCC process as shown experimentally in this report, the idea of an unstable running crack due to corrosion and mechanical effects on one side and relaxation factors which will cause stress arrest on the other side should be considered. Figure 36B provides such a schematic explanation showing the energy rates $G$ and $R$ where $R$ is the resistance energy term to crack propagation vs. crack length. $G$ is actually originated by the applied stress which has a linear trace as shown in the figure. Normally, under "dry" conditions a specimen that will show a resistance curve as given in the figure due to geometry effects or more ductile behavior will become unstable at $\sigma_{\text{Crit}}^m$ which corresponds to the stress $\sigma_{\text{Crit}}^e$ to fulfill this condition we need.

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial A} = \frac{\partial R}{\partial A}$$

(11)

In case of the environment the crack will become unstable at $\sigma_{\text{Crit}}^e$ which is low due to the decrease of $\gamma_m$ to $\gamma_e$ but a small increment of the crack will cause the extended tip to be characterized by the $R$ curve and time will be needed for the active medium to initiate the next step. Obviously
the size of the step in this discontinuous process will be dependent on the mechanical energy available and the duration of the slow crack growth process cannot exceed more than \( c_{\text{Crit}} \) where fracture will occur also without the corrosive environment. A similar approach was proposed by Coleman et al.\(^\text{32}\) in SCC of steel and Mg-6% Al alloy assuming that the brittle fracture process is enhanced by the reduction of surface energy due to specific species adsorbed at the tip front. Still, the fracture process was considered to be mechanically controlled obeying the Stroh-Petch equation which relates the fracture stress and the grain size. This approach has also been considered for the liquid metal embrittlement process.\(^\text{33}\)

In general, the macro-mechanics model that was described is not concerned with the specific micro-mechanism taking place although the adsorption model is a proposal along this line. It is hard to verify this model experimentally since determinations of \( \gamma \) and the changes in \( \gamma \) due to environment are difficult. Still the macro concept is descriptive and qualitatively explains the major events happening in the materials investigated in the present paper. Refinements are needed for the micro-mechanisms involved which may or may not necessarily be due to adsorption. This will be discussed further in a later section. It is important to mention that the fractographic observations in the Ti systems are consistent with the concept of having a strong mechanical component involved in the fracture process. That is, the existence of the cleavage mode and transgranular cracks with a crystallographic orientation, support the idea that basically brittle fracture is progressing in the usual sense but enhanced at a lower stress due to the environment.

2. Stress Intensity Parameters and Crack Velocity

The results shown in Figs. 18 and 19 for the crack growth rate dependency on \( K \) indicates that a universal behavior is not occurring. This
fact was realized by McEvily and Bond$^{3,34}$ and is very clearly emphasized in the present investigation. The relationship changes from material to material, and even in the same material characteristic regions in the curve can be noticed going from low $K_1$ values toward instability. Using the function $\bar{V} = mK^n$, $n$ is dependent on the stress intensity range and is initially low, about $n \approx 1$ or less for the Ti-5-2.5, Ti-13-11-3, and about $n = 4$ for the Ti-8-1-l. It is interesting to point out that the transition in the Ti-8-1-l-to high dependence of velocity with $K$ occurs at values estimated as the plane strain fracture toughness values for this material keeping in mind that the specimens used are in the transition region between $K_{IC}$ and $K_C$ and catastrophic failure occurs at much higher values than $K_{IC}$. Consequently, the crack propagation rate cannot be described in the present case simply by the plastic zone size or the plastic strain at the tip of the crack which will yield a dependence on $K^2$. It is believed that in the initial portion of the curve, i.e. low $K_1$, the stage of nucleation sites for cracking is strongly affected by metallurgical factors as well as electrochemical factors. Here, the rate dependency on the stress intensity level is not controlled by the plastic zone characteristic. Later at high values of $K_1$ nucleation sites can be changed by local mechanical instabilities, as in the case where $K_{IC}$ is reached which cause strong dependency of the crack rate on $K$. A schematic plot illustrating this point from the mechanical point of view is given in Fig. 37. The low crack growth rate at low values of $K$ is considered to result from a larger damaged zone (denoted as $C_{Crit}^*$) needed for mechanical instability. At the high values of $K$ the critical zone needed is smaller and $\Delta C$ can exceed a few grains. The nucleation stage is considered to be much slower than the cracking at high velocity resulting from the cleavage process.
C. Metallurgical Considerations

As mentioned, the Ti-8-1-1 showed a higher dependency on $K$ before reaching the mechanically dominated portion of the curve (characteristic fact for all the materials.) Comparing this alloy with the Ti-5-2.5 emphasized the different behavior in the latter where a very small dependence on $K_i$ occurred. This is significant since the mechanical state described, for example by $K_i/\sigma_y$ (where $\sigma_y$ is the yield stress) is not less in the Ti-5-2.5. Considering the present interpretation of the experimental result, namely, that the active environment is triggering discontinuous brittle fracture, it is not difficult to visualize the role of metallurgical factors affecting the process kinetics. Williams investigated the role of different compositions on susceptibility to SCC in Ti-systems with aqueous environment (3-1/2 % NaCl). He concluded that the $\alpha$ phase was susceptible, particularly in the alloys with higher Al content. When the content of 4-6 wt. % Al was reached, a sharp transition occurred from ductile to cleavage fracture. On the other hand the $\beta$-Ti showed ductile rupture not influenced by different heat treatments. Fager and Spurr in similar systems investigated changes in SCC susceptibility due to the influence of microstructural features concluding also that the resistance of the materials to SCC was increasing as the percentage of $\beta$ phase transformed to martensite increased in the alloy. Curtis and Spurr in an extended study on the fracture behavior of Ti-6Al-4V and Ti-4Al-3Mo-1V in air and 3.5% NaCl aqueous solution, concentrated on the effects due to microconstituents formed by different heat treatments. In addition to the information about the change of resistance to the SCC of the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ they reported that superior properties were achieved even by changing the $\alpha$-Ti morphology in the structure from equiaxed $\alpha$ to acicular
morphology. In the present investigation the conclusion about significant differences in resistance to SCC for α-Ti to β-Ti was not confirmed. The electron fractography information did not indicate ductile zones that could be related to the β phase, or typical cracking arrest phenomena from secondary microcracks encountering the β phase. It is believed that either the small volume fraction and the small dispersion size of the β was not an appropriate system to clarify the above point, or the possibility that differences of susceptibility between the α and the β is relatively small. If the Ti-13-11-3 alloy can be taken as a base line for estimating susceptibility of the β phase, then the kinetics seem to be about two to three times slower than in the Ti-5-2.5 alloy. It could well happen that at higher stress intensity factors where the cleavage process is enhanced, the β phase is just failing in normal rupture due to load carrying capacity limitations. In such cases the fractograph would show the ductile mode associated with the dispersed β phase, and cracks would be seen in the α-Ti arrested at α/β interfaces. Fager and Spurr25 studied also the case of precipitated α in the β matrix of the Ti-13-11-3 alloy. Observing the cracking nature in this structure leaves also the impression as the α is inhibiting cracking in a similar fashion as observed in the β dispersion in the α matrix. This might strengthen the argument that some critical size might be involved in the second phase before taking an active part in the cracking process. At the same time the finely dispersed second phase might slow the kinetics as total time to failure is dependent upon the length of the cleavage jumps and the reinitiation of failure from blunted cracks. In fact, the α/β interface might act as a deterrent to environmentally induced cleavage since the kinetics across the boundary may be interrupted. Thus, the cracking process may prefer to detour around small second phase particles whether they are α particles.
in a β matrix or β particles in an α matrix. Referring back to the
high crack growth rate dependency of Ti-8-1-1 on $K_1$, it is believed to
result from metallurgical and mechanical origins. Williams and Blackburn $^{21,37}$
in their investigation on phase transformation of Ti-Al alloys and
commercial Ti alloys indicated that there are three phase fields at low
temperatures. The Ti-Al phase diagram proposed by Blackburn $^{21}$ showed the
phases to be a disordered hcp α-solid solution on one side, an ordered
phase, $\alpha_2$, near the Ti$_3$Al composition, and a two-phase region $\alpha + \alpha_2$. The
ordered Ti$_3$Al has the $\text{DO}_{19}$ type structure, which is hexagonal. It can
be related to four unit cells of the disordered hexagonal phase by keeping
the C parameter unchanged and by doubling the length of the a parameter.
The formation of the $\alpha + \alpha_2$ phase region has been shown to start at about
8 wt. % Al (12 at. % Al) and that the size, dispersion, and the morphology
of the $\alpha_2$ is dependent upon the alloy composition and the heat treatment.
Similar conclusion about the formation of $\alpha_2$ was indicated by Crossley $^{38}$
while Ence and Margolin $^{39}$ proposed two intermetallic phases, the Ti$_3$Al
and Ti$_2$Al as well. In the case of commercial alloys, the Ti-8-1-1 was
found $^{40}$ to precipitate the $\alpha_2$ phase and seems to be very near to the mini­
mum Al content required for the formation of this ordered phase. For
example, it has not been resolved whether or not Ti-6Al-4V contains $\alpha_2$
and in the Ti-4Al-3Mo-4V Blackburn concluded that no $\alpha_2$ phase was formed.
In the present case, except for the Ti-8-1-1, none of the other alloys exceed the 5 wt.% Al content which probably isolated the Ti-8-1-1 in re­
spect to the formation of the intermetallic compound.

Although it has not been conclusively established, the $\alpha_2$ might also
affect the dislocation structure. For example, Williams $^{35}$ has shown that
coplanar dislocation arrangements may form confined to the Ti-8-1-1
slip plane in contrast to dense-tangled arrangements in alloys with aluminum content less than 5 wt%. In case of the Ti-8-1-1, therefore, two origins of enhancement of cleavage failure might be realized. First, the existence of the intermetallic phase, even in small dispersion size estimated about 200 Å, might serve as nucleation sites available for the active surface environment process to take place. Secondly, the coplanar dislocation arrangement can cause a large stress concentration which might raise the normal stress to a level high enough for cleavage. Lane and Cavallaro attributed the SCC susceptibility of the Ti alloys in sea water mainly to the presence of the coherent Ti$_3$Al precipitate. This conclusion may be too general because relatively fast SCC of the Ti-5-2.5 and Ti-13-11-3 alloys occurs, although here the argumentation of Ti$_3$Al precipitation cannot be applied. However, the greater dependency of SCC kinetics on K in the Ti-8-1-1 may result from the Ti$_3$Al considerations. Two features were found on the fracture surfaces of the Ti-8-1-1 which were not noted in the other alloys. Figures 27A and 28 show striations occurring in the Ti-8-1-1 on the fracture surfaces. On the other hand, the striations visible in Fig. 27B appear like traces of a slip band. Striations are associated with the SCC process in many systems and might support the idea of the discontinuous nature of the crack extension. The localized nature of the striation regions might indicate that the enhancement of the process results from higher kinetics of crack-slip reinitiations. Between reinitiation points, a larger cleavage step would occur without any traces of the finely spaced striations.
D. Electrochemical Aspects

The work done by Beck\textsuperscript{8,9} leaves no doubts about the significant role of the electro-chemical component in SCC process. The work was performed specifically on Ti-8-1-1 but many of the considerations seem to be generally applicable. The complexity of the possible electro-chemical processes taking place at the tip of the crack is well described in Beck's paper. Another complexity results from the very localized chemical activity just at the crack tip where the material-environment interaction is probably different than that far away from the crack tip. Without going into the nearly endless details of electrochemical models, there are some experimental facts which need to be mentioned. Beck\textsuperscript{8} concluded in his investigation of Ti-8-1-1 that notched specimens were susceptible to SCC in chloride, bromide, and iodide solutions and immune of fluoride, hydroxide, sulfide, sulfate, nitride and perchlorate solutions. He also found that cathodic protection can prevent crack growth in NaCl aqueous solutions and that there is a linear relationship of the crack velocity to the applied potential in all the halide solutions. In addition, there is a temperature effect for crack growth rate in the Ti systems as was shown for the Ti-8-1-1\textsuperscript{8} and in the present investigation for the same alloy as well as for the Ti-75A and the Ti-5-2.5 alloys. This evidence demonstrates that the electrochemical component is a necessary part of any model. Consequently a pure mechanical model describing the SCC in Ti-systems could hardly be accepted. At the same time, purely electrochemical models, are not sufficient to explain the phenomena. As mentioned before, the triggering description seems to combine some of the interactions taking place. Here, the electrochemical activity would be associated more with the initiation and reinitiation of
the discontinuous mechanical instabilities which are affected strongly by metallurgical factors.

E. Fracture Classification

Some additional remarks should be mentioned concerning the crystallographic nature of the SCC. The fact that the mode of fracture in the slow crack growth due to the active environment is transgranular and takes place on the normal cleavage planes, is probably one of the more important experimental facts. Blackburn has shown that the crack orientation in the α phase corresponds to the planes nearly to the basal plane. The planes that were identified as (10\bar{1}7) or (10\bar{1}8) were found to be the cleavage planes observed in fracture under "dry" condition. A similar conclusion was reached by Meyn investigating the Ti-7Al-2Cb -1Ta alloy. Here, also the plane of the cracks was identified as about 15°±2° to the basal plane.

The crystallographic nature of the cracks in the Ti-13-11-3 used in this investigation has already been discussed. The mode of fracture in the mechanical instability region (Region C in Fig. 13) was dimpled. Therefore the cleavage process (no dimples) had to be associated with the existence of the active environment. As shown by Meyn in a notched specimen the fracture surface gradually changed from cleavage to cleavage/dimple mode as K increased. The dimpled zones were increased with K, reaching a completely dimpled rupture appearance when K_{Crit} was reached.

F. Mechanisms

In addition to the adsorption model that was already mentioned in relation to the proposed surface energy decrease, there are several other proposed mechanisms for SCC. First, there is the dissolution mechanism which is concerned with a preferential anodic attack taking place at the
crack tip. A different mechanism involves the formation of a brittle layer at the crack front, like an oxide layer or a brittle hydride layer. In case of the hydrogen effect, another alternative is considered, namely the diffusion of hydrogen in the plastic zone causing hydride precipitation and embrittlement. If we consider the data (Figs. 18 and 19) for the crack propagation rates in the present Ti alloys, these proposed mechanisms alone could not be applicable.

The experimental crack propagation rates are much too high to agree with a dissolution mechanism acting alone. For example, in the Ti-8-1-1 the experimental values for the crack propagation rate are about $5 \times 10^{-3}$ in/sec without excessive polarization. Considering Faraday's Law for dissolution, the equivalent current density required to fit such a rate would be about 500 $\text{A}_\text{m}/\text{cm}^2$ which excludes the applicability of the dissolution mechanisms in this case. Beck rejected also the oxide layer mechanisms due to kinetic considerations since the crack velocities are too high to allow the oxide film formation to keep up with the crack. Recently experimental information has been accumulated to support the concepts of hydrogen embrittlement, but still more refinements are needed to explain the micro-mechanism involved. In the present Ti-alloys, if bulk diffusion of hydrogen is considered, the alternative of hydrogen diffusion control in the $\alpha$ phase seems to be too slow. For example, the diffusion coefficient $D$ in $\alpha$-Ti taken from extrapolated high temperature data of Papazoglou and Hepworth is of the order of $10^{-13}$ cm$^2$/sec. at room temperature. Considering migration of hydrogen per unit time, a distance on the order of more than $10^{-6}$ in/sec results, which is too slow even for the Ti-75A in case the model assumes that essentially the high concentration of hydrogen has to be ahead of the crack tip. If we assume that in the $\alpha/\beta$ alloys, Ti-8-1-1 and Ti-5-2.5, the $\beta$-Ti controls hydrogen diffusion, the experimental
result by Holman et al.\textsuperscript{47} for diffusion in β-Ti is appropriate. Here $D$ is of the order of $5 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/sec at room temperature which gives a hydrogen migration of about $10^{-4}$ in/sec. This is substantially closer to observed rates of crack propagation particularly if enhancement by plastic deformation is considered.

It has to be mentioned that the discontinuous nature of the process as shown to occur by the SWE results can be explained by purely electrochemical arguments if the concepts of passivity and passivity breakdown is introduced. The breakdown can be attributed either to chemical factors or to the stress in what is called the "Film Rupture" concept. Nevertheless the high kinetics, particularly in the high $K_1$ range suggest that the combined (mechanical-chemical) mechanism is operating. Furthermore, the observed discontinuous crack growth process does not exclude any of the previously described mechanisms. If the process is assisted strongly by the mechanical energy, the crack propagation rate should be expected to be higher than that predicted by electrochemical models alone. Thus the prediction of slow kinetics by theory actually supports the triggering concept. Referring to the apparent activation energies as given in Fig. 35 a thermodynamic approach used for combined temperature and stress controlled rate process can be applied. The activation energy when $K_1$ is increased was shown in the Ti-8-1-1.

Bartenov and Razumovskaya\textsuperscript{48} used the rate process concept in the case of glass. In a similar way the approach was previously applied to SCC in amorphous elastic solids by Hillig and Charles.\textsuperscript{49}

Helfrich\textsuperscript{50} also approached the SCC problem as a thermally activated process where the rate of activation is dependent on the stress state. The system used was Al-4.2Zn-2.5Mg alloy in aqueous NaCl solution. In
the present Ti alloys, the temperature dependence also suggests a formulation by a rate equation. On the other hand, the small dependence on temperature (or the low apparent activation energy) supports a stress dependent term in the equation.

Consider a discontinuous mechanism where $\Delta t_j$ is the total time for discontinuous jump step, $\Delta t$ is the time to trigger and $l_j$ is the distance of the jump. Consider also that $\rho_s$ is the distance between active sites associated with the primarily chemical process which operates before a cleavage step occurs.

The rate equation is of the form:

$$v = v_0 \exp \left(-\frac{H}{RT}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $v_0$ is the preexponential kinetic factor which depends on the system chemically and mechanically and $H$ is the apparent activation energy for SCC. Essential $v_0$ include the appropriate vibrational frequency and a modified distance which depends on the jump distance $l_j$. Assume that diffusion of an embrittling element in the titanium is controlling the cracking initiation: $\rho_s$ can be estimated by

$$\rho_s \approx \frac{[D_s \Delta t_s]^{1/2}}{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where, $D_s =$ diffusion coefficient of the embrittling species in titanium. Since $\Delta t_s \approx \Delta t_j$ the crack rate can be estimated as following:

$$\frac{da}{dt} \approx \frac{l_j}{\Delta t_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$\frac{l_j}{\Delta t_j} = \frac{l_j D_s}{\rho_s^2} = \frac{l_j D_s \exp \left[\frac{H}{\rho_s^2} - \frac{r_j(U_p)}{\rho_s^2}\right]}{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)
where $H^* = \text{activation energy for diffusion in Ti; } f_1(U_p) = \text{a mechanical function dependent on the state of stress and specimen geometry, and } U_p = \text{potential energy of the loaded specimen.}$

If $N_s$ are the numbers of active sites along a grain front of size $d$;

$$\rho_s = \frac{d}{N_s}$$

(16)

$N_s$ is dependent on chemical factors as well as on mechanical factors. Dispersion of a second phase or active site due to coarse slip bands might reduce $\rho_s$ so that a relatively small distance of migration at the crack front could cause a cleavage jump.

If $N_s$ can be expressed in the form;

$$N_s = n_s \exp \left(\frac{f_2(U_p)}{RT}\right)$$

(17)

where $f_2(U_p) = \text{a different mechanical function dependent on the mechanical energy. Equation (15) for the average crack propagation rate can be written:}$

$$\dot{\gamma} = \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\frac{1}{4} \pi n_s^2 \rho_s^2 \exp \left(\frac{-H^* - F(U_p)}{RT}\right)}$$

(18)

where $F(U_p) = f_1(U_p) + 2f_2(U_p)$. Comparing to equation (12)

$$H = H^* - F(U_p)$$

(19)

The function $F(U_p)$ can be expressed by $K$ the stress intensity factor or $G$ the strain energy release rate, since $G = (G = K^2/E)$ multiply by the effective surface area $A_s$ gives the potential energy $U_p$. $A_s$ the activation surface has an equivalent meaning to the activation volume term in case where the mechanical term in the rate equation is a stress function. It is now appropriate to reconsider SCC in the Ti-8-1-1 and the Ti-5-2.5 alloys. Assuming hydrogen diffusion in the $\beta$ phase is controlling
and that the diffusion coefficient in the α/β structure is similar to the Ti-13-11-3 α\textsuperscript{47} D\textsubscript{ρ} = 0.245 10\textsuperscript{-3} exp (-5140/RT) in\textsuperscript{2}/sec. The apparent activation energy for the Ti-8-1-1 was 3.5 kcal/mole and 2.3 kcal/mole for an applied stress intensity factor of 38×10\textsuperscript{3} psi√in and 45.5×10\textsuperscript{3} psi√in, respectively. For the Ti-5-2.5 alloy the apparent activation energy was 4.5 kcal/mole, for a K\textsubscript{i} of 93×10\textsuperscript{3} psi√in. The SWE results show that l\textsubscript{j} for the Ti-8-1-1 was less than that for Ti-5-2.5 even though d is about 10\textsuperscript{-3} in. in both. Utilizing these and taking F(U\textsubscript{p}) to be A\textsubscript{s} result A\textsubscript{s} and n\textsubscript{s} to be higher values for the Ti-8-1-1 than for the Ti-5-2.5 alloy in order to satisfy the crack propagation rate data given in Figs. 18 and 19. The higher values for the activation surface and n\textsubscript{s} which is related to the active site, might be attributed to the existing ordered α\textsubscript{2} phase in the Ti-8-1-1 already discussed.

Two important points can be deduced from the above diffusion controlled mechanism. First the rapid kinetics of the process can be explained by the combined chemical and mechanical model. Secondly, the acoustic emission technique can provide information on two major values the incremental jump l\textsubscript{j} and the interval between jumps associated with Δt\textsubscript{s}. In general, the SWE data support the discontinuous mechanism in the present investigation. The increase of amplitude and frequency of the stress wave with the stress intensity factor suggest that the higher velocities at high K\textsubscript{i} level results from two origins, enhanced crack initiations and larger jump distances due to the higher mechanical energy.
V. CONCLUSIONS

(a) In the case of pre-cracked specimens, commercially-pure Ti-75A as well as alpha-beta Ti-8-1-1 and Ti-5-2.5; and all beta Ti-13-11-3 alloys are susceptible to SCC in an aqueous 3-1/2% NaCl solution.

(b) Crack propagation rates for SCC were determined as a function of applied stress intensity factor ($K_i$) using tapered crackline-loaded specimens. The stress intensity factor was controlled only by the applied load since $K$ is independent of crack length.

(c) Crack propagation rates in the slow crack growth region (below the transition to a strong mechanically dominated region) were on the order of 0.001 in/sec.

(d) As opposed to observations in other SCC processes, $da/dt$ was not proportional to $K_i^2$. The $K_i$ exponent varies in the range of about 1 to 8.

(e) Ti-8-1-1 exhibited stronger dependency of crack propagation rate on $K_i$ than the other materials. $K_i$ needed to stimulate SCC was low ($K_i/K_{Crit} = 0.32$) even though the mechanical state described by $K_{ISCC}/\sigma_y$ was the lowest ($K_{ISCC}/\sigma_y = 0.160$). This was interpreted in terms of metallurgical factors as the dispersion of the $\alpha_2$ phase in the structure.

(f) Catastrophic failure in notched specimens followed slow crack growth with the transition occurring at the critical stress intensity factors.

(g) The establishment of the crack propagation rate as a function of $K_i$ allowed estimates of the total time to failure for notched specimen regardless of specimen geometry.
(h) The acoustic emission techniques was applied to the study of SCC in titanium alloy systems. This showed that the stress-corrosion cracking was discontinuous emphasizing that the mechanical component in the phenomena was significant. It also suggested a method for measuring to discontinuous crack jumps and the time between them.

(i) A correlation between crack propagation rates and SWE/sec was established. High crack velocities were associated with frequent stress wave activity and an increase in wave amplitude.

(j) The fracture mode in the SCC fracture region was found to be transgranular cleavage for all the materials, in contrast to ductile rupture (dimpled mode) in specimen tested in air.

(k) SCC in the all beta Ti-13-11-3 alloy was found to be crystallographic cleavage in the {100} planes.

(l) Crack growth rates at different test temperature established that the SCC process was thermally activated in all cases. The apparent activation energies were 9.5 kcal/mole for the Ti-75A; 3 kcal/mole for the Ti-8-1-1 and 4.5 kcal/mole for the Ti-5-2.5 alloy. In the case of the Ti-8-1-1 an increase in $K_1$ of about $8 \times 10^3$ psi $\sqrt{in}$ reduced the apparent activation energy from 3.5 to 2.3 kcal/mole.

(m) A combined chemical and mechanical mechanism for discontinuous cracking was proposed which allows for the relatively rapid crack propagation rates observed. A diffusion controlled mechanism of hydrogen in the $\beta$-phase is hypothesized as a possible crack initiation mechanism. The stress intensity contribution is to effect either the number of activated sites for the chemical reaction, the mechanical driving force for crack initiation or the discontinuous crack step.
APPENDIX I

CRACKLINE-LOADED EDGE CRACK SPECIMEN
(TAPERED BOUNDARIES)

The determination of \( K \) or \( G \) in an elastic notched specimen follows two approaches. One is a fundamental procedure namely by analyzing the stress field in the vicinity of the crack tip, where a mathematical relationship for \( K \) is determined. The other approach developed by Irwin and Kies\(^{51}\) is not concerned with the detailed description of the stress field but relates the strain energy release rate \( G \) with the total change of the elastic compliance of the specimen during crack propagation, specifically:

\[
G = \frac{P^2}{2B} \left( \frac{d\lambda}{dc} \right)
\]  

(A-1)

where \( P \) is the applied load, \( B \) is the specimen thickness, \( c \) is the crack length and \( \lambda \) is the compliance defined as the specimen extension per unit load. Alternatively in terms of the stress intensity factor, \( K \), for plane strain;

\[
\frac{2K^2(1-V)^2WB^2}{E} = \frac{d(EAB)}{d(c/W)}
\]  

(A-2)

Here \( V \) and \( E \) are Poisson's ratio and Young's modulus respectively, and \( W \) is the specimen width.

Considering a fixed specimen geometry, the right hand side of equation (A-2) is dominated by the functional relationship between \( \lambda \) and the crack length \( c \). Mostovoy, Crosley and Ripling\(^{17}\) derived the equation for \( \lambda \) in the case of a crackline loaded edge crack specimen using the beam theory. Looking for a linear function for \( \lambda \) they suggested that a particular shaping of the crackline loaded edge crack specimen can provide a reasonable linear estimation for the \( \lambda \) function (Fig. 38B). This being the case, \( K \) then depends only on \( P \) and not on \( c \). Srawley and Gross\(^{32}\) studied analytically this specimen geometry in a broad parametric way utilizing a
boundary collocation procedure making use of Williams stress function. Their analysis emphasized that the normal free boundary has to be far enough from the crack tip, otherwise the stress field is dominated by this boundary. In such a case K values will increase in a monotonic way as c increases.

Preliminary studies were done on this specimen type before utilizing it for SCC studies. The geometry (H/e = 0.4) and dimensions are given in Fig. 4. Most of the experimental work was done on brittle polymers in order to confirm the specimen dimensions and to determine calibration curves. The arguments for using polymer specimens are given elsewhere. Figures 39 and 40 show the photoelastic pattern for two differently applied K, values and 3 different crack lengths with c/W ranging from 0.2 to 0.4. The similarity of the stress field close to the crack tip can be seen which was observed in the range of K, / KIC from 0.2 to 0.6. Figure 38A shows that the critical load for instability in the polycarbonate occurred almost at constant load values up to c/W = 0.4. Since c/W = 0.4 appeared to be a limiting value, it was concluded that for the SCC investigation the measured range should not exceed c/W = 0.3.

Figure 41 demonstrates the use of the specimen for the Ti-2.5-5 alloy for several levels of K, controlled only by the applied load. The crack extension increment at each K, was long enough for the velocity determination. Figure 42 gives the calibration curve for the crack opening displacement gage instrumented to the tapered specimen. The data is plotted in the dimensional form, namely EEW/P vs. c/W where V is the surface displacement at the crack edge (see Fig. 3).
APPENDIX II
CRACK PROPAGATION RATES AND TOTAL TIME-TO-FAILURE IN NOTCHED SPECIMENS

Many investigations of SCC include values concerning the total time to failure. Obviously such information is restricted in the general case since the time-to-failure is dependent on the particular specimen geometry used. Crack propagation rates as a function of the applied stress intensity factor \( K_i \) provide more fundamental information. In a notched specimen, \( K \) normally increases as the crack extends and the functional form of \( K \) vs. crack length is usually obtainable. This provides calculations of time-to-failure \( t_f \) from the velocity function. It has been shown in this report that \( K_{\text{Crit}} \) is not dependent on \( K_i \) (Figs. 14 and 15).

Consider a notched specimen where \( K \) is given for a fixed \( W \) (specimen width)

\[
K = F(c)
\]  
(B-1)

Assume that experimentally the crack propagation rate is determined

\[
dc/dt = f(K)
\]  
(B-2)

thus \( t_f \) can be obtained from the following expression

\[
t_f = \int_{K_i}^{K_{\text{Crit}}} \frac{(dc/dK) \, dK}{f(K)}
\]  
(B-3)

Practically it is more convenient to consider the crack length as a parameter since this is directly measurable. Consequently, if the crack propagation rate function is given

\[
dc/dt = mK^n
\]  
(B-4)

and \( K = \sigma \psi (c/W) \) where \( \sigma \) is the applied stress and \( \psi (c/W) \) a correction function for the specimen finite width, then
For the SEN specimen, $\psi(c,W)$ can be expressed in a polynomial form or in a trigonometrical form.

Estimations of $t_f$ in the case of Ti-8-1-1 and Ti-5-2.5 can be demonstrated. These two alloys have different $dc/dt$ characteristics. The Ti-8-1-1 was strongly dependent upon $K_i$, where the value of the exponent is $n = 4$. Considering the SEN specimen (Fig. 3) where $W = 3$ in. and the initial crack length, $c_i$, was about 0.600 in., the correction function for the finite width was estimated by the relationship:

$$\psi(c) = 3.4c^{1/2}$$  (B-7)

using equation (B-6), $t_f$ can be estimated by

$$t_f = \frac{1}{3m' \sigma} \left( \frac{1}{c_i^{1/3}} + \frac{1}{c_{\text{Crit}}^{1/3}} \right)$$  (B-8)

where $m' = 3.4^{1/4} \times 10^{-21}$.

The following table gives a comparison of the results obtained using equation B-8 with those obtained experimentally:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K_i/K_{\text{Crit}}$</th>
<th>$t_f$ - calculated (sec)</th>
<th>$t_f$ experimental (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the case of Ti-5-2.5 alloy the crack propagation rate showed small dependence on $K_i$ before reaching the mechanically dominated region (Fig. 19).
The estimation of \( t_f \), an average velocity is assumed on equivalently \( n=0 \).

Since

\[
C_{\text{Crit}} = C_i + t_f v
\]

(B-9)

in the case where \( v=\text{const} \), \( C_{\text{Crit}} \) should have a linear dependence with \( t_f \).

Figure 43 illustrates this behavior for the Ti-5-2.5 alloy.

Consequently, \( t_f \) for \( v=\text{const} \), can be formulated, by

\[
t_f = \left[ \frac{1}{(K_i/K_{\text{Crit}})^2 - \left( \frac{\psi(C_i)}{\psi(C_{\text{Crit}})} \right)^2 - 1} \right] \frac{C_i}{v}
\]

(B-10)

The values of \( \psi(C) \) are obtained from equation (5). The following table gives a comparison of the results obtained by using equation B-10, with those obtained experimentally where \( C_i = 0.60 \) in and \( \bar{v} = 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \) in/sec

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( K_i/K_{\text{Crit}} )</th>
<th>( t_f ) calculated (min)</th>
<th>( t_f ) experimental (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure (43) illustrates schematically the variations in the \( t_f \) curve vs. \( K_i/K_{\text{Crit}} \) for different crack rate dependencies on \( K_i \).
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. (A) Set-up for environmental testing of SEN specimens showing C.O.D. gage and piezoelectric transducer.
(b) Set-up for environmental testing of tapered specimens at different temperatures. Instrumentation like in (A).

Fig. 2. Dimensions of the crackline-loaded specimen for fracture toughness determination in air.

Fig. 3. Single-edge-notch specimen and description of the C.O.D. gage.

Fig. 4. Tapered crackline-loaded specimen for crack velocity determination.

Fig. 5. Schematic of the acoustic emission set-up.

Fig. 6. Light micrograph of (A) Ti-75A commerically pure, (B) α/β Ti-8-1-1 (C) α/β Ti-5-2.5 and (D) all β Ti-13-11-3.

Fig. 7. (A) Ti-8-1-1 current projection taken on the microprobe analyser
(B) microstructural morphology of the same area
(c) line profile (shown in B) along α/β boundaries taken on the microprobe analyser.

Fig. 8. Room temperature tensile behavior of the Ti-75A and Ti-8-1-1 alloys at the cross head speed of 0.08 in/sec.

Fig. 9. Room temperature tensile behavior of the Ti-5-2.5 and Ti-13-11-3 alloys at a cross head speed of 0.08 in/sec.

Fig. 10 Electron fractographs for Ti-75A showing fracture regions of a precracked SEN specimen failed under environmental conditions
(A) prefatigued region (B) slow crack growth (C) catastrophic mechanical failure.

Fig. 11. Electron fractographs of a Ti-8-1-1 and SEN specimen fractured under environmental conditions.
Fig 12. Electron fractographs of a Ti-5-2.5 SEN specimen fractured under environmental conditions.

Fig.13. Electron fractographs of a Ti-13-11-3 SEN specimen fractured under environmental conditions.

Fig.14. Mechanical instability behavior in SEN specimens fractured under environmental conditions for Ti-75A and Ti-8-1-1

\[
T(c) = 2\left[\sin^2 \left(\frac{c\pi}{W}\right) + \sin^2 \left(\frac{c\pi}{W}\right)\right]
\]

Fig 15. Mechanical instability behavior in SEN specimens fractured under environmental conditions for Ti-5-2.5 and Ti-13-11-3.

Fig.16. Total time to failure for Ti-75A and Ti-8-1-1 SEN specimens (see Fig. 3).

Fig.17. Total time to failure for Ti-5-2.5 and Ti-13-11-3 SEN specimens.

Fig.18. Crack propagation rate dependence on applied stress intensity factor (K_i) for Ti-75A and Ti-8-1-1 alloys.

Fig 19. Crack propagation rate dependence on K_i for Ti-5-2.5 and Ti-13-11-3 alloys.

Fig.20. Stress wave emission activity and C.O.D. gage output as a function of SCC slow crack growth in Ti-75A.

Fig 21. (A) Stress wave emission activity for the Ti-8-1-1 approaching mechanical instability (from bottom to the top) (B) Same for Ti-5-2.5 alloy (C) Same for Ti-13-11-3. Time range about 3 sec before catastrophic failure occurred.

Fig 22. Stress wave activity for Ti-75A and Ti-8-1-1 alloys during SCC slow crack growth.

Fig 23. Stress wave activity for Ti-5-2.5 and Ti-13-11-3 alloys during SCC slow crack growth.

Fig.24. (A) Scanning electron fractograph for SCC cleavage in Ti-75A

(B) Scanning electron fractograph at higher magnification compared
to (c) electron fractograph using a two-step replication technique.

Fig. 25  (A) Light micrograph of transgranular fracture in Ti-75A under environmental conditions (B) Light micrograph showing major crack and secondary cracks in Ti-8-1-1 under environmental conditions.

Fig. 26. (A) Light micrograph of transgranular fracture in Ti-5-2.5 alloy under environmental conditions.

Fig. 27. (A) Electron fractograph of Ti-8-1-1 showing SCC striations.  
(B) Electron fractograph of Ti-8-1-1 failed under environmental condition indicating possible slip traces.

Fig. 28. (A) Fractographic evidence of localized striations on Ti-8-1-1 SCC fracture surface.  (B) Very finely spaced striations taken from same fracture surface.

Fig. 29. (A) Secondary cracks in Ti-13-11-3 with crystallographic nature light micrograph (B) same specimen with fracture planes at 90°-light micrograph.

Fig. 30. (A) Fracture path change at grain boundary in Ti-13-11-3, light micrograph,  (B) Scanning electron fractograph showing fracture path change at a grain boundary.  Same material.

Fig. 31. Scanning electron fractograph of (A) transgranular cleavage in Ti-13-11-3,  (B) Cheveron traces orientated to the crack direction; (see Fig. 13B), same material.

Fig. 32. Scanning electron fractograph of (A) transgranular cleavage (B) crystallographic cracking in Ti-13-11-3.

Fig. 33. Relationship between cracks and slip orientations in Ti-13-11-3 cracks are not oriented on slip planes. Light micrograph.
Fig 34. Single surface trace analysis on angles between cracks shown schematically on the (001) stereographic projection, see Table III.

Fig 35. Temperature dependence of crack propagation rates in Ti-75A, Ti-8-1.1 and Ti-5-2.5 alloys.

Fig 36. (A) Schematic plot illustrating mechanical surplus energy released in case of active environmental failure. (B) Schematic plot illustrating slow crack growth region as a result of active environmental conditions.

Fig 37. Schematic illustrations of chemical crack initiation, mechanical crack extension and crack arrest in (a) low $K_1$ (b) high $K_1$.

Fig 38. (A) Load-displacement curves for polycarbonate-tapered specimens (specimens as in Fig. 4). (B) Ti-75 tapered specimen failed under environmental conditions.

Fig 39. Isochromatic pattern from 0.25 in. thick, tapered polycarbonate, specimens obtained with a circular polarization and quarterwave plate set-up. Loading condition:

$$\frac{K_1}{K_{IC}} = 0.2$$

(A) $c/W = 0.2$ (B) $c/W = 0.3$ (C) $c/W = 0.4$.

Fig 40. Similar to Fig. 39 with loading condition $K_1/K_{IC} = 0.5$.

Fig 41. Loading vs. C.O.D. signal as recorded for velocity measurement of Ti-5-2.5 alloy.

Fig 42. Calibration curve for C.O.D. gage for the tapered specimen. Gage was fixed as shown in Fig. 1.

Fig 43. Top crack length variation at fracture for different initial conditions. $c_1$ was about 0.600 in bottom -- schematic plot relating total time to failure and crack rate dependency on $K_1$. 
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>O₂</th>
<th>Ti</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti-75A (unalloyed)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>Bal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-8Al-1Mo-1V</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Bal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-5Al-2.5Sn</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-13V-11Cr-3Al</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Bal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engineering Tensile Data*</td>
<td>Fracture Toughness Data**</td>
<td>Remarks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sy  psi 0.2% offset</td>
<td>cu psi  %</td>
<td>area reduc. psi x10^-6</td>
<td>KIC x10^-3 psi in</td>
<td>K_Crit x10^-3 psi/in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-75A</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>24  52.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-8-1-1</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>15  30</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-5-2.5</td>
<td>126,000</td>
<td>134,000</td>
<td>16.5 45</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-13-11-3</td>
<td>136,000</td>
<td>136,000</td>
<td>15.0 36.5</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*2" gage length

** On compact crack line loaded specimen 2.5"x3"  t = 0.125
### TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain</th>
<th>$\theta_1^\circ$</th>
<th>$\theta_2^\circ$</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>$\theta_3 = 180 - (\theta_1 + \theta_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>For the case of $\theta_1 = 90$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>see Figure 29B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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$H = 0.150$

$XBL 694-383$
ENDEVCO ACCELEROMETER
MODEL 2234 E
CHARGE SENSITIVITY 59.5 pC/g

CHARGE AMPLIFIER

VOLTAGE AMPLIFIER

FILTER

BAND 30-48 kHz

60,000 GAIN

TAPE RECORDER — MINCOM C-100
FREQUENCY RESPONSE: 50 Hz - 60 kHz
AT 30 in/sec

VOICE CHANNEL

STRIP CHART

VISICORDER — MODEL 1108

GALVANOMETER AMPLIFIER

OSCILLOSCOPE

Figure 5
Figure 8
Figure 9
Figure 14
Figure 15
Figure 16

**K_{crit} = 126 \times 10^3 \text{ psi } \sqrt{\text{in}}**

**Ti - 75A (not alloyed)**

**K_{crit} = 80 \times 10^3 \text{ psi } \sqrt{\text{in}}**

**Ti - 8-1-1**

*no failure in 1 hour*
\[ K_{\text{crit}} = 142.4 \times 10^3 \text{ psi} \sqrt{\text{in}} \]

\[ K_{\text{crit}} = 145 \times 10^3 \text{ psi} \sqrt{\text{in}} \]

Ti - 5 - 2.5

Ti - 13 - 11 - 3

Figure 17
Figure 18
Small dependence of $\bar{V}$ on $K_i$

**Fig. 19**
Figure 20
Figure 21
Figure 22
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$K_i \times 10^3$
 psi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$

T - 75A
(Not alloyed)

$K_i \times 10^3$
 psi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$

Ti - 8 - 1 - 1

Figure 22
Figure 23

\[ K_l \times 10^3 \text{ psi } \sqrt{\text{in}} \]

Ti-5-2.5

\[ K_l = 87.0 \times 10^3 \text{ psi } \sqrt{\text{in}} \]
\[ \Delta C = 0.680 \text{ in} \]

Ti-13-11-3
Figure 28
Figure 31
Figure 32
CONSIDER THE VECTOR \( \vec{v}_3 \) (NOT SHOWN)

\[ \begin{align*}
\vec{v}_1 \perp \pi_1; \pi \\
\vec{v}_2 \perp \pi_2; \pi \\
\text{\&} \quad \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = \theta_1 \\
\text{\&} \quad \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = \theta_2 \\
\text{\&} \quad \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = \theta_3 = 180^\circ - (\theta_1 + \theta_2)
\end{align*} \]
Figure 35
Figure 36
\[ \frac{\Delta c}{\Delta t} = f(t) \]

**Figure 37**

- a. \( K_{I_{SCC}} < K_i < K_{IC} \)
- b. \( K_{I_{SCC}} << K_i < K_{IC} \)
Figure 38

A. SPECIMEN MATERIAL POLYCARBONATE

B. SPECIMEN MATERIAL Ti-75A (Not alloyed)

XBB 696-3979
Figure 39
Figure 40
Figure 41

LOAD (lb)

DISPLACEMENT GAGE SIGNAL

TESTING TEMPERATURE 5°C

Ti-5-2.5

0.010 in

XBL 696-696
Figure 42
Figure 43
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LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.